

Crit Care Clin 24 (2008) 237-248

CRITICAL CARE CLINICS

Acinetobacter Infection in the ICU

Clinton K. Murray, MD*, Duane R. Hospenthal, MD, PhD

Infectious Disease Service, Brooke Army Medical Center, 3851 Roger Brooke Drive, Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234, USA

The increase of infections worldwide caused by multidrug-resistant organisms has resulted in a growing challenge to provision of adequate patient care, especially to patients who are critically ill. Professional societies, including the Infectious Diseases Society of America, have sought legislation to increase antimicrobial research and development to combat these multidrug-resistant pathogens [1]. One of the identified pathogens of primary concern is the gram-negative bacteria of the genus *Acinetobacter*. Although historically considered organisms of low virulence (and pathogenicity), *Acinetobacter* are increasingly recognized as the cause of infections, especially in intensive care units.

The bacteria

The taxonomy of *Acinetobacter* has not been defined adequately. Currently, the genus includes named (Box 1), proposed, and genomic species (or genospecies) (www.bacterio.cict.fr/a/acintobacter.html). Most disease is caused by a complex of four phenotypically similar genospecies (1, 2, 3, and 13TU). Two of them are named *A calcoaceticus* (genospecies 1) and *A baumannii* (genospecies 2), and the group are commonly referred to as the *A calcoaceticus-A baumannii* complex. *Acinetobacter* are gram-negative aerobic coccobacilli with a tendency to retain crystal violet on Gram

Disclaimer: The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or reflecting the views of the US Department of the Army, the US Department of Defense, or the US government. The authors are employees of the US government, and this work was performed as part of their official duties. As such, there is no copyright to be transferred.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: clinton.murray@amedd.army.mil (C.K. Murray).

 $^{0749\}text{-}0704/08/\$$ - see front matter. Published by Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/j.ccc.2007.12.005



staining, thus they are occasionally misidentified as gram-positive bacteria. They can persist on inanimate surfaces for prolonged periods of time (3 days to 5 months) and can be detected on various hospital equipment, including bedrails, curtains, and ventilation equipment (eg, ventilation [ambu] bags and ventilation filters) [2–4]. Colonization of patients, health care workers, and healthy individuals occurs, although there is substantial genotypic and phenotypic variability between isolates recovered from these groups; isolates from patients with prolonged hospitalizations typically are more resistant [5–7]. Long-term colonization has been documented [8].

Epidemiology

Within the United States, *Acinetobacter* is a common cause of nosocomial infections. It caused 6.9% of nosocomial pneumonias, 2.4% of bloodstream, 2.1% of surgical site, and 1.6% of urinary tract infections in 2003 [9]. Outbreaks are recognized as being associated with hospital equipment, including that used in wound treatment (pulsatile lavage) [10]. An increasing incidence of *Acinetobacter* infections is being recognized

238

worldwide. In one report from an Israeli teaching hospital, Acinetobacter advanced from the fourth most common bacteria resulting in bloodstream infections in 1997 to the most frequent cause in 2002 [11]. Since the involvement of the US military in overseas operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, numerous casualties have been infected or colonized with Acinetobacter [12–14]. Disease in this group of patients has included bone and soft tissue infections after combat trauma, pneumonia, bloodstream, and central nervous system infections. Currently, the most likely source of these infections seems to be nosocomial transmission throughout the medical evacuation chain in Iraq, Germany and US hospitals [15]. Isolates recovered from military personnel are genetically related or identical to isolates recovered in England and throughout Europe [16,17]. Apart from the military, there also have been reports of Acinetobacter in civilian patients medically evacuated across international borders [18]. Interhospital transmission of resistant Acinetobacter has been associated with transferring patients between hospitals [19]. The role of community-associated infections, especially pneumonia, is under debate because patients reported in these studies are often at higher risk because of comorbidities [20-23].

Virulence

The true disease impact (attributable morbidity and mortality) of *Acine-tobacter* has been an ongoing debate for the past 30 years, chiefly because of the difficultly of differentiating colonization from infection with these organisms. The recent military experience with *Acinetobacter* has not been able to find attributable mortality in our young, healthy population, but rather an association with longer hospital stays and more surgical procedures [12–14,24]. Numerous studies in nonmilitary patients have reported increased morbidity, including longer length of hospitalizations, more intensive care unit days, and an overall increase in hospital costs [25–27]. Some studies have reported attributable mortality, especially in patients with imipenemresistant isolates who were not provided adequate therapy initially [28–30].

