

Chung, Y.-M.
Osaka J. Math.
38 (2001), 1–12

TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY FOR DIFFERENTIABLE MAPS OF INTERVALS

YONG MOO CHUNG

(Received February 9, 1998)

Let I be a compact interval of the real line. For a continuous map $f : I \rightarrow I$ by Misiurewicz et al. ([1, 12, 13]) the following relation between the topological entropy $h(f)$ and the growth rate of the number of periodic points is known:

$$(*) \quad h(f) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n)$$

where $\text{Per}(f, n)$ denotes the set of all fixed points of f^n for $n \geq 1$, and $\#A$ the number of elements of a set A . (The equality of the expression $(*)$ does not hold in general. For instance, the topological entropy of the identity map is zero, nevertheless all of points of the interval are fixed by this map.)

For a periodic point p of f with period n we put

$$\mathcal{O}_f^+(p) = \{p, f(p), \dots, f^{n-1}(p)\}.$$

Then we say that q is a *homoclinic point* of p if $q \notin \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)$ and there are a positive integer m with $f^m(q) = p$ and a sequence $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_k, \dots \in I$ with $q_0 = q$ such that

$$f(q_k) = q_{k-1} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |q_k - \mathcal{O}_f^+(p)| = 0$$

where $|x - A| = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in A\}$ for $x \in I$, $A \subset I$. It is known by Block ([2, 3]) that $h(f)$ is positive if and only if f has a homoclinic point of a periodic point.

In this paper we shall establish more results (Theorems 1 and 2) for differentiable maps of intervals. To describe them we need some notations.

Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ map ($\alpha > 0$). A periodic point p of f with period n is a *source* if

$$v(p) = |(f^n)'(p)|^{1/n} > 1.$$

For $n \geq 1$, $v > 1$ and $\delta > 0$ we define an f -invariant set by

$$\text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta) = \{p \in \text{Per}(f, n) : \nu(p) \geq \nu, |f'(f^i(p))| \geq \delta \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{Per}(f, n, \nu_1, \delta_1) \subset \text{Per}(f, n, \nu_2, \delta_2) \quad \text{if } \nu_1 \geq \nu_2, \delta_1 \geq \delta_2,$$

and

$$\{p : \text{source of } f\} = \bigcup_{\nu > 1} \bigcup_{\delta > 0} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta).$$

One of our results is the following:

Theorem 1.

$$h(f) = \max \left\{ 0, \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta) \right\}.$$

By Theorem 1 it is clear that for a $C^{1+\alpha}$ map of a compact interval if the topological entropy is positive then the map has infinitely many sources. However, the converse is not true in general. In fact, for any $r \geq 1$ it is easy to construct a C^r diffeomorphism of a compact interval having infinitely many source fixed points. But every diffeomorphism of an interval has zero entropy.

REMARK. It is known that if f is a C^2 map with non-flat critical points, then any periodic point of f with sufficiently large period is a source ([10]). In Theorem 1 we do not assume any condition concerned with critical points. Then the map f may have flat critical points.

For a source p of f with period n we denote by $W_{\text{loc}}^u(p)$ the maximal interval J of I containing p such that

$$|(f^n)'(x)| \geq \{(1 + \nu(p))/2\}^n \quad \text{for all } x \in J.$$

We say that a homoclinic point q of p is *transversal* if there are non-negative integers m_1, m_2 and a point $q' \in W_{\text{loc}}^u(p)$ such that

$$f^{m_1}(q') = q, \quad f^{m_1+m_2}(q') = f^{m_2}(q) = p \quad \text{and} \quad (f^{m_1+m_2})'(q') \neq 0.$$

If f has a transversal homoclinic point of a source, then there is a C^1 neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f such that every map g belonging to \mathcal{U} has a transversal homoclinic point of a source. We denote the set of transversal homoclinic points of a source p of f by $\text{TH}(p)$, and its closure by $\overline{\text{TH}(p)}$. We call $\overline{\text{TH}(p)}$ the *transversal homoclinic closure* of

p . It is easy to see that $p \in \overline{\text{TH}(p)}$, and $\overline{\text{TH}(p)}$ is f -invariant. For $m \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$ define

$$H(p, m, \delta) = \{q \in W_{\text{loc}}^u(p) : f^m(q) = p, |f'(f^i(q))| \geq \delta \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}.$$

