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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ and $G$ be finite groups and suppose $A$ acts on $G$ by automorphisms. We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ the set of ordinary (complex) irreducible characters of $G$. For a prime $p, \operatorname{IBr}_{p}(G)$ denotes the set of all irreducible Brauer characters of $G$ with respect to $p$. If $\varphi$ is a class function of $G$ and $a \in A$, $\varphi^{a}$, defined by $\varphi^{a}\left(g^{a}\right)=\varphi(g)$ for $g \in G$, is again a class function. For a set $S$ of class functions of $G$ which is stable under the action of $A$, we write $S_{A}$ to denote the set of all $A$-invariant elements of $S$. Let $\pi$ be a set of prime numbers and let $\pi^{\prime}$ be the set of primes complementary to $\pi$. For $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, we denote by $\hat{\chi}$ the restriction of $\chi$ to the set $\hat{G}$ of all $\pi$-elements of $G$. If $\hat{\chi}$ can not be written in the form $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\zeta}+\hat{\psi}$ with ordinary characters $\zeta, \psi$ of $G$, then we say that $\chi$ is $\pi$-irreducible and that $\hat{\chi}$ is a $\pi$-irreducible character of $G$. We denote the set of all $\pi$-irreducible characters of $G$ by $\mathrm{I}_{\pi}(G)$. We say that $G$ is $\pi$-separable if every composition factor of $G$ is either a $\pi$-group or a $\pi^{\prime}$-group.

For a $\pi$-separable group $G$, Isaacs [8] considered the vector space c.f. ( $\hat{G})$ of all complex-valued class functions defined on $\hat{G}$ and showed that $\mathrm{I}_{\pi}(G)$ is a basis of c.f. $(\hat{G})$ which has the following properties.
(1) If $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, then $\hat{\chi}$ is a nonnegative integer linear combination of elements of $\mathrm{I}_{\pi}(G)$.
(2) If $\varphi \in \mathrm{I}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(G)$, then $\varphi=\hat{\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. These imply that $\mathrm{I}_{\pi}(G)$ behaves as a $\pi$-generalization of Brauer characters.

Now assume that $A$ acts on $G$ by automorphisms and $(|A|,|G|)=1$. Under the assumption that $A$ is solvable, Glauberman [2] established a natural bijection from $\operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}\left(C_{G}(A)\right)$. If $A$ is non-solvable, then $|A|$ is even by the Odd-order Theorem and hence $|G|$ is odd. In that case, Isaacs [4] showed that there also exists a similar bijection from $\operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}\left(C_{G}(A)\right)$.

On the other hand, Uno [10] studied a character correspondence between Brauer characters. He proved that if $G$ is $p$-solvable, then there exists a bijection from $\operatorname{IBr}_{p}(G)_{A}$ onto $\operatorname{IBr}_{p}\left(C_{G}(A)\right)$ and this has similar properties as those of

Glauberman and Isaacs.
The purpose of this paper is to generalize this result to $\pi$-separable groups by applying Isaacs's $\pi$-generalization of Brauer characters. Namely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $A$ act on $G$ such that $(|G|,|A|)=1$. Suppose $G$ is $\pi$ separable. Then there exists a natural bijection

$$
\tilde{\Pi}(G, A): I_{\pi}(G)_{A} \rightarrow I_{\pi}\left(C_{G}(A)\right)
$$

and the following hold.
(1) If $B \leq A$, then $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)=\tilde{\Pi}(G, B) \tilde{\Pi}\left(C_{G}(B), A / B\right)$.
(2) If $A$ is a q-group for a prime $q$ and $\psi \in I_{\pi}(G)_{A}$, then $(\psi) \tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ is a unique $\pi$-irreducible constituent of $\psi_{C_{G}(A)}$ with multiplicity prime to $q$.

A $p$-solvable group is just a $\{p\}^{\prime}$-separable group, and Uno's methods work mostly in our case, with slight modifications. However we shall reproduce them for the completeness. Also, we shall start with a review of the character correspondences of Glauberman and Isaacs, and collect, in section 3, some facts on characters of $\pi$-separable groups ([8], [9]) that will be needed to prove the above theorem. In section 4, we shall consider a correspondence of $\pi$-irreducible characters of $\pi$-separable groups and prove the theorem.

Concerning our terminologies and notations, we refer to Gorenstein [3] and Isaacs [6].

## 2. Character Correspondences of Glauberman and Isaacs

Here we summalize some properties of the character correspondences of Glauberman and Isaacs.