Risk factors associated with poor outcomes with *Acinetobacter* infections include elevated APACHE II scores, underlying chronic disease, mechanical ventilation, multiple trauma, neutropenia, previous antimicrobial exposure, blood transfusion, and colonization density [31–35]. Interestingly, appropriate or inappropriate antimicrobial therapy has not always been predictive of mortality [13,30,36].

Antimicrobial resistance

One of the hallmarks of *Acinetobacter* is its ability to develop resistance to a wide range of antimicrobial agents. Resistance has been associated with an 86-kb chromosomal region, or resistance island, that is responsible for production of resistance to a large number of antimicrobial agents [24,37,38]. Surveillance studies have revealed an increase of multidrugresistant isolates being recovered from Europe, the Asian-Pacific region, Latin America, and North America over the last 3 to 5 years [38–40]. *Acinetobacter* isolates have been noted to develop resistance while on therapy even to recently approved antimicrobial agents, such as tigecycline [38,41,42]. Different resistance patterns have been reported even within hospital outbreaks with proven clonal isolates of *Acinetobacter*, which makes the antibiotic profile (antibiogram) an inaccurate method for assessing clonality [43–45]. This inaccuracy makes evaluation or even recognition of *Acinetobacter* outbreaks in a hospital environment that much more difficult. Emergence of resistance within any health care facility is likely caused by antimicrobial pressure and cross-transmission between patients with resistant isolates [46–48].

Management of *Acinetobacter* infections is challenging because of the broad array of resistance and the pathogen's ability to rapidly develop new resistance. Even the testing for antimicrobial resistance in *Acinetobacter* continues to present difficulties to the treatment of infections because of these bacteria. The standard for determining antimicrobial resistance profiles— broth microdilution—exhibits subtle differences in growth patterns, particularly for β -lactams, which leads to discrepancies in defining the level of resistance for ampicillin-sulbactam, piperacillin, cefepime, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, tetracycline, and doxycycline [38,49]. The agent with the most consistent in vitro activity, colistin, is faced with its own testing challenges. Results produced by E-test do not always correlate with those obtained by microdilution testing [50].

Recently, in vitro testing of *Acinetobacter* revealed subpopulations that are resistant (heteroresistance) to colistin, which results in growth of the bacteria in the face of high levels of colistin. The recovered heteroresistant organisms may be less fit, have increased susceptibilities to other antimicrobial agents, and have decreased ability to form biofilms [38,51–53]. Colistin susceptibility testing in the clinical microbiology laboratory is commonly performed by disk diffusion with colistin sulfate–impregnated disks. In clinical practice, colistimethate sodium is used because it is less toxic than colistin sulfate and is available in intravenous formulations. Colistimethate sodium is also less potent than colistin sulfate [54].

In vitro synergy testing has been evaluated with numerous combinations, including azithromycin, rifampin, doxycycline, and imipenem. These studies have reported variable synergistic and antagonistic activity and varying results based on different testing methods used [52,53,55–57]. When synergistic combinations have been tested in animal models, the outcome benefit between mono- and dual therapy also has been mixed [58–60]. An assessment of an infrequently used testing method—peak and trough serum bactericidal activity—found that peak concentrations of antimicrobial agents had a moderate correlation but not causal association with outcome [61].

Antimicrobial selection

Table 1

Antimicrobial agents that are typically active against *Acinetobacter* infections are the carbepenems (ie, imipenem/cilastatin and meropenem) (although isolates are typically more susceptible to imipenem), amikacin, sulbactam, colistin, rifampin, and tetracyclines. In some studies, less than 75% of isolates are susceptible to many or all of these agents [38–40]. The use of combination therapy is controversial in the treatment of gram-negative bacterial infections in general, because there is no proven improvement in mortality or decrease in length of stay, and some studies report increased toxicity [62,63]. At this time, therapy for *Acinetobacter* infections should rely on in vitro testing to select antimicrobial agents (Table 1).

