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## 0. Introduction

Let $C$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The order of the holomorphic automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ takes the value $84(g-1), 48(g-1), 40(g-1), 36(g-$ 1), $30(g-1)$ or less by Hurwitz' theorem ([5, Chap. 6] or [1, Chap. 5]). A homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$ with $n=\operatorname{deg} f \geq 1$ defines an algebraic curve $C(f)$ in the projective plane $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ over the complex number field $\mathbf{C}$. As is well known $C(f)$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $(n-1)(n-2) / 2$ if $C(f)$ is non-singular. Particularly a non-singular plane quartic(resp. sextic) has genus $g=3$ (resp. $g=10$ ). Let $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ be the subgroup of the projectivities $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ consisting of all projectivities $(A)$ defined by $A \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $f_{A}$ is proportional to $f$. Here $f_{A}(x, y, z)=f\left((x, y, z)\left({ }^{t} A^{-1}\right)\right)$ by definition. Clearly $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ coincides with the projective automorphism group of $C(f)$, if $f$ is irreducible. It is also known that a holomorphic automorphism of a non-singular curve $C(f)$ of degree $n \geq 4$ is induced by a projectivity $(A) \in P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ [9, Theorem 5.3.17(3)]. Therefore $\operatorname{Aut}(C(f))=\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ if $C(f)$ is non-singular of degree $n \geq 4$. By abuse of terminology we say that a homogeneous polynomial $f$ is non-singular or singular accoding as $C(f)$ is.

As is well known, the Klein quartic $f_{4}=x^{3} y+y^{3} z+z^{3} x$ is the most symmetric in the sense that $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{4}\right)\right|=84 \times(3-1)$. It is also known that if $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=168$ for a non-singular plane quartic $f$, then $f$ is projectively equivalent to $f_{4}$. A. Wiman has shown that for the following non-singular sextic

$$
f_{6}=27 z^{6}-135 z^{4} x y-45 z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+9 z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+10 x^{3} y^{3},
$$

$\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{6}\right)$ is isomorphic to the simple group $A_{6} \simeq \operatorname{PSL}\left(2,3^{2}\right)[11]$, as a result $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{6}\right)\right|=40(g-1)=360$. We call $f_{6}$ the Wiman sextic. He has also shown that the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{6}\right)$ acts transitively on the set of 72 flexes of $C\left(f_{6}\right)$. We can show even that no three flexes are collinear [6]. Our main results are

Theorem. Let $f$ be a non-singular plane sextic defined over $\mathbf{C}$. Then
(1) $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)| \leq 360$.
(2) $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=360$ if and only if $f$ is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic $f_{6}$.
(1) will be proved in $\S 1$ according to [4], while (2) will be shown in $\S 2$. We can show that the most symmetric non-singular plane curve of degree 3,5 or 7 is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve [7].

We recall a well known fact: Let $R_{A}: \mathbf{C}[x, y, z] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$ be a mapping defined by $R_{A} f=f_{A}$ for $A \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ and $f \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. Then $R_{A}$ is a ring-automorphim of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. Since $\left(f_{A}\right)_{B}=f_{B A}$ for $A, B \in$ $G L(3, \mathbf{C})$, the assignment $A \longrightarrow R_{A}$ is a group homomorphims of $G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ into $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{C}[x, y, z])$.

We write $a \sim b$ when two quantities $a$ and $b$ such as polynomials or matrices are proportional. $E_{3}$ stands for the $3 \times 3$ unite matrix, and $e_{i}$ for the $i$-th column vector of $E_{3}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$.

## 1. The maximum order of the automorphism group of non-singular plane sextics

Let $f$ be a non-singular plane sextic. In this section we will show that the order of the projective automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ can take the value neither $84 \times 9$ nor $48 \times 9$ (Theorem (1)). Otherwise, for some $f \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup of order $3^{3}$ by Sylow's theorem. Thus it suffices to show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a non-singular plane sextic. If $27 \| \operatorname{Aut}(f) \mid$, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|<360$.

Our approach is elementary, but involves much computation. There exist eactly five groups of order 27 up to group isomorphism [3, 4.4]. They are three abelian groups and two non-abelian groups: (1) $\mathbf{Z}_{27}$ (2) $\mathbf{Z}_{9} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ (3) $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ (4) $a^{9}=1$, $b^{3}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{4}(5) a^{3}=1, b^{3}=1, c^{3}=1, a b=b a c, c a=a c, c b=b c$. The group $(5)$ is isomorphic to the matrix group

$$
E\left(3^{3}\right)=\left\{M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \alpha & \gamma \\
0 & 1 & \beta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{3}\right\}
$$

We find projective representaions of these groups in the projective plane $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ defined over $\mathbf{C}$, and find a non-singular invariant sextic $f$, if any. We can manage to estimate the order of the projective automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\varepsilon$ be a primitive 9 -th root of $1 \in \mathbf{C}$. If $G_{9}$ is a subgroup of $P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$, isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{9}$, then $G_{9}$ is conjugate to one of the following three groups in $P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ :
(1) $\langle(\operatorname{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon])\rangle$
(2) $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right]\right)\right\rangle(3)\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right]\right)\right\rangle$.

Proof. By our assumption $G_{9}$ is generated by a projective transformation ( $A$ ), where $A \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ satifies $A^{9}=E_{3}$ and $\operatorname{ord}((A))=9$, namely $G_{9}=\langle(A)\rangle$. Therefore it is conjugate to $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon^{j}\right]\right)\right\rangle$ for some $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 8$ with $(i, j) \neq(0,0),(0,3),(0,6),(3,3),(3,6),(6,6)$. If $(i, j)=(0, j)$ with $j \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$ or $i=j \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, then $G_{9}$ is conjugate to (1). If $1 \leq i<j \leq 8$ with $(i, j) \not \equiv(0,0) \bmod 3$, then $G_{9}$ is conjugate to (2) or (3) according as $(i, j) \in$ $\{(1,2),(1,5),(1,8),(2,4),(2,7),(4,5),(4,8),(5,7),(7,8)\}$ or $(i, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,4)$, $(1,6),(1,7),(2,3),(2,5),(2,6),(2,8),(3,4),(3,5),(3,7),(3,8),(4,6),(4,7),(5,6)$, $(5,8),(6,7),(6,8)\}$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{C}(1 \leq j \leq n)$ be mutually distinct, and let $f_{j, A}=\lambda_{j} f_{j}$ for some $A \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ and $f_{j} \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. If $f=f_{1}+\cdots+f_{n} \neq 0$ satisfies $f_{A}=\lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, then $\lambda=\lambda_{i}$ for some $i$, and $f_{j}=0$ for $j \neq i$.

Proof. We have $\lambda^{k} f=\lambda_{1}{ }^{k} f_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n}{ }^{k} f_{n}$ for $0 \leq k<n$. Multiplying the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix, we get $f_{j}=c_{j} f(1 \leq j \leq n)$ for some $c_{j} \in \mathbf{C}$. Thus $c_{j}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda\right) f=0$. Since $f$ is assumed not to be the zero polynomial, the lemma follows.

Proposition 1.4. Let $f$ be a plane sextic. If $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup $G_{9}$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{9}$, then $C(f)$ has a singular point.

Proof. Let $A_{1}=\operatorname{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon], A_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right]$ and $A_{3}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right]$. By Lemma 1.2 we may assume that $f_{A_{j}-1}=\lambda_{j} f$ for some $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbf{C}(1 \leq j \leq 3)$. Since $A_{j}^{9}=E_{3}$, it follows that $\lambda_{j}{ }^{9}=1$. In addition any monomial $m$ satisfies $m_{A_{j}-1}=\varepsilon^{i} m$ for some $i$. Suppose that a homogeneous polynomial $f^{\prime}(x, y, z)$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $(1,0,0)$ is a singular point of $C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $f^{\prime}$ contains none of three monomials $x^{d}, x^{d-1} y$ and $x^{d-1} z$. In the following table we summarize the values $i$ such that $m_{A_{j}-1}=\varepsilon^{i} m$ for each $j=1,2,3$ and for special 9 monomials. The proposition is immediate from the table.

|  | $x^{6}$ | $x^{5} y$ | $x^{5} z$ | $y^{6}$ | $y^{5} x$ | $y^{5} z$ | $z^{6}$ | $z^{5} x$ | $z^{5} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| $(2)$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| $(3)$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 7 |

Proposition 1.5. No subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL(3,\mathbf {C})}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$.

Proof. Assume that a subgroup $G$ of $P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$. Then there exist $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3} \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $A_{1}^{3}=A_{2}^{3}=A_{3}^{3}=E_{3}, A_{i} A_{j} \sim A_{j} A_{i}$ for any $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, and $G=\left\langle\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right),\left(A_{3}\right)\right\rangle$. Let $\omega$ be a primitive 3rd root of

1. We may assume that $G$ contains $(W)$ of the form $(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega])$ or $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]\right)$. We will show that the first case implies the second case. Since $W A_{j} \sim A_{j} W$, the $(3,1),(3,2),(1,3)$ and (2,3) components of $A_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ vanish. So we can assume that $A_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega^{m}, \omega^{n}, \omega\right]$ for some $0 \leq m, n<3$, If $n=m$, then $n \neq 1$, and $A_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega^{m^{\prime}}, \omega^{n^{\prime}}, \omega\right]$ with $n^{\prime} \neq m^{\prime}$. Thus $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]\right) \in G$. We will show that the assumption $(A)=\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]\right) \in G$ leads to a contradiction. Let $P_{1}=(1,0,0)$, $P_{2}=(0,1,0)$, and $P_{3}=(0,0,1)$. Then $G$ fixes 3-point set $K=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right\}$, because ( $A$ ) and ( $A_{j}$ ) commute. Since some $A_{j}$ is not diagonal, the homomorphism $\varphi$ from $G$ to the permutaion group of $K$ cannot be trivial. Since $|G|=27$, it cannot be surjective. Thus $|\varphi(G)|=3$, and $|\operatorname{Ker} \varphi|=9$. In other words evry projectivety ( $\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega^{i}, \omega^{j}\right]$ ) belongs to $G$. Since $G$ is commutative, any element of $G$ is induced by a diagonal matrix of order 3 . This implies that $|G|=9$, a desired contradiction.

