

Nishio, M., Shimomura, K. and Suzuki, N.  
Osaka J. Math.  
42 (2005), 133–162

## $\alpha$ -PARABOLIC BERGMAN SPACES

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Isao Higuchi

MASAHARU NISHIO, KATSUNORI SHIMOMURA and NORIAKI SUZUKI

(Received August 29, 2002)

### Abstract

The  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman space  $b_\alpha^p$  is the set of all  $p$ -th integrable solutions  $u$  of the equation  $(\partial/\partial t + (-\Delta)^\alpha)u = 0$  on the upper half space, where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The Huygens property for the above  $u$  will be obtained. After verifying that the space  $b_\alpha^p$  forms a Banach space, we discuss the fundamental properties. For example, as for the duality,  $(b_\alpha^p)^* \cong b_\alpha^q$  for  $p > 1$  and  $(b_\alpha^1)^* \cong \mathcal{B}_\alpha/\mathbf{R}$  are shown, where  $q$  is the exponent conjugate to  $p$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bloch space.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  denote the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $H$  be its upper half space

$$H = \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; x \in \mathbf{R}^n, t > 0\}.$$

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we consider a parabolic operator

$$L^{(\alpha)} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (-\Delta)^\alpha$$

on  $H$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator with respect to  $x$ . When  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $L^{(\alpha)}$  is the heat operator. Otherwise,  $L^{(\alpha)}$  is a non-local operator.

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we denote by  $b_\alpha^p$  the set of all solutions of  $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$  on  $H$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^p(H)} := \left( \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^p dx dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It is shown that  $b_\alpha^p$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(H)}$ . We call  $b_\alpha^p$  the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman space (of order  $p$ ), because  $L^{(\alpha)}$  has parabolic nature.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 35K05, 31B05, 31B10, 46E15, 46E22.

This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 13640186, No. 13640151 and No. 15540163, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

In this paper we study the properties of solutions of  $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$  on  $H$  in the framework of the Bergman space theory. One of our main results is to show the following identity: for  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t - s) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy$$

whenever  $t > s > 0$ . According to the heat operator case [12], we call (1.1) the Huygens property for  $u$ . Since all solutions of  $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$  form a balayage space (cf. [2]), we make use of potential theory method for the proof of (1.1). In particular, the theory of  $\alpha$ -harmonic measures is useful ([4] and [7]). In the sequel, we call a solution  $u$  of  $L^{(\alpha)}u = 0$  an  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic function.

Our study is motivated by recent results [10] and [13] of harmonic Bergman spaces on the upper half space. We remark that  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman space is a generalization of the harmonic Bergman space. In fact,  $(1/2)$ -parabolic Bergman spaces coincide with harmonic Bergman spaces, because in the case  $\alpha = 1/2$ , the fundamental solution of  $L^{(1/2)}$  is equal to the Poisson kernel on  $H$  (see Corollary 4.4 below).

Based on the Huygens property, we shall discuss the following subjects: the boundedness of the point evaluations, the explicit form of the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman kernels, the dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ , the  $\alpha$ -parabolic little Bloch space and the pre-dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$ . The estimates of the fundamental solution  $W^{(\alpha)}$  of  $L^{(\alpha)}$  play crucial roles in various contexts.

## 2. $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions

In this section, we discuss mainly in the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , because the corresponding results are well-known in the case  $\alpha = 1$  (e.g. see [3] and [11]). For an open set  $D$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , let  $C_K^\infty(D)$  denote the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on  $D$ . In order to define  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions, we shall recall how the adjoint operator  $\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)} = -\partial/\partial t + (-\Delta)^\alpha$  acts on  $C_K^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $(-\Delta)^\alpha$  is the convolution operator defined by  $-c_{n,\alpha}$  p.f.  $|x|^{-n-2\alpha}$ , where

$$c_{n,\alpha} = -4^\alpha \pi^{-n/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2\alpha}{2}\right) / \Gamma(-\alpha) > 0$$

and  $|x| = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$ . Hence for  $\varphi \in C_K^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ ,

$$\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(x, t) - c_{n,\alpha} \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \delta} (\varphi(x + y, t) - \varphi(x, t)) |y|^{-n-2\alpha} dy.$$

It is easily seen that if  $\text{supp}(\varphi)$ , the support of  $\varphi$ , is contained in  $\{|x| < r, t_1 < t < t_2\}$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad |\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi(x, t)| \leq 2^{n+2\alpha} c_{n,\alpha} \left( \sup_{t_1 < s < t_2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(y, s)| dy \right) \cdot |x|^{-n-2\alpha}$$

for  $(x, t)$  with  $|x| \geq 2r$ . Remark also that

$$\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}(\partial_t \varphi) = \partial_t \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}(\partial_{x_j} \varphi) = \partial_{x_j} \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}(\varphi) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$  and  $\partial_{x_j} = \partial/\partial x_j$ .

Now we give the definition of  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonicity. For an open set  $D$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , we put

$$s(D) := \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; (y, t) \in D \text{ for some } y \in \mathbf{R}^n\}.$$

Since  $\text{supp}(\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi)$  extends to  $s(D)$  even if  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset D$ , we can define  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonicity on  $D$  by duality only for the functions defined on  $s(D)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A function  $h$  is said to be  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on an open set  $D$ , when  $h$  is defined on  $s(D)$  and satisfies the following conditions:

- (a)  $h$  is a Borel measurable function on  $s(D)$ ,
- (b)  $h$  is continuous on  $D$ ,
- (c) for every  $\varphi \in C_K^\infty(D)$ ,  $\iint_{s(D)} |h \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi| dx dt < \infty$  and  $\iint_{s(D)} h \cdot \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi dx dt = 0$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** When  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the inequality (2.1) implies that the integrability condition in (c) of Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the following: for any closed strip  $[t_1, t_2] \times \mathbf{R}^n \subset s(D)$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |h(x, t)|(1 + |x|)^{-n-2\alpha} dx dt < \infty.$$

The following lemma will be useful in the Section 4.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $v$  be  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$ . If  $v = 0$  continuously on the boundary  $\partial H = \mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$  and if  $\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |v(x, t)|(1 + |x|)^{-n-2\alpha} dx dt < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then the function  $V$  defined by*

$$V(x, t) = \int_0^t v(x, \tau) d\tau$$

is also  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$ .

**Proof.** If  $\alpha = 1$ , the lemma is clearly true, so we assume  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Take arbitrary  $\varphi \in C_K^\infty(H)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V(x, t) \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^t v(x, \tau) d\tau \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}\varphi(x, t) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} v(x, t) \varphi(x, t) dx dt + \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} v(x, \tau) (-\Delta)^\alpha \varphi(x, t) dx d\tau dt.$$

To calculate the second integral of the last line, fix  $t > 0$ . Considering a  $C^\infty$  approximation of the indicator function of the set  $[0, t]$ , we see

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} v(x, \tau) (-\Delta)^\alpha \varphi(x, t) dx d\tau = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (v(x, 0) - v(x, t)) \varphi(x, t) dx.$$

Since  $v(x, 0) = 0$ , we have therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V(x, t) \tilde{L}^{(\alpha)} \varphi(x, t) dx dt = 0$$

and  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonicity of  $V$  follows.  $\square$

The fundamental solution  $W^{(\alpha)}$  of  $L^{(\alpha)}$  is

$$(2.3) \quad W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \exp(-t|\xi|^{2\alpha} + \sqrt{-1} x \cdot \xi) d\xi & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x \cdot \xi$  is the inner product of  $x$  and  $\xi$  and  $|\xi| = (\xi \cdot \xi)^{1/2}$ . Then

$$\tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(x, t) := W^{(\alpha)}(x, -t)$$

is the fundamental solution of  $\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}$ .