In the face of broad-spectrum antimicrobial resistance, colistin typically retains activity; however, the clinical impact of colistin heteroresistance reported with some isolates is currently unknown. Colistin was historically considered too nephrotoxic and neurotoxic for routine clinical use, but recent use revealed that its toxicity profile is not dissimilar to other agents used in critically ill patients [54,64]. There is concern about the penetration

Antimicrobial class Specific agent (route) Comments Polymyxin Colistimethate sodium (IV) Agents with the overall highest susceptibility rates Concern of the recently described heteroresistance Carbapenem Imipenem-cilastatin (IV) Imipenem typically is associated with higher susceptibility rates than meropenem Aminoglycoside Amikacin (IV) Amikacin typically is associated with higher susceptibility rates than tobramycin, which are higher than gentamicin Tetracycline Minocycline (PO) Minocycline typically is associated with higher susceptibility rates than doxycycline, which are higher than tetracycline There are no CLSI criteria for tigecycline susceptibility; however, clinical resistance has been reported and can develop on therapy These are bacteriostatic agents with unproven efficacy in severely ill patients Minocycline is available only in oral formulation in the United States

Antimicrobial agents suggested for use in the treatment of Acinetobacter infections

Abbreviations: CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (formerly National Committee on Clinical Laboratory Standards [NCCLS]); IV, intravenous; PO, oral. of this agent into lung tissue when given parenterally, although clinical success has been reported. There currently seems to be increased use of colistin in a nebulized form, although this is not an FDA-approved indication for this drug [65,66]. Colistin therapy for patients with imipenem-resistant isolates seems to have equal efficacy to therapy with imipenem in patients with imipenem-susceptible isolates [64].

Dosing of colistin is based on the manufacturer recommendations, with doses of 2.5 to 5.0 mg/kg/d in two to four doses suggested for Coly-Mycin M Parenteral (Monarch Pharmaceutical, Inc., Bristol, Tennessee) and 1 to 2 million IU three times a day for Colomycin Injection (Pharmax Limited, Bexley, Kent, United Kingdom) [54]. In vitro data looking at postantibiotic effect and the development of heteroresistant colonies suggest that more frequent dosing may allow improved efficacy and decrease toxicity [67,68]. Tetracyclines, including doxycycline, minocycline, and tigecycline, have activity in vitro and seem to be effective in reports of clinical use. Further evaluation is needed because these agents are only bacteriostatic and resistance occurring on therapy has been described [69,70]. Positive results with the use of doxycyline or the combination of polymyxin B and doxycycline have been reported [69]. Rifampin is another antimicrobial agent that typically retains in vitro activity against Acinetobacter. As in other indications for use of this agent, rifampin should not be used as monotherapy in these infections. There have been reports of rifampin resistance developing when this agent is used in combination with other antibiotics to which resistance is known [71].

The most common infections seen with *Acinetobacter* are nosocomial pneumonias, bacteremia, surgical site infections, and urinary tract infections, but occasionally patients develop endocarditis or central nervous system infections. For endocarditis, especially prosthetic valve infections, the overall outcome is favorable after initiating therapy with an active antimicrobial agent [72]. Central nervous system infections also typically have good outcomes. Imipenem and meropenem have adequate central nervous system penetration. In the case of multidrug-resistant isolates infecting the central nervous system, combination therapy, including systemic and intraventricular or intrathecal colistin therapy, has been used with overall good outcomes [73–75]. Some courses of therapy were associated chemical meningitis [73,74].

Alternative techniques to improve efficacy of antimicrobial therapy, especially with isolates that are resistant to all agents tested in vitro, include prolonging infusion times (meropenem and imipenem) and using continuous infusion (colistin) [76,77]. Sulbactam, although traditionally thought not to have significant antibacterial activity when used alone, has activity against *Acinetobacter*. High doses of ampicillin-sulbactam have been used clinically and seem to be effective in treating infections without report of increased adverse events [78]. Randomized, controlled studies are needed to determine the ideal antimicrobial therapy and the best methods to deliver the selected agents in the treatment of infections caused by *Acinetobacter* [79].

