Mediterranean pine and oak distribution in southern Spain: Is there a mismatch between regeneration and adult distribution?

Itziar R. Urbieta, Luís V. García, Miguel A. Zavala & Teodoro Marañón

Abstract

Questions: What is the current distribution of pine and oak species along environmental gradients in southern Spain? Do pine and oak regeneration niches differ from the environmental niches of adults? Is oak species regeneration favoured under the canopy of pine forests?

Location: Forest areas of Andalusia (~87 600 km², southern Spain).

Methods: We compiled extensive forest inventory data to explore differences in abundance (basal area, m² ha⁻¹) patterns of adults (dbh > 7.4 cm) and regeneration (dbh ≤ 7.4 cm) of five pine and five oak species. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) and generalized linear models were applied to explore species–environment relationships along climatic, edaphic, topographic and fire-frequency gradients.

Results: Both pines and oaks segregated along complex environmental gradients, with pines generally dominating in more severe (colder and drier) environments, while oaks dominated in milder, wetter winter areas. In 40-55% of mature pine stands there was a lack of regeneration in the understorey, while in two oak species (Q. suber and Q. canariensis) 70% of stands did not show regeneration. Pine recruits were found at a higher frequency and abundance under the canopy of their congeners, whereas some oaks (Q. ilex) had greater regeneration under mixed pine–oak canopies.

Conclusions: Climatic limitations and soil properties partly explained the regional distribution of pines and oaks. We found evidence for an upward shift of Q. ilex recruits towards areas with colder conditions in pine forests, which could be explained by a possible facilitative effect of the pine canopy on seedling establishment.

Introduction

A fundamental issue in ecology is understanding how broad environmental drivers, local site factors and disturbance processes interact to control patterns and changes in biological communities (Huston 1994). The distribution of vegetation is largely controlled by climate – chiefly water and energy (Currie & Paquin 1987) – and by historical constraints such as geographical dispersal limitation (Svenning & Skov 2005). On a more local scale, environmental constraints interact with biological processes, including species ability to reproduce and disperse (Kohyama 1993). Plant–plant interactions, such as competition for resources or positive interactions (facilitation), can also influence the distribution of species (Callaway & Walker 1997).

Plant resources (e.g. light and water availability) can change significantly throughout the life history of plants (Cavender-Bares & Bazzaz 2000). Tree seedlings have smaller and shallower root systems than mature trees, and occur in soil layers more susceptible to drying from soil surface evaporation and transpiration of neighbouring trees and the herbaceous layer (Kolb & Stone 2000). Moreover, changes in carbon and water relationships (e.g. drought response strategies and differences in photosynthetic capacity) between seedlings, saplings and mature trees (e.g. Mediavilla & Escudero 2004) may result in ontogenetic conflicts (sensu Schupp 1995). While the distribution of adult trees is mainly a reflection of a response to past (climatic, management, etc.) conditions,
the observation of species at a young life stage (seedlings) depicts a response to the present environment. Consequently, effective regeneration might be distant from, or under different resource conditions, to that of adults. Particularly, in heterogeneous landscapes, young individuals are associated with certain microhabitats within a forest (Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2003), or located at a certain distance from forest patches as a result of long-distance dispersal events (Pons & Pausas 2006). The assessment of how different life stages of species vary along environmental gradients, particularly how abundant forest regeneration is and how seedlings respond to environmental limitation (e.g. Bansal & Germino 2010), can indicate whether a population is declining, stable or expanding. Furthermore, it is essential for predicting future stand dynamics under eventual changes in climatic or management conditions.

In Mediterranean forests, regeneration is scarce due essentially to seed and seedling establishment limitation (e.g. Acácio et al. 2008; Mendoza et al. 2009), driven mainly by environmental constraints such as drought (Castro et al. 2004), high pressure from herbivores (Baraza et al. 2006) and certain management techniques, such as understory clearance for open-woodland formation (Pulido et al. 2001). There have been numerous experimental studies describing biotic and abiotic factors that limit Mediterranean forest regeneration along resource gradients at local scales (e.g. Espelta et al. 1995; Castro et al. 2004; Uribieta et al. 2008a; Pérez-Ramos et al. 2010), yet few studies have attempted to evaluate the state of forest regeneration (e.g. Plieninger et al. 2010), or to study the differences between regeneration and adult distribution along environmental gradients on a large scale (e.g. Lenoir et al. 2009).

