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Abstract—In this work, a systematic technique to generate performance models of reconfigurable analog circuits is presented. The performance models are obtained in the form of multi-mode Pareto-optimal fronts (mm-PoFs), a new type of Pareto-optimal front (PoF) that characterizes the set of different performances that reconfigurable circuits can attain. The technique is based on the use of an evolutionary algorithm (EA) that acts as an optimizer, and the simulator HSPICE to measure the circuit performances. The use of this technique will be illustrated for a wireless multistandard problem, where a reconfigurable op-amp will be considered.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The current trend towards the new paradigm of wireless communications (4G) aims at including more and more functionalities and support for an increasing number of communication standards in an unique device [1] [2]. This support is given traditionally by using a different circuit for each standard, but this solution compromises the area occupation. Another option is to use a unique circuit that meets the specifications of all standards, which means that the power consumption will not adapt to the communication standard being used, and, therefore, energy will be wasted. A better solution is to use reconfigurable circuits, which are capable of operating in different modes to achieve different performances. The power consumption of reconfigurable circuits can be adapted for each operation mode whereas the area occupation will be that of a single circuit.

The lack of automated CAD tools makes the automation of analog design to be some steps behind the digital domain. New hierarchical design methodologies that are emerging since the last decades [3] [4] [5] propose solutions to reduce this gap between digital and analog design. Some of these methodologies are based on the use of feasibility information of the analog circuits, which can be given in the form of performance models. In this context, the use of Pareto-optimal fronts (PoF) [6] is an efficient solution, since they represent the best trade-offs among conflicting performances of the circuits and therefore it is not necessary to explore the whole feasibility space.

Unfortunately, design methodologies based on the use of PoFs have not considered yet the analog reconfigurable circuits mainly due to the Impossibility to generate their feasibility information. For this reason, it is extremely important to generate performance models of reconfigurable analog circuits, which would support the use of these type of circuits by these design methodologies.

In this work, a systematic and fully automated technique for the generation of multi-mode PoFs (mm-PoFs), a new type of PoFs presented in [7] that models the performances of reconfigurable circuits, is presented. In the following section the generation process of the mm-PoFs using an EA is described. Section III shows some results in the form of mm-PoFs of reconfigurable operational amplifiers and their use in a multi-standard design case.

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology proposed in this work is based on the use of an evolutionary algorithm (EA), which is an efficient way to solve multi-objective optimization problems (MOOP) [8]. EAs are based on the evolution of a population where, as in natural selection processes, new solutions are generated using mutation and crossover operators and only the best solutions, which are found using tournament and selection mechanisms, prevail. Optimization of electronic circuits can be seen as MOOPs. In a MOOP a set of conflicting functions (or design objectives) must be optimized while some constraints are fulfilled, and therefore an unique solution does not exist. MOOPs are based in the concept of Pareto-dominance, which considers that for two solutions, and , a solution dominates ( ) if all the design objectives of are worse than those of and there is at least one design objective of that is strictly better that the same design objective of . In the same way, is said to be a non-dominated solution if there is no other solution that dominates it.

Reconfigurable circuits have several sets of conflicting performances (each corresponding to a different mode of operation) that must be optimized. Since their optimization can not be directly translated into a conventional MOOP, they can not be correctly solved by any available EA. Using a conventional EA, all design objectives of all operation modes would compete between them. However, there should not be a
trade-off between the same performances of different operation modes.

In this work we propose a key modification, in the form of a new dominance criterion, in the EA NSGA-II [9], so that performances of reconfigurable analog circuits can be optimized. The basic flow of this EA is shown in Fig.1. The implementation of the new dominance criterion requires a modification of the dominance check, used in different steps of the algorithm (Tournament and Selection and Filling a non-dominated sorting).

For the generation of the PoFs and mm-PoFs, first the topology of the circuit to be optimized is defined. Design objectives must be set to be maximized or minimized. Constraints that ensure the correct performance of the circuits must be also established. For the design variables, which define the circuit performance, a range for their value must be defined by the designer.

Reconfiguration strategy and directive, which refers to the variables that change from a mode to another and to how this change is done, respectively, must be specified by the designer. Finally, the size of the population, as well as the number of generations (iterations of the evolutive loop) the optimizer will evolve, must be specified.

III. RESULTS

This section illustrates how to use a mm-PoF of an operational amplifier that is used in a multi-standard scenario where three sets of specifications must be met. Among those designs that fulfill the multi-standard specifications, it is interesting to focus on the advantages that the use of reconfigurable designs have over single-mode designs in terms of area and power consumption.

In this work, the electronic simulator used is HSPICE. The experiments have been carried out in a 0.35-µm CMOS technology with ±1.5-V supply voltages.

