Meiofauna Marina, Vol. 16, pp. 75-99, 109 figs., March 2008 © 2008 by Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München Germany – ISSN 1611-7557

VLAAMS INSTITUUT VOOR DE ZEI FLANDERS MARINE INSTITUTE Oostende - Belgium

Identification key to the genera of marine rotifers worldwide

Diego Fontaneto*, **, Willem H. De Smet*** and Giulio Melone**

Abstract

A dichotomous key to rotifers is presented for the 28 Families and 66 Genera that have been reported from saline systems of both marine and inland waters. Information is provided on general identification and papers dealing more particularly with certain Families and Genera. A succinct overview of the species found in saline habitats is given for each genus.

Keywords: Rotifera, saltwater, brackish water, dichotomous key

Introduction


Rotifers (phylum Rotifera) are transparent microscopic eutelic metazoans (50-2000 µm), with three main body regions: head, trunk, and foot (Figs. 1-2). The head is characterized by the presence of a typical anterior ciliated field named corona, with different ciliated areas located anteriorly and around the mouth. The body wall may be thickened in a lorica, and may bear variable appendages. The foot usually ends with movable toe-like extensions named spurs and toes; some species lack toes and possess one or more adhesive disks. While many rotifers may lack the above features, all of them possess a specialized masticatory organ, the muscular pharynx or mastax, containing a set of hard sclerotised jaws or trophi, the shape of which is very important in rotifer taxonomy (Wallace et al. 2006). Trophi elements are a single median fulcrum, and 3 paired elements: rami, unci, and manubria (Fig. 3). The fulcrum (absent in bdelloids) is mostly rectangular in lateral view, but may be variable in shape. The rami are hollow, roughly triangular structures. Their inner margin is provided with numerous elongate elements, the rami scleropili, which may be fused in a ridge and/or a series of tooth-like projections. Commonly in monogononts, the rami are provided with lateral projections, the alulae. The unci are plates formed by connection of a variable number of teeth into one rigid structure. The teeth are mostly unequal. Minute subuncinal teeth are mostly present, and are situated under the unci plate. The manubria are more-or-less triangular to crescent-shaped, or rod-shaped supports of the unci. A variable number of diverse accessory sclerites occurs in monogononts. Nine main types of trophi are recognized (malleate, malleoramate,

Corresponding author: Diego Fontaneto; e-mail: d.fontaneto@imperial.ac.uk

 $^{* \}quad Imperial \, College \, London, \, Division \, of \, Biology, \\ Silwood \, Park \, Campus, \, Ascot \, Berkshire, \\ SL5 \, 7PY, \, United \, Kingdom \, College \, Co$

^{**} Università degli Studi di Milano, Dipartimento di Biologia, via Celoria 26, I-20113 Milano

^{***} University of Antwerp, Department of Biology, Campus Drie Eiken, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium



Figs. 1, 2. Scheme of the anatomy of a generic rotifer in dorsal (1) and lateral (2) view. H, head; T, trunk; F, foot; b, brain; bl, bladder; cl, cloaca; co, corona; da, dorsal antenna; ex, excretory apparatus; gg, gastric glands; gv, germo-vitellarium; i, intestine; m, mastax with trophi; n, nephridium; pg, pedal glands; ra, retrocerebral apparatus; sg, salivary glands; t, toe. Modified from Wallace & Ricci (2002).

uncinate, incudate, virgate, cardate, forcipate, ramate, and fulcrate), characterized by the shape and size of the elements, the presence of accessory parts, and the way they operate (Figs. 3-22).


- Malleate (Fig. 3-5): fulcrum short, rami more or less triangular and flat. Unci with several (4-12) firmly connected teeth. The manubria are provided with a shaft, which is typically fairly short, but this structure is more elongate in the submalleate trophi of Lecanidae and some Proalidae. The malleate trophi are adapted for gripping, grinding and pumping.
- 2) Malleoramate (Fig. 6): fulcrum short, rami more-or-less triangular, flat; similar to malleate type, but unci teeth more numerous, with weaker connections, occasionally resembling striated plate; manubria crescent-shaped, without shaft. Only grinding.

- 3) Virgate (Fig. 7-9): fulcrum long, rami recurved dorsally, unci with few teeth or reduced, manubria mostly with elongate shafts; often asymmetrical (e.g., *Trichocerca*). The virgate trophi type is the most variable of all. Used for piercing and sucking, or swallowing food by pumping without crushing.
- 4) Uncinate (Fig. 10): similar to the malleoramate type, but all trophi elements except the unci strongly reduced. Unci teeth few (2-5 teeth per uncus), elongate and curved, forming supporting rods for the mastax; not important, the food is already predigested. Only in Collothecidae.
- 5) Incudate (Fig. 11): fulcrum short, rami elongate, pincer-shaped. Manubria and unci strongly reduced. Specialized for seizing. Only in Asplanchnidae.
- 6) Cardate (Fig. 12): fulcrum short, rami lyriform, manubria with a shaft and a characteristic, additional ventral projection. Species-specific accessory trophi elements are present, and often numerous. The cardate type is adapted for pumping. Restricted to Lindiidae.
- 7) Forcipate (Figs. 13-19): fulcrum mostly short; rami elongate long pincers, usually with sharp tips and often armed with teeth along medial margin. Unci are strong, but with a single or few teeth only. Manubria are long and thin; often with intramalleus between uncus and manubrium. The trophi work by gripping (rami and unci can be extruded in Dicranophoridae). In Dicranophoridae and Ituridae.
- 8) Ramate (Figs. 20, 21): fulcrum absent, rami semicircular, flat; unci teeth numerous, occasionally resembling striations; manubria as lateral bands. Grinding. In Subclass Bdelloidea.
- 9) Fulcrate (Fig. 22): fulcrum long, manubria absent; the pumping action is performed by the hypopharynx muscle. In *Seison*.

For a recent, comprehensive introduction to the morphology, biology and ecology of the taxon see Wallace & Ricci (2002) and Wallace et al. (2006).

Classification and systematics

Classically, three groups are recognized within Phylum Rotifera: Seisonacea, Bdelloidea and Monogononta, while molecular studies indicate that the exclusively endoparasitic Acanthocephala actually are rotifers (Mark Welch 2000, Sørensen



Figs. 3-22. SEM pictures of the nine trophi types. 3-5. malleate: 3, Brachionus plicatilis; 4, Proales similis; 5, Colurella colurus; 6, malleoramate, Testudinella clypeata. 7-9. virgate: 7, Trichocerca pediculus; 8, Cephalodella sp.; 9, Pleurotrocha atlantica. 10, uncinate, Cupelopagis vorax (freshwater species); 11, incudate, Asplanchna priodonta; 12, cardate, Lindia tecusa; 13-19, forcipate: 13, Aspelta europaea; 14, Dicranophoroides caudatus; 15, Encentrum algente; 16, Dicranophorus forcipatus; 17, Erignatha clastopis; 18, Myersinella uncodonta; 19, Itura myersi. 20-21. ramate: 20, Zelinkiella synaptae; 21, Philodinavus paradoxus. 22, fulcrate, Seison annulatus. Scale bars=10 µm; a, alula; b, basal chamber; f, fulcrum; i, intramalleus; m, manubrium; r, ramus; s, subbasal chamber; u, uncus.

& Giribet 2006). Nevertheless, only the three classical groups will be considered in this key. The most recent and comprehensive checklist of all rotifer species, excluding acanthocephalans, is by Segers (2007).

Seisonacea, with only three species, are exclusively marine and live epizootically on leptostracan crustacea. Males and females are usually present at a 1:1 sex ratio, and reproduction is by obligate amphimixis (Ricci et al. 1993).

Monogononta contains 1570 species-level taxa, and the vast majority of them (1488) are free-living fresh or inland water taxa (Segers 2007, 2008). Monogononts occur in all types of water bodies worldwide; they are particularly abundant and diverse in lentic freshwater habitats, although many species also occur in haline habitats (Fontaneto et al. 2006a). This group is characterized by a single gonad and cyclic parthenogenetic reproduction: parthenogenesis dominates, but sexual reproduction also occurs occasionally. Eggs produced by sexual reproduction are known as resting eggs undergoing obligatory diapause. They are able to survive desiccation and other adverse environmental conditions for long periods, and often form large resting egg banks. These resting stages may be dispersed by wind, waterfowl, insects etc. (e.g. Cáceres 1997).

Bdelloidea contains 461 species, only one of which is known as strictly marine (Segers 2007). Bdelloids reproduce exclusively by apomictic thelytoky, a kind of parthenogenesis producing females only, without meiosis. Biological species concepts cannot apply to these organisms that do not reproduce sexually; nevertheless, bdelloid species have been demonstrated to be distinct entities, at least equivalent to species in sexual groups (Fontaneto et al. 2007b). Many bdelloids produce resting stages as well, but they do not enter dormancy in a fixed period of their life as monogononts: they may enter dormancy in every stage of their lives, becoming dormant resting propagules (e.g. Cáceres 1997).

