

Laradji, A.
Osaka J. Math.
50 (2013), 591–605

ON RELATIVE HEIGHT ZERO BRAUER CHARACTERS

A. LARADJI

(Received October 25, 2011)

Abstract

Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is a finite group and let B be a p -block of G , where p is a prime. A Brauer character $\psi \in \text{IBr}_p(B)$ is said to be of relative height zero with respect to N provided that the height of ψ is equal to that of an irreducible constituent of ψ_N . Now assume G is p -solvable. In this paper, we count the number of relative height zero irreducible Brauer characters of B with respect to N that lie over any given $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_p(N)$. As a consequence, we show that if D is a defect group of B and \hat{B} is the unique p -block of $NN_G(D)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$, then B and \hat{B} have equal numbers of relative height zero irreducible Brauer characters with respect to N .

1. Introduction

Fix a prime p and let N be a normal subgroup of a finite group G . Let B be a p -block of G and let $\psi \in \text{IBr}(B)$, the set of irreducible Brauer characters belonging to B . Suppose θ is an irreducible constituent of ψ_N , and write b for the p -block of N to which θ belongs. As in [8], a defect group D of B is called an inertial defect group of B (with respect to b) if it is a defect group of the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in the inertial group T of b in G .

By [8, Lemma 3.2], we have $\text{ht}(\psi) \geq \text{ht}(\theta)$. If θ' is any other irreducible constituent of ψ_N , then θ' is G -conjugate to θ and belongs to a G -conjugate of b . Since G -conjugate p -blocks of N have equal defects, the difference $\text{ht}(\psi) - \text{ht}(\theta)$ does not depend on the choice of the constituent θ .

The Brauer character ψ is said to be of *relative height zero* with respect to N provided that $\text{ht}(\psi) = \text{ht}(\theta)$. We denote by $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$, the set of irreducible Brauer characters belonging to B and having relative height zero with respect to N . If $\text{ht}(\psi) = 0$, then $\text{ht}(\theta) = 0$ as $\text{ht}(\psi) \geq \text{ht}(\theta)$. Hence every irreducible Brauer character in B of height zero lies in $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$, and so in particular $\text{IBr}_N^0(B) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore when $N = 1$, then $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ is precisely the set of irreducible Brauer characters in B of height zero. (See also Corollary 2.2 below.)

Let $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$. We write $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$ for the set of all those Brauer characters in $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ that lie over φ . Theorem 3.3 in [8] implies that $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20C15; Secondary 20C20.

The project was supported by the Research Center, College of Science, King Saud University.

φ is D -invariant for some inertial defect group D of B . So in particular, $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$ when φ is G -invariant.

Assume now that G is p -solvable. In [6], we counted the number of height zero irreducible Brauer characters in B that lie over φ . If φ is not of height zero, then in view of the inequality above, there are no height zero irreducible Brauer characters in B over φ . So, in effect, the main result of [6] counts the number of elements in $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$ in case φ is of height zero. In this paper, we count the number of elements in $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$.

Theorem A. *Let G be a p -solvable group and let B be a p -block of G . Suppose N is a normal subgroup of G , b is a p -block of N covered by B , T is the inertial group of b in G and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$. If D is an inertial defect group of B with respect to b and \hat{B} is the unique p -block of $NN_G(D)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$, then*

$$|\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| = \left| \bigcup_{t \in T} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t) \right|.$$

As a consequence of this result, we have the following.

Theorem B. *Let G be a p -solvable group and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D . Suppose N is a normal subgroup of G and let \hat{B} be the unique p -block of $NN_G(D)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$. Then*

$$|\text{IBr}_N^0(B)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B})|.$$

If we take $N = 1$, then Theorem B reduces to an equivalent form of [10, Theorem 4.1], which is a modular version of the Alperin–McKay conjecture for p -solvable groups.

We finally mention that Theorem B is not true if the group is not assumed to be p -solvable. For example, let $G = GL(3, 2)$. Let B be the principal 2-block of G and take $N = 1$. Then $|\text{IBr}_N^0(B)| = 3$, as B has three irreducible Brauer characters, all of height zero. On the other hand, if D is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , then $N_G(D) = D$ and so if \hat{B} is the principal 2-block of $N_G(D)$, we have $|\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B})| = 1$.

2. Proof of the results

In this section we prove Theorems A and B of the introduction. We begin with a number of preliminary results.

Let ψ be an irreducible p -Brauer character of an arbitrary finite group G . By a vertex of ψ we mean any vertex of the simple G -module (in characteristic p) that corresponds to ψ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D . Suppose $\psi \in \text{IBr}(B)$.*

- (i) *$\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ if and only if $|D|/|D \cap N| = |P|/|P \cap N|$ where P is any vertex of ψ .*
- (ii) *Suppose Q is a vertex of ψ with $Q \subseteq D$. Then $\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ if and only if $QN = DN$.*

Proof. (i) Let φ be an irreducible constituent of ψ_N and let P be a vertex for ψ . Then [11, Corollary 3] implies that $|P \cap N|$ is the order of any vertex of φ . Furthermore, if b is the p -block of N to which φ belongs, $|D \cap N|$ is the order of any defect group of b from [3, Proposition 4.2]. Now $\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{|G|_p}{\psi(1)_p} \left(\frac{|N|_p}{\varphi(1)_p} \right)^{-1} = \frac{|D|}{|D \cap N|}.$$

The result is then immediate by [1, Theorem 2.1].

