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In this note, we consider commutative local rings with invertible element 2, and give a relation between an ordered local ring and a prime ideal of Witt ring over it which is a generalization of the results of Lorenz and Leicht [3] related to prime ideals of Witt ring over a field. By [5], any non-degenerate and finitely generated projective quadratic module $(V, q)$ over a local ring $R$ can be written as a form $(V, q)=\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\langle a$,$\rangle , where a_{i}$ is in the unit group $U(R)$ of $R$ and $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ denotes a rank one free quadratic submodule ( $\left.R v_{i}, q \mid R v_{i}\right)$ such that $q\left(v_{i}\right)=\frac{a_{i}}{2}$. If, for any element $a \operatorname{in} U(R)$, the element having the representative $\langle a\rangle$ in the Witt ring $W(R)$ is denoted by $a$, then any element of $W(R)$ can be written as a sum of elements of $U(R)$. We use $\perp, T$ and $\otimes$ for the notations of sum, difference and product in $W(R)$. In $\S 1$, we have essentially same argument for Witt ring over a local ring as one in [3]. In §2, we study about an ordered local ring $R$ which is an ordered ring such that every unit in $R$ is either $>0$ or $<0$, and give a generalization of Sylvester's theorem. In §3, we give an one to one correspondence between such orderings on $R$ and prime ideals $\mathfrak{F}$ of $W(R)$ such that $W(R) / \mathfrak{F} \approx Z$. Throughout this paper, we assume that the ring $R$ is commutative local ring with invertible element 2 , and every $R$-module is unitary.

1. Let $R$ be a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and the unit group $U(R)$. Since $\langle a\rangle \otimes_{R}\langle b\rangle \approx\langle a b\rangle$ for $a, b \in U(R)$, we have $(a \perp 1) \otimes(a \top 1)=$ $a^{2} \mathrm{~T} 1=1 \perp(-1)=0$ in $W(R)$ for any $a$ in $U(R)$. Therefore, we have the following analogous argument on local ring $R$ to [3]. If $\mathfrak{F}$ is any prime ideal of $W(R)$, then any element $a$ in $U(R)$ is either $a \equiv 1(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$ or $a \equiv-1(\bmod \mathfrak{F})$. We denote $\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}(a)=1$ or -1 , if $a \equiv 1(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$ or $a \equiv-1(\bmod \mathfrak{F})$, respectively. Then for any element $\alpha \in W(R)$, say $\alpha=a_{1} \perp a_{2} \perp \cdots \perp a_{n}$ for $a_{i} \in U(R)$, we have $\alpha \equiv \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(a_{1}\right) \perp \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(a_{2}\right) \perp \cdots \perp \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(a_{n}\right)(\bmod \mathfrak{F})$, therefore there exists an epimorphism $Z \rightarrow W(R) / \mathfrak{F}$, and so $W(R) / \mathfrak{F} \approx Z$ or $\approx Z /(p)$ for some prime number $p$ in the integers $Z$. Accordingly, we have
(1.1) $W(R) / \mathfrak{\beta} \approx Z$ if and only if $\mathfrak{\beta}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $W(R)$ which is not maximal.
(1. 2) $W(R) / \mathfrak{\beta} \approx Z /(p)$ for some prime number $p$ if and only if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a maximal ideal of $W(R)$.
(1.3) $W(R)$ is a Jacobson ring, i.e. every prime ideal is an intersection of maximal ideals.

There is an epimorphism $W(R) \rightarrow Z /(2)$ such that if $\alpha=a_{1} \perp a_{2} \perp \cdots \perp a_{n}$ is in $W(R)$ for $a_{i} \in U(R)$ then $\alpha$ corresponds to $n(\bmod 2)$. Then we denote $\operatorname{ker}(W(B) \rightarrow Z /(2))$ by $\mathfrak{M}$.
(1.4) A prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ is $\mathfrak{\beta} \neq \mathfrak{M}$ if and only if $1 \neq-1(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$.
(1.5) Any minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{\beta}$ of $W(R)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{M}$.
2. We call that local ring $R$ is an ordered local ring if $R$ is an ordered ring such that every unit is either positive element or negative element ( $R$ is not necessarily total ordered). For ordered local ring $R$, we call that the set of positive units in $U(R)$ is the positive units part of $R$.
(2.1) Proposition. A local ring $R$ is an ordered local ring if and only if there exists a subset $P$ satisfying the following conditions
(1) $P \cup-P=U(R)$
(2) $P \cap-P=\phi$
(3) $P \cdot P \subset P$
(4) $(P+P) \cap U(R) \subset P$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a subset of $U(R)$ satisfying the conditions. We set $\mathfrak{m}_{+}=$ $\{x \in \mathfrak{m}$; there exists $a \in P$ such that $x-a \in P\}$, and $Q=P \cup \mathfrak{m}_{+}$. Then we have the following properties:

1) $\mathfrak{m}_{+} \cap-\mathfrak{m}_{+}=\phi . \quad$ Because, if there exists an element $x$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{+} \cap-\mathfrak{m}_{+}$, then there exists $a, b$ in $P$ such that $x-a$ and $-x-b$ are in $P$, and so $-(a+b)=$ $(x-a)+(-x-b) \in P+P$. If $a+b$ is in $U(R)$, it is impossible by 4) and 2). Therefore, $a+b \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $a-b=(a+b)-2 b \in U(R)$. If $a-b \in P$, then $x-b=$ $(x-a)+(a-b) \in P$ and so $-2 b=(x-b)+(-x-b) \in P$, it is a contradiction to (2). If $b-a$ is in $P$, then similarly we have contradiction $-2 a=(x-a)+$ $(-x-a) \in P$.
Analogously, we have easily
2) $(P+P) \cap \mathrm{m} \subset \mathrm{m}_{+}$.
3) $\left(P+\mathrm{m}_{+}\right) \subset P$.
4) $\mathfrak{m}_{+}+\mathfrak{m}_{+} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{+}$.
5) $P \cdot \mathfrak{m}_{+} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{+}$.
6) $m_{+} \cdot m_{+} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{+}$.

Therefore $Q$ has the properties (I) $Q \cap-Q=\phi$, (II) $Q \cdot Q \subset Q$ and (III) $Q+Q \subset Q$. By the set $Q$, we can make $R$ an ordered ring which has positive part $Q$. The converse is clear.

We denote by $k=R / \mathrm{m}$ the residue field of $R$ and $\varphi: R \rightarrow k$ the canonical homomorphism.
(2.2) Proposition. Let $R$ be an ordered local ring with positive units part $P$. Then it satisfies $P+P \subset P$ if and only if $k$ is a total ordered field such that $\varphi(P)$ is the positive part, i.e. $k$ is a formal real field.

Proof. If $k=R / \mathfrak{m}$ is a total ordered field such that $\varphi(P)$ is the positive part, then $\varphi(P)+\varphi(P) \subset \varphi(P)$ and $0 \notin \varphi(P)$, therefore we have $P+P \subset P$. Conversely, if $P+P \subset P$, then we have $\varphi(P) \cap-\varphi(P)=\phi$. Therefore, we obtain easily that $k$ is total ordered field with positive part $\varphi(\mathrm{P})$.

Let $P$ be any subset of local ring $R$ satisfying the condtions in (2.1) and $Q=P \cup \mathfrak{m}_{+}$, where $\mathrm{m}_{+}=\{x \in \mathrm{~m} ; \exists a \in P ; x-a \in P\}$.
(2.3) For any $x, y$ in $R, x+y \in Q$ implies $x \in Q$ or $y \in Q$.

Proof. Let $x+y \in Q$. If $x+y \in \mathrm{P}$, then $x \in U(R)$ or $y \in U(R)$. If $x$ and $y$ are in $U(R)$, then $x \in P$ or $y \in P$. If $x \in U(R)$ and $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{m}$, then $x \in P$ or $\mathrm{y} \in \mathfrak{m}_{+}$. If $x+y$ is in $\mathfrak{m}_{+}$, there exists $a \in P$ such that $x+y-a \in P$. Since $x+y-a=\left(x-\frac{a}{2}\right)+\left(y-\frac{a}{2}\right)$, we have $x-\frac{a}{2} \in P$ or $y-\frac{a}{2} \in P$, accordingly $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{+}$or $y \in \mathfrak{m}_{+}$.
(2.4) Proposition. Let $P$ and $Q$ be as above. Then $\mathfrak{p}=\{x \in R ; x \notin Q \cup$ $-Q\}$ is a prime ideal of $R$.

