

ON M -RINGS AND GENERAL ZPI -RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Kentaro Murata on his 60th birthday

TAKASABURO UKEGAWA

(Received January 7, 1981)

In the preceding paper [10], we have proved that a left Noetherian M -ring is a so called "general ZPI -ring" in the commutative case. Also we know that in an M -ring the multiplication of prime ideals is commutative [8]. In the present paper we define general ZPI -rings in section 1 and we study general properties of them, and as an important example of such rings we can give a left Noetherian semi-prime Asano left order. In section 2 we research the condition for a left Noetherian general ZPI -ring to be an M -ring, using minimal prime divisors of an ideal. The notation " $<$ " means a proper inclusion as the preceding papers [8], [9], [10].

1. M -rings and general ZPI -rings

DEFINITION. If the multiplication of any two prime ideals of a ring R is commutative, and any ideal of R can be written as a produkt of powers of prime (considering R as a prime ideal) ideals of R , then we call R a *general ZPI -ring*. Therefore the multiplication of ideals is commutative.

In the commutative case a general ZPI -ring is necessarily Noetherian no matter whether the ring has an identity or not. But in our case the general ZPI -ring is not necessarily Noetherian as the example in [9] shows.

Proposition 1. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI -ring, let P be any prime ideal of R , and let q be maximal in the set of prime ideals such that $q < P$. Then for any ideal a with $q < a < P$, there is an ideal b such that $a = P b = b P$.*

Proof. Let $a = p_1 \cdots p_r < P$, since R is a general ZPI -ring. Then $p_i \subseteq P$ for some p_i . Since $q < a \subseteq p_i$, $q < p_i \subseteq P$, so $p_i = P$. Therefore $a = P p_1 \cdots p_{i-1} p_{i+1} \cdots p_r = b P$, where $b = p_1 \cdots p_{i-1} p_{i+1} \cdots p_r$.

As in the commutative case we have

Proposition 2. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI -ring, and let P be a maximal ideal of R . Then there are no ideals between P and P^2 (including the case that $P = P^2$), more generally for any positive integer n , the only ideals*

between P and P^n are P, P^2, \dots, P^n (including the case that $P^i = P^{i+1}$ for some $i, 1 \leq i < n$).

REMARK. Let R be as above. If every proper ideal \mathfrak{a} of R can be written as a product of minimal prime divisors of \mathfrak{a} , then for any proper prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for any positive integer n , the only ideals between \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}^n are $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}^2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}^n$.

Proposition 3. Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring, and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of R . Then for any subset $\{\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}\}$ of $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i_k} = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}$. Especially for the prime radical N_1 of R , $N_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$.

Proof. Since R is a general ZPI-ring, $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i = P_1 \cdots P_k$ for some prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_k of R . Then for any $\mathfrak{p}_j, 1 \leq j \leq i$ we have $P_j \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ for some P_j , and so $P_j = \mathfrak{p}_j$, therefore $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i P_{i+1} \cdots P_k$. Now $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i P_{i+1} \cdots P_k = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$, hence $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i$.

Lemma 4. Let R be a left Noetherian semi-prime general ZPI-ring, and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of R . Then for any $1 \leq i < r$ and any positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_i, \mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i} \neq 0$.

Theorem 1. Let R be a left Noetherian semi-prime general ZPI-ring, and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of R . If a proper ideal \mathfrak{a} of R has the form $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{\alpha_s} P_1^{f_1} \cdots P_t^{f_t}$ where $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{min-}\mathcal{O}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $P_j \notin \text{min-}\mathcal{O}$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$, then $P_1^{f_1} \cdots P_t^{f_t} \subseteq R$, i.e. essential as a left R -module, and the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ is uniquely determined by \mathfrak{a} .