- (ii) Part (i) implies that $\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ if and only if $|QN| = |DN|$. Since $Q \subseteq D$, we have $QN \subseteq DN$ and the result immediately follows. □

Before stating our second preliminary result, we mention an easy corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $M \subseteq N$ be normal subgroups of a p -solvable group G and let B be a p -block of G . Then $\text{IBr}_M^0(B) \subseteq \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$.*

Proof. Let D be a defect group of B and assume $\psi \in \text{IBr}_M^0(B)$. Choose a vertex Q for ψ such that $Q \subseteq D$. By Lemma 2.1 (ii) we have $QM = DM$. So $QN = DN$ and again by Lemma 2.1 (ii), $\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$. □

Our next lemma involves the concept of a relative p -block introduced in [4, 5]. For the reader's convenience, we first give a brief review of this concept and other related notions needed for our purposes.

Let G be a p -solvable group. If χ is an ordinary character of G , we denote by χ^0 the restriction of χ to the set of p -regular elements of G .

In [2], Isaacs associates to every character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ a unique (up to G -conjugacy) pair (W, γ) called nucleus of χ such that W is a subgroup of G , $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(W)$ is p -factorable (i.e., γ is a product of a p -special and a p' -special characters of W), and $\chi = \gamma^G$. Let $B_{p'}(G)$ be the set of all those $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ for which γ is p' -special. Then Isaacs in [2] proved that the restriction map $\chi \mapsto \chi^0$ defines a bijection of $B_{p'}(G)$ onto $\text{IBr}(G)$.

Now let $N \triangleleft G$ and $\mu \in B_{p'}(N)$. We say that two characters $\chi, \chi' \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$ (the set of irreducible characters of G lying over μ) are linked provided that there exist irreducible characters $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ of G lying over μ and irreducible Brauer characters $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1}$ of G such that $\chi_0 = \chi, \chi_n = \chi'$ and $d_{\chi_i \psi_i} d_{\chi_{i+1} \psi_i} \neq 0$ for all

$0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. This linking clearly defines an equivalence relation on $\text{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$ and the resulting equivalence classes are called relative p -blocks of G with respect to (N, μ) . Let $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ be the set of all these relative p -blocks. If B is any (Brauer) p -block of G such that $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G \mid \mu) \neq \emptyset$, then it is not hard to see that $\text{Irr}(B) \cap \text{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$ is in fact a union of some relative p -blocks in $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$.

Let $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$. By $\text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$, we mean the set of all $\psi \in \text{IBr}(G)$ such that $\psi = \chi^0$ where $\chi \in \mathcal{B}$. It turns out that $\text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$ is always nonempty.

Finally we should mention that a notion of the defect group of a relative p -block is defined in Section 4 of [5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a p -solvable group and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D . Suppose $N \triangleleft G$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{B}_p(N)$ be G -invariant. If Q is a vertex of the unique irreducible Brauer character ψ of DN lying over the irreducible Brauer character μ^0 , then*

- (i) $QN = DN$;
- (ii) *there exists a nucleus (W, γ) for μ such that Q is contained in the stabilizer S of (W, γ) in G and $Q \cap W$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of W ;*
- (iii) *assume $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ has defect group Q and let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ be the relative p -block in $\text{Bl}_p(N_G(QN) \mid \mu)$ with defect group Q corresponding to \mathcal{B} via Proposition 3.4 (c) in [6]. Then if \hat{B} is the p -block of $N_G(QN)$ ($= N_G(DN)$) with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$, we have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$ if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\hat{B})$.*

Proof. (i) Since μ is G -invariant, then so is μ^0 . It follows by [9, Theorem 3.5.11 (ii)] that $\psi_N = \mu^0$, and so in particular $\psi(1)_p = \mu^0(1)_p$. Next as Q is a vertex for ψ , [11, Corollary 3] implies that $|Q \cap N|$ is the order of any vertex of μ^0 . Then by [1, Theorem 2.1], $|DN|_p/|Q| = |N|_p/|Q \cap N|$, and hence $|D|/|D \cap N| = |Q|/|Q \cap N|$. Therefore $|DN| = |QN|$. But $QN \subseteq DN$ as $Q \subseteq DN$. It follows that $QN = DN$.

(ii) Since $QN = DN$ by (i), Lemma 2.4 (b) in [7] tells us that there exists a nucleus (W, γ) for μ such that Q is contained in the stabilizer S of (W, γ) in G .

Next by Lemma 2.3 (c) of [7], there is $\eta \in \text{IBr}(S \cap (QN))$ lying over γ^0 and having vertex Q such that $\eta^{QN} = \psi$. Now as γ is an S -invariant p' -special character of W , we conclude by [6, Lemma 2.1 (b)] that $Q \cap W$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of W .

(iii) Let β (resp. $\tilde{\beta}$) be the p -block of G (resp. $N_G(QN)$) such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\beta)$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\tilde{\beta})$). We claim that $\tilde{\beta}^G$ is defined and $\tilde{\beta}^G = \beta$.

Since $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ has Q as a defect group, Corollary 2.5 in [6] says that there exists $\nu \in \text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}})$ having vertex Q . Now $\nu \in \text{IBr}(\tilde{\beta})$ and hence by [9, Theorem 5.1.9], there is some defect group P of $\tilde{\beta}$ such that $Q \subseteq P$. As $QC_G(Q) \subseteq N_G(QN)$, it follows by Corollary 5.3.7 and Theorem 5.3.6 of [9] that $\tilde{\beta}^G$ is defined. Next we show that $\tilde{\beta}^G = \beta$.