Biogeography

Rotifers have always been considered non-interesting biogeographically, due to their capacity to produce resting stages, and their considerable abilities for passive dispersal (but see e.g. Dumont 1983, Fontaneto et al. 2006b). On the contrary, recent studies from continental habitats show a

different scenario, with areas of high numbers of endemic taxa. The rotifer record is highest in the Northern hemisphere, but this may be due to the higher number of studies in those regions. Diversity hotspots are northeast North America, tropical South America, Southeast Asia, Australia, and Lake Baikal. Endemicity is low in Africa (including Madagascar), Europe, the Indian subcontinent and Antarctica (Segers 2008). Data for marine rotifers are still too scarce to allow sound conclusions about their biogeography (Fontaneto et al. 2006a).

Collection and specimen handling and preparation

Rotifers may be found in the plankton and periphyton, and as interstitial fauna or psammon. Planktonic and littoral rotifers are collected by dragging a plankton net (mesh size: 25-50 µm) through the open water, submerged vegetation, littoral macrophytes or algae. Rotifers inhabiting periphyton may be collected using a flexible collecting tube attached to a large syringe. Alternatively, plant material, debris and algae can be squeezed over a plankton net. Creeping animals can be collected by scraping various substrata, while sessile rotifers can be found by careful examination of plant material or other substrates. Psammon is collected with coring devices, dredges etc., or simply by scraping the uppermost centimetres of sand with a vial. The sand is consequently mixed with water, and after momentary settling the water is decanted through a plankton net. However, some psammic species can cling to the sand grains and their number is often underestimated. At the shore, samples taken below the mean water level, and especially in the intertidal zone, will yield rotifers. Quantitative sampling is possible, although difficult, and we suggest following the recommendations by Wallace & Ricci (2002). Higgins & Thiel (1988) and Giere (1993) can be consulted also, as methods developed for other microscopic or small organisms can be applied to rotifers as well.

After collection, samples can be fixed directly in the field or quickly transferred unaltered to the laboratory for examination. In the first case, anaesthesia with a solution of marcaine 0.5 % for a few minutes before fixation, may help identification of illoricate rotifers. The second alternative yields living specimens, which is often mandatory

for the identification of many illoricate species (Wallace & Ricci 2002, Wallace et al. 2006).

Once in the lab, animals can be sorted using micropipettes and embryo or Petri dishes under a stereomicroscope at $5\text{--}50\times$ magnification. To avoid excessive heating when sorting living animals, it is recommended to use optical fibers, instead of direct light from a light bulb. For proper identification, animals need to be transferred on a slide in a small drop of water, and gently covering it with a coverslide. Observation at magnifications up to $400\text{--}1000\times$ is needed to observe minute details, especially for the trophi.

Trophi can be observed by microscope at high magnification, squeezing the animals under a coverglass. Unfortunately, during the squeezing process, the animal itself can be lost for subsequent analysis. However, with some experience it will be easy to recognize Families without squeezing the animal too much, but for beginners it will be helpful to draw the animal and its body features before squeezing it. For a more detailed examination, proper preparation of trophi is necessary. This can be done by adding a small drop of NaOCl, which will dissolve soft tissues and enhance recognizability of trophi features. For details on SEM preparation follow De Smet (1998) and Segers (2004), or consult the rotifer trophi web page (http://users.unimi.it/ melone/trophi).

Marine rotifers

Most known rotifer species live in freshwater and limnoterrestrial habitats, while marine habitats, both brackish and seawater, are considered to possess a few species (see for instance de Beauchamp 1965, Wulfert 1969, Smith 2001, Segers 2004, Wallace et al. 2006). Although marine rotifer species richness is probably lower indeed, it is unquestionably underestimated due to e.g. the few taxonomists studying them and insufficient sampling efforts. Remane (1929), Myers (1936), Bērziņš (1952) and Rudescu (1961) were the first to present and discuss an estimate of the number of marine and brackish water species, and more recently Ahlrichs (2003), Ricci & Fontaneto (2003) and Fontaneto et al. (2006a) reviewed available data on marine rotifers.

To date about 396 rotifer species, belonging to 66 genera, have been reported worldwide from saline waters, either marine, brackish, or inland saline. Species found in saline waters may be strictly haline, i.e. never reported from freshwaters, euryhaline or found in both freshwater and saltwater, as well as haloxenous, i.e. inhabitants of freshwater occurring in saltwater accidently. Detailed references for all species of each genus reported from saline waters (marine, brackish, inland saline), are to be found in Fontaneto et al. (2006a). Here we add new or overlooked data, together with taxonomic references useful for species identification.


Dichotomous keys to the marine rotifers

Interpreting some of the dichotomies, especially the higher rank ones, can be problematic. However, with some experience it will be possible to identify Families and Genera without starting from the beginning of the key, and, of course, all pictures and drawings referred in the key may be of help to recognize some peculiar groups immediately.

Trophi type is one of the most useful taxonomic features in rotifers, but only careful and detailed observations allow proper identification. Figures 3-22 show SEM pictures of all types of trophi.

Seisonacea are usually known as epibionts on *Nebalia* only; therefore, their identification is easy. Identifying single or paired ovaries to recognize bdelloid or monogonont rotifers can be difficult, but only bdelloids have paired ones, and their body shape is quite characteristic and homogeneous (Figs. 25-33); therefore, even for beginners there is no really need to find ovaries.

Another painstaking but often misleading task is the distinction between loricate and illoricate species, as some semiloricate taxa exist. Loricate rotifers will retain their shape when preserved, while illoricate ones usually will not. When observing live animals, a gentle pressure applied to the coverglass may help: in illoricate rotifers the body bulges under the pressure but its shape will return when pressure is released, whereas in loricates it is not or hardly deformed. Segers (2004) also recommends the examination for presence of lorica projections, which are always absent in illoricate taxa and often present in loricates. By rotating the animal one may get an impression of its body shape in cross sectional view. Some genera that are often described as typically loricate (e.g. Brachionus, Lecane) possess species that are



Figs. 23, 24. Scheme of the morphology of Seison nebaliae: 23, male; 24, female. Scale bar = $100 \mu m$.

illoricate, and just the opposite holds for others (e.g. Cephalodella).

- 1 Trophi fulcrate (Fig. 22). Corona rudimentary. Epizoic on marine crustaceans of the genus *Nebalia*. Males and females in equal numbers (Figs. 23, 24)......
- 2 Paired ovaries (Figs. 26-28). Trophi ramate (Figs. 20, 21)......Subclass Bdelloidea
- 3 Trophi malleoramate (Fig. 6). Corona elliptical to round, heart-shaped, horseshoe-shaped or four-lobed, without long setae (Figs. 34-43). Free-swimming or sessile......

......Order Flosculariaceae

- Trophi otherwise: cardate, forcipate, incudate, malleate, or virgate (Figs. 3-5, 7-9, 11-19).
 Order Ploima

Class Pararotatoria, Order Seisonacea Family Seisonidae

Genus Seison (Figs. 22-24)

To date, three species of this genus are known, two of them (*S. annulatus* and *S. nebaliae*) epibiotic on leptostracan crustaceans of the genus *Nebalia* (the habitat is still unknown for *S. africanus*). Seisonacea is the only exclusively marine order among rotifers. A detailed morphological revision of *S. annulatus* and *S. nebaliae* is presented in Ricci et al. (1993), and minute details of their trophi morphology are described in Segers & Melone (1998). All that is known about *S. africanus* can be found in the original description by Sørensen et al. (2005).

Sørensen et al. (2005) and Segers (2007) reestablished the genus *Paraseison* for *S. annulatus*; nevertheless, for the scope of this key, we will consider it a synonym of *Seison*.

The body of *Seison* is divided into four parts (Figs. 23, 24): head, neck, trunk, and foot. Neck and foot are pseudosegmented and telescopically retractable. The head is ovate and laterally flattened, and distinctly offset from the neck. The ovate trunk is smooth, without annulations. Body length: 300-2500 µm. Trophi fulcrate (Fig. 22).

Class Eurotatoria, Subclass Bdelloidea

Bdelloidea are composed of four Families in three Orders; collective these comprise 20 genera as follows: Order Adinetida with Family Adinetidae (1 genus), Order Philodinida with Families Habrotrochidae (3 genera) and Philodinidae (13 genera), and Order Philodinavida with Family Philodinavidae (3 genera). Koste & Shiel (1986), Turner (1999) and Ricci & Melone (2000) published keys to bdelloid genera, both marine and freshwater ones. Another key to genera is that of Fontaneto & Ricci (2004), but only for those occurring in freshwater (that is all except *Zelinkiella*). Bartoš (1951) and especially Donner (1965) are still

the most recent and reliable taxonomic keys for identification to the species level. Very few new species have been described since the publication of these two monographies, and none from marine habitats. The following key refers to all 8 genera that have been reported from saltwater.

