# WOVEN KNOTS ARE SPUN KNOTS 

Dennis ROSEMAN

(Received November 10, 1971)
(Revised December 1, 1973)

Given a knotted 1 -sphere, $k$, in $R^{3}$ it is possible to find a knotted 2 -sphere, $K$, in $R^{4}$ such that $\Pi_{1}\left(R^{3}-k\right)$ is isomorphic to $\Pi_{1}\left(R^{4}-K\right)$. In [1], Artin constructs one such example called a spun knot; in [3], Yajima also gives an example which we will refer to as a woven knot. The object of this paper is to show that these knots are, in fact, the same; that is, given $k$, the corresponding spun knot and the woven knot constructed from the mirror image of $k$ are ambiently isotopic.

By a knotted $n$-sphere in $R^{n+2}$, we will mean an ambient isotopy class of embeddings of $S^{n}$ into $R^{n+2}$. Sometimes, in order to avoid proliferation of notations we will use the same letter to denote a map and the image of that map. We will also generalize this construction to other types of spinnings of higher dimensional knots.

We will use $P L$ spheres in our constructions. We will use the following notion of general position: if $\gamma$ is a $P L n$-sphere in $R^{n+2}$, we will say $\gamma$ is in general position if for each vertex, $v$, and $k$-simplex $\sigma$ of $\gamma$, with $v$ not a vertex of $\sigma, \gamma$ is not contained in the $k$-plane of $R^{n+2}$ determined by $\sigma$.
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Figure 1

Suppose $\gamma$ is an $n$-sphere in $R^{n+2}$; let $R_{+}^{n+2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}\right) \in R^{n+2}\right.$ with $\left.x_{1} \geqq 0\right\}$, let $\partial R_{+}^{n+2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}\right) \in R^{n+2}\right.$ with $\left.x_{1}=0\right\}$. Also, define $h: R_{+}^{n+2} \rightarrow R^{1}$ by $h\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}\right)=x_{n+2}$; we may think of $h$ as a height function. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\gamma$ is the union of two $n$-disks $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\alpha \cap \beta$ is an ( $n-1$ )-sphere, and (1) $\gamma\left(S^{n}\right) \subseteq R_{+}^{n+2}$, such that $h \circ \gamma>0$ (i.e., $\gamma$ lies above the half- $(n+1)$-plane in $R_{+}^{n+2}$ given by $x_{n+2}>0$; (2) $\gamma\left(S^{n}\right) \cap \partial R_{+}^{n+2}=\beta$; (3) if $p: R_{+}^{n+2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{n+1}$ is given by $p\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right)$, then we will require that $p \mid \beta$ is an embedding (all that we will ever use is that $p|\partial \beta=p| \partial \alpha$ is an embedding); ( $\mu) \gamma$ is in general position. If $\gamma$ is a circle in $R^{3}, \alpha$ is an arc as in figure 1 (a).

To describe the spun knot, we will write points of $R^{n+k+2} \approx R^{k+1} \times R^{n+1}$ in the form ( $z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+2}$ ) where $\rho$ is a unit vector in the first $(k+1)$ coordinates and $z \geqq 0$. For each $\rho$, let $H_{\rho}$ denote the half- $(n+2)$-hyperplane of all points of the form ( $z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+2}$ ). Then the maps $h_{\rho}$ defined by $h_{\rho}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}\right)=\left(x_{1} \rho, x_{2} \cdots, x_{n+2}\right)$ are embeddings of $R_{+}^{n+2}$ into $R^{n+k+2}$, and $\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}\left(R_{+}^{n+2}\right)=R^{n+k+2}$.

We will need the following notations for subsets of the $(n+k)$-sphere. We will consider $S^{n+k}$ to be the unit sphere $R^{n+k+1} \approx R^{k+1} \times R^{n}$ and denote points by $\left(z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+1}\right)$ where $\rho$ is a unit vector in the first $k+1$ coordinates, $z \geqq 0$; we will consider $D^{n}$ to be the unit disk in $R^{n+1}$. Let $\lambda \rho$ be the $n$-disk in $S^{n+k}$ which is the image of the map $\lambda \rho\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\sqrt{1-\sum x_{i}^{2}} \rho, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) ; \lambda \rho$ is the intersection of $S^{n+k}$ with the set of all points of the form ( $z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+1}$ ). For each point $a \in D^{n}, a=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$, define a map $\mu_{a}: S^{k} \rightarrow S^{n+k}$ by $\mu_{a}\left(x_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{k+1}\right)=\left(\eta_{a} x_{1}, \eta_{a} x_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{a} x_{k+1}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ where $\eta_{a}=\sqrt{1-\sum a_{i}^{2}}$. Thus $\mu_{a}$ is the intersection of $S^{n+k}$ with the set of points ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k+1}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ ); also we may see that $\mu_{a}$ is a $k$-sphere of radius $\eta_{a}$ if $a \in \operatorname{Int} D^{n}, \mu_{a}$ is a point if $a \in \partial D^{n}$. If we are spinning an arc, then $S^{n+k}$ is a 2 -sphere, and $\lambda \rho$ is a longitudinal are, $\mu_{a}$ is a meridian circle, or a pole, see figure $1(\mathrm{~b})$.