Choose $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}$. Since α lies over μ , we may write $\alpha^G = \sum c_\delta \delta$ for $\delta \in \text{Irr}(G \mid \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$ be all the (distinct) relative p -blocks in $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ that are

contained in $\text{Irr}(\beta)$. Then

$$\sum_{\delta \in \text{Irr}(\beta)} c_\delta \delta = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{B}_i} c_\delta \delta \right).$$

Now as $[\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{B}_1} c_\delta \delta(1)]_p = [\alpha^G(1)]_p$ and $[\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{B}_i} c_\delta \delta(1)]_p > [\alpha^G(1)]_p$ for $i \neq 1$ by [6, Proposition 3.4 (a)], we get that $[\sum_{\delta \in \text{Irr}(\beta)} c_\delta \delta(1)]_p = [\alpha^G(1)]_p$. Since $\alpha \in \hat{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\tilde{\beta})$, it follows by [9, Corollary 5.3.2] that $\tilde{\beta}^G = \beta$, as claimed.

Now if $\hat{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\hat{B})$, then $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$ by the above. Next suppose $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$. Then $\tilde{\beta}^G = B$ and so to finish the proof of (iii), by the uniqueness of the p -block \hat{B} , it suffices to show that $\tilde{\beta}$ has defect group D .

Recall that P is a defect group of $\tilde{\beta}$. Then in view of Lemma 5.3.3 of [9], there exists $g \in G$ for which

$$(*) \quad P \subseteq D^g.$$

So in particular $QN \subseteq PN \subseteq D^g N$. Since $QN = DN$ by (i) and $|DN| = |D^g N|$, it follows that

$$(**) \quad QN = PN = D^g N.$$

Next let b be the p -block of N such that $\mu \in \text{Irr}(b)$. Since $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\tilde{\beta}) \cap \text{Irr}(N_G(QN) \mid \mu)$, we have that $\tilde{\beta}$ covers b . Also B covers b , as $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$. Proposition 4.2 in [3] now implies that $|P \cap N| = |D^g \cap N| = p^{d(b)}$, where $d(b)$ is the defect of b . In view of (**), we are then forced to have $|P| = |D^g|$. Hence $P = D^g$ from (*). Also, since $DN = QN = D^g N$, it is clear that $g \in N_G(DN)$. Then as P is a defect group of $\tilde{\beta}$ (a p -block of $N_G(DN)$), we deduce that D also is a defect group for $\tilde{\beta}$, as needed to be shown. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B be a p -block of G with defect group D . Assume $\mu \in \text{B}_p(N)$ is G -invariant and $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$ where $\varphi = \mu^0$. If Q is a vertex for the unique irreducible Brauer character ψ of DN lying over φ , then*

- (i) ω has vertex Q ;
- (ii) $\omega \in \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$ for some relative p -block $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ having defect group Q and such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$.

Proof. Let Q be a vertex of the unique irreducible Brauer character ψ of DN lying over φ . Then $QN = DN$ by Lemma 2.3 (i).

- (i) Choose a vertex R for ω such that $R \subseteq D$. Then by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have $RN = DN$. Now as φ is G -invariant, [7, Lemma 2.5] tells us that R is a vertex of ψ . So Q is DN -conjugate to R , and hence is a vertex for ω .

(ii) Let χ be the unique character in $B_{p'}(G)$ such that $\chi^0 = \omega$. As ω lies over φ and all the irreducible constituents of χ_N are in $B_{p'}(N)$ by [2, Corollary 7.5], χ must lie over μ . Let \mathcal{B} be the relative p -block in $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ in which χ lies. Then $\omega \in \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$ and since $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$, we have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$. Next we show that \mathcal{B} has Q as a defect group.

By Corollary 2.5 in [6], \mathcal{B} has a defect group P such that $Q \subseteq P$ (as $\omega \in \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$), and there is $\xi \in \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$ with P as a vertex. Now since $\xi \in \text{IBr}(B)$, we have $P \subseteq D^g$ for some $g \in G$, and so in particular $PN \subseteq (DN)^g$. But $QN \subseteq PN$ and $QN = DN$. We deduce then that $QN = PN$. Also, as ω and ξ both lie over φ , [11, Corollary 3] implies that $|Q \cap N| = |P \cap N|$. It follows that $|Q| = |P|$ and therefore $Q = P$ as $Q \subseteq P$. We have thus shown that Q is a defect group for \mathcal{B} , as needed. \square

The following is an essential step toward the proof of Theorem A.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B and b be p -blocks of G and N respectively such that B covers b . Suppose $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$ is G -invariant. Let D be a defect group of B and write \hat{B} for the unique p -block of $N_G(DN)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$, then*

$$|\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi)|.$$

Proof. First let μ be the character in $B_{p'}(N)$ for which $\mu^0 = \varphi$. Since μ is uniquely determined by φ , we note that μ is G -invariant. Next fix a vertex Q for the unique irreducible Brauer character ψ of DN that lies over φ . Then, in view of Lemma 2.3 (i), we have $QN = DN$.

Next by [8, Lemma 3.2 (ii)], $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$. Then Lemma 2.4 tells us that ω has vertex Q and that $\omega \in \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B})$ for some relative p -block $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ having Q as a defect group and such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$.

Let now $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$ be all the (distinct) relative p -blocks in $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ having defect group Q and such that $\mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Next write A for the set of all those $\eta \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{IBr}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ having Q as a vertex. Then $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \subseteq A$ by the preceding paragraph. Since $QN = DN$, Lemma 2.1 implies that $A \subseteq \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$. Consequently, we have $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) = A$.

Next by Lemma 2.3 (ii), there exists a nucleus (W, γ) of μ such that Q is contained in the stabilizer of (W, γ) in G and $Q \cap W$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of W . Then for each i , let $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i$ be the relative p -block in $\text{Bl}_p(N_G(QN) \mid \mu)$ with defect group Q corresponding to \mathcal{B}_i through Proposition 3.4 (c) of [6]. By Lemma 2.3 (iii), we have $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i \subseteq \text{Irr}(\hat{B})$.

