Nunokawa, M. and Sokół, J. Osaka J. Math. **51** (2014), 695–707

ON SOME LENGTH PROBLEMS FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

MAMORU NUNOKAWA and JANUSZ SOKÓŁ

(Received December 6, 2012)

Abstract

Let A be the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z\colon |z|<1\}$. Let C(r) be the closed curve which is the image of the circle |z|=r<1 under the mapping w=f(z), L(r) the length of C(r), and let A(r) be the area enclosed by the curve C(r). It was shown in [13] that if $f\in\mathcal{A}$, f is starlike with respect to the origin, and for $0\leq r<1$, A(r)< A, an absolute constant, then

(0.1)
$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \text{ as } r \to 1.$$

It is the purpose of this work to prove, using a modified methods than that in [13], a strengthened form of (0.1) for Bazilevič functions, strongly starlike functions and for close-to-convex functions.

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions

$$(1.1) f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all univalent in \mathcal{D} .

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

then f(z) is said to be convex in \mathbb{D} and denoted by $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

then f(z) is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in \mathbb{D} and denoted by $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Furthermore, If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

(1.2)
$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

for some $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and some $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, then f(z) is said to be close-to-convex in \mathbb{D} and denoted by $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}$. An univalent function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to \mathcal{C} if and only if the complement E of the image-region $F = \{f(z) : |z| < 1\}$ is the union of rays that are disjoint (except that the origin of one ray may lie on another one of the rays).

On the other hand, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

for some $g(z) \in S^*$ and some $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, then f(z) is said to be a Bazilevič function of type β and denoted by $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$.

Let $SS^*(\alpha)$ denote the class of strongly starlike functions of order α , $0 < \alpha \le 1$,

$$\mathcal{SS}^*(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \left| \text{Arg } \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},\,$$

which was introduced in [12] and [1].

Let C(r) be the closed curve which is the image of |z| = r < 1 under the mapping w = f(z). Let L(r) denote the length of C(r) and let A(r) be the area enclosed by C(r).

Let us define M(r) by

$$M(r) = \max_{|z|=r<1} |f(z)|.$$

Then F.R. Keogh [4] has shown that

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $f(z) \in S^*$ and

$$|f(z)| \le M < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then we have

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad as \quad r \to 1,$$

where O means Landau's symbol.

Furthermore, D.K. Thomas in [13] extended this result for bounded close-to-convex functions. Ch. Pommerenke in [9] has shown that

Theorem 1.2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{M(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{5/2}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Later, D.K. Thomas in [14] has shown that

Theorem 1.3. If $f(z) \in S^*$, then

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{\sqrt{A(r)}\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

M. Nunokawa in [6, 7] has shown that

Theorem 1.4. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right\}^{1/2} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Moreover, D.K. Thomas in [15] has shown the following two theorems

Theorem 1.5. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$ and |f(z)| < 1 in \mathbb{D} , then we

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Theorem 1.6. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$ and $0 < \beta \le 1$, then we

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left(M(r)\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)$$
 as $r \to 1$.

M. Nunokawa, S. Owa et al. in [8] have shown that

Theorem 1.7. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$ and $zf'(z) = f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)h(z)$, then we

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{\sqrt{A^{1-\beta}(r)G^{\beta}(r)}\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{2}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1,$$

where

$$G(r) = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \varrho |g'(\varrho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta d\varrho$$

or G(r) is the area of the image domain of $|z| \le r$ under the starlike mapping g.

Ch. Pommerenke in [9] has also shown that

Theorem 1.8. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, then

(1.3)
$$M(r) \le 4\sqrt{\frac{A(r)}{\pi} \log \frac{3}{1-r}} \quad (|z| = r < 1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$M(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right\}^{1/2} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

It is the purpose of this work to prove, using a modified methods than that in [13], a strengthened form of (0.1) for Bazilevič functions, strongly starlike functions and for close-to-convex functions.

2. Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. If h(z) is analytic and $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ in \mathbb{D} with h(0) = 1, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \le \frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} < \frac{4}{1-r^2}$$

for 0 < r < 1.

Lemma 2.1 can be easily proved using $|h^{(n)}(0)| \le 2n!$ and the Gutzmer's theorem, see for example [3, p. 31].

Lemma 2.2. If $f(z) \in S$, then we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \le \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} < \frac{2}{1-|z|} \quad in \quad \mathbb{D},$$
$$\left| f'(z) \right| \le \frac{1+|z|}{(1-|z|)^3} \quad in \quad \mathbb{D}.$$

A proof can be found in [10, p. 21].

Lemma 2.3 ([2, p. 337]). If h(z) is analytic and $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ in \mathbb{D} with h(0) = 1, then we have

$$|h'(z)| \le \frac{2 \Re\{h(z)\}}{1 - |z|^2} < \frac{2}{1 - |z|} \quad in \quad \mathbb{D}.$$

A proof can be found also in [5].