We will now define an embedding $S_{\alpha}^{k}: S^{n+k} \rightarrow R^{n+k+2}$ by requiring for each $\rho, S_{a}^{k} \circ \lambda_{\rho}=h_{\rho} \circ \alpha$. The isotopy class of $S_{\infty}^{k}$ will be called the knot obtained by $k$-spinning $\alpha$. We remark that if $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are two $n$-disks in $R^{n+2}$ and $\alpha_{t}$ is an isotopy with $\alpha_{0}=\alpha, \alpha_{1}=\alpha^{\prime}$ and for all $t, 0 \leqq t \leqq 1, \alpha_{t} \cap R_{+}^{n+2}=\alpha_{t}\left(\partial D^{n}\right)$, then there is an isotopy, $K_{t}$, between the sphere obtained $k$-spinning $\alpha$ and that obtained by $k$-spinning $\alpha^{\prime}$; the isotopy is defined so that for all $t, h_{\rho}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=K_{t}\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)$.

We will want to examine the projection of $S_{o}^{k}$ by projection along the last coordinate, $x_{n+k+2}$. Let $\Pi$ be this projection; $\Pi\left(z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+1}, x_{n+k+2}\right)=$ $\left(z \rho, x_{k+2}, \cdots, x_{n+k+1}\right)$. Let $p: R_{+}^{n+2} \rightarrow R_{+}^{n+1}$ be as before; let $\alpha^{*}=p(\alpha)$. For each $\rho$, we may define embeddings $h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}: R_{+}^{n+1} \rightarrow R^{n+k+1}$ by $h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right)=\left(x_{1} \rho, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{n+1}\right)$. Since $\Pi \circ h_{\rho}=h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime} \circ p, \Pi\left(S_{\alpha}^{k}\right)=\Pi\left(\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}(\alpha)\right) \bigcup_{\rho} \Pi h_{\rho}(\alpha)=\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$. We may state this as follows: The projection of the $k$-spinning of $\alpha$ is the same as the $k$ -
spinning of the projection of $\alpha$ (for the spinning of the arc of figure 1 , see figure 2; figure 2(b) shows $\Pi\left(S_{a}^{1}\right)$ with $\underset{\psi}{\cup} h_{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$ removed where $\left.0<\psi<\Pi / 2\right)$. We may also describe $\Pi\left(S_{\infty}^{k}\right)$ as follows; if $b \in \alpha$ with $b=\alpha(a)$ with $a \in D^{n}$, let $A_{b}=\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}(b), A_{b}$ will be a $k$-sphere if $a \in \operatorname{Int} D^{n}$, a point if $a \in \partial D^{n}$, let $A_{b}^{*}=\Pi\left(A_{b}\right)=\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}(b)$, then $\Pi\left(S_{a}^{k}\right)=\bigcup_{b \in \alpha} A_{b}^{*} . \quad$ If $M_{r}$ is the set of points of multiplicity $r$ of $\alpha$ under $p$, that is, $M_{r}=\left\{x \in \alpha^{*}\right.$ such that $p^{-1}(x) \cap \alpha$ consists of exactly $r$ points $\}$, and if $M_{r}^{\prime}$ is the set of points of multiplicity $r$ of $S^{k}$ under $\Pi, M_{r}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \Pi\left(S_{\infty}^{k}\right)\right.$ such that $\Pi^{-1}(x) \cap S_{\infty}^{k}$ consists of exactly $r$ points $\}$, then $M_{r}{ }^{\prime}$ is obtained by $k$-spinning $M_{r}$, i.e., $M_{r}{ }^{\prime}=\left\{\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}{ }^{\prime}(x)\right.$ where $\left.x \in M_{r}\right\}$. In the case of spinning a 1 -sphere, each double point of the projection will correspond to a circle of double points of the spun knot. Furthermore, suppose that $b, b^{\prime} \in \alpha$ with $p(b)=p\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ and $h(b)<h\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, then for all $\rho$, the $x_{n+k+2}$-coordinate of $h_{\rho}(b)$ will be less than the $x_{n+k+2}$-coordinate of $h_{\rho}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$ (since these will be equal to $h(b)$ and $h\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, respectively), denote this by $A_{b}<A_{b}{ }^{\prime}$.
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Figure 2
We next describe another embedding of $S^{n+k}$ into $R^{n+k+2}$, the woven knot. As before, we begin with $\alpha$. Recall that $h(b)>0$ for all $b \in \alpha$; let $M$ be a number such that $M>h(b)$ for all $b \in \alpha$. By our general position, we may find an $\varepsilon$ such that if $v$ is a vertex of $\alpha, \sigma$ a $k$-simplex of $\alpha$ with $v \notin \sigma$, then $\varepsilon$ is less than the distance between $v$ and the $k$-plane of $R^{n+2}$ determined by $\sigma$. Now suppose that $\alpha$ is given by $\alpha(a)=\left(x_{1}(a), \cdots, x_{n+2}(a)\right)$, let $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)=x_{1}(a)\left(1+\left(\varepsilon x_{n+2}(a)\right) / M\right.$, and for $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1,\left(x_{1}\right)_{t}(a)=x_{1}(a)\left(1+\left(t \varepsilon x_{n+2}(a)\right) / M\right)$. Next define $\alpha^{\prime}(a)=\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)\right.$, $\left.x_{2}(a), \cdots, x_{n+2}(a)\right), \alpha_{t}(a)=\left(\left(x_{1}\right)_{t}(a), x_{2}(a), \cdots, x_{n+2}(a)\right)$, then $\alpha_{t}(a)$ is an isotopy in $R_{+}^{n+2}$ from $\alpha$ to $\alpha^{\prime}$ fixed on $\partial \alpha$. If $a \in D^{n}, a=\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$, let $H_{a}$ be the ( $k+1$ )hyperplane of $R^{n+k+1}=R^{k+1} \times R^{n}$ of the form $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k+1}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$, then $\mu_{a}=S^{n+k} \cap H_{a}$. Let $k_{a}: H_{a} \rightarrow R^{n+k+2}$ be the map which takes $H_{a}$ to a hyperplane of $R^{n+k+2}$ by a map which takes $\mu_{a}$ to a circle of radius $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)$ defined as follows:
let $\nu_{a}=x_{1}^{\prime}(a) / \eta_{a}$ if $\eta_{a} \neq 0, \nu_{a}=0$ if $\eta_{a}=0$ (i.e., if $a \in \partial D^{n}$ ), then define $k_{a}\left(x_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{k+1}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=\left(\nu_{a} x_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{a} x_{k+1}, x_{2}(a), x_{3}(a), \cdots, x_{n+1}(a), x_{1}(a)\right)$. Note that the last coordinate is given by $x_{1}(a)$.