The present study analyses the relationship between the abundance of five oak (Quercus spp.) and five pine (Pinus spp.) species and various key environmental factors in southern Spain, based on forest inventory data linked to independent environmental information. The aim was to assess whether environmental correlates (assumed to be related with ecological requirements) of adult canopy trees differed from those of regeneration (i.e. seedlings and saplings) of the same species. In particular, we focused on the assessment of how abundant was oak regeneration under the canopy of pines. Forest management, particularly throughout the 20th century, relied on the establishment of tree cover for soil conservation purposes by means of extensive plantations of fast-growing pioneer species such as pines, assuming this species would facilitate the establishment (artificial or natural) of late-successional species such as oaks (Pausas et al. 2004). However, the role that pine plantations, which are widespread in lowlands and mountain ranges, have played in the establishment of late-successional hardwood species is still subject to open debate (Maestre & Cortina 2004; Pausas et al. 2004; Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2009). Furthermore, a major trend in the current forest management policy is the conversion of planted coniferous forests into native broad-leaved forests (e.g. Onaindia & Mitxelena 2009). Thus, exploring pine–oak forest structure along abiotic and biotic gradients can provide valuable information for the development of efficient forest management and restoration plans.

Specifically, the main objectives of this study were: (i) to identify the principal factors (climatic, topographic, soil characteristics and fire frequency) determining pine and oak species abundance distribution; (ii) to analyse whether species regeneration niches differed from adult environmental niches with respect to critical environmental gradients; and (iii) to examine if there was evidence supporting that oak regeneration was favoured under the canopy of pine forests.

Methods

Study area and species

The study area is located in Andalusia (southern Spain), a region of ~87 600 km² which encompasses a large altitudinal gradient (0–3480 m) (Fig. 1). Mountain systems surround the region and lie on both sides of the Guadalquivir River depression, with Palaeozoic and siliceous materials to the north, and sedimentary materials (limestone and sandstone) to the south. The climate is Mediterranean but quite variable, with mean annual precipitation between 140 and 2153 mm. Dry, warm summers (average July temperature: 15°C to 27°C) are followed by fairly cold winters (average January temperature: −2°C to 12°C; Spanish Agency of Meteorology). Forest areas are scarce in the more arid southeastern territory, and have been almost entirely eliminated from the Guadalquivir valley by agricultural transformation (Fig. 1). For the purpose of this study, we focused on five oak (Quercus) and five pine (Pinus) species: the evergreens Quercus ilex (holm oak) and Q. suber (cork oak), winter deciduous Q. pyrenaica (Pyrenean oak), and semi-deciduous Q. faginea (Portuguese oak) and Q. canariensis (Algerian oak); Pinus halepensis (Aleppo pine), P. pinea (stone pine), and the montane species P. nigra (black pine) and P. sylvestris (Scots pine) (Fig. 1). Some planted pine stands were also included due to the difficulty in disentangling their true origin. Nevertheless, this bias affects only adult pine observations, not regeneration. Exotic pine species (P. radiata and P. canariensis), present in < 1% of plots, were excluded from the analyses.

Data set

We analysed a large data set of the Spanish Second Forest Inventory (SSFI) conducted in forest areas of Andalusia.
during 1994-1996 (MMA 1996). Prior to the analyses, we checked for the geographic position of all inventoried plots, superimposing them onto digital orthophotographs (JA 2003). Plots with georeferencing errors were discarded for the analyses; the result was a total of 12,572 plots. SSFI plots were circular, of various concentric radii.