One difficult task is to check for the number of toes. While spurs are always extended, and cannot be retracted, identifying toes may be tricky, as they are usually retracted in the foot. The only moment they can be seen, even if for very short periods, is when the animal extends the foot while creeping on the surface of the glass slide. Moreover, toes and spurs are not homologous structures in bdelloids and monogononts.

- 4 Foot without toes (Figs. 28-31)......5

 Foot with toes (Figs. 32, 33)......7

- 6 Viviparous, with developing animals visible within the trunk (Fig. 29). Trophi with 2 major teeth (Fig. 20). Epizoic on holoturians and annelids......Zelinkiella

Genus Adineta (Fig. 26)


Three haloxenous species out of the 14 known members of the genus have been reported so far from inland saltwaters, whereas never from true marine environments. This genus is quite easy to identify: they are dorso-ventrally compressed, with a well developed foot; they move by gliding on the surface, repeatedly protruding and retracting the foot, while the cilia of the head convey food particles to a rake close to the mouth opening. Body length: 200-700 µm. Trophi are very small and each uncus has 2 major teeth.

Genus Anomopus (Fig. 28)

Two species are known of this genus, both epizoic in the gill chambers of freshwater crabs. *Anomopus chasmagnati*, epibiont of the freshwater crab *Chasmagnathus granulata*, has been reported also from crabs collected in the brackish waters of Mar de la Plata in Uruguay (Mañé-Garzón & Montero 1973). The genus shows a characteristic very long foot, ending with short spurs and an adhesive disc. The trochi of the corona are wide. Body length: 600-1000 µm. Each uncus in *A. chasmagnathi* has 2 major teeth.

Genus Habrotrocha (Fig. 27)

Only one species, *H. constricta*, has been reported from inland saltwater, out of more than 100 species known from freshwater. Pellets inside the stomach may be recognized only on careful inspection. Animals of this genus have a short foot and quite long oesophagus. Commonly they creep and the trochi, seldomly extended, are rather narrow. Body length: 150-400 µm. On each uncus there are from 2 to 10 major teeth of different width. The only species reported from saltwater has 6-8 major teeth on each uncus.



Figs. 25-33. Bdelloid rotifers: 25, *Philodinavus paradoxus*; 26, *Adineta gracilis*; 27, *Habrotrocha constricta*; 28, *Anomopus chasmagnathi*; 29, *Zelinkiella synaptae*; 30, *Mniobia symbiotica*, head; 31, *M. symbiotica*, foot; 32, *Rotaria laticeps*; 33, *Philodina roseola*. Scale bars = 50 μm. **a**, adhesive disk; **b**, brain; **d**, developing embryo; I, lip; **p**, pedicel; **r**, rostrum; **s**, spur; **t**, trochus; **to**, toe; **tr**, trophi.

Genus Mniobia (Figs. 30, 31)

The genus is quite diverse, with more than 45 species known to date. Only one, possibly euryhaline species, *M. symbiotica*, is reported from lichens and

cyanobacteria growing on rocks at the seashore (Kronberg 1988, Egborge 1994). This species is very polymorphic, and it is likely that the halophilous populations belong to a new species. The

genus is characterized by the absence of toes, and the adhesive disc is hardly visible and always hidden in the foot. Body length: $300-800~\mu m$. Trophi with seldom 2, mostly 3, up to 10 major teeth on each uncus; *M. symbiotica* has 2-4 ones.

Genus Philodina (Fig. 33)

Seven (6 haloxenous and 1 euryhaline) species reported from inland and marine habitats, out of about 50 species. The bright eyes present on the brain are easy to spot, although not all species have visible eyes. The body is tapered, foot longish, with the 4 toes easily visible. Trochi are wide and well separated. Body length: 100-800 µm. Each uncus has usually 2 major teeth, but up to 5 major teeth may occur.

Genus Philodinavus (Figs. 21, 25)

Two species are known in the genus, and one, P. paradoxus, has been reported only once from inland saltwater. It lacks the corona (Fig. 25) and has only a few cilia around the mouth. The trophi lie close to the mouth. Rostrum and antenna are evident. The foot is short, with very small parallel spurs and 4 strong toes easily visible. Body length: $200\text{-}300~\mu\text{m}$. The trophi are rather peculiar, lacking proximal minor teeth (Fig. 21).

Genus Rotaria (Fig. 32)

Out of 26 species in the genus, 6 have been recorded from inland saline and marine habitats. Five of them may be haloxenous, but one, *R. laticeps*, is strictly haline and commonly found at least in the Mediterranean, among algae, detritus, and in rock pools (personal observation). All are viviparous. They usually swim showing a wide corona. The rostrum, often with dark eyes, is commonly visible between the trochi. The foot is long and slender, with long spurs and 3 toes that are long and easily visible. Body length: 400-1300 µm long. Each uncus has 2 major teeth.

Genus Zelinkiella (Figs. 20, 29)

This monospecific genus is strictly haline, with Z. synaptae living epizoic on holothurians and annelids. The foot is very short, with small spurs and adhesive disc (Fig. 29). Body length: 200 μ m. Each uncus has 2 major teeth (Fig. 20).

Class Eurotatoria, Subclass Monogononta

Superorder Pseudotrocha, Order Flosculariaceae


The most useful work to identify species is still Koste (1978); for more details consult Edmondson

(1959), Ruttner-Kolisko (1974), Pennak (1978, 1989), Stemberger (1979), Shiel (1995), Smith (2001), Wallace & Snell (2001), Segers (2004), Wallace et al. (2006). No recent taxonomic revision is currently available for Flosculariaceae, except for some genera (details in genus descriptions).

- Body illoricate, although possibly hidden in tubes......3

- Arm-like appendages absent......4

- 6 Corona with 4 lobes. Sessile. Solitary or in tree-like colonies (Fig. 40).................Floscularia
- Corona round to elliptical or heart-shaped.
 Sessile or free-swimming. In tubes of mucus, often supplemented with detritus, or with mucus only covering extremity of foot. Solitary or in colonies.
- Corona heart-shaped. Sessile or free-swimming. Mucus only covering extremity of foot.
 Colonies spherical (Fig. 43)......Sinantherina



Figs. 34-43. Flosculariacean rotifers: 34, Testudinella clypeata; 35, Pompholyx sulcata; 36, Hexarthra fennica, ventral; 37, H. fennica, lateral; 38, Filinia longiseta, lateral; 39, F. longiseta, ventral; 40, Floscularia melicerta; 41, Conochilus hippocrepis; 42, Ptygura crystallina; 43, Sinantherina socialis. Scale bars = 50 μm.

Genus Conochilus (Fig. 41)

Two freshwater species, *C. hippocrepis* and *C. uni-cornis*, are known from marine plankton out of 7. For a recent taxonomic revision, see Segers & Wallace (2001).

Genus Filinia (Figs. 38, 39)

Six species have been found in saltwater habitats (4 of them in the sea) out of 15. All of them are

planktonic and haloxenous (*Filinia brachiata*, *F. cornuta*, *F. passa*) or euryhaline (*F. limnetica*, *F. longiseta*, *F. terminalis*). The most recent taxonomic revision of the genus with dichotomous keys can be found in Sanoamuang (2002); Sanoamuang (1993) gives nice SEM pictures of the trophi. See Segers (2002b) for the inclusion of this genus in Family Trochosphaeridae.

Genus Floscularia (Fig. 40)

Only one species out of 10, *F. melicerta*, has been found in marine plankton.

Genus Hexarthra (Figs. 36, 37)

Ten taxa belonging to 7 species (*H. fennica*, *H. intermedia*, *H. jenkinae*, *H. libica*, *H. mira*, *H. oxyuris*, and *H. polyodonta*), out of 13 total species, are haline, and all of them are planktonic.

Genus Pompholyx (Fig. 35)

Two species out of 3, *P. complanata* and *P. sulcata*, have been recorded in saltwater, the former in the benthos as haloxenous, the latter in the plankton as euryhaline.

Genus Ptygura (Fig. 42)

Three taxa out of 29 have been found in the sea: two, *P. crystallina* and *P. melicerta*, can be considered euryhaline, while *P. melicerta agassizi* is strictly haline.

Genus Sinantherina (Fig. 43)

One freshwater species, *S. socialis*, out of the 6 described taxa has been reported from marine plankton.

Genus Testudinella (Figs. 6, 34)

Eight species out of 46 have been cited in haline waters. Only *Testudinella clypeata*, *T. dentata* and *T. obscura* are strictly haline, living in marine and/or brackish waters; the others are haloxenous (*T. elliptica*, *T. truncata*) or euryhaline (*T. incisa*, *T. patina*, *T. reflexa*). *Testudinella dentata* described from brackish waters in Wisconsin and New Jersey, USA (Myers, 1934), is not mentioned in the list by Fontaneto et al. (2006a). For details on trophi morphology, consult De Smet (2005b).