Suppose $\hat{\beta}$ is a relative p -block in $\text{Bl}_p(N_G(QN) \mid \mu)$ with defect group Q and such that $\hat{\beta} \subseteq \text{Irr}(\hat{B})$. If β is the relative p -block in $\text{Bl}_p(G \mid \mu)$ that corresponds to $\hat{\beta}$ via [6, Proposition 3.4 (c)], then Q is a defect group for β , and by Lemma 2.3 (iii)

we have $\beta \subseteq \text{Irr}(B)$. Therefore β is one of $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_m$ and it follows that $\hat{\beta}$ is one of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{B}}_m$. This tells us that $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{B}}_m$ are all the (distinct) relative p -blocks in $\text{Bl}_p(N_G(QN) \mid \mu)$ having Q as a defect group and which are contained in $\text{Irr}(\hat{B})$.

If $\rho \in \text{Irr}(B)$, then the irreducible constituents of ρ_N all belong to b , as b is G -invariant. It follows from the remark following Lemma 3.1 in [6] that the p -block \hat{B} covers b . Now let C be the set of all $\tau \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i)$ having vertex Q . Then by repeating the argument used above to show that $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) = A$, we get that $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi) = C$.

Next let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and assume $\zeta \in \text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i)$. We claim that Q is a vertex of ζ . Since ζ lies over φ and ψ is the unique irreducible Brauer character of QN lying over φ , we have that ζ lies over ψ . Then as Q is a vertex for ψ , there exists a vertex P of ζ with $Q \subseteq P$ by [9, Lemma 4.3.4]. On the other hand, Corollary 2.5 of [6] implies that some $N_G(QN)$ -conjugate of P is contained in the defect group Q of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i$. It follows that $P = Q$, which proves our claim.

We now have $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi) = C = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i)$. By [6, Proposition 3.4 (b)], $|\text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i)|$ is equal to the number of all those Brauer characters in $\text{IBr}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ that have Q as a vertex. It follows that $|\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| = |A| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\text{IBr}(\hat{\mathcal{B}}_i)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi)|$, and the proof of the proposition is complete. □

The stable case of our next proposition is the key for proving Theorem A. This result is analogous to Theorem A, where the subgroup $NN_G(D)$ is replaced by the possibly larger subgroup $N_G(DN)$ (note that $NN_G(D) \subseteq N_G(DN)$, always). We should mention that in the proof of this proposition, we will adapt some of the arguments used in the proof of the main theorem of [6].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B and b be p -blocks of G and N respectively such that B covers b . Let T be the inertial group of b in G and suppose $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$. If D is an inertial defect group of B with respect to b and \hat{B} is the unique p -block of $N_G(DN)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$, then*

$$|\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| = \left| \bigcup_{t \in T} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t) \right|.$$

Proof. First let I be the inertial group of φ in G .

CASE 1. Assume $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) = \emptyset$. We just need to show that $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t) = \emptyset$ for all $t \in T$. Suppose, on the contrary, that there is $t_0 \in T$ for which $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{t_0}) \neq \emptyset$. Then [8, Theorem 3.3] implies that an $N_G(DN)$ -conjugate of D , hence DN , is contained in the inertial group of φ^{t_0} in G . So, in particular, $D^{t_0^{-1}} \subseteq I$. Now as D is an inertial defect group of B with respect to b and $t_0^{-1} \in T$, we have that $D^{t_0^{-1}}$ also is an inertial defect group of B with respect to b . Then in view of Theorem 3.3 in [8], it follows that $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts our assumption.

CASE 2. Suppose that $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$. Next denote by $\omega_{(I)}$ the unique irreducible Brauer character of I lying over φ such that $(\omega_{(I)})^G = \omega$ and let $\omega_{(T)}$ be the irreducible Brauer character $(\omega_{(I)})^T$. Then as $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$ and $\omega_{(T)}$ lies over φ , [9, Theorem 5.5.10] implies that $\omega_{(T)} \in \text{IBr}(B')$, where B' is the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in T . Now let Q be a vertex of $\omega_{(I)}$. Then $\omega_{(T)}$ has vertex Q and so by [9, Theorem 5.1.9], $Q \subseteq D^t$ for some $t \in T$. Also, since Q is a vertex for ω and $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$, we have $\omega_{(T)} \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B')$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). Then part (ii) of Lemma 2.1 tells us that $QN = D^tN$.

Choose now a minimal subset U of T such that for each $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$, there exists a unique element $u_\omega \in U$ such that $D^{u_\omega}N = QN$ for some vertex Q of $\omega_{(I)}$.

STEP 1. Our objective in this step is to show that

$$(1) \quad \bigcup_{t \in T} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t) = \bigcup_{u \in U} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}}).$$

So let $t \in T$ and suppose $\theta \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t)$. Then as a vertex of $\theta^{t^{-1}}$ is conjugate to a vertex of θ and a defect group of $\hat{B}^{t^{-1}}$ is conjugate to a defect group of \hat{B} , we have $\theta^{t^{-1}} \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^{t^{-1}} \mid \varphi)$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). Let now η be the unique element in $\text{IBr}(N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N) \cap I)$ lying over φ such that $\eta^{N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)} = \theta^{t^{-1}}$.