**Fig. 1.** Quercus and Pinus species distribution in Andalusia (southern Spain) based on the Spanish Second Forest Inventory (SSFI) plots. The number of SSFI plots per species is indicated in brackets.
up to 25 m, with an intensity of approximately one plot per square kilometre. Trunk diameter at breast height (dbh, 1.30 m) of all trees with a dbh greater than 7.4 cm was measured as two perpendicular measurements using callipers. For saplings (dbh 2.5–7.4 cm), the number of individuals (density) was estimated, and for seedlings (dbh < 2.5 cm) their presence or absence was recorded within a 5-m radius of the plot.

Stand structure
In each plot, species basal area was calculated as the sum of the basal areas (m²) of all trees of the same species, assuming a circular cross-section of the trunk, and then expressed on a unit area basis in m² ha⁻¹. For saplings, we assumed a mean diameter of 5 cm per individual for basal area calculation. In plots where the presence of seedlings was recorded, we assumed at least one seedling with an average diameter of 1.25 cm, in order to differentiate presence from absence. In the analyses, we first considered species total abundance as the basal area of all individuals (density) was estimated, and for seedlings and saplings. In the case of resprouting oak species, regeneration includes ramets.

Each inventoried plot was characterized with independent environmental data. Raster maps and sampling locations (UTM coordinates of plots) were combined to determine the values of each abiotic variable in each plot, using ArcView 3.2 (ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute) Inc., 2000. ArcView GIS, version 3.2. Redlands, USA). The variables included climatic and topographic factors, soil characteristics and fire frequency, and were calculated as described below.

Climatic factors
Climatic data with a spatial resolution of 1 km were provided by the Spanish Agency of Meteorology as an interpolation (kriging) of the information (period 1971 to 2000) from 143 primary meteorological stations, 1504 thermometric stations and 4835 pluviometric stations (Spanish Agency of Meteorology, unpublished). We assigned the following climatic variables to each SSFI plot: annual mean precipitation (PANU) (mm), spring (PSPR), summer (PSUM), autumn (PAUT) and winter (PWIN) precipitation (in mm); annual mean radiation (RADANU) (kWh m⁻²) and radiation per season (RADSPR, RADSUM, RADAUT, RADWIN); annual mean temperature (TANU) (°C), average temperature of the warmest month (TWM), average temperature of the coldest month (TCM) and thermal oscillation (TOSIC). Annual potential evapotranspiration (TPET) (mm) was obtained as a function of mean temperature (Thornthwaite 1948). Computing monthly differences between PET and P, we calculated annual water surplus (TSUP) as the sum of positive differences, annual water deficit (TDEF) as the sum of negative differences, and drought length (DL) as the number of months in which PET exceeded P.

Topographic factors
For each SSFI plot we derived altitude (ALT) (m), slope (SLOP) (degrees) and aspect (ASP) as degrees with respect to north from a 20-m digital elevation model (DEM). Aspect directional data were subjected to inverse polar transformation, which produced two Cartesian variables accounting for slope orientation in the south-to-north (SN) and west-to-east (WE) directions.

Soil factors
We assigned the dominant soil typological unit (FAO-UNESCO soil legend) to each SSFI plot, from the soil geographical database of Europe, scale 1/1 000 000 (European Soil Bureau. 1999. European soil database v 1.0. Ispra, Italy). Using the FAO-UNESCO definition criteria for the different soil units, we derived ordinal categories for some relevant soil properties: soil depth (SDEPTH); soil base saturation (SBASE); presence of CaCO₃ (or, at a few sites, other calcium-rich materials such as gypsum) (SCALC). We also derived estimates for overall soil water capacity (SAWC) (mm), using estimates for topsoil and subsoil water capacity (European Soil Bureau. 1999. European soil database v. 1.0. Ispra, Italy).

Fire frequency
Cartographic layers of fire surface, with a cover of at least 10 ha, were used, based on Landsat images of Andalusia at the end of each fire campaign (REDIAM, Andalusian Government, unpublished). Fire occurrence (FIRE) between 1987 and 2002, expressed as frequency, was assigned to each SSFI plot.

