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A b s t r a c t: The aim of this study is to improve 3-years survival rates and functional outcome in high-grade osteosarcoma patients treated with amputations and limb-sparing surgery, introducing Scandinavian Sarcoma Group chemotherapy protocol (SSG XVI).

Patients and methods. During the period 2000–2005, thirty seven patients with high-grade, non-metastatic osteosarcoma on the extremities were treated at the Clinic for Orthopaedic Surgery in Skopje. Male patients were 21 (57%) and female were 16 (43%). Patients age varied from 8 to 63 years (mean 18 ± 13). Seven patients (7/37) did not comply with including criteria and were excluded from the study. The rest 30 patients were introduced to two courses of pre-operative chemotherapy (high doses of Methotrexate, Cisplatin and Adriamycin). Surgical treatment was in 9-th week of the protocol. In 27/30 (90%) of the patients limb-sparing surgery was done, and in 3/30 (10%) amputations were performed. Histopathological assessment of the tumour after the neo-adjuvant chemotherapy divided the patients into group with bad and group with good response. All the patients had 3 more courses of chemotherapy after surgery (same as the preoperative). Patients with bad response were introduced to 3 more cycles of 5 days with high-dose of Ifosfamide. Follow-up was from 2 to 8 years, mean 51 months.
Results. Histopathological assessment showed that 57% of the patients had bad response to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, but there was no statistical significance in the survival time of the groups (p = 0.06). Three-years survival time was 40% of the patients with local recurrence in comparison with 80% of the patients with no local recurrence. Three-years survival time was 20% of the patients with distant metastases in comparison with 92% of the patients with no metastases. Overall survival time (OS) was 80%. After 3 years 60% of the patients were disease-free (DFS).

Conclusion. High-grade osteosarcoma of the extremities treated with modern chemotherapy protocols enables limb-sparing in the same time with improved survival time of the patients. Introducing high-dose Ifosfamide in treatment of patients with bad response after neo-adjuvant chemotherapy improves their functional results as well as the survival time.
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a very rare malignant bone tumour with an incidence of 4–6 cases in 1,000,000 inhabitants and appears mostly in the young and active population aged between 10 and 30. [23] Amputations and disarticulations, the dominant treatment for malignant bone tumours in the beginning of 20th century, are rarely and very selectively used now. In spite of aggressive and radical surgery, the 5-year survival was low (10–20%). [18, 19] The introduction of new sophisticated diagnostic methods (CT and MRI) gave the possibility of precise anatomic definition of the tumours and the borders of infiltration in the surrounding tissue. [11] After 1980, improvement of chemotherapeutic protocols with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, better preoperative planning and modern reconstructive options after the resection of osteosarcoma led to better survival rates of the patients with limb-sparing procedures. [1, 3, 16, 22] Better planning of the biopsy and the definite operative procedure, and fostering better patient selection for specific treatment strategies, have decreased the risk of spreading the osteosarcoma in surrounding tissue and lowered the risk of distant metastases. [6, 18] Currently, 80–85% of the patients with osteosarcoma on the extremities can be treated safely with wide resection and limb preservation. [7] A multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis and treatment, multimodal chemotherapy and a number of options for reconstruction after osteosarcoma resection (especially in chemotherapy-sensitive tumours) have increased long-term survival rates from 60% to 70%. [7, 13, 14, 17]

Material and Methods

In the period from 2000–2005, 37 patients with high-grade, extremities-localized osteosarcoma, were treated at the University Orthopedic Surgery Clinic in Skopje with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy and surgery.

Selection of the patients was done according to the following including criteria:

- histopathologically proven high-grade osteosarcoma (grade III or IV),
- primary localization on the extremities, with no evidence of lung or other metastases,
- patient age between 8 and 65,
- normal hepatic and renal function,
- leukocyte range over $3.0 \times 10^9/L$ and thrombocyte range over $100 \times 10^9/L$,
- neo-adjuvant chemotherapy introduced no later than 1 month after histological diagnosis of osteosarcoma.