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R . By proposition 2.11 [5] and Lemma 4, P is not essential as a left R -module if and only if $P \in \text{min-}\mathcal{O}$. Hence $P_1^{f_1} \cdots P_t^{f_t} \subseteq R$ as a left R -module. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k} Q_1 \cdots Q_w$ where $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{min-}\mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k, Q_i \notin \text{min-}\mathcal{O}$ for $1 \leq i \leq w$ be another form of \mathfrak{a} . Assume that two set $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}, \mathcal{N}_2 = \{\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}\}$ are distinct. If $\mathcal{N}_1 > \mathcal{N}_2$, then $0 = \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{p}_{s+1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \mathfrak{p}_{s+1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r Q_1 \cdots Q_w$ and $Q_1 \cdots Q_w$ contains some regular element, hence $0 = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}^{\alpha_k} \mathfrak{p}_{s+1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$, contradicting Lemma 4. Next we consider the case that $\mathcal{N}_1 \not\supseteq \mathcal{N}_2$ and also $\mathcal{N}_1 \not\subsetneq \mathcal{N}_2$. We denote the product of minimal prime ideals belonging to the set \mathcal{N}_1 by $[\mathcal{N}_1]$, for example. Then $0 = \mathfrak{a} [\text{min-}\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{N}_1]$ since $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{\alpha_s} P_1^{f_1} \cdots P_t^{f_t}$. On the other hand, $\text{min-}\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{N}_1 \not\supseteq \text{min-}\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k} Q_1 \cdots Q_w$, hence $0 \neq \mathfrak{a} [\text{min-}\mathcal{O} - \mathcal{N}_1]$ which is a contradiction. So we have $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$.

As a result of Theorem 1 we have

Proposition 5. Let R be as above, and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$. Then

$(p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_i^{\alpha_i}, p_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots p_j^{\alpha_j})$ is a regular¹⁾ ideal of R , where p_1, \dots, p_j are distinct minimal prime ideal of R , $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ are any positive integers.

Proposition 6. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring, and let P be a maximal ideal of R such that $P^i > P^{i+1}$ for any positive integer i . Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n$ is a prime ideal of R .*

Proof. Set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n = \alpha$. Let A, B be ideals of R such that $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$, $A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$ and $B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$. Therefore there is a maximal $i \geq 0$ such that $A \subseteq P^i$ and so $A \not\subseteq P^{i+1}$. Similarly there is a maximal $j \geq 0$ such that $B \subseteq P^j$ and so $B \not\subseteq P^{j+1}$, where $P^0 = R$. Then $P^{i+1} < (A, P^{i+1}) \subseteq P^i$, therefore $(A, P^{i+1}) = P^i$ by Proposition 2, and similarly $(B, P^{j+1}) = P^j$. Hence $P^{i+j} = (A, P^{i+1})(B, P^{j+1}) \subseteq P^{i+j+1}$, thus $P^{i+j} = P^{i+j+1}$ contradicting the assumptions.

REMARK. Let R be as above. Let \mathfrak{p} be any proper prime ideal such that for any positive integer i $\mathfrak{p}^i > \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$. If every proper ideal of R can be written as a product of minimal prime divisors, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}^n$ is a prime ideal of R .

Theorem 2. *Let R be a Noetherian (left and right) prime ring with an identity. If R satisfies the following*

- 1) R is a general ZPI-ring;
 - 2) every non-zero proper prime ideal of R is maximal;
 - 3) every ideal of R is projective both as a left and as a right R -module,
- the R is an M -ring.

Proof. We shall prove the existence of an ideal C with $A = BC = CB$ for ideals A, B such that $0 < A < B < R$. Let $A = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}} < B = Q_1^{f_1} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{f_{\beta}}$ where $P_1, \dots, P_{\alpha}, Q_1, \dots, Q_{\beta}$ are prime ideals of R and $e_k > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, \alpha, f_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, \beta$, so for every Q_k there is some P_k with $P_k = Q_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, \beta$. Hence $A = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{e_{\beta}} P_{\beta+1}^{e_{\beta+1}} \cdots P_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}} < B = Q_1^{f_1} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{f_{\beta}}$. Now by Proposition 2.2 [3], each maximal ideal of R is either idempotent or invertible. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_j be the set of idempotent maximal ideals in the set of maximal ideals $Q_1, \dots, Q_j, \dots, Q_{\beta}$ (including the case that $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_j\}$ is empty). Then $A = Q_1 \cdots Q_j Q_{j+1}^{e_{j+1}} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{e_{\beta}} P_{\beta+1}^{e_{\beta+1}} \cdots P_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}} < B = Q_1 \cdots Q_j Q_{j+1}^{f_{j+1}} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{f_{\beta}}$, where $Q_{j+1}, \dots, Q_{\beta}$ are invertible ideals of R . If $e_{j+1} < f_{j+1}$ for example, multiplying $(Q_{j+1}^{-1})^{e_{j+1}}$ on each side, we have $Q_1 \cdots Q_j Q_{j+2}^{e_{j+2}} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{e_{\beta}} P_{\beta+1}^{e_{\beta+1}} \cdots P_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}} < Q_1 \cdots Q_j Q_{j+1}^{f_{j+1}-e_{j+1}} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{f_{\beta}} \equiv 0 \pmod{Q_{j+1}}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $e_{j+1} \geq f_{j+1}, \dots, e_{\beta} \geq f_{\beta}$. Thus $A = B Q_{j+1}^{e_{j+1}-f_{j+1}} \cdots Q_{\beta}^{e_{\beta}-f_{\beta}} P_{\beta+1}^{e_{\beta+1}} \cdots P_{\alpha}^{e_{\alpha}}$, hence R is an M -ring.

