

Biochemical and molecular characterization of induced resistance against
Penicillium digitatum in citrus fruit

A. R. Ballester^{ab}, A. Izquierdo^a, M. T. Lafuente^a and L. González-Candelas^{a*}

^aInstituto de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos (IATA). Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC). Apartado de Correos 73, Burjassot 46100, Valencia. Spain. E-mail: lgonzalez@iata.csic.es; Telephone: 34-963900022; Fax: 34-963636301.

^bPresent address: Plant Research International, PO Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 To get an insight into the mechanisms underlying resistance of citrus fruit against
3 *Penicillium digitatum*, we have analyzed at the enzyme activity and gene expression
4 levels the possible involvement of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), peroxidase,
5 β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase in the flavedo (outer colored part of the fruit peel) and
6 albedo (the inner white part) in elicited fruit. As a tool to induce resistance, we
7 inoculated oranges with *P. digitatum* and 1 day later fruits were exposed to a hot air
8 treatment at 37°C for 3 days. Our results showed that all enzyme activities increased
9 in parallel with increased resistance, especially in the albedo, although the highest
10 activities were generally found in the flavedo. Expression of the PAL encoding gene
11 and that of the genes coding for the basic, rather than for the acidic, isoforms of the
12 PR proteins were also induced in both tissues, but most remarkably in the albedo.

13

14

15 **KEYWORDS:** chitinase; citrus fruit; gene expression; glucanase; induced resistance;
16 infection; *Penicillium digitatum*; peroxidase; phenylalanine ammonia-lyase; PR
17 proteins; scoparone

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Green and blue mold rots, caused by *Penicillium digitatum* (Pers.:Fr.) Sacc. and
3 *Penicillium italicum* Wehmer, respectively, are the most important postharvest
4 diseases of citrus fruit grown under Mediterranean climate conditions. Both pathogens
5 are necrotrophs that require wounds to enter the fruit through the flavedo. Although
6 synthetic fungicides have a major role in reducing postharvest losses due to fungal
7 decay, problems associated with their widespread use as well as the increasing
8 awareness of their associated health and environmental risks have promoted the
9 search for new and safer alternatives. Besides these concerns, the proliferation of
10 resistant strains is a serious risk to the effectiveness of fungicides. Additionally, the
11 number of wholesalers and final retailers that require produce with lower chemical
12 residue levels than official requirements is growing steadily (WTO, 2009).

13 Increasing the fruit's natural defense capabilities through induction of resistance is
14 one of the alternative strategies being investigated as a means to reduce the use of
15 chemical fungicides during postharvest handling and storage of fruits and vegetables.
16 In fully mature citrus fruit, increased resistance against *P. digitatum* infection can be
17 achieved by application of physical (Kim et al., 1991; Ben Yehoshua et al., 1992;
18 Rodov et al., 1992; Droby et al., 1993; Arcas et al., 2000), chemical (Porat et al.,
19 2001; 2002; Venditti et al., 2005), or antagonistic microorganisms treatments (Arras,
20 1996; Fajardo et al., 1998; Droby et al., 2002). The efficacy of these treatments in
21 eliciting induced resistance is variable, and in many instances depends on the maturity
22 stage of the fruit. Although understanding the mechanisms underlying induced
23 resistance would help to improve the development of this alternative strategy, our
24 knowledge of the biochemical and molecular bases of induced resistance is very poor.
25 In only a few studies have the induction of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins,

1 synthesis of phytoalexins and β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase gene expression been
2 analyzed in the context of induced resistance in citrus fruit, as discussed below.

3 UV irradiation, hot water and biocontrol yeast elicitation of fruit resistance is
4 accompanied by induction of the PR proteins chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase (Porat et
5 al., 1999; 2001). However, Fajardo et al. (1998) did not find induction of PR proteins
6 in the flavedo of oranges treated with different biological derived elicitors and then
7 inoculated with *P. digitatum*. Lignification and accumulation of phenolic compounds
8 have also been associated with the resistance of citrus fruit to *P. digitatum* infection
9 (1991; Angioni et al., 1998; 1999; Ortuño et al., 2006). The levels of the antifungal
10 scoparone in the flavedo of citrus fruit rose to fungicidal levels in pathogen-
11 challenged fruit that were subsequently subjected to a heat treatment, but pathogen
12 infection did not promote such an increase (Kim et al., 1991). Induction of this citrus
13 phytoalexin has also been observed in UV-irradiated fruit (Rodov et al., 1992) or after
14 elicitation of resistance by antagonistic yeasts (Arras, 1996; Droby et al., 2002).
15 Therefore, scoparone has been considered a good marker of induced resistance in
16 citrus fruit.

17 PAL and peroxidase activities are induced in grapefruit after elicitation of resistance
18 by UV irradiation (Droby et al., 1993) or by the biocontrol yeast *Candida oleophila*
19 (Droby et al., 2002). PAL is the first enzyme in the phenylpropanoid pathway, from
20 which scoparone and scopoletin are synthesized. On the other hand, peroxidases play
21 a key role at a later stage in the pathway during the synthesis of lignin, which acts as a
22 cell wall reinforcement enhancing resistance against multiple pathogens, and may
23 alter the antioxidant ability of citrus fruit to cope with *Penicillium* infection (Ballester
24 et al., 2006). In this regard, it is noteworthy that both enzymes have been suggested to
25 play a role in the defense response of citrus fruit against *P. digitatum* (Ballester et al.,

1 2006), although their transcriptional regulation during development of induced
2 resistance in citrus fruit remains unknown. In addition, previous studies indicate that
3 the albedo is more susceptible to *P. digitatum* infection than the external flavedo
4 (Kavanagh and Wood, 1967; Ballester et al., 2006), which suggests that defense
5 responses triggered in the inner tissue should be more critical to resistance.
6 Nevertheless, the responses of the albedo to elicitors that increase resistance to
7 pathogen attack have barely been studied (1999; Venditti et al., 2005).

8 The objective of the present work was to analyze gene regulation and enzyme
9 activities of PAL, peroxidase, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase in flavedo and albedo
10 tissues of oranges that had been previously inoculated with *P. digitatum* and 1 day
11 later were cured for 3 days at 37 °C (Kim et al., 1991). This treatment was selected
12 because of its high reproducibility and efficacy in eliciting the induction of the
13 phytoalexin scoparone, which, as mentioned before, is considered a good marker of
14 induced resistance in citrus fruit.