Statistical analyses
Species abundance patterns
Multivariate analysis, together with likelihood methods and model selection, were combined to identify the principal environmental factors that determined pine and oak species distribution. First, we applied an indirect gradient analysis – a detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) – to estimate the main environmental gradients
and to decide whether linear or unimodal direct gradient methods were better suited to examine species–environment relationships (Leps & Smilauer 2003). Second, a canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) (ter Braak 1986) was carried out to study the relationship between species abundance (with all inventoried individuals) and environmental variables, using CANOCO v4.5 (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Basal area data were log transformed (log x +1) to minimize the skewness of species abundance distribution. To avoid artifacts, results were checked for uni- and multivariate extreme values (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Manual selection and Monte-Carlo permutation tests, with 999 unrestricted permutations, were used for selection of the most significant variables, as well as to evaluate the significance of the extracted axes. The step-wise option was used to exclude highly redundant variables (Økland & Eilertsen 1994). Significance was fixed at the 0.05 level throughout the study, and corrections to limit type I error inflation were performed according to García (2004).

Third, we analysed how pine and oak species overall abundance varied along the main CCA axes and the most relevant environmental variables, fitting generalized linear models (GLM) using the CanoDraw program (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Because of the skewed distribution of species abundance data and the large quantity of absence data (i.e. species basal area equal to zero), we assumed a Poisson distribution, log-link option, according to Leps & Smilauer (2003). We tested for linear and bell-shaped unimodal response of species along the underlying environmental gradients by comparing the value of Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) (Akaike 1992) to the AIC of a null model (i.e. intercept-only model) (Leps & Smilauer 2003). Competing models for each species were compared with ΔAIC, which is defined for each Model, as AIC of that model minus AICminimum, i.e. the model with the strongest empirical support has the minimum AIC, and thus its ΔAIC = 0 (Akaike 1992).

Species regeneration patterns

We analysed whether the distribution of forest regeneration (r) (individuals with dbh ≤ 7.4 cm) of each species differed from that of adults (a) (dbh > 7.4 cm) of the same species. We projected the distribution of adults and regeneration on the CCA bi-plot, and fitted GLMs along the main CCA axes and the most relevant environmental variables, following the same procedure as for species overall niche. The central positions (optima) of r and a were compared through the overlapping of their 95% confidence intervals (CI). The optimum was measured as the value of the abiotic gradient where species r and a reached their maximum abundance, and was calculated using parameters of the unimodal model with the Cano-Draw program (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Furthermore, for r models we tested for the effect of total stand basal area on species regeneration abundance using STATISTICA v 6.1. (StatSoft, Inc., http://www.statsoft.com).

To explore whether oak regeneration was favoured under the canopy of pine forests (and a possible reciprocal trend), we classified forest stands (SSFI plots) into five habitat types: Open, when the stand basal area was < 1 m² ha⁻¹ (this included plots without tree cover but that may have had shrubby vegetation, although this could not be estimated from the data); Quercus, when the basal area of oaks was > 80% of total stand basal area and no pines were present; Pinus, when the basal area of pines was > 80% and no oaks were present; Mixed Quercus–Pinus stands, when the basal area of pines plus oaks represented at least 25% of the stand basal area; and finally, Other species habitat included plots where the basal area of other species was > 80%. Regeneration abundance in each habitat was compared with the reference (R) habitat (i.e. that with conspecifics) by means of a non-parametric permutation-based ANOVA. We used a dummy variable coding for the different habitats together with the permutation test facilities implemented in CANOCO v4.5, which allowed us to overcome the parametric test assumptions, as described in ter Braak & Smilauer (2002). The ANOVA was performed using the spatial variables as co-variables to remove the spatial dependence of the data, as described in Borcard et al. (1992). Using the same procedure, we tested if, for those species that showed differences in regeneration and adult distribution, the effect of key environmental variables on regeneration was conditioned by the habitat type, i.e. whether significant interactions existed between environmental variables and habitat types.