Then we have

$$H(p, m, \delta_1) \subset H(p, m, \delta_2) \quad \text{if } \delta_1 \geq \delta_2$$

and

$$\text{TH}(p) = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} f^i H(p, m, \delta) \setminus \mathcal{O}_f^+(p).$$

The second result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 2. *If $h(f) > 0$ then*

$$h(f) = \sup\{h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) : p \text{ is a source of } f\},$$

and for a source p of f we have

$$h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) = \max \left\{ 0, \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \#H(p, m, \delta) \right\}.$$

A result corresponding to Theorem 2 is known for surface diffeomorphisms by Mendoza ([11]). As an easy corollary of Theorem 2 we have:

Corollary 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $h(f) > 0$;
- (ii) f has a transversal homoclinic point of a source;
- (iii) f has a homoclinic point of a periodic point.

1. Proofs of Theorems

Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a continuous map. For integers $k, l \geq 1$ we say that a closed f -invariant set Γ is a (k, l) -horseshoe of f if there are subsets $\Gamma^0, \dots, \Gamma^{k-1}$ of I such that

$$\Gamma = \Gamma^0 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma^{k-1}, \quad f(\Gamma^j) = \Gamma^{j+1} \pmod{k}$$

and $f^k|_{\Gamma^0} : \Gamma^0 \rightarrow \Gamma^0$ is topologically conjugate to a one-sided full shift in l -symbols. If Γ is a (k, l) -horseshoe, then it is clear that

$$h(f|_{\Gamma}) = \frac{1}{k} \log l \quad \text{and} \quad l^n \leq \#[\text{Per}(f, kn) \cap \Gamma] \leq k l^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$. It was proved by Misiurewicz et al. ([1, 12, 13]) that if the topological entropy of f is positive then there are sequences k_j, l_j of positive integers with a (k_j, l_j) -horseshoe Γ_j of f ($j \geq 1$) such that

$$h(f) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h(f|_{\Gamma_j}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j.$$

Then the formula (*) follows from this fact.

In order to prove our results, we need the notion of hyperbolic horseshoe and ideas of the theory of hyperbolic measures ([14, 15]). Katok ([9]) has proved that if a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism of a manifold has a hyperbolic measure then its metric entropy is approximated by the entropy of a hyperbolic horseshoe. The author has shown in [5] that the result of Katok is also valid for $C^{1+\alpha}$ (non-invertible) maps.

Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a differentiable map. For integers $k, l \geq 1$, numbers $\nu > 1$ and $\delta > 0$ we say that Γ is a (k, l, ν, δ) -hyperbolic horseshoe of f if Γ is a (k, l) -horseshoe and

$$|(f^k)'(x)| \geq \nu^k, \quad |f'(x)| \geq \delta \quad (x \in \Gamma).$$

The following lemma plays an important role for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma 4. *Let $f : I \rightarrow I$ be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ map. If $h(f) > 0$, then for a number ν_0 with $1 < \nu_0 < \exp\{h(f)\}$ there exist sequences k_j, l_j of positive integers and $\delta_j > 0$ ($j \geq 1$) such that for $j \geq 1$ there is a $(k_j, l_j, \nu_0, \delta_j)$ -hyperbolic horseshoe Γ_j of f so that*

$$h(f) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h(f|_{\Gamma_j}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j.$$

This is corresponding to the result obtained by Katok for surface diffeomorphisms ([9]). For the proof we use the result stated in [5].