15

16 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

17

18 **Plant and fungal material**

19 Mature oranges (*Citrus sinensis* L. Obseck) from the cultivar ‘Navelate’ were
20 harvested from adult trees grown at Liria, Valencia, Spain and processed the same
21 day. Fruit were surface-sterilized with a 5% commercial bleach solution for 5 min,
22 extensively washed with tap water and allowed to dry at room temperature until next
23 day.

24 *Penicillium digitatum* (Pers.: Fr.) Sacc. isolate PHI-26 used in this study was obtained
25 from a rotten orange and cultured on potato dextrose agar (Difco, Detroit, USA) plates
26 at 24°C (López-García et al., 2000). Conidia from a 7-day-old culture were collected

1 by scraping them with a sterile spatula and transferred to sterile water. Conidia
2 suspension was then filtered and the concentration determined with a
3 haemocytometer.

4

5 **Induction of resistance treatment**

6 Fig. 1 represents a schematic diagram indicating tissue sampling and pathogen
7 inoculation for each treatment. Fruit were wounded by making punctures
8 (approximately 3 mm in depth) with a sterilized nail and inoculated with 10 μ L of a
9 *P. digitatum* conidial suspension adjusted to 10^5 conidia per mL. Inoculated fruit were
10 arranged in plastic boxes and maintained at 90-95% relative humidity (RH) and 20°C
11 for 1 day to allow pathogen development. Then, fruit were heat-treated at 37°C for
12 3 days under water-saturated conditions (curing) in order to stop the progress of the
13 pathogen. After the heat treatment, fruit were maintained at 20°C and 90-95% RH. At
14 3 days post-treatment (dpt), 7 days from the beginning of the experiment, peel tissue
15 discs of 13 mm in diameter around the inoculation site were sampled by using a cork
16 borer (Sample I). Control inoculations without pathogen were carried out by injecting
17 10 μ L of sterile water and holding the fruit under the same conditions (Sample W).
18 An additional control consisted of intact non-wounded fruit held at 20°C for 1 day and
19 then at 37°C for 3 days (Sample C). With this experimental design we can assess
20 independently the effect of the pathogen and the wound response. Moreover, tissue
21 from non-treated fruit was obtained the first day of the experiment (Sample NT).
22 Flavedo and albedo tissues were separated with a scalpel. Tissue discs obtained from
23 15 fruits (8 discs per fruit) were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, mixed and
24 grounded to a fine powder with a coffee mill and stored at -80°C until further analysis.

1 To assess the effectiveness of the induction of resistance treatment, disease
2 susceptibility was analyzed both at the beginning of the experiment in non-treated
3 fruit and at 3 dpi for the remaining treatments. Each fruit was punched at a distance
4 of 0.5 cm from the previous wound or in the equatorial axis in fruit that had not been
5 previously inoculated. Thereafter, 10 μ L of a suspension of *P. digitatum* containing
6 10^4 conidia per mL were inoculated into each wound. This lower inoculum level was
7 selected to avoid a very rapid disease progression that could mask differences among
8 treatments. Inoculated fruit were arranged separately on plastic boxes and maintained
9 at 90-95% RH and 20°C for 6 days. The incidence (percentage of lesions) and the
10 severity (maceration area, in cm^2) of the infection were assessed at 6 days post-
11 inoculation (dpi). The experimental design consisted of 3 replicates of 5 fruits, with 4
12 wounds per fruit, for each treatment. To test the effect of the treatments, a one-way
13 analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. Means were separated using the
14 Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at $p < 0.05$. The analysis was performed
15 with Statgraphics Plus 5.1 Software (Manugistics, Inc.).

16

17 **Extraction and quantification of scoparone**

18 Phenolic compounds were extracted from 100 mg of tissue in 2 mL tubes containing
19 five 1.2 mm steel beads and 500 μ L of 80% methanol with the aid of a Mini
20 Beadbeater 8 Cell Disruptor (Biospec Products, Inc.). Samples were extracted twice
21 at 4°C for 1 min, centrifuged at $11600 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C to eliminate cell debris
22 and the supernatants were filtered through 0.45 μ m polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)
23 Millipore filters. Samples were immediately analyzed by HPLC using a Waters liquid
24 chromatography system equipped with a Waters 600 quaternary pump, a Waters 474
25 fluorescence detector, a Waters 2996 photodiode array detector and the Empower

1 Software (Waters). A Luna C18 (Phenomenex, Inc.) column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ m)
2 coupled to a guard column μ Bondapak C18 (Waters) (10 μ m) was used and phenolic
3 compounds were separated at 35°C using a binary gradient of water (A), brought to
4 pH 2.5 with phosphoric acid, and acetonitrile (B). The initial solvent composition
5 consisted of 99% A and 1% B. The solvent composition changed in a linear gradient
6 to 70% A and 30% B during 60 min. During the next 45 min (105 min running time),
7 the solvent composition was changed to 1% A and 99% B, and then kept for 10 min.
8 Initial conditions were reestablished in 1 min and the column was reequilibrated for
9 24 min before the next injection. The flow rate was 0.8 mL per min and the injection
10 volume was 20 μ L. Phenolics were detected by fluorescence at excitation and
11 emission wavelengths of 313 and of 405 nm, respectively, and by setting the
12 photodiode array detector to scan from 200 to 400 nm. Scoparone was identified by
13 comparison of the spectrum and retention time with an authentic standard and
14 quantified by peak area comparison using a standard curve. Each result is the mean of
15 3 replicate samples. Tukey's test was performed to identify significant differences
16 between samples at $p < 0.05$.

17

18 **RNA extraction and Northern analysis**

19 Total RNA was isolated from the flavedo and the albedo tissues according to Ballester
20 et al. (2006). RNA (10 μ g per lane) was electrophoresed through a formaldehyde gel
21 and blotted onto a Hybond-N⁺ membrane (GE Healthcare). cDNA probes were
22 labeled with α (³²P)-dATP by using the Strip-EZTM PCR kit (Ambion, Inc.). Blots
23 were hybridized overnight at 42°C in UltrahybTM Ultrasensitive Hybridization buffer
24 (Ambion, Inc.) and then washed once at 55°C for 15 min in 2X SSC and 0.1% SDS
25 (w/v) and twice in 0.1X SSC and 0.1% SDS (w/v) at 55°C for 30 min. Blots were

1 quantified by exposure to a BAS MP 2040 Imaging Plate (Fuji Photo Film Co.,
2 LTD.), scanned with a FLA-3000 laser scanner (Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD.) and
3 quantified with the software ImageGauge V4.0 (Science Lab 98, Fuji Photo Film Co.,
4 LTD.). After stripping off the probe following the instructions in the Strip-EZ™ PCR
5 kit, membranes were rehybridized with different probes. Hybridization signals were
6 normalized according to the *C. sinensis* 26S rRNA signal intensity in each sample.