We turn to the group $E\left(3^{3}\right)$. See the paragraph just below Theorem 1.1 for the definition of the group and its element $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Lemma 1.6. (1) Let

$$
B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The map $\phi$ defined by $\phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))=\left(B_{1}^{\alpha} B_{2}^{\beta} B_{3}^{\gamma-\alpha \beta}\right)$ is an isomorphism of $E\left(3^{3}\right)$ into PGL(3, C).
(2) If $G$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ and isomorphic to $E\left(3^{3}\right)$, then $G$ is conjugate to $\phi\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)$.

Proof. (1) Let $M_{1}=M(1,0,0), M_{2}=M(0,1,0), M_{3}=M(0,0,1)$. Then $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=M_{1}^{\alpha} M_{2}^{\beta} M_{3}^{\gamma-\alpha \beta}$. First we will prove that $\phi$ is a homomorphism by showing $\phi\left(M_{j} M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\right)=\phi\left(M_{j}\right) \phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$. Clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1} M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=M(\alpha+1, \beta, \gamma+\beta) \\
& M_{2} M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=M(\alpha, \beta+1, \gamma) \\
& M_{3} M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $B_{j}^{3}=E_{3}, B_{1} B_{2}=B_{2} B_{1} B_{3}, B_{3} B_{1}=B_{1} B_{3}$, and $B_{3} B_{2}=B_{2} B_{3}$. So $\phi$ is a homomorphism. Since $B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ are diagonal, it is easy to see that $\phi$ is injective. Note that $\phi\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\omega$, a primitive 3rd root of 1 .
(2) Let $\phi^{\prime}$ be an isomorphim of $E\left(3^{3}\right)$ into $P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$, and $\phi^{\prime}\left(M_{j}\right)=\left(B_{j}^{\prime}\right)$. We may assume $B_{3}^{\prime}=B_{3}$ or $B_{3}^{\prime}=B_{2}$. The latter case is impossible. Since $B_{3}^{\prime} B_{1}^{\prime} \sim B_{1}^{\prime} B_{3}^{\prime}$ and $B_{3}^{\prime} B_{2}^{\prime} \sim B_{2}^{\prime} B_{3}^{\prime}$, we may assume $B_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, 1\right]$, and $(1,3),(2,3),(3,1)$ and $(3,2)$ components of $B_{2}^{\prime}$ are equal to zero. It is not difficult to see that $B_{1}^{\prime} B_{2}^{\prime} \sim B_{2}^{\prime} B_{1}^{\prime} B_{3}^{\prime}$ is
imposssible. So let $B_{3}^{\prime}=B_{3}$ and let $e_{i}$ denote the $i$-th unit column vector so that $E_{3}=$ [ $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ ]. A matrix $B \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies $B B_{3} \sim B_{3} B$ if and only if either $B$ is diagonal or takes the form either $\left[e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, c]$ or $\left[e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, c]$. First assume that $B_{2}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right]$. We may assume $1=\omega_{1}=\omega_{2} \neq \omega_{3}$ (if necessary, we replace $\omega$ by $\omega^{2}$ ). Furthermore, we may assume $\omega_{3}=\omega$ (if necessary, we replace $\omega$ by $\omega^{2}$ ) so that $B_{2}^{\prime}=B_{2}$. Since ( $B_{2}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $B_{1}^{\prime}$ ) do not commute, $B_{1}^{\prime}$ cannot be diagonal. It turns out $B_{1}^{\prime}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, c]$. By use of a diagonal matrix, we may assume that $a=b=c$, namely $B_{1}^{\prime}=B_{1}$. Secondly assume that $B_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right]$. We note that the map sending $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ to $M(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$ is an anti-isomorphism. Therefore $\phi^{\prime}$ gives an isomorphism $\phi^{\prime \prime}(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))=\phi^{\prime}\left(M(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)^{-1}\right) . \phi^{\prime \prime}$ is an isomorphism whose type we have discussed. Namely, $\phi^{\prime}\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)=\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)$ is conjugate to $\phi\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)$. Thirdly and finally assume that neither $B_{1}^{\prime}$ nor $B_{2}^{\prime}$ is diagonal. Let $B_{2}^{\prime}=$ [ $\left.e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}\right]$ (without loss of generality we may take $a=b=c=1$ ). Then we can show that if $B_{1}^{\prime}$ takes the form either $\left[e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, c]$ or $\left[e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, c]$ with $|\{a, b, c\}|=2, a c=b^{2} \omega$ and $a^{2}=b c \omega^{2}$, then $\phi^{\prime}(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))=\left(B_{1}^{\prime \alpha} B_{2}^{\prime \beta} B_{3}^{\prime \gamma-\alpha \beta}\right)$ is an isomorphism(if $|\{a, b, c\}|=1$ or 3 , this $\phi^{\prime}$ cannot be an isomorphism). Clearly $\phi^{\prime}\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)=\phi\left(E\left(3^{3}\right)\right)$. The case $B_{2}^{\prime}=\left[e_{3}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right]$ can be reduced to the case $B_{2}^{\prime}=$ [ $e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}$ ] by use of the matrix $\left[e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{2}\right.$ ].

Let $f \in \mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ be a homogeneous polynomial and let $h$ be its Hessian $\operatorname{Hess}(f)=\operatorname{det}\left[f_{j k}\right]$, where $f_{j k}=\left(\partial^{2} / \partial x_{j} \partial x_{k}\right) f$.

Lemma 1.7. Let $A=\left[a_{j k}\right] \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$, and let $f$ be a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ such that $f_{A^{-1}}=\lambda f$. Then $h_{A^{-1}}=\lambda^{3}\left(\operatorname{det} A^{-1}\right)^{2} h$, where $h=\operatorname{Hess}(f)$.

Proof. Let $y_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{3} a_{j k} x_{k}$. By our assumption $\lambda f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda f_{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\sum_{\ell} f_{\ell}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) a_{\ell j} \\
\lambda f_{j k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & =\sum_{\ell} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}} f_{\ell \ell^{\prime}}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) a_{\ell^{\prime} k} a_{\ell j}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality yields $\lambda^{3} h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=h_{A^{-1}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)(\operatorname{det} A)^{2}$.
Lemma 1.8. Let the marices $B_{j}$ be as in Lemma 1.6. A non-singular sextic $f$ is invariant under all $\left(B_{j}\right)$ if and only if

$$
f \sim x^{6}+y^{6} \alpha^{2}+z^{6} \alpha+\kappa\left(x^{3} y^{3}+y^{3} z^{3} \alpha^{2}+z^{3} x^{3} \alpha\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{3}=1$ with $\left(\kappa^{2}-4 \alpha^{2}\right)\left(\kappa^{3}-3 \alpha \kappa^{2}+4\right) \neq 0$.

Proof. First we will show that a non-singular sextic $f$ invariant under all ( $B_{j}$ ) takes the form as in the lemma. Note that $f_{B_{3}^{-1}}=\omega^{j} f$ and $f_{B_{2}^{-1}}=\omega^{k} f$ for some $j, k \in\{0,1,2\}$. One can easily see that unless $(j, k)=(0,0), f$ is singular. So $f$ takes the form $f=a_{1} x^{6}+a_{2} y^{6}+a_{3} z^{6}+a_{4} x^{3} y^{3}+a_{5} y^{3} z^{3}+a_{6} z^{3} x^{3}$. Since $f_{B_{1}^{-1}}=a_{3} x^{6}+$ $a_{1} y^{6}+a_{2} z^{6}+a_{6} x^{3} y^{3}+a_{4} y^{3} z^{3}+a_{5} z^{3} x^{3}$ must be equal to $\lambda f$, where $\lambda^{3}=1$ (note that $\left.B_{1}^{3}=E_{3}\right)$, we get $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\lambda\left(a_{3}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}\right)=\lambda\left(a_{6}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right)$. Therefore $a_{2}=\lambda a_{1}, a_{3}=\lambda^{2} a_{1}, a_{5}=\lambda a_{4}, a_{6}=\lambda^{2} a_{4}$. We note that $a_{1} \neq 0$, because, otherwise, $f$ is singular.

Let $f=x^{6}+y^{6} \alpha^{2}+z^{6} \alpha+\kappa\left(x^{3} y^{3}+y^{3} z^{3} \alpha^{2}+z^{3} x^{3} \alpha\right)$, where $\alpha^{3}=1$. Obviously $f$ is invariant under all $\left(B_{j}\right)$. We will discuss when $C(f)$ has a singular point. Simple computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x} & =3 x^{2}\left(2 x^{3}+\kappa y^{3}+\kappa \alpha z^{3}\right) \\
f_{y} & =3 y^{2}\left(\kappa x^{3}+2 \alpha^{2} y^{3}+\alpha^{2} \kappa z^{3}\right) \\
f_{z} & =3 z^{2}\left(\alpha \kappa x^{3}+\alpha^{2} \kappa y^{3}+2 \alpha z^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If ( $a, b, c$ ) is a common zero of the three linear forms in $x^{3}, y^{3}, z^{3}$ above, then the determinant of the coefficient matrix vanishes, namely $\kappa^{3}-3 \alpha \kappa^{2}+4=0$. Conversely, if this determinant vanishes, then $C(f)$ has clearly a singular point. If the determinant does not vanish and $C(f)$ has a singular point $(a, b, c)$, then one of $a, b, c$ is equal to zero and $4 \alpha^{2}-\kappa^{2}=0$. It is clear that $C(f)$ has a singular point if $4 \alpha^{2}-\kappa^{2}=0$. Thus $C(f)$ has a singular point if and only if $\left(\kappa^{3}-3 \alpha \kappa^{2}+4\right)\left(4 \alpha^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right)=0$.

Lemma 1.9. $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|<360$, where $f$ is a non-singular sextic given in Lemma 1.8.