Class Eurotatoria, Subclass Monogononta

Order Collothecaceae

No recent revision is available for Collothecaceae, and the only comprehensive key is still that of Koste (1978); more information is to be found in Edmondson (1959), Pennak (1978, 1989), Shiel (1995), Smith (2001), Wallace & Snell (2001), Segers (2004), and Wallace et al. (2006).

Family Collothecidae is characterized by a corona with lobes, tentacles or knobs bearing long setae. Only the genus *Collotheca*, out of the 2 genera in the Family, has been reported from saline waters.



Fig. 44. Collothecaean rotifer: Collotheca mutabilis. Scale bar = $50 \mu m$.

Collotheca (Fig. 44)

Seven taxa out of 46 are known to occur in saltwater habitats, mostly in truly marine environments. Collotheca campanulata, C. cornuta, C. coronetta, and C. ornata are found in the benthic habitat, while C. mutabilis, C. ornata natans, and C. pelagica are plankton-dwellers.

Class Eurotatoria, Subclass Monogononta

Order Ploima

The works of Edmondson (1959), Ruttner-Kolisko (1974), Koste (1978), Stemberger (1979), Koste & Shiel (1986, 1987, 1989a,b, 1990a,b, 1991, 1992, 1993), Pennak (1989), Shiel (1995), Kutikova (2002), Wallace & Snell (2001), Segers (2004) and Wallace et al. (2006) may prove useful for Ploima in general.


- 1 Trophi cardate (Fig. 12). Illoricate (Figs. 86, 87).....Lindiidae
- Trophi not cardate. Loricate or illoricate....2
- 2 Trophi forcipate (Figs. 13-19). Illoricate......3- Trophi not forcipate. Loricate or illoricate...4

-	Trophi without alulae or with differently shaped alulae, protrusible (Figs. 13-18). Stomach and intestine rarely with zoochlorellae (Figs. 56-69)Dicranophoridae	_	furrows (sulci); dorsal and ventral plate not distinguishable in illoricate species (Fig. 88). Lecanidae Unci with many teeth, gradually decreasing
4	Trophi incudate (Fig. 11). Illoricate. (Figs. 45, 46) Asplanchnidae Trophi otherwise. Loricate or illoricate5		in length; when number of teeth reduced, foot absent or composed of 2–5 pseudosegments in line with trunk. Loricate or illoricate. Dorsal, ventral and/or lateral sulci present or
5	Trophi virgate (Figs. 7-9)	11	absent
6	Foot and toes very long, combined longer than body (Fig. 101)Scaridiidae	_	tile (Figs. 82-85) Lepadellidae Head shield absent
-	Foot shorter, toes variable, combined shorter than or as long as body7	12	Loricate, lorica of one piece with longitudinal dorsal sulcus or dorsal keel (not part of po-
7	Body more or less strongly asymmetrical. Trophi very asymmetrical, asymmetry concerns all trophi elements (Fig. 7). Foot terminally, a short single pseudosegment bearing several bristles (substyli) and elongate spinelike toe(s) of unequal length. Trunk usually	13	lygonal facets). Foot present. (Figs. 89-92) Mytilinidae Loricate or illoricate, without dorsal sulcus or keel (if present part of polygonal facets). Foot absent or present
	cylindrical with dorsal crest (usually asymmetrical); ventral fissure absent (Fig. 109)	- 14	Distinctly loricate15 Mouth set at end of shallow or deep, large
	Body symmetrical. Trophi usually symmetrical, sometimes weakly asymmetrical (asymmetry most pronounced in rami and unci: Fig. 8, 9). Toes absent, or present and of equal length, never substyli; occasionally a single toe. Body sacciform, fusiform, conical, etc., never with dorsal crest, or if indication of dorsal crest lorica with ventral fissure8	_	funnel-shaped buccal field. Corona usually with conspicuous series of tufts of long cirri. Foot distinct with one or 2 toes, or rudimentary and toes lacking. Trunk occasionally with several protruding transversal folds; without lateral sulci (Figs. 70-72) Epiphanidae [illoricate Brachionidae may key out here] Mouth superficial, no large funnel-shaped buccal field. Corona usually without tufts of
8	Stomach coloured yellowish or brownish, with blind sacs. Body saccate to ovate, weakly loricate (Figs. 80, 81)		long cirri [except in <i>Bryceella</i>]. Foot distinct with one or 2 toes. Trunk without protruding transversal folds; usually without lateral
-	Stomach without blind sacs. Trunk fusiform, conical, vasiform or cylindrical and illoricate, or ovate to bean-shaped and distinctly loricate9	15	sulci [shallow ones in <i>Bryceella</i>]. (Fig. 102) Proalidae Conspicuous lateral sulci present. Foot with
9	Corona with stiff setae and sensory palps. Strongly developed V-shaped hypopharynx muscles. Illoricate or loricate (Figs. 105-108).	-	2 stout toes. (Figs. 73-78)Euchlanidae Lateral sulci absent, shallow or inconspicuous. Foot absent or present; toes absent or present
-	Not as above (Figs. 93-100)	- -	Lorica covering clearly defined head, trunk and foot. (Figs. 103, 104)Trichotriidae Lorica only covering trunk and occasionally
10	Number of unci teeth reduced, usually composed of 3 stout subequal fused teeth. Foot short, a single pseudosegment, inserted ven-	Fa	foot. Foot absent or present. (Figs. 47-55) Brachionidae
	trally on ventral plate. Toes 2 or fused (partly		ne most recent taxonomic treatment with useful

or completely) to 1. Usually loricate with

dorsal and ventral plate separated by lateral

The most recent taxonomic treatment, with useful keys to all species is José de Paggi (2002).



Figs. 45-55. Ploima rotifers: 45, Asplanchna priodonta; 46, Asplanchnopus hyalinus; 47, Anuraeopsis fissa, lateral; 48, A. fissa, cross-section; 49, Keratella cochlearis, lateral; 50, K. cochlearis, dorsal; 51, Kellicottia longispina; 52, Brachionus plicatilis; 53, Notholca labis; 54, Platyias quadricornis; 55, Plationus patulus. Scale bars = 50 µm.

1	Foot and toes present (Fig. 46)
	Asplanchnopus
-	Foot and toes absent (Fig. 45) Asplanchna

Genus Asplanchna (Figs. 11, 45)

Five freshwater taxa out of 9 have been found in the sea: Asplanchna brightwellii, A. girodi, A. herrickii, A. priodonta and A. sieboldii.

Genus Asplanchnopus (Fig. 46)

Four freshwater species are known in the genus, and one, *A. hyalinus*, has been reported from brackish water. A species inquirenda, *A. syrinx*, has been reported from inland saline waters.

Family Brachionidae

No recent revision available. For a more comprehensive review one should consult Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1987).

1	Foot present2
_	Foot absent4
2	Foot tubular, wrinkled, completely retractile in trunk (often indistinct in contracted specimens) (Fig. 52)
-	Foot pseudosegmented, not or only partly retractile3
3	Lorica dorso-ventrally flattened, foot opening ventral (Fig. 54)

- 6 Dorsal plate with pattern of polygonal facets, sometimes indistinct (Figs. 49, 50). .. *Keratella*

Genus Anuraeopsis (Figs. 47, 48)

The euryhaline *Anuraeopsis fissa*, and the haloxenous *A. navicula* are the only species out of 10 of the genus, reported from marine habitats.

Genus Brachionus (Figs. 3, 52)

Out of 56 species of the genus, 21 (and several infrasubspecific taxa) have been reported from saltwater. Five species are strictly haline: *Brachionus asplanchnoides*, *B. manjavacas* (new addition by Fontaneto et al. (2007a), not listed in Fontaneto et al. (2006a)) and *B. plicatilis* occur in inland saline waters, whereas *B. ibericus* and *B. rotundiformis* are restricted to the marine environment. The other species are euryhaline (*B. angularis*, *B. calyciflorus*, *B. dimidiatus*, *B. leydigii*, *B. novaezelandiae*, *B. quadridentatus*, *B. rubens*, *B. urceolaris*) or haloxenous (*B. bennini*, *B. caudatus*, *B. cf nilsoni*, *B. diversicornis*, *B. falcatus*, *B. forficula*, *B. sessilis*).

Genus Kellicottia (Fig. 51)

The genus contains 2 species, with the euryhaline *Kellicottia longispina* only reported from both inland saline and marine plankton.

Genus Keratella (Figs. 49, 50)

Nine species (and several infrasubspecific taxa), out of 44 recognized in the genus have been reported from saltwaters. *Keratella cochlearis baltica, K. c. recurvispina, K. cruciformis* and *K. eichwaldi* are found in marine habitats only. Six other species (*K. americana, K. cochlearis, K. crassa, K. quadrata, K. tropica, K. valga*) are euryhaline and one (*K. testudo*) is haloxenous.