In the case  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $W^{(1)}$  is the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel

$$W^{(1)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

In the case  $\alpha = 1/2$ ,  $W^{(1/2)}$  is the Poisson kernel (cf. [1, p.74])

$$(2.4) \quad W^{(1/2)}(x, t) = \begin{cases} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \frac{t}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(n+1)/2}} & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The harmonicity of  $W^{(1/2)}$  derives a close connection between  $L^{(1/2)}$ -harmonic functions and usual harmonic functions on  $H$  (see Corollary 4.4 below). For other  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  any simple explicit expressions for  $W^{(\alpha)}$  are not known.

Note also that  $W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t - s) dx = 1$$

and for every  $0 < s < t$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t - s)W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy.$$

When we put

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_\alpha(|x|) := W^{(\alpha)}(x, 1),$$

then for  $t > 0$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = t^{-n/(2\alpha)}\phi_\alpha(t^{-1/(2\alpha)}|x|)$$

and  $\phi_\alpha(r) = O(r^{-n-2\alpha})$  when  $0 < \alpha < 1$  (use (3.3) below), and  $\phi_1(r) = O(\exp(-r^2/4))$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Further estimates of  $W^{(\alpha)}$  will be given in next section.

Since  $W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) dy$  converges vaguely to the Dirac measure at  $x$  as  $t \rightarrow +0$ , we see the following convergence result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $f$  belongs to  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t)f(y) dy = f(x).$$

The fact that  $W^{(\alpha)}$  is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic off  $(0, 0)$  is important. In fact the following assertion follows from this.

**Proposition 2.5** (see [9, Proposition 10]). *If  $u$  satisfies the Huygens property, that is, for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $0 < s < t$ ,*

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t - s)W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy,$$

*then  $u$  is an  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic function on  $H$ .*

### 3. Estimates of fundamental solutions

In the sequel, we use the following notations. For  $\delta > 0$  and a function  $f$  on  $H$ , we write

$$T_\delta f(x, t) := f(x, t + \delta).$$

Then  $T_\delta f$  is a function on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times (-\delta, \infty)$ . Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$  be a multi-index, where  $\mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $|\beta| := \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$  and

$$\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k f(x, t) := \frac{\partial^{|\beta|+k}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n} \partial t^k} f(x, t).$$

Using the above notation, we start with the following equality which follows from (2.3) easily.

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = t^{-((n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha)+k)} (\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(t^{-1/(2\alpha)}x, 1).$$

The following estimate of  $W^{(\alpha)}$  plays an important role in our later argument.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $(x, t) \in H$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)}(x, t)| \leq C t^{1-k} (t + |x|^{2\alpha})^{-(n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha)-1}$$

*Proof.* For  $x_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we put

$$\psi_\alpha(t) := W^{(\alpha)}(x_0, t).$$

Then it was shown that

$$(3.3) \quad \psi_\alpha(t) = O(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

in [5, Lemma 2.1]. The argument which was done there gives that for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \psi_\alpha^{(k)}(t) \quad \text{is bounded on } (0, \infty).$$

In fact, as in [5] we have

$$\psi_\alpha^{(k)}(t) = (-1)^k (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\alpha k} e^{-s|\xi|^2} \hat{\nu}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\sigma_t^\alpha(s)$$

where  $\hat{\nu}$  is the Fourier transform of the normalized uniform measure  $\nu$  on the unit sphere and  $(\sigma_t^\alpha)_{t \geq 0}$  is the one-side stable semi-group on  $(0, \infty)$  (see [1, p.74]). Thus (3.4) follows if we prove that

$$\Psi(s) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\xi|^{2\alpha k} e^{-s|\xi|^2} \hat{\nu}(\xi) d\xi$$

is bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ .

In the case that  $\alpha k$  is an integer, we have

$$\Psi(s) = (2\pi)^{n/2} (-\Delta)^{\alpha k} (g_s * \nu)(0),$$

where  $g_s(x) = W^{(1)}(x, s)$  is the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel. This formula shows the boundedness of  $\Psi$ .

If  $\alpha k$  is not an integer, we take  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $-2 < 2\alpha k - 2l < 0$ . Then

$$\Psi(s) = (2\pi)^{n/2} C_{n, \alpha k - l} (-\Delta)^l (|x|^{-n+2l-2\alpha k} * g_s * \nu)(0)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{n/2} c_{n,\alpha k-l} \{(\varphi(x)|x|^{-n+2l-2\alpha k}) * ((-\Delta)^l g_s) * \nu(0) + (-\Delta)^l((1-\varphi(x))|x|^{-n+2l-2\alpha k}) * g_s * \nu(0)\}$$

where  $\varphi \in C_K^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{|x| < 1\}$  and  $\varphi = 1$  on  $\{|x| < 1/3\}$ , and  $c_{n,\alpha k-l} = -4^{\alpha k-l} \pi^{-n/2} \Gamma((n+2\alpha k-2l)/2) / \Gamma(l-\alpha k)$ . The boundedness of  $\Psi$  follows even if  $\alpha k \notin \mathbf{N}$ .

Now we return to the proof of (3.2). Since  $W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = |x|^{-n} \psi_\alpha(|x|^{-2\alpha} t)$ , we have

$$\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) = \partial_x^\beta (|x|^{-n-2\alpha k} \psi_\alpha^{(k)}(|x|^{-2\alpha} t)),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(x, 1) &= \partial_x^\beta (|x|^{-n-2\alpha k} \psi_\alpha^{(k)}(|x|^{-2\alpha})) \\ &= \sum_{\beta=\beta'+\beta''} \binom{\beta}{\beta'} \partial_x^{\beta'} (|x|^{-n-2\alpha k}) \partial_x^{\beta''} (\psi_\alpha^{(k)}(|x|^{-2\alpha})). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that  $\partial_x^{\beta'} (|x|^{-n-2\alpha k}) = O(|x|^{-n-2\alpha k-|\beta'|})$  and  $\partial_x^{\beta''} (\psi_\alpha^{(k)}(|x|^{-2\alpha})) = O(|x|^{-|\beta''|})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . As a result, we have

$$(3.5) \quad |(\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(x, 1)| \leq C|x|^{-n-2\alpha-|\beta|} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark that (3.5) remains true for the case  $k = 0$  because of (3.3). Hence (3.1) shows that if  $|x| \geq t^{1/(2\alpha)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)}(x, t)| &= t^{-(n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha+k)} |(\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(t^{-1/(2\alpha)} x, 1)| \\ &\leq C t^{1-k} |x|^{-n-2\alpha-|\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.5), and if  $|x| \leq t^{1/(2\alpha)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)}(x, t)| &= t^{-(n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha)-k} |(\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(t^{-1/(2\alpha)} x, 1)| \\ &\leq C t^{-(n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha)-k} \end{aligned}$$

by the boundedness of  $|(\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(t^{-1/(2\alpha)} x, 1)|$ . These inequalities imply (3.2). □

We note here that T. Takehi and K. Sakai gave an alternative proof of (3.5) ([6]).

As for the  $L^q$ -norm of derivatives of  $W^{(\alpha)}$ , the homogeneity (3.1) gives us the following identity.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0$  and let  $q \geq 1$ . If  $q > (n+2\alpha)/(n+|\beta|+2\alpha k)$ , then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$*

$$(3.6) \quad \|\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k T_\delta W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^q(H)} = C \delta^{-(n+|\beta|)/(2\alpha+k)+(n/(2\alpha)+1)(1/q)}.$$

Proof. Noting that Lemma 3.1 ensures the integrability, we obtain the equality immediately.  $\square$

#### 4. Huygens property

We have seen in Proposition 2.5 that every Borel measurable function satisfying the Huygens property is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$ . In this section, we shall prove the converse assertion for  $p$ -th integrable  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions. This result will be very useful in other contexts as well.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If an  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic function  $u$  on  $H$  belongs to  $L^p(H)$ , then  $u$  satisfies the Huygens property, that is,*

$$(4.1) \quad u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t - s) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy$$

holds for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $0 < s < t < \infty$ .

