

PSEUDO-RANK FUNCTIONS ON SKEW GROUP RINGS AND ON FIXED SUBRINGS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF UNIT-REGULAR RINGS

Dedicated to Professor Hisao Tominaga on his 60th birthday

JIRO KADO

(Received October 28, 1985)

Let R be a unit-regular ring and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. This paper is concerned with relationships between the pseudo-rank functions of the skew group ring $R*G$ and ones of the fixed subring R^G . We introduce such relationships by studying certain homomorphisms between $K_0(R*G)$ and $K_0(R^G)$.

In §1, under the assumption that $R*G$ is a unit-regular ring and R is a finitely generated projective left R^G -module, we shall investigate the following two homomorphisms:

$$\bar{\mu}: K_0(R^G) \rightarrow K_0(R*G), \quad \text{defined by } \bar{\mu}([M]) = [R*Ge \otimes_{R^G} M]$$

$$\bar{\lambda}: K_0(R*G) \rightarrow K_0(R^G), \quad \text{defined by } \bar{\lambda}([A]) = [\text{Hom}_{R*G}(R*Ge, A)],$$

where $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$ in $R*G$. Then we shall show that $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}$ is the identity map and $\bar{\mu}$ is an order-embedding map.

The maps $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\lambda}$ induce maps μ^*, λ^* between $P(R*G)$ and $P(R^G)$, where $P(T)$ (resp. $\partial_e P(T)$) is the family of all pseudo-rank functions (resp. extremal pseudo-rank functions) of a regular ring T . For any $N \in P(R*G)$ with $N(e) > 0$ and any $a \in R^G$, we define

$$\mu^*(N)(a) = N(e)^{-1} D_N(R*Ge \otimes_{R^G} R^G a),$$

where D_N is the dimension function which corresponds to N . For any $Q \in P(R^G)$ and any $x \in R*G$, we define

$$\lambda^*(Q)(x) = D_Q({}_R R)^{-1} D_Q(\text{Hom}_{R*G}(R*Ge, R*Gx)),$$

where D_Q is the dimension function which corresponds to Q . Then we shall show that $\mu^*(N)$ (resp. $\lambda^*(Q)$) is a pseudo-rank function of R^G (resp. $R*G$) and $\mu^* \lambda^* = \text{identity}$ and μ^* preserves extremal pseudo-rank functions.

In §2, for a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring R and an X -

outer group G , we shall determine all extremal pseudo-rank functions of $R*G$ from ones of R . It is shown from above results that $R*G \cong M_n(R^G)$ as rings, where $n = |G|$, and $R \cong R^G[G]$ as left $R^G[G]$ -modules.

In §3, assuming that R is a left and right self-injective regular ring and R is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module, we shall show that there exists a bijection from some subset of $\text{Max}(R*G)$ into $\text{Max}(R^G)$. Using this result, we obtain that for any $Q \in \partial_e P(R^G)$, there exists a unique $N \in \partial_e P(R*G)$ with $N(e) > 0$ such that $Q(a) = N(e)^{-1}N(ae)$ for any $a \in R^G$.

1. Relations between $P(R*G)$ and $P(R^G)$

Given regular ring T , we use $\text{FP}(T)$ to denote the set of all finitely generated projective left T -modules. For modules A, B , $A \lesssim B$ means that A is isomorphic to a submodule of B and we use nA to denote the direct sum of n copies of A .

According to [1, p. 226], we mean by a pseudo-rank function on R is a map $N: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

- (1) $N(1) = 1$.
- (2) $N(rs) \leq N(r)$ and $N(rs) \leq N(s)$ for all $r, s \in R$.
- (3) $N(e+f) = N(e) + N(f)$ for all orthogonal idempotent $e, f \in R$.

If, in addition

- (4) $N(r) > 0$ for all non-zero $r \in R$,

then N is called a rank function. We use $P(R)$ to denote the set of all pseudo-rank functions on R

For a regular ring R , we view $P(R)$ as a subset of the real vector space \mathbf{R}^R , which we equipped with the product topology [1, Ch. 16 and Appendix]. Then $P(R)$ is a compact convex subset of \mathbf{R}^R by [1, Prop. 16, 17]. We use $\partial_e P(R)$ to denote the set of all extreme points of $P(R)$. It is known that $P(R)$ is equal to the closure of the convex hull of $\partial_e P(R)$ by Krein-Milman Theorem.

Again according to [1, p. 232], we mean by a dimension function on $\text{FP}(T)$ is a map $D: \text{FP}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that

- (1) $D(T) = 1$
- (2) If $A, B \in \text{FP}(T)$ and $A \lesssim B$, then $D(A) \leq D(B)$.
- (3) $D(A \oplus B) = D(A) + D(B)$ for all $A, B \in \text{FP}(T)$.

