Takagi, R. Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495-506

# ON HOMOGENEOUS REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

Dedicated to Professor S. Sasaki on his 60th birthday

Ryoichi TAKAGI<sup>1)</sup>

(Received February 15, 1973) (Revised May 11, 1973)

The purpose of this paper is to determine those homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space  $P_n(C)$  of complex dimension  $n(\geq 2)$  which are orbits under analytic subgroups of the projective unitary group PU(n+1), and to give some characterizations of those hypersurfaces. In §1 from each effective Hermitian orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of rank two we construct an example of homogeneous real hypersurface in  $P_n(C)$ , which we shall call a model space in  $P_n(C)$ . In §2 we show that the class of all homogeneous real hypersurfaces in  $P_n(C)$  that are orbits under analytic subgroups of PU(n+1) is exhausted by all model spaces. In §§3 and 4 we give some conditions for a real hypersurface in  $P_n(C)$  to be an orbit under an analytic subgroup of PU(n+1) and in the course of proof we obtain a rigidity theorem in  $P_n(C)$  analogous to one for hypersurfaces in a real space form.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor T. Takahashi for valuable discussions with him and his constant encouragement, and to Professor M. Takeuchi who made an original complicated proof of Lemma 2.3 short and clear.

#### 1. Model spaces

In this section we shall state several model spaces in a complex projective space  $P_n(C)$  with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. They are obtained essentially as orbits under the linear isotropy groups of various Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank two. Precisely, let  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  be an effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type.  $\mathfrak{u}$  is a compact semisimple Lie algebra and  $\theta$  is an involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{u}$  ([3]). Let  $\mathfrak{u}=\mathfrak{t}+\mathfrak{p}$  be the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{u}$  into the eigenspaces of  $\theta$  for the eigenvalues +1 and -1, respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfy  $[\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}]\subset\mathfrak{t}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{p}]\subset\mathfrak{p}$  and  $[\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}]\subset\mathfrak{t}$ .

<sup>1)</sup> Partially supported by the Sakko-kai Foundation.

For the Killing form B of  $\mathfrak u$  we define a positive definite inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak p$  by  $\langle X, Y \rangle = -B(X, Y)$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak p$ . Let K be the analytic subgroup of the group of inner automorphisms of  $\mathfrak u$  with Lie algebra  $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak k)$ . Then K leaves the subspace  $\mathfrak p$  of  $\mathfrak u$  invariant and acts on  $\mathfrak p$  as an orthogonal transformation group with respect to  $\langle , \rangle$ . We define a representation  $\rho$  of K on  $\mathfrak p$  by  $\rho(k)=k|\mathfrak p$  for  $k\in K$ . The differentiation  $\rho_*$  of  $\rho$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak k$  into the Lie algebra of the orthogonal group of  $\mathfrak p$  and satisfies  $(\rho_*X)Y=[X,Y]$  for all  $X\in \mathfrak k$  and all  $Y\in \mathfrak p$ . Let S denote the unit hypersurface in  $\mathfrak p$  centered at the origin and A be a regular element of  $\mathfrak p$  in S. Then the orbit  $N=\rho(K)A$  of A under  $\rho(K)$  is a submanifold of S of codimension R-1 ([9]), where R denotes the rank of the orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak u, \theta)$ . Furthermore we assume that  $(\mathfrak u, \theta)$  is Hermitian and of rank two. Then N is a hypersurface in S. It is known ([3]) that there is an element  $Z_0$  in the center of  $\mathfrak k$  such that

$$(
ho_*Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})^2=-1$$
 ,  $\langle(
ho_*Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})X,(
ho_*Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})Y
angle=\langle X,Y
angle \qquad ext{for }X,Y\!\in\!\mathfrak{p}.$ 

Thus we may regard  $\mathfrak{p}$  as a complex vector (n+1)-space  $C^{n+1}$  with complex structure  $I=\rho_*Z_0$  and Hermitian inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ , where  $2(n+1)=\dim \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection of  $\mathfrak{p}-\{0\}=C^{n+1}-\{0\}$  onto  $P_n(C)$  and V be a vector field on  $\mathfrak{p}$  defined by  $V_X=I(X), X\in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since the 1-parameter subgroup  $\rho(\exp RZ_0)$  of  $\rho(K)$  induces V and leaves N invariant, it is easy to prove htat the image  $M=\pi(N)$  of N by  $\pi$  becomes a real hypersurface in  $P_n(C)$ . We assert that  $\rho(K)$  is an analytic subgroup of the unitary group U(n+1) of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to I and  $\rho$  mapps the group  $C_0$  of K generated by  $Z_0$  onto the center of U(n+1) isomorphically. In fact, for any  $k\in K$  we have

$$I \circ \rho(k) = (\operatorname{ad} Z_0) | \mathfrak{p} \circ k | \mathfrak{p} = k | \mathfrak{p} \circ (\operatorname{ad} Z_0) | \mathfrak{p} = \rho(k) \circ I.$$