Proof. The Hessian $h=\operatorname{Hess}(f)$ takes the form $54 h_{1} h_{2}$, where $h_{1}=x y z$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}= & 20 \alpha \kappa^{2}\left(x^{9}+y^{9}+z^{9}\right)+\left(-5 \alpha \kappa^{3}+20 \alpha^{2} \kappa^{2}+100 \kappa\right)\left(x^{6} y^{3}+y^{6} z^{3}+z^{6} x^{3}\right) \\
& +\left(-5 \alpha^{2} \kappa^{3}+20 \kappa^{2}+100 \alpha \kappa\right)\left(x^{3} y^{6}+y^{3} z^{6}+z^{3} x^{6}\right)+\left(35 \kappa^{3}-75 \alpha \kappa^{2}+500\right) x^{3} y^{3} z^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider a set of lines $L=\{\ell ; \ell$ is a line such that $\ell \mid h\}$. By Lemma 1.7 $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ acts on $L$ as $(A) \ell=\{(A) P ; P \in \ell\}$. Denoting the line $x=0$ by $\ell_{x}$, let $G_{x}=\{(A) \in$ $\left.\operatorname{Aut}(f) ;(A) \ell_{x}=\ell_{x}\right\}$. Obviously $\left|\operatorname{Aut}(f) \ell_{x}\right| \leq|L| \leq 12$. By the way we remark that $|L|=12$ for $f^{\prime}=x^{6}+y^{6}+z^{6}-10\left(x^{3} y^{3}+y^{3} z^{3}+z^{3} x^{3}\right)($ Indeed, the $3 \times 3$ matrix $B$ whose row vectors are $[1,1,1],\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]$ and $\left[1, \omega^{2}, \omega\right], \omega$ being a primitive the third root of 1 , satisfies $f_{B^{-1}}^{\prime}=-27 f^{\prime}$ ). Assume $(A) \in G_{x}$. Without loss of generality $A$ takes the form

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
a & b & c \\
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} & c^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \in G L(3, \mathbf{C}) .
$$

Putting $Y=b y+c z$ and $Z=b^{\prime} y+c^{\prime} z$, we get $f_{A^{-1}}=p_{0} x^{6}+x^{5} p_{1}(Y, Z)+x^{4} p_{2}(Y, Z)+$ $x^{3} p_{3}(Y, Z)+x^{2} p_{4}(Y, Z)+x p_{5}(Y, Z)+p_{6}(Y, Z)$. Since this polynomial is proportional to $f, p_{5}(Y, Z)=6 a \alpha^{2} Y^{5}+3 \kappa \alpha^{2}\left(a^{\prime} Y^{3} Z^{2}+a Y^{2} Z^{3}\right)+6 a^{\prime} \alpha Z^{5}$ must vanish, namely $a=a^{\prime}=0$. Now $f_{A^{-1}}=x^{6}+\kappa x^{3}\left(Y^{3}+Z^{3} \alpha\right)+Y^{6} \alpha^{2}+\kappa Y^{3} Z^{3} \alpha^{2}+Z^{6} \alpha$. Assuming first $\kappa \neq 0$, we will show that $\left|G_{x}\right|=18$ to the effect that $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)| \leq 18 \times 12=216$. By simple computaion $Y^{3}+Z^{3} \alpha=y^{3}\left(b^{3}+b^{\prime 3} \alpha\right)+3 y^{2} z\left(b^{2} c+b^{\prime 2} c^{\prime} \alpha\right)+3 y z^{2}\left(b c^{2}+b^{\prime} c^{\prime 2} \alpha\right)+z^{3}\left(c^{3}+c^{\prime 3} \alpha\right)$.

Since this must be equal to the polynomial $y^{3}+z^{3} \alpha$, it follows that $b^{2} c+b^{\prime 2} c^{\prime} \alpha=0$, and $b c^{2}+b^{\prime} c^{\prime 2} \alpha=0$. Multiplying $c$ and $b$ to each equality and then by subtraction, we get $b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\left(c b^{\prime}-b c^{\prime}\right)=0$, namely $b^{\prime} c^{\prime}=0$, because $A$ is non-singular. If $b^{\prime}=0$, then $c=0, b^{3}=1, c^{\prime 3}=1$. It can be immediately seen that with these values $(A)$ really belongs to $G_{x}$. If $c^{\prime}=0$, then $b=0, b^{3}=\alpha^{2}, c^{3}=\alpha$. It can be also verified that with these values $(A)$ belongs to $G_{x}$. Thus, if $\kappa \neq 0$, then $\left|G_{x}\right|=2 \times 9$. If $\kappa=0$, then $h=$ const $x^{4} y^{4} z^{4}$, in particular, $L=\{x, y, z\}$. One can see easily that $G_{x}$ consisits of $2 \times 6^{2}$ points. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ acts transitively on $L$, we have $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=|L| \times\left|G_{x}\right|=216$ (see [10, p. 171] or [8] for the automorphism group of the Fermat curves).

## 2. Uniqueness of sextics with $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=\mathbf{3 6 0}$

In the previous section we have shown that $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)| \leq 360$ for a non-singular plane sextic $f$. It is, therefore, reasonable to call a non-singular plane sextic $f$ satisfying $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=360$, the most symmetric. The Wiman sextic

$$
f_{6}=27 z^{6}-135 z^{4} x y-45 z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+9 z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+10 x^{3} y^{3}
$$

is known to be the most symmetric [11]. The aim of this section is to prove the

Theorem 2.1. The most symmetric sextics are projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic.

As a byproduct another proof of $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{6}\right)\right|=360$ will be given (see Proposition 2.22).

There are five groups of order 8 up to isomorhism ([3, chap. 4]):

1) $Z_{8}$
2) $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{4}$
3) $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$
4) $\quad Q_{8}$, which is generated by $a$ and $b$ satisfying $a^{4}=1, b^{2}=a^{2}$, and $b a=a^{-1} b$
5) $\quad D_{8}$, which is generated by $a$ and $b$ satisfying $a^{4}=1, b^{2}=1$, and $b a=a^{-1} b$.

In a series of lemmas we will show that if $f$ is the most symmetric sextic, then the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to $D_{8}$.

Lemma 2.2. A subgroup $G_{8}$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{8}$, if and only if $G_{8}$ is conjugate to one of the following groups:
(1) $\langle(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \varepsilon])\rangle$
(2) $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right]\right)\right\rangle$
(3) $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right]\right)\right\rangle$
(4) $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{4}\right]\right)\right\rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{8}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{8}$ are isomorphic. Then there exists an $A \in$ $G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $G_{8}=\langle(A)\rangle$. Since $(A)$ is of finite order, $A$ is diagonalizable; $T^{-1} A T \sim \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon^{i}, \varepsilon^{j}\right](0 \leq i<j \leq 7)$, where $\varepsilon$ is a primitive 8 -th root of 1 . Clearly $(i, j) \notin\{(0,2),(0,4),(0,6),(2,4),(2,6),(4,6)\}$. If $i=0$, then $G_{8}$ is conjugate to (1). If $(i, j) \in\{(1,2),(1,7),(2,5),(3,5),(3,6),(6,7)\}$, then $G_{8}$ is conjugate to (2). If $(i, j) \in\{(1,3),(1,6),(2,3),(2,7),(5,6),(5,7)\}$, then $G_{8}$ is conjugate to (3). Finally if $(i, j) \in\{(1,4),(1,5),(3,4),(3,7),(4,5),(4,7)\}$, then $G_{8}$ is conjugate to (4).

Lemma 2.3. The projective automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ of a non-singular sextic $f$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{8}$, if and only if $f$ is projectively equivalent to $a$ sextic of the form $f^{\prime}=x^{6}+B x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+y^{5} z+y z^{5}$ with $B^{3}+27 \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{8}$. Let $A$ denote one of the follwoing four matrices; $\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \varepsilon], \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right], \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{4}\right]$, where $\varepsilon$ is a primitive 8 -th root of 1 . By Lemma $2.2 f$ is projectively equivalent to a sextic $f^{\prime}$ such that $f_{A^{-1}}^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{j} f^{\prime}$ for some $0 \leq j<8$. One can easily see that such an $f^{\prime}$ is singular except for the case $(A, j)=\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{3}\right], 0\right)$ (see the proof of Proposition 1.4). In this exceptional case $f^{\prime}$ is a linear combination of monomials $x^{6}, x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}, y^{5} z, y z^{5}$. Since $f^{\prime}$ is assumed to be non-singular, it takes the form $x^{6}+B x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+\left(y^{5} z+y z^{5}\right)$ up to projective equivalence. Suppose that $C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ has a singular point $(a, b, c)$. It is immediate that $a b c \neq 0$. It is a common zero of $f_{1}=$ $3 x^{4}+B y^{2} z^{2}, f_{2}=2 B x^{2} y z+5 y^{4}+z^{4}$ and $f_{3}=2 B x^{2} y z+y^{4}+5 z^{4}$. Being on $C\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $C\left(f_{3}\right),(a, b, c)$ satisfies $B a^{2} c+3 b^{3}=0$ and $B a^{2} b+3 c^{3}=0$, hence $B^{2} a^{4}=9 b^{2} c^{2}$. Since $B^{2} f_{1}(a, b, c)=0$, we get $\left(27+B^{3}\right) b^{2} c^{2}=0$, namely $B^{3}+27=0$. Conversely, if $B^{3}+27=0$, then $(\sqrt{-3 / B}, 1,1)$ is a singular point of $C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$.

We cite two theorems concerning a flex of a plane curve.

Theorem 2.4 ([2, p. 70]). A point $P$ on an irreducible plane curve $C(f)$ is a simple point if and only if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P}(f)$ is a discrete valuation ring. In this case, if $L=a x+b y+c z$ is a line through $P$ different from the tangent to $C(f)$ at $P$, then the image $\ell$ of $L$ in $\mathcal{O}_{P}(f)$ is a uniformizing parameter for $\mathcal{O}_{P}(f)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([2, p. 116]). Let $h$ be the Hessian of an irreducible $f$.
(1) $P$ lies both on $C(h)$ and $C(f)$, if and only if $P$ is a flex or a multiple point of $f$.
(2) The intersection number $I(P, h \cap f)$ is equal to 1 if and only if $P$ is an ordinary
flex. (Note that if $P$ is a simple point of $C(f)$ and $C(\ell)$ is the tangent at $P$ to $C(f)$, then $I(P, h \cap f)=\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(h)$ [2, p. 81], which is equal to $I(P, \ell \cap f)-2=$ $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(\ell)-2$ [2, Proof on p. 116].)

The following lemma shows that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ of the most symmetric sextic $f$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{8}$.

Lemma 2.6. If $f^{\prime}=x^{6}+B x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}+y^{5} z+y z^{5}$ with $B^{3}+27 \neq 0$, then $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right|<$ 360.