Excluding criteria for the patients were:

- patients with central localization of osteosarcoma (e.g. pelvis, vertebra),
- evidence of lymphatic or haematogenous metastases at the time of diagnosis,
- patients younger than 8 or older than 65 years,
- pregnant or nursing women.

Diagnosis was made by clinical examination, plane x-rays, CT, MRI and histopathologically (with open biopsy). Staging was done with Tc 99m bone scans, x-ray of lungs and CT. For preoperative planning MRI and arteriography were obtained. After completion of the chemotherapy protocol, clinical and radiographic evaluation of patients was done every 3 months in the first year and twice a year after that.

Male patients were 21 (57%), and female were 16 (43%). Patients were aged from 8 to 63 (mean 18.3 ± 13.4). In 5 (14%) patients osteosarcoma was localized on the upper limb and in 32 (86%) it was localized on the lower limb. According to the criteria, 7/37 patients were excluded from the study. Twenty-seven patients were treated with limb-sparing surgery, and 3 patients were treated with ablative surgery. Patients’ characteristics, due to many clinical attributes, are shown in Table 1.

All patients were introduced to the Scandinavian Sarcoma Group XIV neo-adjuvant chemotherapy protocol (SSG XIV). Patients received 2 cycles of preoperative chemotherapy (high dose Methotrexate 1200mg/m², Cisplatin 45mg/m², Adriamycin 75 mg/m²).
## Table 1 – Таблица 1

**Clinical attributes of patients with high-grade osteosarcoma localised on extremities, treated with chemotherapy and surgery**

Клинички карактеристики на пациенти со висок степен на тежност на остеосаркома на екстремити, лекувани со хемотерапија и хирурзија

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Recurrence (months)</th>
<th>Metastases (months)</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Exitus (months)</th>
<th>Response to Chem.</th>
<th>MSTSS (%)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>haematoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>transitor.p arez</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>seroma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>skin necrosis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>loosening</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>skin necrosis</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>haematoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>loosening</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>infection</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surgical resection of the osteosarcoma was made 9 weeks after the beginning of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, as shown in Figure 1. After resection, a detailed histopathological assessment of the specimen was done to determine the extent of necrosis of the tumour tissue. Patients were grouped according to the percentage of necrotic tumour tissue. The first group had a good response to chemotherapy (> 90% necrosis of the tumour). The second group had a bad response to chemotherapy (> 10% viable tumour). According to the "good or bad response" of the tumour to chemotherapy, patients were subjected to a different "branch" of the protocol (Figure 1). All 30 patients received 3 courses of postoperative chemotherapy (the same as preoperative). Patients with bad responses received 3 more cycles of chemotherapy with high doses of Ifosfamide (Ifosfamide 2000 mg/m² and × 5 days in the cycle).
Table 2 – Таблица 2

**Enneking’s surgical resection margins**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Margins of resection</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radical resection</td>
<td>Resection of the whole anatomical compartment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide borders of resection</td>
<td>Resection of the tumour with 1 to 1.5 cm of surrounding tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal borders of resection</td>
<td>Resection is in the vicinity of the tumour, but pseudocapsule is not disrupted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intratumoural resection</td>
<td>Excision is made through tumour pseudocapsule, (no tumour sterility and radicality achieved)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The histopathological assessment of the specimen did not give only the extent of tumour necrosis, but also information on tumour-free margins. The primary goal was to achieve tumour-free margins. Intraläsional resections or marginal resections were unacceptable. If this goal was not reached, the extremity was amputated. (Table 2). [9]

We followed the four basic principles of limb-sparing procedures: 1) local recurrence should be no greater and survival no worse than by amputation; 2) the procedure, or treatment of its complications, should not delay adjuvant therapy; 3) reconstruction should be enduring and not associated with a large number of local complications requiring secondary procedures and frequent hospitalization; 4) function of the limb should approach that obtained by amputation, although body image, patients’ preference and life-style may influence the decision. [21]

When "negative" tumour margins were obtained, a large skeletal defect was often present, requiring reconstruction of the bone, muscles, other soft tissues, and the skin. Patients’ age, tumour location and extent of resection narrowed the list of appropriate surgical alternatives. The extent of the disease, anatomical location of the tumour and the patient’s age defined the most appropriate surgical procedures.