An analytic function f is said to be subordinate to an analytic function F, or F is said to be superordinate to f, if there exists a function an analytic function w such that

$$w(0) = 0$$
 and $|w(z)| < 1$ $(z \in \mathbb{D})$,

and

$$f(z) = F(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

In this case, we write $f \prec F$ $(z \in \mathbb{D})$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$ $(z \in \mathbb{D})$. If the function F is univalent in \mathbb{D} , then we have

$$[f \prec F \ (z \in \mathbb{D})] \Leftrightarrow [f(0) = F(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{D}) \subset F(\mathbb{D})].$$

Lemma 2.4. If f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in \mathbb{D} and if 0 < p, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \le \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

for all r, 0 < r < 1.

W. Rogosinski has shown Lemma 2.4 in [11].

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies the condition

(3.1)
$$\Re \left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \ge -\Re \left\{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\} \quad in \quad \mathbb{D},$$

then we have

(3.2)
$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right\}^{1/2} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Proof. For the case $0 < r \le 1/2$, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|z|(1+|z|)}{(1-|z|)^3} d\theta$$

$$< 12\pi.$$

For the case 1/2 < r < 1, we have

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r |f'(z) + zf''(z)| \, d\varrho \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \left| f'(z) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| \, d\varrho \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r |f'(z)|^2 \, d\varrho \, d\theta \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 \, d\varrho \, d\theta \right)^{1/2}$$

$$< \left(2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \varrho |f'(z)|^2 \, d\varrho \, d\theta \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 \, d\varrho \, d\theta \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \sqrt{2A(r)} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 \, d\varrho \, d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

From the hypothesis (3.1), we have

$$\mathfrak{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}>0\quad\text{in}\quad\mathbb{D}$$

or

(3.3)
$$\frac{1 + zf''(z)/f(z) + (1+z)/(1-z)}{2} < \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{D}.$$

It follows that

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} < 2\frac{1+z}{1-z}$$
 in \mathbb{D} ,

where the symbol ≺ means the subordination. Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$= \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} - \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right| \left| \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right| d\theta d\varrho$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{|1+z|^{2}}{|1-z|^{2}} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$= I_{1} + 2I_{2} + I_{3}.$$

From Lemma 2.4, (3.3) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} 4 \left| \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho$$

$$< 32\pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{1-\varrho^{2}} d\varrho$$

$$= 16\pi \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$2I_{2} = \left(\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{2} d\theta d\varrho \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(16\pi \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{1/2} \left(8\pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{1-\varrho^{2}} d\varrho \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(16\pi \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{1/2} \left(4\pi \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$I_3 = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^2 d\theta d\varrho$$
$$= 4\pi \log \frac{1+r}{1-r}$$
$$= \mathcal{O}\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1.$$

This shows (3.2) which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$ is a Bazilevič function of type β , $0 < \beta \le 1$, then we have

(3.4)
$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{3/2}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Proof. Because $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$, there exists $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and there exists an analytic function h(z), h(0) = 1, $\Re \{h(z)\} > 0$ in \mathbb{D} , such that

(3.5)
$$zf'(z) = f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)h(z).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} L(r) &= \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)h(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq M^{1-\beta}(r) \int_0^{2\pi} |g^{\beta}(z)h(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq M^{1-\beta}(r) \bigg\{ \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |g^{\beta-1}(z)g'(z)h(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \, \mathrm{d}\varrho + \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |g^{\beta}(z)h'(z)| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \bigg\} \\ &\leq M^{1-\beta}(r) (I_1(r) + I_2(r)). \end{split}$$

Applying Ch. Pommerenke's result (1.3), we have

$$L(r) \le \left(\frac{16}{\pi} A(r) \log \frac{3}{1-r}\right)^{(1-\beta)/2} (I_1(r) + I_2(r)).$$

D.K. Thomas in [15] has shown that if f(z) is a Bazilevič function of type β , $0 < \beta$, then

(3.6)
$$I_1(r) \leq 2\sqrt{2\pi}\beta K(\beta) \left(\frac{1}{r}\log\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \mathcal{O}\left\{\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/2}\right\} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1,$$

where

(3.7)
$$K(\beta) = \max\{1, (4/r)^{1-\beta}\}\$$

is a bounded constant not necessarily the same each time. On the other hand

$$I_2(r) = \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |g^{\beta}(z)h'(z)| d\theta d\varrho.$$