Proof. Since $f^{\prime}(x, 1, z)=x^{6}+B x^{2} z^{2}+z+z^{5}, P=(0,1,0)$ is a flex of $C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. The tangent to $C\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ at $P$ is $C(z)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f^{\prime}}$ is a discrete valuation of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, and $x$ is a uniformizing parameter of the ring, namely $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f^{\prime}}(x)=1$, we get $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f^{\prime}}(z)=6$. Simple calculation yields the Hessian $h^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hess}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, which takes the form $-360 B^{2} x^{8} y^{2} z^{2}-750 x^{4}\left\{y^{8}+z^{8}+\left(10500+40 B^{3}\right) y^{4} z^{4}\right\}-160 b^{2} x^{2}\left(y^{7} z^{3}+y^{3} z^{7}\right)-$ $50 B\left(y^{10} z^{2}+y^{2} z^{10}\right)+700 B y^{6} z^{6}$. So $I\left(P, h^{\prime} \cap f^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f^{\prime}}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=4$. This value can be obtained as $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f^{\prime}}(z)-2$ by Theorem 2.5 (2). Let $G_{P}=\left\{(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right) ;(A) P=P\right\}$. Since $(A) \in G_{P}$ fixes $P$ as well as the tangent $C(z)$, we may assume that

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & c \\
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} & c^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The condition $f_{A^{-1}}^{\prime} \sim f^{\prime}$ implies that $a^{\prime}=c^{\prime}=0$, because $5\left(b^{\prime} y\right)^{4}\left(a^{\prime}+c^{\prime} z\right) z$ must vanish in $f_{A^{-1}}^{\prime}$. Such an (A) belongs to $G_{P}$ if and only if $b^{\prime 4}=1, a^{6}=b^{\prime}$, and $B a^{2} b^{\prime}=B$. Thus $\left|G_{P}\right|$ is equal to 8 or 24 according as $B \neq 0$ or $B=0$. In the case $B \neq 0$, we evaluate the order of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ as follows:

$$
4\left(\frac{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|G_{P}\right|}\right)=I\left(P, h^{\prime} \cap f^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|G_{P}\right|}\right) \leq \sum_{Q} I\left(Q, h^{\prime} \cap f^{\prime}\right)=12 \times 6
$$

Thus $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 144$.
Suppose $B=0$. In this case $h^{\prime}=-750 x^{4}\left(y^{8}-14 y^{4} z^{4}+z^{8}\right)$, and $h^{\prime}$ contains 9 linear factors; $x$ with multiplicity four, and $\sqrt{-1}^{j}(7 \pm 4 \sqrt{3}) y-z(0 \leq j \leq 3)$ with multiplicity one. Let $G_{x}=\left\{(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right) ;(A) \ell_{x}=\ell_{x}\right\}$, where $\ell_{x}$ stands for the line $C(x)$. By Lemma $1.7 G_{x}=\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. We shall show that $\left|G_{x}\right|=144$. Assume that $(A) \in$ $G_{x}$. (A) fixes both $C(f)$ and $C(x)$. Note that each tangent to $C(f)$ at the intersection $\in C(f) \cap C(x)$ passes through $(1,0,0)$. So $(A)$ fixes $(1,0,0)$ as well. Thus $A$ takes the form

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b & c \\
0 & b^{\prime} & c^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

up to constant multiplication. Putting $Y=b y+c z, Z=b^{\prime} y+c^{\prime} z$, we write $f_{A^{-1}}^{\prime}$ as $Y^{5} Z+Y Z^{5}+x^{6}$. Now (A) belongs to $G_{x}$ if and only if $y^{5} z+y z^{5}=Y^{5} Z+Y Z^{5}$. The righthand side takes the form $y^{6}\left(b^{5} b^{\prime}+b b^{\prime 5}\right)+\cdots+z^{6}\left(c^{5} c^{\prime}+c c^{\prime 5}\right)$. Therefore $b b^{\prime}\left(b^{4}+b^{\prime 4}\right)=0$, and $c c^{\prime}\left(c^{4}+c^{\prime 4}\right)=0$. If $b=0$, then it follows immediately that $c^{\prime}=0$, and $c^{5} b^{\prime}=$ $c b^{\prime 5}=1$. The number of such an $(A)$ is equal to 24 . Similarly the case $b^{\prime}=0$ gives another 24 elements of $G_{x}$. The case $c c^{\prime}=0$ does not give new $(A) \in G_{x}$. We turn to the case $b b^{\prime} c c^{\prime} \neq 0$. In this case $b^{4}+b^{\prime 4}=c^{4}+c^{\prime 4}=0$. Since the coefficient of $y^{4} z^{2}$ vanishes, $b^{2} c^{2}+b^{\prime 2} c^{\prime 2}=0$. Under these conditions the coefficients of $y^{2} z^{4}, y^{3} z^{3}$ vanish. The coefficients of $y^{5} z$ and $y z^{5}$ yield the condition $1=-4 b^{4}\left(b c^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c\right)$ and $1=4 c^{4}\left(b c^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c\right)$ respectively. In particular $c^{4}=-b^{4}$. Therefore if $b b^{\prime} c c^{\prime} \neq 0$, then (A) $\in G_{x}$ if and only if $b^{4}+b^{\prime 4}=0, c^{4}+c^{\prime 4}=0, b^{4}+c^{4}=0, b^{2} c^{2}+b^{\prime 2} c^{\prime 2}=0$, and $4 b^{4}\left(-b c^{\prime}+b^{\prime} c\right)=1$. Thus $b^{\prime}=\sqrt{-1}^{j}(1+\sqrt{-1}) b / \sqrt{2}, \quad c^{\prime}=\sqrt{-1}^{k}(1+\sqrt{-1}) c / \sqrt{2}$ with $0 \leq j, k \leq 3$ and $j+k \equiv 0 \bmod 2, c=\sqrt{-1}^{\ell}(1-\sqrt{-1}) b / \sqrt{2}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq 3$ such that $4 b^{6}\left(\sqrt{-1}^{j}-\sqrt{-1}^{k}\right) \sqrt{-1}^{\ell}=1$. It is easy to see that each $j$ gives one admissible value of $k$, that $\ell$ can be arbitrary, and that $b$ can take six values for an addmissible $(j, k, \ell)$. Consequently there exist $4 \times 4 \times 6(A) \in G_{x}$ such that $b b^{\prime} c c^{\prime} \neq 0$. Hence $\left|G_{x}\right|=24+24+96=144$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. A subgroup $G_{8}$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{4}$, if and only if $G_{8}$ is conjugate to one of the following two groups:
(1) $\langle(\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]),(\operatorname{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}])\rangle$
(2) $\left\langle(\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]),\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]\right)\right\rangle$.

Proof. Assume that $G_{8}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{4}$. Then there exist commuting ( $A$ ), and ( $B$ ) in $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C}$ ) of order 2 and 4 respectively. We may assume that $A^{2}=E_{3}$ and $B$ takes the form either $\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \sqrt{-1}]$ or $\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]$. First suppose that $B=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \sqrt{-1}]$. Since $A B \sim B A,(1,3),(2,3),(3,1)$ and $(3,2)$ components of $A$ vanish. We may assume that $(3,3)$ component of $A$ is equal to 1 . Since $A$ is diagonalizable, we may assume that $A=\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]$. Secondly assume that $B=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]$. Since $A B \sim B A$, and $A$ is involutive, it follows that $A$ is diagonal; $A=\operatorname{diag}[a, b, 1]$. If $a=b$, then $a=-1$. There exists a $T \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $T^{-1} A T \sim \operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]$ and $T^{-1} B T \sim \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}^{3}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]$, hence $T^{-1} B^{3} T \sim$ $\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]$. The case $a \neq b$ can be dealt with similarly.

Lemma 2.8. If a plane sextic is invariant under the group (1) or (2) in Lemma 2.7, then it is singular.

Proof. Let $A=\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1], B_{1}=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \sqrt{-1}], B_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{2}\right]$, and let $B$ denote either $B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$. As in the proof of Proposition 1.4 we can show easily that a sextic $f$ satisfying $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ and $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$ is singular. Indeed, if $f$ contains $x^{6}$, then $f_{B^{-1}}=f$, hence three monomials $z^{6}, z^{5} x, z^{5} y$ or three monomials $y^{6}$,
$y^{5} x, y^{5} z$ do not appear in $f$ according as $B=B_{1}$ or $B=B_{2}$. Suppose the monomial $x^{6}$ does not appear in $f$. If $f$ contains $x^{5} y$, then $f_{A^{-1}}=-f$ and $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ so that three monomials $z^{6}, z^{5} x, z^{5} y$ do not appear in $f$, namely $(0,0,1)$ is a singular point of $C(f)$. If $f$ contains $x^{5} z$, then $f_{A^{-1}}=-f$ and $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ so that three monomials $z^{6}$, $z^{5} x, z^{5} y$ do not appear in $f$. Finally if $f$ contains none of three monomials $x^{6}, x^{5} y$, and $x^{5} z$, then $(1,0,0)$ is a singualr point of $C(f)$.

Lemma 2.9. No subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$.
Proof. Let $(A)$ and ( $B$ ) be mutually distinct commuting involutions. We may assume that $A=\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]$, and $B=\operatorname{diag}[1,-1,1]$. Assume that an involution ( $C$ ) commutes with both of them. Then $C$ is diagonal, hence $(C) \in\langle(A),(B)\rangle$. Namely, mutually distinct three commuting involutions in $P G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ generate a subgroup of order 4.

Lemma 2.10. A subgroup $G_{8}$ of $\operatorname{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $Q_{8}$, if and only if $G_{8}$ is conjugate to $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{3}\right]\right),\left(\left[e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}]\right)\right\rangle$, where $e_{i}$ is the $i$-th column vector of the unit matrix $E_{3}$.

Proof. $G_{8}$ is isomorphic to $Q_{8}$, if and only if it is generated by some (A) of order 4 and $(B)$ such that $(B)^{2}=(A)^{2}$ and $(B)(A)=(A)^{-1}(B)$. Suppose that $G_{8}$ is isomorphic to $Q_{8}$. Since (A) has order 4, we may assume that $A$ takes the form either $\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \sqrt{-1}]$ or $\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}_{1} \sqrt{-1}^{3}\right]$, for subgroups $\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}^{j}, \sqrt{-1}^{k}\right]\right)\right\rangle(0<$ $j<k<4$ ) are mutually conjugate. If $A=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \sqrt{-1}]$, we can show easily that no $B \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies $A B A \sim B$. If $A=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{3}\right]$, then, up to constant multiplication, $B=\left[e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[1, b, c]$ with $b c=-1$ alone satisfies $B^{2} \sim A^{2}$ and $A B A \sim B$. Transforming $B$ by a diagonal matrix we get the lemma.