Since $\hat{B}^{t^{-1}}$ has defect group $D^{t^{-1}}$, the Brauer character $\theta^{t^{-1}}$ has some vertex $P \subseteq D^{t^{-1}}$ by [9, Theorem 5.1.9]. Then Lemma 2.1 (ii) says that $PN = D^{t^{-1}}N$. Next there is $h \in N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)$ for which $R = P^h$ is a vertex for η . Now $RN = (PN)^h = D^{t^{-1}}N$. So in particular, as $RN \subseteq I$, we get $D^{t^{-1}}N \subseteq I$. Therefore $N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N) \cap I = N_I(D^{t^{-1}}N)$.

Now if β is the p -block of $N_I(D^{t^{-1}}N)$ for which $\eta \in \text{IBr}(\beta)$, we have that $\beta^{N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)}$ is defined and $\beta^{N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)} = \hat{B}^{t^{-1}}$ by Lemma 3.1 in [8].

Next choose a defect group L for β such that $R \subseteq L$. By [9, Lemma 5.3.3], there exists $k \in N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)$ such that $L \subseteq (D^{t^{-1}})^k$. Now

$$RN \subseteq LN \subseteq (D^{t^{-1}}N)^k = D^{t^{-1}}N.$$

Since $RN = D^{t^{-1}}N$, we get

$$(2) \quad LN = D^{t^{-1}}N.$$

Next as η lies over φ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$, the p -block β covers b . Then [3, Proposition 4.2] implies that $|L \cap N| = p^{d(b)}$ where $d(b)$ is the defect of b . Similarly, since $\hat{B}^{t^{-1}}$ covers b , we have $|D^{t^{-1}} \cap N| = p^{d(b)}$. Now from (2) $|L|/|L \cap N| = |D^{t^{-1}}|/|D^{t^{-1}} \cap N|$ and it follows that

$$(3) \quad |L| = |D^{t^{-1}}| = |D|.$$

Since $N_I(L) \subseteq N_I(LN) \subseteq I$ and β is a p -block of $N_I(LN)$ ($= N_I(D^{t^{-1}}N)$) with defect group L , Theorem 5.3.8 in [9] tells us that β^L is defined and has L as a defect

group. Furthermore, as β covers b , note by the remark following Lemma 3.1 of [6] that β^I covers b as well.

Now since φ is I -invariant, there exists an element $\nu \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\beta^I \mid \varphi)$ by [8, Lemma 3.2 (ii)]. Next we have $\beta^{N_G(D^{t^{-1}}N)} = \hat{B}^{t^{-1}}$ and $(\hat{B}^{t^{-1}})^G = B$. Then [9, Lemma 5.3.4] says that β^G is defined and equals B . By Lemma 5.3.4 in [9] again, we get that $(\beta^I)^G$ is defined and equals B . Now as ν is an irreducible Brauer character of β^I lying over φ , [8, Lemma 3.1] implies that ν^G is an irreducible Brauer character of B lying over φ . We claim that $\nu^G \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$.

As $\nu \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\beta^I \mid \varphi)$ and the order of any defect group of β^I is $|D|$ by (3), we have $(\nu(1)_p | D|) / |I|_p = (\varphi(1)_p p^{d(b)}) / |N|_p$. On the other hand, $(\nu^G(1)_p | D|) / |I|_p = (\nu^G(1)_p | D|) / |G|_p$. It follows that $\nu^G \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$, as claimed.

Next choose a vertex K of ν such that $K \subseteq L$ (recall that L is a defect group of β^I). Since $\nu \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\beta^I)$, we have $KN = LN$ by Lemma 2.1 (ii). Further, by the choice of the subset U , as $\nu^G \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$, there exist $y \in I$ and $u_0 \in U$ such that $K^y N = D^{u_0} N$. Now in view of (2), we get that $(DN)^{t^{-1}y} = (D^{t^{-1}}N)^y = (DN)^{u_0}$. Hence $z = u_0 y^{-1} t \in N_G(DN)$. Then $\varphi^t = \varphi^{y u_0^{-1} z} = (\varphi^{u_0^{-1}})^z$, which tells us that φ^t is $N_G(DN)$ -conjugate to $\varphi^{u_0^{-1}}$. Now since $\theta \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^t)$, we conclude that $\theta \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u_0^{-1}})$. This clearly proves (1).

STEP 2. For each $u \in U$, we let E_u be the set of $\omega \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$ such that $\omega_{(I)}$ has a vertex Q with $QN = D^u N$. By the minimality of U , it is clear that $E_u \neq \emptyset$. Our final goal in this step is to prove that

$$(4) \quad |E_u| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}})|,$$

for each $u \in U$.

Let $u \in U$. Suppose $\omega \in E_u$. If Δ is the p -block of I such that $\omega_{(I)} \in \text{IBr}(\Delta)$, then Δ^G is defined and equals B by Lemma 3.1 of [8]. Let Q be a vertex for $\omega_{(I)}$ such that

$$(5) \quad QN = D^u N.$$

Then $Q \subseteq P$ for some defect group P of Δ . Next by [9, Lemma 5.3.3], there is $g \in G$ such that $P \subseteq (D^u)^g$. Now we have $QN \subseteq PN \subseteq (D^u N)^g$. Then from (5), it follows that

$$(6) \quad D^u N = QN = PN = (D^u N)^g.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we get that $\omega_{(I)} \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta \mid \varphi)$.

It is clear from (6) that $g \in N_G(D^u N)$. Also, as $PN = D^u N$ and $|P \cap N| = p^{d(b)} = |D^u \cap N|$ (as implied by [3, Proposition 4.2]), we have $|P| = |D^u|$. Since $P \subseteq (D^u)^g$, it follows that $P = (D^u)^g$. Hence Δ has some $N_G(D^u N)$ -conjugate (namely P) of D^u as a defect group.

