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## 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of $[4,9,13]$. To classify algebraic varieties is one of the goals in algebraic geometry. One way to study a given variety is to understand the behavior of its pluricanonical maps. The objects concerned here are complex projective 3 -folds of general type over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $X$ be such an object and denote by $\phi_{m}$ the m-th pluricanonical map of $X$, which is the rational map associated with the $m$ canonical system $\left|m K_{X}\right|$. The very natural question is when $\left|m K_{X}\right|$ gives a birational map, a generically finite map, $\cdots$, etc. According to $[2,4,9,12,13]$, one has the following

Theorem 0. Let $X$ be a complex projective 3-fold of general type with the canonical index $r$. Then
(i) when $r=1, \phi_{m}$ is a birational morphism onto its image for $m \geq 6$;
(ii) when $r \geq 2, \phi_{m}$ is a birational map onto its image for $m \geq 4 r+5$.

In this paper, we give our results on the generic finiteness of $\phi_{m}$. By a delicate use of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we reduce the problem to a parallel one for adjoint systems on some smooth surface. Reider's results as well as other theorems on surfaces make it possible for us to go on a detailed argument.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a projective 3-fold of general type with the canonical index $r \geq 2$. Then $\phi_{m}$ is generically finite for $m \geq m(r)$, where $m(r)$ is a function as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(2)=11 ; \\
& m(r)=2 r+8, \text { for } 3 \leq r \leq 5 ; \\
& m(r)=2 r+6, \text { for } r \geq 6 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Then

[^0](1) $\phi_{5}$ is birational except for some 3-folds with $K_{X}^{3}=2$ and $p_{g}(X) \leq 2 ; \phi_{5}$ is generically finite of degree $\leq 8$.
(2) $\phi_{4}$ is birational if $K_{X}^{3}>2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=3 ; \phi_{4}$ is generically finite except for some 3-folds with $K_{X}^{3}=2, p_{g}(X) \leq 1$ and $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=-1$.
(3) $\phi_{3}$ is generically finite if $p_{g}(X) \geq 39$.

For a nonsingular projective minimal 3 -fold $X$ of general type, Benveniste ([2]) proved that $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{m}(X) \geq 2$ for $m \geq 4$, i.e. $\left|4 K_{X}\right|$ can not be composed of a pencil. Recently, it has been proved ([5]) that $\left|3 K_{X}\right|$ also can not be composed of a pencil. (Actually, the method is also effective for Gorenstein 3-folds of general type.) Thus it is interesting whether $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ can be composed of a pencil and like what a bicanonical pencil behaves. So in Section 4, we study the bicanonical pencil of a Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. According to the 3 -dimensional MMP, we can suppose that $X$ is a minimal locally factorial Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Take a birational modification $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that $X^{\prime}$ is smooth, $\left|\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right)\right|$ gives a morphism and $\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings. This is possible because of Hironaka's big theorem. Let $W:=\overline{\phi_{2}(X)}$ and take the Stein factorization

$$
\phi_{2} \circ \pi: X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} C \xrightarrow{s} W .
$$

Then $f$ is a fibration onto the nonsingular curve $C$, we call $f$ a derived fibration of $\phi_{2}$. Denote by $F$ a general fibre of $f$. Then $F$ is a nonsingular surface of general type by virtue of the Bertini theorem. Also set $b:=g(C)$, the geometric genus of $C$. From [7], we know that $0 \leq b \leq 1$. We shall prove the following

Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type and suppose that $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ is composed of a pencil. Let $f$ be the derived fibration of $\phi_{2}$ and $F$ be a general fibre of $f$. Then we have $p_{g}(F)=1$ and $K_{F_{0}}^{2} \leq 3$, where $F_{0}$ is the minimal model of $F$.

As an application of our method, we shall present a corollary on surfaces of general type which somewhat simplifies Xiao's theorem for the bicanonical finiteness.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout our argument, the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem is always employed as a much more effective tool. We use it in the following form.

K-V Vanishing Theorem ([10] or [17]). Let $X$ be a nonsingular complete variety, $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Assume the following two conditions:
(1) $D$ is nef and big;
(2) the fractional part of $D$ has the support with only normal crossings.

Then $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\ulcorner D\urcorner+K_{X}\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0$, where $\ulcorner D\urcorner$ is the round-up of $D$, i.e. the minimum integral divisor with $\ulcorner D\urcorner-D \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1 (Corollary 2 of [16]). Let $S$ be a nonsingular algebraic surface, $L$ be a nef divisor on $S, L^{2} \geq 10$ and let $\phi$ be a map defined by $\left|L+K_{S}\right|$. If $\phi$ is not birational, then $S$ contains a base point free pencil $E^{\prime}$ with $L \cdot E^{\prime}=1$ or $L \cdot E^{\prime}=2$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $X$ be a nonsingular variety of dimension $n, D \in \operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$. Then we have the following:
(i) if $S$ is a smooth irreducible divisor on $X$, then $\left.\ulcorner D\urcorner\right|_{S} \geq\left\ulcorner\left. D\right|_{S}\right\urcorner$;,
(ii) if $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ is a birational morphism, then $\pi^{*}(\ulcorner D\urcorner) \geq\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}(D)\right\urcorner$.

Proof. We can write $D$ as $G+\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i} E_{i}$, where $G$ is a divisor, the $E_{i}$ are effective divisors for each $i$ and $0<a_{i}<1, \forall i$. So we only have to prove the lemma for effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors. That is easy to check.

Lemma 2.3 (Lemma 2.3 of [9]). Let $X$ be a minimal threefold of general type with canonical index $r$. Then we have the plurigenus formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X}^{[m r+s]}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{12}(m r+s)(m r+s-1)(2 m r+2 s-1)\left(K_{X}^{3}\right)+a m+c_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq s<r, m r+s \geq 2$, where $a$ is a constant and $c_{s}$ is a constant only relating to $s$.