1) We call an R -ideal a regular ideal.

REMARK. If R is a Noetherian semi-prime ring with an identity, then we may replace the condition 2) by the following:

2') *the proper prime ideals of R are either comaximal minimal prime ideals or maximal prime ideals of R .*

The theorem is valid also in this case, because $R=R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i \oplus \dots \oplus R_n$ where $R_i \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_i$ for every i and $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} = \text{min-}\mathcal{P}$, so every R_i is a Noetherian general ZPI-ring satisfying the condition 2).

Theorem 3. *Let R be a left Noetherian semi-prime Asano left order. Then R is a general ZPI-ring and also an M -ring, and the proper prime ideals of R are either comaximal idempotent minimal prime ideals or maximal prime ideals of R . Every proper ideal α of R has the form $\alpha = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_i P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_m^{e_m}$ where $\mathfrak{p}_k \in \text{min-}\mathcal{P}$ for $1 \leq k \leq i$ and P_1, \dots, P_m are maximal prime ideals of R which are regular.*

Proof. Let $Q=Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_i \oplus \dots \oplus Q_n$ be the left quotient ring of R which is semisimple Artinian, where Q_1, \dots, Q_n are simple Artinian rings. Now we can deduce that $R=R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_i \oplus \dots \oplus R_n$ where R_i is a left Noetherian Asano left order of Q_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Each proper prime ideal of R has either the form $\mathfrak{p}_i = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_{i-1} \oplus R_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus R_n$ or the form $P_i = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{(i)} \oplus R_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus R_n$ where $\mathfrak{p}_{(i)}$ is a maximal prime ideal of R_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Every proper ideal α of R has the form $\alpha = \alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_i \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_n$ where α_i is an ideal of R_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In order to make the proof concise we assume that $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{i-1} = 0$ (including the case that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}\}$ is empty) and $\alpha_i = \mathfrak{p}_{(i)1}^{e_{i1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{(i)\omega}^{e_{i\omega}}, \dots, \alpha_n = \mathfrak{p}_{(n)1}^{e_{n1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{(n)\lambda}^{e_{n\lambda}}$. Then $\alpha = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i-1} P_{i1}^{e_{i1}} \cdots P_{i\omega}^{e_{i\omega}} \cdots P_{n1}^{e_{n1}} \cdots P_{n\lambda}^{e_{n\lambda}}$ where $P_{ij} = R_i \oplus \dots \oplus R_{i-1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{(i)j} \oplus P_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus R_n$, thus R is a general ZPI-ring. Then it is easy to see that R is an M -ring.

By Proposition 6 we have

Corollary 4. *Let R be a left Noetherian semi-prime Asano left order and let P be a regular prime ideal of R , then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n = \mathfrak{p}$ is a minimal prime ideal of R .*

2. Minimal prime divisors of ideals

Let α be a proper ideal of R . A minimal prime divisor of α is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ such that there are no prime ideals \mathfrak{p}' with $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' < \mathfrak{p}$. We denote the set of minimal prime divisors of α by $\text{min-}\mathcal{P}_\alpha$. The set $\text{min-}\mathcal{P}$ of minimal prime ideals of R is $\text{min-}\mathcal{P}_0$. As a consequence of Theorem 3 [10] and Proposition 1 [8], we have

Proposition 7. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring. Moreover if R is an M -ring, then*

- (*) { i) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathfrak{p} < \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{p}.$
- ii) Let α be any proper ideal of $R,$ and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}.$ Then $\alpha = \mathfrak{p}_1^{f_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_r^{f_r}$ for some positive integers $f_1, \dots, f_r.$

REMARK. Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring. Then i) of the above condition (*) is equivalent to the following:

- i') For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and any ideal \mathfrak{b} properly containing $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{b}.$

Next we consider the converse of this apparent proposition.