Now we define an embedding $W_{a}^{k}: S^{n+k} \rightarrow R^{n+k+2}$ by requiring that $W_{a}^{k} \circ \mu_{a}=$ $k_{a} \circ \mu_{a}$, or $W^{k}\left(\mu_{a}\right)=k_{a}\left(\mu_{a}\right)$. The isotopy class of $W_{a}^{k}$ will be called the $k$-woven knot corresponding to $\gamma$.

We will now discuss the special case of 1 -weaving a 1 -sphere, illustrating with the particular example of the trefoil knot of figure $1(a)$. In this case, $\alpha^{\prime}$ can be described as a slight distortion of $\alpha$ which, above the doublepoints of $\alpha^{*}$, bends $\alpha$ on the overpasses away from $\partial R_{+}^{3}$ more than on the underpasses. Thus $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ looks like figure $3(a)$. If $\alpha(a)=\left(x_{1}(a), x_{2}(a), x_{3}(a)\right)$, with $a \in D^{1}, \alpha^{*}(a)=$ $\left(x_{1}(a), x_{2}(a)\right)$. Let $P^{3}$ be the hyperplane in $R^{4}$ with last coordinate zero. Let $R_{w}$ be the set of points of the form $\left(0, y, x_{1}(a), x_{2}(a)\right)$ with $|y| \leq x_{1}^{\prime}(a)$, see figure 3 (b). Then $R_{a}$ is a ribbon in $P^{3}$ and if $\Pi^{\prime}, \Pi^{\prime}: R^{4} \rightarrow P^{3}$, is defined by $\Pi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\left(0, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ then $\Pi^{\prime}\left(W_{a}^{1}\right)=R_{\alpha}$. In fact, we may see that $W_{\omega}^{1}$ is the symmetric ribbon knot of $R_{\alpha}$, see Yajima [4]. Furthermore, it is clear from the discussion in Yajima [4], page 137, that $W_{\omega}^{1}$ is the same as the 2-sphere similar to the knot $\gamma$, defined in Yajima [3]. From the discussion which is to follow, we will see that $W_{\infty}^{1}$ will be a spun knot; thus the knots defined in Yajima [3] are all spun knots.

