# Structures of electromagnetic type on vector bundles

E. REYES, V. CRUCEANU & P.M. GADEA

#### Abstract

Structures of electromagnetic type on a vector bundle are introduced and studied. The metric case is also defined and studied. The sets of compatible connections are determined and a canonical connection is defined.

# 1 Introduction

Structures of electromagnetic type (em-structures) and structures of metric electromagnetic type (mem-structures) on a manifold were progressively introduced in [9, 11, 7] (see also [6]) and studied in detail in [5, 7, 8, 13, 14]. In the present paper we define similar structures for the case of a vector bundle  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$ , and relate them to product, complex, para-Hermitian, Hermitian, para-Kähler or indefinite Kähler, structures. (In the sequel, by a pseudo-Riemannian metric) structure a structure including a pseudo-Riemannian metric) structure a structure including a pseudo-Riemannian metric.) Then, we determine the set of connections on  $\xi$  compatible with those structures and we introduce a canonical connection. Considering an almost para-Hermitian (resp. indefinite Hermitian) structure of the bundle  $\xi$ , we prove that the corresponding diagonal lift of these structures, with respect to a connection on  $\xi$ , are mem-structures on the total space E. Finally, some properties of those mem-structures are established.

We recall the physical origin of the topic ([9, 11]). Let  $M^4$  be a spacetime of general relativity, with gravitational tensor g of signature -+++. Let F be the electromagnetic field of type (0, 2), which is skewsymmetric, that is a 2-form. Setting F(X, Y) = g(JX, Y), the tensor field J so defined is the electromagnetic tensor field of type (1, 1) associated to F. We have g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0. The characteristic equation of J is det $(J - \lambda I) = 0$ , which is satisfied by J, and we have

$$J^4 + 2kJ^2 + lI = 0, \qquad k = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{trace} J^2, \quad l = \det J.$$

If  $x \in M^4$ , it is said that  $J_x$  is of  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$ , or  $3^{rd}$  class at x if, respectively,

$$l_x \neq 0, \qquad l_x = 0, \ k_x \neq 0, \qquad l_x = 0, \ k_x = 0.$$

It is said that J is of  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$ , or  $3^{rd}$  class if it is of such class at every x. The characteristic polynomial of the second class is  $J^2(J^2 + 2k)$ , but the minimal polynomial is  $J(J^2 + 2k)$ , so that the condition  $J(J^2 + 2k) = 0$  characterizes the second class. The field of an electromagnetic plane wave is of  $3^{rd}$  class. The field of a moving electron is of  $2^{nd}$  class. More complicated fields belong to the  $1^{st}$  class. The equation one gets from the minimal polynomial in the  $1^{st}$  class is

(1.1) 
$$(J^2 - f^2)(J^2 + h^2) = 0.$$

with f, h nowhere-vanishing  $C^{\infty}$  functions on  $M^4$ . Such a tensor field J on a general manifold M determines a G-structure on M.

To handle the nonconstant local cross-section situation corresponding to (1.1), one can use the relationships among G-structures, related sections of an associated bundle and functions of certain kind on M, as follows: Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \pi_P, M, H)$  be a principal bundle with group  $H, H \times W \to W$  a left action of H on a manifold W, and  $(E = \mathcal{P} \times_H W, \pi_E, M, W)$  the associated bundle. A J-subset S of W with corresponding group G, a subgroup of H, is defined by the conditions: (1)  $S \subset$  fixpoint set of G, (2)  $h \in H, h(S) \cap S \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow h \in G$ . For instance, points are J-subsets with G the corresponding isotropy group. A cross-section K of  $\pi_E$  is a J-section if it can be locally represented as the "product" of a cross-section  $\sigma$  of  $\pi_P$  and a S-valued function  $\tilde{K}$ , so that

$$K_x = \sigma_x \cdot K_x =$$
 equivalence class of  $(\sigma_x, K_x)$  in E.

Then  $\widetilde{K}$  is globally defined, and the  $\sigma$  generate a principal subbundle of  $\mathcal{P}$ . K is a constant J-section if and only if  $\widetilde{K}$  is constant. Different sections can generate the same subbundle, and in fact, every principal subbundle can be generated by a constant J-section.

Now, let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the principal bundle of frames over M, so that  $H = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and let W be a real vector space. If  $J \in W$  is given with the conditions stated above, a J-section generates a J-structure with group G, which is a G-structure. The tensor K has in principle variable components in adapted frames. This is a slight generalization with respect to the usually considered G-structures, given by tensors with constant components, which here correspond to constant J-sections. Since every J-structure is generated by some constant J-section, this generalization is useless for the study of the J-structure itself; but if the emphasis shifts to the study of variable J-sections, the results are significant, specially with respect to the parallelizability of the tensors.