The second assertion is evident. It follows that the group  $G = \rho(K)/\rho(C_0)$  is a compact analytic subgroup of  $PU(n+1) = U(n+1)/\rho(C_0)$  which acts on M transitively as a transformation group of isometries of M. We shall call this M a model space in  $P_n(C)$ . We can say that a real hypersurface  $\hat{M}$  in  $P_n(C)$  obtained from another regular element of p in S is of the same type as M in the sense that both M and  $\hat{M}$  are orbits in  $P_n(C)$  under the same subgroup G of PU(n+1). Thus it turned out that each effective Hermitian orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type and of rank two produces real hypersurfaces of the same type in  $P_n(C)$ . By virture of a complete classification theorem of effective Hermitian orthogonal symmetric Lie algebras we obtain the following list of model spaces of different type in  $P_n(C)$ . The first case in the Table is the only case where  $(u, \theta)$  is reducible, which was found by N. Tanaka ([8]).

u	1	dim M
$\mathfrak{gu}(p+1)+\mathfrak{gu}(q+1)$ $p \ge q \ge 1, p>1$	$\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{u}(p)+\mathfrak{u}(1))+\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{u}(q)+\mathfrak{u}(1))$	2(p+q)-3
$egin{array}{c} rak{\mathfrak{gu}(m+2)} \ m \geq 3 \end{array}$	$\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{u}(m)+\mathfrak{u}(2))$	4m-3
$p(m+2)$ $m \ge 3$	$\mathfrak{o}(m) + R$	2m-3
o(10)	u(5)	17
$E_6$	o(10) + <b>R</b>	29

Table

### 2. Orbits under analytic subgroups of PU(n+1)

In §1 we saw that each model space is an orbit in  $P_n(C)$  under an analytic subgroup of the identity component PU(n+1) of the group of all isometries of  $P_n(C)$ . Conversely we have

**Theorem 2.1.** If M is a real hypersurface in  $P_n(C)$  being an orbit an analytic subgroup G of PU(n+1), then M is congruent to one of model spaces with respect to the group of all isometries of  $P_n(C)$ 

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need some preparations.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  be an effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type and the other notations as in §1. If H is an analytic subgroup of K such that  $\rho(H)$  acts on an orbit  $N=\rho(K)A$  transitively, then so is  $kHk^{-1}$  for any  $k \in K$ .

Proof. Choosing an element h of H such that  $\rho(k^{-1})A = \rho(h)A$ , we have  $\rho(kHk^{-1})A = \rho(kH)\rho(k^{-1})A = \rho(kH)\rho(h)A = \rho(k)\rho(H)A = \rho(k)N = N$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(\mathfrak{u},\theta)$  be an irreducible effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type and of rank two and H be an analytic subgroup of K such that  $\rho(H)$  acts on N transitively. Suppose that there is a  $\rho(H)$ -invariant complex structure I on  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $I = \rho_* Z_0$  for some  $Z_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$ . If H is not semisimple, then  $(\mathfrak{u},\theta)$  is Hermitian.

Proof. Assume that  $(\mathfrak{u},\theta)$  is not Hermitian. Then  $\mathfrak{k}$  is semisimple. We assert that  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  have the same rank. In fact, if the rank of  $\mathfrak{k}$  is smaller than that of  $\mathfrak{u}$ , then there is a Cartan subalgebra  $c(\mathfrak{k})+c(\mathfrak{p})$  of  $\mathfrak{u}$  such that  $c(\mathfrak{k})$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$  containing  $Z_0$ , and  $\{0\} \neq c(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\rho_* Z_0$  vanishes on  $c(\mathfrak{p})$ , which contradicts  $\rho_* Z_0 = I$ . By a complete classification theorem of effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebras we know that the possile set of paris  $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{k})$  satisfying these conditions is  $\{(G_2, \mathfrak{o}(4)), (\mathfrak{Sp}(2+n), \mathfrak{Sp}(2) + \mathfrak{Sp}(n))\}$ .

The case where  $\mathfrak{u}=G_2$  and  $\mathfrak{t}=\mathfrak{o}(4)$ . Since  $\rho(H)$  acts on N transitively, dim  $H \ge \dim N = \dim \mathfrak{p} - 2 = 6$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{t}$  since dim  $\mathfrak{o}(4)=6$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  denotes the Lie algebra of H. This contradicts the fact that  $\mathfrak{o}(4)$  is semisimple.