Using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} I_2(r) &\leq \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^{\beta} \, \mathfrak{Re}\{h(z)\} \frac{2}{1-\varrho^2} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \\ &\leq 2 \, \mathfrak{Re} \bigg\{ \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|g^{\beta}(z)|}{g^{\beta}(z)} g^{\beta}(z) h(z) \frac{1}{1-\varrho^2} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Using (3.5) we can write

$$I_2(r) \le 2 \Re \left\{ \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} z f'(z) f^{\beta-1}(z) \frac{e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)}}{1-\varrho^2} d\theta d\varrho \right\}.$$

Because g(z) is a starlike function, then $\arg g(\varrho e^{i\theta})$ is an increasing function of θ and maps the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ onto oneself. Applying D. K. Thomas method [15, p. 357], after a suitable substitution and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{split} I_2(r) &\leq \frac{2}{\beta} \, \Re \mathfrak{e} \left\{ \int_0^r \int_{|z|=\varrho} z \bigg(\frac{\mathrm{d} f^\beta(z)}{\mathrm{d} z} \bigg) \frac{e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)}}{1-\varrho^2} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{iz} \, \mathrm{d} \varrho \right\} \\ &= 2 \, \Re \mathfrak{e} \left\{ \int_0^r \int_{|z|=\varrho} \frac{1}{i\beta} \frac{e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)}}{1-\varrho^2} \bigg(\frac{\mathrm{d} f^\beta(z)}{\mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z)} \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z) \, \mathrm{d} \varrho \right\} \\ &= 2 \, \Re \mathfrak{e} \left\{ \int_0^r \frac{\mathrm{d} \varrho}{i\beta (1-\varrho^2)} \int_{|z|=\varrho} e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)} \bigg(\frac{\mathrm{d} f^\beta(z)}{\mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z)} \bigg) \, \mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z) \right\} \\ &= 2 \, \Re \mathfrak{e} \left\{ \int_0^r \frac{\mathrm{d} \varrho}{i\beta (1-\varrho^2)} \bigg\{ [f^\beta(z) e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)}]_{\arg g(z)=0}^{\arg g(z)=2\pi} \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \left. + \int_0^r \int_{|z|=\varrho} i\beta f^\beta(z) e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)} \, \mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z) \, \mathrm{d} \varrho \right\} \\ &= 2 \, \Re \mathfrak{e} \left\{ \int_0^r \int_{|z|=\varrho} f^\beta(z) e^{-i\beta \arg g(z)} \frac{1}{1-\varrho^2} \, \mathrm{d}_\theta \arg g(z) \, \mathrm{d} \varrho \right\} \\ &\leq 4\pi \int_0^r M^\beta(\varrho)/(1-\varrho^2) \, \mathrm{d} \varrho. \end{split}$$

Applying Ch. Pommerenke's result (1.3), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{2}(r) &\leq 16\sqrt{\pi} \int_{0}^{r} \left(A(\varrho) \log \frac{3}{1-\varrho} \right)^{\beta/2} / (1-\varrho^{2}) \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \\ &\leq 16\sqrt{\pi} A^{\beta/2}(r) \int_{0}^{r} \left(\log \frac{3}{1-\varrho} \right)^{\beta/2} \frac{1}{1-\varrho} \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \\ &= 16\sqrt{\pi} A^{\beta/2}(r) \frac{2}{\beta+2} \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \left(\log \frac{3}{1-\varrho} \right)^{(\beta+2)/2} \right\}' \, \mathrm{d}\varrho \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left\{ A^{\beta/2}(r) \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{(\beta+2)/2} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1. \end{split}$$

Applying it together with (3.6) we obtain (3.4).

Theorem 3.3. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$ is a Bazilevič function of type β , $1 < \beta$, then we have

(3.8)
$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A^{\beta}(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\beta+2}\right\}^{1/2} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Proof. For the case $0 < r \le 1/2$, because $\mathcal{B}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} d\theta$$

$$< 12\pi.$$

where r = |z|. Assume that

$$h(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)}, \quad \Re{\mathfrak{e}}\{h(z)\} > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D}, \ g \in \mathcal{S}^*.$$

For the case 1/2 < r < 1, we have

$$L(r) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f^{1-\beta}(z)g^{\beta}(z)h(z)| d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \frac{(1+r)^{2}}{r} \right|^{\beta-1} |g^{\beta}(z)h(z)| d\theta$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{9}{2} \right)^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g^{\beta}(z)h(z)| d\theta$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{9}{2} \right)^{\beta-1} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{r} \beta |g'(z)g^{\beta-1}(z)h(z)| d\varrho d\theta + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{r} |g^{\beta}(z)h'(z)| d\varrho d\theta \right\}$$

$$= \left(\frac{9}{2} \right)^{\beta-1} (I_{1}(r) + I_{2}(r)).$$

Using the result (3.7) for 1/2 < r < 1, we have

$$I_1(r) \le 2\sqrt{2\pi} \beta K_1(\beta) \left(2\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $K_1(\beta) \le \max\{1, 8^{1-\beta}\}$. Furthermore, in the same way as in the previous proof, we obtain

$$I_2(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^r |g^{\beta}(z)h'(z)| \,\mathrm{d}\varrho \,\,\mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= \mathcal{O}\left\{ (A(r))^{\beta/2} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{(\beta+2)/2} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1,$$

where $K_2(r)$ is a bounded function of β . This completes the proof.