For convenience we will describe Yajima's construction [3] and illustrate it with the trefoil knot. Given a knot $\gamma$ and the corresponding knotted arc, $\alpha$, we construct a self-intersecting tube around the projection, $\alpha^{*}$, of $\alpha$, narrowing the tube along the arc at the underpasses and closing off the tube at the end points of $\alpha^{*}$ (see figure 3). This describes the projection of a knotted 2-sphere; to


Figure 3

determine the height relations at the double points we use the following rule: choose a direction for $\alpha$ indicated by arrows, if the crossing at a point of $\alpha^{*}$ is as in figure 4 a , then the double point set consists of two circles $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ and we will define our embedded sphere so that the smaller tube passes under the large one at $c_{1}$ and the smaller tube passes over the large tube at $c_{2}$; the projection of these tubes will look like figure 4b. (This over-under alternation at each crossing point accounts for our choice of the term "weaving" to describe this knot and its generalizations.)


Figure 5

We now wish to examine the projection $\Pi\left(W_{a^{\prime}}^{1}\right)$. For each $b \in \alpha^{\prime}$, with $b=\alpha^{\prime}(a)$, we define $B_{b}=W^{k}\left(\mu_{a}\right)$; then $B_{b}$ is a $k$-sphere of radius $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)$ if $a \in \operatorname{Int} D^{n}$, a point if $a \in \partial D^{n}$. If $A_{b}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}(b),\left(A_{b}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{*}=\Pi\left(A_{b}{ }^{\prime}\right), B_{b}^{*}=\Pi\left(B_{b}\right)$ then we see that for all $b,\left(A_{b}^{\prime}\right)^{*}=B_{b}^{*}$, since each set consists of a $k$-sphere of radius $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)$ in the hyperplane $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k+1}, x_{2}(a), \cdots, x_{n+1}(a)\right)$ with center $\left(0, \cdots, 0, x_{2}(a), \cdots, x_{n+1}(a)\right)$. Thus $\Pi\left(S_{a^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\right)=\Pi\left(W_{a^{\prime}}^{k}\right)$; however, this does not imply that $S_{a^{\prime}}^{k}$ is ambiently isotopic to $W_{a^{\prime}}^{k}$, we need to check the height relations in the $x_{n+k+2}$ coordinate. We note that for any $B_{b}$, the $x_{n+k+2}$ coordinate of points of $B_{b}$ are the same, namely $x_{1}(a)$. Now suppose that $B_{b}^{*}=B_{b^{\prime}}^{*}$ and thus $\left(A_{b}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{b^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)^{*}=B_{b}^{*}$, then $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{*}(b)=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, and thus $x_{1}^{\prime}(a)=x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, where $\alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right)=b^{\prime}$. Now suppose that $h(b)<h\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, then as we have seen, $A_{b}{ }^{\prime}<A_{b^{\prime}}^{\prime}$; however, $B_{b}>B_{b^{\prime}}$ since the $x_{n+k+2}$ coordiate of points in $B_{b}$ and $B_{b^{\prime}}$ is given by $x_{1}(a)$ and $x_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, respectively, and from the definition of $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ we see that if $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a)=$ $x_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ with $h(b)<h\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, then $x_{1}(a)>x_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. We may summarize this by saying that although $\Pi\left(S_{\omega^{\prime}}^{k}\right)=\Pi\left(W_{a^{\prime}}^{k}\right)$, the height relations of $S_{a}^{k}$ are the opposite of
those of $W_{a^{\prime}}^{k}$.
Let $-\alpha^{\prime}$ be the mirror image of $\alpha^{\prime}$ obtained by reflection in the last coordinate of $R_{+}^{n+2} ;\left(-\alpha^{\prime}\right)(a)=\left(x_{1}{ }^{\prime}(a), x_{2}(a), \cdots,-x_{n+2}(a)+M\right)$ (we need to add the $M$ to the last coordinate in order that $-\alpha^{\prime}$ satisfy condition (1) in the definition of $\alpha$ ). For mirror images of circles in $R^{3}$, see Crowell-Fox, Chapter 1, Section 4 [2]. Now the height relations of $S_{-a^{\prime}}^{k}$ are the reverse of those of $S_{a^{\prime}}^{k}$, and $\Pi\left(S_{a^{\prime}}^{k}\right)=\Pi\left(S_{-\alpha^{\prime}}^{k}\right)$. Thus $S_{-a^{\prime}}^{k}$ is ambiently isotopic to $W_{a^{\prime}}^{k}$; in fact, by an ambient isotopy which translates $B_{b}$ in the $x_{n+k+2}$ coordinate until it coincides with $-A_{b}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\rho} h_{\rho}\left(-\alpha^{\prime}(a)\right)$.
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