The case where  $u=\mathfrak{Sp}(2+n)$  and  $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{Sp}(2)+\mathfrak{Sp}(n)$ . In this case we shall derive a contradiction by determining a concrete expression of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We denote by H the real algebra of quaternions and by 1, i, j, k the units of H. We identify C with the subalgebra  $R \cdot 1 + R \cdot i$  of H. The set of all martices of degree n with coefficients in H will be denoted by  $M_n(H)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{u} &= \mathfrak{Sp}(2+n) = \{X {\in} M_{2+n}(\boldsymbol{H}); \, {}^tX {=} - \overline{X} \} \;, \\ \mathbf{f} &= \mathfrak{Sp}(2) {+} \mathfrak{Sp}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} XO \\ OV \end{pmatrix}; \, X {\in} \mathfrak{Sp}(2), \, Y {\in} \mathfrak{Sp}(n) \right\}. \end{split}$$

We choose as a Cartan subalgebra t of t the following one

$$\mathbf{t} = \left\{ U(x_1, \, \cdots, \, x_{n+2}) = \begin{pmatrix} ix_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & ix_{n+2} \end{pmatrix}; \, x_1, \, \cdots, \, x_{n+2} \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Then  $U_r = U(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)(0$  except for r-th),  $1 \le r \le n+2$ , forms a base of t. A base  $\omega_r$ ,  $1 \le r \le n+2$ , of the dual space  $t^*$  of t is defined by  $\omega_r(U_s) = \delta_{rs}$ ,  $1 \le s \le n+2$ . For an element  $\alpha \in t^*$  we put

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\omega} = \{X \!\in\! \mathfrak{u}^c; [U,X] = 2\pi i \alpha(U) X \;\; ext{for all } U \!\in\! \mathfrak{t} \}$$
 ,

where  $\mathfrak{u}^c$  denotes the complexification of  $\mathfrak{u}$ . If  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}$  then  $\alpha$  is called a root of  $\mathfrak{u}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . The set of nonzero roots of  $\mathfrak{u}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$  is denoted by  $\Delta$ . We put

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}} = \{\alpha \in \Delta; \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{k}^c\}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\alpha \in \Delta; \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{p}^c\}.$$

Then we easily find (cf. [7])

$$\Delta_{!} = \{\pm \omega_{1} \pm \omega_{2}, \pm 2\omega_{r} (1 \leq r \leq n+2), \pm \omega_{r} \pm \omega_{s} (3 \leq r < s \leq n+2)\},$$

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{D}} = \{\pm \omega_{1} \pm \omega_{r}, \pm \omega_{2} \pm \omega_{r}, (3 \leq r \leq n+2)\}.$$

Since for any Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}'$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  there is an element  $k_0$  of K such that  $\mathrm{Ad}(k_0)$  mapps  $\mathfrak{t}'$  into  $\mathfrak{t}(\mathrm{cf.}\ [5])$ , we may assume by Lemma 2.2 that  $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ . For any  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and any  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{u}_{\alpha}$  we have

$$I(X_{\alpha})=[Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,X_{\scriptscriptstyle lpha}]=2\pi\,i\,\alpha(Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})X_{\scriptscriptstyle lpha}$$
 ,

which implies that  $2\pi i\alpha(Z_0)$  is an eigenvalue of I. Hence  $\alpha(z_0)=\pm 1$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where we put  $z_0=2\pi Z_0$ . It follows that  $z_0=\pm U_1\pm U_2$  or  $\pm U_3\pm \cdots \pm U_{n+2}$ . Since the Weyl group  $W_{\mathfrak{k}}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  is generated by the reflections of  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , there is an element w of  $W_{\mathfrak{k}}$  such that  $w(z_0)=U_1+U_2$  or  $U_3+\cdots+U_{n+2}$ . Hence

we may assume again by Lemma 2.2 that  $z_0 = U_1 + U_2$  or  $U_3 + \cdots + U_{n+2}$ . First let  $z_0 = U_1 + U_2$ . A subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}' = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}; [X, Z_0] = 0\}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  contains  $\mathfrak{h}$ . By a simple calculation we find

$$\mathfrak{h}' = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} ia & z & 0 \\ -z & ib & \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array} \right); a, b \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

If we put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{vmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2i & 0 \\ 0 & 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then A is a regular element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This can be easily checked from the fact that  $A \in \mathfrak{u}_{\omega_1 - \omega_3} + \mathfrak{u}_{\omega_2 - \omega_4} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . It is be easily calculated that the centralizer  $\mathfrak{t}(A)$  of A in  $\mathfrak{t}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{f}(A) = \left\{ egin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \ \hline 0 & -iai & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -ibi & 0 \ \hline 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}; \ a, \ b \in \mathbf{R}i + \mathbf{R}j + \mathbf{R}k 
ight\}$$