In the particular case of a (1, 1) tensor field J satisfying  $(J^2 - f^2)(J^2 + h^2) = 0$ , with characteristic polynomial  $(x - p)^{r_1}(x - p)^{r_2}(x^2 + q^2)^s$ ,  $r_1, r_2, s \ge 1$ ,  $r_1 + r_2 + 2s = n = \dim M$ , the J-subset consists of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} pI_{r_1} & & & \\ & -pI_{r_2} & & \\ & & & -qI_s \\ & & & qI_s \end{pmatrix}$$

and the structural group is  $G = GL(r_1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(r_2, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(s, \mathbb{C})$ . It is proved ([7]) that the G-structure defined by J above is also defined by a tensor field, say again J, satisfying  $(J^2 - 1)(J^2 + 1) = 0$ , that is, the relation  $J^4 = 1$  considered in the present paper.

Notice that the G-structure is exactly the same, not an associated or equivalent one. In the 4-dimensional case the group reduces to  $G = GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ . It is also proved ([7]) that there exists an adapted Riemannian metric so that the group can be reduced to  $G = O(r_1) \times O(r_2) \times U(s)$ , and in the 4-dimensional case to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times U(1)$ , that is, essentially to the unitary group U(1).

# 2 Structures of electromagnetic type on a vector bundle

Let  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle with total space E and projection map  $\pi$  over a connected paracompact base manifold M. The rank of E is the (common) dimension of the fibres. Let  $C^{\infty}(M)$  denote the ring of real functions,  $\mathcal{T}_q^p(M)$  the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of (p,q)-tensor fields, and  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -tensor algebra of M. We respectively denote by  $\mathcal{T}_q^p(\xi)$  and  $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$  the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -module of tensor fields of type (p,q) and the  $C^{\infty}(M)$ -tensor algebra of the bundle  $\xi$ .

We recall that an almost product (resp. almost complex) structure on a manifold M is defined by a tensor field J of type (1, 1) satisfying  $J^2 = I$  (resp.  $J^2 = -I$ ). An almost para-Hermitian (resp. indefinite almost Hermitian) structure on M is defined by a couple (J, g), given by an almost product (resp. almost complex) structure J and a pseudo-Riemannian metric compatible with J in the sense that g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0,  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ; that is, as an anti-isometry (resp. isometry). A para-Kähler (resp. indefinite Kähler) manifold is a manifold M endowed with an almost para-Hermitian (resp. indefinite almost Hermitian) structure such that the Levi-Civita connection of g parallelizes J.

**Definition 2.1.** A structure of electromagnetic type on  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$  is an *M*-endomorphism *J* of  $\xi$  satisfying

 $J^4 = I$ ,

with characteristic polynomial  $(x-1)^{r_1}(x+1)^{r_2}(x^2+1)^s$ , where  $r_1, r_2, s$  are constants greater than or equal to 1 such that  $r_1 + r_2 + 2s = \operatorname{rank} E$ .

Setting  $P = J^2$ , we have  $P^2 = I$ , so P is a product structure on  $\xi$ , admitting J as a "square root". Conversely, if P is a product structure admitting a "square root" J, then J is an em-structure on  $\xi$ . Denoting by  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  respectively the +1 and -1 eigen-subbundles of P, it is easy to see that  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are invariant by J and that  $J_1 = J|_{\xi_1}$  defines a product structure of  $\xi_1$  and  $J_2 = J|_{\xi_2}$  a complex structure of  $\xi_2$ . So, one has

(2.1) 
$$\xi = \xi_1 \oplus \xi_2, \qquad J = J_1 \oplus J_2$$

Conversely, if  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are two supplementary subbundles of  $\xi$ ,  $J_1$  is a product structure of  $\xi_1$ , and  $J_2$  a complex structure of  $\xi_2$ , then  $J = J_1 \oplus J_2$  is an em-structure on  $\xi$ . Denoting by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  the projections of  $\xi$  on  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  respectively, we obtain

$$P = P_1 - P_2, \qquad J = J_1 \circ P_1 + J_2 \circ P_2.$$

Summing up we have

**Proposition 2.1.** An em-structure on the vector bundle  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$  can be defined by each one of the following conditions:

- (1) An M-endomorphism J of  $\xi$  satisfying  $J^4 = I$ ,
- (2) A product structure P of  $\xi$  admitting a "square root" J,

(3) Two supplementary subbundles  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  of  $\xi$  respectively endowed with a product structure and a complex structure.

Remark 2.1. A product structure P which admits a "square root" is a particular one because rank  $\xi_2$  must be even.