Proof. From (2.3), we have $\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. We shall show that for any $r \in R$ and $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ we have $r x \in \mathfrak{p}$. We assume $r x \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then we may assume $r x \in Q$. It is considered in the three cases; 1) If $r \in U(R)$, then it is impossible that $r x \in Q \cup-Q$. 2) If $r \in \mathfrak{m}_{+}$, then there exists $a \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $r-a=c \in P$, and from (2.3) $x a+x c=x r \in Q$ implies $x a \in Q$ or $x c \in Q$, it is impossible from the first case. 3) If $r \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x r \in \mathfrak{m}_{+}$and so there exists $a \in \mathrm{P}$ such that $x r-a \in \mathrm{P}$. Since $r(x-a)+a(r-1)=x r-a \in Q$, we have $r(x-a) \in Q$ or $a(r-1) \in Q$. But $a(r-1) \in Q$ is impossible. Therefore, it must be $r(x-a) \in Q$. But, it is also impossible from the first case. Accordingly, $r x \in \mathfrak{p}$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal of $R$. Since $(Q \cup-Q)(Q \cup-Q) \subset Q \cup-Q, p$ is a prime ideal.
(2.5) Theorem. Let $R$ be an ordered local ring with the positive units part $P$, and let $Q$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ be as (2.4). Then the localization $R_{\mathfrak{p}}=Q^{-1} R$ of $R$ by prime ideal
$\mathfrak{p}$ is also an ordered local ring such that $\hat{Q}=Q^{-1} Q$ is the positive units part and $R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a formal real field. Let $\Re$ be the real closure of $R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then there exists a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that $f$ induces the epimorphism $\bar{f}: W(R) \rightarrow$ $W(\Re) \approx Z$, and $f(P)$ is contained in the positive part of $\Re$, furthermore ker $\bar{f}$ is generated by $\{x \backslash 1 ; x \in P\}$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\hat{Q} \cup-\hat{Q}=U\left(R_{\mathfrak{p}}\right), \hat{Q} \cap-\hat{Q}=\phi, \hat{Q} \hat{Q} \subset \hat{Q}$ and $\hat{Q}+\hat{Q} \subset \hat{Q}$. Therefore, by (2.2) the canonical homomorphism $\phi^{\prime}: R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a total ordering on $R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, $R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a formal real field. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the real closure of $R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $W(\Re) \approx Z$. Let $f: R \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be the composition of ring homomorphisms $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\prime}} R_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \Re$. The positive units part of $R$ is sent to the positive part of $\Re$. Therefore, $f$ induces the ring epimor$\operatorname{phism} \bar{f}: W(R) \rightarrow W(\Re)$, and $\operatorname{ker} \bar{f}$ is generated by $\{x T 1 ; x \in P\}$. Because, if $\alpha$ is any element in $\operatorname{ker} \bar{f}$ and $\alpha=a_{1} \perp a_{2} \perp \cdots \perp a_{n}$, then we have $\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{1}\right) \perp \varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{2}\right)$ $\perp \cdots \perp \varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{n}\right)=0$, in $W(\Re)$, also in $W(R)$, where $\varepsilon_{p} a()=\left\{\begin{array}{c}1: a \in P \\ -1: a \in-P\end{array}\right.$. Since $\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{i}\right) a_{i}$ is in $P$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots \mathrm{n}$, we have $\alpha=a_{1} \perp a_{2} \perp \cdots \perp a_{n} \perp\left(\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{1}\right) \perp \cdots \perp\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)=\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{1}\right) a_{1} \top 1\right) \perp \cdots \perp \varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{n}\right) \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{p}\left(a_{n}\right) a\right.$, T1 $)$ in $W(R)$. Therefore we have $\operatorname{ker} \bar{f} \subset(\{x \top 1 ; x \in P\})$. $\operatorname{ker} \bar{f} \supset\{x \top 1 ; x \in P\}$ is clear.