Let $D(T)$ denote the set of all dimension functions on $\text{FP}(T)$. There is a bijection $\Gamma_T: P(T) \rightarrow D(T)$ such that $\Gamma_T(P)(Tt) = P(t)$ for all $P \in P(T)$ and $t \in T$ by [1, Prop. 16.8]. For $P \in P(T)$, we use D_P to denote the dimension function $\Gamma_T(P)$.

Let T be a ring with identity element 1 and let G be a finite group of automorphisms of T with $|G|^{-1} \in T$. The skew group ring, $T*G$, is defined to be a free left T -module with basis $\{g: g \in G\}$ and multiplication given as follows: if $r, s \in T$ and $g, h \in G$, then $(rg)(sh) = rs^{g^{-1}}gh$ ([9]).

Throughout this paper, put $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$ and denote by θ the map $e(T * G)e \rightarrow T^G$ which is given by $\theta[e(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g)e] = \sum_{g \in G} t(r_g)$, where $t(r) = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} r^g$ for $r \in T$. Then e is an idempotent and θ is an isomorphism by [9, Lemma 0.1].

Let R be a unit-regular ring and G a finite subgroup of $Aut(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. In [8], we have studied relationships between $P(R * G)$ and $P(R)$ (resp. $\partial_e P(R * G)$ and $\partial_e P(R)$). Especially we have shown that all G -invariant $P \in P(R)$ can be extended to pseudo-rank functions of $R * G$. In this paper, we shall study the relation between $P(R * G)$ and $P(R^G)$ (resp. $\partial_e P(R * G)$ and $\partial_e P(R^G)$). If $R * G$ and R^G are Morita equivalent, then K.R. Goodearl has shown under a general situation that there is a bijection between $P(R * G)$ and $P(R^G)$ in [1, Cor. 16.9]. We shall define maps between $P(R * G)$ and $P(R^G)$, which are more concrete than the Goodearl's bijection, without the assumption of Morita Equivalence.

A partially ordered abelian group is an abelian group K equipped with a partial order \leq which is translation invariant ([1, p. 202]). The positive cone of K is the set $K^+ = \{x \in K; x \geq 0\}$. If the partial order on K is directed (upward or downward), then K is called a directed abelian group. An order unit in K is an element $u > 0$ such that for any $x \in K$, there exists a positive integer n for which $x \leq nu$. We denote by a pair (G, u) a partially ordered abelian group with order-unit u .

For a unit-regular ring T , the Grothendieck group $K_0(T)$ is an abelian group with generators $[A]$, where $[A]$ is the isomorphism class for $A \in FP(T)$ and with relation $[A \oplus B] = [A] + [B]$ ([1, §15]). Every element of $K_0(T)$ has the form $[A] - [B]$ for some $A, B \in FP(T)$. $K_0(T)$ is a partially ordered abelian group with order-unit $[T]$ and positive cone $K_0(T)^+$ coincides with $\{[A]; A \in FP(T)\}$ by [1, Prop. 15.2].

Let R be a unit-regular ring and let G be a finite subgroup of $Aut(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. The skew group ring $R * G$ is a regular ring by [5]. Unfortunately we don't know whether $R * G$ is unit-regular or not. Therefore, from now on, we assume that $R * G$ is unit-regular in many cases. We regard $R * Ge$ as a (left $R * G$, right R^G)-bimodule, where $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$.

There exists a natural functor $\mu; FP(R^G) \rightarrow FP(R * G)$ given by the rule $\mu(M) = R * Ge \otimes_{R^G} M$. Then we have a positive homomorphism $\bar{\mu}: K_0(R^G) \rightarrow K_0(R * G)$, defined by $\bar{\mu}([M]) = [\mu(M)]$. Set $F = \{N \in P(R * G): N(e) = 0\}$. Then μ also induces a map $\mu^*: P(R * G) \setminus F \rightarrow P(R^G)$ given by the rule $\mu^*(N)(a) = N(e)^{-1} D_N(\mu(R^G a))$ for any $N \in P(R * G) \setminus F$ and any $a \in R^G$, where D_N is the dimension function which corresponds to N . In fact, since $\mu(R^G a) = R * Ge \otimes R^G a \cong R * Gea$, we have $D_N(\mu(R^G a)) = N(ea)$. Then $\mu^*(N)(a) = N(e)^{-1} N(ea)$ for all $a \in R^G$. Thus $\mu^*(N)$ is a pseudo-rank function by the isomorphism $\theta: eR * Ge \rightarrow R^G$ and [1, Lemma 16.2].