**Definition 2.2.** A structure of metric electromagnetic type (mem-structure) on the vector bundle  $\xi$  is a pair (J,g), where J is an em-structure and g a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $\xi$  satisfying the compability condition

(2.2) 
$$g(JX, Y) + g(X, JY) = 0, \quad X, Y \in \xi.$$

Denoting by  $\delta_J$  the derivation defined by J in the tensor algebra  $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$ , the relation (2.2) can be written as

 $\delta_J g = 0,$ 

from which it follows  $g(PX, PY) = g(X, Y), X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Therefore, the pair (P, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian product structure of  $\xi$  and so the subbundles  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are mutually orthogonal with respect to g. Denoting respectively by  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  the restrictions of g to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , from (2.2) we obtain

(2.3) 
$$\delta_{J_1} g_1 = 0, \quad \delta_{J_2} g_2 = 0$$

which may be written

(2.4)

$$g_1(J_1X, J_1X) = -g_1(X, Y), \quad g_2(J_2X, J_2Y) = g_2(X, Y), \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi).$$

Hence  $(J_1, g_1)$  is a para-Hermitian structure of  $\xi_1$  and  $(J_2, g_2)$  is an indefinite Hermitian structure of  $\xi_2$ . Conversely, if  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are two supplementary subbundles of  $\xi$  such that  $\xi_1$  is endowed with a para-Hermitian structure  $(J_1, g_1)$ and  $\xi_2$  with an indefinite Hermitian structure  $(J_2, g_2)$ , then considering J as given by (2.1) and setting

$$g=g_1\oplus g_2,$$

one obtains a mem-structure on  $\xi$ . So we have

**Proposition 2.2.** A mem-structure (J,g) on  $\xi$  is equivalent to a pair of supplementary subbundles  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  respectively endowed with a para-Hermitian structure  $(J_1, g_1)$  and an indefinite Hermitian structure  $(J_2, g_2)$ .

Remark 2.2. If (J, g) is a mem-structure on  $\xi$ , then we have: rank  $\xi_1$  and rank  $\xi_2$  are even; trace  $J_1 = \text{trace } J_2 = 0$ ; sign  $g_1 = 0$ .

Setting for a mem-structure (J, g) on  $\xi$ :

$$\Omega(X,Y) = g(JX,Y), \quad \Omega_i(X,Y) = g_i(J_iX,Y), \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

it follows that  $\Omega$ ,  $\Omega_1$ , and  $\Omega_2$  are 2-forms which determine almost symplectic structures of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , so that

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2.$$

These 2-forms satisfy

(2.5) 
$$\delta_J \Omega = 0, \qquad \delta_{J_1} \Omega_1 = 0, \qquad \delta_{J_2} \Omega_2 = 0.$$

*Remark* 2.3. The meaning of conditions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) is the following: The groups of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}(\xi)$  given by

$$\alpha_t = I_1 \cosh t + J_1 \sinh t, \quad \beta_t = I_2 \cos t + J_2 \sin t, \quad \gamma_t = \alpha_t \oplus \beta_t$$

 $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , determine actions on the tensor algebras  $\mathcal{T}(\xi_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(\xi_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$ , which respectively preserve the structures  $(J_1, g_1, \Omega_1)$ ,  $(J_2, g_2, \Omega_2)$ , and  $(J, g, \Omega)$ .

### 3 Compatible connections

#### 3.1 The general case

**Definition 3.1.** A connection D on the vector bundle  $\xi$  is said to be *compatible* with an em-structure J if

$$(3.1) DJ = 0.$$

From this it follows DP = 0, hence D preserves the subbundles  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , *i.e.*, for  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1)$ ,  $Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$ , one has  $D_X Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1)$ ,  $D_X Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2)$ . Setting then

$$D_X^1 Y_1 = D_X Y_1, \ D_X^2 Y_2 = D_X Y_2, \qquad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \ Y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_1), \ Y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_2),$$

we have that  $D^1$  and  $D^2$  are respectively connections on  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , so that

(3.2) 
$$D_X = D_X^1 \circ P_1 + D_X^2 \circ P_2, \quad D_X^1 J_1 = 0, \quad D_X^2 J_2 = 0, \qquad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Conversely, if  $D^1$  and  $D^2$  are respectively connections on  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , then D given as in (3.2) is a connection on  $\xi$  satisfying DP = 0. If  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  satisfy the respective conditions in (3.2), then D satisfies (3.1) too. Thus, it follows

**Proposition 3.1.** A connection D on  $\xi$  is compatible with the em-structure J if and only if there exist two connections  $D^1$  on  $\xi_1$  and  $D^2$  on  $\xi_2$ , respectively compatible with the product structure  $J_1$  and the complex structure  $J_2$ , so that

$$(3.3) D = D^1 \circ P_1 + D^2 \circ P_2.$$

Consider now on the subbundles  $\xi_i$  of  $\xi$ , the operators  $\Phi_{J_i}$  and  $\Psi_{J_i}$  given by

$$(3.4) \ (\Phi_{J_i}D^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(D_X^i + J_i^{-1} \circ D_X^i \circ J_i), \ (\Psi_{J_i}\mathcal{A}^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}_X^i + J_i^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}_X^i \circ J_i),$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $D^i$  is a connection on  $\xi_i$ , and  $\mathcal{A}^i \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$ (now and in the sequel we take i = 1, 2). From [1, 13] and Proposition 3.1 we obtain

**Proposition 3.2.** The set of connections on  $\xi$  compatible with the em-structure J is given by

$$D_X = \{ (\Phi_{J_1} D^{\circ 1})_X + (\Psi_{J_1} \mathcal{A}^1)_X \} \circ P_1 + \{ (\Phi_{J_2} D^{\circ 2})_X + (\Psi_{J_2} \mathcal{A}^2)_X \} \circ P_2,$$

where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $D^{\circ i}$  is an arbitrary fixed connection on  $\xi_i$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^i$  denotes any element of  $\Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$ , and  $\Phi_{J_i}$ ,  $\Psi_{J_i}$  are given by (3.4).