Infection control

Given the broad array of resistance associated with Acinetobacter, the role of preventing spread of this pathogen to other patients is paramount. The recently released Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) infection control recommendations indicate that hospitals with increased rates of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter should take more aggressive infection control measures to control and prevent further nosocomial transmission [80]. Although challenging, implementation of aggressive infection control measures can control outbreaks of Acinetobacter infections [81-83]. Measures that are more likely to be effective include increased staff education, single-use items for individual patients, hand hygiene, cohorting, and isolation. Antibiotic control programs also seem effective in modifying the development of resistance to antibiotics under restriction [84]. These control programs may lead to the development of resistance to other antimicrobials. however, as was the case in one report in which controlling the use of ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime resulted in increased Acinetobacter-associated imipenem and amikacin resistance [84]. Antibiotic control programs also can alter (select for other) pathogens responsible for infections within the hospital. Because antibiograms cannot always predict the clonality of an outbreak strain, consideration of molecular typing Acinetobacter isolates should be considered.

Summary

Acinetobacter is a formidable challenge to managing critically ill patients. This pathogen's ability to rapidly develop antimicrobial resistance to all currently available antimicrobial agents is concerning because increasing data support attributable mortality to these bacteria when associated with hospitalized patients with comorbidities and severe illness. Individual patient therapy should be directed by in vitro testing. Although imipenemcilastatin seems to be a preferred agent for treating these infections, resistance to this antimicrobial also seems to be increasing. The role of dual therapy is currently unclear and might be associated with increased toxicities without proven synergy or ability to prevent the development of resistance. Colistin seems to be a reliable alternative treatment agent, but reports of the development of resistance in vitro after drug exposure are concerning. Infection control and antibiotic control measures might have the greatest impact on these bacteria. Continued efforts are needed to develop new antimicrobial agents against this pathogen and assess the ideal currently available agents.

References

- Talbot GH, Bradley J, Edwards JE Jr, et al. Bad bugs need drugs: an update on the development pipeline from the antimicrobial availability task force of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:657–68.
- [2] Webster C, Towner KJ, Humphreys H. Survival of *Acinetobacter* on three clinically related inanimate surfaces. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2000;21:246.
- [3] Kramer A, Schwebke I, Kampf G. How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. BMC Infect Dis 2006;6:130.
- [4] El Shafie SS, Alishaq M, Leni Garcia M. Investigation of an outbreak of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in trauma intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2004;56:101–5.
- [5] Chu YW, Leung CM, Houang ET, et al. Skin carriage of Acinetobacters in Hong Kong. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37:2962–7.
- [6] Seifert H, Dijkshoorn L, Gerner-Smidt P, et al. Distribution of *Acinetobacter* species on human skin: comparison of phenotypic and genotypic identification methods. J Clin Microbiol 1997;35:2819–25.
- [7] Griffith ME, Ellis MW, Murray CK. Acinetobacter nares colonization of healthy US soldiers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27(7):787–8.
- [8] Marchaim D, Navon-Venezia S, Schwartz D, et al. Surveillance cultures and duration of carriage of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:1551–5.
- [9] Gaynes R, Edwards JR. Overview of nosocomial infections caused by gram-negative bacilli. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:848–54.
- [10] Maragakis LL, Cosgrove SE, Song X, et al. An outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acineto-bacter baumannii* associated with pulsatile lavage wound treatment. JAMA 2004;292: 3006–11.
- [11] Jerassy Z, Yinnon AM, Mazouz-Cohen S, et al. Prospective hospital-wide studies of 505 patients with nosocomial bacteraemia in 1997 and 2002. J Hosp Infect 2006;62:230–6.
- [12] Johnson EN, Burns TC, Hayda RA, et al. Infectious complications of open type III tibial fractures among combat casualties. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45:409–15.
- [13] Albrecht MC, Griffith ME, Murray CK, et al. Impact of Acinetobacter infection on the mortality of burn patients. J Am Coll Surg 2006;203:546–50.
- [14] Davis KA, Moran KA, McAllister CK, et al. Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter extremity infections in soldiers. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:1218–24.
- [15] Scott P, Deye G, Srinivasan A, et al. An outbreak of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii-calcoaceticus complex infection in the US military health care system associated with military operations in Iraq. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:1577–84.
- [16] Ecker JA, Massire C, Hall TA, et al. Identification of *Acinetobacter* species and genotyping of *Acinetobacter baumannii* by multilocus PCR and mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:2921–32.
- [17] Turton JF, Kaufmann ME, Gill MJ, et al. Comparison of *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates from the United Kingdom and the United States that were associated with repatriated casualties of the Iraq conflict. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44:2630–4.
- [18] Fischer D, Veldman A, Schafer V, et al. Bacterial colonization of patients undergoing international air transport: a prospective epidemiologic study. J Travel Med 2004;11:44–8.
- [19] Naas T, Coignard B, Carbonne A, et al. Veb-1 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Acinetobacter baumannii, France. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:1214–22.
- [20] Anstey NM, Currie BJ, Hassell M, et al. Community-acquired bacteremic Acinetobacter pneumonia in tropical Australia is caused by diverse strains of Acinetobacter baumannii, with carriage in the throat in at-risk groups. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:685–6.
- [21] Anstey NM, Currie BJ, Withnall KM. Community-acquired *Acinetobacter* pneumonia in the northern territory of Australia. Clin Infect Dis 1992;14:83–91.
- [22] Leung WS, Chu CM, Tsang KY, et al. Fulminant community-acquired Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia as a distinct clinical syndrome. Chest 2006;129:102–9.