Definition 2.4. Let $X$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $\geq 2$. Suppose $|M|$ is a base-point-free system on $X$, a general irreducible element $S$ of $|M|$ means the following:
(i) if $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|M|}(X) \geq 2$, then $S$ is just a general member of $|M|$;
(ii) if $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|M|}(X)=1$, taking the Stein factorization of $\Phi_{|M|}$, then we obtain a fibration $f: X \longrightarrow C$ onto a curve $C$. We mean $S$ a general fibre of $f$.

Proposition 2.5 (Lemma 3.2 of [9]). Let $X$ be a minimal threefold of general type with canonical index $r \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{m r+s}(X) \geq 2$ in one of the following cases:
(i) $r=2$ and $m \geq 3$;
(ii) $r=3$ and $m \geq 2$;
(iii) $r=4,5,0 \leq s \leq 2$ and $m \geq 2 ; r=4,5, s \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$;
(iv) $r \geq 6,0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $m \geq 2 ; r \geq 6, s \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.

Now we modify Proposition 2.5 by virtue of Hanamura's method in order to prove our Theorem 1. The proof is due to Hamamura ([9]).

Proposition 2.6. Let $X$ be a minimal threefold of general type with canonical index $r \geq 2$. Then $h^{0}\left(\omega_{X}^{[m r+s]}\right) \geq 3$ in one of the following cases:
(i) $r=2$ and $m \geq 2$;
(ii) $r \geq 3, s=0,1$ and $m \geq 2 ; r \geq 3, s \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.3, we can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(m r+s)=\frac{1}{12}(m r+s)(m r+s-1)(2 m r+2 s-1)\left(K_{X}^{3}\right)+a m+c_{s} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $c_{s}$ are constants for $0 \leq s<r$. We consider the right handside of (2.1) as a polynomial in $m$ and denote it by $P_{s}(m)$. Let $Q_{s}(m)$ be the first term of $P_{s}(m)$. We have

$$
P_{s}(m)=Q_{s}(m)+a m+c_{s}
$$

We see that, for $m \geq 1$ or $m=0$ and $s \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s}(m) \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Kollár's result ([11]) that the $\omega_{X}^{[m r+s]}$ are Cohen-Macaulay, using the Grothendieck duality, one can see that, for $m \leq-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s}(m) \leq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we want to estimate both $a$ and $c_{s}$. For any $r$ and $s$, by (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{s}(1)+a+c_{s} \geq 0  \tag{2.4}\\
& -Q_{s}(-1)+a-c_{s} \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Which induces

$$
\begin{align*}
a & \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{Q_{s}(-1)-Q_{s}(1)\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =-\frac{1}{12}\left\{2 r^{2}+\left(6 s^{2}-6 s+1\right)\right\}\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

When $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}(0)+c_{s} \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) and (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \geq-Q_{s}(0)+Q_{s}(-1) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{12}\left\{-2 r^{2}+(6 s-3) r-\left(6 s^{2}-6 s+1\right)\right\}\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)
$$

Explicitly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \geq \frac{1}{12}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right) \text { if } r \text { is odd }  \tag{2.9}\\
& a \geq \frac{1}{12}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}-1\right\}\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right) \text { if } r \text { is even. } \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can calculate the $P(m r+s)$ case by case.
CASE 1. $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2$.
When $r$ is odd, from (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(m r+s) \geq & Q_{s}(m)-\frac{1}{12} m\left(\frac{1}{2} r^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)-Q_{s}(0) \\
= & \frac{1}{12}\left\{(m r+s)(m r+s-1)(2 m r+2 s-1)+m\left(-\frac{1}{2} r^{3}+\frac{1}{2} r\right)\right. \\
& \quad-s(s-1)(2 s-1)\}\left(K_{X}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get $P(m r+s) \geq 7$ for $m \geq 1$.
When $r$ is even, from (2.7) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(m r+s) & \geq Q_{s}(m)-\frac{1}{12} m\left(\frac{1}{2} r^{2}+1\right)\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)-Q_{s}(0) \\
& =\frac{1}{12}\left\{2 r^{2} m^{3}+(6 s-3) r m^{2}+\left(6 s^{2}-6 s-\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right) m\right\}\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get $P(m r+s) \geq 5$ for $m \geq 1$.
CASE 2. $s=1$.
From (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
P(m r+1) \geq \frac{1}{12} r\left(m^{2}-1\right)(2 r m+3)\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right) .
$$

We get $P(m r+1) \geq 6$ for $m \geq 2$.
CASE 3. $s=0$.
By (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$
P(m r) \geq \frac{1}{12} r\left(m^{2}-1\right)(2 r m-3)\left(r K_{X}^{3}\right)
$$

We get $P(m r) \geq 3$ for $m \geq 2$. Thus we complete the proof.
In what follows we can get an improved version of Hanamura's theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $X$ be a projective threefold of general type with the canonical index $r \geq 2$. Then $\phi_{m}$ is birational onto its image for $m \geq 4 r+3$.

Proof. We can suppose that $X$ is a minimal 3-fold. For any $m_{1} \geq r+2$, take some blowing-ups $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ according to Hironaka such that $X^{\prime}$ is nonsingular and that the movable part of $\left|m_{1} K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ defines a morphism. Denote by $|M|$ the moving part of $\left|m_{1} K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ and by $S$ a general irreducible element of $|M|$. Then $S$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type by the Bertini theorem. On $X^{\prime}$, we consider the system $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(r K_{X}\right)+S\right|$. Because $K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(r K_{X}\right)$ is effective by Proposition 2.6, so the system can distinguish general irreducible elements of $|M|$. On the other hand, the vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(r K_{X}\right)+S\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+3 L\right|,
$$

where $L:=\left.\pi^{*}\left(r K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$ is a nef and big divisor on $S$ and $L^{2} \geq 2$. Reider's result tells that the right system gives a birational map, so does $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+3 \pi^{*}\left(r K_{X}\right)+S\right|$. Thus $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 4 r+3$.