7 Probes used for Northern hybridization were obtained from different cDNA libraries
8 generated previously in our group. A full-length cDNA clone, *FPall* (GeneBank Acc.
9 No. AJ238753), was isolated from the flavedo of ‘Fortune’ mandarins stored at 1°C
10 (Sánchez-Ballesta et al., 2000). A full-length cDNA encoding an acidic class III β-
11 1,3-glucanase (*CrglcQ*, Acc. No. AY971953) was isolated from the same library
12 (Sánchez-Ballesta et al., 2006). A cDNA corresponding to the basic β-1,3-glucanase
13 *gns1* gene isolated by McCollum et al. (1999) (Acc. No. AJ000081) was obtained
14 from the RindPdig24 cDNA library, which is derived from ‘Clemenules’ mandarins
15 infected with *P. digitatum* (Acc. No. CX298651) (Forment et al., 2005). These two β-
16 1,3-glucanase encoding genes shared an 82% identity at the amino acid level. Two
17 different cDNAs encoding a basic extracellular peroxidase (Acc. No. CX297694,
18 designated *pox1*) that shares a 70% identity with the *Citrus maxima* *POD1* gene and
19 an acidic one (Acc. No. CX299378, designated *pox2*) were isolated from the cDNA
20 libraries RindPdig24 and FlavCurFr1, respectively. The latter library was obtained
21 from heat-treated ‘Fortune’ mandarins (Forment et al., 2005). Comparison of the
22 nucleotide sequences revealed that these two cDNAs shared low homology (less than
23 47%). Two different cDNAs encoding chitinases were isolated from the same two
24 libraries. The first one (Acc. No. CX299099) was obtained from RindPdig24 and
25 showed 99% identity with the basic chitinase encoding gene *chi1* isolated by Porat et

1 al. (2001) (Acc. No. AF090336). The second chitinase gene, designated *chi2*, was
2 obtained from FlavCurFr1 (Acc. No. CX298387) and shares 95% identity with a class
3 II acidic chitinase gene isolated by Osswald et al. (1994). The *C. sinensis* 26S rDNA
4 (Acc. No. AJ969115) and the *P. digitatum* 28S rDNA (Acc. No. AJ969116) probes
5 were obtained previously by Ballester et al. (2006).

6 DNA fragments used for probe labeling were obtained by PCR using the
7 corresponding plasmids as templates and the primers FOR17 (5'-GTT TTC CCA
8 GTC ACG AC-3') and REV17 (5'-CAG GAA ACA GCT ATG AC-3'). PCR
9 conditions were 94°C for 3 min, then 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 45 s and 72°C for 2 min
10 for 35 cycles, followed by a final elongation step of 10 min at 72°C. DNA fragments
11 were purified with the High Pure PCR Product Purification kit (Roche Applied
12 Sciences) and quantified by measuring the fluorescence with the Ribogreen® dye
13 (Molecular Probe, Inc.).

14

15 **Enzyme assays**

16 All enzyme assays were determined from 3 replicate samples using a Hewlett Packard
17 8452A spectrophotometer connected to a thermostated water bath. Crude extracts
18 were obtained with a Mini Beadbeater 8 Cell Disruptor (Biospec Productor, Inc.) in 2
19 mL tubes containing five 1.2 mm steel beads. Samples were extracted twice at 4°C for
20 1 min with a specific buffer and centrifuged at 11600 x *g* for 10 min at 4°C to
21 eliminate cell debris. The supernatant was used to analyze enzyme activities.

22 PAL, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase activities were extracted from acetone powder.
23 Five grams of frozen tissue were ground in 50 mL of acetone, previously chilled at -
24 20°C. The homogenate was filtered through a Buchner funnel, the residue washed

1 once with cold acetone and the resulting powder dried for 2 h at room temperature.
2 The acetone powder was stored at -80°C until use for enzymatic assays.
3 PAL (EC 4.3.1.24) activity was extracted as previously described by Ballester et al.
4 (2006) from acetone powder. The reaction mixtures (2 mL) contained 660 µL of the
5 partially purified enzyme and 200 µL of 100 mM L-phenylalanine. PAL activity was
6 determined by measuring the absorbance of cinnamic acid at 290 nm over a period of
7 2 h at 40°C. Enzyme activity was expressed as nmoles of cinnamic acid per g of
8 acetone powder tissue per h.
9 Enzyme extraction and enzymatic assays for peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7) activity were
10 conducted as described by Ballester et al. (2006). Enzyme activity was expressed as
11 the increase in absorbance at 470 nm per min per g of fresh weight tissue.
12 β-1,3-glucanase (EC 3.2.1.6) activity was measured using the colorimetric assay
13 based on the hydrolysis of laminarin (Abeles and Forrence, 1970). The enzyme was
14 extracted from 25 mg of flavedo or albedo acetone powder with 1.5 mL of 100 mM
15 potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, including 3% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP). The
16 reaction mixture contained 10 µL of the supernatant, 215 µL of 100 mM sodium
17 acetate, pH 5.0, and 75 µL of 2% laminarin. The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 1
18 or 2 h for the flavedo and albedo, respectively. The reaction was stopped by adding
19 600 µL of 1% dinitrosalicylic reagent and heating the tubes for 5 min at 100°C.
20 Absorbance of the samples was measured at 540 nm. A standard curve was
21 constructed using glucose and the activity expressed as µmoles of glucose per h per g
22 of acetone powder.
23 Chitinase (EC 3.2.1.14) activity assay was based on the protocol described by Fajardo
24 et al. (1998) with minor modifications. Acetone powder (15 mg) was homogenized
25 with 1.5 mL of 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, containing 3% PVPP and

1 the enzyme was extracted by cell disruption and centrifugation. The assay mixture
2 contained 20 μ L of the supernatant, 15 μ L of 2 M sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.0,
3 150 μ L of carboxymethyl-chitin-remazol brilliant violet and 415 μ L of H₂O. Reaction
4 mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding
5 150 μ L of 1 N hydrochloric acid and the mixture was immediately cooled on ice for
6 10 min. Absorbance of samples was measured at 550 nm. Enzyme activity was
7 expressed as the increase in absorbance at 550 nm per min per g of acetone powder.