- [23] Wang JT, McDonald LC, Chang SC, et al. Community-acquired Acinetobacter baumannii bacteremia in adult patients in Taiwan. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:1526–9.
- [24] Hujer KM, Hujer AM, Hulten EA, et al. Analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in multidrugresistant *Acinetobacter* sp isolates from military and civilian patients treated at the Walter Reed Army Medical Center. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2006;50:4114–23.
- [25] Falagas ME, Bliziotis IA, Siempos II. Attributable mortality of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in critically ill patients: a systematic review of matched cohort and case-control studies. Crit Care 2006;10:R48.
- [26] Sunenshine RH, Wright MO, Maragakis LL, et al. Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter infection mortality rate and length of hospitalization. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:97–103.
- [27] Lee NY, Lee HC, Ko NY, et al. Clinical and economic impact of multidrug resistance in nosocomial *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28: 713–9.
- [28] Grupper M, Sprecher H, Mashiach T, et al. Attributable mortality of nosocomial Acinetobacter bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007;28:293–8.
- [29] Kwon KT, Oh WS, Song JH, et al. Impact of imipenem resistance on mortality in patients with *Acinetobacter* bacteraemia. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:525–30.
- [30] Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Rafailidis PI, et al. Comparison of mortality of patients with *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacteraemia receiving appropriate and inappropriate empirical therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;57:1251–4.
- [31] Choi JY, Park YS, Kim CO, et al. Mortality risk factors of Acinetobacter baumannii bacteraemia. Intern Med J 2005;35:599–603.
- [32] Medina J, Formento C, Pontet J, et al. Prospective study of risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by *Acinetobacter* species. J Crit Care 2007;22:18–26.
- [33] Playford EG, Craig JC, Iredell JR. Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in intensive care unit patients: risk factors for acquisition, infection and their consequences. J Hosp Infect 2007;65:204–11.
- [34] Robenshtok E, Paul M, Leibovici L, et al. The significance of *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacteraemia compared with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteraemia: risk factors and outcomes. J Hosp Infect 2006;64:282–7.
- [35] Falagas ME, Kopterides P. Risk factors for the isolation of multi-drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a systematic review of the literature. J Hosp Infect 2006;64:7–15.
- [36] Tseng YC, Wang JT, Wu FL, et al. Prognosis of adult patients with bacteremia caused by extensively resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;59:181–90.
- [37] Fournier PE, Vallenet D, Barbe V, et al. Comparative genomics of multidrug resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS Genet 2006;2:e7.
- [38] Hawley JS, Murray CK, Griffith ME, et al. Susceptibility of *Acinetobacter* strains isolated from deployed US military personnel. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2007;51:376–8.
- [39] Rhomberg PR, Jones RN. Contemporary activity of meropenem and comparator broadspectrum agents: mystic program report from the United States component (2005). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;57:207–15.
- [40] Gales AC, Jones RN, Sader HS. Global assessment of the antimicrobial activity of polymyxin B against 54 731 clinical isolates of gram-negative bacilli: report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance programme (2001–2004). Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:315–21.
- [41] Navon-Venezia S, Leavitt A, Carmeli Y. High tigecycline resistance in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:772–4.
- [42] Peleg AY, Potoski BA, Rea R, et al. Acinetobacter baumannii bloodstream infection while receiving tigecycline: a cautionary report. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:128–31.
- [43] Maslow JN, Glaze T, Adams P, et al. Concurrent outbreak of multidrug-resistant and susceptible subclones of *Acinetobacter baumannii* affecting different wards of a single hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2005;26:69–75.