Proof Theorem 1. We can suppose that $X$ is a minimal model. If $r=2$, then $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 11$ according to Theorem 2.7. From now on, we assume $r \geq 3$ and define

$$
m_{2}= \begin{cases}r+3, & \text { for } 3 \leq r \leq 5 \\ r+2, & \text { for } r \geq 6\end{cases}
$$

Take some blowing-ups $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that $X^{\prime}$ is nonsingular, $\left|m_{2} K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ defines a morphism and the fractional part of $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings. Denote by $\left|M_{2}\right|$ the moving part of $\left|m_{2} K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ and by $S_{2}$ a general irreducible element of $\left|M_{2}\right|$. For any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we consider the system

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\left(t+m_{2}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+S_{2}\right|,
$$

which is a sub-system of $\left|\left(t+2 m_{2}+1\right) K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. Because $K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\left(t+m_{2}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner$ is effective by Proposition 2.6, so the system can distinguish general irreducible elements of $\left|M_{2}\right|$. On the other hand, the K-V vanishing theorem tells that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\left(t+m_{2}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner+S_{2} \|_{S_{2}} \\
= & |G+L|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G:=\left.\left\{K_{X^{\prime}}+\left\ulcorner\left(t+m_{2}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right\}\right|_{S_{2}}$ is effective and $L:=\left.S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}}$. We can see that

$$
G+L \geq K_{S_{2}}+\left.\left\ulcorner t \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right\urcorner\right|_{S_{2}}+L
$$

From Proposition 2.5, we have $h^{0}\left(S_{2}, L\right) \geq 2$. Modulo blowing-ups, actually we can suppose that $|L|$ is free from base points. Let $C$ be a general irreducible element of $|L|$. It is obvious that $|G+L|$ can distinguish gereral irreducible elements of $|L|$. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{V}$ vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{S_{2}}+\left\ulcorner\left. t \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}\right\urcorner+C\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,
$$

where $D:=\left.\left\ulcorner\left. t \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}\right\urcorner\right|_{C}$ is a divisor of positive degree. Because $C$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$, so $h^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+D\right) \geq 2$ and $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a finite map. Thus we have $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|G+L|}(C)=1$. Therefore $\phi_{m}$ is generically finite for $m \geq 2 m_{2}+2$, which completes the proof.

## 3. On Gorenstein 3-folds of general type

For a minimal threefold $X$ of general type with canonical index 1, we can find certain birational modifications $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ according to [15] such that $c_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cdot \Delta=$ 0 , where $\Delta$ is the ramification divisor of $f$. Then we can get the same plurigenus formula as that for a nonsingular minimal threefold, i.e.

$$
p(n):=h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(n K_{X}\right)\right)=(2 n-1)\left[\frac{n(n-1)}{12} K_{X}^{3}-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right],
$$

for $n \geq 2$. On the other hand, the Miyaoka-Yau inequality ([14]) shows that $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)<$ 0 . From [4] or [12], we know that $\phi_{m}$ is birational for $m \geq 6$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Then
(1) $\phi_{5}$ is birational if either $K_{X}^{3}>2$ (Ein-Lazarsfeld-Lee) or $p_{g}(X)>2$.
(2) When $p_{g}(X)=2$, then $\phi_{5}$ is birational except for some 3-folds with $q(X)=$ $h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$, and $\left|K_{X}\right|$ composed with a rational pencil of surfaces of general type with $\left(K^{2}, p_{g}\right)=(1,2)$. In this situation, $\phi_{5}$ is generically finite of degree 2 .
(3) $\phi_{5}$ is birational if $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X)=1$.

Proof. This is the main theorem in [7]. Though the objects considered there are nonsingular minimal 3-folds, the method is also effective for all Gorenstein 3-folds of general type.

Definition 3.2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Suppose $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}(X) \geq 2$ and set $i K_{X} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{i}+Z_{i}$, where $M_{i}$ is the moving part and $Z_{i}$ the fixed one for any integer $i$. We define $\delta_{i}(X):=K_{X}^{2} \cdot M_{i}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Suppose $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ is not composed of a pencil and $K_{X}^{3}>2$. Then $\delta_{2}(X) \geq 3$.

Proof. We have $\delta_{2}(X) \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.2 of [4]. Take a birational modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that $\left|2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ defines a morphism. Set $2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M+Z$, where $M$ is the moving part and $Z$ the fixed one. A general member $S \in|M|$ is an irreducible nonsingular projective surface of general type. Denote $L:=\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$. If $L^{2}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot S=\delta_{2}(X)=2$, then we have

$$
4=2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot S=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z
$$

Noting that $S$ is nef and $S \not \approx 0$, we have $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2} \geq 1$. Therefore four cases occur as follows:
(i) $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=4, f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=0$;
(ii) $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=3, f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=1$;
(iii) $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=2, f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=2$;
(iv) $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=1, f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=3$.

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 K_{X}^{3} & =2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{3}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot S+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot Z  \tag{3.1}\\
& =2+\frac{1}{2} f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z(S+Z) \\
& =2+\frac{1}{2} f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z+\frac{1}{2} f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Case (i). Noting that $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is nef and big, we see that $m f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is linearly equivalent to a nonsingular projective surface of general type according to Kawamata for sufficiently large integer $m$. Then $\left.S\right|_{m f^{*}\left(K_{x}\right)}$ is nef and big and, by the Hodge Index Theorem, we have $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 0$. Thus (3.1) is false and this case does not occur.