Hypothesis 2.1. A acts on $G$ such that $(|A|,|G|)=1$. Put $C=C_{G}(A)$ and let $\Gamma=G A$ be the semidirect product of $G$ by $A$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Then there is a natural bijection

$$
\Pi(G, A): \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Irr}(C)
$$

and the following hold.
(1) If $B \unlhd A$ and $D=C_{G}(B)$, then $\Pi(G, B)$ maps $\operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ onto $\operatorname{Irr}(D)_{A}$.
(2) In the situation of (1), $\Pi(G, A)=\Pi(G, B) \Pi(D, A / B)$.
(3) If $A$ is a p-group and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$, then $(\chi) \Pi(G, A)$ is a unique irreducible constituent of $\chi_{c}$ such that $p \nmid\left[\chi_{c},(\chi) \Pi(G, A)\right]$.
(4) If $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $\Gamma$ which leaves $G$ and $A$ invarint, then $C$ is $\alpha$-invariant and $\left(\chi^{\alpha}\right) \Pi(G, A)=\{(\chi) \Pi(G, A)\}^{\alpha}$ for every $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$.
(5) Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ and $\xi=(\chi) \Pi(G, A)$. Then $\boldsymbol{Q}(\chi)=\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)$, where $\boldsymbol{Q}(\chi)$ is the field obtained by adjoining all the values of $\chi$ to the rational field $\boldsymbol{Q}$.

Proof. See Corollary 5.2 of [11], Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.2 of [10].
Theorem 2.3. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let $N$ be an A-invariant normal subgroup of $G$. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}, \theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)_{A}, T=I_{G}(\theta), \psi=(\chi) \Pi(G, A)$ and $\phi=(\theta) \Pi(N, A)$ where $I_{G}(\theta)$ denotes the inertia group of $\theta$ in $G$. Then the following hold.
(1) $\left[\chi_{N}, \theta\right] \neq 0$ if and only if $\left[\psi_{N \cap C}, \phi\right] \neq 0$.
(2) $T \cap C=I_{C}(\phi)$ and $\left(\phi^{G}\right) \Pi(G, A)=\{(\varphi) \Pi(T, A)\}^{C}$ for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(T \mid \theta)$.

Proof. See Lemma 2.5 of [12].
Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Then for $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$, there exists a unique extension $\chi^{\prime}$ of $\chi$ to $\Gamma$ such that $A \leqq \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{det} \chi^{\prime}\right)$. We call $\chi^{\prime}$ the canonical extension of $\chi$ (cf. [6], Chapter 13).

Theorem 2.4. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and let $A$ is cyclic. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ and $\chi$ ' be the canonical extension of $\chi$ to $\Gamma$. Then there exists $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ such that $\chi^{\prime}(c a)=\varepsilon(\chi) \Pi(G, A)(c)$ for all $c \in C$ and all generators $a$ of $A$.

Proof. See Theorem 3.3 of [2].

## 3. Results of Isaacs on $\boldsymbol{B}_{\pi}(\boldsymbol{G})$ and $\boldsymbol{I}_{\pi}(\boldsymbol{G})$

Suppose that $G$ is a $p$-solvable group. By the Fong-Swan Theorem (cf. [1], Theorem 72.1), each $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}_{p}(G)$ is the form $\varphi=\hat{\chi}$ for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, where $\hat{\chi}$ denotes the restriction of $\chi$ to the set of $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$. From this point of view, Isaacs [7] defined a characteristic subset $\mathscr{G}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that the restriction map $\chi \rightarrow \hat{\chi}$ defines a bijection from $9 \mathcal{Z}(G)$ onto $\operatorname{IBr}_{p}(G)$. Later he generalized its construction to $\pi$-separable groups and constructed a subset $B_{\pi}(G) \cong \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that the restriction to the set of $\pi$-elements induces a bijection from $B_{\pi}(G)$ onto $I_{\pi}(G)$. Moreover $B_{\pi}(G)$ is stable under the natural action of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ on $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$.

We do not mention here the definition of $B_{\pi}(G)$, but the main result of Isaacs [8] can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable. Then the following hold.
(1) The restriction map $\psi \rightarrow \hat{\psi}$ defines a bijection from $B_{\pi}(G)$ onto $I_{\pi}(G)$. In partiqular $I_{\pi}(G)$ is a basis of c.f. $(\hat{G})$.
(2) There exist nonnegative integers $d_{\chi \varphi}$ for $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $\varphi \in B_{\pi}(G)$ such that $\hat{\chi}=\sum_{\varphi \in B_{\pi}(\overrightarrow{ })} d_{x \varphi} \hat{\varphi}$.

Proof. See Corollary 10.1 (a) and Corollary 10.2 of [8].

Let us write $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ to denote the field obtained by adjoining all the complex $n$-th roots of unity to $\boldsymbol{Q}$, for all $\pi$-numbers $n$.

The following two results are concerned with the characterizations of characters in $B_{\pi}(G)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable and let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$.
(1) If $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)$, then $\chi(g) \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{\pi}$ for all $g \in G$.
(2) Assume $2 \in \pi$ or $2 X|G|$. Let $\chi$ have values in $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ and suppose that $\hat{\chi} \in I_{\pi}(G)$. Then $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)$.

