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## 1. Introduction

In 1996, L.H. Kauffman introduced the notion of a virtual knot, which was motivated by the study of knots in a thickened surface and abstract Gauss codes, cf. [8, 9]. M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, and O. Viro [1] proved that the natural map from the category of classical knots to the category of virtual knots is injective; namely, if two classical knot diagrams are equivalent as virtual knots, then they are equivalent as classical knots. Thus, virtual knot theory is a generalization of knot theory. In [1], virtual knots are used to study of finite type invariants.

Kauffman defined the Jones polynomial of a virtual knot, which is also called the normalized bracket polynomial or the $f$-polynomial (cf. [9]). In this paper, according to [9], we call it the $f$-polynomial instead of the Jones polynomial, since the definition is different from Jones' in [2, 3]. Finite type invariants derived from the $f$-polynomials are studied in [9]. For example, the follwing results appear in [9]: (1) If $f_{K}(A)$ denotes the $f$-polynomial of a virtual link $K$, the coefficient $v_{n}(K)$ of $x^{n}$ in the power series expansion of $f_{K}\left(e^{x}\right)$ is a Vassiliev invariant of order $n$. (2) When the notion $v_{n}$ for a "singular" virtual link $G$ is generalized in the obvious way, the Vassiliev invariant $v_{n}(G)$ depends only on the chord diagram associated with $G$ (cf. Corollary 14 of [9]).

The $f$-polynomial of a virtual link is quite different from the $f$-polynomial of a classical link. For a Laurent polynomial $f$ in the variable $A$, we denote by $\operatorname{EXP}(f)$ the set of integers appearing as exponents of $f$. For example, if $f=3 A^{-2}+6 A-$ $7 A^{5}$, then $\operatorname{EXP}(f)=\{-2,1,5\}$. For the $f$-polynomial $f$ of a classical link with $n$ components, it is well known that $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is odd and $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+2$ if $n$ is even ([2], [7]). However, this is not true for a virtual knot/link in general. In this paper we introduce the notion of checkerboard coloring of a virtual link diagram as a generalization of checkerboard coloring of a classical link diagram.

Theorem 1. Let $f$ be the $f$-polynomial of a virtual link $L$ with $n$ components. Suppose that L has a virtual link diagram which admits a checkerboard coloring. Then $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is odd, and $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+2$ if $n$ is even.
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Fig. 1.
For example the virtual knot diagram illustrated in Fig. 1 (a) admits a checkerboard coloring, and the $f$-polynomial is $A^{4}+A^{12}-A^{16}$. So $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}$. On the other hand, the virtual knot diagram illustrated in Fig. 1 (b) does not admit a checkerboard coloring, and the $f$-polynomial is $-A^{10}+A^{6}+A^{4}$. Theorem 1 implies that this diagram is not equivalent to any diagram that admits a checkerboard coloring.

If a virtual link diagram is alternating (the definition is given later), then the diagram admits a checkerboard coloring. Thus we have the following.

Corollary 2. Let $f$ be the $f$-polynomial of a virtual link $L$ with $n$ components. Suppose that $L$ has an alternating virtual link diagram. Then $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is odd, and $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+2$ if $n$ is even.

By this corollary, we see that the virtual knot represented by Fig. 1 (b) is not equivalent to any alternating diagram.

## 2. Virtual link diagram and abstract link diagram

A virtual link diagram is a closed oriented 1-manifold generically immersed in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ such that each double point is labeled to be (1) a real crossing which is indicated as usual in classical knot theory or (2) a virtual crossing which is indicated by a small circle around the double point. The moves of virtual link diagrams illustrated in Fig. 2 are called generalized Reidemeister moves. Two virtual link diagrams are said to be equivalent if they are related by a finite sequence of generalized Reidemeister moves. We call the equivalence class of a virtual link diagram a virtual link.