CASE (ii). We have $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S(S-3 Z)=0$, then $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)(S-3 Z)^{2} \leq 0$, which derives $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 1 / 3$, i.e. $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 0$. (3.1) is also false.

CASE (iii). $\quad f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S(S-Z)=0$ induces $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 2$, then (3.1) becomes $K_{X}^{3} \leq 2$. Thus $K_{X}^{3}=2$. Actually, in this case, $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(S-Z) \sim_{\text {num }} 0$ (as 1-cycle).

CASE (iv). $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(3 S-Z)^{2} \leq 0$ induces $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 9$. And (3.1) becomes $K_{X}^{3} \leq 4$. If $K_{X}^{3}=4$, we see that $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(3 S-Z) \sim_{\text {num }} 0$ as 1 -cycle. Now we set $f^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)=S+E$. Then $Z=f^{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)+E$. Obviously, we have $f_{*}(S)=M_{2}$ and $f_{*}(Z)=Z_{2}$. From $f^{*}\left(M_{2}\right) \cdot f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(3 S-Z)=0$, we get $3 K_{X} \cdot M_{2}^{2}=K_{X} \cdot M_{2} \cdot Z_{2}$. Then $4=2 K_{X}^{2} \cdot M_{2}=K_{X} \cdot M_{2}^{2}+K_{X} \cdot M_{2} \cdot Z_{2}=4 K_{X} \cdot M_{2}^{2}$, i.e. $K_{X} \cdot M_{2}^{2}=1$. Which derives a contradiction, because $K_{X} \cdot M_{2}^{2}$ is even. Thus $K_{X}^{3}=2$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Suppose $K_{X}^{3}>2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X) \geq 2$. Then $\delta_{1}(X) \geq 3$.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous proposition, we first take a modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$. Set $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M+Z$, where $M$ is the moving part. A general member $S \in|M|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. Also denote $L:=$
$f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \mid s$. Then $L^{2}=\delta_{1}(X) \geq 2$ according to Proposition 2.1 of [7]. If $L^{2}=2$, then we have

$$
2=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot S=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z .
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{X}^{3} & =f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot S+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot Z  \tag{3.2}\\
& =2+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2} \geq 1$. If $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=2$ and $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=0$, then, by the Hodge Index Theorem, $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 0$. Then (3.2) becomes $K_{X}^{3} \leq 2$, which says $K_{X}^{3}=2$. If $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S^{2}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot Z=1, f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot S \cdot(S-Z)=0$ induces $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot Z^{2} \leq 1$. By (3.2), we get $K_{X}^{3} \leq 4$. If $K_{X}^{3}=4$, then we can see $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(S-Z) \sim_{\text {num }} 0$. By the same argument as in the case (iv) of the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have $f^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \cdot f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \cdot(S-Z)=0$, i.e. $K_{X} \cdot M_{1}^{2}=K_{X} \cdot M_{1} \cdot Z_{1}$. We have $2=K_{X}^{2} \cdot M_{1}=$ $K_{X} \cdot M_{1}^{2}+K_{X} \cdot M_{1} \cdot Z_{1}=2 K_{X} \cdot M_{1}^{2}$. Therefore $K_{X} \cdot M_{1}^{2}=1$, which is impossible. Thus $K_{X}^{3}=2$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Then $\phi_{5}$ is generically finite of degree $\leq 8$. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{5}\right)>2$, then $K_{X}^{3}=2, \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=-1$ and $p_{g}(X)=0,1$.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.1, we only have to study the case when $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ is not composed of a pencil. Take a modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ according to Hironaka such that $\left|2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ defines a morphism. Set $2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M+Z$, where $M$ is the moving part and $Z$ the fixed one. A general member $S \in|M|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type by the Bertini Theorem. We have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right| \subset\left|5 K_{X^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Because $K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is effective, the left system can distinguish general members of $|M|$. Denote $L:=\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$, using the long exact sequence and the vanishing theorem, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+2 L\right| .
$$

Obviously, $K_{S}+2 L=G+H$, where $G:=\left.\left(K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)\right|_{S}$ is effective and $H:=\left.S\right|_{S}$. Note that $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(2 L)\right) \geq h^{0}(S, H) \geq P(2)-1 \geq 3$. We have two cases.

CASE 1. $|H|$ is composed of a pencil. Taking a birational modification to $S$ if necessary, we can suppose $|H|$ is free from base points. Denote $H \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{i}+E$, where $E$ is the fixed part. In general position, $\sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{i}$ can be a disjoint union of nonsingular curves in a family. We have $a \geq 2$. Thus $L \sim_{\text {num }}(a / 2) C+E_{0}$, where
$E_{0} \geq(1 / 2) E$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. If $p_{g}(S)=0$, then $q(S)=0$ and then we can see by the long exact sequence that $\left|K_{S}+H\right|$ can distinguish $C_{i}$ 's and that $\left.\left|K_{S}+\sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{i}\right|\right|_{C_{i}}=\left|K_{C_{i}}\right|$, which means $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ gives at worst a generically finite map of degree 2 and so does $\phi_{5}$. If $p_{g}(S)>0$, it is obvious that $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ can distinguish $C_{i}$ 's. For a general curve $C$ which is algebraically equivalent to $C_{i}$, we consider the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $G:=K_{S}+2 L-(1 / 2) \sum_{i=3}^{a} C_{i}-E_{0}$. We have $\ulcorner G\urcorner \leq K_{S}+2 L$. On the other hand, $G-C-K_{S}$ is nef and big, thus by the K-V vanishing we have $\left|\ulcorner G\urcorner \|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+\left\ulcorner E_{0}\right\urcorner\right|_{C}\right|$. Because $\left.\left\ulcorner E_{0}\right\urcorner\right|_{C}$ is effective, $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is at worst a generically finite map of degree 2 and so is $\phi_{5}$ of $X$.