Let now $\Delta_{u,1}, \dots, \Delta_{u,n_u}$ be all the (distinct) p -blocks of I covering b , each having some $N_G(D^u N)$ -conjugate of D^u as a defect group and such that $(\Delta_{u,i})^G = B$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n_u\}$.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n_u\}$. Assume that $\tau \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta_{u,i} \mid \varphi)$. Then $\tau^G \in \text{IBr}(B \mid \varphi)$ by [8, Lemma 3.1]. Next choose a defect group $R_{u,i}$ for $\Delta_{u,i}$ which is $N_G(D^u N)$ -conjugate to D^u . Let L be a vertex of τ with $L \subseteq R_{u,i}$. Then by Lemma 2.1 (ii) we have $LN = R_{u,i}N = D^u N$. So, in particular, $|L|/|L \cap N| = |D|/|D \cap N|$. Since τ^G has vertex L , it follows by Lemma 2.1 (i) that $\tau^G \in E_u$.

Now the correspondence $\tau \mapsto \tau^G$ defines a map from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_u} \text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta_{u,i} \mid \varphi)$ to E_u . This map is onto by the above discussion and 1-1 by Theorem 3.3.2 of [9]. Consequently we get $|E_u| = \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} |\text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta_{u,i} \mid \varphi)|$.

For each i , we have seen above that $\Delta_{u,i}$ has a defect group $R_{u,i}$ such that $R_{u,i}N = D^u N$. Let $\hat{\Delta}_{u,i}$ be the unique p -block of $N_I(D^u N)$ having $R_{u,i}$ as a defect group and such that $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^I = \Delta_{u,i}$. By Proposition 2.5, we have $|\text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta_{u,i} \mid \varphi)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)|$. It follows that

$$(7) \quad |E_u| = \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)|.$$

Now let $i \in \{1, \dots, n_u\}$. As φ is I -invariant, Lemma 3.2 (ii) in [8] tells us that $\text{IBr}_N^0(\Delta_{u,i} \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. So $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\alpha \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)$. Then $\alpha^{N_G(D^u N)}$ is an irreducible Brauer character of $N_G(D^u N)$ lying over φ . Now by [8, Lemma 3.1], $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)}$ is defined and $\alpha^{N_G(D^u N)} \in \text{IBr}((\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)})$. Next we have $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^I = \Delta_{u,i}$ and $(\Delta_{u,i})^G = B$. So $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^G$ is defined and equals B by [9, Lemma 5.3.4]. Applying Lemma 5.3.4 of [9] once again, we get that $((\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)})^G$ is defined and equals B .

Next we know that $\hat{\Delta}_{u,i}$ has $R_{u,i}$ as a defect group. Then by Lemma 5.3.3 in [9], $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)}$ has a defect group M for which $R_{u,i} \subseteq M \subseteq D^f$, where f is some element of G . But as $R_{u,i}$ is G -conjugate to D , we conclude that $M = R_{u,i}$. Further, since $R_{u,i}$ is $N_G(D^u N)$ -conjugate to D^u , it follows that $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)}$ has D^u as a defect group. However, \hat{B}^u is the only p -block of $N_G(D^u N)$ that has D^u as a defect group and such that $(\hat{B}^u)^G = B$. We must then have that $(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})^{N_G(D^u N)} = \hat{B}^u$. Then $\alpha^{N_G(D^u N)} \in \text{IBr}(\hat{B}^u)$.

Let X be a vertex of α . As $\alpha \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i})$, we have $|R_{u,i}|/|R_{u,i} \cap N| = |X|/|X \cap N|$ by Lemma 2.1. Now since X is also a vertex for $\alpha^{N_G(D^u N)}$ and $R_{u,i}$ is a defect group of \hat{B}^u , it follows by the same lemma that $\alpha^{N_G(D^u N)} \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^u \mid \varphi)$.

We may now define a map F from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_u} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)$ to $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^u \mid \varphi)$ by $F(\alpha) = \alpha^{N_G(D^u N)}$. We claim that F is a bijection. First F is 1-1 by [9, Theorem 3.3.2]. Next we show that F is onto.

Let δ be an element of $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^u \mid \varphi)$. Next call γ , the element in $\text{IBr}(N_I(D^u N))$ that lies over φ and such that $\gamma^{N_G(D^u N)} = \delta$. Now if Λ is the p -block of $N_I(D^u N)$

to which γ belongs, we have that $\Lambda^{N_G(D^u N)}$ is defined and equals \hat{B}^u by Lemma 3.1 of [8].

Let Y be a defect group for Λ . Then by [9, Lemma 5.3.3], $Y \subseteq (D^u)^e$ for some $e \in N_G(D^u N)$. So, in particular, $YN \subseteq D^u N$. Now choose a vertex V for γ such that $V \subseteq Y$. Since $\delta \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^u)$, V is a vertex of δ and $(D^u)^e$ is a defect group of \hat{B}^u , Lemma 2.1 (ii) tells us that $VN = (D^u)^e N = D^u N$. It follows that

$$(8) \quad VN = YN = D^u N.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1 (ii) again, we have $\gamma \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\Lambda \mid \varphi)$. Also by (8) we have $|Y|/|Y \cap N| = |D^u|/|D^u \cap N|$. As each of Λ and \hat{B}^u covers the p -block b , Proposition 4.2 of [3] implies that $|Y \cap N| = |D^u \cap N|$. Hence $|Y| = |D^u|$ and so $Y = (D^u)^e$.