Genus Notholca (Fig. 53)

Fifteen species out of 37 recognized in the genus have been mentioned from saltwaters, with 10

strictly marine (N. angakkoq, N. bipalium, N. ikaitophila, N. japonica, N. j. kisselevi, N. liepetterseni, N. marina, N. pacifica, N. psammarina, N. squamula salina). The other species are euryhaline (N. acuminata, N. caudata, N. foliacea, N. labis, N. striata, N. s. squamula, N. verae). For the marine Notholca angakkoq and N. ikaitophila, not included in the keys by Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1987), see Sørensen (1998) and Sørensen & Kristensen (2000).

Genus Plationus (Fig. 55)

Of the genus *Plationus* (3 spp.), the haloxenous *P. patulus* only has been met with in marine habitats.

Genus Platyias (Fig. 54)

The euryhaline *Platyias quadricornis* is the only member of the genus (3 spp.) known from marine habitats.

Family Dicranophoridae

De Smet & Pourriot (1997) is the most recent and useful key to all genera and species; recently described marine species not included in the key are mentioned with the genus. Differences between genera in this Family are not easily appreciable, as they are mostly based on trophi shape.

- Trophi symmetrical (at most teeth on inner margin of rami often unpaired); unci composed of tooth and shaft, never as above. ... 2

- Each uncus hinged near its tip or middle, to the tip or middle of ramus; manubrium hinged to uncus or intramalleus, occasionally grasping outer margin of ramus.

- Intramalleus absent and manubrium attached directly to uncus, or intramalleus very thin and inconspicuous......8
- 7 Foot long, usually ¼-⅓ total length; toes rodshaped, tips rounded (Fig. 68).. Wierzejskiella
- Foot equal or shorter than ½ total length; toes tapering to ± acute tips (Figs. 15, 63, 64).......

 Encentrum

- Corona small, oblique; rostrum minute or absent; eyespots lacking. Toes lacking to minute (Fig. 56). Inner margin of rami without teeth. Endoparasite of brackish water oligochetes.

Genus Albertia (Fig. 56)

One species, *A. crystallina*, out of 7 is strictly haline and lives as endoparasite in the intestine of the brackish water oligochaete *Paranais litoralis*.

Genus Aspelta (Figs. 13, 57)

The 5 strictly haline species, Aspelta clydona, A. eu-

ropaea, A. harringi, A. pachida and A. reibischi, out of the about 21 species contained in the genus, are inhabitants of the marine littoral.

Genus Dicranophoroides (Figs. 14, 59, 60)

One euryhaline species, *Dicranophoroides caudatus*, out of 4 has been found in inland saline waters.

Genus Dicranophorus (Figs. 16, 61, 62)

Three species, *D. bulgaricus*, *D. forcipatus* and *D. proclestes*, out of about 50 have been reported from saltwaters; only *D. bulgaricus* is strictly marine.

Genus Encentrum (Figs. 15, 63, 64)

This genus is very species-rich, and actually contains about 100, mostly benthic-periphytic and psammophilous species. It is the most species rich marine rotifer genus, with 39 species reported from marine habitats (Encentrum algente, E. arenarium, E. astridae, E. axi, E. barti, E. bidentatum, E. boddensis, E. cruentum, E. dieteri, E. enteromorphae, E. eristes, E. eulitorale, E. flexile, E. frenoti, E. graingeri, E. incertum, E. kostei, E. lacidum, E. limicola, E. listense, E. listensoides, E. longirostrum, E. matthesi, E. myersi, E. obesum, E. permutandum, E. porsildi, E. psammophilum, E. remanei, E. rousseleti, E. sacculiforme, E. salsum, E. simillimum, E. striatum, E. tectipes, E. tenuidigitatum, E. valkanovi, E. villosum), and 2 strictly halines from inland saline waters (E. pachypus, E. salinum). Another 7 euryhaline species (E. glaucum, E. gulo, E. marinum, E. nesites, E. oculatum, E. putorius, E. spatiatum) and a haloxenous one (E. mustela) have been found in inland saline and / or marine waters.


Recent marine species additions not in the key by De Smet & Pourriot (1997): *E. barti*, *E. listensoides*, *E. tenuidigitatum*, *E. frenoti* (De Smet 2000, 2002); *E. kutikovae*, *E. ussuriensis* (De Smet & Chernyshev 2006); *E. porsildi*, *E. astridae* (Sørensen 1998, 2001b).

Genus Erignatha (Figs. 17, 65, 66)

Six species are known in the genus, and 2 of them, *E. longidentata* and *E. sagitta*, are strictly marine; another species inquirenda, *E. thienemanni*, has been reported from shore-pools. For the description of *E. longidentata* see Sørensen (2001a).

Genus Myersinella (Figs. 18, 58)

The genus is represented by 4 species, 2 of which, *Myersinella uncodonta* and *M. longiforceps*, have been described from Mediterranean psammon (De Smet 2007).



Figs. 56-69. Ploima rotifers, family Dicranophoridae: 56, Albertia crystallina; 57, Aspelta europaea; 58, Myersinella uncodonta; 59, Dicranophoroides caudatus, dorsal; 60, D. caudatus, lateral; 61, Dicranophorus bulgaricus, dorsal; 62, D. bulgaricus, lateral; 63, Encentrum algente, dorsal; 64, E. algente, lateral; 65, Erignatha longidentata, dorsal; 66, E. longidentata, lateral; 67, Paradicranophorus sinus; 68, Wierzejskiella marina; 69, Wigrella amphora. Scale bars = 50 μm.

Genus Paradicranophorus (Fig. 67)

Four species, *P. hudsoni*, *P. sinus*, *P. sordidus*, and *P. wesenberglundi*, out of 7 are reported from saltwaters. Of them only *P. sinus* and *P. wesenberglundi* are strictly haline and marine. For descriptions of *P. sinus* and *P. wesenberglundi*, see De Smet (2003) and Sørensen (2001a) respectively.

Genus Wierzejskiella (Fig. 68)

Four species out of 8, the strictly haline Wierzejskiella ambigua, W. marina and W. subterranea, and

the haloxenous *W. elongata*, are reported from marine habitats.

Genus Wigrella (Fig. 69)

One species, *W. amphora*, out of 2 is strictly haline, living in the marine littoral psammon.

Family Epiphanidae

For more information see Ruttner-Kolisko (1974), Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1987).

Genus Epiphanes (Fig. 70)

Of this genus (6 spp.), the haloxenous *Epiphanes* senta and the euryhaline *E. macroura* have been reported from inland saline and marine waters respectively.

Genus Proalides (Fig. 72)

One species only, *P. tentaculatus*, out of 3 has been reported in brackish water.

Genus Rhinoglena (Fig. 71)

Two members of this genus (3 spp.), the strictly haline *Rhinoglena fertoeensis* and the haloxenous *R. frontalis*, have been reported from inland saline waters only.

Family Euchlanidae

For more information see Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1989a).

- Dorsal plate similar in size or wider than ventral plate (Figs. 75, 76)......Euchlanis

Genus Dipleuchlanis (Figs. 73, 74)

The genus contains 3 species inhabiting freshwaters, with *Dipleuchlanis propatula* once found in brackish water.

Genus Euchlanis (Figs. 75, 76)

Of this genus containing about 24 species, 2 haloxenous (*Euchlanis deflexa*, *E. incisa*) and 3 euryhaline (*E. dilatata*, *E. lyra*, *E. parva*) species have been found in inland saline and/or marine waters.

Genus Tripleuchlanis (Figs. 77, 78)

The sole member of this genus, *Tripleuchlanis plicata*, is an euryhaline element, occurring in both inland saline and marine habitats.

Family Gastropodidae

Radwan & Bielanska-Grajner (2002) give pictures and keys for all species in the two genera.

Genus Ascomorpha (Fig. 80)

Three euryhaline species, *A. ecaudis*, *A. ovalis* and *A. saltans*, out of 8 in the genus have been reported in marine and inland saline waters.

Genus Gastropus (Fig. 81)


Two freshwater species, *G. hyptopus* and *G. stylifer*, have been reported from inland saltwaters.

Family Ituridae

One genus only, *Itura* (Figs. 19, 79), with 2 freshwater species mentioned from saltwaters: *I. aurita* and *I. myersi*; the former more euryhaline, found also in the sea, while the latter only in inland saltwater. Consult De Smet & Pourriot (1997) for more information and keys to species.

Family Lecanidae

Lecane (Fig. 88) is the only genus in the Family, although very diverse, as more than 200 species are known (Segers, 2007), mostly from benthicperiphytic and interstitial habitats. About 40 of them have been found in saltwaters, and at least 7 (L. abanica, L. althausi, L. grandis, L. inconspicua, L. lamellata, L. sinuosa, and L. insulaconae as a new addition (Fontaneto et al., in press)) have been reported as strictly haline, occurring in inland saline and/or marine habitats. Euryhalines: L. arcuata, L. arcula, L. bulla, L. closterocerca, L. cornuta, L. flexilis, L. galeata, L. hastata, L. inermis, L. intrasinuata, L. ligona, L. ludwigii, L. luna, L. lunaris, L. nana, L. papuana, L. paradoxa, L. punctata, L. quadridentata, L. stenroosi, L. thalera; haloxenous species: L. aspia, L. bifurca, L. difficilis, L. eutarsa, L. furcata, L. hamata, L. muscicola, L. paxiana, L. psammophila, L. pyriformis, L. rhytida, L. tenuiseta, L. ungulata.