Proposition 8. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring which satisfies the condition (*) in Proposition 7 and let α be a proper ideal of $R.$ Then for any minimal prime divisor \mathfrak{p} of $\alpha,$ either $\mathfrak{p}^i = \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$ for some positive integer i or else there is some positive integer j such that $\mathfrak{p}^j \ni \alpha.$*

Proof. We assume that for any positive integer $i \mathfrak{p}^i > \mathfrak{p}^{i+1},$ and we shall show that $\mathfrak{p}^j \ni \alpha$ for some positive integer $j.$ If $\mathfrak{p}^i > \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$ for any positive integer i and moreover $\mathfrak{p}^k \ni \alpha$ for any positive integer $k,$ then $\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{p}^n = \mathfrak{n} < \mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{n} is a prime ideal by the remark of Proposition 6, a contradiction.

Proposition 9. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring which satisfies the condition (*), let α be a proper ideal of $R,$ and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}.$ Then for any $i \neq j$ and any positive integer $e_i, e_j, (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j})$ is an idempotent ideal of $R.$*

Proof. First we prove that $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i},$ and similarly $\mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}.$ Since $\mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}}, \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} < (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = P_1^{f_1} \dots P_s^{f_s}$ where P_1, \dots, P_s are minimal prime divisors of $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}).$ Now we know that $\mathfrak{p}_i \ni 0 \pmod{P_k}$ for every $P_k, 1 \leq k \leq s.$ If $\mathfrak{p}_i = P_k$ for some $P_k,$ then $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = P_1^{f_1} \dots P_{k-1}^{f_{k-1}} \mathfrak{p}_i^{f_k} P_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots P_s^{f_s} \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i},$ hence $\mathfrak{p}_j \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i},$ a contradiction. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}_i < P_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq s,$ hence $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} P_1^{f_1} \dots P_s^{f_s} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}.$ Then $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j})^2 = (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}), \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j})) = (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}).$

Lemma. *Under the same assumptions as above, for any $i \neq j$ and any positive integer $e_i, e_j, \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}.$*

Proof. First we prove that $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} = (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}).$ For some positive integer $\rho \alpha^\rho \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} = P_1^{f_1} \dots P_s^{f_s} \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i},$ where P_1, \dots, P_s are minimal prime divisors of $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}.$ Therefore $\alpha \subseteq P_1 \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ for some $P_1,$ so $P_1 = \mathfrak{p}_i.$ Similarly for some $P_2, \alpha \subseteq P_2 \ni 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_j},$ so $P_2 = \mathfrak{p}_j,$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{f_1} \mathfrak{p}_j^{f_2} P_3^{f_3} \dots P_s^{f_s}.$ Let $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) = Q_1 \dots Q_t$ where Q_1, \dots, Q_t are minimal prime divisors of $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}).$ For every $Q_k, \mathfrak{p}_i \ni 0 \pmod{Q_k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_j \ni 0 \pmod{Q_k},$ hence $\mathfrak{p}_i < Q_k$ and $\mathfrak{p}_j < Q_k$ for every $Q_k, 1 \leq k \leq t.$ From the above arguments $(\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} \cap \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) (\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}, \mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j}) =$

$p_{i_1}^{f_1} p_{i_2}^{f_2} P_{i_3}^{f_3} \dots P_{i_s}^{f_s} Q_1 \dots Q_t = p_{i_1}^{f_1} p_{i_2}^{f_2} P_{i_3}^{f_3} \dots P_{i_s}^{f_s} = p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i}$ by the condition (*). Hence $p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i} = (p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i}) (p_{i_1}^{e_i}, p_{i_2}^{e_i}) = ((p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i}) p_{i_1}^{e_i}, (p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i}) p_{i_2}^{e_i}) \subseteq (p_{i_1}^{e_i} p_{i_1}^{e_i}, p_{i_1}^{e_i} p_{i_2}^{e_i}) = p_{i_1}^{e_i} p_{i_2}^{e_i}$. The other inclusion is obvious, so $p_{i_1}^{e_i} \cap p_{i_2}^{e_i} = p_{i_1}^{e_i} p_{i_2}^{e_i}$.