A pair $P=(\Sigma, D)$ of a compact oriented surface $\Sigma$ and a link diagram $D$ on $\Sigma$ is called an abstract link diagram (ALD) if $|D|$ is a deformation retract of $\Sigma$, where $|D|$ is a graph obtained from $D$ by replacing each crossing point with a vertex. If $D$ is oriented, $P$ is said to be oriented. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that an ALD is oriented. For an ALD, $P=(\Sigma, D)$, if there is an orientation preserving embedding $f: \Sigma \rightarrow F$ into a closed oriented surface $F, f(D)$ is a link diagram on $F$. We call it a link diagram realization of $P$ on $F$. In Fig. 3, we show two abstract link diagrams


Fig. 2.


Fig. 3.
and their link diagram realizations. Two ALDs, $P=(\Sigma, D)$ and $P^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$, are related by an abstract Reidemeister move (of type I, II or III) if there exist link diagram ralizations $f: \Sigma \rightarrow F$ and $f^{\prime}: \Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow F$ into the same closed oriented surface $F$ such that the link diagrams $f(D)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ on $F$ are related by a Reidemeister move (of type I, II or III) on $F$. Two ALDs are said to be equivalent if they are related by a finite sequence of abstract Reidemeister moves. We call the equivalence class of an ALD an abstract link.

In [6] a map

$$
\varphi:\{\text { virtual link diagrams }\} \longrightarrow\{\text { ALDs }\}
$$
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was defined. The idea of this map is illustrated in Fig. 4. Refer to [6] for the definition. We call $\varphi(D)$ an $A L D$ associated with a virtual link diagram $D$. The ALDs in Fig. 3 (a) and (b) are ALDs associated with the virtual link diagrams in Fig. 1 (a) and (b) respectively.

Theorem 3 ([6]). The map $\varphi$ induces a bijection

$$
\Phi:\{\text { virtual links }\} \longrightarrow\{\text { abstract links }\} .
$$

Let $P=(\Sigma, D)$ be an ALD. A checkerboard coloring of $P$ is a coloring of all the components of $\Sigma-|D|$ by two colors, say black and white, such that any two components of $\Sigma-|D|$ that share an edge have different colors.

We say that a virtual link diagram admits a checkerboard coloring or is checkerboard colorable if the associated ALD admits a checkerboard coloring.

## 3. The $f$-polynomials of abstract link diagrams

There is a unique map

$$
\left\rangle:\{\text { unoriented ALDs }\} \longrightarrow \Lambda=\mathbf{Z}\left[A, A^{-1}\right]\right.
$$

satisfying the following rules.
(i) $\langle T\rangle=1$ where $T$ is a one-component trivial ALD,
(ii) $\langle T \amalg P\rangle=\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)\langle P\rangle$ if $P$ is not empty, where $T \amalg P$ is the disjoint union of $T$ and $P$, and
(iii)


The map $\rangle$ is invariant under abstract Reidemeister moves II and III. We call it the Kauffiman bracket polynomial of ALD, cf. [4].

Let $P=(\Sigma, D)$ be an unoriented ALD. Replacing the neighborhood of a crossing point as in Fig. 5, we have another unoriented ALD. We call it an unoriented ALD obtained from $D$ by doing an $A$-splice or a $B$-splice at the crossing point. An unoriented trivial ALD obtained from $P$ by doing an A-splice or a B-splice at each crossing point is called a state of $P$. From the definition of $\rangle$, we see

$$
\langle P\rangle=\sum_{S} A^{\natural(S)}\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)^{\sharp(S)-1},
$$
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where $S$ runs over all of states of $P, \sharp(S)$ is the number of A-splices minus that of B-splices used for obtaining $S$ and $\sharp(S)$ is the number of components of $S$.