We have the following Sylvester's theorem for ordered local ring.
(2.6) Corollary. Let $R$ be an ordered local ring, and ( $V, q$ ) a nondegenerate and finitely generated projective quadratic $R$-module. If $(V, q) \approx\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \perp$ $\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\left\langle a_{r}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle-b_{1}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle-b_{2}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\left\langle-b_{s}\right\rangle$ for positive units $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots a_{r}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots b_{s}$ in $R$, then the integer $r-s$ is uniquely determined by $(V, q)$.

Proof. From (2.5), there exists a real closed field $\mathfrak{R}$ and a ring homomorphism $f: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that the positive units part of $R$ is sent to the positive part of $\Re$. If $(V, \mathrm{q}) \approx\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\left\langle a_{r}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle\perp b_{1}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\left\langle-b_{s}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle a_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle \perp \cdots \perp\left\langle a_{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle \perp\left\langle-b_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ $\perp \cdots \perp\left\langle-b_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, then $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \perp a_{i}\right) \mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \perp b_{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r^{\prime}} \perp a_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s^{\prime}} \perp b_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ in $W(R)$, and by the ring homomorphism $\bar{f}: W(R) \rightarrow W(\Re) \approx Z$ induced by f , it is sent to $r-s=r^{\prime}-s^{\prime}$.
3. We shall show the following main theorem.
(3.1) Theorem. For any local ring $R$ with invertible 2, there exists an one to one correspondence between the set of minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{F}$ of $W(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{B} \neq \mathfrak{M}$ and the set of subsets $P$ of $U(R)$ satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) in (2.1), i.e. the set of minimal orderings on $R$ such that $R$ makes ordered local ring.

This theorem is obtained from the following arguments.
(3.2) Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime ideal of $W(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{F} \neq \mathfrak{M}$, and put $P(\mathfrak{P})=$ $\{x \in U(R): x \equiv 1$ (mod $\mathfrak{F})\}$. Then $P(\mathfrak{F})$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) in (2.1). Therefore $R$ is an ordered local ring with positive part $Q(\mathfrak{F})=\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{P}) \cup$ $\{x \in \mathfrak{m}: \exists a \in P(\mathfrak{F}) ; x-a \in P(\mathfrak{P})\}$. If $\mathfrak{S}(P(\mathfrak{F}))$ denotes the ideal of $W(R)$ generated by $\{x \backslash 1: x \in P(\mathfrak{P})\}$, then $\mathfrak{P}(P(\mathfrak{P}))$ is a minimal prime ideal of $W(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{F} \supset \mathfrak{B}(P(\mathfrak{F}))$. Therefore, if $\mathfrak{B}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $W(R)$, then $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{P}(P(\mathfrak{F}))$.

Proof. The proof of conditions (1), (2), (3), and (4) is obtained similarly to the case over field (cf. [4]). The other part is obvious.
(3. 3) Let $P$ be a subset of $R$ satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) in (2. 1). Then we have $P(\mathfrak{F}(P))=P$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{F}(\mathrm{P})=\{x \top 1 ; x \in P\}, P(\mathfrak{F}(P)) \supset P$ is obvious. If there exists an element $x$ in $P(\mathfrak{F}(P))$ such that $x \notin P$, then $x \in-P$, and so $-x \top 1 \in$ $\mathfrak{P}(P)$. Therefore, we have $1 \equiv x \equiv-1(\bmod \mathfrak{P}(P))$, it is contradiction to $\mathfrak{P}(P) \neq \mathfrak{M}$. Accordingly, we have $P(\mathfrak{F}(P))=P$.
(3.4) Corollary. For any local ring $R$ with invertible 2, the Witt ring $W(R)$ is either a local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{M}$ is nil ideal and $W(R) \mathfrak{M} \approx Z /(2)$, or a Jacobson ring such that every maximal ideal has hight 1 and every minimal prime ideal has a residue ring isomorphic to $Z$.
(3. 5) Corollary. If $R$ is a local domain with altitude 1 and an ordered local ring, then $R$ is a total ordered ring, or the residue field is a formal real field.
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