Results

Species abundance along environmental gradients

Oaks and pines were present in approximately 70% of the total SSFI plots, and their distribution along the studied environmental gradients was non-random, as shown by DCA and CCA analyses (Table 1, Fig. 2). The first three DCA axes accounted for 25% of the overall species variance, and their length (6.1, 4.3 and 4.3, respectively) indicated that species responses to environmental variations were mainly unimodal (ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). The first axis (with 11% of variance) was a complex gradient positively correlated to increased soil calcium (r = 0.66), summer radiation (r = 0.59) and summer precipitation (r = 0.52), while it was negatively related to temperature gradients, TCM (r = −0.78) and TWM (r = −0.59). It was also closely related to changes in altitude (r = 0.77), suggesting that this main trend in species distribution is associated with temperature/ radiation and precipitation changes observed along
altitudinal gradients. The three main CCA axes explained 18.4% of the overall species data (Table 1). Climatic variables were the most significant predictors, followed by soil and topographic variables, while fire frequency did not enter into the model. Axis 1 was strongly and positively correlated with the temperature gradients, and negatively with summer precipitation and radiation (see Table 1). Because altitude was closely and negatively related to temperature and CCA Axis 1 ($r = -0.90$), it was used as a supplementary variable. Variability of edaphic factors (soil calcium, base saturation and depth) and slope was also captured in Axis 1 (Table 1, Fig. 2a). CCA Axis 2 was correlated mainly with water availability conditions – positively with drought length and negatively with winter precipitation – and showed a positive correlation with soil water-storage capacity and summer temperature. Finally, CCA Axis 3 was negatively correlated with summer radiation and positively with calcium content and slope (Table 1). The proportion of variance of species abundance explained by CCA Axes 1 and 2 was high for Q. suber, Q. canariensis, P. pinea and P. nigra, while CCA Axis 3 contributed to increase the variance explained for Q. ilex and P. halepensis (Table 1).

In general, oaks and pines segregated along Axis 1, oaks being located towards more positive values of the gradient with milder conditions and deeper soils (Fig. 3a), and pines towards the negative side – i.e. towards higher and colder areas with increased summer precipitation and radiation (Fig. 3b). Axis 2 stressed the differences between certain species, such as P. halepensis and P. pinea located at the driest part of the gradient as opposed to Q. canariensis in the wettest end (see Appendix S1 for the distribution of species along CCA Axis 2).

According to GLM fits, the complex gradient defined by Axis 1 best explained (i.e. $\Delta AIC = 0$) the distribution of four species, Q. suber, Q. canariensis, Q. pyrenaica and P. halepensis; while P. pinaster response was associated to Axis 2, and Q. ilex to Axis 3 (see Appendix S2 for model fits). The distribution of the other species fitted better (lowest AIC) to single environmental variables: Q. faginea to increased winter precipitation and P. pinea, P. sylvestris and P. nigra to temperature and summer precipitation (i.e. altitudinal) gradients (Appendix S2). Species with more-limited distribution (Q. pyrenaica and P. sylvestris) had a low model success, and thus their results should be viewed with caution. The ranges of environmental conditions (for each

### Table 1. Explained variance, correlation with environmental variables and fitted variance per species for each of the first three axes of the canonical correspondence analysis (CCA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CCA Axis 1</th>
<th>CCA Axis 2</th>
<th>CCA Axis 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eigenvalues</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species–environment correlations</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explained variance (% species data)</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative (%) species data</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>18.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative (%) species–envir. relation</td>
<td>44.60</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>86.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted correlations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (SLOP, °)</td>
<td>$-0.32$</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer precipitation (PSUM, mm)</td>
<td>$-0.69$</td>
<td>$-0.33$</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter precipitation (PWIN, mm)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>$-0.70$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought length (DL, months)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer radiation (RADSUM, kWh m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$-0.56$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the warmest month (TWM, °C)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of the coldest month (TCM, °C)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil base saturation (SBASE)</td>
<td>$-0.45$</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil calcium (SCALC)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil depth (SDEPTH)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil water capacity (SAWC)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitted variance [% (cumulative)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. ilex</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. suber</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>44.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. faginea</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. canariensis</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. pyrenaica</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. halepensis</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>23.09</td>
<td>32.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. pinaster</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. pinea</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>15.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. nigra</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>39.15</td>
<td>39.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Species regeneration along environmental gradients