8

9 **Statistics**

10 Enzyme activity values were the mean of 3 replicate samples \pm SD. Data were further
11 subjected to analysis of variance followed by Tukey's test with Statgraphics Plus 5.1
12 Software (Manugistics, Inc.). Differences at $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

13

14 **RESULTS**

15

16 **Induction of resistance in citrus fruit against *P. digitatum* and scoparone levels**

17 Although previous reports have shown that the combination of pathogen challenge
18 followed by a curing treatment elicited a high level of the phytoalexin scoparone in
19 the peel of citrus fruit, nothing is known about the effectiveness of this treatment
20 increasing fruit's resistance to a subsequent pathogen attack. Our results showed that
21 this treatment (treatment I) significantly reduced both disease incidence and severity
22 (Table 1). Wounded-cured fruit also showed a statistically significant, but lower,
23 reduction in disease intensity. In contrast, fruit only subjected to the heat treatment at
24 37°C for 3 days (C) showed the highest values of disease incidence and severity.

25 Scoparone concentration was determined in the flavedo and albedo tissues. As shown
26 in Table 2, scoparone was not detected in non-treated fruit and its concentration was

1 very low in the flavedo and albedo of cured oranges. However, substantial amounts of
2 scoparone were detected in the flavedo, and to a lower extent in the albedo, of
3 infected-cured fruit. The level of scoparone in wounded-cured fruit also increased, but
4 not as much as in response to the infection-curing treatment.

5

6 ***FPall* gene expression and PAL activity in elicited fruit**

7 The accumulation of *FPall* mRNA and PAL activity were studied in the flavedo and
8 albedo tissues of fruit subjected to the treatment that induced resistance (Fig. 2A).
9 Hybridization with the *C. sinensis* ribosomal probe allowed normalization of *FPall*
10 gene expression values, whereas the lack of hybridization signal with the *P. digitatum*
11 ribosomal probe indicated that the pathogen did not progress through the tissue in fruit
12 that had previously been inoculated with the pathogen (treatment I). In control non-
13 treated fruit, *FPall* gene expression was only detected in the flavedo and the highest
14 expression was found in infected-cured fruit. In the albedo, expression was only
15 detected in this latter treatment. Thus, the observed induction with respect to non-
16 treated fruit is more relevant in this inner tissue.

17 The pattern of PAL activity was similar in flavedo and albedo, although the activity in
18 non-treated fruit was almost 10-fold higher in the flavedo (Fig. 2B). The highest
19 activity was observed in both tissues in infected-cured fruit. PAL activity was 16-fold
20 higher in the flavedo of these fruits as compared with that of non-treated oranges,
21 whereas in the albedo the induction was 70-fold. PAL activity was also induced in
22 response to the wounding-curing treatment, albeit to a lower extent, reaching a 6- and
23 15-fold induction as compared with non-treated fruit in the flavedo and albedo,
24 respectively. On the other hand, curing did not cause any induction of PAL activity.

25

1 **Peroxidase gene expression and activity in elicited fruit**

2 The involvement of two novel different peroxidase genes previously isolated from
3 ‘Clemenules’ mandarins infected with *P. digitatum* (*pox1*) and from heat-treated
4 ‘Fortune’ mandarins (*pox2*) in the induction of resistance against *P. digitatum* in
5 ‘Navelate’ oranges has been investigated. Northern analyses using both genes as
6 probes revealed different patterns of expression (Fig. 3A). The accumulation of *pox1*
7 and *pox2* mRNAs in the flavedo of non-treated fruit was higher than in the albedo. In
8 both tissues, the highest level of *pox1* gene expression was detected in infected-cured
9 fruit. Wounding and subsequent heat-treatment slightly induced the expression of
10 *pox1* mRNA in the flavedo, but not in the albedo. However, the heat-treatment alone
11 resulted in a lower accumulation of the transcript in the flavedo. On the other hand,
12 *pox2* expression level decreased in both tissues in all treatments as compared with
13 non-treated fruit.

14 Changes in soluble and insoluble peroxidase activities in the flavedo and albedo
15 tissues are shown in Fig. 3B. The most noticeable difference observed between the
16 two activities in both tissues is the low overall level of soluble peroxidase activity in
17 the albedo, which in non-treated fruit was 8-fold lower than in the external tissue and
18 16-fold lower than its insoluble peroxidase activity counterpart. The trend of changes
19 in soluble and insoluble peroxidase activities was similar in the flavedo. Curing alone
20 did not significantly modify either activity. However, wounded-cured fruit showed an
21 increase in both peroxidase activities, which reached the highest values in infected-
22 cured fruit. In the albedo, the highest soluble peroxidase activity was also detected in
23 infected-cured fruit, although it was 6-fold lower than in the external tissue of fruit
24 subjected to the same treatment. On the other hand, insoluble peroxidase activity in
25 the albedo remained quite unaltered in all treatments.

1

2 **β-1,3-glucanase gene expression and activity in elicited fruit**

3 The expression patterns of *gns1* and the larger *CrglucQ* transcript were similar (Fig.
4 4A). For both genes, the expression in the flavedo was higher than in the albedo, and
5 the maximum induction was detected in infected-cured oranges in both tissues.
6 However, the induction of *gns1* expression was higher than that of the larger *CrglucQ*
7 transcript in both tissues. A lower induction of both genes was also observed in the
8 flavedo of wounded-cured fruit, but their expression did not increase in the albedo.

9 The overall pattern of β-1,3-glucanase activity was similar in flavedo and albedo (Fig.
10 4B), although the activity in the flavedo of non-treated fruit was almost 5 times higher
11 than in the underlying albedo. The highest activity was observed in cured fruit
12 previously infected with *P. digitatum*. The induction level with respect to control non-
13 treated fruit was slightly higher in the inner tissue, about 5-fold induction. A lower
14 induction, 1.4-fold, was also found in both tissues in wounded-cured fruit, but heat-
15 treatment alone did not induce β-1,3-glucanase activity.