The next two lemmas will be used in the proof of the above theorem. The first lemma is concerning  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic measures. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $r > 0$ , put

$$w_r^\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq r \\ \frac{a_{n,\alpha} r^{2\alpha}}{(|x|^2 - r^2)^\alpha |x|^n} & \text{if } |x| > r, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_{n,\alpha} = \Gamma(n/2)\pi^{-n/2-1} \sin(\pi\alpha)$ . We know that  $w_r^\alpha(x) dx$  is the balayaged measure on  $\{|x| \geq r\}$  of the Dirac measure at the origin with respect to the Riesz kernel  $|x|^{2\alpha-n}$  (see [4]). Recalling the equality

$$(4.2) \quad c_{n,-\alpha} |x|^{2\alpha-n} = \int_0^\infty W^{(\alpha)}(x, t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(x, s) ds,$$

where  $c_{n,-\alpha} = 4^{-\alpha} \pi^{-n/2} \Gamma((n-2\alpha)/2) / \Gamma(\alpha)$  (cf. [1]), we see the following relation between the above balayaged measure and the  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic measure.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and let  $\nu_r^\alpha$  be the  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic measure at the origin on  $B_r(0) \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $B_r(0)$  is the ball of radius  $r$  and center 0 in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_A w_r^\alpha(x) dx = \nu_r^\alpha(A \times (-\infty, 0])$$

for every Borel set  $A$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .



Proof. Since the  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic measure  $\nu_r^\alpha$  is the balayaged measure on  $\{|x| \geq r\} \times (-\infty, 0]$  of the Dirac measure at the origin with respect to  $\tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}$ ,

$$\tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(y, s) = \int_{|x| \geq r} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(y - x, s - t) d\nu_r^\alpha(x, t)$$

holds for  $|y| > r$ . Furthermore, by [5, Proposition 4.2 (2)], this equality holds for  $|y| = r$ , because every boundary point is regular with respect to  $\tilde{L}^{(\alpha)}$ . Now we denote by  $\mu_r$  the measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  defined by  $\mu_r(A) = \nu_r^\alpha(A \times (-\infty, 0])$ . Then by (4.2), for  $|y| \geq r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,-\alpha}|y|^{2\alpha-n} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(y, s) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left( \int_{|x| \geq r} \int_s^0 \tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(y - x, s - t) d\nu_r^\alpha(x, t) \right) ds \\ &= \int_{|x| \geq r} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left( \int_{-\infty}^t \tilde{W}^{(\alpha)}(x - y, s - t) ds \right) d\nu_r^\alpha(x, t) \\ &= c_{n,-\alpha} \int_{|x| \geq r} |x - y|^{2\alpha-n} d\mu_r(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $w_r^\alpha(x) dx$  is the balayaged measure on  $\{|x| \geq r\}$  with respect to  $|x|^{2\alpha-n}$ , we have

$$|y|^{2\alpha-n} = \int_{|x| \geq r} |x - y|^{2\alpha-n} w_r^\alpha(x) dx$$

on  $|y| > r$ , and by the reason similar to above, this equality also holds on its boundary  $\{|y| = r\}$ . Hence

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{|x| \geq r} |x - y|^{2\alpha-n} d\mu_r(x) = \int_{|x| \geq r} |x - y|^{2\alpha-n} w_r^\alpha(x) dx$$

on  $|y| \geq r$ . Since the support of both measures  $\mu_r$  and  $w_r^\alpha(x) dx$  is contained in  $\{|x| \geq r\}$ , the domination principle ([2, Corollary 4.13]) implies that (4.4) holds for all  $y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Finally the unicity principle for the Riesz kernel ([7, Theorem 1.12]) gives the equality (4.3). □

The next lemma is an estimate of the function  $\tilde{w}_R^\alpha$  defined by

$$\tilde{w}_R^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{R} \int_R^{2R} w_r^\alpha(x) dr \quad (R > 0).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $R > 0$ ,*

$$\|\widetilde{w}_R^\alpha\|_{L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq CR^{-n/p},$$

where  $q$  is the exponent conjugate to  $p$ .

*Proof.* We take  $x$  with  $|x| \geq R$ . If  $R \leq |x| \leq 3R$ , then we have

$$\widetilde{w}_R^\alpha(x) \leq \frac{a_{n,\alpha}}{R|x|^n} \int_R^{|x|} \frac{r^{2\alpha}}{(|x|^2 - r^2)^\alpha} dr \leq \frac{2a_{n,\alpha}3^{n+4\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha}(1-\alpha)} R^{2\alpha} |x|^{-n-2\alpha}.$$

Next if  $|x| \geq 3R$ , then  $w_r^\alpha(x) \leq (9/5)^\alpha a_{n,\alpha} R^{2\alpha} |x|^{-n-2\alpha}$  because  $R < r < 2R$ . Hence when  $p = 1$ , then  $q = \infty$  and the lemma holds clearly. When  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , using the above estimates, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widetilde{w}_R^\alpha(x)^q dx \leq C \int_R^\infty R^{2\alpha q} r^{-(n+2\alpha)q} r^{n-1} dr \leq CR^{-n(q-1)}$$

with some constant  $C > 0$ . □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* In the case that  $\alpha = 1$ , the assertion is known (see for example [11, Theorem 3.6, p.76]) and in the case  $p = \infty$ , the assertion follows from [9, Proposition 11]. Hence we may assume that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Remark that for any  $\delta_0 > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  such that

$$u_\delta(\cdot, 0) \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where  $u_\delta(x, t) := T_\delta u(x, t) = u(x, t + \delta)$ . Define the function  $v$  by

$$v(x, t) := u_\delta(x, t) - \tilde{u}_\delta(x, t),$$

where

$$\tilde{u}_\delta(x, t) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) u_\delta(y, 0) dy.$$

Then  $v$  is clearly  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$  and by Lemma 2.4,  $v$  vanishes continuously on the lower boundary  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \{0\}$ . By the Minkowski inequality,  $\|\tilde{u}_\delta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \|u_\delta(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ , so that the Hölder inequality shows

$$\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\tilde{u}_\delta(x, t)| (1 + |x|)^{-n-2\alpha} dx dt < \infty.$$

By definition  $u_\delta$  also satisfies the same inequality, so that  $v$  fulfills the assumption in Lemma 2.3. Hence

$$V(x, t) := \int_0^t v(x, \tau) d\tau$$

is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$ . Let  $(x, t) \in H$  be fixed. Then the  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic measure  $\nu_\omega^{(x,t)}$  of a cylinder  $\omega = B_r(x) \times (0, t + 1)$  can be written as

$$\nu_\omega^{(x,t)} = \nu_\omega^{(x,t)}|_{B_r(x)^c \times [0,t]} + \nu_\omega^{(x,t)}|_{B_r(x) \times \{0\}},$$

where the first term in the right hand side is

$$\nu_r^\alpha(y - x, s - t)|_{\{|y-x| \geq r, -t \leq s-t \leq 0\}}$$

and the second term is absolutely continuous with respect to the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure  $dy$  whose density is bounded by  $W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t)$ . Since  $V(y, 0) = 0$ , by (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} |V(x, t)| &= \left| \int_{|y| \geq r} \int_{-t}^0 V(x + y, t + s) d\nu_r^\alpha(y, s) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|y| \geq r} \int_{-t}^0 \left( \int_0^{s+t} |v(x + y, \tau)| d\tau \right) d\nu_r^\alpha(y, s) \\ &= \int_0^t \left( \int_{|y| \geq r} \int_{\tau-t}^0 |v(x + y, \tau)| d\nu_r^\alpha(y, s) \right) d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left( \int_{|y| \geq r} |v(x + y, \tau)| w_r^\alpha(y) dy \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|V(x, t)| \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |v(y, \tau)| \widetilde{w}_R^\alpha(x - y) dy d\tau.$$

Therefore by the Hölder inequality and Lemma 4.3, letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $V(x, t) \equiv 0$ . Since  $v(x, t) = \partial_t V(x, t) = 0$ ,

$$u_\delta(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) u(y, \delta) dy.$$

By (2.6), the right hand side satisfies the Huygens property, so does  $u$  because  $\delta_0$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

Recalling (2.4) and Proposition 2.5, we have the following interesting corollary of the theorem above.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and suppose that  $u \in L^p(H)$ . Then  $u$  is an  $L^{(1/2)}$ -harmonic function if and only if  $u$  is a usual harmonic function on  $H$ .*

REMARK 4.5. Throughout this paper we always assume that  $n \geq 2$ . The reason is that some arguments in this section are not valid for the case  $n = 1$ . For example, (4.2) does not hold if  $n = 1$  and  $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$  (cf. [1, p.135]).