Proof of Lemma 4. For a number ν_0 with $1 < \nu_0 < \exp\{h(f)\}$ we take a sequence η_j of positive numbers ($j \geq 1$) such that $\exp\{h(f) - 3\eta_j\} > \nu_0$ and $\eta_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the variational principle for the topological entropy ([6, 7, 8]), we have an f -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure μ_j on I such that

$$h_j \geq h(f) - \eta_j > 0$$

where h_j denotes the metric entropy of μ_j with respect to f . If λ_j denotes the Lyapunov exponent of μ_j , that is,

$$\lambda_j = \int \log |f'(x)| d\mu_j(x),$$

then by the Ruelle inequality ([17]) we have

$$\lambda_j \geq h_j > 0,$$

and so μ_j is a hyperbolic measure of f . Then by Theorem C (and its proof) of [5], we can construct sequences of integers $k_j, l_j \geq 1$ with $(1/k_j) \cdot \log l_j \geq h_j - \eta_j$, numbers $c_j \geq 1$ and closed sets $\Lambda_j \subset I$ ($j \geq 1$) such that:

- (1) $f^{k_j}(\Lambda_j) = \Lambda_j$;
- (2) $|(f^{k_j i})'(x)| \geq c_j^{-1} \cdot \exp\{k_j i(\lambda_j - \eta_j)\}$ for all $x \in \Lambda_j$ and $i \geq 1$;
- (3) $f^{k_j} |_{\Lambda_j}: \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda_j$ is topologically conjugate to a one-sided full shift in l_j -symbols.

For $j \geq 1$ we set

$$\Gamma_j = \Lambda_j \cup f\Lambda_j \cdots \cup f^{k_j-1}\Lambda_j.$$

Then Γ_j is f -invariant. Moreover we put

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= \min\{|f'(x)| : x \in \Gamma_j\} > 0, \\ e_j &= \max\left\{\frac{|f'(x)|}{|f'(y)|} : x, y \in \Gamma_j\right\} \in [1, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

and take an integer $n_j \geq 1$ large enough so that

$$\exp\{k_j n_j \eta_j\} \geq c_j e_j^{k_j}.$$

Then we have

$$|(f^{k_j n_j})'(x)| \geq \nu_0^{k_j n_j} \quad (x \in \Gamma_j).$$

This follows from the fact that for $0 \leq i \leq k_j - 1$ and $x \in f^i \Lambda_j$

$$\begin{aligned} |(f^{k_j n_j})'(x)| &= |(f^{k_j n_j})'(f^{k_j-i}(x))| \cdot |(f^{k_j-i})'(x)| \cdot |(f^{k_j-i})'(f^{k_j n_j}(x))|^{-1} \\ &\geq c_j^{-1} \cdot \exp\{(k_j n_j)(\lambda_j - \eta_j)\} \cdot e_j^{-k_j+i} \\ &\geq \exp\{k_j n_j(\lambda_j - 2\eta_j)\} \\ &\geq \exp\{k_j n_j(h(f) - 3\eta_j)\} \\ &\geq \nu_0^{k_j n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $f^{k_j n_j} |_{\Lambda_j}: \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda_j$ is topologically conjugate to a one-sided full shift in $l_j^{n_j}$ -symbols. Thus Γ_j is a $(k_j n_j, l_j^{n_j}, \nu_0, \delta_j)$ -hyperbolic horseshoe, and from which

$$h(f |_{\Gamma_j}) = \frac{1}{k_j n_j} \log l_j^{n_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j \\
&\geq h_j - \eta_j \\
&\geq h(f) - 2\eta_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$h(f) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h(f|_{\Gamma_j}).$$

Lemma 4 was proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1. For $\nu > 1$ and $\delta > 0$ we want to find $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\nu, \delta) > 0$ such that $\text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta)$ is an (n, γ_0) -separated set of f for all $n \geq 1$. Take $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\delta) > 0$ so small that if $x, y \in I$ satisfy $|x - y| \leq \gamma_1$ then

$$|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

We put

$$I_\delta = \left\{ x \in I : |f'(x)| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}.$$

Obviously, I_δ is closed. For $n \geq 1$ and $x \in I$,

$$|x - \text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta)| \leq \gamma_1 \quad \text{implies that} \quad x \in I_\delta.$$

Since a function $x \mapsto \log |f'(x)|$ is bounded and varies continuously on I_δ , there is $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\nu, \delta) > 0$ such that if $x, y \in I_\delta$ satisfy $|x - y| \leq \gamma_2$ then

$$\left| \log |f'(x)| - \log |f'(y)| \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \nu.$$