Let us consider a multi-standard problem where the 3 sets of specifications shown in Table I must be met by the folded-cascode opamp in Fig.3. The rest of transistor sizes that are not specified are dependent of these variables due to underlying circuit symmetry. The range of these variables, defined by the designer, are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>DC Gain [dB]</th>
<th>f0 [MHz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSM</td>
<td>56.90</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>66.02</td>
<td>61.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMTS</td>
<td>67.36</td>
<td>107.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sets of specifications of the three standards can be achieved by means of any of three options: a single-mode
design that fulfill all sets of specifications simultaneously (option 1), a different single-mode design to fulfill specifications required by each standard (option 2) or a reconfigurable design whose operation modes fulfill the specifications required by each standard (option 3). For the first two options, a single-mode PoF (sm-PoF) has been generated. For the third option, a mm-PoF with three operation modes has been generated. For both the sm-PoF and the mm-PoF, design objectives are the DC-Gain \( A_0 \) and the Unity-gain frequency \( f_u \), which are maximized, and the power consumption \( P_w \) and area, which are minimized.

Constraints are imposed to the phase-margin, which must be between \([60^\circ - 90^\circ]\), the operation of all transistors, which must be in saturation regime. For the mm-PoF, the slew-rate must be greater than or equal to \(70/90/160\) \(V/\mu s\) for the first, second and third operation modes respectively. For the sm-PoF, the slew-rate is imposed to be greater than or equal to \(70V/\mu s\).

Since \( f_u \) and power consumption, as well as the slew-rate, are directly related to the bias current, a reconfiguration strategy based on the change of this variable is a very appropriate approach to attain complementary performances of the reconfigurable circuits. For the reconfiguration directive, first a value of bias current is randomly chosen in the range \([1 - 333] \mu m\). Then, this value is assigned to the bias current of the first mode and the double and triple of that value are assigned to the second and third modes, respectively. Of course, the designer has complete freedom to chose the more suitable reconfiguration strategy and directive for each problem.

For both the single-mode and the multi-mode PoFs the population size is 1000 individuals and the number of generations is set at 200. The generation of each front required a CPU Time of 4h on a 2.2 GHz processor.

Projections onto the \( A_0\)-\( f_u \) and area-power consumption planes of the two fronts are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. In Fig.6, the DC-Gain and \( f_u \) of those designs that meet the three sets of specifications by means of any of the three options previously described are shown.

As it can be seen, only modes 1 and 2 of the reconfigurable designs need to be used. In Fig.7 the area and power consumption of designs of option 1 are shown. The final design selected in this case should be one of the designs marked bold squares, which have the best trade-offs between the area and power consumption. Focusing on the extreme cases (smallest area or lowest power consumption) reduces the options between solution 1 (\(743.4 \mu m^2 - 1.24 mW\)) and solution 2 (\(181.9 \mu m^2 - 0.85 mW\)).
In Fig. 8 the area and power consumption of designs from option 2 are shown. In this case, the options for GSM mode regarding smaller area and power consumption are between $(197.5\,\mu m^2 - 0.42\,mW)$ and $(90.26\,\mu m^2 - 0.56\,mW)$, while for BT and UMTS modes the options go from $(743.4\,\mu m^2 - 0.85\,mW)$ to $(181.9\,\mu m^2 - 1.24\,mW)$. These designs can be combined to form 4 solutions (from solution 3 to solution 6).

In Table III the area and power consumption of the solutions of each option are shown. Reconfigurable solutions are among the best results. Unique designs that fulfill specifications of the three standards simultaneously (option 1) either have a much larger area (solution 1) or the power consumption is much higher (solution 2) than reconfigurable designs (option 3). Solutions of option 2 have a lower power consumption in GSM mode and, for solutions 3 and 4, also in UMTS mode. However, except solution 6, the area of these solutions is higher than that of reconfigurable designs.

### Table III: Comparison of the Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>GSM Area [(\mu m^2)]</th>
<th>GSM Power [mW]</th>
<th>Bluetooth &amp; UMTS Area [(\mu m^2)]</th>
<th>Bluetooth &amp; UMTS Power [mW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION 1</td>
<td>743.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>743.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>181.9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>181.9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>940.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>940.9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>833.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>833.7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>379.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>379.4</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>272.2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>272.2</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPTION 3</td>
<td>225.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>225.3</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>330.7</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>330.7</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Conclusions

A new fully automated, systematic design flow methodology to generate multi-mode performance models, in the form of Pareto-optimal fronts, of reconfigurable circuits, has been presented. This concept supports the use of reconfigurable analog circuits in emerging hierarchical design methodologies. Reconfigurable analog circuits introduce important advantages in the design of multi-standard communication systems. As it has been demonstrated with the use of the automated flow presented here, reconfigurable circuits reduce the area occupation and permits an efficient distribution of the power consumption, and, therefore, an important reduction in cost.
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