Lemma 2.11. Any $Q_{8}$-invariant sextic is singular.
Proof. Let $A=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^{3}\right]$ and $B=\left[e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{2}\right] \operatorname{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}]$, and $f$ is a sextic. Suppose $f_{A^{-1}}=\sqrt{-1}^{j} f$ for some $0 \leq j \leq 3 . f$ is a linear combination of monomials $m$ in $x, y, z$ satisfying $m_{A^{-1}}=\sqrt{-1}^{j} m$. If $j=2$, then $f$ contains none of $x^{6}, x^{5} y$, and $x^{5} z$ so that $(1,0,0)$ is a singular point of $C(f)$. If $j \in\{1,3\}$, then $x$ divides $f$. Finally if $j=0$, then $f$ is a linear combination of eight monomials: $x^{6}, x^{2} y^{4}, x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}, x^{2} z^{4}, x^{4} y z, y^{5} z, y^{3} z^{3}, y z^{5}$. Since we also require that $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f, f$ is either a linear combination of the leading four monomials or a linear combination of the remaining four monomials. In either case $f$ is reducible.

We have so far shown that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ of the most symmetric sextic $f$ is isomorphic to $D_{8}$. We turn to the study of a Sylow 5 -subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$
of the most symmetric sextic $f$.
 $G_{5}$ is conjugate to either $G_{5,1}=\left\langle(\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \varepsilon]\rangle\right.$ or $G_{5,2}=\left\langle\left(\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right]\right\rangle\right.$, where $\varepsilon$ is a primitive 5-th root of 1 .

Proof. We can argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.
Proposition 2.13. Let $f$ be a non-singular sextic. If $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ contains a subgroup conjugate to $G_{5,1}$ in Lemma 2.12, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|<360$.

Proof. Let a sextic $f$ satisfy $f_{A^{-1}}=\varepsilon^{j} f$, where $A=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \varepsilon]$. It turns out that unless $j=0, f$ is singular. In the case $j=0, f$ is a linear combination of monomials $x^{6-k} y^{k}(0 \leq k \leq 6), x z^{5}$ and $y z^{5}$. By change of variables $x^{\prime}=a x+b y$ and $y^{\prime}=c x+d y$, we may assume that

$$
f=C_{0} x^{6}+C_{1} x^{5} y+C_{2} x^{4} y^{2}+C_{3} x^{3} y^{3}+C_{4} x^{2} y^{4}+C_{5} x y^{5}+C_{6} y^{6}+x z^{5},
$$

where $C_{6}=1$, because if $C_{6}=0$, then $f$ is reducible. So $P=(0,0,1)$ is a flex of $C(f), C(x)$ is the tangent there to $C(f), y$ is a uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_{P}(f)$, and $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(x)=6$. Let $h=\operatorname{Hess}(f)$. By Theorem 2.5 (2) $I(P, h \cap f)=\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(x)-2=4$. Using Bezout's theorem we get $4|\operatorname{Aut}(f) P| \leq \sum_{Q} I(Q, h \cap f)=72$. Let $G_{P}=\{(B) \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}(f) ;(B) P=P\}$. If $\left|G_{P}\right|<20$, then $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=|\operatorname{Aut}(f) P|\left|G_{P}\right|<360$. We will try to show that $\left|G_{P}\right|<20$. Let $(B) \in G_{P}$. Then the first, the second and the third row of $B$ takes the form $[a, 0,0],[b, 1,0]$, and $\left[a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c\right]$. Since $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f, a^{\prime}=b^{\prime}=0$. Now $f_{B^{-1}}$ is of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B^{-1}}= & x^{6}\left(C_{0} a^{6}+C_{1} a^{5} b+C_{2} a^{4} b^{2}+C_{3} a^{3} b^{3}+C_{4} a^{2} b^{4}+C_{5} a b^{5}+C_{6} b^{6}\right) \\
& +x^{5} y\left(C_{1} a^{5}+2 C_{2} a^{4} b+3 C_{3} a^{3} b^{2}+4 C_{4} a^{2} b^{3}+5 C_{5} a b^{4}+6 b^{5}\right) \\
& +x^{4} y^{2}\left(C_{2} a^{4}+3 C_{3} a^{3} b+6 C_{4} a^{2} b^{2}+10 C_{5} a b^{3}+15 b^{4}\right) \\
& +x^{3} y^{3}\left(C_{3} a^{3}+4 C_{4} a^{2} b+10 C_{5} a b^{2}+20 b^{3}\right) \\
& +x^{2} y^{4}\left(C_{4} a^{2}+5 C_{5} a b+15 b^{2}\right) \\
& +x y^{5}\left(C_{5} a+6 b\right)+y^{6}+x z^{5} a c^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This polynomial is proportional to $f$, hence, equal to $f$. Therefore $a c^{5}=1$, and $b=C_{5}(1-a) / 6$. Substituting $b$ in the coefficients of $x^{2} y^{4}$, we get $\left(a^{2}-1\right)\left(C_{4}-\right.$ $\left.5 C_{5}^{2} / 12\right)=0$. If $C_{4} \neq 5 C_{5}^{2} / 12$, then $a^{2}=1$, hence $\left|G_{P}\right| \leq 10$. Suppose $C_{4}=5 C_{5}^{2} / 12$. Comparing the coefficients of $x^{3} y^{3}$, we get $\left(a^{3}-1\right)\left(C_{3}-5 C_{5}{ }^{3} / 54\right)=0$. Suppose $C_{3}=5 C_{5}{ }^{3} / 54$ (otherwise, $\left|G_{P}\right| \leq 15$ ). Now

$$
f=\left(x \frac{C_{5}}{6}+y\right)^{6}+x^{6}\left(1-\frac{C_{5}^{6}}{6^{6}}\right)+x^{5} y\left(C_{1}-\frac{C_{5}^{5}}{6^{4}}\right)+x^{4} y^{2}\left(C_{2}-\frac{C_{5}^{4}}{2 \cdot 6^{3}}\right)+x z^{5} .
$$

By change of variables $x^{\prime}=x, y^{\prime}=x C_{5} / 6+y$, and $z^{\prime}=z$, we get a projectively equivalent sextic, which will be denoted by $f$ again: $f=D_{0} x^{6}+D_{1} x^{5} y+D_{2} x^{4} y^{2}+$ $y^{6}+x z^{5}$. If $(B) \in G_{P}$, then $B=\operatorname{diag}[a, 1, c]$, where

$$
D_{0} a^{6}=D_{0}, \quad D_{1} a^{5}=D_{1}, \quad D_{2} a^{4}=D_{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad a c^{5}=1 .
$$

If $D_{1} D_{2} \neq 0$, then $a=1$, hence $\left|G_{P}\right|=5$. If $D_{1}=0$ and $D_{2} \neq 0$, then $D_{0} \neq 0$, hence $a^{2}=1$ so that $\left|G_{P}\right|=10$. Finally suppose that $D_{1} \neq 0, D_{2}=0$ and that $f$ is non-singular, namely $6^{6} D_{0}^{5} \neq 5^{5} D_{1}^{6}$. Then the line $C(z)$ intersects $C(f)$ at distinct six points. Besides $h=\operatorname{Hess}(f)=250 z^{3} h^{\prime}$, where $h^{\prime}=-3 y^{4} z^{5}+24\left(3 D_{0} x+2 D_{1} y\right) x^{4} y^{4}-$ $2 D_{1}{ }^{2} x^{9}$. Note that $h^{\prime}$ has no linear factors. Indeed, none of linear factors $z-\alpha x-\beta y$, $x-\alpha y$, and $y-\beta x$ divides $h^{\prime}$. Let $G_{z}=\{(B) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) ;(B)$ fixes the line $C(z)\}$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(f) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(h)$ by Lemma 1.7, $(B) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ fixes a line $C(z)$ and hence the point $P$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.6). In particular $G_{z}=\operatorname{Aut}(f)=G_{P}$, and $B$ takes the form $\operatorname{diag}[a, 1, c]$, where $a^{5}=1$ and $a c^{5}=1$. In particular $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=\left|G_{P}\right| \leq 5 \times 5$.

Lemma 2.14. Let $f$ be a non-singular sextic. The automorphism group of $f$ contains a subgroup conjugate to $G_{5,2}$, if and only if $f$ is projectively equivalent to one of the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{6}+C_{1} x^{3} y z^{2}+C_{2} y^{2} z^{4}+C_{3} x^{2} y^{3} z+x\left(y^{5}+z^{5}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& z^{6}+B z^{4} x y+C z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+D z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+E x^{3} y^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

If $f$ is the sextic (1), then $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|<360$.
Proof. Let $A=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{2}\right]$. Then each of the two sextics (1) and (2), say $f$, satisfies $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$. Assume that $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ for a sextic $f$, namely $f_{A^{-1}}=\varepsilon^{j} f(j=$ $0,1,2,3,4)$. If $j=3$ or $j=4, f$ is singular. According as $j \in\{0,2\}$ or $j=1, f$ takes the form (1) or (2) up to projective equivalence. Assuming that $f$ takes the form (1), we shall show that $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|<360 . P=(0,1,0)$ is a flex of $f$, and $C(x)$ is the tangent there. So $z$ is a uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_{P}(f)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(x) \geq 4$, we can estimate the intersection number: $I(P, h \cap f)=\operatorname{ord}_{P}^{f}(x)-2 \geq 2$, where $h$ is the Hessian of $f$. Let $G_{P}=\{(B) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) ;(B) P=P\}$. If $(B) \in G_{P}$, then the first, the second and the third row of $B$ takes the form $[1,0,0],[a, b, c]$, and $\left[a^{\prime}, 0, c^{\prime}\right]$ repectively, because ( $B$ ) fixes the line $C(x)$ (i.e. $[1,0,0] B \sim[1,0,0]$ ) and ( $B) P=P$. Since $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ and $C_{2} \neq 0$, we get $c=0, a=0, a^{\prime}=0, b^{5}=1$ and $c^{\prime}=b^{2}$. Thus $\left|G_{P}\right|=5$. By Bezout's theorem 2|Aut $(f)\left|/\left|G_{P}\right|=2\right| \operatorname{Aut}(f) P \mid \leq \sum_{Q} I(Q, h \cap f) \leq 72$, that is, $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)| \leq 180$.