By [9, Theorem 5.3.8], we have that Λ^I is defined and has Y as a defect group. Next as $\Lambda^{N_G(D^u N)} = \hat{B}^u$ and $(\hat{B}^u)^G = B$, Lemma 5.3.4 in [9] tells us that Λ^G is defined and $\Lambda^G = B$. Another application of [9, Lemma 5.3.4] now gives us that $(\Lambda^I)^G$ is defined and $(\Lambda^I)^G = B$.

Since Λ covers b , then so does Λ^I . Furthermore, as Λ^I has defect group Y , which is $N_G(D^u N)$ -conjugate to D^u , we deduce that $\Lambda^I = \Delta_{u,i_0}$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n_u\}$.

Recall that R_{u,i_0} is a defect group for Δ_{u,i_0} and that $R_{u,i_0}N = D^u N$. Since Y is also a defect group of Δ_{u,i_0} , we have $Y = (R_{u,i_0})^c$ with $c \in I$. Now as $YN = D^u N$ from (8), we get $R_{u,i_0}N = (R_{u,i_0}N)^c$. This tells us that $c \in N_I(R_{u,i_0}N) = N_I(D^u N)$. It follows that $R_{u,i_0} (= Y^{c^{-1}})$ is a defect group of Λ . Then we must have $\Lambda = \hat{\Delta}_{u,i_0}$.

Now $\gamma \in \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i_0} \mid \varphi)$ and $\gamma^{N_G(D^u N)} = \delta$. This proves that the map F is onto. Hence F is a bijection as claimed.

Now $|\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}})| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}^u \mid \varphi)| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_u} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)|$ (the second equality follows from the fact that F is a bijection). Since $\hat{\Delta}_{u,1}, \dots, \hat{\Delta}_{u,n_u}$ are distinct p -blocks of $N_I(D^u N)$ (because $\Delta_{u,1}, \dots, \Delta_{u,n_u}$ are distinct p -blocks) and $|E_u| = \sum_{i=1}^{n_u} |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{\Delta}_{u,i} \mid \varphi)|$ by (7), equality (4) follows.

STEP 3. By our choice of the set U , since $\{E_u : u \in U\}$ is a partition of $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| &= \sum_{u \in U} |E_u| \\ &= \sum_{u \in U} |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}})| \quad (\text{by (4)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now to complete the proof of the proposition, in view of (1), it suffices to show that the sets $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}})$ are mutually disjoint.

So let $u, u' \in U$ and suppose $\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u^{-1}}) \cap \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi^{u'^{-1}}) \neq \emptyset$. Then there is $x \in N_G(DN)$ for which $\varphi^{u^{-1}} = (\varphi^{u'^{-1}})^x$. So $u = x^{-1}u'w$ for some $w \in I$. Then $D^u N = (D^{u'}N)^w$ and by the choice of U , it follows that $u = u'$. The proof of the proposition is now complete. \square

We need two more lemmas to be able to prove the main theorems.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B and b be p -blocks of G and N respectively such that B covers b . Let T be the inertial group of b in G and let B' be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in T . Suppose $\varphi \in \text{IBr}(b)$. Then the map $\psi \mapsto \psi^G$ defines bijections from $\text{IBr}_N^0(B')$ onto $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$ and from $\text{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi)$ onto $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$.*

Proof. In view of [9, Theorem 5.5.10 (ii)], the map $\psi \mapsto \psi^G$ defines a bijection of $\text{IBr}(B')$ onto $\text{IBr}(B)$. We shall see that this bijection restricts to a bijection from $\text{IBr}_N^0(B')$ onto $\text{IBr}_N^0(B)$. For that, it suffices to show that $\psi \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B')$ if and only if $\psi^G \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$. So let $\psi \in \text{IBr}(B')$ and choose $\omega \in \text{IBr}(b)$ under ψ . Then ψ^G lies over ω . Since $\text{ht}(\psi) = \text{ht}(\psi^G)$, we have $\text{ht}(\psi) - \text{ht}(\omega) = \text{ht}(\psi^G) - \text{ht}(\omega)$. The result then immediately follows.

By the above, the map $\psi \mapsto \psi^G$ defines an injection from $\text{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi)$ to $\text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$. Next suppose $\tau \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)$. Since T contains the inertial group of φ (in G), then by Clifford’s theorem ([9, Exercise 3.3.4]), there exists an irreducible Brauer character ν of T over φ with $\nu^G = \tau$. Let B_0 be the p -block of T to which ν belongs. Then B_0 covers b and by [9, Theorem 5.5.10 (ii)], we have $\tau \in \text{IBr}(B_0^G)$. Hence $B_0^G = B$ and it follows (by Theorem 5.5.10 (i) of [9]) that $B_0 = B'$. So ν is an irreducible Brauer character of B' lying over φ . Since $\tau \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B)$, we have $\nu \in \text{IBr}_N^0(B')$ by the discussion in the first paragraph. This shows that our injection is, in fact, a bijection and the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $N \triangleleft G$ where G is p -solvable and let B and b be p -blocks of G and N respectively such that B covers b . Let T be the inertial group of b in G and let B' be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in T . Suppose D is a defect group of B' . Then B has defect group D . Moreover, if \hat{B} (resp. \hat{B}') is the unique p -block of $NN_G(D)$ (resp. $NN_T(D)$) with defect group D such that $\hat{B}^G = B$ (resp. $\hat{B}'^T = B'$), then \hat{B}' covers b , $\hat{B}'^{NN_G(D)}$ is defined and $\hat{B}'^{NN_G(D)} = \hat{B}$.*