CASE 2. $|H|$ is not composed of a pencil, so neither is $|2 L|$. Similarly, we can suppose $|2 L|$ is base point free. If $p_{g}(S)=0$, we can use a parallel discussion to that of Case 1 to see that $\phi_{5}$ is at worst a generically finite map of degree 2. If $p_{g}(S)>0$, then $\Phi_{|K s+2 L|}$ is obviously generically finite. We know that $L^{2} \geq 2$ from Proposition 2.2 of [4]. If $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is not birational and $L^{2} \geq 3$, then according to Lemma 2.1, there is a free pencil on $S$ with a general member $C$ such that $C^{2}=0$ and $L \cdot C=1$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|2 L|}(C)=1$, then $h^{0}\left(\left.2 L\right|_{C}\right) \geq 2$ and then, by the Clifford theorem, we see that $C$ is a curve of genus 2 and $\left.2 L\right|_{C} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} K_{C}$. Finally we can see that $|2 L|_{C}=\left|K_{C}\right|$. Therefore $\Phi_{\left|K_{s}+2 L\right|}$ is a generically finite map of degree 2 . Therefore $\phi_{5}$ is generically finite with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{5}\right) \leq 2$. If $L^{2}=2$, then $K_{X}^{3}=2$ by the proof of Proposition 3.3. On the surface $S$, set $2 L \sim \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} C_{1}+E_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is the moving part. We easily get

$$
8=(2 L)^{2} \geq C_{1}^{2} \geq d\left(h^{0}(2 L)-2\right) \geq d(P(2)-3)
$$

Therefore we have

$$
d \leq \frac{8}{P(2)-3}=\frac{8}{-3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-2}
$$

If $d>2$, then $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=-1$.
For the 4-canonical map of $X$, it is obvious that $\phi_{4}$ is not birational if $X$ admits a pencil of surfaces of general type with $\left(K^{2}, p_{g}\right)=(1,2)$. Therefore it is pessimistic for us to obtain an effective sufficient condition for the birationality of $\phi_{4}$. We have a partial result as follows.

Theorem 3.6. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Suppose $K_{X}^{3}>2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=3$. Then $\phi_{4}$ is a birational map onto its image.

Proof. Take a birational modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that the movable part of $\left|f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is base point free. Set $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\text {lin }} S+Z$, where $S$ is the moving part and $Z$ the fixed one. A general member $S$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. We have $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right| \subset\left|4 K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. Using the vanishing theorem, we have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S \|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|\right.
$$

where $L:=\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$ is a nef and big divisor on $S$. By Proposition 3.4, we see that $L^{2} \geq 3$ under the condition $K_{X}^{3}>2$. If $\Phi_{\left|K_{s}+2 L\right|}$ is not birational, then, by Lemma 2.1, there is a free pencil with a general member $C$ such that $C^{2}=0$ and $L \cdot C=1$. Because $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(S)=2, h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\left.L\right|_{C}\right)\right) \geq 2$. Therefore, by the Clifford theorem, we see that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.L\right|_{C}\right) \geq 2 h^{0}\left(\left.L\right|_{C}\right)-2 \geq 2$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is birational and so is $\phi_{4}$.

Example 3.7. We give an example which shows that $\phi_{4}$ is not birational when $K_{X}^{3}=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=3$. On $\mathbb{P}^{3}(\mathbb{C})$, take a smooth hypersurface $S$ of degree 10 , $S \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} 10 H$. Let $X$ be a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with branch locus along $S$. Then $X$ is a nonsingular canonical model, $K_{X}^{3}=2$ and $p_{g}(X)=4$ and $\phi_{1}$ is a finite morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 2 . One can easily check that $\phi_{4}$ is also a finite morphism of degree 2 .

Theorem 3.8. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Then $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite when $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$ or when $K_{X}^{3}>2$ or when $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \neq-1$.

Proof. Part I: $\quad p_{g}(X) \geq 2$.
First we make a modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that the movable part of $\left|f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is free from base points and that $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has support with only normal crossings. Set $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M+Z$, where $M$ is the moving part and $Z$ the fixed one.

If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=2$, then a general member $S \in|M|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. We have

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right| \subset\left|4 K_{X^{\prime}}\right| .
$$

Using the vanishing theorem, we have $\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$, where $L:=$ $\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$ is nef and big effective divisor on $S$. We have $h^{0}(S, L) \geq 2$. Noting that $p_{g}(S)>0$ in this case. And if $|L|$ is not composed of a pencil, then neither is $\mid K_{S}+$ $2 L \mid$. If $|L|$ is composed of a pencil, taking a modification if possible, we can suppose that the movable part of $|L|$ is free from base points. Set $L \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum C_{i}+Z_{0}$, we can see $\left.\left|K_{S}+L+\sum C_{i}\right|\right|_{C_{i}}=\left|K_{C_{i}}+D\right|$, where $D:=\left.L\right|_{C_{i}}$ is effective. We easily see that $\Phi_{\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|}$ is at worst generically finite of degree $\leq 2$ and so is $\phi_{4}$.