Now by the induction we have

Theorem 5. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring which satisfies the condition (*), let α be a proper ideal of R , and let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. Then for any subset $\{p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}\}$ of $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ and for any positive integers $e_i, i=1, \dots, k, p_{i_1}^{e_1} \cap \dots \cap p_{i_k}^{e_k} = p_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots p_{i_k}^{e_k}$.*

Theorem 6. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring which satisfies the condition (*), let α be a proper ideal of R , and let $\alpha = p_1^{x_1} \dots p_r^{x_r}$ where $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ and $x_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\{p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}\}$ be the subset of $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ every p_{i_j} of which has a maximal index α_{i_j} such that $p_{i_j}^{\alpha_{i_j}} \supseteq \alpha$ and so $p_{i_j}^{\alpha_{i_j}+1} \not\supseteq \alpha$, and assume that for p_{k+1}, \dots, p_r , there are no maximal β_j among indices β_j such that $p_{i_j}^{\beta_j} \supseteq \alpha$ (including the case that one of the sets $\{1, \dots, k\}, \{k+1, \dots, r\}$ is empty). Then α has the form $\alpha = p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_k^{\beta_k} p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \dots p_r^{y_r}$, where β_i is any positive integer such that $x_i \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and y_j is any positive integer with $x_j \leq y_j$ for $k < j \leq r$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5 $\alpha = p_1^{x_1} \cap \dots \cap p_r^{x_r} \supseteq p_1^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \cap \dots \cap p_r^{y_r}$, since $x_i \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $x_j \leq y_j$ for $k < j \leq r$. Conversely $\alpha \subseteq p_{i_1}^{\beta_1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ since $\beta_i \leq \alpha_{i_j}$, and also $\alpha \subseteq p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \cap \dots \cap p_r^{y_r}$ for any $y_j \geq x_j, k < j \leq r$; hence $\alpha \subseteq p_1^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap p_k^{\beta_k} \cap p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \cap \dots \cap p_r^{y_r}$. Thus $\alpha = p_1^{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap p_k^{\beta_k} \cap p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \cap \dots \cap p_r^{y_r} = p_1^{\beta_1} \dots p_k^{\beta_k} p_{k+1}^{y_{k+1}} \dots p_r^{y_r}$ by Theorem 5.

The following definition of primary ideal is defined in [2]. Let α be an ideal of R . If for ideals A, B $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$ implies $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$ or $B^\rho \equiv 0 \pmod{\alpha}$ for some positive integer ρ , then α is called *r-primary*. And a *l-primary* ideal is defined similarly. A *l-* and *r-*primary ideal is called a *primary ideal*.

Theorem 7. *Let R be as above. Then for every proper prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R \mathfrak{p}^e is a primary ideal for any positive integer e .*

Proof. Let $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ for ideals A, B . We may assume that $A \not\equiv \alpha$ and $B \not\equiv \alpha$ where we set $\mathfrak{p}^e = \alpha$. We set anew $A_1 = (A, \alpha), B_1 = (B, \alpha)$. Then $A_1 B_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$; and $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ if and only if $A_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$, etc.. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that for ideals $A > \alpha$, and $B > \alpha$, if $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$, then $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ or $B^n \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ for some positive integer n . Hence we prove that for ideals A, B such that $\alpha < A, \alpha < B$, if $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$ and for any positive integer m $B^m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$, then $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$. Let $\text{min-}\mathcal{O}_A = \{P_1, \dots, P_t\}$, and let $A = P_1^{\delta_1} P_2^{\delta_2} \dots P_t^{\delta_t}$ for some positive integers $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_t$. Since $AB \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^e}$, however $B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, hence $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore $\alpha < A \subseteq P_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some P_1 , hence $P_1 = \mathfrak{p}$ since \mathfrak{p} is a

minimal prime divisor of α ; so $A = \mathfrak{p}^{\beta_1} P_2^{\beta_2} \cdots P_i^{\beta_i}$, i.e. \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime divisor of A . Let $\min-\mathcal{O}_B = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$. Since $\alpha = \mathfrak{p}^\epsilon < B = q_1^{\nu_1} \cdots q_k^{\nu_k}$ for some positive integers ν_1, \dots, ν_k , $\mathfrak{p} < q_i$ for every q_i and since \mathfrak{p} is a factor of A $AB = A$ by the condition (*), i.e. $A \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^\epsilon}$.