## 5. $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman spaces

In this section, we shall define  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman spaces and discuss some basic properties.

DEFINITION 5.1. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  the set of all  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic functions on  $H$  which belong to  $L^p(H)$ . The space  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  is called the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman space (of order  $p$ ).

To show the closedness of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  in  $L^p(H)$ , we use the following boundedness of point evaluations.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then, there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  and every  $(x, t) \in H$ ,*

$$(5.1) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(H)} t^{-(n/(2\alpha)+1)(1/p)}.$$

Proof. If  $p = \infty$ , then  $|u(x, t)| \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(H)}$ , which is the assertion of the lemma. We suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For fixed  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < 1$ , the Huygens property (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t - s) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy && (t > s > 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(a_2 - a_1)t} \int_{a_1 t}^{a_2 t} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t - s) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (3.2), we have

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(H)} t^{-(n/(2\alpha)+1)(1/p)}. \quad \square$$

The next theorem implies that  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  is a Banach space under the  $L^p$ -norm.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  is a closed subspace of  $L^p(H)$ .*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, the  $L^p$ -convergence implies the uniform convergence on  $\mathbf{R}^n \times [t_1, \infty) \subset H$  for every  $t_1 > 0$ . Hence the limit function of any  $L^p$ -convergent sequence in  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  is continuous and satisfies the Huygens property. The result follows

from Proposition 2.5. □

It follows from the Huygens property that  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p \subset C^\infty(H)$ , where  $C^\infty(H)$  is the set of all  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $H$ . Furthermore, as in the proof of Proposition 5.2, we have the following estimate for point evaluations of derivatives.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(5.2) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(H)} t^{-(|\beta|/(2\alpha+k) - (n/(2\alpha+1))(1/p))}$$

for any  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$  and  $(x, t) \in H$ .

The following norm inequality is also established.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$ ,*

$$(5.3) \quad \|t^{|\beta|/(2\alpha+k)} \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u\|_{L^p(H)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^p(H)}.$$

*Proof.* By the Huygens property,

$$\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, s) (\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(y, t - s) dy$$

for every  $t > s > 0$ . Hence, taking  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and  $s = \gamma t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u(x, t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, \gamma t) (\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(y, (1 - \gamma)t) dy \\ &= ((1 - \gamma)t)^{-|\beta|/(2\alpha+k)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - ((1 - \gamma)t)^{1/(2\alpha)} z, \gamma t) (\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(z, 1) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Minkowski inequality yields

$$\|t^{|\beta|/(2\alpha+k)} \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u\|_{L^p(H)} \leq (1 - \gamma)^{-|\beta|/(2\alpha+k)} \gamma^{-1/p} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |(\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k W^{(\alpha)})(z, 1)| dz \right) \|u\|_{L^p(H)}. \quad \square$$

Finally we discuss the integrals over the hyperplanes  $\{t = \text{constant}\}$ . The following lemma is interesting in itself.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . For  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$ , the function  $t \mapsto \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$  is decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

Proof. Take  $t_2 > t_1 > 0$ . By the Huygens property,

$$u(x, t_2) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t_1) W^{(\alpha)}(y, t_2 - t_1) dy.$$

The Minkowski inequality gives that

$$\|u(\cdot, t_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} W^{(\alpha)}(y, t_2 - t_1) dy = \|u(\cdot, t_1)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 5.7. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we define the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Hardy space  $\mathbf{h}_\alpha^p$  on  $H$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{h}_\alpha^p := \left\{ v; L^{(\alpha)}\text{-harmonic on } H \text{ and } \sup_{t>0} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then as a corollary to Lemma 5.6, we see that  $T_\delta u \in \mathbf{h}_\alpha^p$  for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

The next result is called the cancellation property.

**Proposition 5.8.** For every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$  and every  $t > 0$ ,

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx = 0.$$

Proof. By the Huygens property, we have

$$u(y, t + s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) W^{(\alpha)}(y - x, s) dx.$$

Integrating the both sides by  $y$  and then  $s$ , we find

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(y, t + s) dy ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx ds = T \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x, t) dx.$$

Since the left hand side converges as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , (5.4) follows. □

REMARK 5.9. This proposition shows that  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$  does not contain any nonzero non-negative element. More generally,  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  contains a nonnegative  $u$  such that  $u \neq 0$  if and only if  $p > (n + 2\alpha)/n$ . This condition is related to (3.6) in Lemma 3.2 for  $(\beta, k) = (0, 0)$ . Using Lemma 3.2 again for  $(\beta, k) = (0, 2)$ , we have

$$\frac{\|\partial_t^2 T_\delta W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^p(H)}}{\|\partial_t^2 T_\delta W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^q(H)}} = C \delta^{(n/(2\alpha)+1)(1/p-1/q)}$$

for all  $\delta > 0$ . Hence the closed graph theorem tells us that there is no inclusion relation between  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  and  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^q$  for  $p \neq q$ .

### 6. $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman kernel

Since the point evaluation is bounded,  $b_\alpha^2$  has the reproducing kernel. In this section, we shall prove that the kernel

$$(6.1) \quad R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) = -2\partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s)$$

is the desired reproducing kernel of  $b_\alpha^2$  (see Remark 6.5 below). We call  $R_\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman kernel.

For  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we also use the kernel  $R_\alpha^m$  defined by

$$R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s) = c_m s^m \partial_s^m R_\alpha(x, t; y, s),$$

where  $c_m = (-2)^m/m!$ . Note that  $R_\alpha^0 = R_\alpha$  and it is a symmetric kernel.

We begin with two lemmas concerning these kernels. The first one is an estimate of their growth order, which follows from Lemma 3.1 immediately.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  be an integer. Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $(x, t), (y, s) \in H$ ,*

$$|R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s)| \leq C s^m (s + t)^{-m} (s + t + |x - y|^{2\alpha})^{-n/(2\alpha)-1}.$$

*In particular,  $R_\alpha^m(x, t; \cdot, \cdot) \in L^q(H)$  for every  $q > 1$  and  $(x, t) \in H$ .*

The second one is an estimate of growth order for their integrals.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  be an integer. If  $-1 - m < \gamma < 0$ , then there exists a constant  $c_1(\gamma) > 0$  such that, for every  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\iint_H s^\gamma |R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s)| dy ds = c_1(\gamma) t^\gamma.$$

*If  $-1 < \gamma < m$ , then there exists a constant  $c_2(\gamma) > 0$  such that, for every  $s > 0$ ,*

$$\iint_H t^\gamma |R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s)| dx dt = c_2(\gamma) s^\gamma.$$

**Proof.** By (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H s^\gamma |R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \\ &= 2|c_m| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} s^\gamma s^m |\partial_t^{m+1} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s)| dy ds \\ &= 2|c_m| \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} s^{\gamma+m} (t + s)^{-n/(2\alpha)-m-1} |(\partial_t^{m+1} W^{(\alpha)})((t + s)^{-1/(2\alpha)} y, 1)| dy ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= c_1(\gamma)t^\gamma,$$

where

$$c_1(\gamma) = 2|c_m| \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |(\partial_t^{m+1} W^{(\alpha)})(y, 1)| dy \right) \left( \int_0^\infty u^{\gamma+m}(1+u)^{-m-1} du \right).$$

Remark that the second integral in the above is finite if and only if  $-1 - m < \gamma < 0$ . The second assertion follows similarly.  $\square$

In the sequel, we use the same symbol  $R_\alpha^m$  for the integral operator defined by the kernel  $R_\alpha^m$ :

$$R_\alpha^m f(x, t) := \iint_H R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s) f(y, s) dy ds.$$

Then the following interesting relation holds.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $m \geq 0$  be an integer and let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $R_\alpha^m u = u$  for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ , that is*

$$(6.2) \quad u(x, t) = \iint_H R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s) u(y, s) dy ds.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, t) \in H$  be fixed. We shall show the theorem by induction on  $m$ . Let  $m = 0$ . Take  $\delta > 0$  and put  $u_\delta = T_\delta u$ . Then, by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) u_\delta(y, s) dy ds \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u_\delta(y, 0) W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \partial_s u_\delta(y, s) W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the estimate (5.1). Then by the Huygens property for  $u_\delta$  and  $\partial_s u_\delta$ , the first term is equal to  $2u_\delta(x, t)$  and the second term is equal to  $-u_\delta(x, t)$  respectively. Thus (6.2) holds for  $u_\delta$ . Since  $u_\delta$  converges to  $u$  in  $L^p(H)$  as  $\delta$  tends to zero, Lemma 6.1 shows the theorem in the case  $m = 0$ .