**Definition 3.2.** A connection D on  $\xi$  is said to be *compatible with the mem*structure (J, g) if

$$DJ = 0, \qquad Dg = 0,$$

From which it follows: DP = 0;  $D = D^1 \circ P_1 + D^2 \circ P_2$ , where  $D^i$  are the restrictions of D to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ ;  $D^i J_i = 0$ ; and  $D^i g_i = 0$ . Conversely, if  $D^1$  and  $D^2$  are connections on  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , compatible with the para-Hermitian structure  $(J_1, g_1)$  and the indefinite Hermitian structure  $(J_2, g_2)$  respectively, then the connection D given by (3.3) is compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) on  $\xi$ . So, we have

**Proposition 3.3.** A connection D on  $\xi$  is compatible with the mem-structure (J,g) on  $\xi$ , if and only if there are two connections  $D^1$  and  $D^2$  on the subbundles  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ , respectively compatible with the para-Hermitian structure  $(J_1, g_1)$  and the indefinite Hermitian structure  $(J_2, g_2)$ , so that D is given by (3.3).

Setting then

$$(3.5) \ (\Phi_{g_i}D^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(D_X^i + g_i^{-1} \circ D_X^i \circ g_i), \ (\Psi_{g_i}\mathcal{A}^i)_X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}_X^i + g_i^{-1} \circ \mathcal{A}_X^i \circ g_i),$$

we obtain from [1], Prop. 3.3, and (2.4)

**Proposition 3.4.** The set of connections on  $\xi$  compatible with the mem-structure (J, g) is given by

$$D_X = \left\{ ((\Phi_{g_1} \circ \Phi_{J_1})D^{\circ 1})_X + ((\Psi_{g_1} \circ \Psi_{J_1})\mathcal{A}^1)_X \right\} \circ P_1 \\ + \left\{ ((\Phi_{g_2} \circ \Phi_{J_2})D^{\circ 2})_X + ((\Psi_{g_2} \circ \Psi_{J_2})\mathcal{A}^2)_X \right\} \circ P_2,$$

where  $D^{\circ i}$  is an arbitrary fixed connection on  $\xi_i$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^i \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\xi_i) \otimes \Lambda^1(\xi_i)$ , and  $\Phi_{J_i}$ ,  $\Phi_{g_i}$ ,  $\Psi_{J_i}$ ,  $\Psi_{g_i}$  are given by (3.4) and (3.5).

#### 3.2 The case of the tangent bundle

We now consider the case of  $\xi$  being the tangent bundle of the manifold M, *i.e.*,  $\xi = (TM, \pi, M)$ . In this case, for a mem-structure (J, g) on M, the pair (P, g) is a pseudo-Riemannian almost product structure on M, and  $(J_1, g_1), (J_2, g_2)$ , are respectively a para-Hermitian [4] and an indefinite Hermitian structure [10] on  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . If  $\nabla$  is a linear connection on M, compatible with P, *i.e.*,  $\nabla P = 0$ , then its restrictions  $\nabla^1$  and  $\nabla^2$  to  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are connections on these subbundles. If T is the torsion tensor of  $\nabla$ , we shall call *torsion tensor* of  $\nabla^i$  to the tensor fields  $T^i$  given by  $T^i = P_i \circ T|_{\xi_i}$ , or in more detail

$$T^{i}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) = \nabla_{X_{i}} Y_{i} - \nabla_{Y_{i}} X_{i} - P_{i}[X_{i}, Y_{i}], \qquad X_{i}, Y_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi_{i}).$$

We call tensors of nonholonomy of the distributions  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  to the tensor fields  $S^1 = P_2 \circ T|_{\xi_1}$  and  $S^2 = P_1 \circ T|_{\xi_2}$ , respectively. We obtain

$$S^{1}(X_{1}, Y_{1}) = -P_{2}[X_{1}, Y_{1}], \qquad S^{2}(X_{2}, Y_{2}) = -P_{1}[X_{2}, Y_{2}].$$

It follows

**Proposition 3.5.** The distribution  $\xi_1$  (resp.  $\xi_2$ ) is involutive if and only if  $S^1 = 0$  (resp.  $S^2 = 0$ ).

After some computations we obtain from [3, 10, 14]

**Proposition 3.6.** For a mem-structure (J,g) on a manifold M, there exists a unique linear connection  $\nabla$  with torsion tensor T, satisfying the conditions

(3.6) 
$$\nabla P = 0, \quad T(PX, Y) = T(X, PY),$$

(3.7) 
$$\nabla_{X_i}^i J_i = 0, \quad \nabla_{X_i}^i g_i = 0, \quad T^i(J_i X, I_i Y) = T^i(I_i X, J_i Y).$$

**Definition 3.3.** We shall call the *canonical connection* associated to the memstructure (J, g) on the manifold M to the connection given by the conditions (3.6) and (3.7).

*Remark* 3.1. Notice that this connection slightly differs from that given in Theorem 5.3 in [14].

For the canonical connection we obtain from (3.6):

$$\nabla^1_{X_2} Y_1 = P_1[X_2, Y_1], \qquad \nabla^2_{X_1} Y_2 = P_2[X_1, Y_2].$$

Denoting by  $\xi_1^1$ ,  $\xi_1^2$  the eigen-subbundles of  $J_1$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon = +1$ ,  $\varepsilon = -1$ , by  $\pi_1^1$ ,  $\pi_1^2$  the projection maps of  $\xi_1$  on  $\xi_1^1$ , and  $\xi_1^2$  and by  $X_1^i$ ,  $Y_1^i$  any elements of  $\mathfrak{X}(\xi_1^i)$ , we obtain from the first equation in (3.7)

$$\begin{split} \nabla^1_{X_1^2} Y_1^1 &= \pi_1^1 P_1[X_1^2, Y_1^1], \qquad \nabla^1_{X_1^1} Y_1^2 = \pi_1^2 P_1[X_1^1, Y_1^2], \\ g_1(\nabla^1_{X_1^1} Y_1^1, Z_1^2) &= X_1^1 g_1(Y_1^1, Z_1^2) - g_1([X_1^1, Z_1^2], Y_1^1), \\ g_1(\nabla^1_{X_1^2} Y_1^2, Z_1^1) &= X_1^2 g_1(Y_1^2, Z_1^1) - g_1([X_1^2, Z_1^1], Y_1^2). \end{split}$$

From the second equation in (3.7) above it results, exactly as in [14, Th. 5.1], the expression for  $\nabla^2_{X_2} Y_2$ .

For J and g we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1}J)Y_1 &= 0, \quad (\nabla_{X_2}J)Y_2 &= 0, \quad (\nabla_{X_1}J)Y_2 &= (\nabla_{X_1}^2J_2)Y_2, \\ (\nabla_{X_2}J)Y_1 &= (\nabla_{X_1}^1J_1)Y_1, \quad (\nabla_{X_1}g)(Y_1,Z_1) &= 0, \quad (\nabla_{X_2}g)(Y_2,Z_2) &= 0, \\ (\nabla_{X_2}g)(Y_1,Z_1) &= (L_{X_2}g)(Y_1,Z_1), \quad (\nabla_{X_1}g)(Y_2,Z_2) &= (L_{X_1}g)(Y_2,Z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where L stands for the Lie derivative.

# 4 Structures of electromagnetic type on the total space of a vector bundle

Let  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$  be a vector bundle and  $(x^j)$ ,  $(y^a)$ ,  $(x^j, y^a)$ , local coordinates in adapted charts on M,  $\xi$ , and E, respectively. We denote by  $(\partial_j)$ ,  $(e_a)$ ,  $(\partial_j, \partial_a)$ the corresponding local bases, where  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j$ ,  $\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ ,  $a, b, c = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  (see [2]). Setting for each  $z = (x, y) \in E$ ,  $V_z E = \text{Ker } \pi_{*z}$ , we obtain the vertical distribution and so the vertical subbundle of TE, denoted by VE. Let  $C^{\infty v} = \{f^v = f \circ \pi : f \in C^{\infty}(M)\}$  be the subring of  $C^{\infty}(E)$ naturally isomorphic to  $C^{\infty}(M)$ . Setting for each  $\mu \in \Lambda^1(\xi)$ , locally given by  $\mu(x) = \mu_a(z)e^a$ ,

$$\gamma(\mu)(z) = \mu_a(x)y^a,$$

we obtain a class of functions on E enjoying the property that every vector field  $A \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$  is uniquely determined by its values on those functions. The mapping  $\gamma$  may be extended to tensor fields  $S \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(\xi)$  by

$$(\gamma S)(\gamma(\mu)) = \gamma(\mu \circ S), \qquad \mu \in \Lambda^1(\xi).$$

If  $S(x) = S_b^a(x)e_a \otimes e^b$ , then  $\gamma S(z) = S_b^a(x)y^b\partial_a$ , *i.e.*,  $\gamma S$  is a vertical vector field on E. Now, let D be a connection on  $\xi$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi)$ . Setting

$$X^{h}(\gamma\mu) = \gamma(D_X\mu), \quad u^{v}(\gamma\mu) = \mu(u) \circ \pi, \qquad \mu \in \Lambda^{1}(\xi),$$

we obtain two vector fields  $X^h$  and  $u^v$  on E, respectively called the *horizontal* lift of X and the vertical lift of u. We have the useful formulas [2]:

$$(fX)^{h} = f^{v}X^{h}, \ (fu)^{v} = f^{v}u^{v}, \ [X^{h}, Y^{h}] = [X, Y]^{h} - \gamma R_{XY}^{D}, \ [u^{v}, w^{v}] = 0,$$
$$[X^{h}, u^{v}] = (D_{X}u)^{v}, \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(M), \ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \ u, w \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi).$$