A high proportion of pine and oak forest stands showed null regeneration, i.e. absence of seedling and saplings in their understorey. For the five pine species, some 40–55% of the SSFI plots with presence of the species were mature stands without regeneration. Among oaks, the percentage of regeneration failure varied from 60–70% of the SSFI plots for *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* to 30–40% for *Q. ilex* and *Q. faginea*, and ca. 25% for *Q. pyrenaica*. When we compared the distribution of adults (a) and regeneration (r) of species along the environmental gradients, the models showed that pines generally had closely associated distribution areas between a and r along the main CCA axes (i.e. 95% CI of the optima overlapped in all cases; models not shown) (Fig. 2b). Conversely, for some oak species – *Q. ilex* and *Q. faginea* – a and r diverged when their distributions were projected in the CCA bi-plot (Fig. 2b). Specifically, for *Q. ilex* the optimum of a and r did not overlap along the TCM gradient, with regeneration of the species found in colder areas (optimum at 3.7°C) than for adults (optimum at 7.2°C) (Table 2). Furthermore, in those areas, mean
summer radiation was greater for regeneration (optimum at 7.3 kW h m$^{-2}$) than for the adults (optimum at 6.6 kW h m$^{-2}$). $Q. faginea$ showed a weak response (AIC with respect to the null model = 7) along CCA axes and main environmental gradients, and thus the differences between optima of $r$ and $a$ could not be tested.

We found a significant effect of the vegetation cover (total stand basal area) on species regeneration (Appendix S4). For pines, $P. pinea$ regeneration abundance showed a positive linear response to increased stand basal area. The other species showed a unimodal response, with optimum for regeneration at low values of the stand basal area ($13.8 m^2 ha^{-1}$) for $P. halepensis$, medium ($ca. 30 m^2 ha^{-1}$) for $P. pinaster$ and $P. sylvestris$, and high ($58 m^2 ha^{-1}$) for $P. nigra$. For oak species, regeneration abundance showed a positive linear response to increased stand basal area for $Q. canariensis$ and $Q. faginea$, while $Q. ilex$ and $Q. suber$ showed a unimodal response, with optima at 13.6 and $21.8 m^2 ha^{-1}$, respectively (Appendix S4).

When we tested (with the non-parametric ANOVA) for differences in species regeneration between the different habitats, we found that all pine species showed highest mean regeneration abundance in the $Pinus$ habitat (Fig. 4a). For $P. nigra$, $P. pinea$ and $P. pinaster$, regeneration under pines did not significantly differ from that found in Mixed Quercus–$Pinus$ habitats. However, pine regeneration found in the habitats dominated by Quercus and Other species was very scarce ($<12\%$ of SSFI plots with regeneration) in all species. For oak species, regeneration of $Q. suber$, $Q. canariensis$ and $Q. pyrenaica$ was found at the highest frequency and with a greater mean abundance in the Quercus habitat (although for $Q. canariensis$ and $Q. pyrenaica$ reliable statistical comparisons could not be made due to the low number of cases) (Fig. 4b). Conversely, for $Q. faginea$ and $Q. ilex$, regeneration was found in a higher proportion (ca. $40\%$ of plots) in the $Pinus$ habitat. Furthermore, $Q. ilex$ showed the highest mean regeneration abundance under Mixed Quercus–$Pinus$ forests (Fig. 4b).

For $Q. ilex$, habitat type and the temperature of the coldest month (TCM, i.e. the factor that best explained species regeneration distribution, see Table 2) showed a highly significant ($P < 0.002$) interaction effect on the abundance of regeneration, suggesting that the canopy type conditioned the effect of extreme temperatures on the regeneration of this species. When all main effects (i.e. the different habitats and TCM) and their interactions were considered, a highly significant interaction effect between $Pinus$ cover and the TCM variable emerged. Together with the mixed $Pinus$–Quercus cover type (Fig. 4b), this was the unique positive effect (relative to that of a reference conspecific cover) detected on $Q. ilex$ regeneration. These results suggest that extreme temperatures could be better tolerated by $Q. ilex$ seedlings under $Pinus$ canopies than in the other habitats studied, including those dominated by conspecific adults. As shown in Fig. 5, $Q. ilex$ regeneration reached environmentally more extreme (i.e. colder) areas than the adult trees. Specifically, $Q. ilex$ regeneration showed its maximum at average values of the temperature (TCM) gradient, where $Q. ilex$, $P. halepensis$ and $P. pinaster$ adults co-occurred (mainly in mixed stands); while it expanded its distribution niche towards the coldest end (where $Q. ilex$ adults were lacking), regenerating under the canopy of pines.