16

17 **Chitinase gene expression and activity in elicited fruit**

18 The expression of two different chitinase genes isolated from ‘Clemenules’ mandarins
19 infected with *P. digitatum* (*chi1*) or from heat-stressed ‘Fortune’ mandarins (*chi2*)
20 was analyzed (Fig. 5A). Both genes showed different patterns of expression. The
21 highest *chi1* transcript accumulation was observed in infected-cured oranges, being
22 the expression in the albedo lower than in the flavedo. An important increase in *chi1*
23 gene expression was also detected in the flavedo of wounded-cured fruit, but not in
24 the albedo. However, *chi2* expression was only induced in the flavedo of wounded-

1 cured fruit. It is interesting to note that the expression of the two *chi* gene was
2 negligible in the albedo of cured or wounded-cured fruit.

3 Chitinase was the only studied enzyme whose activity was higher in the albedo than
4 in the flavedo of non-treated fruit (Fig. 5B). An increase in this activity was observed
5 in the flavedo and albedo of infected-cured fruit, being higher in the flavedo. A slight
6 increase was also found in the flavedo of wounded-cured fruit, but there were no
7 significant differences in the albedo. On the other hand, curing itself did not induce
8 the activity or even led to a slight decrease.

9

10 **DISCUSSION**

11 Increasing fruit's natural resistance to pathogens is one of the alternatives being
12 explored in the effort to reduce the dependency on chemical fungicides. Although
13 many efforts have been invested in the practical implementation of induced resistance
14 in mature citrus fruit against *P. digitatum* infection, we have only gathered partial
15 information on the mechanisms responsible for this process, as no comprehensive
16 study has been conducted. Induced resistance has been associated with the *novo*
17 synthesis of phytoalexins and induction of the PR proteins β -1,3-glucanase and
18 chitinase in the flavedo of elicited fruits. However, a detailed study of induced
19 resistance in citrus fruit including analysis of enzyme activities, genes and metabolites
20 has not been addressed yet. Moreover, the possible role of the most susceptible albedo
21 tissue in the induction of resistance remains to be investigated.

22 Kim et al. (1991) showed that the inoculation of mature lemons with *P. digitatum*
23 followed 24 h later by a heat treatment increased the concentration of the phenolic
24 compound scoparone to fungicide levels in the flavedo. However, the effectiveness of
25 this treatment in eliciting increased resistance to a subsequent pathogen infection was

1 not investigated. The present study demonstrates that this treatment decreased the
2 susceptibility of orange fruit to a subsequent *P. digitatum* infection (Table 1). The
3 curative effect of high temperature in the form of either hot water dips or curing has
4 been previously reported in citrus and tomato fruits (Brown et al., 1978; Lurie et al.,
5 1997; Nafussi et al., 2001). In agreement with these findings, we have found that none
6 of the 'Navelate' oranges inoculated with *P. digitatum* and 1 day later cured at 37°C
7 for 3 days under water-saturated conditions developed infection (data not shown).
8 However, in spite of the high curative effect of this treatment, curing *per se* was not a
9 preventive treatment, as cured fruit showed a higher disease incidence than non-
10 treated fruit (Table 1). These results reinforce the idea that the curing treatment might
11 be an eradicated tool for incipient infections, but also point out the higher
12 susceptibility of cured fruit to a subsequent pathogen infection that may occur during
13 handling of the fruit after the heat treatment. Likewise, the combination of wounding
14 and high temperature may induce disease resistance at a close distance from the
15 wounding site, but to a lower extent than the combination of pathogen challenge and
16 curing. The fact that pathogen infected-cured fruit developed higher resistance than
17 wounded-cured fruit suggests that elicitors produced either directly or indirectly by
18 the pathogen are able to trigger a defense response strong enough to increase
19 significantly the resistance of the fruit to a subsequent pathogen attack, although, as
20 mentioned above, the fungus was not able to colonize the host tissue.

21 The present study shows that the highest activity of almost all the enzymes analyzed,
22 was detected in the flavedo of infected-cured orange fruit, except for the chitinase
23 activity, which was higher in the inner tissue. The same fact was observed at the gene
24 expression level, as mRNA accumulation was higher in the flavedo of elicited
25 oranges, but induction was higher in the albedo. These results reinforce the idea that

1 the albedo is less resistant to *P. digitatum* infection (Kavanagh and Wood, 1967; Afek
2 et al., 1999; Ballester et al., 2006). However, it is noteworthy that this inner tissue
3 showed the highest induction level of PAL, soluble peroxidase and β -1,3-glucanase
4 activities. Therefore, it seems plausible to hypothesize that the activation of a
5 combined group of defense responses in the albedo is necessary to deter *P. digitatum*
6 infection.

7 PAL is the first committed enzyme in the pathway leading to the biosynthesis of
8 phenolic compounds, such as scoparone, in higher plants. The involvement of PAL in
9 the defense of citrus fruit against *Penicillium* has been suggested by examining
10 changes in *PAL* gene expression in response to infection (Marcos et al., 2005;
11 Ballester et al., 2006) or in PAL activity in fruit exposed to treatments such as UV
12 light (Droby et al., 1993) and chemical or biological elicitors (Fajardo et al., 1998)
13 that induce resistance against this pathogen. However, this is the first work reporting
14 the expression pattern of this important gene during elicitation of induced resistance
15 in citrus fruit. Our results showed that the highest levels of *FPa11* gene expression and
16 PAL enzymatic activity occurred in infected-cured fruit (Fig. 2). This induction might
17 be directly related to the lower susceptibility of elicited oranges fruit against a
18 subsequent *P. digitatum* infection. Among all the enzyme activities analyzed, PAL is
19 the one that showed the largest induction in response to the treatments that increase
20 the fruit's resistance. This induction was highest in the albedo, reaching a 70-fold
21 induction in infected-cured fruit with respect to control non-treated fruit. Moreover,
22 this result suggests that induction of PAL might be partially responsible for the
23 highest scoparone levels found in the flavedo and albedo of infected-cured fruit
24 (Table 2). Interestingly, wounding followed by the curing treatment led to a 13% and
25 33% reduction in disease incidence with respect to control and cured fruits,

1 respectively (Table 1). Although scoparone levels in the flavedo and albedo of
2 wounded-cured fruit were 10 and 40 fold lower, respectively, than in the same tissues
3 of infected-cured fruit (Table 2), disease incidence was only 10% higher than in
4 infected-cured fruit and no significant differences in disease severity were observed
5 (Table 1). This lack of correlation between disease resistance and scoparone levels,
6 which has been classically considered a marker induced resistance in citrus fruit (Kim
7 et al., 1991; Ben Yehoshua et al., 1992; Droby et al., 2002; Venditti et al., 2005),
8 suggests that induced resistance must also rely on other factors.