Proof. See Corollary 12.1 and Theorem 12.3. of [8].
Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable and let $N \unlhd G$.
(1) If $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)$, then every irreducible constituent of $\chi_{N}$ belongs to $B_{\pi}(N)$.
(2) If $G / N$ is a $\pi$-group and $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \psi)$, then $\psi \in B_{\pi}(N)$ if and only if $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)$.
(3) If $G / N$ is a $\pi^{\prime}$-group, then for $\psi \in B_{\pi}(N)$, there exists a unique irreducible constituent $\chi$ of $\psi^{G}$ which belongs to $B_{\pi}(G)$. If $\psi$ is $G$-invariant, then $\chi$ is an extension of $\psi$.

Proof. See Theorem 6.2, Corollary 6.3 and Theorem 7.1 of [8].
In the rest of this section, we give facts about $\pi$-irreducible characters. The next result is an analog of Clifford's character correspondence.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable and $N \unlhd G$. Let $\theta \in I_{\pi}(N)$ and $T=$ $I_{G}(\theta)$. Then induction defines a bijection from $I_{\pi}(T \mid \theta)$ onto $I_{\pi}(G \mid \theta)$.

Proof. See Proposition 3.2 of [9].
Now we show the following character correspondence which can be considered as a $\pi$-generalization of Theorem 3.1 of [5], and the proof there also works in our case. However we give here a rather short proof.

Theorem 3.5. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable and $N$ be a normal subrgoup of $G$ such that $G / N$ is a $\pi$-group. Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and assume
(1) $\hat{\psi} \in I_{\pi}(N)$
(2) $\psi^{g}=\psi$ for those $g \in G$ woith $\hat{\psi}^{g}=\hat{\psi}$.

Then $\wedge$ defines a one to one correspondence between $\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \psi)$ and $I_{\pi}(G \mid \hat{\psi})$.
Proof. Let $\psi^{\prime} \in B_{\pi}(N)$ be such that $\hat{\psi}^{\prime}=\hat{\psi}, S=\operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \psi), T=I_{\pi}(G \mid \hat{\psi})$ and $U=\left\{\varphi \in B_{\pi}(G) ;\left[\varphi_{N}, \psi^{\prime}\right] \neq 0\right\}$. Note that the map $\wedge$ gives a one to one correspondence between $T$ and $U$. Write $\psi^{G}=\sum_{x \in S} a_{\mathrm{x}} \chi, \psi^{\prime G}=\sum_{\varphi \in J} b_{\varphi} \varphi$ with
positive integers $a_{\chi}, b_{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\chi}=\sum_{\hat{\varphi} \in T} d_{x \varphi} \hat{\varphi}$ with $d_{x \varphi} \geq 0$. Since $\hat{\psi}^{G}=\hat{\psi}^{\prime G}$, we have $b_{\varphi}=\sum_{x \in S} a_{\mathrm{x}} d_{\mathrm{x} \varphi}$. On the other hand, we get $I_{G}(\psi)=I_{G}(\hat{\psi})=I_{G}\left(\hat{\psi}^{\prime}\right)$ from the assumption. If we denote this common group by $I$, then we have

$$
\sum_{x \in S} a_{x}^{2}=\left[\psi^{G}, \psi^{G}\right]=|I: N|=\left[\psi^{\prime G}, \psi^{\prime G}\right]=\sum_{\varphi \in \sigma} b_{\varphi}^{2} .
$$

So

$$
\sum_{\varphi \in J}\left(\sum_{x \in S} a_{\mathrm{x}} d_{\mathrm{x} \varphi}\right)^{2}=\sum_{\mathrm{x} \in S} a_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} .
$$

Expanding the left-hand side of the above equation, we find easily

$$
\sum_{\varphi \in V} d_{\chi \varphi}^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad d_{\mathrm{x} \varphi} d_{\eta \varphi}=0 \quad \text { for } \eta \neq \chi \text { in } S
$$

Thus $d_{\mathrm{x} \varphi}=1$ for some $\varphi \in U$, while $d_{\times \varphi^{\prime}}=0$ if $\varphi^{\prime} \neq \varphi$. Therefore $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\varphi} \in T$. If, for $\eta \in S$ different from $\chi, \hat{\eta}=\hat{\varphi}^{\prime}$ with $\varphi^{\prime} \in U$, then we get $\varphi \neq \varphi^{\prime}$ from the above. Hence $\hat{\eta} \neq \hat{\chi}$ and thus $\wedge$ defines an injection from $S$ into $T$. If $\hat{\phi} \in T$ with $\varphi \in U$, then $b_{\varphi} \neq 0$ and hence $d_{\chi \varphi} \neq 0$ for some $\chi \in S$. This yields that $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\varphi}$. Therefore $\wedge$ is a bijection.

## 4. Correspondences of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$-Irreducible Characters

Let $\Omega$ be a subset of $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$. For a subgroup $H$ of $G$, we set $\Omega(H)=$ $\left\{\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H) ;\left[\chi_{H}, \psi\right] \neq 0\right.$ for some $\left.\chi \in \Omega\right\}$.