For an ALD, $P=(\Sigma, D)$, the writhe $\omega(P)$ is defined by the number of positive crossings minus the number of negative crossings of $D$. Then we define the normalized bracket polynomial or the $f$-polynomial of $P$ by

$$
f_{P}(A)=\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-\omega(P)}\langle P\rangle .
$$

This value is preserved under abstract Reidemeister moves of type I. Thus this is an invariant of an abstract link. This invariant was defined in [4], where it was called the Jones polynomial of $P$. It should be noted that the bijection $\Phi$ preserves the $f$-polynomial.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $p$ be a crossing point of an ALD, $P=(\Sigma, D)$. Let $P_{0}=\left(\Sigma_{0}, D_{0}\right)$ and $P_{\infty}=\left(\Sigma_{\infty}, D_{\infty}\right)$ be ALDs obtained from $P$ by splicing at $p$ orientation coherently and orientation incoherently, respectively. Note that $D_{\infty}$ does not inherit an orientation from $D$. The crossing point $p$ is either (i) a self-intersection of an immersed loop of $D$ or (ii) an intersection of two immersed loops. Let $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ be the immersed open arcs obtained from the loop (in case (i)) or from the two loops (in case (ii)) by removing (the small neighborhood of) $p$. Choose one of them, say $\alpha$, and we give an orientation to $D_{\infty}$ which is induced from that of $D$ except $\alpha$ (and hence the orientation is reversed on $\alpha$ ). Let $C$ be the set of crossing points of $D$, except $p$, such that the sign of the crossing point is preserved when we consider the new diagram $D_{\infty}$; in other words, at each crossing point belonging to $C$, both of the two intersecting arcs are contained in $D-\alpha$ or both of them are in $\alpha$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the set of crossing points of $D$, except $p$, such that the sign of the crossing point changes in $D$ and $D_{\infty}$; in other words, at each crossing point belonging to $C^{\prime}$, one of the two intersecting arcs is contained in $D-\alpha$ and the other is in $\alpha$. Let $k$ (or $l$, resp.) be the number of positive crossings of $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ) minus the number of negative crossings of $C$ (resp. $C^{\prime}$ ).

Lemma 4. In the above situation, let $f, f_{0}$ and $f_{\infty}$ be the $f$-polynomials of $P$, $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$, respectively. Then we have

$$
f= \begin{cases}-A^{-2} f_{0}-\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-2 l} A^{-4} f_{\infty}, & \text { if } p \text { is a positive crossing, } \\ -A^{+2} f_{0}-\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-2 l} A^{+4} f_{\infty}, & \text { if } p \text { is a negative crossing. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $p$ is a positive crossing, then $\omega(D)=k+l+1, \omega\left(D_{0}\right)=k+l$ and $\omega\left(D_{\infty}\right)=k-l$. Since $\langle P\rangle=A\left\langle P_{0}\right\rangle+A^{-1}\left\langle P_{\infty}\right\rangle$, we have the result. The case where $p$ is a negative crossing is proved by a similar argument.

Remark. In Remark of Section 5 of [9, page 677], an equation which is similar to Lemma 4 is given. However, it seems to be forgotten there to take account of the term $\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-2 l}$. In consequence, the recursion formula of Theorem 13 of [9] is as follows:

$$
v_{n}\left(G_{*}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left\{\left(1-(-1)^{n-k}\right) v_{k}\left(G_{0}\right)+\left\{(2-3 l)^{n-k}-(-2-3 l)^{n-k}\right\} v_{k}\left(G_{\infty}\right)\right\} .
$$

By this formula, Corollary 14 of [9] is still true.
Corollary 5 (cf. Theorem 13 of [9]). Let $f$ be the $f$-polynomial of an ALD with $n$ components. Then $f(1)=(-2)^{n-1}$. In particular, $f$-polynomials of ALDs are not zero.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 by induction on the number of (real) crossing points.

Since $\Phi$ preserves the $f$-polynomials, Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let $f$ be the $f$-polynomial of an $A L D, P=(\Sigma, D)$, with $n$ components. Suppose that $P$ admits a checkerboard coloring. Then $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}$ if $n$ is odd, and $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+2$ if $n$ is even.

Proof. For a state $S$ of $P$, we define $I(S)$ by

$$
I(S)=A^{\natural(S)}\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)^{\sharp(S)-1}
$$

so that the bracket polynomial of $P$ is the sum of $I(S)$ over all states of $P$. Let ind $(S)$ be the value in $\mathbf{Z}_{4}=\{0,1,2,3\}$ such that $\operatorname{EXP}(I(S)) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+\operatorname{ind}(S)$.