9 Our results suggest that, besides PAL, soluble peroxidase might contribute to the
10 beneficial effect of the infection-curing treatment reducing disease incidence of a
11 subsequent infection as the highest activity was found in the flavedo and the albedo of
12 infected-cured fruit. In concordance with this idea, soluble peroxidase activity also
13 increased, but to a lower level, in response to wounding-curing, a treatment that also
14 increased fruit's resistance. In this regard, it is noteworthy that peroxidase activity
15 increases in citrus fruit elicited by UV irradiation (Droby et al., 1993) and in response
16 to *P. digitatum* infection (Ballester et al., 2006). We cannot rule out the participation
17 of insoluble peroxidase, which has been related to cell wall lignification, in the
18 induced resistance, but this enzyme appears not to be as relevant as soluble peroxidase
19 since no significant difference in this activity was found between wounded-cured fruit
20 and the infected-cured ones in spite of the higher efficacy of the latter treatment.

21 Overall changes in peroxidase activity seem to be more related to induction of *pox1*
22 gene expression than to *pox2*, as the former gene, which codes for a basic isoform,
23 parallels peroxidase activity.

24 β -1,3-glucanases and chitinases can potentially degrade the fungal cell wall, mainly
25 composed by β -1,3-glucan and chitin (van Loon et al., 2006). PR proteins have a dual

1 cellular localization: acidic proteins are extracellular, whereas basic proteins are
2 located into vacuoles. Generally, β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase basic isoforms have
3 more inhibitory effect on pathogens than acidic isoforms (Joosten et al., 1995).
4 Interestingly, we have found that the expression of *gns1*, *chi1* and *pox1*, all coding for
5 basic isoforms, increased in response to the infection-curing treatment, whereas the
6 acidic enzyme-encoding genes *chi2* and *pox2* were not induced. *CrglcQ*, coding for an
7 acidic β -1,3-glucanase, showed a particular behavior, as treatments that led to an
8 increase in disease resistance induced the accumulation of the larger transcript but had
9 no effect on the smaller one. It is important to note that all the genes coding for basic
10 isoforms were isolated from a cDNA library derived from mandarin fruits infected
11 with *P. digitatum* and that their pattern of expression in response to the treatment that
12 elicited fruit resistance was similar to that of changes in the activity of their respective
13 enzymes. Therefore, they might play a role delaying fungal growth and decreasing the
14 incidence of the infection caused by *P. digitatum* in citrus fruit. Chitinase and β -1,3-
15 glucanase proteins were also induced in the flavedo of citrus fruit treated with a
16 biocontrol yeast (Droby et al., 2002). It is also noteworthy that the expression of *gns1*
17 increased in response *P. digitatum* infection, wounding, ethylene or to treatments that
18 increase the resistance to *P. digitatum*, such as UV-irradiation, biocontrol agents,
19 jasmonic acid or β -amino butyric acid (Porat et al., 2002).

20 In summary, this work presents a comprehensive approach to analyze simultaneously
21 the biochemical and molecular mechanisms underlying induced resistance in citrus
22 fruit against *P. digitatum*. Our data on gene expression and enzymatic activities in the
23 two tissues that compose the citrus rind suggest that PAL and soluble peroxidase are
24 involved in the induction of resistance of citrus fruit against *P. digitatum* infection.
25 Moreover, basic β -1,3-glucanase and chitinase isoforms, but not their acidic

1 counterparts, may play a role increasing the fruit's defense capabilities. Finally, the
2 response deployed by the albedo correlates better than that of the flavedo with the
3 observed increase in disease resistance in citrus fruit, a fact that has been overlooked
4 in previous studies.

5

6 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

7 We thank Drs J. Sendra and E. Sentandreu for their help with HPLC analysis. This
8 work was supported by Research Grants AGL2000-1443 and AGL2002-1227 from
9 the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology and GRUPOS03/-008 from the
10 Valencian Agency of Science and Technology.

11

1 REFERENCES

2

3 Abeles, F.B. and Forrence, L.E., 1970. Temporal and hormonal control of beta-1,3-
4 glucanase in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Plant Physiol. 45, 395-400.

5 Afek, U., Orenstein, J., Carmeli, S., Rodov, V., Joseph, M.B., 1999. Umbelliferone, a
6 phytoalexin associated with resistance of immature Marsh grapefruit to
7 *Penicillium digitatum*. Phytochemistry 50, 1129-1132.

8 Angioni, A., Cabras, P., D'hallewin, G., Pirisi, F.M., Reniero, F., Schirra, M., 1998.
9 Synthesis and inhibitory activity of 7-geranoxycoumarin against *Penicillium*
10 species in *Citrus* fruit. Phytochemistry 47, 1521-1525.

11 Arcas, M.C., Botia, J.M., Ortuño, A.M., Del Río, J.A., 2000. UV irradiation alters the
12 levels of flavonoids involved in the defence mechanism of *Citrus aurantium*
13 fruits against *Penicillium digitatum*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 106, 617-622.

14 Arras, G., 1996. Mode of action of an isolate of *Candida famata* in biological control
15 of *Penicillium digitatum* in orange fruits. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 8, 191-198.

16 Ballester, A.R., Lafuente, M.T., González-Candelas, L., 2006. Spatial study of
17 antioxidant enzymes, peroxidase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in the citrus
18 fruit-*Penicillium digitatum* interaction. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 39, 115-124.

19 Ben Yehoshua, S., Rodov, V., Kim, J.J., Carmeli, S., 1992. Preformed and induced
20 antifungal materials of citrus fruit in relation to the enhancement of decay
21 resistance by heat and ultraviolet treatment. J. Agric. Food Chem. 40, 1217-
22 1221.

23 Brown, G.E., Ismail, M.A., Barmore, C.R., 1978. Lignification of injuries to citrus
24 fruit and susceptibility to green mold. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 91, 124-126.

1 Droby, S., Chalutz, E., Horev, B., Cohen, L., Gaba, V., Wilson, C.L., Wisniewski, M.,
2 1993. Factors affecting UV-induced resistance in grapefruit against the green
3 mold decay caused by *Penicillium digitatum*. Plant Pathol. 42, 418-424.