Definition. Let $G$ be $\pi$-separable and assume that $A$ acts on $G$. Let $G=G_{0} \unrhd G_{1} \unrhd \cdots \unrhd G_{n}=\{1\}$ be a normal series of $G$ and let $\Omega$ be a subset of $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$. If $\Omega$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(1) $\Omega$ is $A$-invariant
(2) the map $\wedge$ is a bijection from $\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ onto $I_{\pi}\left(G_{i}\right)$ for each $i, 0 \leq i \leq n$, then we say, following Uno [10], that $\Omega$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$ and $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$. If $\Omega$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$ and every normal series of $G$, then we simply say that $\Omega$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. If $\Omega$ has $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$, then $\Omega$ has $\pi$ lifting property with respect to $B$ for any subgroup $B$ of $A$. Furthermore, we note that $B_{\pi}(G)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$.

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 3.4 of Uno [10].
Lemma 4.1. Assume $A$ acts on $G$. Let $G=G_{0} \unrhd G_{1} \unrhd \cdots \unrhd G_{n}=\{1\}$ be a normal series of $G$. If $\Omega \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$ and $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$, then the following hold.
(1) If $G_{i} / G_{i+1}$ is a $\pi$-group, then $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cong \Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ for every $\theta \in \Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$.
(2) If $G_{i} / G_{i+1}$ is a $\pi^{\prime}$-group, then $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cap \Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ consists of a single element for every $\theta \in \Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$. If $\theta$ is $G_{i}$-invariant, then the element of
$\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right) \cap \Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ is an extension of $\theta$.
Proof. (1) Let $\theta \in \Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right)$. By the $\pi$-lifting property of $\Omega$, it follows that $\hat{\theta} \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ and $I_{G_{i}}(\theta)=I_{G_{i}}(\hat{\theta})$. So by Theorem 3.5. $\hat{\chi} \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Again by the $\pi$-lifting property of $\Omega$, there exists $\psi \in \Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ such that $\hat{\psi}=\hat{\chi}$. Set $\psi_{G_{i+1}}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \eta_{j}$ where each $\eta_{j} \in \Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$. Then every $\hat{\eta}_{j} \in$ $I_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ and $\hat{\theta}$ is $\pi$-irreducible constituent of $\hat{\psi}_{G_{i+1}} . \quad$ By Theorem 3.1 (1), $\hat{\theta}=\hat{\eta}_{j}$ for some $j$. Since $\wedge$ is a bijection from $\Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ onto $I_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$, it follows that $\theta=\eta_{j}$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right)$. Thus by Theorem 3.5, we conclude that $\chi=\psi \in$ $\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$.
(2) Let $\theta \in \Omega\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ and $\chi \in \Omega\left(G_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right)$. By the $\pi$-lifting property of $\Omega, \hat{\theta} \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ and $\hat{\chi} \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i} \mid \hat{\theta}\right)$. Let $\chi^{\prime}$ (resp. $\theta^{\prime}$ ) be an element of $B_{\pi}\left(G_{i}\right)$ (resp. $B_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$ ) such that $\hat{\chi}^{\prime}=\hat{\chi}$ (resp. $\left.\hat{\theta}^{\prime}=\hat{\theta}\right)$. By Theorem 3.3(1), we can write $\chi_{G_{i+1}}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} \eta_{j}$ where $\eta_{j} \in B_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$. Thus By Theorem 3.1 (1), $\hat{\chi}_{G_{i+1}}^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{j} \hat{\eta}_{j}$ where $\hat{\eta}_{j} \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i+1}\right)$. Thus $\hat{\eta}_{j}=\hat{\theta}^{\prime}$ for some $j$ and so $\eta_{j}=\theta^{\prime}$. Applying Theorem 3.3 (3), we see that $B_{\pi}\left(G_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta^{\prime}\right)$ consists of the single element $\chi^{\prime}$. Therefore, we obtain from Theorem 3.1 (1) that $I_{\pi}\left(G_{i} \mid \hat{\theta}\right)=\{\hat{\chi}\}$. If $\zeta \in \Omega\left(G_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right)$, then $\zeta \in I_{\pi}\left(G_{i} \mid \hat{\theta}\right)$ and so $\hat{\zeta}=\hat{\chi}$. Thus by the $\pi$-lifting property of $\Omega, \zeta=\chi$. Therefore $\Omega\left(G_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i} \mid \theta\right)$ consists of a single element $\chi$. If $\theta$ is $G_{i}$-invariant, then $\theta^{\prime}$ is also $G_{i}$-invariant. Thus by Theorem 3.3(3), $\chi(1)=\theta(1)$. this implies that $\chi$ is an extension of $\theta$.

The next lemma corresponds to Proposition 3.6 of Uno [10]. However the proof needs some elabolations in part.