By Lemma 2.14 the most symmetric sextic is projectively equivalent to the following sextic :

$$
f=z^{6}+B z^{4} x y+C z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+D z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+E x^{3} y^{3} .
$$

Let $I=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right]$, where $E_{3}=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right]$ is the unit matrix. Clearly $f_{I}=f$. If $f$ is the most symmetric sextic, then any Sylow 2 -subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to the group $D_{8}$. By Sylow's theorem the involution ( $I$ ) belongs to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$.

Lemma 2.15. (1) If $g$ is an involution of $D_{8}$, then there exists an involution $g^{\prime} \in D_{8} \backslash\{g\}$ such $g g^{\prime}=g^{\prime} g$.
(2) Let $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ be mutually distinct commuting involutions of $D_{8}$. Then one of the following cases takes place.

1) There exists an element $c \in D_{8}$ of order 4 such that $c^{2}=g, g^{\prime} c g^{\prime}=c^{-1}$.
2) There exists an element $c \in D_{8}$ of order 4 such that $c^{2}=g^{\prime}, g c g=c^{-1}$.
3) There exists an element $c \in D_{8}$ of order 4 such that $c^{2}=g g^{\prime}, g c g=c^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $a, b$ be generators of $D_{8}$ such that $a^{4}=1, b^{2}=1$ and $b a=a^{-1} b$. So $a$ generates a cyclic group $H$ of order 4 , and $D_{8}=H+b H$. An element $g \in D_{8}$ is an involution if and only if $g \in\left\{a^{2}\right\} \cup b H$. (1) If $g=a^{2}$, then we can take $g^{\prime}=b a^{2}$. If $g=b a^{j}$, we can take $g^{\prime}=b a^{j+2}$. (2) If $g=a^{2}$, then $g^{\prime} \in b H$. So we can take $c=a$. If $g^{\prime}=a^{2}$, then we can take $c=a$. Finally if $g, g^{\prime} \in b H$, then $g g^{\prime}=a^{2}$. So we can take $c=a$.

Lemma 2.16. Assume that $f=z^{6}+B z^{4} x y+C z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+D z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+E x^{3} y^{3}$ is nonsingular. If there exists an involution $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) \backslash\{(I)\}$ such that $(A)(I)=(I)(A)$, then A takes the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & \beta & \gamma \\
\beta & \alpha & \gamma \\
\lambda & \lambda & 1
\end{array}\right], \text { where } \alpha+\beta+1=0, \alpha \beta+1=0, \quad \gamma \lambda=2 \text {, }
$$

and

$$
\gamma^{2} B=12-\gamma^{5} D, \gamma^{4} C=48+\gamma^{5} D, \gamma^{6} E=64-2 \gamma^{5} D .
$$

Conversely, if $(\star)$ holds for some $\gamma \neq 0$, then the above matrix A gives an involution $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) \backslash\{(I)\}$ such that $(A)(I)=(I)(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ contains an involution $(A) \neq(I)$ commuting with $(I)$. Let $A=[a, b, c]$, where $a=\left[a_{j}\right], b=\left[b_{j}\right]$ and $c=\left[c_{j}\right]$ are column vectors. We claim that $c_{3} \neq 0$. Otherwise the condition $A I \sim I A$ yields $b_{1}=\delta a_{2}, b_{2}=\delta a_{1}$, $b_{3}=\delta a_{3}$, and $c_{2}=\delta c_{1}$. Since $A^{2} \sim E_{3}$, we get $\delta=1, a_{1}+a_{2}=0$, and $c_{1} a_{3}=2 a_{1}{ }^{2}$. However, $(A) \notin \operatorname{Aut}(f)$, because $f_{A^{-1}}=\sum z^{j} C_{j}$ with $C_{1}=10 a_{1}{ }^{7} a_{3} D(x+y)(x-y)^{4} \nsucc$ $D\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)$. Note that $D \neq 0$ because of non-singularity of $f$. Thus we may assume that $c_{3}=1$. The condition $A I \sim I A$ implies that $a_{2}=b_{1}, a_{1}=b_{2}, a_{3}=b_{3}$ and $c_{2}=c_{1}$. We claim that $c_{1} \neq 0$. If $c_{1}=0$, then the condition $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ yields
$a_{3}=0$ and $a_{1} b_{1}=0$. Besides, by the condition $A^{2} \sim E_{3}$, we get $A \sim E_{3}$ or $A \sim I$. Similarly $a_{3} \neq 0$. For the sake of simplicity of notation we put $\alpha=a_{1}, \beta=b_{1}, \gamma=c_{1}$, and $\lambda=a_{3}$. Since $A^{2} \sim E_{3}, \alpha+\beta+1=0,2 \alpha \beta+\gamma \lambda=0$, and $\gamma \lambda \notin\{0,-1 / 2\}$. Under these conditions $A^{2}=(2 \gamma \lambda+1) E_{3}$. Let $W=\operatorname{diag}[1,1,1 / \gamma], A^{\prime}=W^{-1} A W$, and $f_{W^{-1}}=\gamma^{-6} f^{\prime}$. $\left(A^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, because $f_{A^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left(f_{W^{-1}}\right)_{A^{\prime}}=f_{A^{\prime} W^{-1}}=f_{W^{-1} A}=$ $\left(f_{A}\right)_{W^{-1}}=(\text { const } f)_{W^{-1}}=\operatorname{const} f_{W^{-1}}=\operatorname{const} f^{\prime}$. By the next lemma $\left(A^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ implies ( $\star$ ). Conversely suppose ( $\star$ ) holds. Let $f_{W^{-1}}=\gamma^{6} f^{\prime}$. By the next lemma there exists an involution $\left(A^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{(I)\}$ such that $\left(A^{\prime}\right)(I)=(I)\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Since $f_{W}^{\prime} \sim f$, $A=W A^{\prime} W^{-1}$ gives an involution $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) \backslash\{(I)\}$.

Lemma 2.17. Let $f$ be as in Lemma 2.16, and let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & 1 \\
b & a & 1 \\
d & d & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { where } a+b+1=0, \quad 2 a b+d=0, \quad d \notin\left\{0,-\frac{1}{2}\right\}
$$

Then $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$ if and only if

$$
d=2, \quad B=12-D, \quad C=48+D, \quad E=64-2 D .
$$

Proof. We note that coefficents of $f_{A^{-1}}$ can be written without using $a$ and $b$. In fact we get the following formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{A^{-1}}= & z^{5}(x+y)\{6 d+B(4 d-1)+C(2 d-2)+D(2 d-5)+E(-3)\} \\
& +z^{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left\{15 d^{2}+B(-9 / 2+6 d) d+C\left(1-5 d+d^{2}\right)+D(10+5 d)\right. \\
& +E(3-(3 / 2) d)\} \\
& +z^{3}\left(x^{3}+y^{3}\right)\left\{20 d^{3}+B\left(-8 d^{2}+4 d^{3}\right)+C\left(3 d-4 d^{2}\right)+D\left(-10-5 d+10 d^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +E(-1+3 d)\} \\
& +z^{3}\left(x^{2} y+x y^{2}\right)\left\{60 d^{3}+B\left(4 d-16 d^{2}+12 d^{3}\right)+C\left(-2+9 d-4 d^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D\left(25 d-10 d^{2}\right)+E(-9-3 d)\right\} \\
& +z^{2}\left(x^{4}+y^{4}\right)\left\{15 d^{4}+B\left(-7 d^{3}+d^{4}\right)+C\left((3+(1 / 4)) d^{2}-d^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D\left(5-(25 / 2) d^{2}\right)+E\left((-3 / 2) d+(3 / 4) d^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +z^{2}\left(x^{3} y+x y^{3}\right)\left\{60 d^{4}+B\left(6 d^{2}-16 d^{3}+4 d^{4}\right)+C\left(-5 d+5 d^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D\left(-20 d-10 d^{2}\right)+E\left(3-3 d-3 d^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +z\left(x^{4} y+x y^{4}\right)\left\{30 d^{5}+B\left(4 d^{3}-7 d^{4}\right)+C\left(-4 d^{2}-(1 / 2) d^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+D\left((15 / 2) d+(15 / 4) d^{2}-(15 / 2) d^{3}\right)+E\left(3 d+(9 / 4) d^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +z\left(x^{3} y^{2}+x^{2} y^{3}\right)\left\{60 d^{5}+B\left(12 d^{3}-6 d^{4}\right)+C\left(2 d-4 d^{2}-d^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+D(-25 / 2) d^{2}+5 d^{3}\right)+E\left(-3-3 d-(3 / 2) d^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +\left(x^{6}+y^{6}\right)\left\{d^{6}+B\left(-(1 / 2) d^{5}\right)+C\left((1 / 4) d^{4}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\quad+D\left(-1-(5 / 2) d-(5 / 4) d^{2}\right) d+E\left(-(1 / 8) d^{3}\right)\right\} \\
& +\left(x^{5} y+x y^{5}\right)\left\{6 d^{6}+B\left(d^{4}-d^{5}\right)+C\left(-d^{3}-(1 / 2) d^{4}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+D\left(-d+(5 / 2) d^{3}\right)+E\left((3 / 4) d^{2}+(3 / 4) d^{3}\right\} \\
& +\left(x^{4} y^{2}+x^{2} y^{4}\right)\left\{15 d^{6}+B\left(4 d^{4}+(1 / 2) d^{5}\right)+C\left(d^{2}-(1 / 4) d^{4}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+D\left((5 / 2) d^{2}+(5 / 4) d^{3}\right)+E\left(-(3 / 2) d-3 d^{2}-(15 / 8) d^{3}\right)\right\} \\
& +z^{6}\{1+B+C+2 D+E\} \\
& +z^{4} x y\left\{30 d^{2}+B\left(1-7 d+12 d^{2}\right)+C\left(4-6 d+2 d^{2}\right)+D(-30 d)+E(9+3 d)\right\} \\
& +z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}\left\{90 d^{4}+B\left(12 d^{2}-18 d^{3}+6 d^{4}\right)+C\left(1-6 d+(15 / 2) d^{2}+2 d^{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+D\left(45 d^{2}\right)+E\left(9+9 d+(9 / 2) d^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)\left\{6 d^{5}+B\left(-3 d^{4}\right)+C(3 / 2) d^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+D\left(-1+(5 / 2) d+(35 / 4) d^{2}+(5 / 2) d^{3}\right)+E(-3 / 4) d^{2}\right\} \\
& + \\
& +x^{3} y^{3}\left\{20 d^{6}+B\left(6 d^{4}+2 d^{5}\right)+C\left(2 d^{2}+2 d^{3}+d^{4}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+D\left(-5 d^{3}\right)+E\left(1+3 d+(9 / 2) d^{2}+(5 / 2) d^{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $z^{5} x$ does not appear in $f$, we have $3 E=6 d+B(4 d-1)+C(2 d-2)+D(2 d-5)$. Since the coefficients of $z^{4} x^{2}, z^{3} x^{3}, z^{3} x^{2} y$ vanish, and $d \neq-1 / 2$, we get a system of linear equations on $B, C$, and $D$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(-2 d+1)+C(1)+D\left(\frac{1}{2} d-5\right)=6 d, \\
& B\left(4 d^{2}-6 d+\frac{2}{3}\right)+C\left(-2 d+\frac{4}{3}\right)+D\left(12 d-\frac{50}{3}\right)=-20 d^{2}+4 d, \\
& B\left(12 d^{2}-26 d+6\right)+C(-6 d+8)+D(-12 d+30)=-60 d^{2}+36 d .
\end{aligned}
$$