REMARK 3.4. D.K. Thomas in [15] has shown that if f(z) is a Bazilevič function of type β , $0 < \beta \le 1$, then

$$L(r) \le K(\beta)M(r)\log\frac{1}{1-r},$$

where $K(\beta)$ is a bounded function of β . On the other hand, from Ch. Pommerenke's result [9], we have

$$L(r) \le K(\beta) \sqrt{A(r)} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{3/2}.$$

From Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we have that if f(z) is a Bazilevič function of type β , $0 < \beta \le 1$, then

$$L(r) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}\left\{A^{\beta/2}(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\beta+2/2}\right\} & \text{for } 1 < \beta, \\ \\ \mathcal{O}\left\{A^{1/2}(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{3/2}\right\} & \text{for } 0 < \beta \le 1, \end{cases}$$
 as $r \to 1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in SS^*(\alpha)$ be strongly starlike function of order α , $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have

(3.9)
$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/2}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Proof. From the hypothesis of the Theorem and applying Ch. Pommerenke's [9] and Rogosinski's [11] results, we have

$$L(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} |zf'(z)| \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)| \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \, d\theta$$

$$\leq M(r) \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \sqrt{-KA(r) \log(1-r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right|^{\alpha} \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \sqrt{-KA(r) \log(1-r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2}{|1-z|^{\alpha}} \, d\theta$$

$$= \mathcal{O} \left\{ A(r) \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \quad \text{as} \quad r \to 1,$$

where K is a bounded constant and because we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2}{|1-z|^{\alpha}} d\theta < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Corollary 3.6. Let $f \in C$ be close-to-convex function, satisfy (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$ in \mathbb{D} and map \mathbb{D} onto a domain of finite area A. Then by Theorem 3.2, $\beta = 1$, we have

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{ \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{3/2} \right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

Notice that D.K. Thomas in Theorem 2 [13, p. 431]. has shown that

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right\}$$
 as $r \to 1$.

when $f \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfies (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$ and f is bounded in \mathbb{D} .

Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in C$ be close-to-convex function, satisfy (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$ in \mathbb{D} . Then by Theorem 3.2, $\beta = 1$, we have

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{A(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{3/2}\right\} \quad as \quad r \to 1.$$

In [13] it was shown that

$$L(r) = \mathcal{O}\left\{M(r)\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right\}$$
 as $r \to 1$,

when $f \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfies (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$. Compare also Theorems 1.1–1.8 in the introduction.

References

- D.A. Brannan and W.E. Kirwan: On some classes of bounded univalent functions, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 1 (1969), 431–443.
- [2] G. Goluzin: Geometrische Functionentheorie, V.E.B. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenscheften, Berlin 1957.
- [3] A.W. Goodman: Univalent Functions, I, II Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [4] F.R. Keogh: Some theorems on conformal mapping of bounded star-shaped domains, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 9 (1959), 481–491.
- [5] T.H. MacGregor: The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 514–520.

- [6] M. Nunokawa: On Bazilevič and convex functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 337–341.
- [7] M. Nunokawa: A note on convex and Bazilevič functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 332–335.
- [8] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, T. Hayami and K. Kuroki: Some properties of univalent functions, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (2009), 603–609.
- [9] Ch. Pommerenke: Über nahezu konvexe analytische Funktionen, Arch. Math. (Basel) **16** (1965), 344–347.
- [10] Ch. Pommerenke: Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [11] W. Rogosinski: On the coefficients of subordinate functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1943), 48–82.
- [12] J. Stankiewicz: Quelques problèmes extrémaux dans les classes des fonctions α-angulairement étoilées, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie–Skłodowska Sect. A **20** (1966), 59–75.
- [13] D.K. Thomas: On starlike and close-to-convex univalent functions, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 427–435.
- [14] D.K. Thomas: A note on starlike functions, J. London Math. Soc. 43 (1968), 703–706.
- [15] D.K. Thomas: On Bazilevič functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 353-361.

Mamoru Nunokawa University of Gunma Hoshikuki-cho 798-8 Chuou-Ward, Chiba, 260-0808 Japan e-mail: mamoru_nuno@doctor.nifty.jp

Janusz Sokół
Department of Mathematics
Rzeszów University of Technology
Al. Powstańców Warszawy 12
35-959 Rzeszów
Poland
e-mail: jsokol@prz.edu.pl