- [44] Lim YM, Shin KS, Kim J. Distinct antimicrobial resistance patterns and antimicrobial resistance-harboring genes according to genomic species of *Acinetobacter* isolates. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:902–5.
- [45] Lee JH, Choi CH, Kang HY, et al. Differences in phenotypic and genotypic traits against antimicrobial agents between *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Acinetobacter* genomic species 13tu. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:633–9.
- [46] Garnacho-Montero J, Ortiz-Leyba C, Fernandez-Hinojosa E, et al. Acinetobacter baumannii ventilator-associated pneumonia: epidemiological and clinical findings. Intensive Care Med 2005;31:649–55.
- [47] Ferreira AC, Gobara S, Costa SE, et al. Emergence of resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species after the use of antimicrobials for burned patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004;25:868–72.
- [48] Zarrilli R, Crispino M, Bagattini M, et al. Molecular epidemiology of sequential outbreaks of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in an intensive care unit shows the emergence of carbapenem resistance. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:946–53.
- [49] Swenson JM, Killgore GE, Tenover FC. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Acineto-bacter* spp. by NCCLS broth microdilution and disk diffusion methods. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:5102–8.
- [50] Arroyo LA, Garcia-Curiel A, Pachon-Ibanez ME, et al. Reliability of the E-test method for detection of colistin resistance in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43:903–5.
- [51] Li J, Rayner CR, Nation RL, et al. Heteroresistance to colistin in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2006;50:2946–50.
- [52] Li J, Nation RL, Owen RJ, et al. Antibiograms of multidrug-resistant clinical Acinetobacter baumannii: promising therapeutic options for treatment of infection with colistin-resistant strains. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45:594–8.
- [53] Wareham DW, Bean DC. In vitro activities of polymyxin B, imipenem, and rifampin against multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2006; 50:825.
- [54] Li J, Nation RL, Turnidge JD, et al. Colistin: the re-emerging antibiotic for multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. Lancet Infect Dis 2006;6:589–601.
- [55] Kiffer CR, Sampaio JL, Sinto S, et al. In vitro synergy test of meropenem and subactam against clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;52: 317–22.
- [56] Sader HS, Jones RN. Comprehensive in vitro evaluation of cefepime combined with aztreonam or ampicillin/sulbactam against multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* spp. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2005;25:380–4.
- [57] Tan TY, Ng LS, Tan E, et al. In vitro effect of minocycline and colistin combinations on imipenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;60: 421–3.
- [58] Bernabeu-Wittel M, Pichardo C, Garcia-Curiel A, et al. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic assessment of the in-vivo efficacy of imipenem alone or in combination with amikacin for the treatment of experimental multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* pneumonia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2005;11:319–25.
- [59] Montero A, Ariza J, Corbella X, et al. Antibiotic combinations for serious infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a mouse pneumonia model. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;54:1085–91.
- [60] Pantopoulou A, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Raftogannis M, et al. Colistin offers prolonged survival in experimental infection by multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*: the significance of co-administration of rifampicin. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007;29:51–5.
- [61] Liao CH, Sheng WH, Chen YC, et al. Predictive value of the serum bactericidal test for mortality in patients infected with multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. J Infect 2007;55: 149–57.