Proposition 1. *Let $\mu^*: P(R*G)\setminus F \rightarrow P(R^G)$ be the map given above. If $N \in P(R*G)\setminus F$ is extremal in $P(R*G)$, then $\mu^*(N)$ is also extremal.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\mu^*(N)(a) \wedge \mu^*(N)(b) = \sup\{\mu^*(N)(arb) : r \in R^G\}$$

for all $a, b \in R^G$ by [1, Prop. 19.16]. We compute as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\mu^*(N)(arb) : r \in R^G\} &= \sup\{N(earb).N(e)^{-1} : r \in R^G\} \\ &= \sup\{N(ea.er.eb) : r \in R^G\}.N(e)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If r runs over all element of R^G , $ea.er.eb$ runs over all generators of $aeR*Gbe$ by θ . Then, since N is extremal, we have

$$\sup\{N(ea.er.eb) : r \in R^G\} = N(ea) \wedge N(eb)$$

by [1, Th. 19.16]. Consequently we see that

$$\sup\{\mu^*(N)(arb) : r \in R^G\} = \mu^*(N)(a) \wedge \mu^*(N)(b).$$

In general, there may not exist any map from $P(R^G) \rightarrow P(R*G)$. Under the assumption that R is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module, there exists such a map ([8]). For the sake of completeness, we shall again define it. We assume that R is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module. For any $A \in \text{FP}(R*G)$, define $\lambda(A) = \text{Hom}_{R*G}(R*Ge, A)$. Since $\text{Hom}_{R*G}(R*Ge, R*G) \cong eR*G \cong R$ as left R^G -modules, $\lambda(A)$ is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module. The functor λ induces a positive homomorphism

$$\bar{\lambda}: K_0(R*G) \rightarrow K_0(R^G) \text{ defined by the rule; } \bar{\lambda}([A]) = [\lambda(A)].$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{R*G}(R*Ge, R*G) \cong eR*G \cong R$ as left R^G -modules, we have $\bar{\lambda}([R*G]) = [{}_R R]$. We define

$$\lambda^*(Q)(x) = D_Q(R)^{-1} D_Q(\lambda(R*Gx))$$

for any $Q \in P(R^G)$ and for all $x \in R*G$, where D_Q is the dimension function which corresponds to Q . By [8, §3], $\lambda^*(Q)$ is a pseudo-rank function on $R*G$.

REMARK 1. Since $\lambda(R*Ge) \cong eR*G \cong R$, we have the relation that $\lambda^*(Q)(e) = D_Q({}_R R)^{-1}$ for all $Q \in P(R^G)$.

Now we shall determine pseudo-rank functions on R^G from ones on $R*G$.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a unit-regular ring, G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$ and $R*G$ a skew group ring of G over R . Put $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$ and set $F = \{N \in P(R*G) : N(e) = 0\}$. We assume that $R*G$ is a unit-regular*

ring and that R is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module. Then the following hold;

- (1) $\bar{\mu}: K_0(R^G) \rightarrow K_0(R^*G)$ is an order-embedding map and $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} = \text{identity}$.
- (2) For any $Q \in P(R^G)$, there exists some $N \in P(R^*G) \setminus F$ such that $Q(a) = N(e)^{-1} N(ae)$ for any $a \in R^G$.

Proof. (1) First we shall show that for any idempotent $a \in R^G$, $\lambda \mu(R^G a) \cong R^G a$. In fact, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \mu(R^G a) &= \text{Hom}_{R^*G}(R^*Ge, R^*Ge \otimes_{R^G} R^G a) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R^*G}(R^*Ge, R^*Gea) \\ &\cong eR^*Gea \\ &\cong R^G a, \end{aligned}$$

using the isomorphism $eR^*Ge \rightarrow R^G$.

Since $K_0(R^G)$ (resp. $K_0(R^*G)$) is generated by the set $\{[I]: I \text{ is a principal left ideal}\}$ by [1, Prop. 2.6], we see that $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} = \text{identity}$. For any $M, M' \in \text{FP}(R^G)$, we assume that $\bar{\mu}([M]) \leq \bar{\mu}([M'])$. By definitions and [1, Prop. 15.2], we see that $\mu(M) \leq \mu(M')$ and $M \cong \lambda \mu(M) \leq \lambda \mu(M') \cong M'$. Hence we conclude that $[M] \leq [M']$.