Therefore the following subspace of  $\mathfrak{k}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{h}' + \mathfrak{k}(A)$ 

$$\left\{ egin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 & 0 \ -\overline{q} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix}; q \in \mathbf{R}i + \mathbf{R}k 
ight\}.$$

On the other hand, since the tangent space of N at A coincides with the subspace [t, A] = [t', A] of p, we see that t = t' + t(A). This is a contradiction. Similarly we have a contradiction also in the case where  $z_0 = U_3 + \cdots + U_{n+2}$ . Q.E.D.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let  $C^{n+1}$  be a complx vector (n+1)-space with complex structure I' and Hermitian inner product  $\langle , \rangle'$ , and  $\pi' : C^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow$ 

 $P_n(C)$  be the canonical projection. Let S' denote the unit hypersphere in  $C^{n+1}$ centered at the origin. Then it is evident that the subset  $N=\pi'^{-1}(M)\cap S'$  of S'becomes a hypersurface in S' in a natural manner. Moreover N is an orbit under an analytic subgroup of U(n+1). In fact, if we denote by  $\mathfrak q$  the Lie algebra of G and by  $\mathfrak{z}$  the center of  $\mathfrak{u}(n+1)$ , then the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g}+\mathfrak{z}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Su}(n+1)+\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{u}(n+1)$  and hence of  $\mathfrak{o}(2n+2)$ . Let  $\hat{H}$  be the analytic subgroup of O(2n+2) with Lie algebra g+3. Then N coincides with an orbit under  $\hat{H}$ , which proves our assertion. On the other hand, W.Y. Hsiang and H.B. Lawson Jr. [4] classified those compact analytic subgroups of O(m+1) up to conjugation which have orbits of codimension one in an m-sphere and are not subgroups of another compact analytic subgroups of O(m+1) with the same orbits. As a result of their classification we know that those groups except for reducible ones coincide exactly with the linear isotropy groups of various irreducible symmetric spaces of rank two. Since  $\hat{H}$  includes the center of U(n+1),  $\hat{H}$  is reducible as a subgroup of O(2n+2) if and only if  $\hat{H}$  is reducible as a subgroup of U(n+1). If  $\dot{H}$  is reducible, then it can be easily shown that N is a product of two spheres. Hence  $\hat{H}$  is conjugate to a subgroup of a subgroup of the following form of U(n+1) in O(2n+2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} U(r) & O \\ O & U(n+1-r) \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $1 \le r \le n$ .

In other words, there is an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  of the first type in the Table and a **R**-linear isomrphism of  $C^{n+1}$  onto  $\mathfrak{p}$  with sends  $I', \langle , \rangle'$ and N to I,  $\langle , \rangle$  and an orbit  $N_0 = \rho(K)A$  in S, resectively. Thus M is a model space in  $P_n(C)$  of the first type. If  $\hat{H}$  is irreducible, then  $\hat{H}$  is compact by a theorem of M. Goto ([2]). Then above theorem of Hsiang and Lawson implies that there is an irreducible effective orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  of compact type and of rank two such that we can identify  $C^{n+1}$  with  $\mathfrak p$  as R-linear spaces,  $\langle , \rangle'$  with  $\langle , \rangle$  and N with an orbit  $N_0 = \rho(K)A$  in S under the linear isotropy representation of  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$ , and such that  $\rho(K)$  coincides with the idenity component of the group of all orthogonal transformations of  $\mathfrak{p}$  leaving  $N_0$  invariant, in particular,  $\rho_*(t)$  contains I' which can be regarded a complex structure of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We put  $H=\rho^{-1}(\hat{H})$ , which is a compact analytic subgroup of K. Then H and  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  satisfy the condition of Lemma 2.3 and so  $(\mathfrak{u}, \theta)$  is Hermtian. an irreducible group  $\hat{H}$  of O(2n+2) commutes elementwise with both I and I', we have  $I=\pm I'$  by Schur's lemma. If I=-I', by taking  $-Z_0$  instead of  $Z_0$  we have I=I'. Hence we may set I=I'. Thus the above identification:  $C^{n+1} \equiv \mathfrak{p}$ induces the identification of two complex projective spaces  $P_n(C)$  under which  $M=\pi(N_0)$ . Q.E.D.