**Discussion**

**Drivers of regional-scale pine–oak distribution**

Pine and oak species segregate along altitudinal and latitudinal gradients that often correlate with resource variability (e.g. water availability) and environmental conditions (e.g. temperature or radiation) (Barton 1993; Purves et al. 2007). Climate was the main driver of the
studied species distribution, while substrate (soil characteristics) and topography were secondary, but relevant for some species. Pines were able to reach the more severe (i.e. colder, drier) environments; for example *P. sylvestris* and *P. nigra* were dominant at the coldest end in montane areas (Castro et al. 2004), while *P. halepensis* and *P. pinaster*

Fig. 4. Box-Whisker plots with mean ± standard error (SE) of regeneration abundance (m² ha⁻¹) of pines (a) and oaks (b) in different habitats: Open, Quercus, Pinus, Mixed Quercus–Pinus and Other spp. Regeneration abundance in each habitat is compared to the reference (R) habitat (that with conspecifics, i.e. Pinus or Quercus). Significant differences (*P* < 0.05) are indicated with (+), when species regeneration is higher compared to the reference habitat, or with (−) when it is lower; (0) indicates no significant differences, and no symbol is shown when the number of plots was too low to test for differences.
prevailed towards drier conditions (Zavala & Zea 2004). In general, oak species were more abundant in the milder, wetter winter lowland areas, except for *Q. ilex*, which showed a broad distribution, reaching both drier and colder areas. Soil properties (calcium content and depth) appear to be a key factor for some species, such as *P. halepensis*, associated with soils with high base saturation and calcium content. In contrast, *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* were associated with acidic substrates (Serrasolles et al. 2009).

A long history of forest management has shaped the present Mediterranean forest composition (Blondel & Aronson 1995). Pine forests were a natural feature of the landscape in southern Spain in the past (Gómez et al. 1995). Pine forests were a natural feature of the present Mediterranean forest composition (Blondel & Solé 2009). *Q. ilex* regenerates towards colder areas under the canopy of *P. halepensis* and *P. pinaster* (grey bold lines), expanding its realized niche towards areas with more environmental severity.

Pine and oak forest regeneration patterns

Forest inventory data revealed an overall scarce regeneration in pine and oak forests of southern Spain, as reported in other Mediterranean areas (e.g. Acácio et al. 2008; Plieninger et al. 2010). The spatial and temporal changes in environmental conditions (mainly light and water availability) that occur in heterogeneous Mediterranean landscapes provide relatively few "safe sites" for seedling establishment and survival (Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2005; Pulido & Díaz 2005; Pons & Pausas 2006). Among the experimentally identified main causes of recruitment failure in Mediterranean forests, a reduction in seed crop (e.g. in *P. pinea*, Mutke et al. 2005), a failure of available seeds combined with dispersal limitation (found in several oak species by Pulido & Díaz 2005; Pérez-Ramos et al. 2008; Espelta et al. 2009), the effects of distribution of microsites and factors negatively affecting seedling growth and mortality (Pérez-Ramos et al. 2010) are particularly relevant. In particular, summer drought is commonly found to be a major limiting factor for seedling survival in oaks (e.g. Espelta et al. 1995; Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2005).
et al. 2008) and pines (e.g. Castro et al. 2004; Mendoza et al. 2009), with shade conditions alleviating drought stress for seedlings, but potentially leading to light limitation (Quero et al. 2006). For example, in the species with the lowest proportion of regeneration in the study area – Q. suber and Q. canariensis – their recruitment is limited by a combination of factors: the high post-dispersal acorn predation, mainly by rodents (Pérez-Ramos et al. 2008), and sequential winter soil waterlogging and summer drought (Urbieta et al. 2008a). Furthermore, herbivory damage by insects, rodents or ungulates affecting both pines and oaks (e.g. Gómez et al. 2001, 2003), together with negative effects of some silvicultural practices such as overstorey clearcuts and soil treatments (e.g. del Cerro Barja et al. 2009), all hamper the natural regeneration of Mediterranean forests.