Figs. 70-81. Ploima rotifers: 70, Epiphanes senta; 71, Rhinoglena fertoeensis; 72, Proalides tentaculatus; 73, Dipleuchlanis propatula; 74, D. propatula, cross-section; 75, Euchlanis dilatata; 76, E. dilatata, cross-section; 77, Tripleuchlanis plicata; 78, T. plicata, cross-section; 79, Itura aurita; 80, Ascomorpha saltans; 81, Gastropus hyptopus. Scale bars = 50 μm.

The most comprehensive and recent taxonomic revision of the genus with dichotomous keys and general information is by Segers (1995b).

Family Lepadellidae


No recent revision of Lepadellidae available; for more information consult Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1989b).

- Head shield retractile.....2

- 2 Lorica flattened dorso-ventrally, with anterior head opening and postero-ventral foot opening; sulci absent (Fig. 82). Lepadella
- Lorica strongly compressed laterally, continuous dorsally, with sulcus along anterior, ventral and posterior margin; foot emerging from ventral sulcus (Fig. 85).

Genus Colurella (Figs. 5, 85)

Fourteen species out of 23 have been found in saltwater. Strictly marine species are *Colurella halophila*, *C. marinovi* and *C. unicauda*; strictly



Figs. 82-92. Ploima rotifers: 82, Lepadella patella; 83, Squatinella rostrum, ventral; 84, S. rostrum, lateral; 85, Colurella adriatica; 86, Lindia tecusa, dorsal; 87, L. tecusa, lateral; 88, Lecane grandis; 89, Lophocharis salpina, lateral; 90, L. salpina, dorsal; 91, Mytilina ventralis, lateral; 92, M. ventralis, dorsal. Scale bars = 50 µm.

halines from inland waters are *C. geophila hallensis*, *C. g. limnetica* and *C. subtilis*. Three species, the euryhaline *C. adriatica* and *C. colurus*, and the strictly haline *C. salina*, are among the most common *Colurella* spp. in both marine and inland saline habitats. The remaining species are euryhaline (*C. dicentra*, *C. obtusa*, *C. uncinata*, *C. anodonta*) occurring in both saltwater types, or haloxenous (*C. ornata*).

Genus Lepadella (Fig. 82)

Fourteen species, out of about 116 species recognized valid, have been reported from saltwater. Two species, *Lepadella pontica* and *L. psammophila*, are strictly marine; *L. duvigneaudi* is a strictly haline from inland waters. The remainder of the species is euryhaline (*L. acuminata*, *L. arabica*, *L. oblonga*, *L. ovalis*, *L. patella*, *L. quadridentata*,

L. triptera) or haloxenous (L. amphitropis, L. minuta, L. rhomboides, L. rhomboidula).

Genus Squatinella (Figs. 83, 84)

A single species, *Squatinella rostrum*, of this freshwater genus (10 spp.) has occasionally been found in saltwaters.

Family Lindiidae

The Family contains one genus only, *Lindia* (Figs. 12, 86, 87), with 16 species. Five of them have been recorded in marine habitats. *Lindia annecta* may be considered haloxenous, *L. torulosa* is euryhaline, and all the others, *L. elsae*, *L. gravitata* and *L. tecusa*, are strictly marine. Consult Segers (2002a) for a revision, taxonomic keys and general information; De Smet (2005a, 2006) added new

species and detailed morphological and ecological information.

Family Mytilinidae

For keys and descriptions see Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1989a).

Genus Lophocharis (Figs. 89, 90)

Four species of *Lophocharis*, out of the 11, have been recorded in saltwater; *Lophocharis ambidentata* is strictly marine, whereas the others (*L. najas*, *L. oxysternon*, *L. salpina*) are euryhaline.

Genus Mytilina (Figs. 91, 92)

Four euryhaline taxa, *M. mucronata*, *M. ventralis*, *M. v. brevispina*, and *M. videns*, out of the 14 in the genus, have been reported from benthic-periphytic saltwater habitats.

Family Notommatidae

Out of 19 genera in the Family, 6 may occur in saltwater. Only *Pleurotrocha atlantica* and three species of *Cephalodella* are considered strictly haline; all other species reported are euryhaline. The most comprehensive and recent taxonomic revision of the Family is by Nogrady et al. (1995), from which we modified the following dichotomous key to the genera found in saltwater, and the consultation of which we recommend for species identification.

- Toes shorter or as long as trunk, of equal length......2

- No frontal eyes, cervical eye present or absent......4
- No auricles, in place often a ciliary tuft; corona frontal or oblique frontal.......
- 5 Salivary glands symmetrical (Figs. 97, 98).....

 Pleurotrocha
- Salivary glands usually asymmetrical or rudimentary (Fig. 99).Resticula

Genus Cephalodella (Figs. 8, 95)

More than 20 species out of about 200 have been reported from saltwater; three of them are considered strictly haline, with *C. marina* living in marine habitats, and *C. gisleni* and *C. mineri* only known from inland saline waters. All other species are euryhaline (*C. auriculata*, *C. catellina*, *C. delicata*, *C. fluviatilis*, *C. gibba*, *C. globata*, *C. gracilis*, *C. hoodi*, *C. megalocephala*, *C. obvia*, *C. pentaplax*, *C. stenroosi*) or haloxenous (*C. forficata*, *C. forficula*, *C. pachydactyla*, *C. sterea*, *C. tenuiseta*, *C. ventripes*).

Genus Eosphora (Fig. 100)

Two euryhaline species, *E. ehrenbergi* and *E. na-jas*, out of 7 in the genus, have been repeatedly reported from saltwaters.

Genus Monommata (Figs. 93, 94)

Three freshwater species, *M. dentata*, *M. grandis* and *M. longiseta*, out of 18 in the genus, have been occasionally found in inland saltwater.

Genus Notommata (Fig. 96)

Three freshwater species, *N. aurita*, *N. cyrtopus* and *N. glyphura*, out of 45, have been occasionally found in saltwaters.


Genus Pleurotrocha (Figs. 9, 97, 98)

Two species out of 9 are known to occur in saltwater: *P. atlantica* is strictly haline and reported from marine habitats only, whereas *P. petromyzon* is an euryhaline inhabitant of inland saltwaters.

Genus Resticula (Fig. 99)

Only one freshwater species, *R. melandocus*, out of 7 has been occasionally found in inland saltwater.

Figs. 93-109. Ploima rotifers: 93, Monommata longiseta, lateral; 94, M. longiseta, dorsal; 95, Cephalodella gibba; ▷ 96, Notommata aurita; 97, Pleurotrocha atlantica, dorsal; 98, P. atlantica, lateral; 99, Resticula melandocus; 100, Eosphora ehrenbergi; 101, Scaridium longicaudum; 102, Proales reinhardti; 103, Trichotria pocillum, dorsal; 104, T. pocillum, lateral; 105, Ploesoma hudsoni, ventral; 106, P. hudsoni, lateral; 107, Polyarthra remata; 108, Synchaeta neapolitana; 109, Trichocerca marina. Scale bars = 50 µm. a, auricle; v, V-shaped hypopharynx muscle.



Meiofauna Marina, Vol. 16

Family Proalidae

Out of the 4 genera in the Family, only Proales (Figs. 4, 102) has representatives in saltwater. Seventeen valid species (out of 43) are known to live in saltwater habitats. Proales commutata, P. germanica, P. halophila, P. litoralis, P. oculata, P. reinhardti, P. similis are strictly marine and often common in marine benthos and psammon, and among periphyton; P. paguri lives on the marine hermit crab Pagurus bernhardus and feeds on the epithelial cells of the gills; P. christinae and P. gonothyraeae are commensal/ectoparasitic epizoics of marine hydroids. Some haloxenous (P. decipiens, P. fallaciosa, P. werneckii) and euryhaline species (P. globulifera, P. minima, P. theodora) have also been reported from saltwaters. The most recent taxonomic revision with keys to the species and general information is De Smet (1996a); for the benthic-periphytic P. litoralis not included in the key, see De Smet (1996b).

Family Scaridiidae

One genus only, *Scaridium*, with 7 species, one of which, *S. longicaudum* (Fig. 101), has been reported as haloxenous element in inland saltwater. For a taxonomic revision of the Family, with dichotomous keys and general information consult Nogrady et al. (1995) and Segers (1995a).

Family Synchaetidae

For a recent review of the Family, and keys to genera and species, see Hollowday (2002).

- 1 Body loricate. Foot ventral (Figs. 105, 106).....