We put $\gamma_0 = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. Then it is checked that $\text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta)$ is an (n, γ_0) -separated set of f for $n \geq 1$. Indeed, if a pair $p, p' \in \text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta)$ with $p \leq p'$ satisfies

$$\max\{|f^i(p) - f^i(p')| : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \leq \gamma_0,$$

then we see that for $x \in [p, p']$ and $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$|f^i(x) - f^i(p)| \leq \gamma_0, \quad f^i(x) \in I_\delta.$$

On the other hand, by the mean value theorem there is a point $\xi \in [p, p']$ such that

$$|f^n(p) - f^n(p')| = |(f^n)'(\xi)| \cdot |p - p'|.$$

Since $f^i(\xi), f^i(p) \in I_\delta$ and $|f^i(\xi) - f^i(p)| \leq \gamma_0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log |(f^n)'(\xi)| - \log |(f^n)'(p)| \right| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \log |f'(f^i(\xi))| - \log |f'(f^i(p))| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} \log v, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{|(f^n)'(\xi)|}{|(f^n)'(p)|} \geq \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \log v\right) = v^{-n/2}.$$

Since $p, p' \in \text{Per}(f, n, v, \delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p - p'| &= |f^n(p) - f^n(p')| \\ &= |(f^n)'(\xi)| \cdot |p - p'| \\ &= \frac{|(f^n)'(\xi)|}{|(f^n)'(p)|} \cdot |(f^n)'(p)| \cdot |p - p'| \\ &\geq v^{-n/2} \cdot v^n \cdot |p - p'| \\ &= v^{n/2} \cdot |p - p'|, \end{aligned}$$

and so $p = p'$ because of $v > 1$. Thus $\text{Per}(f, n, v, \delta)$ is an (n, γ_0) -separated set of f , and then

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sharp \text{Per}(f, n, v, \delta) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s(f, n, \gamma_0) \\ &\leq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s(f, n, \gamma) \\ &= h(f) \end{aligned}$$

for $v > 1$ and $\delta > 0$, where $s(f, n, \gamma)$ denotes the maximal cardinality of (n, γ) -separated sets for f . Therefore we have the conclusion of Theorem 1 when $h(f) = 0$. Thus it remains to give the proof for the case when $h(f) > 0$. Fix $1 < v_0 < \exp\{h(f)\}$. Take sequences k_j, l_j, δ_j and Γ_j ($j \geq 1$) as in Lemma 4. Since

$$l_j^n \leq \sharp[\text{Per}(f, nk_j, v_0, \delta_j) \cap \Gamma_j] \leq k_j l_j^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sharp \text{Per}(f, n, v_0, \delta) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nk_j} \log \sharp[\text{Per}(f, nk_j, v_0, \delta_j) \cap \Gamma_j] \\ &= \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j. \end{aligned}$$

If $j \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n, \nu_0, \delta) \geq h(f).$$

Combining (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(f) &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n, \nu_0, \delta) \\ &\leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n, \nu, \delta) \\ &\leq h(f). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 was proved. □

REMARK. In fact, from the proof of Theorem 1 it follows that

$$h(f) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \text{Per}(f, n, \nu_0, \delta)$$

if $1 < \nu_0 < \exp\{h(f)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of the first statement. Under the assumption of Theorem 2 we fix a number ν_0 with $1 < \nu_0 < \exp\{h(f)\}$. By Lemma 4, for $j \geq 1$ there are $k_j, l_j \geq 1$ and $\delta_j > 0$ with a $(k_j, l_j, \nu_0, \delta_j)$ -hyperbolic horseshoe $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j^0 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_j^{k_j-1}$ such that

$$h(f|_{\Gamma_j}) = \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j \rightarrow h(f)$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For $j \geq 1$ define a product space

$$\Sigma_j = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, l_j\}$$

with the product topology and a shift $\sigma_j : \Sigma_j \rightarrow \Sigma_j$ by

$$\sigma_j((a_m)_{m \geq 1}) = (a_{m+1})_{m \geq 1} \quad ((a_m)_{m \geq 1} \in \Sigma_j).$$