For the five pine species, mean regeneration abundance was highest under pine forests. Oak regeneration was mainly associated with some degree of canopy cover. As shown by many field experiments, the establishment of Mediterranean oaks is favoured under some degree of vegetation cover (Espelta et al. 1995; Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2008; Mendoza et al. 2009). The regeneration of Q. pyrenaica, Q. suber, and Q. canariensis was more frequent and abundant under the canopy of their congeners, whereas for Q. faginea and Q. ilex a higher proportion of recruits was inventoried under pine-dominated stands and mixed oak–pine forests. These findings support those of other studies, which reported evidence of pines regenerating mostly in pine-dominated fragments or open areas, and oak species regenerating under both oak- and pine-dominated stands (e.g. Galindo-Jaimes et al. 2002; Pons & Pausas 2006).

Niche expansion of Q. ilex in pine-dominated stands

Q. ilex regeneration abundance was displaced towards colder temperature areas, compared to the adult distribution optimum; precisely those most extreme areas with Q. ilex regeneration where under the canopy of pine forests (Fig. 5). This pattern suggests an upward shift of Q. ilex towards areas with more stressful conditions – i.e. colder and with higher summer radiation – but also with an increased summer precipitation regime.

The canopy of pines could mitigate the low temperatures and high radiation levels that hamper Q. ilex seedling survival (Sánchez-Gómez et al. 2006). Oaks can colonize the understorey of pine forests through jay-mediated dispersal of acorns (Mosandl & Kleinnert 1998; Gómez 2003), and seedlings survive better under the moderate radiation conditions found under the canopy of pines (Lookingbill & Zavala 2000). Furthermore, in these mountain areas, oak regeneration would benefit from higher water availability in summer (normally in the form of storms) (Mendoza et al. 2009). Similarly, the combination of dispersal by jays preferring pine forests and the benefit of the shaded, understory habitats under a dry Mediterranean-type climate has been noted as crucial for the conservation of fragmented southern populations of Fagus sylvatica (Kunstler et al. 2007). The improvement in soil fertility and microclimate provided by the P. halepensis canopy is known to facilitate establishment of perennial grasses and shrubs (e.g. Arrieta & Suárez 2006). However, negative effects on species richness and plant establishment in the understory have also been reported (Bellot et al. 2004). In some cases, the regeneration of oaks in pine-dominated stands originates through sprouting from old remnant trees suppressed by recurrent clipping, fire or browsing (Retana et al. 1992; Broncano et al. 2005). It is frequent that in abandoned old pine plantations, currently non-profitable for timber, their high density and dense shade impede the growth and development of oak saplings (Gómez-Aparicio et al. 2009), which persist suppressed and form a seedling bank (Gracia et al. 2001).

Mediterranean pine and oak forest dynamics remain uncertain under the warmer and drier environmental conditions predicted by climate-change projections (IPCC 2007). A similar mismatch between regeneration and adult distribution has been found in 13 forest species in the French mountains, and has been attributed to the effect of global warming (Lenoir et al. 2009). In the present study, we did not test climate change effects because of insufficient data.

Current management trends in Southern Spain are oriented towards conservation, and include thinning of pine plantations to promote native oak regeneration. Nonetheless, given the reduced demand for wood products (e.g. timber), new management options, biodiversity conservation guidelines and recent regeneration problems detected in pine and oak stands, we can foresee new shifts in Mediterranean forest composition. Further improvement in the understanding of forest structure and facilitation processes along environmental gradients will have direct relevance in the development of tools for ecosystem restoration and in anticipating the responses of plant species and communities to key drivers of environmental change.
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