The determinant of the coefficient matirx is equal to $50(4 d+2)(-d+2) / 3$. We claim that $d=2$. Assume the contrary. Cramer's formula yields $B=6 d, C=12 d^{2}$, and $D=0$. On the other hand $D \neq 0$, because $f$ is assumed to be non-singular. Thus $d=$ 2. The above system of linear equations on $B, C$, and $D$, together with the equality $3 E=6 d+B(4 d-1)+C(2 d-2)+D(2 d-5)$ yields equalities $B=12-D, C=48+D$, and $E=64-2 D$. By easy computaion we get $f_{A^{-1}}=125 f$.

Suppose $f$ is the most symmetric sextic. By Lemma 2.14 we may assume that $f$ takes the form given in Lemma 2.16. By Lemma 2.16, we may further assume that $B=12-D, C=48+D, E=64-2 D$.

Lemma 2.18. Let $f$ be a sextic of the form $z^{6}+B z^{4} x y+C z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+D z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+$ $E x^{3} y^{3}$ with $B=12-D, C=48+D, E=64-2 D$. Let $M=\operatorname{diag}[1,1, m](m \neq 0)$. Then $f_{M^{-1}}$ is the Wiman sextic

$$
f_{6}=27 z^{6}-135 z^{4} x y-45 z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+9 z\left(x^{5}+y^{5}\right)+10 x^{3} y^{3},
$$

if and only if $[D, 1 / m]=[(9 \pm 15 \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 2,(-3 \pm \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 12]$. In particular if $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$, then $f$ is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic.

Proof. It is evident that $f$ satisfies the condition if and only if the following 4 equalities hold:
(1) $(12-D) / m^{2}=-135 / 27$
(2) $(48+D) / m^{4}=-45 / 27$
(3) $D / m^{5}=9 / 27$
(4) $(64-2 D) / m^{6}=10 / 27$.

The equalities (2) and (3) imply ( $48+D) m / D=-5$, while (3) and (4) yield (64$2 D) / D m=10 / 9$. Thus $(48+D)(64-2 D)+50 D^{2} / 9=0$, namely $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$. $m^{-1}=-(48+D) /(5 D)$ gives the value of $m^{-1}$. Conversely, since $m^{-2}=-(1 \pm \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 24, m^{-4}=(-7 \pm \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 288$, $12-D=15(1 \mp \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 2$, and $48+D=15(7 \pm \sqrt{15} \sqrt{-1}) / 2$,
(1) and (2) hold, hence (3) and (4) as well.

Lemma 2.19. Let $f$ be as in Lemma 2.18, and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & 1 \\
b & a & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { where } a+b+1=0, \text { and } a b+1=0, \\
& B=\operatorname{diag}\left[\delta, \delta^{4}, 1\right], \quad \text { where } \delta \text { is a primitive } 5 \text {-th root of } 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(A B^{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ and $\operatorname{ord}\left(\left(A B^{2}\right)\right)=3$.

Proof. Let $G$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ generated by $(A),(I)$ and $(B)$. Let $P_{1}=(1,0,0)$. It is a flex of $C(f)$. We can show that the orbit $G P_{1}$ consists of $2+5+5$ points, hence $|G|=12 \times 5$. So it is no wonder that there is an $(M) \in G$ of oder 3 . By Lemma $2.17(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$. Clearly $(B) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$. We will show that $c, c \omega, c \omega^{2}$ are the characteristic roots of $A B^{2}$ for some constant $c$. Let $\sqrt{5}$ be a solution to $x^{2}=5$ (we do not assume $\sqrt{5}>0$ ). To get a solution to $x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1=0$, put $y=x+x^{-1}$. Then $y^{2}+y-1=0$. So $y=(-1 \pm \sqrt{5}) / 2$, and $x^{2}-y x+1=0$. Let $a=(-1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, and $b=(-1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$. Let $\delta$ be a solution of $x^{2}-a x+1=0$. Then $\delta^{2}=a \delta-1$, $\delta^{3}=-a \delta-a, \delta^{4}=a-\delta$, and $\delta^{5}=1$. $A B^{2}$ now takes the form

$$
A B^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
a^{2} \delta-a & \delta+1 & 1 \\
-\delta-b & -a^{2}(\delta+1) & 1 \\
2(a \delta-1) & -2 a(\delta+1) & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

By careful computation we get $\operatorname{det}\left(A B^{2}+\sqrt{5} \mu\right)=5 \sqrt{5}\left(\mu^{3}-1\right)$. As is well known, if $A B^{2} v_{j}=-\sqrt{5} \omega^{j} v_{j}$ and $v_{j} \neq 0$, then $V=\left[v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ diagonalizes $A B^{2} ; V^{-1} A B^{2} V=$
$-\sqrt{5} \operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]$. For example we may take

$$
v_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(3+\sqrt{5}) \delta-1-\sqrt{5} \\
-(3+\sqrt{5}) \delta \\
2
\end{array}\right], \quad v_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(3-\sqrt{5}) \omega \delta+2 \omega+\sqrt{5}-1 \\
(-3+\sqrt{5}) \omega \delta+2(\sqrt{5}-1) \omega+\sqrt{5}-1 \\
4
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Substituting $\omega^{2}$ for $\omega$ in $v_{1}$, we get $v_{2}$.
Lemma 2.20. Let $f$ be the sextic in Lemma 2.18, and let $V=\left[v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right] \in$ $G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.19. Set $U=2 V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{U^{-1}}= & 10240\left[x^{6}(-170-76 \sqrt{5})(-27+D)+\left(y^{6}+z^{6}\right)(100-40 \sqrt{5}) D\right. \\
& +x^{3}\left(y^{3}+z^{3}\right)(-200-100 \sqrt{5}) D+y^{3} z^{3}(20-8 \sqrt{5})(864-17 D) \\
& +x\left(y^{4} z+y z^{4}\right)(-75+75 \sqrt{5}) D+x^{4} y z(75+33 \sqrt{5})(108+D) \\
& \left.+x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}(5+\sqrt{5})(1296-63 D)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda=2 \delta$. Then $\lambda^{2}-(-1+\sqrt{5}) \lambda+4=0$. So the coefficients of $f_{U^{-1}}$ are $\mathbf{Z}$-linear combinations of $\sqrt{5}^{j} \omega^{k} \lambda^{\ell}$. Using computer, we get the reslut.

Remark. Let $f^{\prime}=f_{U^{-1}}$. The involution $\left(B^{-1} I B\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ gives rise to an involution $(J)=\left(U^{-1} B^{-1} I B U\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, where $E_{3}=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right], I=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right]$ and $J=\left[e_{1}, e_{3}, e_{2}\right]$.

The next lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 2.21. Let $f$ be the most symmetric sextic of the form in Lemma 2.18. Then $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$.

Proof. A Sylow 3-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ cannot be isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{9}$ by Proposition 1.4. Therefore any Sylow 3-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ [3]. By Sylow's theorem there exists a Sylow 3-subgroup which contains $(X)=\left(A B^{2}\right)$ in Lemma 2.19. So there exists a $(Y) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f) \backslash\{\langle(X)\rangle\}$ of order 3 such $(X)(Y)=(Y)(X)$. Let $f_{U^{-1}}=10240 f^{\prime},\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\left(U^{-1} X U\right)$ (see Lemma 2.20 for the definition of $U$ ). We may assume that $X^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right]$. Then there exists a $\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\left\langle\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right\}$ such that $X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} \sim Y^{\prime} X^{\prime}$, and $Y^{\prime 3} \sim E_{3}$. So without loss of generality $T=Y^{\prime}$ takes the form either $\operatorname{diag}[1,1, \omega]$ or $\left[e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{1}\right] \operatorname{diag}[a, b, 1]$. The former case is impossible, because $f^{\prime}{ }_{T^{-1}} \sim f^{\prime}$ implies $f^{\prime}{ }_{T^{-1}}=f^{\prime}$ despite the fact that $f^{\prime}{ }_{T^{-1}} \neq f^{\prime}$ (note that $D \neq 0$, for $f$ must be non-singular). Assume the second case for $T$. According as the monomial $x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}$ appears in $f^{\prime}$ or not, we proceed as follows. $\left[x^{j} y^{z} k^{\ell}\right]$ denotes the coefficient of $x^{i} y^{j} z^{\ell}$ in $f^{\prime}$. If $\left[x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}\right]=0$, i.e. $D=144 / 7$, then $f^{\prime}$ does not have an automorphism of the form $(T)$. Indeed, the assumption ${f^{\prime}}_{T^{-1}}=\operatorname{const} f^{\prime}$ leads to a contradiciton
as follows. Since $\left(\left[x^{6}\right] x^{6}\right)_{T^{-1}}=$ const $\left[z^{6}\right] z^{6}$, const $=\left[x^{6}\right] /\left[z^{6}\right]=(161+72 \sqrt{5}) / 32$. By the two equalties $a^{4} b\left[x y^{4} z\right]=\operatorname{const}\left[x^{4} y z\right]$, and $a b\left[x^{4} y z\right]=\operatorname{const}\left[x y z^{4}\right]$, we get $a^{3}=$ $\left[x^{4} y z\right]\left[x^{4} y z\right] /\left(\left[x y z^{4}\right]\left[x y^{4} z\right]\right)=5(161+72 \sqrt{5}) / 4^{2}$. On the other hand $a^{6}\left[y^{6}\right]=\operatorname{const}\left[x^{6}\right]$ gives $a^{6}=\operatorname{const}\left[x^{6}\right] /\left[y^{6}\right]=(161+72 \sqrt{5})^{2} / 32^{2}$. Hence $a^{6} \neq\left(a^{3}\right)^{2}$.