4 Droby, S., Vinokur, V., Weiss, B., Cohen, L., Daus, A., Goldschmidt, E.E., Porat, R.,
5 2002. Induction of resistance to *Penicillium digitatum* in grapefruit by the yeast
6 biocontrol agent *Candida oleophila*. Phytopathology 92, 393-399.

7 Fajardo, J.E., McCollum, T.G., McDonald, R.E., Mayer, R.T., 1998. Differential
8 induction of proteins in orange flavedo by biologically based elicitors and
9 challenged by *Penicillium digitatum* Sacc. Biol. Control 13, 143-151.

10 Forment, J., Gadea, J., Huerta, L., Abizanda, L., Agusti, J., Alamar, S., Alos, E.,
11 Andres, F., Arribas, R., Beltrán, J.P., Berbel, A., Blazquez, M.A., Brumos, J.,
12 Canas, L.A., Cercos, M., Colmenero-Flores, J.M., Conesa, A., Establés, B.,
13 Gandia, M., García-Martínez, J.L., Gimeno, J., Gisbert, A., Gomez, G.,
14 González-Candelas, L., Granell, A., Guerri, J., Lafuente, M.T., Madueno, F.,
15 Marcos, J.F., Marques, C., Martinez, F., Martínez-Godoy, M.A., Miralles, S.,
16 Moreno, P., Navarro, L., Pallás, V., Perez-Amador, M.A., Perez-Valle, J., Pons,
17 C., Rodrigo, I., Rodriguez, P.L., Royo, C., Serrano, R., Soler, G., Tadeo, F.,
18 Talón, M., Terol, J., Trenor, M., Vaello, L., Vicente, O., Vidal, Ch., Zacarías,
19 L., Conejero, V., 2005. Development of a citrus genome-wide EST collection
20 and cDNA microarray as resources for genomic studies. Plant Mol. Biol. 57,
21 375-391.

22 Joosten, M.H.A.J., Verbakel, H.M., Nettekoven, M.E., van Leeuwen, J., van der
23 Vossen, R.T.M., de Wit, P.J.G.M., 1995. The phytopathogenic fungus
24 *Cladosporium fulvum* is not sensitive to the chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase
25 defence proteins of its host, tomato. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 46, 45-59.

1 Kavanagh, J.A. and Wood, R.K.S., 1967. The role of wounds in the infection of
2 oranges by *Penicillium digitatum* Sacc. Ann. Appl. Biol. 60, 375-383.

3 Kim, J.J., Ben Yehoshua, S., Shapiro, B., Henis, Y., Carmeli, S., 1991. Accumulation
4 of scoparone in heat-treated lemon fruit inoculated with *Penicillium digitatum*
5 Sacc. Plant Physiol. 97, 880-885.

6 López-García, B., González-Candelas, L., Pérez-Payá, E., Marcos, J.F., 2000.
7 Identification and characterization of a hexapeptide with activity against
8 phytopathogenic fungi that cause postharvest decay in fruits. Mol. Plant-
9 Microbe Interact. 13, 837-846.

10 Lurie, S., Fallik, E., Handros, A., Shapira, R., 1997. The possible involvement of
11 peroxidase in resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* in heat treated tomato fruit. Physiol.
12 Mol. Plant Pathol. 50, 141-149.

13 Marcos, J.F., González-Candelas, L., Zacarías, L., 2005. Involvement of ethylene
14 biosynthesis and perception in the susceptibility of citrus fruits to *Penicillium*
15 *digitatum* infection and the accumulation of defense-related mRNAs. J. Exp.
16 Bot. 56, 2183-2193.

17 McCollum, T.G., Doostdar, H., Niedz, R.P., Mayer, R.T., Burkhart, M., McDonald,
18 R.E., 1999. Biochemical, molecular genetic, and immunological
19 characterization of a β -1,3-endoglucanase from 'Valencia' orange callus. J.
20 Plant. Physiol. 155, 16-23.

21 Nafussi, B., Ben Yehoshua, S., Rodov, V., Peretz, J., Ozer, B.K., D'hallewin, G.,
22 2001. Mode of action of hot-water dip in reducing decay of lemon fruit. J.
23 Agric. Food Chem. 49, 107-113.

1 Ortuño, A., Baidez, A., Gomez, P., Arcas, M.C., Porras, I., Garcia-Lidon, A., Del Rio,
2 J.A., 2006. *Citrus paradisi* and *Citrus sinensis* flavonoids: Their influence in the
3 defence mechanism against *Penicillium digitatum*. Food Chem. 98, 351-358.

4 Osswald, W.F., Shapiro, J.P., Doostdar, H., McDonald, R.E., Niedz, R.P., Nairn, C.J.,
5 Hearn, C.J., Mayer, R.T., 1994. Identification and characterization of acidic
6 hydrolases with chitinase and chitosanase activities from sweet orange callus
7 tissue. Plant Cell Physiol. 35, 811-820.

8 Porat, R., Lers, A., Dori, S., Cohen, L., Weiss, B., Daus, A., Wilson, C.L., Droby, S.,
9 1999. Induction of chitinase and beta-1,3-endoglucanase proteins by UV
10 irradiation and wounding in grapefruit peel tissue. Phytoparasitica 27, 1-6.

11 Porat, R., McCollum, T.G., Vinokur, V., Droby, S., 2002. Effects of various elicitors
12 on the transcription of a β -1,3-endoglucanase gene in citrus fruit. J. Phytopathol.
13 150, 70-75.

14 Porat, R., Vinokur, V., Holland, D., McCollum, T.G., Droby, S., 2001. Isolation of a
15 citrus chitinase cDNA and characterization of its expression in response to
16 elicitation of fruit pathogen resistance. J. Plant. Physiol. 158, 1585-1590.

17 Rodov, V., Ben Yehoshua, S., Kim, J.J., Shapiro, B., Ittah, Y., 1992. Ultraviolet
18 illumination induces scoparone production in kumquat and orange fruit and
19 improves decay resistance. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 117, 788-792.

20 Sánchez-Ballesta, M.T., Gosalbes, M.J., Rodrigo, M.J., Granell, A., Zacarías, L.,
21 Lafuente, M.T., 2006. Characterization of a β -1,3-glucanase from citrus fruit as
22 related to chilling-induced injury and ethylene production. Postharvest Biol.
23 Technol. 40, 133-140.