### 3. A rigidity theorem

In this section we shall prove a rigidity theorem on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space  $P_n(C)$  to give a characterization of model spaces. Hereafter let M be a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $2n-1(\geq 3)$ . We denote by F(M) the bundle of orthonormal frames of M. Then F(M) is a principal fibre bundle over M with structure group O(2n-1). An element u of F(M) can be expressed by  $u=(p\colon e_1, \cdots, e_{2n-1})$ , where p is a point of M and  $e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-1}$  is an ordered orthonomal base of the tangent space of M at p. The projection of F(M) onto M is denoted by  $\pi$ . The canonical forms  $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{2n-1}$  of F(M) are the linear diffrential forms on F(M) defined by

$$\pi_*X = \sum_i \theta^i(X)e_i^{2}$$
,

where X is a tangent vector of F(M) at  $u=(p:e_1, \dots, e_{2n-1})$  and  $\pi_*$  is a differential mapping of  $\pi$ . The connection forms  $\theta_J^2$  of F(M) are the linear differential forms on F(M) uniquely determined by the following conditions:

(3.1) 
$$\theta_j^j + \theta_i^j = 0 \text{ and } d\theta^i + \sum_j \theta_j^i \wedge \theta^j = 0.$$

The curvature forms  $\Theta_j^j$  of the connection are defined by

(3.2) 
$$\Theta_{j}^{j} = d\theta_{j}^{j} + \sum_{k} \theta_{k}^{k} \wedge \theta_{j}^{k}.$$

Hereafter let  $P_n(C)$  have constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4c. The bundle of orthonormal frames of  $P_n(C)$  is denoted by F(P). If we denote by  $\tilde{\theta}^A$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}^A_B$  and  $\Theta^A_B$  the canonical forms, the connection forms and the curvature forms of F(P) respectively, then  $\Theta^A_B$  are given by

(3.3) 
$$\Theta_B^A = c\tilde{\theta}^A \wedge \tilde{\theta}^B + c \sum_{C,D} (\tilde{J}_C^A \tilde{J}_D^B + \tilde{J}_B^A \tilde{J}_D^C) \tilde{\theta}^C \wedge \tilde{\theta}^D,$$

where the tensor field  $\tilde{J} = (\tilde{J}_B^A)$  on F(P) denotes the complex structure of  $P_n(C)$ , that is,  $\tilde{J}(\tilde{e}_A) = \sum_{R} \tilde{J}_A^B \tilde{e}_B$  at  $(\tilde{p}: \tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_{2n}) \in F(P)$ . Moreover  $\tilde{J}$  satisfies

$$\tilde{J}_B^A + \tilde{J}_A^B = 0,$$

$$(3.5) \qquad \qquad \sum_{C} \tilde{J}_{C}^{A} \tilde{J}_{B}^{C} = -\delta_{B}^{A},$$

(3.6) 
$$d\tilde{J}_{B}^{A} = \sum_{C} \tilde{J}_{C}^{A} \tilde{\theta}_{B}^{C} - \sum_{C} \tilde{J}_{B}^{C} \tilde{\theta}_{C}^{A}.$$

The equation (3.6) means that  $\tilde{J}$  is parallel.

An isometry  $\varphi$  of  $P_n(C)$  induces a diffeomorphism of F(P) leaving the forms  $\tilde{\theta}^A$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}^A_B$  and  $\Theta^A_B$  invariant in an obvious manner, which is also denoted by the same letter  $\varphi$ .

<sup>2)</sup> In the following the indices i, j, k, l run from 1 to 2n-1 and the indices A, B, C, D run from 1 to 2n

Let  $\iota$  be an isometric immersion of M into  $P_n(C)$ . For an orthonormal frame  $u=(p\colon e_1,\,\cdots,\,e_{2n-1})$  of M there exists a unique tangent vector  $\tilde{e}_{2n}$  to  $P_n(C)$  at  $\iota(p)$  such that  $\tilde{u}=(\iota(p)\colon\iota_*e_1,\,\cdots,\iota_*e_{2n-1},\tilde{e}_{2n})$  is an orthonormal frame of  $P_n(C)$  compatible with the orientation of  $P_n(C)$  determined by  $\tilde{J}$ . This mapping  $u\to\tilde{u}$  of F(M) into F(P) is also denoted by the same letter  $\iota$ . Then denoting by  $\iota^*$  the dual mapping of  $\iota_*$  we have  $\theta^i=\iota^*\tilde{\theta}^i$  and  $\iota^*\tilde{\theta}^{2n}=0$ , from which we know  $\theta^i_j=\iota^*\tilde{\theta}^i_j$  and  $0=\iota^*d\tilde{\theta}^{2n}=-\sum \iota^*\tilde{\theta}^{2n}_i\wedge\theta^i$ . By Cartan's lemma we may write as

(3.7) 
$$\phi_i \equiv \iota^* \tilde{\theta}_i^{2n} = \sum_j H_{ij} \theta^j, \qquad H_{ij} = H_{ij}.$$

The quadratic form  $\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \theta^{i}$  is called the second fundamental form of  $(M, \iota)$ . Put  $J_{J}^{\iota} = \tilde{J}_{J}^{\iota} \circ \iota$  and  $f_{i} = \tilde{J}_{I}^{2n} \circ \iota$ . The pair (J, f) is called the almost Grayan structure of  $(M, \iota)$ . From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.7) we have the equation of Gauss