Several options for limb-sparing were available:
- resection arthrodesis and other techniques with special indications (Figure 2a, b, c), [2, 4, 8]
- modular or special expanding endoprostheses (Figure 2d, e, f), [11, 13, 17]
- cortico-spongeous or bulk auto-graft (Figure 2g, h, i). [2, 8, 14]

For the patients who could not satisfy the principles of limb preservation, ablative surgery was taken into consideration. For these patients disarticulation of the hip or shoulder girdle, femoral or below knee, humeral or other amputations were more appropriate. [4]
Figure 2 – Various surgical options for limb-sparing: a, b, c) x-rays and MRI of tibial osteosarcoma treated with resection arthrodesis (temporary or first stage procedure); d, e, f) x-rays and CT of osteosarcoma patient with distal femur and knee special endoprosthesis reconstruction (Link); g, h, i) x-rays and MRI of proximal humerus osteosarcoma treated with vascularised cortico-spongious graft (fibula) and osteosynthesis

Слика 2 – Различни хируршки техники за зачувавање на екстрермињити: a, b, c) радиографија и магнитна резонанса на тибијален осиновосарком ирепиран со ресекција и артродеза на коленото (како прв време или прв корак); d, e, f) радиографија и компјутерска томографија на пацијент со осиновосарком, каде е направена реконструкција на долниот дел од бугорната коска и коленото со специјална туморска ендоирондија (тип Link); g, h, i) радиографија и магнитна резонанса на осиновосарком локализиран на горниот дел од надлакотничкина коска, која со хируршки корак е реконструирана со васкуларизиран кривичко-сионгиозен пресад скелетин (фибула) и осиновосарком

Привлет, Одд. биол. мед. науки, XXIX/2 (2008), 309–323
A Musculoskeletal Tumour Society score (MSTSS), based on Enneking’s system for functional evaluation of reconstructive procedures, was used to determine the functional results. [10] This score system evaluates: pain, function, patient’s emotional acceptance (pertinent to the patient as a whole) and specific factors for evaluating upper limb (range of motion, manual dexterity and lifting ability) or lower limb (need of support with orthopaedic accessories, ability to walk, and gait). For each of six factors, values from 0 to 5 are assigned, with a total of 30 (or 100% function of the limb). For each factor, values 1, 3 and 5 are equated with criteria levels of achievement or performance. Intermediate values of 2 or 4 are assigned, based on the examiner’s judgment, when achievement or performance falls between the specified values. It is recommended that results be reported numerically in percentages of normal function (Table 1).

The cumulative prospect of 3 years overall survival (OS) was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. [15] The statistical significance of the differences between the survival curves was evaluated using the Log-Rank test and the generalised Wilcoxon test, with the criteria of probability being less than 0.05.

Follow-up was 2 to 8 years, mean 51.7 (± 23.6) months. Results were updated in December 2007.

Results

Thirty patients (30/37) included in the study were divided into two groups: 27 with limb-sparing and 3 with ablative surgery (Table 2).

Histopathological assessment showed a bad response to neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in 57% of the patients (17/30). In the group of patients with a bad response, 75% survived for 36 months, and in the group with a good response 100% of the patients survived 36 months (as shown in Figure 3). Statistical analysis showed no significance between the groups (Log-Rank test = 1.87 p = 0.06).

Five patients (5/30) or 16.7% developed local recurrence between 2 and 36 months after surgery. In the group of patients with local recurrence 40% survived for 36 months, and in the group without local recurrence 88% of the patients survived 36 months (as shown in Figure 4). Statistical analysis showed a high significance (Log-Rank test = –2.48 p = 0.013).