If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=1$, then $M \sim_{\text {num }} a F$, where $F$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. $M_{1} \sim_{\text {num }} a F_{0}$, where $F_{0}=f_{*}(F)$ is irreducible on $X$. If $K_{X} \cdot F_{0}^{2}=$ 0 , then, by Lemma 2.3 of [7], we have $\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right.$ ), where $\pi$ is the contraction map onto the minimal model and $K_{0}$ is the canonical divisor of the minimal model of $F$. Obviously, $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+M\right|$ can distinguish general members of $|M|$. Moreover $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+M\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right|$, the right system gives a generically finite map and so does $\phi_{4}$. If $K_{X} \cdot F_{0}^{2}>0$, then

$$
L^{2}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot F=K_{X}^{2} \cdot F_{0} \geq K_{X} \cdot F_{0}^{2} \geq 2
$$

It is sufficient to show that $\left|K_{F}+2 L\right|$ gives a generically finite map. We have $K_{F}+$ $2 L \geq 3 L$. If $|3 L|$ is not composed of a pencil, then neither is $\left|K_{F}+2 L\right|$. If $|3 L|$ is composed of a pencil, we claim that $h^{0}(F, 3 L) \geq 3$. In fact, we have $\mid K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+$ $\left.F\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+L\right|$ and $h^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+L\right) \geq 3$. Considering the natural map $H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, 3 K_{X^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha}$ $H^{0}\left(F, 3 K_{F}\right)$, because $K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+F \leq 3 K_{X^{\prime}}$, we see that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)) \geq h^{0}\left(K_{F}+\right.$ $L) \geq 3$. Similarly, considering another natural map $H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, 3 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^{0}(F, 3 L)$, we have

$$
h^{0}(3 L) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{Im}(\beta))=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)) \geq 3
$$

Now we can write $3 L \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \overline{C_{i}}+E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is the fixed part, $t \geq 2$ and the $\overline{C_{i}}$ are irreducible curves. Denote by $C$ a generic $\overline{C_{i}}$. Then $2 L \sim_{\text {num }}(2 / 3) t C+(2 / 3) E_{0}$ and thus $2 L-C-(1 / t) E_{0}$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Setting $G:=2 L-(1 / t) E_{0}$, then we have $K_{S}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \leq K_{S}+2 L$. On the other hand, the K-V vanishing gives $\mid K_{S}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \|_{C}=$ $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$, where $D$ is a divisor of positive degree. Noting that $C$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$, so we see that $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a generically finite map. This means $\left|K_{S}+2 L\right|$ gives a generically finite map.

Part II: $\quad K_{X}^{3}>2$ or $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \neq-1$.
We study $\phi_{4}$ according to the behavior of $\phi_{2}$. Of course, first we make a modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that the movable part of $\left|2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is free from base points and that $2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings. Set $2 f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \overline{M_{2}}+\overline{Z_{2}}$, where $\overline{M_{2}}$ is the moving part and $\overline{Z_{2}}$ the fixed one.

If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X)=1$, then $\overline{M_{2}} \sim_{\text {num }} a_{2} F$, where $F$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. We have $\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right)$ by Lemma 4.2 below in this paper. Because $K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is effective, $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\overline{M_{2}}\right|$ can distinguish general $F$. On the other hand, we have $\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\overline{M_{2}}\right|\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right|$. From Theorem 3.1 of [7], we know that $F$ is not a surface with $p_{g}=q=0$. Thus $\left|K_{F}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right|$ defines a generically finite map according to [19] and so does $\phi_{4}$.

If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X) \geq 2$, then a general member $S \in\left|\overline{M_{2}}\right|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. We have $\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S\right|\right|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+L\right|$, where $L:=\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$. Noting that $K_{S} \geq L$, then we have $K_{S}+L \geq 2 L$. Under our assumption, we have $P(2) \geq 5$. Thus $h^{0}(2 L) \geq 4$. We may suppose that the movable part of $|2 L|$ is free from base points. If $|2 L|$ is not composed of a pencil, then neither is $\left|K_{S}+L\right|$. Otherwise we can set $2 L \sim \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{b} C_{i}+E_{1}$, where $b \geq 3$ and $E_{1}$ is the fixed part. We denote by $C$ the general $C_{i}$. Because $L-C-(1 / b) E_{1}$ is nef and big, therefore

$$
\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{b} E_{1}\right\urcorner\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,
$$

where $D$ is a divisor of positive degree. The right system obviously defines a generically finite map. Thus $\left|K_{S}+L\right|$ gives a generically finite map and so does $\phi_{4}$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Then $\phi_{3}$ is generically finite when $p_{g}(X) \geq 39$.

Proof. First we make a modification $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ such that the movable part of $\left|f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is free from base points and that $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has support with only normal crossings. Set $f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M+Z$, where $M$ is the moving part and $Z$ the fixed one.

If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X) \geq 2$, then a general member $S \in|M|$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. We have $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S \|_{S}=\left|K_{S}+L\right| \text {, where } L:=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S}$. When $p_{g}(X) \geq 4, h^{0}(S, L) \geq 3$. Noting that $p_{g}(S)>0$, if $|L|$ is not composed of a pencil, then nor is $\left|K_{S}+L\right|$. So we may suppose that $|L|$ is composed of a pencil and the movable part of this system is free from base points. Set $L \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{i}+E_{0}$, where we have $a \geq 2 .\left|K_{S}+L\right|$ can distinguish the $C_{i}$ generically. On the other hand, $L-C-(1 / a) E_{0}$ is nef and big, we obtain by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing that

$$
\left.\left|K_{S}+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a} E_{0}\right\urcorner\right|_{C}=\left\lvert\, K_{C}+\frac{\ulcorner a-1}{a} L\right.\right\urcorner\left.\right|_{C} \mid .
$$

The right system defines a generically finite map and so does $\phi_{3}$.
If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=1$, then $M \sim_{\text {num }} a F$, where $F$ is a nonsingular projective surface of general type. Set $F_{0}=f_{*}(F)$. If $K_{X} \cdot F_{0}^{2}=0$, then, by Lemma 2.3 of [7], we have $\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right)$, where $\pi$ is the contraction onto the minimal model and $K_{0}$ is the canonical divisor of the minimal model of $F$. We see that $\mid K_{X^{\prime}}+f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+$ $M \|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{0}\right)\right|$. Because $p_{g}(F)>0$, the right system defines a generically finite map and so does $\phi_{3}$. If $K_{X} \cdot F_{0}^{2}>0$, in order to prove the theorem, we have to show the generic finiteness of $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+L\right|}$, where $L:=\left.f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}$ is effective. By Theorem 2 of [6], we see that $q(F) \geq 3$ when $p_{g}(X) \geq 39$. Then $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}\right|}$ is generically finite according to [18]. Therefore under the assumption of the theorem, we can obtain the generic finiteness of $\phi_{3}$.