(3.8) 
$$\Theta_{j}^{i} = \phi_{i} \wedge \phi_{j} + c \theta^{i} \wedge \theta^{j} + c \sum_{i} (J_{k}^{i} J_{i}^{j} + J_{j}^{i} J_{i}^{k}) \theta^{k} \wedge \theta^{i}.$$

From (3.3) and (3,7) we have the equation of Codazzi

(3.9) 
$$d\phi_i + \sum_i \phi_j \wedge \theta_i^j = c \sum_{i,k} (f_i J_k^i + f_i J_k^j) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k.$$

Moreover (J, f) satisfies

$$(3.10) J_{j}^{i} + J_{i}^{j} = 0,$$

$$(3.11) \qquad \qquad \sum_k J_k^i J_j^k - f_i f_j = -\delta_j^i, \quad \sum_i J_j^i f_j = 0 \;, \quad \sum_i f_i^2 = 1 \;,$$

(3.12) 
$$dJ_{j}^{i} = \sum_{k} J_{k}^{i} \theta_{j}^{k} - \sum_{k} J_{j}^{k} \theta_{k}^{i} - f_{i} \phi_{j} + f_{j} \phi_{i},$$
$$df_{i} = \sum_{j} f_{j} \theta_{i}^{j} - \sum_{j} J_{i}^{j} \phi_{j}.$$

Thus an isometric immersion  $\iota$  of M into  $P_n(C)$  induces three tensor fields  $H=(H_i)$  of type (0,2),  $J=(J_i^{\iota})$  of type (1,1) and  $f=(f_i)$  to type (0,1) on F(M). For another isometric immersion  $\hat{\iota}$  of M into  $P_n(C)$  we shall denote the differential forms and the tensor fields on F(M) induced by  $\hat{\iota}$  by the same symbol but with a roof  $\wedge$  overhead.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  be two isometric immersions of M into  $P_n(C)$ . If  $H = \hat{H}$ , then  $J = \hat{J}$  and  $f = \hat{f}$ , or  $J = -\hat{J}$  and  $f = -\hat{f}$ .

Proof. Since  $\phi_i = \hat{\phi}_i$  and  $\Theta_j^i = \hat{\Theta}_j^i$ , we have from (3.8) and (3.9)

$$(3.13) \qquad \sum_{k,l} (J_k^i J_l^j + J_j^i J_l^k) \theta^k \wedge \theta^l = \sum_{k,l} (\hat{J}_k^i \hat{J}_l^j + \hat{J}_j^i \hat{J}_l^k) \theta^k \wedge \theta^l ,$$

$$(3.14) \qquad \qquad \sum_{i,k} (f_j J_k^i + f_i J_k^j) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k = \sum_{i,k} (\hat{f}_j \hat{J}_k^i + \hat{f}_i \hat{J}_k^j) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k.$$

Compare the coefficients of  $\theta^i \wedge \theta^j$  in (3.13) to get

$$(J_{j}^{i})^{2} = (\hat{J}_{j}^{i})^{2}$$
.

Here we define a subbundle F' of F(P) by

$$F' = \{ (\tilde{p}: \tilde{e}_1, \cdots, \tilde{e}_{2n-1}, \tilde{e}_{2n}) \in F(P); \hat{f}\tilde{e}_{2n-1} = \tilde{e}_{2n} \}$$

and restrict the forms the tensor fields under consideration to the subbundle  $\hat{i}^{-1} F'$  of F(M). Then  $\hat{f}_{2n-1}^i = 0$  for all i and  $\hat{f}_{2n-1} = 1$ , so  $\hat{f}_i = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le 2n-2$ . Hence  $\hat{f}_{2n-1}^i = 0$  for all i and so  $\hat{f}_{2n-1} = \pm 1$  by (3.11). Thus  $\hat{f}_i = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le 2n-2$ . Put i = 2n-1 in (3.14) to get

$$J_k^j = f_{2n-1} \hat{J}_k^j$$
 for  $1 \le i, k \le 2n-2$ .

Since  $f_{2n-1} = \pm 1$ , we showed that Lemma 3.1 holds on F' and hence on F(M).

Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  be two isometric immersions of M into  $P_n(C)$ . If  $H = \hat{H}$ , then  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  are rigid, that is, there is an isometry  $\varphi$  of  $P_n(C)$  such that  $\varphi \circ \iota = \hat{\iota}$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have  $J=\hat{J}$  and  $f=\hat{f}$ , or  $J=-\hat{J}$  and  $f=-\hat{f}$ . First assume that  $J=\hat{J}$  and  $f=\hat{f}$ . This implies that if u is an element of F(M) such that  $\iota(u)$  is a unitary frame of  $P_n(C)$  then  $\hat{\iota}(u)$  is also a unitary frame of  $P_n(C)$ . Then there exists a unique element  $\varphi$  of PU(n+1) such that  $\varphi(\iota(u))=\hat{\iota}(u)$ . Making use of the same method as one of proving a rigidity theorem of hypersurfaces in a real space form, it can be proved that the mapping  $u\to\varphi$  of F(M) into PU(n+1) is constant (cf. [6], [10]). Next assume that  $J=-\hat{J}$  and f=-f. This implies that n+1 is even since for each  $u\in F(M)$  the frames  $\iota(u)$  and  $\hat{\iota}(u)$  of  $P_n(C)$  determine the same orientation of  $P_n(C)$ . Hence the isometry  $\tau$  of  $P_n(C)$  induced from the conjugation of  $C^{n+1}$  preserves the orientation of  $P_n(C)$ . It follows that the almost Grayan structure  $(\hat{J}, \hat{f})$  induced by an isometric immersion  $\hat{\iota}=\tau\circ\iota$  of M into  $P_n(C)$  is equal to (-J, -f). Since the second fundamental form of  $(M, \iota)$  coincides with  $\sum_{i,j} \hat{H}_{i,j} \theta^i \theta^j$ , the previous argument shows that there is an element  $\sigma$  of PU(n+1) such that  $\sigma\circ\hat{\iota}=\hat{\iota}=\sigma\circ\tau\circ\iota$  Q.E.D.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\iota$  be an isometric immersion of M into  $P_n(C)$ . If a group G of isometries of M leaving H invariant acts on M transitively, then  $\iota(M)$  is congruent to a model space, that is, there are an isometry  $\varphi$  of  $P_n(C)$  and a model space  $M_0$  such that  $\iota(M) = \varphi(M_0)$ .

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that for each  $g \in G$  there exists a unique element  $\sigma_g$  of PU(n+1) such that  $\sigma_g \circ \iota = \iota \circ g$  or  $\sigma_g \circ \iota = \tau \circ \iota \circ g$ . Hence M is congruent to an orbit under the identity component of a subgroup  $\{\sigma_g \in PU(n+1); g \in G\}$  of PU(n+1). Thus Theorem 3.3 was reduced to Theorem 2.1. Q.E.D.

## 4. The type number of hypersurfaces

In this section we shall consider the problem of the converse of Lemma 3.1

and fix the notation in §3. If  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  are two isometric immersions of M into  $P_n(C)$ , then we have from (3.8)

$$\phi_i \wedge \phi_j = \hat{\phi}_i \wedge \hat{\phi}_j$$
 if  $J = \pm \hat{J}$ .

Then by a theorem of E. Cartan [1] we know that  $\phi_i = \pm \hat{\phi}_i$  at the points where the rank of the second fundamenal form of  $(M, \iota)$  (which is called the type number of  $(M, \iota)$ ) or of  $(M, \hat{\iota})$  is not less than 2. So we shall study the type number t of  $(M, \iota)$ . For a nonemtpy open set U of F(M), let m be the maximal value of t on U. Then t takes the constant m on an open subset  $U_0$  of U, or equivalently the number of linearly independent ones of  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2n-1}$  is equal to m on  $U_0$ . In a while restrict the forms and the tensor fields under consideration on the following subbundle  $F_0$  of  $U_0$ 

$$F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \{u \in U_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}; \, \phi_a = \sum_b H_{ab} \theta^b, \, \phi_r = 0 \,\, {\rm at} \,\, u\}^{s_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}.$$

**Lemma 4.1** If m < n-1, then  $f_r = 0$  for all r.

Proof. Put i=r in (3.9) and compare the coefficients of  $\theta^s \wedge \theta^t$  using  $\phi_r=0$  to get

(4.1) 
$$f_t J_s^r - f_s J_t^r - 2f_r J_t^s = 0.$$

Put t=r in (4.1) to get  $f_r J_t^s = 0$ . Therefore multiplying (4.1) by  $f_r$  we get  $f_r J_t^s = 0$ . If  $f_r \neq 0$  for some r, then  $J_t^s = 0$  for all s,t, which contradicts the fact that the rank of J is equal to 2n-2 and m < n-1. Q.E.D. By Lemma 4.1 we have  $m \ge 1$  and may assume that  $f_i = 1$  and  $f_i = 0$  for  $2 \le i \le m$ . Then from (3.12) we have

(4.2) 
$$\theta_a^1 = \sum_{a} J_a^b \phi_b, \quad \theta_r^1 = \sum_{b} J_r^a \phi_a.$$

Put i=r in (3.9) to get

$$(4.3) \qquad \qquad \sum_{a} \phi_{a} \wedge \theta_{r}^{a} = c \sum_{i} J_{i}^{r} \theta^{i} \wedge \theta^{i} .$$