Lemma 4.2. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable. Let $G=G_{0} \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{n}=\{1\}$ be an $A$-composition series of $G$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ have the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$ and $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$. Then the image of $\Omega_{A}$ by $\Pi(G, A)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $\left\{1_{\text {Aut }(C)}\right\}$ and $\left\{G_{i} \cap C\right\}{ }_{i=0}^{n}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|G|$.
Set $C_{i}=G_{i} \cap C, 0 \leq i \leq n$ and let $\Lambda=\left\{\Omega_{A}\right\} \Pi(G, A)$. First we claim that $\Lambda\left(C_{i}\right)=\left\{\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)_{A}\right\} \Pi\left(G_{i}, A\right)$ for each $i, 0 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\eta$ be any element of $\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)_{A}$. If $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is a $\pi$-group, then by Lemma 4.1 (1), $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)_{A} \subseteq \Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right)_{A}$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)_{A}$ is nonempty (cf. [6], Chapter 13). If $G_{i-1} / G_{i}$ is a $\pi^{\prime}$-group, then by Lemma 4.1 (2), $\Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)$ has just one element. Since both $\Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)$ are $A$-invariant, $\left(\Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)\right)_{A}=\Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)$. Therefore $\Omega\left(G_{i-1}\right)_{A} \cap \operatorname{Irr}\left(G_{i-1} \mid \eta\right)$ is nonempty in any case. Applying Theorem 2.3 (1) repeatedly, we can find an element $\chi \in \Omega_{A} \cap \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \eta)$ such that $(\chi) \Pi(G, A) \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(C \mid(\eta) \Pi\left(G_{i}, A\right)\right)$. This implies that $\left\{\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)_{A}\right\} \Pi(G, A)$ $\subseteq \Lambda\left(C_{i}\right)$. Conversely, let $\xi$ be any element of $\Lambda\left(C_{i}\right)$ and let $\eta=(\xi) \Pi^{-1}\left(G_{i}, A\right)$. From the definitions of $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda\left(C_{i}\right)$, there exists $\chi \in \Omega(G)_{A}$ such that $\xi$ is a constituent of $(\chi) \Pi(G, A)_{c_{i}} . \quad$ By Theorem 2.3 (1), we see $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G \mid \eta)$. Thus
$\eta \in \Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$. This implies that $\Lambda\left(C_{i}\right) \subseteq\left\{\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)_{A}\right\} \Pi(G, A)$ and the claim is proved.
Now, if we set $\Omega_{1}=\Omega\left(G_{1}\right)$, then $\Omega_{1}$ is $A$-invariant and $\Omega_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)=\Omega\left(G_{i}\right)$ for all $i \geq 1$. So, by the inductive hypothesis applied to $G_{1}$, we may assume that the restriction map $\wedge$ from $\Lambda\left(C_{i}\right)$ to $I_{\pi}\left(C_{i}\right)$ is a bijection for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. So, it suffices to show that $\wedge$ gives a bijection from $\Lambda$ onto $I_{\pi}(C)$.

If $C \leqq G_{1}$, then $\Lambda=\Lambda\left(C_{1}\right)$ and the assertion holds by the inductive hypothesis. Now we assume $C \neq G_{1}$. Let $\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{k}$ be representatives of $C$-orbits on $\Omega\left(G_{1}\right)_{A}$ and set $\phi_{i}=\left(\theta_{i}\right) \Pi\left(G_{1}, A\right), 1 \leq i \leq k$. By Theorem 2.2 (4),

$$
\phi_{i}^{c}=\left\{\left(\theta_{i}\right) \Pi\left(G_{1}, A\right)\right\}^{c}=\left(\theta_{i}^{c}\right) \Pi\left(G_{1}, A\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad c \in C .
$$

Thus we find that $\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{k}$ are representatives of $C$-orbits on $\Lambda\left(C_{1}\right)$. Furthermore, since $\Omega\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)$ is $G$-stable, it is easy to see that $I_{G}\left(\theta_{i}\right)=I_{G}\left(\hat{\theta}_{i}\right)$ for all $i$. Also, we have $I_{c}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=I_{C}\left(\hat{\phi}_{i}\right)$ for all $i$, because we have assumed that $\left\{\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{A}\right\} \Pi\left(G_{1}, A\right)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property. In particular, it follows that $\hat{\phi}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{\phi}_{k}$ are representatives of $C$-orbits on $I_{\pi}\left(C_{1}\right)$.

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1. $G / G_{1}$ is a $\pi$-group.
If $\chi \in \Omega_{A}$, then $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right)$ for some $i$. So, by Theorem 2.3(1), we have $(\chi) \Pi(G, A) \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}\right)$ and thus $\Lambda \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Irr}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}\right)$. Conversely if $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}\right)$, then from Theorem 2.3 (1) and Lemma $4.1(1),(\psi) \Pi^{-1}(G, A) \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right)_{A} \subseteq \Omega_{A}$. Thus $\psi \in \Lambda$ and we conclude that

$$
\Lambda=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Irr}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}\right)
$$

On the other hand, we have clearly $I_{\pi}(C)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} I_{\pi}\left(C \mid \hat{\phi}_{i}\right)$. So, by Theorem 3.5, $\wedge$ defines a bijection from $\Lambda$ onto $I_{\pi}(C)$.