(2) For maps $\mu^*: P(R^*G) \setminus F \rightarrow P(R^G)$ and $\lambda^*: P(R^G) \rightarrow P(R^*G) \setminus F$, we may show that $\mu^* \lambda^* = \text{identity}$. For any $Q \in P(R^G)$ and any $a \in R^G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^* \lambda^*(Q)(a) &= \lambda^*(Q)(e)^{-1} \cdot D_{\lambda^*(Q)}(\lambda(R^G a)) \\ &= D_Q(R) \cdot D_Q(R)^{-1} D_Q(\mu \lambda(R^G a)) \\ &= D_Q(R^G a) \\ &= Q(a). \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2. By Proposition 1, the restriction map of μ^* on $\partial_e P(R^*G) \setminus F$ is a map into $\partial_e P(R^G)$. Unfortunately we can't prove that it is also an epimorphism. We shall prove in §3 that it is an epimorphism for self-injective regular rings.

Next we shall determine a condition that R^*G and R^G are Morita equivalent.

Proposition 3. *Let R be a unit-regular ring and let G be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. We assume that R^*G is also a unit-regular ring. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) R^*Ge (resp. eR^*G) is a generator as a R^*G -module.
- (2) $N(e) > 0$ for all $N \in \partial_e P(R^*G)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By the assumption of (1), there exists some natural number k such that $R^*G \leq k \cdot (R^*Ge)$. Then, for any $N \in \partial_e P(R^*G)$, we have

$kN(e) \geq 1$ and so $N(e) > 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). We shall show that $R*GeR*G = R*G$. Put $H = R*GeR*G$. Assume that $H \neq R*G$. Let $f; R*G \rightarrow R*G/H$ be a natural epimorphism. Since $R*G/H$ is also unit-regular, we have that $P(R*G/H)$ is not empty by [1, Cor 18.5]. By [1, Th. A.6], there exist $N' \in \partial_e P(R*G/H)$. We consider the function $N'f$. Then $N = N'f$ is an extreme pseudo-rank function on $R*G$ by [1, Prop. 16.19]. Since $H \subset \ker(N)$, $N(e) = 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence $R*GeR*G = R*G$ and we see that $R*Ge$ is a generator.

REMARK 3. In above case, since $\text{End}_{R*G}(R*Ge) \cong R^G$, $R*G$ and R^G are Morita equivalent. So, $\lambda^* \mu^* = \text{identity}$ and hence μ^* induces a bijection from $\partial_e P(R*G)$ into $\partial_e P(R^G)$.

2. X -outer automorphisms

In this section, let R be a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring and G a finite group of automorphisms of R with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. It is known that both $R*G$ and R^G are directly finite, left self-injective, regular rings ([12]) and that such rings are unit-regular rings ([1, Th. 9.17]). K.R. Goodearl has shown that there exists a bijection $\partial_e P(R) \rightarrow \text{Max}(R)$ which is defined by the rule; $P \rightarrow \ker(P)$ and that $R/\ker(P)$ is a simple self-injective regular ring with the unique rank function [4, II. 14.5]. We use repeatedly that fact.

An automorphism g of R is called an X -inner if there exists a non-zero element $x \in R$ such that $rx = xr^g$ for all $r \in R$ ([10]). If g is not X -inner, we call g X -outer. For a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(R)$, we call G X -outer if all $g \neq 1 \in G$ are X -outer. Let $Z(R)$ be the center of R .

First we shall determine the structure of $\text{Max}(R*G)$ for an X -outer group G . The following Lemma has been essentially proved in [5], but we shall prove it in this note for the sake of completeness. We denote the set of all central idempotents of a ring T by $B(T)$.

Lemma 4. *Let R be a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring and G a finite group of automorphisms of R with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. We assume that G is X -outer. Then $\text{Max}(R*G) = \{(\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g)*G : M \in \text{Max}(R)\}$.*

Proof. Since G is X -outer, $Z(R*G)$ is contained in $Z(R) \cap R^G$. Hence $B(R*G) \subset B(R) \cap R^G$. First we choose any $P \in \text{Max}(R*G)$. Put $m = P \cap B(R*G)$, then $m \in \text{Max}(B(R*G))$ and P is the unique maximal ideal containing m by [1, Th. 8.25]. Let m_0 be a maximal ideal of $B(R)$ containing m . Then there exists a unique maximal ideal M of R containing m_0 by [1, Th. 8.25]. Put $\bar{M} = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g$. We note that $m \subset \bar{M}$. By [11, Lemma 4.1], $\bar{M}*G$ is a finite intersection of maximal ideals of $R*G$ and P is the unique maximal ideal of

$R * G$ containing m by [1, Th. 8.25]. Therefore we have $P = \bar{M} * G$. Conversely for any $M \in \text{Max}(R)$, put $m = M \cap B(R * G)$. Then we see that $m \in \text{Max}(B(R * G))$. Since $(\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g) * G$ is a finite intersection of maximal ideals of $R * G$ by [11, Lemma 4.1] and containing m , it is a maximal ideal by [1, Th. 8.25].