Now, putting

$$Q(X^h) = X^h, \quad Q(u^v) = -X^v, \qquad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \ u \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi),$$

we obtain an almost product Q structure on E whose +1 and -1 eigendistributions, are respectively called the *horizontal distribution* HE of the connection D and the *vertical distribution* VE of the bundle. For  $f \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(\xi)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{T}_2(M)$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{T}_2(\xi)$ , we define the *horizontal* lift or the vertical lift  $f^h, \varphi^v, g^h, \psi^v$ , respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad f^{h}(X^{h}) &= f(X)^{h}, \quad f^{h}(u^{v}) = 0, \quad \varphi^{v}(X^{h}) = 0, \quad \varphi^{v}(u^{v}) = \varphi(u)^{v}, \\ g^{h}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) &= g(X, Y)^{v}, \quad g^{h}(X^{h}, u^{v}) = g^{h}(u^{v}, X^{h}) = g^{h}(u^{v}, w^{v}) = 0, \\ \psi^{v}(X^{h}, Y^{h}) &= \psi^{v}(X^{h}, u^{v}) = \psi^{v}(u^{v}, Y^{h}) = 0, \quad \psi^{v}(u^{v}, w^{v}) = \psi(u, w)^{v}, \\ X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \ u, w \in \mathfrak{X}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

We then define the *diagonal lifts J* and G for the pairs  $(f, \varphi)$  and  $(g, \psi)$  by

(4.2) 
$$J = f^h + \varphi^v, \qquad G = g^h + \psi^v.$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$J^n(X^h) = (f^n(X))^h, \quad J^n(u^v) = (\varphi^n(u))^v, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

So  $J^4 = I$ , that is J is an em-structure on E, if and only if  $f^4 = I_1$  and  $\varphi^4 = I_2$ , that is, either f and  $\varphi$  are both em-structures or one is an em-structure and the other an almost product or almost complex structure, or finally f is an almost product (resp. almost complex) and  $\varphi$  is a complex (resp. product) structure on M and  $\xi$  respectively. In the sequel we only consider the last case.

Hence, let J be an em-structure on the total space E of  $\xi$  given by the diagonal lift in the first equation in (4.2) of an almost product (resp. almost complex) structure f on the base manifold M and a complex (resp. product) structure  $\varphi$  on the bundle  $\xi$ , that is, which satisfy

$$f^2 = \varepsilon I_1, \quad \varphi^2 = -\varepsilon I_2, \qquad \varepsilon = 1 \text{ (resp. } \varepsilon = -1\text{)},$$

with respect to a connection D on  $\xi$ . For the almost product structure P associated to J, we obtain  $P = \varepsilon Q$ , that is, P coincides up to the sign with the almost product structure Q above associated to D.

Now, let G be the diagonal lift in the second equation in (4.2), with respect to D, for the pair  $(g, \psi)$  of metrics on M and  $\xi$ . From (4.2) we obtain

$$\delta_J G = (\delta_f g)^h + (\delta_\varphi \psi)^v$$

and so  $\delta_J G = 0$  if and only if  $\delta_f g = 0$  and  $\delta_{\varphi} \psi = 0$ . It follows

**Proposition 4.1.** The pair (J, G) of diagonal lifts, with respect to a connection D on  $\xi$ , of an almost product (resp. almost complex) structure f on M and a complex (resp. product) structure  $\varphi$  of  $\xi$ , and the nondegenerate metrics g on M and  $\psi$  on  $\xi$ , is a mem-structure on the total space E of  $\xi$  if and only if the pair (f,g) is an almost para-Hermitian (resp. indefinite almost Hermitian) structure on M. The pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  is an indefinite Hermitian (resp. para-Hermitian) structure on  $\xi$ .

Denoting by  $\omega$  and  $\tau$  the 2-forms associated to the structures (f, g) on M and  $(\varphi, \psi)$  on  $\xi$ , and by  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega$ , the 2-forms associated to the structures  $(f^h, g^h)$ on HE,  $(\varphi^v, \psi^v)$  on VE and (J, G) on TE, we obtain

$$\Omega_1 = \omega^h, \qquad \Omega_2 = \tau^v, \qquad \Omega = \omega^h \oplus \tau^v.$$

From the hypotheses of Prop. 4.1 it follows

$$\delta_f g = 0, \quad \delta_f \omega = 0, \quad \delta_\varphi \psi = 0, \quad \delta_\varphi \tau = 0, \quad \delta_J G = 0, \quad \delta_J \Omega = 0$$

*Remark* 4.1. The groups of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{X}(M), \mathfrak{X}(\xi), \mathfrak{X}(E)$ , given respectively for  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\varepsilon = -1$ , by

$$\alpha_t = I_1 \cosh t + f \sinh t, \quad \beta_t = I_2 \cos t + \varphi \sin t, \quad \gamma_t = \alpha_t^h \oplus \beta_t^h, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \alpha_t = I_1 \cos t + f \sin t, \quad \beta_t = I_2 \cosh t + \varphi \sinh t, \quad \gamma_t = \alpha_t^h \oplus \beta_t^h, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

determine on the tensor algebras  $\mathcal{T}(M), \mathcal{T}(\xi)$ , and  $\mathcal{T}(E)$ , actions which preserve the structures  $(f, g, \omega)$ ,  $(\varphi, \psi, \tau)$  and  $(J, G, \Omega)$ .