Case 2. $\quad G / G_{1}$ is a $\pi^{\prime}$-group.
If $\chi \in \Omega_{A}$, then $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega_{A}$ for some $i$. Thus $\Omega_{A}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap$ $\Omega_{A}$. By Lemma 4.1 (2), each $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega$ consists of a single element. Since both $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right)$ and $\Omega$ are $A$-invariant, it follows that $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega=$ $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega_{A}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(G \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega_{A}=\left\{\chi_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. By Lemma 4.1 (2), $\chi_{i} \neq \chi_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Thus $\Omega_{A}=\left\{\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{k}\right\}$ and $\Lambda=\left\{\left(\chi_{i}\right) \Pi(G, A) ; 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. Furthermore, we obtain from Theorem $2.3(1)$ that each $\hat{\phi}_{i}$ is a $\pi$-irreducible constituent of $\overline{\left(\chi_{i}\right) \Pi(G, A)_{c_{1}}}$. Let $\hat{\psi}$ be any element of $I_{\pi}\left(C \mid \hat{\phi}_{i}\right)$. Let $\phi_{i}^{\prime}$ be the element of $B_{\pi}\left(C_{1}\right)$ which corresponds to $\hat{\phi}_{i}$. Since $B_{\pi}(C)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property (with respect to the trivial action of $A$ on $C$ ), we see from Lemma 4.1(2) that $B_{\pi}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ consists of a single element. Set $B_{\pi}\left(C \mid \phi_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, so we have $I_{\pi}\left(C \mid \hat{\phi}_{i}\right)=\left\{\hat{\psi}_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$ and $I_{\pi}(C)=\left\{\hat{\psi}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{\psi}_{k}\right\}$. Set $T_{i}=I_{G}\left(\theta_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. For each $\chi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, there exists a unique irreducible character $\xi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)$ such that $\xi_{i}^{G}=\chi_{i}$. Then $\xi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right) \cap \Omega\left(T_{i}\right)$ and it follows
from Lemma 4.1 (2) that $\xi_{i}$ is an extension of $\theta_{i}$. Since $\chi_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ are $A$ invariant, $\xi_{i}$ is also $A$-invariant and $\left(\xi_{i}\right) \Pi\left(T_{i}, A\right)^{c}=\left(\chi_{i}\right) \Pi(G, A)$ by Theorem 2.3 (2).

If each $\left(\xi_{i}\right) \Pi\left(T_{i}, A\right)$ is $\pi$-irreducible, then $\left(\chi_{i}\right) \Pi(G, A)$ is also $\pi$-irreducible by Theorem 3.4 and thus $\overline{\left(\chi_{i}\right) \Pi(G, A)}=\hat{\psi}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. This implies that $\wedge$ is a bijection from $\Lambda$ onto $I_{\pi}(C)$.

In order to prove the $\pi$-irreducibility of $\left(\xi_{i}\right) \Pi\left(T_{i}, A\right)$, we consider two cases.
(a) $|A|$ is even.

From Hypothesis 2.1 and the Odd-Order Theorem, $G$ is solvable. Thus $G / G_{1}$ is abelian and so $G=G_{1} C$. Now, we know that $\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)=\left\{\xi_{i} \lambda ; \lambda \in\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} / G_{1}\right)\right\}$ (cf. [6], Corollary 6.17). For any $\xi_{i} \lambda \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\left(\xi_{i} \lambda\right)^{a}(t)=\xi_{i}^{a} \lambda^{a}(t)=\xi_{i}(t) \lambda\left(\bar{t}^{a-1}\right)=\xi_{i} \lambda(t) \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in A \text { and } t \in T_{i}
$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.3(1), we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \cap C \mid \phi_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \mid \theta_{i}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} / G_{1}\right)\right|=\left|T_{i} \cap C: C_{1}\right|
$$

This implies that every element of $\operatorname{Irr}\left(T_{i} \cap C \mid \phi_{i}\right)$ is an extension of $\phi_{i}$. In particular, we see that $\left(\xi_{i}\right) \Pi\left(T_{i}, A\right)$ is $\pi$-irreducible.
(b) $|A|$ is odd.

Then $A$ is a solvable group. Let $A=A_{0} \triangleright A_{1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright A_{m}=\{1\}$ be a composition series of $A$ and let $\left\{\bar{A}_{i}=A_{i-1} / A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be composition factors of $A$. Let $X_{j}=C_{G_{1}}\left(A_{j}\right), \quad Y_{j}=C_{T_{i}}\left(A_{j}\right), \sigma_{j}=\left(\theta_{i}\right) \Pi\left(G_{1}, A_{j}\right)$ and $\tau_{j}=\left(\xi_{i}\right) \Pi\left(T_{i}, A_{j}\right)$ for $j=$ $0, \cdots, m$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{j}=C_{X_{j+1}}\left(\bar{A}_{j+1}\right), Y_{j}=C_{Y_{j+1}}\left(\bar{A}_{j+1}\right), X_{j} \unlhd Y_{j} \\
& \sigma_{j} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(X_{j}\right)_{A_{j-1}} \text { and } \tau_{j} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(Y_{j}\right)_{A_{j-1}}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1, \cdots, m .
$$