In [8], we have studied the relation between $P(R * G)$ and $P(R)$. Especially we can extend a G -invariant pseudo-rank function P on R to one, P^G , on $R * G$ defined by the rule; $P^G(x) = |G|^{-1} D_P(R * Gx)$ for all $x \in R * G$ ([8, Cor. 4]). If P is not G -invariant, then we consider the trace $t(P) = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} P^g$, where $P^g(r) = P(r^{g^{-1}})$. Now we shall determine all elements in $\partial_e P(R * G)$, using Lemma 4 and [8, Cor. 4].

Proposition 5. *Let R be a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring and G a finite group of automorphisms of R with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. We assume that G is X -outer. Then $\partial_e P(R * G) = \{t(Q)^G : Q \in \partial_e P(R)\}$.*

Proof. For any $N \in \partial_e P(R * G)$, we see that $\ker(N) \in \text{Max}(R * G)$ by [4, II. 14.5]. By Lemma 4, we have that $\ker(N) = (\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g) * G$, where $M \in \text{Max}(R)$. We choose $Q \in \partial_e P(R)$ such that $\ker(Q) = M$. Since $\ker t(Q) = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g / \ker t(Q)^G \supset (\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g) * G$. Hence we have $\ker(t(Q)^G) = \ker(N)$ and hence $t(Q)^G = N$. Conversely for any $Q \in \partial_e P(R)$, we proved above that $\ker(t(Q)^G)$ is a maximal ideal of $R * G$. Thus $t(Q)^G$ is extremal by [4, II. 14.5].

Lemma 6. *Let R be a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring and G a finite group of automorphisms of R with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. We assume that G is X -outer. Then the following hold:*

- (1) $N(e) = n^{-1}$ for all $N \in \partial_e P(R * G)$, where $n = |G|$.
- (2) $R * G \cong M_n(R^G)$.

Proof. By Proposition 5, we have $N = t(Q)^G$ for some $Q \in \partial_e P(R)$. Since $R * Ge \cong R$ as a left R -module, $N(e) = t(Q)^G(e) = n^{-1}$ by [8, Corollary 4]. Consequently we have $R * G \cong n(R * Ge)$ as a left $R * G$ -module by [2, Cor. 2.7]. Hence $R * G \cong M_n(R^G)$, because $eR * Re \cong G^G$.

Now, using Lemma 6, we shall prove an interesting result concerning with "a normal basis" of R over R^G .

Proposition 7. *Let R be a directly finite, left self-injective, regular ring and G a finite group of automorphisms of R with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. We assume that G is X -outer. Then $R \cong R^G[G]$ as $R^G[G]$ -modules.*

Proof. We can easily see that $R * GeR * G = R * G$ by Lemma 4. Then $R * Ge$ is a generator as a $R * G$ -module and R is a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module. We know that there exist maps $\mu^*: P(R * G) \rightarrow P(R^G)$ and

$\lambda^*: P(R^G) \rightarrow P(R * G)$ such that $\lambda^* \mu^* = \text{identity}$, $\mu^* \lambda^* = \text{identity}$ and both maps are also bijection on the extremal boundary by §1. Especially we have an important relation that $\lambda^*(Q)(e) = D_Q(R)^{-1}$ for all $Q \in P(R^G)$. Therefore any $Q \in \partial_e P(R^G)$, we have $\lambda^*(Q) \in \partial_e P(R * G)$ and $\lambda^*(Q)(e) = D_Q(R)^{-1}$ by the above remark. Put $n = |G|$. By Lemma 6, we have $D_Q(R) = n$ for all $Q \in \partial_e P(R^G)$. Then by [2, Cor. 2.7], we see that ${}_R R \cong n \cdot R^G$.

Next, we consider R as a left $R * G$ -module by the rule: $(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g) r = \sum_{g \in G} r_g r^g$. Since it is known that $R \cong R * G e$ as $R * G$ -modules, we have that $R * G \cong n \cdot R$ as $R * G$ -modules by Lemma 6. Let $S = R^G[G]$ be an ordinary group ring of G over R^G , which is a left self-injective, regular, subring of $R * G$. Since $R \cong n \cdot R^G$ as left R^G -modules, we have that $R * G \cong n \cdot S$ as left S -modules. On the other hand, since $R * G \cong n \cdot R$ as left $R * G$ -modules, we have that $n \cdot R \cong n \cdot S$ as left S -modules. By [1, Th. 10.34], we can conclude that $R \cong S$ as left S -modules.