Every state of $P$ has a unique checkerboard coloring induced from the checkerboard coloring of $P$, see Fig. 6. (Fig. 7 shows an example of an ALD with a checkerboard coloring and a state with the induced checkerboard coloring.) Using this fact,
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we prove that $\operatorname{ind}(S)=\operatorname{ind}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ for any states $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ of $P$. It is sufficient to prove this equality in the special case that $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ differ in a single 2-disk $E$ as in Fig. 8, where $E$ is a neighborhood of a crossing point of $D$. There are three possibilities for the connection of $S$ outside $E$ as in Fig. 9. However, the case (C) does not occur because such a state does not have a checkerboard coloring induced from the checkerboard coloring of $P$. In both cases (A) and (B), we have $I\left(S^{\prime}\right)=A^{\natural(S) \pm 2}\left(-A^{2}-\right.$ $\left.A^{-2}\right)^{\sharp(S)-1 \pm 1}$ and hence $\operatorname{ind}(S)=\operatorname{ind}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$.

Now we have that $\operatorname{EXP}(f) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+i$ where $i=\operatorname{ind}(S)$ for any state $S$ of $P$. We denote this number $i$ by $\operatorname{ind}(f)$. The remaining task is to prove that this index $i$ is 0 if $n$ is odd, and 2 if $n$ is even. It is proved by induction on the number of (real) crossing points of $P$. If $P$ has no real crossing points, then it is obvious by the definition of the $f$-polynomial. Suppose that $P$ has a crossing point. For this crossing point, let $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ be ALDs as in Lemma 4. Note that $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ admit checkerboard colorings. Hence $\operatorname{EXP}\left(f_{0}\right) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+\operatorname{ind}\left(f_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{EXP}\left(f_{\infty}\right) \subset 4 \mathbf{Z}+\operatorname{ind}\left(f_{\infty}\right)$. Since $f \neq 0$ and $f_{0} \neq 0$ by Corollary 5 , it follows from the equation in Lemma 4 that $\operatorname{ind}(f) \equiv \operatorname{ind}\left(f_{0}\right)+2$ $(\bmod 4)$. The ALD $P_{0}$ has fewer crossing points than $P$ and admits a checkerboard
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Fig. 9.
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coloring. By the inductive hypothesis, $\operatorname{ind}\left(f_{0}\right)$ is 0 if $n^{\prime}$ is odd, and 2 if $n^{\prime}$ is even, where $n^{\prime}$ is the number of components of $P_{0}$. Since $n^{\prime}=n \pm 1$, we have that ind $(f)$ is 0 if $n$ is odd, and 2 if $n$ is even.

## 5. Alternating virtual link diagrams and ALDs

An ALD or a virtual link diagram is said to be alternating if an over-crossings and under-crossings alternate as one travels along each component of the diagram. Note that the virtual link diagram in Fig. 10 is not alternating.

Lemma 7. For an $A L D, P=(\Sigma, D)$, the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) By applying crossing changes, $P$ changes into an alternating $A L D$.
(ii) $P$ admits a checkerboard coloring.

Proof. If $P$ admits a checkerboard coloring, change each real crossing according to the coloring as in the leftmost figure of Fig. 6. Conversely, if $P$ is an alternating ALD, then give a checkerboard coloring near each crossing point as in the figure used above, which is extended to a checkerboard coloring of $P$.

Proof of Corollary 2. It follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 7.
Remark. M.B. Thistlethwaite [11] and K. Murasugi [10] showed that the $f$-polynomial (Jones polynomial) of a non-split alternating link is alternating, namely, it is in a form of $A^{\alpha} \sum c_{i} A^{4 i}$ such that $c_{i} c_{j} \geq 0$ for $i \equiv j(\bmod 2)$ and $c_{i} c_{j} \leq 0$ for $i \not \equiv j(\bmod 2)$. This result is not true for virtual knots. The $f$-polynomial of a


Fig. 11.
virtual knot in Fig. 11 is $A^{12}+3 A^{16}-4 A^{20}+3 A^{24}-4 A^{28}+4 A^{32}-3 A^{36}+A^{40}$.
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