Now assume that m=1. Then  $\theta_r^1 = \sum_s J_r^a \phi_a = J_r^1 \phi_1 = 0$  since  $0 = f_1 J_r^1 = J_r^1$  by (3.11). Hence  $J_s^r = 0$  for all r, s since  $0 = \phi_1 \wedge \theta_r^1 = c \sum_s J_s^r \theta^1 \wedge \theta^s$  by (4.2) and (4.3). If  $n \ge 3$ , this contradicts the fact that the ran of J is equal to 2n-2(>2). Thus we proved

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\iota$  be an isometric immersion of M into  $P_n(\mathbb{C})$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Then in any nonempty open set of F(M) there exists a point u where  $t(u) \ge 2$ .

<sup>3)</sup> In the following the indices a, b, c run from 1 to m and the indices r, s, t run from m+1 to 2n-1.

From Theorem 4.2 we have the following theorem

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  be two isometric immersions of M into  $P_n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$  such that  $J = \hat{J}$  and  $f = \hat{f}$ , or  $J = \hat{J}$  and  $f = -\hat{f}$ . If the type number of  $(M, \iota)$  or of  $(M, \hat{\iota})$  is not equal to 2 at any point of F(M), then  $\iota$ ,  $\hat{\iota}$  are rigid.

Proof. Let u be any point of F(M). Then by Theorem 4.2 any neighbourhood of u contains a point v where  $t(v) \ge 3$ . Hence  $H = \pm \hat{H}$  at v. Since we have a sequence  $\{u_w\}$  of points of F(M) such that  $u_w$  tends to u as  $w \to \infty$  and  $H = \pm \hat{H}$  at  $u_w$ , we have  $H = \pm \hat{H}$  at u. We define two closed subsets  $F_+$  and  $F_-$  of F(M) by

$$F_+ = \{u \in F(M); H = \hat{H} \text{ at } u\} ,$$
  
$$F_- = \{u \in F(M); H = -\hat{H} \text{ at } u\} .$$

Then  $F(M)=F_+\cup F_-$ . Moreover  $F_-$  can not contain any nonempty open set of F(M). In fact, suppose that U' is a nonempty open set of F(M) contained in  $F_-$ . Then we have on U'

$$d\phi_i + \sum_i \phi_j \wedge heta_i^j = -(d\hat{\phi}_i + \sum_i \hat{\phi}_j \wedge heta_i^j)$$
 .

On the other hand, from the assumption we have

$$\textstyle \sum_{i,k} (f_j \mathring{J}^i_k + f_i \mathring{J}^j_k) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k = \sum_{i,k} (\mathring{f}_j \mathring{J}^i_k + \mathring{f}_i \mathring{J}^j_k) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k \,.$$

Theses equations and (3.9) imply

$$\sum_{i,k} (f_j J_k^i + f_i J_k^j) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k = 0 \quad \text{for all } i,$$

from which we have a contradiction  $f_k J_j^i = 0$  as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Thus we showed that the boundary of  $F_+$  contains  $F_-$ , that is,  $F_+ = F(M)$  since  $F_+$  is closed. Now Theorem 4.3 was reduced to Theorem 3.2. Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.4. Let  $\iota$  be an isometric immersion of M into  $P_n(C)$   $(n \ge 3)$ . Assume that the type number of  $(M, \iota)$  is not equal to 2 at any point of M. If a group of isometries of M leaving the almost Grayan structure (J, f) of  $(M, \iota)$  invariant acts on M transitively, then M is congruent to a model space.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3.

REMARK. Theorems 3.2, 4.2 and 4.3 are valid for a complex space form of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature instead of  $P_n(C)$ .

Tokyo University of Education

#### References

- [1] E. Cartan: La déformations des hypersurfaces dans l'espace euclidien réel à n dimensions, Bull. Soc. Math. France 44 (1910), 65-99.
- [2] M. Goto: Faithful representations of Lie groups I, Math. Japon. 1 (1948), 107-119.
- [3] S. Helgason: Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [4] W.Y. Hsiang and H.B. Lawson Jr: Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity, J. Differential Geometry 5 (1971), 1-38.
- [5] G.A. Hunt: A theorem of Elie Cartan, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 307-308.
- [6] P.J. Ryan: Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, Tôhoku Math. J. 21 (1969), 363-388.
- [7] Séminaire "Sophus Lie", Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1955.
- [8] N. Tanaka: On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables, J. Math. Soc. Japan 14 (1962), 397-429.
- [9] R. Takagi and T. Takahashi: On the principal curvatures of homogeneous hypersurfaces in a sphere, Differential Geometry, in honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972.
- [10] T. Takahashi: Homogeneous hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan 22 (1970), 395-410.