Suppose that $\left[x^{2} y^{2} z^{2}\right] \neq 0$. Then $f_{T^{-1}}^{\prime}=a^{2} b^{2} f^{\prime}$. Equivalently following nine equalities hold:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a^{2} b^{2}\left[x^{6}\right]=\left[y^{6}\right] a^{6}, & a^{2} b^{2}\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right]=\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right] a^{3} b^{3}, & a^{2} b^{2}\left[x^{4} y z\right]=\left[y^{4} z x\right] a^{4} b \\
a^{2} b^{2}\left[y^{6}\right]=\left[z^{6}\right] b^{6}, & a^{2} b^{2}\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]=\left[z^{3} x^{3}\right] b^{3}, & a^{2} b^{2}\left[x y^{4} z\right]=\left[y z^{4} x\right] a b^{4} \\
a^{2} b^{2}\left[z^{6}\right]=\left[x^{6}\right], & a^{2} b^{2}\left[z^{3} x^{3}\right]=\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right] a^{3}, & a^{2} b^{2}\left[x y z^{4}\right]=\left[y z x^{4}\right] a b .
\end{array}
$$

The second and the ninth equalities imply

$$
0=\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right]\left[x y z^{4}\right]-\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]\left[x^{4} y z\right]=-6480(3+\sqrt{5})\left(D^{2}-9 D+864\right) .
$$

For the sake of completeness we will determine the values of $a$ and $b$ in the case $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$. By the second equality above we get $a b=\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right] /\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]$. The eighth equality above yields $a=b^{2}$. So $b^{3}=\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right] /\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]=\{-100(2+\sqrt{5}) D\} /\{(20-$ $8 \sqrt{5})(864-17 D)\}$. Conversely if $a=b^{2}$ and $b^{3}=\{-100(2+\sqrt{5}) D\} /\{(20-8 \sqrt{5})(864-$ $17 D)\}$ with $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$, then above nine equalties hold. Clearly the sencond and the ninth equalities hold. Because $a^{3}=b^{6}=\left(\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right] /\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]\right)^{2}=\left[x^{6}\right] /\left[y^{6}\right]=\left[x^{6}\right] /\left[z^{6}\right]$, the first and the seventh equalities hold. The third and the fifth ones hold too, because $a b=b^{3}=\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right] /\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]=\left[x^{4} y z\right] /\left[y^{4} z x\right]=\left[z^{3} x^{3}\right] /\left[y^{3} z^{3}\right]$. Since $\left[y^{6}\right]=\left[z^{6}\right],\left[x y^{4} z\right]=$ $\left[y z^{4} x\right]$, and $\left[x^{3} y^{3}\right]=\left[z^{3} x^{3}\right]$, the fourth, the sixth and the eighth ones hold.

For the sake of completeness we will show the following proposition, which, together with Lemma 2.18, assures us that $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(f_{6}\right)\right|=360$.

Proposition 2.22. Let $f$ be a sextic of the form $z^{6}+B z^{4} x y+C z^{2} x^{2} y^{2}+D z\left(x^{5}+\right.$ $\left.y^{5}\right)+E x^{3} y^{3}$ with $B=12-D, C=48+D, E=64-2 D$, where $D^{2}-9 D+864=0$. Then $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|=360$.

Proof. By Lemma $2.14|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|$ is a multiple of 5 . By the proof of Lemma 2.21 $|\operatorname{Aut}(f)|$ is a multiple of 9 . In view of Theorem (1) in the introduction it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $D_{8}$. Let $I=\left[e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{3}\right]$ and $A$ be as in Lemma 2.19. Clearly $(I) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$, and $(A) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ by Lemma 2.17. We will show that there exists an $(M) \in \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ such that $(M)^{2}=(I)$, and $(A M)^{2}=\left(E_{3}\right)($ see Lemma 2.15 (2)). It is natural to diagonalize $A$ and $I$. Taking $a=(-1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$, and $b=(-1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$, we define

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad V=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & \sqrt{5}+1 \sqrt{5}-1
\end{array}\right],
$$

and $W=U V$. Then $A^{\prime \prime}=W^{-1} A W=\sqrt{5} \operatorname{diag}[1,1,-1]$, and $I^{\prime \prime}=W^{-1} I W=$ $\operatorname{diag}[-1,1,1]$. Put $f^{\prime}=f_{U^{-1}}$, and $f^{\prime \prime}=f_{V^{-1}}^{\prime}$. We look for an $M^{\prime \prime} \in G L(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $M^{\prime \prime 2} \sim I^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime \prime} M^{\prime \prime 2} \sim E_{3}$ and $\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (see Lemma 2.15(2)). Since $M^{\prime \prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}$ commute due to the first condition, we may assume that the first, the second and the third rows of $M^{\prime \prime}$ take the form $[\sqrt{-1}, 0,0],[0, a, b]$, and $[0, c, d]$ respectively. Either $a+d=0$ or $a+d \neq 0, c=d=0$ due to the condition $M^{\prime \prime 2} \sim I^{\prime \prime}$. The second case is impossible, because $M^{\prime \prime}$ cannot be diagonal. Now the condition $A^{\prime \prime} M^{\prime \prime 2} \sim E_{3}$ yields $a=d=0$ and $b c=1$. By careful computaion we get the explict form of $f^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime}= & x^{6}(-E) \\
& +x^{4}\left[y^{2}\{3 E+10(1+\sqrt{5}) D+(6+2 \sqrt{5}) C\}+y z\{-6 E-20 D+8 D\}\right. \\
& \left.+z^{2}\{3 E+10(1-\sqrt{5}) D+(6-2 \sqrt{5}) C\}\right] \\
+ & x^{2}\left[y^{4}\{-3 E+20(1+\sqrt{5}) D-2(6+2 \sqrt{5}) C-(56+24 \sqrt{5}) B\}\right. \\
& +y^{3} z\{12 E+20(-4-2 \sqrt{5}) D+8(1+\sqrt{5}) C-16(6+2 \sqrt{5}) B\} \\
& +y^{2} z^{2}\{-18 E+120 D+0 C-96 B\} \\
& +y^{3} z\{12 E+20(-4+2 \sqrt{5}) D+8(1-\sqrt{5}) C-16(6-2 \sqrt{5}) B\} \\
& \left.+z^{4}\{-3 E+20(1-\sqrt{5}) D-2(6-2 \sqrt{5}) C-(56-24 \sqrt{5}) B\}\right] \\
+ & x^{0}\left[y^{6}\{E+2(1+\sqrt{5}) D+(6+2 \sqrt{5}) C+(56+24 \sqrt{5}) B+16(36+16 \sqrt{5})\}\right. \\
& +y^{5} z\{-6 E-2(6+4 \sqrt{5}) D-8(2+\sqrt{5}) C-16(1+\sqrt{5}) B+192(7+3 \sqrt{5})\} \\
& +y^{4} z^{2}\{15 E+10(3+\sqrt{5}) D+10(1+\sqrt{5}) C-40(1+\sqrt{5}) B+480(3+\sqrt{5})\} \\
& +y^{3} z^{3}\{-20 E-40 D+0 C+0 B+1280\} \\
& +y^{2} z^{4}\{15 E+10(3-\sqrt{5}) D+10(1-\sqrt{5}) C-40(1-\sqrt{5}) B+480(3-\sqrt{5})\} \\
& +y z^{5}\{-6 E-2(6-4 \sqrt{5}) D-8(2-\sqrt{5}) C-16(1-\sqrt{5}) B+192(7-3 \sqrt{5})\} \\
& \left.+z^{6}\{E+2(1-\sqrt{5}) D+(6-2 \sqrt{5}) C+(56-24 \sqrt{5}) B+16(36-16 \sqrt{5})\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show that $\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some $b$ and $c$. The coeffients of $x^{4} y z, x^{2} y^{3} z$, $x^{2} y z^{3}, y^{5} z, y z^{5}$ and $y^{3} z^{3}$ in $f^{\prime \prime}$ vanish. Note that $E=64-D \neq 0$, for $D^{2}-9 D+864=$ 0 . So such $b$ and $c$ exist if and only if $f^{\prime \prime}{ }_{M^{\prime \prime-1}}=-f^{\prime \prime}$. Let us denote by $\left[x^{j} y^{k} z^{\ell}\right]$ the coefficient of the monomial $x^{j} y^{k} z^{\ell}$ in $f^{\prime \prime}$. Then the following equalities hold:
(1) $b^{2}\left[x^{4} y^{2}\right]=-\left[x^{4} z^{2}\right]$
(2) $b^{4}\left[x^{2} y^{4}\right]=\left[x^{2} z^{4}\right]$
(3) $b^{6}\left[y^{6}\right]=-\left[z^{6}\right]$
(4) $b^{2}\left[y^{4} z^{2}\right]=$ $-\left[y^{2} z^{4}\right]$.
We can show that the equality (1) implies (2) through (4). To be more precise, assume that $b$ is a solution to (1) for given $D$. (1) gives $b^{4}\left[x^{4} y^{2}\right]^{2}=\left[x^{4} z^{2}\right]^{2}$, which implies (2), because $\left[x^{4} y^{2}\right]^{2}\left[x^{2} z^{4}\right]-\left[x^{4} z^{2}\right]^{2}\left[x^{2} y^{4}\right]=0$. (1) and (2) give $b^{6}\left[x^{4} y^{2}\right]\left[x^{2} y^{4}\right]=$ $-\left[x^{4} z^{2}\right]\left[x^{2} z^{4}\right]$, which implies (3). (4) is exactly the same condition as (1). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.22.
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