Five patients (5/30), or 16.7%, developed distant metastases from between 15 to 36 months after surgical treatment. Only 20% survived for 36 months in the group of patients with metastases, compared to the group of patients without metastases, where 92% of the patients survived 36 months (as shown in Figure 5). Statistical analysis showed high significance (Log-Rank test = 3.7 p = 0.0002).
Figure 3 – Cumulative proportion surviving according to response after neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. (Group 0 – patients with bad response, group 1 – patients with good response)

Слика 3 – Вкупно преживување на пациентите според одговорот на нeo-адјувантна хемотерапија. (Група 0 – пациенти со лоши одговор на хемотерапијата, група 1 – пациенти со добар одговор)

Figure 4 – Cumulative proportion of surviving according local recurrence (Group 0 – patients without local recurrence, group 1 – patients with local recurrence)

Слика 4 – Вкупно преживување на пациентите според појавата на локален рецидив. (Група 0 – пациенти без локален рецидив, група 1 – пациенти со локален рецидив)

Прилози, Одд. биол. мед. науки, XXIX/2 (2008), 309–323
Figure 5 – Cumulative proportion surviving according to distant metastases. (Group 0 – patients without metastases, group 1 – patients with metastases)

Слика 5 – Вкупно преживување на пациентите според појавата на оддалечени метастази. (Група 0 – без оддалечени метастази, група 1 – со оддалечени метастази)

Figure 6 – Kaplan-Meier survival curve

Слика 6 – Kaplan-Meier-ов ай виров е на преживување на пациентите

Ten patients (10/27) with limb-preserving surgery (37.0%) had complications. In 3 patients (3/27) with limb-sparing (11%), complications or local recurrence led to secondary ablative surgery. All three patients (10%) with primary amputations were male. One of them had prolonged seroma and another one, due to local recurrence, was reamputated.

The three-year overall survival was 80% (Figure 6). In our study, 18 patients (18/30) are disease-free after 3 years (60%), with no significant statistical difference between good or bad responders (Log-Rank test = 1.87 p = 0.06).

Functional results (MSTS score) after rehabilitation showed approximately 65% function of the spared upper limbs and 76% function of the spared lower limbs (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Amputations, once a dominant treatment for malignant bone tumours, are rarely and very selectively used now. Most patients with extremity-localized osteosarcoma are candidates for limb-sparing procedures because of effective chemotherapeutic agents and regimens, improved imaging modalities and advances in reconstructive surgery. Various options for skeletal reconstructions include modular endoprostheses, ostearticular or bulk allografts, arthrodeses, expandable endoprostheses, rotationplasty and limb-lengthening techniques. Two primary goals must always be considered: survival rates should be no worse than those associated with amputation and the reconstructed limb must provide satisfactory function. [1, 7, 10, 11, 13]

However, surgical treatment associated with limb-sparing procedures is also associated with significant complications and requires extensive rehabilitation [7].

Before consideration of limb preservation, the patient needs to be appropriately staged and assessed through a multidisciplinary approach [9]. Some elements of the disease may warrant concern, including relative contraindications to such procedures [6]. During the past few decades neo-adjuvant chemotherapy has made dramatic advances in the treatment of nonmetastatic osteosarcoma of the extremities [1]. Multidrug neoadjuvant therapy, popularized for patients with osteosarcoma by Rosen in the late 1970s, is usually initiated as appropriate after pathohistological diagnosis and staging. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy dramatically improves long-term survival rates in patients with osteosarcoma sensitive to chemotherapy. [1, 3, 18, 22] Introducing the Scandinavian Sarcoma Group XIV chemotherapy protocol equalizes survival rates between "good" and "bad" responders. [1, 3, 21] Patients considered operable at diagnosis or following neo-adjuvant chemotherapy (9 weeks after beginning of the...
neoadjuvant chemotherapy) must undergo "wide margins" resection of the osteosarcoma. Patients with a bad response received 3 more cycles of chemotherapy with a high dose of Ifosfamide (Ifosfamide 2000 mg/m² and × 5 days in the cycle). The main risk of limb-salvage procedures is that complications may sometimes cause a delay in the chemotherapy regimen. If the basic principles of limb-salvage surgery and "tumour sterility and radicality" are not to be achieved, amputation is a better choice than limb preservation at any cost [18].

The three-year overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS) and functional results (MSTS score) of the patients in our study are comparable to the results published in the literature [1, 7, 12, 13, 17]. There was no significant difference in 3-year overall survival between the groups of "bad" and "good" responders to chemotherapy (p = 0.06). A comparison of results of patients with limb-sparing and amputations in our study with the results of patients with surgery at our Clinic in the period prior to 2000 comes out in favour of the group treated with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy.

**Conclusion**

The application of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by limb-salvage surgery calls for responsible, trained and highly engaged medical staff. Introducing a high dose of Ifosfamide for "bad responders" to preoperative chemotherapy improves the results and overall survival of the patients. If treatment and management principles of high-grade osteosarcoma are followed, limb-sparing with 60–70% survival rates and improved functional results could be achieved. Our preliminary results are promising and encouraging.
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Резюме

ТРЕТМАН НА ОСТЕОСАРКОМ СО ВИСОК СТЕПЕН НА МАЛІГНІТЕТ

(СТУДІЯ НА 30 СЛУЧАЙІ ТРЕТИРАНИ ЗО СКАНДИНАВСЬКАТА САРКОМА ПРОТОКОЛ БРОЙ XIV I ОПЕРАТИВНА ІНТЕРВЕНЦІЯ)
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Апозтракт: Целта на овој труд е зголемување на тригодишното време на преживување и подобрување на функционалните резултати, кај пацијентите со остеосарком со висок степен на малигнитет на екстремитетите, третираени со хемотераписки протокол на скандинавската сарcoma група броj XIV и оперативна интервенциjа.

Пациенти и методи: Во овој труд беа анализирани 37 пациенти со остеосарком на екстремитетите, со висок степен на малигнитет, третирани во периодот од 2000 до 2005 година нa Клиниката за ортопедски болести во Скопје. Од нив 21 (57%) беа мажи и 16 (43%) беа женки. Возрастa се дви-

жеше од 8 до 63 години (средно 18,3 ± 13,4). Седум пациенти (7/37) беа исключени од анализата, бидејќи не ги исполнуваа потребните критерии. Остатките 30 пациенти примаа два циклиуси на предоперативна хемотерапија (високи дози на Methotrexat, Cisplatin, Adriamycin). Хируршкото лекување се извршуваше во деветата недела од протоколот. Каж 27 (90%) пациенти се изврши операции за спасување на екстремитетите, а каж 3 (10%) ампутации. Патохистолошката анализа на извадениот тумор ги подели пациентите во 2 групи: пациенти со добар одговор на хемотерапијата и пациенти со лош одговор. Сите пациенти имаа уште 3 циклиуси на постоперативна хемотерапија (иста како и предоперативната). Пациентите со лош одговор на хемотерапијата добија уште 3 циклиуси од по 5 дена со висока доза Ifosfamide. Следењето на пациентите беше од 2 до 8 години или средно 51 месец.

Резултати: Патохистолошката анализа откри лош одговор на неадјувантната хемотерапија кај 57% од пациентите, но немаше статистички значителна разлика во преживувањето на групите (p = 0,06). Тригодишното преживување на пациентите со локален рецидив беше 40% во споредба со 88% на тие без рецидив. Тригодишното преживување на пациентите со оддалечени метастази беше 20% во споредба со 92% на тие без метастази. Вкупното тригодишно преживување (OS) беше 80%. По три години од лекувањето (DFS), 60% од пациентите беа без метастази и локални рецидиви.

Заклучок: Модерната хемотерапија кај пациентите со остеосарком со висок степен на малигнитет, локализиран на екстремитетите, дава можност за зачувување на екстремитетот и истовремено го продолжува времето на преживување. Вклучувањето на високи дози на Ifosfamide кај пациентите со лош одговор на предоперативната хемотерапија, ги подобрува резултатите и го продолжува времето на преживување. Нашите почетни резултати се охрабруваачки.

Ключни зборови: остеосарком, неадјувантна хемотерапија, спасување на екстремитети.
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