## 4. On bicanonical systems

We suppose that $X$ is a locally factorial Gorenstein minimal 3-fold of general type and that $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ be composed of a pencil. Keep the same notations as in section 1 and let $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ be the birational modification and $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow C$ be the derived fibration.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type and suppose that $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ is composed of a pencil. Then $q(X) \leq 2$ and $p_{g}(X) \geq 1$.

Proof. This is just a generalized version of Corollary 3.1 of [7]. Though the objects considered there are nonsingular minimal 3 -folds, the method is also effective for minimal Gorenstein 3 -folds.

Lemma 4.2. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type, $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ be composed of a pencil, $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow C$ be the derived fibration of $\phi_{2}$ and $F$ be a general fibre of $f$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\pi_{0}^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right),
$$

where $\pi_{0}: F \longrightarrow F_{0}$ is the birational contraction onto the minimal model.
Proof. This is just a generalized version of Corollary 9.1 of [13]. Though the objects considered there are nonsingular minimal 3 -folds, the method is also effective for minimal Gorenstein 3 -folds.

Lemma 4.3. Under the same assumption as in Lemma 4.2, we have $K_{F_{0}}^{2} \leq 3$ and $1 \leq p_{g}(F) \leq 3$.

Proof. Let $\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} g^{*}\left(H_{2}\right)+Z_{2}^{\prime}$, where $g:=\phi_{2} \circ \pi, Z_{2}^{\prime}$ is the fixed part and $H_{2}$ is a general hyperplane section of the closure $W$ of the image of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{p(2)-1}$. Obviously we have $g^{*}\left(H_{2}\right) \sim_{\text {num }} a_{2} F$, where $a_{2} \geq p(2)-1$. From Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
K_{F_{0}}^{2}=\left(\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right)^{2}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot F .
$$

Let $2 K_{X} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{2}+Z_{2}$, where $M_{2}$ is the moving part and $Z_{2}$ is the fixed part. We also have $M_{2}=\pi_{*}\left(g^{*}\left(H_{2}\right)\right)$. Denote $\bar{F}:=\pi_{*}(F)$, then $M_{2} \sim_{\text {num }} a_{2} \bar{F}$. By the projection formula, we get

$$
K_{X}^{2} \cdot \bar{F}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)^{2} \cdot F=K_{F_{0}}^{2} .
$$

Because $K_{X}$ is nef and big, we have $2 K_{X}^{3} \geq a_{2} K_{X}^{2} \cdot \bar{F}$. Thus

$$
K_{X}^{2} \cdot \bar{F} \leq \frac{2}{a_{2}} K_{X}^{3} \leq \frac{4 K_{X}^{3}}{K_{X}^{3}-6 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-2} \leq \frac{4 K_{X}^{3}}{K_{X}^{3}+4}<4
$$

which means $K_{F_{0}}^{2} \leq 3$. By Lemma 4.1, the fact that $p_{g}(X) \geq 1$ induces $p_{g}(F)>0$. By the Noether inequality $2 p_{g}\left(F_{0}\right)-4 \leq K_{F_{0}}^{2}$, we see that $p_{g}(F) \leq 3$.

Proof Theorem 3. In order to prove Theorem 3, we shall derive a contradiction under the assumption that $p_{g}(F) \geq 2$. Obviously, $\left|2 K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$ can distinguish general fibres of the morphism $\phi_{2} \circ \pi$. We consider the system $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$. Write $2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{2}^{\prime}+Z_{2}^{\prime}$, where $M_{2}^{\prime}$ is the moving part and $Z_{2}^{\prime}$ is the fixed one. Set $Z_{2}^{\prime}=Z_{v}+Z_{h}$, where $Z_{v}$ is the vertical part and $Z_{h}$ is the horizontal part with respect to the fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow C$. Noting that $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ is effective by Lemma 4.1, $Z_{h}$ should be 2-divisible, i.e. $Z_{h}=2 Z_{0}$, where $Z_{0}$ is an effective divisor. Thus we see
that $Z_{0}$ is just the horizontal part of $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$. We know that $a_{2} \geq p(2)-1 \geq 3$ and

$$
\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\text {num }} \frac{a_{2}}{2} F+\frac{1}{2} Z_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Therefore $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-F-\left(1 / a_{2}\right) Z_{2}^{\prime}$ is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Setting $G:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-$ $\left(1 / a_{2}\right) Z_{2}^{\prime}$, then we have $K_{X^{\prime}}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \leq K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$. By the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem, we see that, for a general fibre $F$,

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+\ulcorner G\urcorner\right|_{F}\right| \supset\left|K_{F}+\left\ulcorner\left. G\right|_{F}\right\urcorner\right|=\left\lvert\, K_{F}+\left\ulcorner\left.\left.\frac{a_{2}-2}{a_{2}} Z_{0}\right|_{F} \right\rvert\,,\right.\right.
$$

where $\left\ulcorner\left.\left(\left(a_{2}-2\right) / a_{2}\right) Z_{0}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner$ is effective on the surface $F$. This means that $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(F) \geq$ 1 under the assumption $p_{g}(F) \geq 2$ and then $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X) \geq 2$, a contradiction.

The rest of this section is devoted to present an application of our method to bicanonical maps of surfaces of general type.