3. N^* -metric

K.R. Goodearl and D. Handelman have introduced the N^* -metric which is induced by $P(R)$ for a regular ring R . In this section, we shall study the bijectiveness of the map $\mu^*: \partial_e P(R * G) \rightarrow \partial_e P(R^G)$ for a self-injective regular ring R , using the N^* -metrics of $R * G$, R and R^G .

Let T be a unit-regular ring. We assume that for a given non-zero $x \in T$, there exists $P \in P(T)$ such that $P(x) > 0$. For each $x \in T$, according to [7], we define

$$N^*(x) = \sup \{ P(x) : P \in P(T) \} .$$

Thus $N^*(x)$ is a real number, and $0 \leq N^*(x) \leq 1$. N^* induces a metric d^* on T given by the rule $d^*(x, y) = N^*(x - y)$, which we call the N^* -metric and T becomes a topological ring with respect to N^* -metric. If T is complete with respect to N^* -metric, T is called N^* -complete. It is known that regular rings with bounded index of nilpotence and \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings are N^* -complete [3, Th. 1.3 and Th. 1.8]. We define $\ker(P(T)) = \bigcap_{P \in P(T)} \ker(P)$.

Lemma 8. *Let R be a unit-regular ring with $\ker(P(R)) = 0$ and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$ and let $R * G$ be a skew group ring of G over R . We assume that $R * G$ is a unit-regular ring and R is a finitely generated projective left R^G -module. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $\ker(P(R * G)) = 0$ and

$$N^*_{R * G}(r) \leq N^*_R(r) \leq |G| N^*_{R^G}(r)$$

for all $r \in R$.

- (2) There exists a natural number t such that

$$N_R^*(a) \leq N_{R^G}^*(a) \leq tN_R^*(a)$$

for all $a \in R^G$. Consequently, the topology defined by N_R^* -metric are coincide the topology induced by $N_{R^G}^*$ -metric on R^G .

Proof. (1) For any $P \in P(R)$, let $t(P) = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} P^g$, which is a G -invariant pseudo-rank function. By [8, Cor. 4], the extension $t(P)^G$ is a pseudo-rank function on $R * G$ and $t(P)^G|_R = t(P)$. For $x \in \ker(P(R * G))$, we assume that $R * Gx \cong \bigoplus_i Rr_i$ as R -modules. Then $t(P)^G(x) = |G|^{-1} \sum_i t(P)(r_i)$ by [8, Cor. 4] and so $t(P)(r_i) = 0$ for all i . Since $P(r_i) \leq |G|t(P)(r_i)$ by definition, we see that $P(r_i) = 0$ for all i and so that $r_i = 0$ for all i by assumption. Next, for any $r \in R$, we see that

$$P(r) \leq |G|t(P)(r) = |G|t(P)^G(r) \leq |G|N_{R * G}^*(r)$$

for any $r \in R$. Therefore $N_R^*(r) \leq |G|N_{R * G}^*(r)$.

(2) Since R is also a finitely generated, projective, left R^G -module by assumption, let $R \leq t \cdot (R^G)$ for some $t > 0$. Then $D_Q(R) \leq t$ for all $Q \in P(R^G)$. Using Theorem 2, we see that for $Q \in P(R^G)$ and any $a \in R^G$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(a) &= \mu^*(\lambda^*(Q))(a) \\ &= \lambda^*(Q)(e)^{-1} \cdot \lambda^*(Q)(ea) \\ &\leq D_Q(R) \cdot \lambda^*(Q)(a) \\ &\leq tN_R^*(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $N_{R^G}^*(a) \leq tN_R^*(a)$ for all $a \in R^G$.

Let $T * G$ be a skew group ring of a finite group G over a ring T such that $|G|^{-1} \in T$ and put $e = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} g$. M. Lorenz and D.S. Passmann [11] and S. Montgomery [9] have studied the relation between prime ideals of $T * G$, T and T^G . Now we shall study maximal ideals of $T * G$ and T^G , using the manners of [9].