For two connections  $\nabla$  on M and D on  $\xi$ , we define the *horizontal lift*  $\nabla^h$ on the subbundle HE and the vertical lift  $D^v$  on the subbundle VE (each one with respect to the connection D), respectively by

$$\nabla^{h}_{X^{h}}Y^{h} = (\nabla_{X}Y)^{h}, \quad \nabla^{h}_{u^{v}}Y^{h} = 0, \quad D^{v}_{X^{h}}w^{v} = (D_{X}w)^{v}, \quad D^{v}_{u^{v}}w^{v} = 0.$$

Putting them

$$\mathcal{D}_A X = \nabla^h_A H X + D^v_A V X, \quad A, X \in \mathfrak{X}(E),$$

where H and V denote the horizontal and vertical projectors of TE on HEand VE, we obtain a linear connection  $\mathcal{D}$  on E, called the *diagonal lift* of the pair  $(\nabla, D)$  with respect to the connection D (see [2]), whose restrictions to the subbundles  $\xi_1 = HE$  and  $\xi_2 = VE$  are  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \nabla^h$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = D^v$ . The nonvanishing components of the torsion and curvature tensors of  $\mathcal{D}$  are given by

(4.3) 
$$\mathcal{T}(X^h, Y^h) = T^{\nabla}(X, Y)^h + \gamma R^D_{XY},$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{X^h Y^h} Z^h = (R^{\nabla}_{XY} Z)^h, \quad \mathcal{R}_{X^h Y^h} u^v = (R^D_{XY} u)^v,$$

.

where  $T^{\nabla}, R^{\nabla}$ , and  $R^D$  stand for the torsion tensor of  $\nabla$  and the curvature tensors of  $\nabla$  and D.

For the covariant derivatives, with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$ , of the horizontal lift of fand g, and the vertical lift of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{X^h} f^h = (\nabla_X f)^h, \quad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} f^h = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_{X^h} g^h = (\nabla_X g)^h, \quad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} g^h = 0,$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}_{X^h} \varphi^v = (D_X \varphi)^v, \quad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} \varphi^v = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_{X^h} \psi^v = (D_X \psi)^v, \quad \mathcal{D}_{u^v} \psi^v = 0.$$

So, for the diagonal lifts J and G of the pairs  $(f, \varphi)$  and  $(g, \psi)$ , it follows

(4.4) 
$$\mathcal{D}_{X^h}J = (\nabla_X f)^h + (D_X \varphi)^v, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v}J = 0,$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{X^h}G = (\nabla_X g)^h + (D_X \psi)^v, \qquad \mathcal{D}_{u^v}G = 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{D}J = 0$  if and only if  $\nabla f = 0$ ,  $D\varphi = 0$ ; and  $\mathcal{D}G = 0$  if and only if  $\nabla g = 0$ ,  $D\psi = 0$ . From (4.3) and (4.4) it follows, for  $P = J^2$ , that  $\mathcal{D}P = 0$  and  $\mathcal{T} \circ P \times I = \mathcal{T} \circ I \times P$  for any connections  $\nabla$  on M and D on  $\xi$ . After that we have

$$\nabla^h_{X^h} g^h = (\nabla_X g)^h, \quad D^v_{u^v} \varphi^v = 0, \quad D^v_{u^v} \psi^v = 0,$$
$$\nabla^h_{X^h} f^h = (\nabla_X f)^h, \quad \mathcal{T}^1(f^h X, I_1 Y) = (T^{\nabla}(f X, I_1 Y))^h, \quad \mathcal{T}^2(\varphi^v X, I_2 Y) = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{T}^1 = H \circ \mathcal{T}|_{HE}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^2 = V \circ \mathcal{T}|_{VE}$ . So we obtain

**Proposition 4.2.** The diagonal lift  $\mathcal{D}$  on E, for the connections  $\nabla$  on M and D on  $\xi$ , is the canonical connection associated to the mem-structure (J, G) if and only if

$$\nabla f = 0, \quad \nabla g = 0, \quad T^{\nabla}(fX, Y) = T^{\nabla}(X, fY),$$

i.e., the connection  $\nabla$  is the canonical connection [2, 10] associated to the almost para-Hermitian (resp. indefinite almost Hermitian) structure (f, g) on M.

Also from (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain  $\mathcal{D}G = 0$  and  $\mathcal{T} = 0$  if and only if  $\nabla g = 0$ ,  $T^{\nabla} = 0$ ,  $R^D = 0$  and  $D\psi = 0$ . Hence we have

**Proposition 4.3.** The diagonal lift  $\mathcal{D}$  of the pair of connections  $(\nabla, D)$  coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of G if and only if  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of g, D has vanishing curvature and  $\psi$  is covariant constant.