- [62] Paul M, Benuri-Silbiger I, Soares-Weiser K, et al. Beta lactam monotherapy versus beta lactam-aminoglycoside combination therapy for sepsis in immunocompetent patients: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ 2004;328:668–72.
- [63] Damas P, Garweg C, Monchi M, et al. Combination therapy versus monotherapy: a randomised pilot study on the evolution of inflammatory parameters after ventilator associated pneumonia. Crit Care 2006;10:R52.
- [64] Kallel H, Hergafi L, Bahloul M, et al. Safety and efficacy of colistin compared with imipenem in the treatment of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a matched case-control study. Intensive Care Med 2007;33:1162–7.
- [65] Michalopoulos A, Kasiakou SK, Mastora Z, et al. Aerosolized colistin for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia due to multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria in patients without cystic fibrosis. Crit Care 2005;9:R53–9.
- [66] Pereira GH, Muller PR, Levin AS. Salvage treatment of pneumonia and initial treatment of tracheobronchitis caused by multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli with inhaled polymyxin B. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;58:235–40.
- [67] Plachouras D, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Kentepozidis N, et al. In vitro postantibiotic effect of colistin on multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007;57:419–22.
- [68] Owen RJ, Li J, Nation RL, et al. In vitro pharmacodynamics of colistin against Acinetobacter baumannii clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:473–7.
- [69] Holloway KP, Rouphael NG, Wells JB, et al. Polymyxin B and doxycycline use in patients with multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in the intensive care unit. Ann Pharmacother 2006;40:1939–45.
- [70] Griffith ME, Yun HC, Horvath LL, et al. Minocycline therapy for osteomyelitis caused by the multidrug-resistance Acinetobacter baumannii-calcoaceticus complex. Infect Dis Clin Pract, in press.
- [71] Saballs M, Pujol M, Tubau F, et al. Rifampicin/imipenem combination in the treatment of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58: 697–700.
- [72] Rizos I, Tsiodras S, Papathanasiou S, et al. Prosthetic valve endocarditis due to Acinetobacter spp: a rare case and literature review. Am J Med Sci 2007;333:197–9.
- [73] Falagas ME, Bliziotis IA, Tam VH. Intraventricular or intrathecal use of polymyxins in patients with gram-negative meningitis: a systematic review of the available evidence. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007;29:9–25.
- [74] Ng J, Gosbell IB, Kelly JA, et al. Cure of multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* central nervous system infections with intraventricular or intrathecal colistin: case series and literature review. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58:1078–81.
- [75] Gleeson T, Petersen K, Mascola J. Successful treatment of *Acinetobacter* meningitis with meropenem and rifampicin. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;56:602–3.
- [76] Michalopoulos A, Kasiakou SK, Rosmarakis ES, et al. Cure of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* bacteraemia with continuous intravenous infusion of colistin. Scand J Infect Dis 2005;37:142–5.
- [77] Jaruratanasirikul S, Sriwiriyajan S, Punyo J. Comparison of the pharmacodynamics of meropenem in patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia following administration by 3-hour infusion or bolus injection. Antimicrobial Agents Chemother 2005;49:1337–9.
- [78] Betrosian AP, Frantzeskaki F, Xanthaki A, et al. High-dose ampicillin-sulbactam as an alternative treatment of late-onset VAP from multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Scand J Infect Dis 2007;39:38–43.
- [79] Motaouakkil S, Charra B, Hachimi A, et al. Colistin and rifampicin in the treatment of nosocomial infections from multiresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. J Infect 2006;53:274–8.
- [80] Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, et al. 2007 guideline for isolation precautions: preventing transmission of infectious agents in healthcare settings. Available at: www.cdc.gov/ncidod/ dhqp/pdf/isolation2007.pdf. Accessed June 1, 2007.

- [81] Wilks M, Wilson A, Warwick S, et al. Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Control of an outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii-calcoaceticus* colonization and infection in an intensive care unit (ICU) without closing the ICU or placing patients in isolation. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2006;27:654–8.
- [82] Jeong SH, Bae IK, Kwon SB, et al. Investigation of a nosocomial outbreak of *Acinetobacter baumannii* producing PER-1 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase in an intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2005;59:242–8.
- [83] Pimentel JD, Low J, Styles K, et al. Control of an outbreak of multi-drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in an intensive care unit and a surgical ward. J Hosp Infect 2005;59:249–53.
- [84] Ntagiopoulos PG, Paramythiotou E, Antoniadou A, et al. Impact of an antibiotic restriction policy on the antibiotic resistance patterns of gram-negative microorganisms in an intensive care unit in Greece. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007;30:360–5.

248