Theorem 8. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring which satisfies the condition (*). Then R is an M -ring.*

Proof. Let $0 < A < B < R$ be ideals of R , let $\min-\mathcal{O}_A = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$, $\min-\mathcal{O}_B = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_b\}$, and let $A = P_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots P_s^{\alpha_s}$, $B = Q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots Q_b^{\beta_b}$ where β_1, \dots, β_b are positive integers and as for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ by Theorem 6 we can choose them as large as possible. Then for every Q_i , there is some P_j such that $P_j \subseteq Q_i$. If $P_j < Q_i$ for every Q_1, \dots, Q_b , then $A = AB = BA$, so there is nothing to prove. If there are some Q_i such that $P_j = Q_i$, we may assume for convenience sake that $P_i = Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and for every Q_j ($m < j \leq b$) there are some P_k with $P_k < Q_j$. Furthermore, as to P_1, \dots, P_m , let P_1, \dots, P_s be minimal prime divisors of A which have maximal indices such that $P_j^{\alpha_j} \supseteq A$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$, and let P_{s+1}, \dots, P_m be those which do not have such indices as above. On prime ideals P_j , $1 \leq j \leq s$, $A \subseteq P_j^{\alpha_j}$ and $A < B \subseteq Q_j^{\beta_j} = P_j^{\beta_j}$, so $A \subseteq P_j^{\beta_j}$, hence $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ by Theorem 6. On prime ideals P_{s+1}, \dots, P_m we may assume that $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for $s < i \leq m$, by Theorem 6. Therefore $A = P_1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \cdots P_m^{\alpha_m - \beta_m} P_1^{\beta_1} \cdots P_m^{\beta_m} P_{m+1}^{\alpha_{m+1}} \cdots P_s^{\alpha_s} = P_1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \cdots P_m^{\alpha_m - \beta_m} P_1^{\beta_1} \cdots P_m^{\beta_m} (Q_{m+1}^{\alpha_{m+1}} \cdots Q_b^{\beta_b}) P_{m+1}^{\alpha_{m+1}} \cdots P_s^{\alpha_s} = BC$, say. Hence R is an M -ring.

We summarize

Theorem 9. *Let R be a left Noetherian general ZPI-ring. Then R is an M -ring if, and only if,*

- 1) *For any prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ of R such that $\mathfrak{p} < \mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$, and*
- 2) *Any proper ideal α of R can be written as a product of powers of minimal prime divisors of α .*

References

[1] K. Asano: The theory of rings and ideals, Kyoritsu Shuppan, 1949 (in Japanese).
 [2] A.W. Chatters and C.R. Hajarnavis: *Non-commutative rings with primary decomposition*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 22 (1971), 73-83.
 [3] D. Eisenbud and J.C. Robson: *Hereditary Noetherian prime rings*, J. Algebra 16 (1970), 86-104.
 [4] A.W. Goldie: *Semi-prime rings with maximum condition*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 10 (1960), 201-220.
 [5] ———: *The structure of Noetherian rings*, Lecture Notes in Math. 246, Springer-Verlag, 1972, 242-320.
 [6] M.D. Larsen and P.J. McCarthy: *Multiplicative theory of ideals*, Academic

- Press, New York and London, 1971.
- [7] S. Mori: *Allgemeine Z.P.I.-Ringe*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. **10** (1940), 117–136.
 - [8] T. Ukegawa: *Some properties of non-commutative multiplication rings*, Proc. Japan Acad. **54A** (1978), 279–284.
 - [9] ———: *On the unique maximal idempotent ideals of non-commutative multiplication rings*, *ibid.*, **55 A** (1979), 132–135.
 - [10] ———: *Left Noetherian multiplication rings*, Osaka J. Math. **17** (1980), 449–453.

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Kobe University
Kobe 657, Japan