For the Nijenhuis tensor of J,

$$N_J(A, B) = [JA, JB] + J^2[A, B] - J[JA, B] - J[A, JB], \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(E),$$

we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad N_J(X^h, Y^h) = N_f(X, Y)^h + \gamma \left(\varepsilon R_{XY}^D - R_{fXfY}^D + \varphi \circ (R_{fXY}^D + R_{XfY}^D)\right),$$
$$N_J(X^h, u^v) = \left(D_{fX}\varphi u - \varepsilon D_X u - \varphi \circ (D_{fX}u + D_X\varphi u)\right)^v, \quad N_J(u^v, w^v) = 0.$$

It follows

**Proposition 4.4.** The mem-structure J is integrable (i.e.,  $N_J = 0$ , see [8]) if and only if f is a product (resp. a complex) structure in M, the connection D has vanishing curvature and the complex (resp. product) structure  $\varphi$  on  $\xi$  is covariant constant.

For the exterior differential of the 2-form  $\Omega$  associated to the mem-structure (J,G) we obtain

$$d\Omega(X^h, Y^h, Z^h) = d\omega(X, Y, Z)^v, \qquad 3d\Omega(X^h, Y^h, w^v) = -\gamma(i_w \tau \circ R_{XY}^D),$$
  
$$3d\Omega(X^h, u^v, w^v) = D_X \tau(u, w)^v, \qquad d\Omega(u^v, v^v, w^v) = 0.$$

Hence

**Proposition 4.5.** The almost symplectic structure  $\Omega$  associated to the memstructure (J, G) on E is integrable (i.e.,  $d\Omega = 0$ ) if and only if the structure (f, g) is almost para-Kähler (resp. indefinite almost Kähler), the connection Dhas vanishing curvature, and the 2-form  $\tau$  on  $\xi$  is covariant constant.

Finally we obtain

**Proposition 4.6.** For the mem-structure (J, G) on E, the structures J and  $\Omega$  are simultaneously integrable if and only if the structure (f, g) is a para-Kähler (resp. indefinite Kähler) structure on M, D has vanishing curvature and the pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$  is covariant constant.

# References

- V. Cruceanu, Connexions compatibles avec certaines structures sur un fibré vectoriel banachique, Czechoslovak Math. J. 24 (1974) 126–142.
- [2] V. Cruceanu, A new definition for certain lifts on a vector bundle, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi 42 (1996) 59–73.
- [3] V. Cruceanu & F. Etayo, On almost para-Hermitian manifolds, Algebras Groups Geom. (to appear in 1999).
- [4] V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny & P.M. Gadea, A survey on Paracomplex Geometry, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 26 (1996) 83–115.
- [5] F. Etayo & E. Reyes, Normality and structure transfer in (J<sup>4</sup> = 1)-manifolds, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 62 (1992) 1–7.
- [6] J.M. Hernando & P.M. Gadea, Sobre ciertas estructuras polinómicas, Act. VII Jornadas Hisp.-Lusit., S. Feliu de Guixols, vol. 1, 173–176 (1980).
- [7] J.M. Hernando, P.M. Gadea & A. Montesinos Amilibia, G-structures defined by a tensor field of electromagnetic type, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 34 (1985) 202–218.
- [8] J.M. Hernando, E. Reyes & P.M. Gadea, Integrability of tensor structures of electromagnetic type, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 37 (1985) 113–122.
- [9] V. Hlavatý, Geometry of Einstein's unified field theory, P. Noordhoff, 1958.
- [10] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Intersc. Publ., 1963 and 1969.
- [11] R.S. Mishra, Structures in electromagnetic tensor fields, Tensor (N.S.) 30 (1976) 145–156.
- [12] R. Miron & M. Anastasiei, Vector bundles and Lagrange spaces with applications in Relativity, Balkan Soc. Geom. Monographs and Textbooks, n. 1, 1998.
- [13] E. Reyes, A. Montesinos Amilibia & P.M. Gadea, Connections making parallel a metric (J<sup>4</sup> = 1)-structure, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi 28 (1982) 49–54.
- [14] E. Reyes, A. Montesinos Amilibia & P.M. Gadea, Connections partially adapted to a metric  $(J^4 = 1)$ -structure, Colloq. Math. 54 (1987) 216–229.

#### Authors' addresses:

Encarna Reyes Iglesias: Department of Mathematics, E.T.S. of Architecture, University of Valladolid, Av. de Salamanca s/n, 47014–Valladolid, Spain. ereyes@cpd.uva.es Vasile Cruceanu: Department of Mathematics, University "Al. I. Cuza", 6600–Iaşi, Romania. cruv@uaic.ro

Pedro Martínez Gadea: Institute of Mathematics and Fundamental Physics, CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006–Madrid, Spain. pmgadea@iec.csic.es