We denote by $\text{Spec}_e(T * G)$ the set of all prime ideals of $T * G$ not containing e and let $I_e(T * G)$ = the set of all ideals of $T * G$ not containing e . There exists a natural map $\phi: I_e(T * G) \rightarrow$ the set of all ideals of T^G , defined by the rule $\phi(M) = \theta(eMe)$, where $\theta: eT * Ge \rightarrow T^G$ is the isomorphism introduced in §1. In [9], it is shown that ϕ induces a bijection from $\text{Spec}_e(T * G)$ to $\text{Spec}(T^G)$. Therefore ϕ also induces a bijection $\phi': \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(T * G) \rightarrow \text{Max}(T^G)$, where $\overline{\text{Spec}}_e(T * G)$ is the set of $\{M \in \text{Spec}_e(T * G): M \text{ is maximum in } \text{Spec}_e(T * G)\}$. The following lemma is needed in later propositions.

Lemma 9. *Let T be a ring and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in T$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *All $\mathfrak{p} \in \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(T * G)$ are maximal ideals.*

(2) For any $m \in \text{Max}(T^G)$, there exists some $M \in \text{Max}(T)$ such that $M \cap T^G \subset m$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). For any $m \in \text{Max}(T^G)$, we choose $\mathfrak{p} \in \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(T * G)$ such that $\phi'(\mathfrak{p}) = m$. By the assumption of (1) and [11, Lemma 4.2], $\mathfrak{p} \cap T = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g$ for some $M \in \text{Max}(T)$. Since $(\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g) * G \subset \mathfrak{p}$, we see that $M \cap T^G = \phi'((\bigcap_{g \in G} M^g) * G) \subset \phi'(\mathfrak{p}) = m$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(T * G)$, put $m = \phi'(\mathfrak{p})$ and choose $M \in \text{Max}(T)$ such that $M \cap T^G \subset m$. Since $\bar{M} = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g$ is G -invariant, we see that $\bar{M} * G = \bigcap_i \mathfrak{g}_i$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{g}_i (i=1, \dots, t)$ of $T * G$ by [11, Lemma 4.1]. Let $\mathfrak{g}_i (i=1, \dots, s)$ be the set of all primes in $\{\mathfrak{g}_i (i=1, \dots, t)\}$ not containing e .

Since $\phi(\bigcap_i^s \mathfrak{g}_i) = \phi(\bar{M} * G) = M \cap T^G \subset m = \phi'(\mathfrak{p})$, we see that $\bigcap_i^s \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$ by [9, (3) of Lemma 0.2]. By primeness of \mathfrak{p} , $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for some i and so $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{p}$ by the maximality of \mathfrak{g}_i .

Next, for a self-injective regular ring R , we shall consider a condition satisfying (2) of Lemma 9. We note that $R * G$ and R^G are also self-injective regular rings by [12].

Proposition 10. *Let R be a left and right self-injective, regular ring and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. If R is a finitely generated projective left R^G -module, then, for any $m \in \text{Max}(R^G)$, there exists $M \in \text{Max}(R)$ such that $M \cap R^G \subset m$.*

Proof. By [5, §II], there exist subgroups H_1, \dots, H_s of G and orthogonal central idempotents e_1, \dots, e_s of R such that

- (1) for any $f \in B(R)$ such that $fe_i = f$, the stabilizer of f is equal to H_i and the distinct conjugates of f are mutually orthogonal,
- (2) $e_1^G + \dots + e_s^G = 1$, where e_i^G is the sum of all distinct conjugates of e_i ,
- (3) $(Re_i)^{H_i} = (Re_i^G)^G$.

It follows from the assumption that the pair (R, R^G) satisfies (2) of Lemma 8. Then each $(Re_i, (Re_i)^{H_i})$ also satisfies the same one. Therefore it needs only to prove the assertion in the case that any $f \in B(R)$ is G -invariant.

First we consider the topology τ_1 , induced by N_k^* -metric on R^G as a subspace. Put $X = \partial_e P(R)$.

(1) \mathfrak{a} is dense in $\bigcap_{P \in X} (\mathfrak{a} + (\ker(P) \cap R^G))$ with respect to τ_1 for any proper ideal \mathfrak{a} .

In fact, for $a \in R$, we define a function $\pi(a): X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by the rule: $\pi(a)(N) = N(a)$. Then $\pi(a)$ is a continuous map by the definitions on the topology of X (See, [7]). We choose any $x \in \bigcap_{P \in X} (\mathfrak{a} + (\ker(P) \cap R^G))$ and for each $P \in X$, we put $x = a_P + y_P$, where $a_P \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $y_P \in \ker(P) \cap R^G$. For any real number $\varepsilon > 0$, $U(y_P) = \pi(y_P)^{-1}([0, \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-1}])$ is a open set for each y_P and it contains P . Then we have