Next we assume that the theorem holds for  $m - 1 \geq 0$ . Take  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  and put  $u_\delta = T_\delta u$  as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha^m u_\delta(x, t) &= \iint_H R_\alpha^m(x, t; y, s) u_\delta(y, s) dy ds \\ &= -2c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^\infty u_\delta(y, s) s^m \partial_s^{m+1} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds dy \\ &= 2c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \{m u_\delta(y, s) s^{m-1} + \partial_s u_\delta(y, s) \cdot s^m\} \partial_s^m W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds dy \end{aligned}$$



$$= 2u_\delta(x, t) + 2c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \partial_s u_\delta(y, s) \cdot s^m \partial_s^m W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds dy,$$

here we use the induction assumption for  $m - 1$ . Denoting by  $I$  the inner integral of the second term, integrating by parts  $m$  times and applying the Leibniz rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (-1)^m \int_0^\infty \partial_s^m [\partial_s u_\delta(y, s) \cdot s^m] W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} \int_0^\infty \partial_s^{m+1-j} u_\delta(y, s) s^{m-j} W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since  $\partial_s^{m+1-j} u_\delta$  also satisfies the Hyugens property, by change the order of the integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2c_m \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} I dy \\ &= 2(-1)^m c_m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} \int_0^\infty s^{m-j} \partial_t^{m+1-j} u_\delta(x, t + 2s) ds \\ &= 2(-1)^m c_m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} \frac{1}{2^{m-j}} (-1)^{m-j} (m-j)! \int_0^\infty \partial_s u_\delta(x, t + 2s) ds \\ &= -(-2)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{1}{2^{m-j}} (-1)^j u_\delta(x, t) \\ &= -u_\delta(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , we complete the induction. □

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.4.** (1) For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $R_\alpha$  is a bounded operator from  $L^p(H)$  onto  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$ .

(2) Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $R_\alpha^m$  is a bounded operator from  $L^p(H)$  onto  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$ .

*Proof.* First we show (1). By Lemma 6.2 for  $\gamma = -1/p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|R_\alpha f(x, t)| \\ &\leq \iint_H |f(y, s) R_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \\ &\leq \left( \iint_H |f(y, s)|^p s^{1/q} |R_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \iint_H s^{-1/p} |R_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$= c_1(-1/p)^{1/q} t^{-1/(pq)} \left( \iint_H |f(y, s)|^p s^{1/q} |R_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore using the first estimate of Lemma 6.2 for  $\gamma = -1/q$  again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H |R_\alpha f(x, t)|^p dx dt \\ & \leq c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{p/q} \iint_H \left( \iint_H t^{-1/q} |f(y, s)|^p s^{1/q} |R_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \right) dx dt \\ & = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{p/q} c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \iint_H s^{-1/q} |f(y, s)|^p s^{1/q} dy ds \\ & = c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right)^{p/q} c_1 \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \|f\|_{L^p(H)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

because  $R_\alpha$  is symmetric. The surjectivity of  $R_\alpha$  follows from Theorem 6.3. Thus (1) is shown. Similarly, using Lemma 6.2, we have (2). Note that Lemma 6.2 is applicable for  $\gamma = 0$  in the case  $m \geq 1$  and  $q = \infty$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 6.5.** By Theorems 6.3 and 6.4, we see that the kernel  $R_\alpha$  is the reproducing kernel for  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^2$ . Furthermore, the operator  $R_\alpha$  on  $L^2(H)$  is the orthogonal projection to  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^2$ , because  $R_\alpha$  is real-valued and symmetric. Thus  $R_\alpha$  is called the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman projection.

We generalize (6.2) in the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $m, k \in \mathbf{N}_0$  with  $m + k \geq 1$ . Then for  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_\alpha^p$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\iint_H \partial_s^k T_\delta u(y, s) \cdot s^{m+k-1} \partial_s^m W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) dy ds = \frac{(m+k-1)!}{(-2)^{m+k}} T_\delta u(x, t).$$

*Proof.* We remark that the integral is well-defined by (3.2) and (5.2). To prove the formula by induction, we first consider the case  $(k, m) = (0, m)$ . Then  $m \geq 1$  and, by Theorem 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H T_\delta u(y, s) \cdot s^{m-1} \partial_s^m W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) dy ds \\ & = -\frac{1}{2c_{m-1}} (R_\alpha^{m-1} T_\delta u)(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2c_{m-1}} T_\delta u(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality, because  $c_{m-1} = (-2)^{m-1}/(m-1)!$ .

Next let  $(k, m) = (1, 0)$ . Then

$$\iint_H \partial_s T_\delta u(y, s) W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) dy ds = \int_0^\infty (\partial_t T_\delta u)(x, t + 2s) ds = -\frac{1}{2} T_\delta u(x, t).$$

Finally we consider the general case with  $k + m \geq 2$ . Assuming that the lemma holds for  $(k - 1, m)$  and  $(k - 1, m + 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \partial_s^k T_\delta u(y, s) \cdot s^{m+k-1} \partial_s^m W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) dy ds \\ &= - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \partial_s^{k-1} T_\delta u(y, s) [(m+k-1)s^{m+k-2} \partial_s^m + s^{m+k-1} \partial_s^{m+1}] W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) ds \right) dy \\ &= \frac{(m+k-1)!}{(-2)^{m+k}} T_\delta u(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction. □

The boundedness of the kernel  $R_\alpha^m$  and the above lemma give the following formula.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $k, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ . Then for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,*

$$(6.3) \quad R_\alpha^m(t^k \partial_t^k u) = \frac{c_m}{c_{m+k}} u.$$

Proof. Recall that  $c_m = (-2)^m/m!$ . By Lemma 6.6, (6.3) holds for  $T_\delta u$ . Thus letting  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , we have the assertion. □

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then there is a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ ,*

$$C^{-1} \|t^k \partial_t^k u\|_{L^p(H)} \leq \|u\|_{L^p(H)} \leq C \|t^k \partial_t^k u\|_{L^p(H)}.$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from Proposition 5.5. Theorems 6.4 (2) and 6.7 give the second inequality. □

### 7. $\alpha$ -parabolic Bloch Space

In this section we define the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bloch space.

DEFINITION 7.1. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  the set of all  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic function  $u$  on  $H$  such that  $u$  is of  $C^1$  class and that

$$(7.1) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} := |u(0, 1)| + \sup_{(x,t) \in H} \{t^{1/(2\alpha)} |\nabla_x u(x, t)| + t |\partial_t u(x, t)|\} < \infty,$$

where  $\nabla_x$  denotes the gradient operator with respect to the space variable, and  $0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . As seen later,  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  is a Banach space under the Bloch norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha}$ . We call  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bloch space.