Theorem 4.4. Let $S$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $p(2) \geq$ 4. Then the bicanonical map of $S$ is generically finite.

Proof. Suppose that $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ is composed of a pencil, we want to derive a contradiction. Taking a birational modification $\pi: S^{\prime} \longrightarrow S$ such that $\left|2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)\right|$ defines a morphism and denoting $W:=\overline{\phi_{2}(S)}$, we obtain the following through the Stein factorization:

$$
\phi_{2} \circ \pi: S^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow W,
$$

where $B$ is a nonsingular curve. Denote by $C$ a general fibre of the derived fibration $f$. We can write

$$
\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{S}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} C_{i}+Z
$$

where $a \geq p(2)-1 \geq 3$ and $Z$ is the fixed part. Considering the system $\left|K_{S^{\prime}}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)\right|$, we can see that the system can distinguish general fibres of $\phi_{2}$. Setting $G:=\pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)-$ $(1 / a) Z$, we have $K_{S}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \leq K_{S}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)$ and $G-C \sim_{\text {num }}(a-2 / a) \pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)$ is nef and big. Thus, by the K-V vanishing theorem, we have

$$
\left|K_{S}+\ulcorner G\urcorner \|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,\right.
$$

where $D:=\left.\ulcorner G\urcorner\right|_{C}$ is a divisor of positive degree on the curve $C$. Because $g(C) \geq 2$, then $h^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+D\right) \geq 2$. This means that $\left|K_{S}+\pi^{*}\left(K_{S}\right)\right|$ gives a generically finite map, a contradiction.

Corollary 4.5. Let $S$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $p_{g} \geq$ 2. Then the bicanonical map of $S$ is generically finite.

Proof. If $q=0$, then $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \geq 3$ and $p(2) \geq 4$. If $q>0$, then $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g} \geq 4$ by [8] and then $p(2) \geq 5$. The proof is completed by Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. Let $S$ be a minimal algebraic surface of general type with $p(2)=$ 3. Then $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ is not composed of an irrational pencil.

Proof. This is obvious from the proof of Theorem 4.4. The critical point is that we also have $a \geq 3$ in this case.

The remain cases are like the following:
(I) $K^{2}=1, p_{g}=1$ and $q=0$;
(II) $K^{2}=2$ and $p_{g}=q=0$;
(III) $K^{2}=2$ and $p_{g}=q=1$.

Proposition 4.7. Let $S$ be a minimal algebraic surface of type (I). Then the bicanonical map is generically finite.

Proof. Suppose that $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ is composed of a rational pencil. We write

$$
2 K_{S} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} C_{1}+C_{2}+Z
$$

where $Z$ is the fixed part. Denote by $C$ a general member which is algebrally equivalent to $C_{i}$. We have $1=K_{S}^{2} \geq K_{S} \cdot C$. On the other hand, $K_{S} \cdot C+C^{2} \geq 2$, which gives $C^{2} \geq 1$. Thus $K_{S} \cdot C=C^{2}=1$, i.e. $C$ is a nonsingular curve of genus two. By the index theorem, we see that $K_{S} \sim_{\text {num }} C$. But from [3], $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ is torsion free, then $K_{S} \sim_{\text {lin }} C$. This is impossible because $h^{0}(S, C)=2$.

Lemma 4.8 (Lemma 8 of [19]). Let $S$ be a surface with finite $\pi_{1}$. Then

$$
H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(\mathcal{E})\right)=0
$$

for any invertible torsion sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $S$.

Lemma 4.9. Let $S$ be a minimal surface of type (II) or (III). Suppose that $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ is composed of a rational pencil. Then the moving part of $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ is a free pencil of genus two.

Proof. We can write $2 K_{S} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} C_{1}+C_{2}+Z$, where $Z$ is the fixed part. Denote by $C$ the general member which is algebraically equivalent to $C_{i}$. If $C^{2}>0$, then
$K_{S}^{2} \geq K_{S} \cdot C \geq C^{2}$. On the other hand, the index theorem gives $K_{S}^{2} \times C^{2} \leq\left(K_{S} \cdot C\right)^{2}$. Thus $K_{S}^{2}=K_{S} \cdot C=C^{2}=2$ and then $K_{S} \sim_{\text {num }} C$.

If $p_{g}=1$, then $Z=0$. Let $D \in\left|K_{S}\right|$ be the unique effective divisor, then $2 D=$ $F_{1}+F_{2}$, where the $F_{i}$ are two fibres of $\phi_{2}$. If $F_{1} \neq F_{2}$, then the $F_{i}$ are multiple fibres and then $D \sim_{\text {num }} 2 F_{0}$, where $F_{0}$ is a divisor. Which implies $D^{2} \geq 4$, a contradiction. If $F_{1}=F_{2}$, then $D=F_{1}$ and thus $h^{0}(S, D)=2$, also a contradiction.

If $p_{g}=0$, because the $\pi_{1}$ of $S$ is a finite group (Corary 5.8 of [1]), then $h^{1}\left(S, K_{S}-C\right)=0$ by Lemma 4.8. Whereas we have $h^{1}\left(S, K_{S}-C\right)=h^{1}(S, C)=1$ by R-R, a contradiction. Therefore we have $C^{2}=0$ and then $g(C)=2$.

Proposition 4.10. Let $S$ be a minimal surface of type (II) or (III). Then $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ can not be composed of a rational pencil of genus two.

Proof. We refer to the proof of Proposition 3 and Theorem 3 of [19].
Thus we finally arrive at the following theorem of Xiao (Theorem 1 of [19]).

Theorem 4.11. Let $S$ be a projective surface of general type. Then $\phi_{2}$ is generically finite if and only if $h^{0}\left(S, 2 K_{S}\right)>2$.
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