$$X = \cup_{P \in X} U(y_P).$$

We note that X is Boolean space by [1]. By compactness and the partition property, there exist finitely many $U(y_{P_i})$ $i=1, \dots, t$ corresponding to y_{P_i} and mutually disjoint clopen sets $W_i \subset U(y_{P_i})$ such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^t W_i$. For the set $\{W_i: i=1, \dots, t\}$, there exists mutually orthogonal central idempotents $\{e_i: i=1, \dots, t\}$ of R such that $W_i = \{N \in X: N(e_i)=1\}$ by [1]. Since $e_i \in R^G$ ($i=1, \dots, t$), $a = \sum_i e_i \cdot a_{P_i}$ is contained in \mathfrak{a} . For any $P \in X$, there exists only one W_i such that $P \in W_i$. Then we see that $P(e_i)=1$ and $P(e_j)=0$, for all $j \neq i$ and so we see that

$$\begin{aligned} P(a-x) &= (\sum_{j \neq i} P(e_j a_{P_j})) + P((e_i-1) a_{P_i}) + P(y_{P_i}) \\ &< P(y_{P_i}) \\ &< \varepsilon \cdot 2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $N_R^*(a-x) < \varepsilon 2^{-1} < \varepsilon$.

(2) For any $m \in \text{Max}(R^G)$, $m = \cap_{P \in X} (m + (\ker P \cap R^G))$.

In fact, since R^G is complete with respect to the topology τ_2 defined by N_R^* -metric by [3, Th. 1.8], m is closed with respect to τ_2 by [3, Th. 1.13 and Cor. 1.14]. Since $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ by Lemma 8, m is closed with respect to τ_1 . Then we can conclude that $m = \cap_{P \in X} (m + (\ker(P) \cap R^G))$ by (1).

(3) For any $m \in \text{Max}(R^G)$, there exists some $P \in X$ such that $m + (\ker(P) \cap R^G) \neq R^G$ by (2) and so $m = m + (\ker(P) \cap R^G) \supset \ker(P) \cap R^G$. By [4, II. 14.5], $\ker(P)$ is a maximal ideal of R .

Theorem 11. *Let R be a left and right self-injective regular ring and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ with $|G|^{-1} \in R$. Assume that R is a finitely generated projective left R^G -module. Let μ^*, λ^* be the maps defined in §1. Then $\mu^*: \partial_e P(R * G) \setminus F \rightarrow \partial_e P(R^G)$ is a bijection and $(\mu^*)^{-1} = \lambda^*$.*

Proof. We shall consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial_e P(R * G) / F & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & \partial_e P(R^G) \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(R * G) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & \text{Max}(R^G) \end{array}$$

where π_i ($i=1, 2$) is the map defined by $\pi_i(N) = \ker(N)$. By Lemma 9 and Proposition 10, any $\mathfrak{p} \in \overline{\text{Spec}}_e(R * G)$ is a maximal ideal and so π_i ($i=1, 2$) is a bijection by [4, II. 14.5]. It is easy to prove that the above diagram is commutative. Then we have that μ^* is a bijection and $(\mu^*)^{-1} = \lambda^*$.

References

- [1] K.R. Goodearl: Von Neumann regular rings, Pitman, 1979.
- [2] K.R. Goodearl: *Directly finite \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings*, Pacific J. Math. **100** (1982), 105–122.
- [3] K.R. Goodearl: *Metrically complete regular rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **272** (1982), 275–310.
- [4] K.R. Goodearl, D.E. Handelman and J.W. Lawrence: *Affine representations of Grothendieck groups and applications to Rickart C^* -algebras and \aleph_0 -continuous regular rings*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 234.
- [5] J.M. Goursaud, J. Osterburg, J.L. Pascaud and J. Valette: *Points fixes des anneaux reguliers auto-injectifs a gauche*, Comm. Algebra **9** (1981), 1343–1394.
- [6] D. Handelman and G. Renault: *Actions on finite groups on self-injective rings*, Pacific J. Math. **89** (1980), 69–80.
- [7] D. Handelman: *Representing rank complete continuous rings*, Canad. J. Math. **28** (1976), 1320–1331.
- [8] J. Kado: *Pseudo-rank functions on crossed products of finite groups over regular rings*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 821–833.
- [9] S. Montgomery: *Prime ideals in fixed rings*, Comm. Algebra **9** (1981), 423–449.
- [10] S. Montgomery: *Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings*, Lecture Note in Math. 818, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] M. Lorenz and D.S. Passman: *Prime ideals in crossed products of finite groups*, Israel J. Math. **33** (1979), 89–132.
- [12] A. Page: *Actions de groupes*, Seminaire d'algebre Paul Dubreil 1977–78, Lecture Note in Math. 740, Springer-Verlag.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Osaka 558, Japan