From the definition of hyperbolic horseshoe, there is a homeomorphism $\varphi_j : \Sigma_j \rightarrow \Gamma_j^0$ such that $\varphi_j \circ \sigma_j = (f^{k_j}|_{\Gamma_j^0}) \circ \varphi_j$. Then $p_j = \varphi_j(1, 1, \dots)$ is a source of f . For $m \geq 1$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \{1, \dots, l_j\}$ with $a_i \neq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\varphi_j(a_1, \dots, a_m, 1, 1, \dots)$ is a transversal homoclinic point of p_j . Thus, $\overline{\text{TH}(p_j)} \supset \Gamma_j$, from which

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(f) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h(f |_{\Gamma_j}) \\
 &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h(f |_{\overline{TH(p_j)}}) \\
 &\leq \sup\{h(f |_{\overline{TH(p)}}) : p \text{ is a source of } f\} \\
 &\leq h(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first statement was proved.

Proof of the second statement. Let p be a source of f . Without loss of generality we may assume that p is a fixed point, i.e., $f(p) = p$. To show that for $\delta > 0$

$$h(f |_{\overline{TH(p)}}) \geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \sharp H(p, m, \delta),$$

take $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\delta) > 0$ so small that if $x, y \in I$ satisfy $|x - y| \leq \gamma_0$ then

$$|f'(x) - f'(y)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Then, for $m \geq 1$ and a pair $q, q' \in H(p, m, \delta)$ satisfying

$$\max\{|f^i(q) - f^i(q')| : 0 \leq i \leq m - 1\} \leq \gamma_0,$$

we can find a sequence $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{m-1} \in I$ such that

$$|\xi_i - f^i(q)| \leq \gamma_0$$

and

$$|f^{i+1}(q) - f^{i+1}(q')| = |f'(\xi_i)| \cdot |f^i(q) - f^i(q')| \quad (0 \leq i \leq m - 1).$$

Since $f^m(q) = f^m(q') = p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= |f^m(q) - f^m(q')| = |f'(\xi_{m-1})| \cdot |f^{m-1}(q) - f^{m-1}(q')| \\
 &= \dots = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} |f'(\xi_i)| \cdot |q - q'| \\
 &\geq \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(|f'(f^i(q))| - \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \cdot |q - q'| \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \right)^m \cdot |q - q'|,
 \end{aligned}$$

and so $q = q'$. Thus $H(p, m, \delta)$ is an (m, γ_0) -separated set of $f |_{\overline{TH(p)}}$, from which it follows that

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \sharp H(p, m, \delta) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log s(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}, m, \gamma_0) \\ \leq h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}).$$

If $h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) = 0$, then nothing to prove for the second statement. Thus we must check the conclusion for the case when $h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) > 0$. To do so fix a number ν_0 with $1 < \nu_0 < \min\{\nu(p), \exp h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}})\}$. By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4, we can take sequences of integers $k_j, l_j \geq 1$, numbers $\delta_j > 0$ with $(k_j, l_j, \nu_0, \delta_j)$ -hyperbolic horseshoes $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j^0 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_j^{k_j-1}$ containing p ($j \geq 1$) such that

$$h(f|_{\Gamma_j}) = (1/k_j) \cdot \log l_j \rightarrow h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there is a homeomorphism $\varphi_j : \Sigma_j \rightarrow \Gamma_j^0$ such that $\varphi_j \circ \sigma_j = (f^{k_j}|_{\Gamma_j^0}) \circ \varphi_j$, where $\sigma_j : \Sigma_j \rightarrow \Sigma_j$ is the shift defined as in the proof of the first statement. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\varphi_j(1, 1, \dots) = p$. By taking an integer $n_j \geq 1$ large enough we have

$$\varphi_j([1, \dots, 1]_{n_j}) \subset W_{\text{loc}}^u(p)$$

where

$$[1, \dots, 1]_{n_j} = \{(b_m)_{m \geq 1} \in \Sigma_j : b_m = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq n_j\}.$$