We begin with the boundedness of point evaluation on  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  and  $(x, t) \in H$ ,*

$$(7.2) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} (1 + |\log t| + \log(1 + |x|)).$$

*Proof.* For an  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we set  $\tau = ((1 + |x|)/(1 + \log(1 + |x|)))^{2\alpha} \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq |u(0, 1)| + \int_1^\tau |\partial_t u(0, s)| ds + \int_0^{|x|} \left| \nabla_x u \left( r \frac{x}{|x|}, \tau \right) \right| dr + \left| \int_\tau^t \partial_t u(x, s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} \left( 1 + \int_1^\tau \frac{ds}{s} + \tau^{-1/(2\alpha)} |x| + \left| \int_\tau^t \frac{ds}{s} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} \left( 1 + \log \tau + \frac{|x|(1 + \log(1 + |x|))}{1 + |x|} + |\log t| + \log \tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\log \tau \leq 2\alpha \log(1 + |x|)$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

By the same manner as in Theorem 5.4, we have the following

**Theorem 7.3.** *For  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$(7.3) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} t^{-(|\beta|/(2\alpha)+k)}$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  and any  $(x, t) \in H$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \subset C^\infty(H)$ .

*Proof.* We first remark that  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^\infty \subset C^\infty(H)$ . Let  $(x_0, t_0) \in H$  be fixed. If  $k \neq 0$ , applying Theorem 5.4 to  $T_{t_0/2} \partial_t u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k u(x_0, t_0)| &= \left| \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^{k-1} (T_{t_0/2} \partial_t u) \left( x_0, \frac{t_0}{2} \right) \right| \\ &\leq C \|T_{t_0/2} \partial_t u\|_{L^\infty(H)} t_0^{-(|\beta|/(2\alpha)+k-1)} \\ &\leq 2C \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} t_0^{-(|\beta|/(2\alpha)+k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the theorem when the case  $\beta \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.4.** *Every element in  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  satisfies the Huygens property, and  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  is a Banach space under the Bloch norm (7.1).*

Proof. Take  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ . Since  $T_s \partial_t u$  belongs to  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^\infty$  for every  $s > 0$ , we have

$$\partial_t u(x, t + s) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \partial_t u(x - y, t) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy$$

and hence for  $t_2 > t_1 > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t_2 + s) - u(x, t_1 + s) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \partial_t u(x - y, t) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u(x - y, t_2) - u(x - y, t_1)) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $v(x, t, s)$  is a constant function with respect to  $t$ , where

$$v(x, t, s) = u(x, t + s) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(x - y, t) W^{(\alpha)}(y, s) dy.$$

A similar argument with respect to the variable  $x$  gives that  $v$  does not depend on  $x$  either. For fixed  $t > 0$ , since  $v(\cdot, t, \cdot)$  is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic, we have  $\partial_s v = L_{(x,s)}^{(\alpha)} v = 0$ , which implies  $v$  is a constant. Further this constant is equal to

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} v(x, t, s) = 0,$$

so that the Huygens property for  $u$  follows.

To show the completeness of  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ , consider any Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  with respect to the Bloch norm. By Proposition 7.2, it converges locally uniformly to a continuous function  $u$  on  $H$ . It is not difficult to show that this limit function also satisfies the Huygens property, so that  $u$  is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic on  $H$  and is of  $C^\infty$  class. Theorem 7.3 gives  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} < \infty$ . □

Since  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  contains constant functions, we may identify  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha/\mathbf{R} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ , where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha; u(0, 1) = 0\}.$$

The  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman kernel  $R_\alpha$  is not bounded on  $L^\infty(H)$ , so that we consider the modified  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bergman kernel  $\tilde{R}_\alpha$ , which is inspired by [10]:

$$\tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s) := R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) - R_\alpha(0, 1; y, s).$$

**Lemma 7.5.** *There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $(x, t) \in H$ ,*

$$\iint_H |\tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| dy ds \leq C(1 + \log(1 + |x|) + |\log t|).$$

Proof. Put  $\tau = ((1 + |x|)/(1 + \log(1 + |x|)))^{2\alpha}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^1(H)} \\ & \leq \|R_\alpha(x, t; \cdot, \cdot) - R_\alpha(x, \tau; \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^1(H)} + \|R_\alpha(x, \tau; \cdot, \cdot) - R_\alpha(0, \tau; \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^1(H)} \\ & \quad + \|R_\alpha(0, \tau; \cdot, \cdot) - R_\alpha(0, 1; \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^1(H)}. \end{aligned}$$

The Minkowski inequality and Lemma 3.2 show that the first term of the right hand side is bounded by

$$2 \left| \int_\tau^t \|T_\delta \partial_t^2 W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^1(H)} d\delta \right| \leq C \left| \int_\tau^t \delta^{-1} d\delta \right| \leq C(|\log t| + \log \tau),$$

and the second term is less than

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^1 \iint_H \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(rx - y, \tau + s)) \right| dy ds dr & \leq 2 \int_0^1 |x| \|T_\tau \nabla_x \partial_t W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^1(H)} dr \\ & \leq C|x| \tau^{-1/(2\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

and the third term is bounded by

$$2 \left| \int_1^\tau \|T_\delta \partial_t^2 W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^1(H)} d\delta \right| \leq C \log \tau,$$

which show the required estimate as in the proof of Proposition 7.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** For every  $(x, t) \in H$  and for every  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\iint_H \frac{1}{s+\delta} |W^{(\alpha)}(x+y, t+s) - W^{(\alpha)}(y, s+1)| dy ds < \infty.$$

Proof. For fixed  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , the equality

$$W^{(\alpha)}(x+y, s+1) - W^{(\alpha)}(y, s+1) = \int_0^1 x \cdot \nabla_x W^{(\alpha)}(rx+y, s+1) dr$$

and (3.2) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H \frac{1}{s+\delta} |W^{(\alpha)}(x+y, s+1) - W^{(\alpha)}(y, s+1)| dy ds \\ & \leq C|x| \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla_x W^{(\alpha)}((s+1)^{-1/(2\alpha)}(rx+y), 1)| dy \right) (s+1)^{-(n+1)/(2\alpha)} (s+\delta)^{-1} ds dr \\ & \leq C'|x| \int_0^\infty (s+1)^{-1/(2\alpha)} (s+\delta)^{-1} ds < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$W^{(\alpha)}(x + y, t + s) - W^{(\alpha)}(x + y, s + 1) = \int_1^t \partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(x + y, s + \tau) d\tau,$$

we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_H \frac{1}{s + \delta} |W^{(\alpha)}(x + y, t + s) - W^{(\alpha)}(x + y, s + 1)| dy ds \\ & \leq \left| \int_1^t \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\partial_t W^{(\alpha)}((s + \tau)^{-1/(2\alpha)}(x + y), 1)| dy \right) (s + \tau)^{-n/(2\alpha)-1} (s + \delta)^{-1} ds d\tau \right| \\ & \leq C \left| \int_1^t \int_0^\infty (s + \tau)^{-1} (s + \delta)^{-1} ds d\tau \right| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus our assertion follows from the triangle inequality. □

**Theorem 7.7.** *The kernel  $\tilde{R}_\alpha$  is a bounded linear operator from  $L^\infty(H)$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $f \in L^\infty(H)$ , we can define  $\tilde{R}_\alpha f(x, t)$  by Lemma 7.5. Further since  $\tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; \cdot, \cdot)$  is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic, so is  $\tilde{R}_\alpha f$ . Clearly  $\tilde{R}_\alpha f(0, 1) = 0$ . For every  $(\beta, k) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n \times \mathbf{N}_0$  with  $(\beta, k) \neq (0, 0)$ , we have

$$|\partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k [\tilde{R}_\alpha f(x, t)]| = \left| \iint_H \partial_x^\beta \partial_t^k R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) f(y, s) dy ds \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(H)} t^{-(1|\beta|/(2\alpha)+k)},$$

by Lemma 3.2. In particular,  $\|\tilde{R}_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(H)}$  holds. □

Similarly to Lemma 6.6, Theorem 6.7 and Proposition 6.8, we can obtain the following results for  $\alpha$ -parabolic Bloch spaces. Remark that Lemma 7.6 assures the necessary integrability in the following results.