$\tau_{m}=\xi_{i}$ is an extension of $\sigma_{m}=\theta_{i}$. Now, we assume that $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}$ is an extension of $\sigma_{j}$ for some $j \geq 1$. Let us denote by $\Delta$ (resp. $\Delta^{\prime}$ ) the semidirect product $X_{j} \bar{A}_{j}$ (resp. $Y_{j} \bar{A}_{j}$ ) and let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\Delta)$ (resp. $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$ ) be the canonical extension of $\sigma_{j}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \tau_{j}\right)$. Then $\tau_{\Delta}$ is irreducible and it satisfies $\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{det} \tau_{\Delta}\right) \geqq \bar{A}_{j}$. So, by the uniqueness of the canonical extension of $\sigma_{j}$, we obtain that $\tau_{\Delta}=\sigma$. Since $\bar{A}_{j}$ is cyclic, there exist, by Theorem $2.4, \varepsilon= \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1$ such that

$$
\tau(x \bar{a})=\varepsilon_{\tau_{j-1}}(x), \sigma(x \bar{a})=\varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma_{j-1}(x) \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X_{j-1} \text { and } \bar{a} \in \bar{A}_{j}-\{\overline{1}\}
$$

So,

$$
\tau_{j-1}(1)=\varepsilon \tau_{\Delta}(a)=\varepsilon \sigma(a)=\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma_{j-1}(1) .
$$

This implies $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and hence $\tau_{j-1}$ is an extension of $\sigma_{j-1}$. Repeating the same argument, we conclude that $\tau_{0}$ is an extension of $\sigma_{0}$ and the proof is complete.

From Lemma 4.2, we immediately obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 4.3. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable. Let $\Omega \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. If $\Omega$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$, then the restriction map $\wedge$ gives a bijection from $\left(\Omega_{A}\right) \Pi(G, A)$ onto $I_{\pi}(C)$.

Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable. Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ has the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. Then $\wedge: \chi \rightarrow \hat{\chi}$ gives a bijection from $\Omega_{A}$ onto $I_{\pi}(G)_{A}$ and we have the sequence of bijections:

$$
I_{\pi}(G)_{A} \xrightarrow{\wedge^{-1}} \Omega_{A} \xrightarrow{\Pi(G, A)}\left(\Omega_{A}\right) \Pi(G, A) \xrightarrow{\wedge} I_{\pi}(C)
$$

where $\Lambda^{-1}$ is the inverse map of $\wedge$. Thus the composite map $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)=$ $\wedge^{-1} \Pi(G, A) \wedge$ gives a bijection from $I_{\pi}(G)_{A}$ onto $I_{\pi}(C)$. From its construction, it seems likely that $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ depends on the choice of $\Omega$. However, as we shall see below, if $A$ be solvable, then $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ does not depend on the choice of $\Omega$. On the other hand, if $A$ is non-solvable, then $|G|$ is odd by the Odd-Order Theorem. When $|G|$ is odd, we shall show that $\Pi(G, A)$ actually gives a bijection from $B_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(G)_{A}$ onto $B_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(C)$. So the bijection map $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ is naturally defined anyway.

The following Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 correspond to Lemma 3.9 and Proposition 3.8 of Uno [10] respectively, and his proof also works in our case.

Lemma 4.4. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $A$ is cyclic of prime order. Let, $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)_{A}$ be such that $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\psi} \in I_{\pi}(G)$. Then $\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, A)}=\widehat{(\psi) \Pi(G, A) .}$

Proof. Let $|A|=q$.
Case 1. $q \in \pi$.
In this case, $\Gamma / G$ is cyclic $q$-group and $\Gamma$ is $\pi$-separable. Since $\chi$ and $\psi$ are $A$-invariant, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that the restriction maps

$$
\operatorname{Irr}(\Gamma \mid \chi) \rightarrow I_{\pi}(\Gamma \mid \hat{\chi}) \text { and } \operatorname{Irr}(\Gamma \psi) \rightarrow I_{\pi}(\Gamma \mid \hat{\psi})
$$

are bijections.
Let $\chi^{\prime}$ (resp. $\psi^{\prime}$ ) be the canonical extension of $\chi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) to $\Gamma$. Then $\operatorname{Irr}(\Gamma \mid \chi)=\left\{\mu \chi^{\prime} ; \mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(A)\right\}$. So $\hat{\psi}^{\prime}=\mu \widehat{\chi}^{\prime}$ for some $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(A)$. By Theorem 2.4 , there exist $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\chi) \Pi(G, A)(c)=\varepsilon \chi^{\prime}(c a) \text { and } \\
(\psi) \Pi(G, A)(c)=\varepsilon^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}(c a) \quad \text { for all } \quad c \in C \text { and } a \in A-\{1\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus for every $\pi$-element $c \in C$ and $a \in A-\{1\}$,

$$
(\psi) \Pi(G, A)(c)=\varepsilon^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}(c a)=\varepsilon^{\prime} \mu(a) \chi^{\prime}(c a)=\varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu(a)(\chi) \Pi(G, A)(c),
$$

and in particular, $(\psi) \Pi(G, A)(1)=\varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon \mu(a)(\chi) \Pi(G, A)(1)$. Since $\mu(a)$ is a root of unity, we obtain $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu(a)=1$. Thus $\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, A)}=\overline{(\psi) \Pi(G, A)}$ as required.