 Ploesoma
- Body illoricate. Foot caudal, or absent......2
- Body squared or rectangular in dorsal view, dorsoventrally compressed, with 4 bundles of blade-shaped appendages. Foot absent (Fig. 107)......Polyarthra

Genus Ploesoma (Figs. 105, 106)

Three euryhaline species (*Ploesoma hudsoni*, *P. lenticulare*, *P. truncatum*) out of 8 are known to occur in saltwater.

Genus Polyarthra (Fig. 107)

Three freshwater species of this genus (*Polyarthra dolichoptera*, *P. remata*, *P. vulgaris*), out of 17, have been recorded frequently also in saltwater.

Genus Synchaeta (Fig. 108)

This is one of the most diverse rotifer genera of the saltwater plankton: 31 taxa out of about 41 are strictly haline or euryhaline. The huge majority (22 spp.) of the strictly halines is marine (Synchaeta arcifera, S. atlantica, S. bacillifera, S. baltica, S. bicornis, S. cecilia, S. curvata, S. fennica, S. glacialis, S. grimpei, S. gyrina, S. hutchingsi, S. hyperborea, S. johanseni, S. monopus, S. neapolitana, S. pontica, S. rousseleti, S. squamadigitata, S. tamara, S. triophthalma, S. vorax), or inland saline (S. cylindrica) and as well as marine (S. elsteri). Seven more euryhalines were reported from marine habitats, and to a lesser extent also from inland saline waters (S. kitina, S. littoralis, S. oblonga, S. pectinata, S. stylata, S. tavina, S. tremula).

For a recent taxonomic review of the genus and useful keys, consult Hollowday (2002); Rougier et al. (2000) and De Smet (2006) provide aditional details and a new species (*S. squamadigitata*).

Family Trichocercidae

Only one of the 3 genera of the Family, *Trichocerca* (Figs. 7, 109), occurs in saltwater, with 20 species out of about 105. Almost half of them are plankonic and half are benthic. *Trichocerca marina* (and the maybe synonymous sp. inq. *T. curvata* and *T. henseni*), *T. pediculus* and sp. inq. *T. artmanni* and *T. heterodactyla* are the only strictly marine members. *Trichocerca capucina*, *T. longiseta*, *T. pusilla*, *T. rattus*, *T. ruttneri* and *T. taurocephala* are euryhaline, and *T. brachyura*, *T. cavia*, *T. insignis*, *T. obtusidens*, *T. porcellus*, *T. rousseleti*, *T. stylata* and *T. tenuior* are freshwater representatives, occasionally found in saltwaters.

The most useful taxonomic revision is still Koste (1978), although Segers (2003) has to be considered.

Family Trichotriidae

Only one of the 3 genera in the Family, *Trichotria* containing 12 species (Figs. 103, 104), has haline records, with 2 freshwater species (*T. pocillum*, *T. tetractis*) reported in saltwater as haloxenous. Koste (1978) and Koste & Shiel (1989a) provide keys and species descriptions.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Antonio Todaro for inviting us to write this key. Many thanks to two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

References

- Ahlrichs, W. H. (2003). Rotifera und Seison. Chapter 22.
 In: Das Mittelmeer, Fauna, Flora, Ökologie, Hofrichter, R. (ed.). Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin: 704-731.
- Bartoš, E. (1951). The Czechoslovak Rotatoria of the order Bdelloidea. Vestník Ceskoslovenské Zoologické Spolecnosti 15: 241-500.
- Bērziņš, B. (1952). Contributions to the knowledge of the marine Rotatoria of Norway. Universitetet i Bergen, Årbok 1951, Naturvitenskapelig rekke, Nr 6. Publications from the Biological Station 3: 1-11.
- Cáceres, C. E. (1997). Dormancy in invertebrates. Invert. Biol. 116: 371–383.
- de Beauchamp, P. (1965). Classe des Rotifères. Rotifera Cuvier, 1798. In: Traité de zoologie. Anatomie, systématique, biologie, T. 4 (3), Grassé, P. P. (ed.). Masson et Cie, Paris: 1225-1379.
- De Smet, W. H. (1996a). Rotifera. Volume 4: The Proalidae (Monogononta). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World, volume 9, Dumont, H. J. F. (ed.). SPB Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- (1996b). Description of *Proales litoralis* sp. nov. (Rotifera, Monogononta: Proalidae) from the marine littoral. Hydrobiologia 335: 203-208.
- (1998). Preparation of rotifer trophi for light and scanning electron microscopy. Hydrobiologia 387/ 388: 117-121.
- (2000). Three new species of the genus *Encentrum* (Rotifera, Monogononta, Dicranophoridae). Sarsia 85: 77-86.
- (2002). Marine Rotifera from the Crozet and Kerguelen Islands (Subantarctica), with the description of a new *Encentrum* (Monogononta: Dicranophoridae).
 Int. Rev. Hydrobiol. 87: 411-422.
- (2003). Paradicranophorus sinus sp. nov. (Dicranophoridae, Monogononta) a new rotifer from Belgium, with remarks on some other species of the genus Paradicranophorus Wiszniewski, 1929 and description of Donneria gen. nov. Belgian J. Zool. 133: 181-188.
- (2005a). Redescription of *Lindia gravitata* with comments on *Lindia tecusa* (Rotifera: Monogononta: Lindiidae). J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 85: 1467-1473.
- (2005b). Study of the trophi of *Testudinella* Bory de St. Vincent and *Pompholyx* Gosse (Rotifera: Testudinellidae) by scanning electron microscopy. Hydrobiologia 546: 203-211.

- (2006). Some marine Rotifera from Réunion Island, with a description of a new species of *Lindia* Harring and Myers, 1924 and one of *Synchaeta* Ehrenberg, 1832. Zool. Stud. 45: 81-92.
- (2007). Description of two new species of Myersinella (Rotifera, Monogononta: Dicranophoridae) from the Mediterranean. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 87: 1105-1110.
- De Smet, W. H. & A. V. Chernyshev (2006). Two new species of Dicranophoridae (Rotifera: Monogononta) from Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 86: 657-663.
- De Smet, W. H. & R. Pourriot (1997). Rotifera. Vol. 5: The Dicranophoridae and the Ituridae (Monogononta). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World, Nogrady. T, (ed.). SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Donner, J. (1965). Ordnung Bdelloidea. Bestimmungsbücher zur Bodenfauna Europas 6. Akademie Verlag, Berlin: 1-267.
- Dumont, H. J. (1983). Biogeography of rotifers. Hydrobiologia 104: 19-30.
- Edmondson, W. T. (1959). Rotifera. In Freshwater Biology, 2nd ed., Edmondson, W. T. (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, New York: 420-494.
- Egborge, A. B. M. (1994). Salinity and the distribution of rotifers in the Lagos Harbor Badagry Creek System, Nigeria. Hydrobiologia 272: 95-104.
- Fontaneto, D., W. H. De Smet & C. Ricci (2006a). Rotifers in saltwater environments, re-evaluation of an inconspicuous taxon. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 86: 623-656.
- Fontaneto, D., G. F. Ficetola, R. Ambrosini & C. Ricci (2006b). Patterns of diversity in microscopic animals: are they comparable to those in protists or in larger animals? Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 15: 153-162.
- Fontaneto, D., I. Giordani, G. Melone & M. Serra (2007a). Disentangling the morphological stasis in two rotifer species of the *Brachionus plicatilis* species complex. Hydrobiologia 583: 297-307.
- Fontaneto, D., E. A. Herniou, C. Boschetti, M. Caprioli, G. Melone, C. Ricci & T. G. Barraclough (2007b). Independently evolving species in asexual bdelloid rotifers. PLoS Biol. 5: 914-921.
- Fontaneto, D & C. Ricci (2004) Rotifera: Bdelloidea. In: Freshwater Invertebrates of the Malaysian Region, Yule, C. M. & H. S. Yong (eds.). Academy of Sciences Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: 121-126.
- Fontaneto, D., H. Segers & G. Melone (in press). Marine rotifers from the Northern Adriatic Sea, with description of *Lecane insulaconae* n. sp. (Rotifera: Monogononta: Lecanidae). J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK: in press.
- Giere, O. (1993). Meiobenthology: The microscopic fauna in aquatic sediments. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Higgins, R. P. & H. Thiel (1988). Introduction to the study of meiofauna. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.