Since

$$\varphi_j(\overbrace{[1, \dots, 1, a_1, \dots, a_{m-n_j}, 1, 1, \dots]}^{n_j \text{ times}}) \in H(p, mk_j, \delta_j)$$

holds for all $m \geq n_j + 1$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{m-n_j} \in \{1, \dots, l_j\}$, we have

$$\sharp H(p, mk_j, \delta_j) \geq l_j^{m-n_j}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \sharp H(p, m, \delta) \geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mk_j} \log \sharp H(p, mk_j, \delta_j) \\ \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m-n_j}{mk_j} \log l_j \\ = \frac{1}{k_j} \log l_j$$

for $j \geq 1$. If $j \rightarrow \infty$, then we have

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \sharp H(p, m, \delta) \geq h(f|_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}).$$

Combining (1.3) and (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} h(f |_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}) &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log \#H(p, m, \delta) \\ &\leq h(f |_{\overline{\text{TH}(p)}}). \end{aligned}$$

The second statement was proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

2. Circle Maps

In the same way as above, it can be checked that our results (Theorems 1 and 2) are also valid for $C^{1+\alpha}$ maps ($\alpha > 0$) of the circle S^1 . However, the existence of a homoclinic point does not imply that the topological entropy is positive. In fact, we know an example of a C^∞ map $g : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ such that g has a homoclinic point of a source fixed point, nevertheless $h(g) = 0$ ([16]). It is known that the topological entropy of a continuous circle map is positive if and only if the map has a nonwandering homoclinic point of a periodic point ([4]). Since any transversal homoclinic point of a source is nonwandering, we have:

Corollary 5. *For a $C^{1+\alpha}$ map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ ($\alpha > 0$) the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $h(f) > 0$;
- (ii) f has a transversal homoclinic point of a source;
- (iii) f has a nonwandering homoclinic point of a periodic point.

Added in proof. After this manuscript was completed the author learned from A. Katok that he and A. Mezhirova had obtained a result that overlaps with Theorem 1 for C^1 maps with finitely many critical points ([18]). □

References

- [1] L. Alsedà, J. Llibre and M. Misiurewicz: *Combinatorial Dynamics and Entropy in Dimension One*, Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics **5**, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [2] L.S. Block: *Homoclinic points of mappings of the interval*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 576–580.
- [3] L.S. Block and W.A. Coppel: *Dynamics in One Dimension*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1513**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992.
- [4] L. Block, E. Coven, I. Mulvey and Z. Nitecki: *Homoclinic and nonwandering points for maps of the circle*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **3** (1983), 521–532.
- [5] Y.M. Chung: *Shadowing property of non-invertible maps with hyperbolic measures*, Tokyo J. Math. **22** (1999), 145–166.
- [6] E.I. Dinaburg: *On the relations among various entropy characteristics of dynamical systems*, Math. USSR Izvestia, **5** (1971), 337–378.

- [7] T.N.T. Goodman: *Relating topological entropy and measure entropy*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **3** (1971), 176–180.
- [8] L.W. Goodwyn: *Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 679–688.
- [9] A. Katok: *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **51** (1980), 137–173.
- [10] W. de Melo and S. van Strien: *One-Dimensional Dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1993.
- [11] L. Mendoza: *Topological entropy of homoclinic closures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 255–266.
- [12] M. Misiurewicz: *Horseshoes for mappings of an interval*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Soc. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [13] M. Misiurewicz and W. Szlenk: *Entropy of piecewise monotone mappings*, Studia. Math. **67** (1980), 45–63.
- [14] Ya.B. Pesin: *Families of invariant manifolds corresponding to nonzero characteristic exponents*, Math. USSR Izvestija, **10** (1976), 1261–1305.
- [15] Ya.B. Pesin: *Characteristic Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory*, Russian Math. Surveys, **32** (1977), 55–112.
- [16] F. Przytycki: *On Ω -stability and structural stability of endomorphisms satisfying Axiom A*, Studia Math. **60** (1977), 61–77.
- [17] D. Ruelle: *An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Math. **9** (1978), 83–87.
- [18] A. Katok and A. Mezhirov: *Entropy and growth of expanding periodic orbits for one-dimensional maps*, Fund. Math. **157** (1998), 245–254.

Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Engineering
Hiroshima University
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan
e-mail: chung@amath.hiroshima-u.ac.jp