Case 2. $q \in \pi^{\prime}$.
Set $\pi_{0}=\pi \cup\{q\}$. Then $G$ is $\pi_{0}$-separable. Since $q$ does not divide $|G|$, $I_{\pi_{0}}(G)=I_{\pi}(G)$ and $\hat{\chi}=\hat{\psi}$ is a $\pi_{0}$-irreducible character. So the assertion is clear from the case 1 .

Lemma 4.5. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable and $A$ is solvable. Let $B \leq A, D=C_{G}(B)$ and assume that $\Omega \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $\Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Irr}(D)$ both have the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. Let $\chi \in \Omega_{A}$ and let $\phi$ be the unique element of $\Lambda_{A / B}$ such that $\hat{\phi}=\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, B)}$. (Note that $(\chi) \Pi(G, B)$ is $\pi$-irreducible by Corollary 4.3). Then $\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, A)}=\overline{(\phi) \Pi(D, A \mid B)}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|A|$.
We may assume $A \neq B$. Let $H$ be a maximal normal subgroup of $A$ containing $B$. By Corollary 4.3, $\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, H)}$ and $\overline{(\phi) \Pi(D, H \mid B)}$ are both contained in $I_{\pi}\left(C_{G}(H)\right)$. From the inductive hypothesis, we may assume that $\overline{(\chi) \Pi(G, H)}$ $=\overline{(\phi) \Pi(D, H / B)}$. Since $A / H$ is a cyclic group of prime order, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$
\overline{\{(\chi) \Pi(G, H)\} \Pi\left(C_{G}(H), A / H\right)}=\overline{\{(\phi) \Pi(D, H / B)\} \Pi\left(C_{G}(H), A / H\right)} .
$$

Thus the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 (2).
We are now ready to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.6. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable and $A$ is solvable. Then there exists a bijection

$$
\tilde{\Pi}(G, A): I_{\pi}(G)_{A} \rightarrow I_{\pi}(C),
$$

which is independent of the choice of $\Omega$ which satisfies the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. And the following hold.
(1) If $B \leq A$, then $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)=\tilde{\Pi}(G, B) \tilde{\Pi}\left(C_{G}(B), A / B\right)$.
(2) If $A$ is a $q$-group for a prime $q$ and $\psi \in I_{\pi}(G)_{A}$, then $(\psi) \tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ is a unique $\pi$-irreducible constituent of $\Psi_{c}$ with multiplicity prime to $q$.

Proof. In Lemma 4.5, let $B=\{1\}$. Then we see that $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ is independent of the choice of $\Omega$ which satisfies the $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. If $B \unlhd A$, it is easily seen by Lemma 4.5 that $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)=\tilde{\Pi}(G, B) \tilde{\Pi}\left(C_{G}(B)\right.$, $A / B)$. Now fix $\Omega$ which satisfies $\pi$-lifting property with respect to $A$. For $\psi \in I_{\pi}(G)_{A}$, there exists $\chi \in \Omega$ such that $\hat{\chi}=\psi$. If $A$ is a $q$-group, then by Theorem $2.2(3), \chi_{c}=m(\chi) \Pi(G, A)+q \zeta$ where $m$ is a positive integer prime to
$q$ and $\zeta$ is zero or a character of $C$. Therefore by the definition of $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$, $\psi_{c}=m(\psi) \tilde{\Pi}(G, A)+q \hat{\zeta}$. This completes the proof.

Finally we note the following fact which asserts that $\Pi(G, A)$ induces a bijection between $B_{\pi}$-characters under certain circumstances.

Theorem 4.7. Assume Hypothesis 2.1 and that $G$ is $\pi$-separable. If either $2 \in \pi$ or $2 \chi|G|$, then $\Pi(G, A)$ gives a bijection from $B_{\pi}(G)_{A}$ onto $B_{\pi}(C)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)_{A}$, then $\xi=(\chi) \Pi(G, A) \in B_{\pi}(C)$. We know that $\hat{\xi} \in I_{\pi}(C)$ by Corollary 4.3 (applied to $\left.\Omega=B_{\pi}(G)\right)$ and $\xi$ has values in $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ by Theorem $2.2(5)$ and Theorem $3.2(1)$. Thus we conclude from 'Theorem 3.2 (2) that $\xi \in B_{\pi}(C)$.

Under the assumption of the above theorem, we get the natural bijection $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A): I_{\pi}(G) \rightarrow I_{\pi}(C)$. And clearly this coincides with the bijection $\tilde{\Pi}(G, A)$ given in Theorem 4.6, provided $A$ is solvable. Thus the proof of our main theorem is completed.
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