- Hollowday, E. D. (2002). Family Synchaetidae Hudson and Gosse, 1886. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaetidae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H. J. F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 87-211.
- José de Paggi, S. (2002). Family Asplanchnidae Eckstein, 1883. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaetidae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H. J. F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 1-27.
- Koste, W. (1978). Rotatoria. Die Rädertiere Mitteleuropas. 2 vols. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin, Stuttgart.
- Koste, W. & R.J. Shiel (1986). Rotifera from Australian inland waters. I. Bdelloidea (Rotifera: Digononta). Austral. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 37: 765-792.
- (1987) Rotifera from Australian inland waters. II.
 Epiphanidae and Brachionidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Inv. Taxon. 7: 949-1021.
- (1989a) Rotifera from Australian inland waters III.
 Euchlanidae, Mytilinidae and Trichotriidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Australia
 113: 85-114.
- (1989b) Rotifera from Australian inland waters IV.
 Colurellidae and Lecanidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Australia 113: 119-147.
- (1990a) Rotifera from Australian inland waters V.
 Lecanidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans. Roy.
 Soc. S. Australia 114: 1-36.
- (1990b) Rotifera from Australian inland waters VI.
 Proalidae and Lindiidae (Rotifera: Monogononta).
 Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Australia 114: 129-143.
- (1991) Rotifera from Australian inland waters VII.
 Notommatidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans.
 Roy. Soc. S. Australia 115: 111-159.
- (1992) Rotifera from Australian inland waters VIII.
 Trichocercidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans.
 Roy. Soc. S. Australia 116: 1-27.
- (1993) Rotifera from Australian inland waters IX.
 Gastropodiae, Synchaetidae, Asplanchnidae (Rotifera: Monogononta). Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Australia 117: 111-139.
- Kronberg, I. (1988). Structure and adaptation of the fauna in the black zone (littoral fringe) along rocky shores in northern Europe. Mar. Ecol. Progress Series 49: 95–106.
- Kutikova, L. A. (2002). Rotifera. In: A Guide to Tropical Freshwater Zooplankton, Fernando, C. H. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 23-68.
- Mañé-Garzón, F. & R. Montero (1973). Una nueva specie de Rotifera Bdelloidea, Anomopus chasmagnathi n. sp., de la cavidad branquial del cangrejo de estuario Chasmagnathus granulata Dana, 1851 (Decapoda, Brachiura). Rev. Biol. Uruguay 1: 139-144.

- Mark Welch, D. B. (2000). Evidence from a proteincoding gene that acanthocephalans are rotifers. Invert. Biol. 119: 17-26.
- Myers, F. J. (1934). The distribution of Rotifera on Mount Desert Island. Part VII. New Testudinellidae of the genus *Testudinella* and a new species of Brachionidae of the genus *Trichotria*. Am. Mus. Novitates 761: 1-8.
- (1936). Three new brackish water and one new marine species of Rotatoria. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc. 55: 428-432.
- Nogrady, T., R. Pourriot & H. Segers (1995). Rotifera 3. Notommatidae and Scaridiidae. In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 8, Dumont, H. J. & T. Nogrady (eds.). SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague.
- Pennak, R. W. (1978). Fresh-water Invertebrates of the United States, 2rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- (1989). Fresh-water Invertebrates of the United States, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Radwan, S. & I. Bielanska-Grajner (2002). Family Gastropodidae. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaetidae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H.J.F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 28-54.
- Remane, A. (1929). Rotatoria. In: Die Tierwelt der Nord- und Ostsee, Grimpe, G. (ed.). Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig: 1-156.
- Ricci, C. & D. Fontaneto (2003). Mediterranean rotifers: a very inconspicuous taxon. Biogeographia, Lavori Soc. Ital. Biogeogr. 24: 161-167.
- Ricci, C. & G. Melone (2000). Key to the identification of the genera of bdelloid rotifers. Hydrobiologia 418: 73-80.
- Ricci, C., G. Melone & C. Sotgia (1993). Old and new data on Seisonidea (Rotifera). Hydrobiologia 255: 495-511.
- Rougier, C., R. Pourriot, & T. Lam-Hoai (2000). The genus *Synchaeta* (rotifers) in a north-western Mediterranean coastal lagoon (Etang de Thau, France): taxonomical and ecological remarks. Hydrobiologia 436: 105-117.
- Rudescu, L. (1961). Rotiferii din Marea Neagra. Hidrobiologia Lucrarile Comisiei de Hidrologie, Hidrobiologie si Ihtiologie 3: 280-329.
- Ruttner-Kolisko, A. (1974). Plankton rotifers: biology and taxonomy. Die Binnengewässer (Supplement) 26/1: 1-146.
- Sanoamuang, L. O. (1993). Comparative studies on scanning electron microscopy of trophi of the genus *Filinia* Bory de St. Vincent (Rotifera). Hydrobiologia 264: 115-128.
- (2002). Genus Filinia. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaeti-

- dae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H. J. F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 224-257.
- Segers, H. (1995a). A reappraisal of the Scaridiidae (Rotifera, Monogononta). Zool. Scr. 24: 91-100.
- (1995b). Rotifera 2. The Lecanidae (Monogononta).
 In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 6,
 Dumont, H. J. & T. Nogrady (eds.). SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague.
- (2002a). Family Lindiidae. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaetidae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H. J. F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 55-82.
- (2002b). Family Trochosphaeridae. In: Rotifera 6: Asplanchnidae, Gastropodidae, Lindiidae, Microcodidae, Synchaetidae, Trochosphaeridae and Filinia, Nogrady, T. & H. Segers (eds.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World 18, Dumont, H.J.F. (ed.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 212-223.
- (2003). A biogeographical analysis of rotifers of the genus *Trichocerca* Lamarck, 1801 (Trichocercidae, Monogononta, Rotifera), with notes on taxonomy. Hydrobiologia 500: 103-114.
- (2004). Rotifera: Monogononta. In: Freshwater Invertebrates of the Malaysian Region, Yule, C. M. & H. S. Yong (eds.). Academy of Sciences Malaysia and Monash University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: 112-116.
- (2007). Annotated checklist of the rotifers (Phylum Rotifera), with notes on nomenclature, taxonomy and distribution. Zootaxa 1564: 1-104.
- (2008). Global diversity of rotifers (Phylum Rotifera) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595: 49-59.
- Segers, H. & G. Melone (1998). A comparative study of trophi morphology in Seisonidea (Rotifera). J. Zool. 244: 201-207.
- Segers, H. & R. L. Wallace (2001). Phylogeny and classification of the Conochilidae (Rotifera, Monogononta, Flosculariacea). Zool. Scr. 30: 37-48.
- Shiel, R. J. (1995). A guide to indentification of rotifers, cladocerans and copepods from Australian inland waters. Co-operative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology (No. 3). The Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology, Murray-Darling Freshwater Research Centre, POB 921, Albury, N.S.W., 2640, AT.

- Smith, D. G. (2001). Rotifera (Wheel animals). Chapter 7. In: Pennak's freshwater invertebrates of the United States, Smith, D. G. (ed.). JohnWiley & Sons, NewYork: 129-190.
- Sørensen, M. V. (1998). Marine Rotifera from a sandy beach at Disko Island, West Greenland, with the description of *Encentrum porsildi* n. sp. and *Notholca* angakkoq n. sp. Hydrobiologia 386: 153-165.
- (2001a). Two new species of the family Dicranophoridae (Rotifera, Ploima) from the littoral psammon, with notes on other brackish water rotifers in Denmark. Hydrobiologia 452: 121-128.
- (2001b). On the rotifer fauna of Bermuda, including notes on the associated meiofauna and the description of a new species of *Encentrum* (Rotifera: Ploima: Dicranophoridae). Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington 114: 725-736.
- Sørensen, M. V. & G. Giribet (2006). A modern approach to rotiferan phylogeny: combining morphological and molecular data. Mol. Phyl. Evol. 40: 585-608.
- Sørensen, M. V. & R. M. Kristensen (2000). Marine Rotifera from Ikka Fjord, SW Greenland. Meddelelser om Grønland, Bioscience 51: 1-46.
- Sørensen, M. V., H. Segers & P. Funch (2005). On a new Seison Grube, 1861 from coastal waters of Kenya, with a reappraisal of the classification of the Seisonida (Rotifera). Zool. Stud. 44: 34-43.
- Stemberger, R. S. (1979). A guide to rotifers of the Laurentian Great Lakes. US Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, OH. (Available from: National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA, PB80-101280).
- Turner, P. N. (1999). A simple generic key to the bdelloid rotifers. Quekett J. Microsc. 38: 351-356.
- Wallace, R. L. & C. Ricci (2002). Rotifera. In: Freshwater Meiofauna: Biology and Ecology, Rundle, S. D., A. L. Robertson & J. M. Schmid-Araya (eds.). Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands: 15-44.
- Wallace, R. L., T. W. Snell, C. Ricci & T. Nogrady (2006).
 Rotifera: Volume 1 Biology, Ecology and Systematics (2nd ed.). In: Guides to the Identification of the Microinvertebrates of the Continental Waters of the World, Vol. 23, Segers, H. (ed.). Kenobi Productions, Ghent, and Backhuys Publishers, Leiden.
- Wallace, R. L. & T. W. Snell (2001). Rotifera. In: Ecology and Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates, 2nd edition, Thorpe, J. & A. Covich (eds.). Academic Press, New York: 195-254.
- Wulfert, K. (1969). Die Rädertiere (Rotatoria). Die Neue Brehm-Bücherei, Leipzig.