Proof. The fact that B has defect group D is immediate from [9, Theorem 5.5.10 (iv)]. Next, since b is T -stable and B' covers b , then by the remark following Lemma 3.1 in [6], it is easy to see that \hat{B}' covers b . Also, as $NN_T(D)$ is the inertial group of b in $NN_G(D)$, we have that $\hat{B}'^{NN_G(D)}$ is defined and has defect group D by [9, Theorem 5.5.10]. Next $\hat{B}'^T = B'$ and $(B')^G = B$, and so in view of [9, Lemma 5.3.4], \hat{B}'^G is defined and $\hat{B}'^G = B$. Using Lemma 5.3.4 of [9] once more, we get that $(\hat{B}'^{NN_G(D)})^G$ is defined and equals B . Now by the uniqueness of \hat{B} , we are forced to have $\hat{B}'^{NN_G(D)} = \hat{B}$. This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now ready to present a proof for Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let B' be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in T . Then Lemma 2.7 implies that

$$(*) \quad |\mathrm{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi)| = |\mathrm{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)|.$$

Next we have that D is a defect group for B' and we write \hat{B}' for the unique p -block of $NN_T(D)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}'^T = B'$. Then Lemma 2.8 says that \hat{B}' covers b and that, as $NN_T(D)$ is the inertial group of b in $NN_G(D)$, \hat{B}' is the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of \hat{B} in $NN_T(D)$.

Note that $\varphi' \in \mathrm{IBr}(b)$ for every $t \in T$. Then by Lemma 2.7, the map $\psi \mapsto \psi^G$ defines a bijection from $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \varphi')$ onto $\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi')$. It follows, in particular, that

$$(**) \quad \left| \bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \varphi') \right| = \left| \bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi') \right|.$$

Next we claim that $NN_T(D) = N_T(DN)$.

First we easily see that $NN_T(D) \subseteq N_T(DN)$. Next, by [9, Corollary 5.5.6], there exists a unique p -block b_0 of DN covering b . Since b is $N_T(DN)$ -stable, it follows that b_0 is $N_T(DN)$ -stable. Also, as \hat{B}' covers b , then \hat{B}' must cover b_0 as well. Now in view of [3, Proposition 4.2], since \hat{B}' has defect group D , there is $g \in N$ for which D^g is a defect group for b_0 . Hence b_0 has D as a defect group. Let now x be any element of $N_T(DN)$. Then, as $b_0^x = b_0$, the subgroup D^x is a defect group of b_0 . It follows that D^x is DN -conjugate to D . Hence $D^x = D^y$ for some $y \in N$. Therefore $x \in NN_T(D)$. We have thus shown that $N_T(DN) \subseteq NN_T(D)$, and our claim is valid.

By Proposition 2.6, we have $|\mathrm{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi)| = |\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \varphi')|$. Then, taking into account (*) and (**), we get $|\mathrm{IBr}_N^0(B \mid \varphi)| = |\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathrm{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B} \mid \varphi')|$, as wanted. \square

Next, we take care of Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. Let b_0 be a p -block of N which is covered by B . Call T_0 the inertial group of b_0 in G and let B'_0 be the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B in T_0 . Then by [9, Theorem 5.5.10 (iv)], there is $g \in G$ for which D^g is a defect group for B'_0 .

Let $b = (b_0)^{g^{-1}}$. Then B covers b , the inertial group of b in G is $T = (T_0)^{g^{-1}}$ and $B' = (B'_0)^{g^{-1}}$ is the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of B (with respect to b) in T . Note also that B' has D as a defect group. Let \hat{B}' be the unique p -block of $NN_T(D)$ with defect group D such that $\hat{B}'^T = B'$. Then, by Lemma 2.8, we have that the p -block \hat{B}' covers b and that it is the Fong–Reynolds correspondent of \hat{B} in the inertial group $NN_T(D)$ of b in $NN_G(D)$.

Next T acts by conjugation on $\text{IBr}(b)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_r$ be the resulting orbits and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, choose $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$. By Theorem A, we have

$$|\text{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi_i)| = \left| \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{O}_i} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \theta) \right|.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{IBr}_N^0(B')| &= \sum_{i=1}^r |\text{IBr}_N^0(B' \mid \varphi_i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{O}_i} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \theta) \right| \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{\theta \in \text{IBr}(b)} \text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}' \mid \theta) \right| \\ &= |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}')|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally since $|\text{IBr}_N^0(B)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(B')|$ and $|\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B})| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B}')|$, as implied by Lemma 2.7, we get $|\text{IBr}_N^0(B)| = |\text{IBr}_N^0(\hat{B})|$, as required. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank the referee for his or her valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] W. Hamernik and G. Michler: *On vertices of simple modules in p -solvable groups*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen Heft **121** (1976), 147–162.
- [2] I.M. Isaacs: *Characters of π -separable groups*, J. Algebra **86** (1984), 98–128.
- [3] R. Knörr: *Blocks, vertices and normal subgroups*, Math. Z. **148** (1976), 53–60.
- [4] A. Laradji: *Relative π -blocks of π -separable groups*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 449–465.
- [5] A. Laradji: *Relative π -blocks of π -separable groups II*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 521–532.
- [6] A. Laradji: *On normal subgroups and height zero Brauer characters in a p -solvable group*, J. Algebra **295** (2006), 543–561.
- [7] A. Laradji: *On normal subgroups and simple modules with a given vertex in a p -solvable group*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), 484–492.
- [8] M. Murai: *Normal subgroups and heights of characters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 31–43.
- [9] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [10] T. Okuyama: *Module correspondence in finite groups*, Hokkaido Math. J. **10** (1981), 299–318.
- [11] A. Watanabe: *Normal subgroups and multiplicities of indecomposable modules*, Osaka J. Math. **33** (1996), 629–635.

Department of Mathematics
College of Science
King Saud University
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451
Saudi Arabia
e-mail: alaradji@ksu.edu.sa