**Lemma 7.8.** *Let  $m, k$  be nonnegative integers with  $m + k \geq 1$ . Then for every  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  and every  $\delta > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (7.4) \quad & \iint_H \partial_s^k T_\delta u(y, s) \cdot s^{m+k-1} \partial_s^m (W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) - W^{(\alpha)}(y, s + 1)) dy ds \\ & = \frac{(m + k - 1)!}{(-2)^{m+k}} (T_\delta u(x, t) - T_\delta u(0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 7.9.** *For any  $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ ,  $u = -2\tilde{R}_\alpha(t\partial_t u)$  holds. More generally, for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have*

$$\tilde{R}_\alpha(t^k \partial_t^k u) = \frac{k!}{(-2)^k} u.$$

**Proposition 7.10.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. Then there is a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for every  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$*

$$C^{-1} \|t^k \partial_t^k u\|_{L^\infty(H)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} \leq C \|t^k \partial_t^k u\|_{L^\infty(H)}.$$

### 8. Dual Spaces

In this section, we characterize the dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In the following, we use the following convention: write  $X = (x, t) \in H$  and for an integrable function  $f$  on  $H$ ,

$$\int_H f(X) dX = \iint_H f(x, t) dx dt.$$

**Theorem 8.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $(\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p)^* \cong \mathbf{b}_\alpha^q$ , that is, the dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  can be identified with  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^q$ , where  $q$  is the exponent conjugate to  $p$ .*

Proof. For  $v \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^q$ , we define a functional on  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  by

$$\Lambda_v(u) = \int_H u(X)v(X) dX.$$

Then  $\Lambda_v \in (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p)^*$  and  $\|\Lambda_v\| \leq \|v\|_{L^q(H)}$ . Put  $\iota(v) = \Lambda_v$ . By the open mapping theorem, it is sufficient to show that the mapping  $\iota: \mathbf{b}_\alpha^q \rightarrow (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p)^*$  is bijective.

Assuming  $\Lambda_v = 0$ , we have

$$v(X) = \int_H R_\alpha(X; Y)v(Y) dY = \Lambda_v(R_\alpha(X; \cdot)) = 0$$

because  $R_\alpha(X; \cdot) \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ , which implies  $\iota$  is injective.

Next for  $\Lambda \in (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p)^*$ , using the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists  $f$  in  $L^q(H)$  such that

$$\Lambda(u) = \int_H u(X)f(X) dX$$

for all  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$ . Since  $R_\alpha$  is symmetric, Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 show

$$\Lambda(u) = \int_H (R_\alpha u)(X)f(X) dX = \int_H u(Y)(R_\alpha f)(Y) dY = \Lambda_{R_\alpha f}(u).$$

This implies  $\iota$  is surjective and the proof of Theorem completes. □

To determine the dual space for  $p = 1$ , we use a subspace of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^\infty$ . We put

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{D} := \{u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^\infty; (1+t)(1+t+|x|^{2\alpha})^{n/(2\alpha)+1}u(x, t) \text{ is bounded on } H\}.$$



**Lemma 8.2.**  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $b_\alpha^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in b_\alpha^p$ . Taking an exhaustion  $\{K_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $H$ , we see that  $R_\alpha^1(u \cdot \chi_{K_j})$  converges to  $u$  by Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 (2), where  $\chi_{K_j}$  denotes the indicator function of  $K_j$ . Further, Lemma 6.1 shows  $R_\alpha^1(u \cdot \chi_{K_j}) \in \mathcal{D}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** For  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ ,

$$(8.2) \quad \int_H u(X)v(X) dX = -2 \int_H u(X)\Phi v(X) dX,$$

where  $\Phi v(X) = t\partial_t u(x, t)$ . In particular

$$(8.3) \quad \left| \int_H u(X)v(X) dX \right| \leq 2\|u\|_{L^1(H)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* We first observe the following integrability. Since  $\Phi v$  is bounded, Lemma 7.5 shows that there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_H \left( \int_H |u(X)\tilde{R}_\alpha(X; Y)\Phi v(Y)| dY \right) dX \\ & \leq C \iint_H \frac{1 + \log(1 + |x|) + |\log t|}{(1+t)(1+t+|x|^{2\alpha})^{n/(2\alpha)+1}} dx dt \\ & \leq C \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{1 + |\log t|}{(1+t)^{3/2}} dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + \log(1 + |x|)}{(1 + |x|^{2\alpha})^{(n/(2\alpha))+1/2}} dx \right) \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We also observe that since  $R_\alpha$  is symmetric and  $u$  has the cancellation property,

$$\begin{aligned} u(Y) &= \int_H R_\alpha(Y; X)u(X) dX = \int_H R_\alpha(X; Y)u(X) dX \\ &= \int_H \{R_\alpha(X; Y) - R_\alpha(X_0; Y)\}u(X) dX \\ &= \int_H \tilde{R}_\alpha(X; Y)u(X) dX, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_0 = (0, 1)$ . Hence these observations and Theorem 7.9 ensure that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H u(X)v(X) dX &= -2 \int_H u(X)\tilde{R}_\alpha\Phi v(X) dX \\ &= -2 \int_H \left( \int_H u(X)\tilde{R}_\alpha(X; Y) dX \right) \Phi v(Y) dY \\ &= -2 \int_H u(Y)\Phi v(Y) dY. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (8.3) follows from Definition 7.1.  $\square$

Now we shall characterize the dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^p$  for the case  $p = 1$ .

**Theorem 8.4.** *The dual space of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha/\mathbf{R} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ .*

Proof. For any  $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$ , we define a linear functional on  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$  by

$$\Lambda_v(u) = -2 \int_H u(X) \Phi v(X) dX.$$

Then since  $|\Lambda_v(u)| \leq 2\|u\|_{L^1(H)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha}$  by Lemma 8.3,  $\Lambda_v \in (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1)^*$ . Put  $\iota(v) = \Lambda_v$ . As in the proof of Theorem 8.1, it is sufficient to show that the mapping  $\iota: \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1)^*$  is bijective. Since  $\tilde{R}_\alpha(X; \cdot) \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$ , the injectivity follows from Theorem 7.9.

To show the surjectivity, we take  $\Lambda \in (\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1)^*$  arbitrarily. Then by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists  $f \in L^\infty(H)$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(H)} = \|\Lambda\|$  and

$$\Lambda(u) = \int_H u(X) f(X) dX$$

for every  $u \in \mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$ . Then Theorem 7.7 gives us that  $\tilde{R}_\alpha f \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\alpha$  and  $\|\tilde{R}_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(H)} = C\|\Lambda\|$  with some constant  $C > 0$ . Hence by the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 8.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(u) &= \int_H u(Y) f(Y) dY \\ &= \int_H \left( \int_H R_\alpha(Y; X) u(X) dX \right) f(Y) dY \\ &= \int_H u(X) \tilde{R}_\alpha f(X) dX \\ &= -2 \int_H u(X) \Phi(\tilde{R}_\alpha f)(X) dX = \Lambda_{\tilde{R}_\alpha f}(u) \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $u \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$ , the mapping  $\iota$  is surjective.  $\square$

## 9. $\alpha$ -parabolic Little Bloch Space

In this section we define the  $\alpha$ -parabolic little Bloch space, which turns out to be the predual of  $\mathbf{b}_\alpha^1$ . The argument here is inspired by [13].

DEFINITION 9.1. A function  $u \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -parabolic little Bloch function, if

$$(9.1) \quad \lim_{(x,t) \rightarrow \partial H \cup \{\infty\}} \{t|\partial_t u(x,t)| + t^{1/(2\alpha)}|\nabla_x u(x,t)|\} = 0.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}$  the set of all  $\alpha$ -parabolic little Bloch functions on  $H$  and call  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}$  the  $\alpha$ -parabolic little Bloch space.

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0} := \{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}; u(0, 1) = 0\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0}$  are closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ , they are both Banach spaces with the Bloch norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}}$ .