- 1 Sánchez-Ballesta, M.T., Lafuente, M.T., Zacarías, L., Granell, A., 2000. Involvement
2 of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in the response of Fortune mandarin fruits to
3 cold temperature. *Physiol. Plant.* 108, 382-389.
- 4 van Loon, L.C., Rep, M., Pieterse, C.M.J., 2006. Significance of inducible defense-
5 related proteins in infected plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 44, 135-162.
- 6 Venditti, T., Molinu, M.G., Dore, A., Agabbio, M., D'hallewin, G., 2005. Sodium
7 carbonate treatment induces scoparone accumulation, structural changes, and
8 alkalization in the albedo of wounded *Citrus* fruits. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53,
9 3510-3518.
- 10 World Trade Organization. 2009. Effects of SPS-related private standards -
11 Descriptive report - Note by the Secretariat.
12 http://ec.europa.eu/food/international/organisations/sps/otherissues_en.print.htm
13 [Document G/SPS/GEN/932.](#)

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2 Fig. 1. Flow chart of the experimental design. Solid arrows indicate the temperature
3 and duration of the incubation period. The induction of resistance treatment (panel A)
4 consisted of fruit inoculated with *P. digitatum* and then incubated for 1 day at 20°C
5 before being transferred at 37°C for 3 days to stop pathogen progress. At the end of
6 this heat treatment, fruit were maintained at 20°C. After 3 days of incubation at 20°C
7 (3 dpt), tissue samples were taken from 15 fruits and other 15 oranges were inoculated
8 with *P. digitatum* to assess the effectiveness of the treatment. Infection was allowed to
9 progress for 6 days, when disease incidence and severity were determined. Mock
10 control fruit were wounded and inoculated with sterile water (panel B). Additional
11 control non-wounded and heated fruit were also included (panel C). Control
12 non-treated fruit (NT) were sampled at the beginning of the experiment (panel D).
13 Letters in panels indicate when samples were taken for analysis. Inoculation with
14 *P. digitatum* is indicated as Pdig.

15
16 Fig.. 2. Accumulation of *FPal1* mRNA (A) and PAL activity (B) in the flavedo and
17 albedo of elicited 'Navelate' oranges. Intact oranges were incubated 1 day at 20°C
18 followed by 3 days at 37°C (C). Wounded fruit were inoculated with either water (W)
19 or a *P. digitatum* spore suspension (I) and incubated for 24 h at 20°C and 3 days at
20 37°C. At 3 days post-treatment peel tissue discs of 13 mm in diameter around the
21 inoculation site were sampled by using a cork borer. Tissue from non-treated fruit
22 (NT) was obtained the first day of the experiment. (A) Relative accumulation (R. A.)
23 of *FPal1* mRNA in arbitrary units, using the non-treated flavedo tissue as a reference.
24 Normalization was carried out with respect to the hybridization signal of the
25 *C. sinensis* 26S rDNA probe. (B) Enzyme activity data represent the average of 3

1 replicates samples \pm SD. Different letters in the same tissue indicate significant
2 differences among treatments according to Tukey's test with a p -value of 0.05.

3

4 Fig. 3. Effect of the induction of resistance treatment on the accumulation of *pox1* and
5 *pox2* mRNAs (A) and soluble and insoluble peroxidase activities (B) in flavedo and
6 albedo of elicited 'Navelate' oranges. Intact oranges were incubated for 1 day at 20°C
7 and 3 days at 37 °C (C). Wounded fruit were inoculated with either water (W) or a
8 *P. digitatum* spore suspension (I) and incubated for 24 h at 20°C and 3 d at 37°C. At
9 3 days post-treatment peel tissue discs of 13 mm in diameter around the inoculation
10 site were sampled by using a cork borer. Tissue from non-treated (NT) fruit was
11 obtained the first day of the experiment. (A) Relative accumulation (R. A.) of *pox1*
12 and *pox2* mRNAs in arbitrary units, using the non-treated flavedo tissue as a
13 reference. Normalization was carried out with respect to the hybridization signal of
14 the *C. sinensis* 26S rDNA probe. (B) Enzyme activity data represent the average of 3
15 replicates samples \pm SD. Different letters in the same tissue indicate significant
16 differences among treatments according to Tukey's test with a p -value of 0.05.

17

18 Fig. 4. Accumulation of *gns1* and *CrglcQ* mRNAs (A) and β -1,3-glucanase activity
19 (B) in flavedo and albedo of elicited 'Navelate' oranges. Wounded fruit were
20 inoculated with either water (W) or a *P. digitatum* spore suspension (I) and incubated
21 for 1 day at 20°C and 3 days at 37°C. Intact oranges were maintained 1 day at 20°C
22 and 3 days at 37°C (C). At 3 days post-treatment peel tissue discs of 13 mm in
23 diameter around the inoculation site were sampled by using a cork borer. Tissue from
24 non-treated fruit (NT) was obtained the first day of the experiment. (A) Relative
25 accumulation (R. A.) of *gns1* and *CrglcQ* mRNAs in arbitrary units, using the non-

1 treated flavedo tissue as a reference. Normalization was carried out with respect to the
2 hybridization signal of the *C. sinensis* 26S rDNA probe. (B) Enzyme activity data
3 represent the average of 3 replicates samples \pm SD. Different letters in the same tissue
4 indicate significant differences among treatments according to Tukey's test with a *p*-
5 value of 0.05.

6

7 Fig. 5. Effect of the induction of resistance treatment on the accumulation of *chi1* and
8 *chi2* mRNAs (A) and chitinase activity (B) in flavedo and albedo of elicited
9 'Navelate' oranges. Intact fruit were maintained 1 day at 20°C and 3 days at 37°C (C).
10 Wounded fruit were inoculated with either water (W) or a *P. digitatum* spore
11 suspension (I) and incubated for 24 h at 20°C and 3 d at 37°C. At 3 days post-
12 treatment peel tissue discs of 13 mm in diameter around the inoculation site were
13 sampled by using a cork borer. Tissue from non-treated fruit (NT) was obtained the
14 first day of the experiment. (A) Relative accumulation (R. A.) of *chi1* and *chi2*
15 mRNAs in arbitrary units, using the non-treated flavedo tissue as a reference.
16 Normalization was carried out with respect to the hybridization signal of the
17 *C. sinensis* 26S rDNA probe. (B) Enzyme activity data represent the average of 3
18 replicates samples \pm SD. Different letters in the same tissue indicate significant
19 differences among treatments according to Tukey's test with a *p*-value of 0.05.