We let  $C_0(H)$  denote the set of all continuous functions on  $H$  which vanish continuously on  $\partial H \cup \{\infty\}$ .

**Lemma 9.2.**  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0} = \{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha}; \Phi u \in C_0(H)\} = \{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}f; f \in C_0(H)\}$ .

*Proof.* For the first equality it is sufficient to show that if  $\Phi u = t\partial_t u$  belongs to  $C_0(H)$  then so does  $t^{1/(2\alpha)}|\nabla_x u|$ . Since  $u = -2\tilde{R}_{\alpha}(\Phi u)$  by Theorem 7.9, we have for  $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\partial_{x_j} u(x, t) = -2 \iint_H \partial_{x_j} \partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s) \cdot s \partial_s u(y, s) dy ds.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a compact set  $K$  in  $H$  such that  $|s\partial_s u| < \varepsilon$  outside  $K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |t^{1/(2\alpha)} \partial_{x_j} u(x, t)| &\leq 2\varepsilon t^{1/(2\alpha)} \iint_{K^c} |\partial_{x_j} \partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s)| dy ds \\ &\quad + 2t^{1/(2\alpha)} \iint_K |\partial_{x_j} \partial_t W^{(\alpha)}(x - y, t + s)| \cdot |s\partial_s u(y, s)| dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side is less than  $2C\varepsilon$  by Lemma 3.2, while the second term tends to 0 provided that  $(x, t)$  tends to  $\partial H \cup \{\infty\}$  (use (3.2)). We therefore conclude  $t^{1/(2\alpha)}|\nabla_x u| \in C_0(H)$ .

To show the second equality in the lemma, take  $f \in C_0(H)$  arbitrarily. Then  $\tilde{R}_{\alpha}f$  is in  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha}$  by Theorem 7.7. The same argument as above shows  $\Phi(\tilde{R}_{\alpha}f) \in C_0(H)$ , which implies  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0} \supset \{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}f; f \in C_0(H)\}$ . The converse inclusion follows easily from the equality  $u = -2\tilde{R}_{\alpha}(\Phi u)$ .  $\square$

We can now prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 9.3.** *The pre-dual space of  $\mathfrak{b}_{\alpha}^1$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}/\mathbf{R}$ .*

*Proof.* As in Theorem 8.4, we may identify  $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,0}/\mathbf{R}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0}$ . For  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_{\alpha}^1$ , we define a functional on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0}$  by

$$\Lambda_u(v) := \iint_H u(x, t)\Phi v(x, t) dx dt.$$

Then by Lemma 8.3 the mapping  $\iota: \mathfrak{b}_{\alpha}^1 \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0})^*$ , defined by  $\iota(u) = \Lambda_u$ , is bounded. To show the injectivity of  $\iota$ , we assume that  $\Lambda_u = 0$ . Then for every  $f \in C_0(H)$ , since

$\partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s) = \partial_t R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) = \partial_t R_\alpha(y, s; x, t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Lambda_u(\tilde{R}_\alpha(f)) \\
&= \iint_H \left( u(x, t) \iint_H t \partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s) f(y, s) dy ds \right) dx dt \\
&= \iint_H \left( \iint_H u(x, t) t \partial_t R_\alpha(y, s; x, t) dx dt \right) f(y, s) dy ds \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \iint_H R_\alpha^1 u(y, s) f(y, s) dy ds = -\frac{1}{2} \iint_H u(y, s) f(y, s) dy ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies  $u = 0$ . Note that all the above double integrals converge. In fact, by Lemma 6.1

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_H \iint_H |u(x, t) t \partial_t R_\alpha(x, t; y, s) f(y, s)| dy ds dx dt \\
&\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(H)} \iint_H |u(x, t)| \left( \iint_H \frac{t}{(t+s)(t+s+|x-y|^{2\alpha})^{n/(2\alpha)+1}} dy ds \right) dx dt \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty(H)} \|u\|_{L^1(H)} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, to show the surjectivity of  $\iota$ , take  $\Lambda \in (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0})^*$  arbitrarily. Then because of Theorem 7.7 and Lemma 9.2,  $f \mapsto \Lambda(\tilde{R}_\alpha f)$  defines a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(H)$ . Hence by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a bounded signed measure  $\mu$  on  $H$  such that

$$\Lambda(\tilde{R}_\alpha f) = \iint_H f(x, t) d\mu(x, t),$$

for every  $f \in C_0(H)$ . We define a function  $u$  on  $H$  by

$$u(y, s) = 4 \iint_H t \partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s) d\mu(x, t).$$

Then  $u \in \mathfrak{b}_\alpha^1$ . In fact, since  $t \partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s)$  is  $L^{(\alpha)}$ -harmonic with respect to  $(y, s)$ , so is  $u$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^1(H)} &\leq 4 \iint_H \left( \iint_H |t \partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s)| d|\mu|(x, t) \right) dy ds \\
&\leq 8 \iint_H \left( \iint_H |t T_t \partial_s^2 W^{(\alpha)}(x-y, s)| dy ds \right) d|\mu|(x, t) \\
&= 8 \iint_H t \|\partial_s^2 T_t W^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^1(H)} d|\mu|(x, t) = 8C \| \mu \|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 3.2 for the last equality. Now for every  $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0}$  the equality

$v = -2\tilde{R}_\alpha(\Phi v)$  gives  $\Phi v = -2\Phi(\tilde{R}_\alpha(\Phi v))$  so that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(v) &= -2\Lambda(\tilde{R}_\alpha(\Phi v)) = -2 \iint_H \Phi v(x, t) d\mu(x, t) \\ &= 4 \iint_H \Phi(\tilde{R}_\alpha(\Phi v))(x, t) d\mu(x, t) \\ &= 4 \iint_H \left( \iint_H t \partial_t \tilde{R}_\alpha(x, t; y, s) d\mu(x, t) \right) \Phi v(y, s) dy ds \\ &= \iint_H u(y, s) \Phi v(y, s) dy ds = \Lambda_u(v).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the map  $\iota$  is surjective, and hence  $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha^1 \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\alpha,0})^*$ . □

---

### References

- [1] C. Berg and G. Forst: Potential theory on locally compact abelian groups, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.
- [2] J. Bliedtner and W. Hansen: Potential theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [3] J.L. Doob: Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [4] M. Itô: *On  $\alpha$ -harmonic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **26** (1966), 205–221.
- [5] M. Itô and M. Nishio: *Poincaré type conditions of the regularity for the parabolic operator of order  $\alpha$* , Nagoya Math. J. **115** (1989), 1–22.
- [6] T. Takehi and K. Sakai: *Behavior of solutions to linear and semilinear parabolic pseudo-differential equations*, Preprint.
- [7] N.S. Landkof: Foundations of modern potential theory, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [8] M. Nishio: *The Wiener criterion of regular points for the parabolic operator of order  $\alpha$* , Nagoya Math. J. **116** (1989), 163–179.
- [9] M. Nishio and N. Suzuki: *A characterization of strip domains by a mean value property for the parabolic operator of order  $\alpha$* , New Zealand J. Math. **29** (2000), 47–54.
- [10] W.C. Ramey and H. Yi: *Harmonic Bergman functions on half-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 633–660.
- [11] N.A. Watson: Parabolic equations on an infinite strip, Marcel Dekker, 1989.
- [12] D.V. Widder: The heat equation, Academic Press, 1975.
- [13] H. Yi: *Harmonic little Bloch functions on half-spaces*, Math. Japon. **47** (1998), 21–28.

Masaharu Nishio  
Department of Mathematics  
Graduate School of Science  
Osaka City University  
Osaka 558-8585, Japan  
e-mail: nishio@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

Katsunori Shimomura  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science  
Ibaraki University  
Mito 310-8512, Japan  
e-mail: shimomur@mx.ibaraki.ac.jp

Noriaki Suzuki  
Graduate School of Mathematics  
Nagoya University  
Nagoya 464-8602, Japan  
e-mail: nsuzuki@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp