

# *Algebra &*

[Metadata, citation and simulation](#)

University of Oslo and Akershus University College

# *Number Theory*

Volume 3

2009

No. 4

## Ideals generated by submaximal minors

Jan O. Kleppe and Rosa M. Miró-Roig

 mathematical sciences publishers

# Ideals generated by submaximal minors

Jan O. Kleppe and Rosa M. Miró-Roig

The goal of this paper is to study irreducible families  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  of codimension 4, arithmetically Gorenstein schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the submaximal minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  whose entries are homogeneous forms of degree  $a_j - b_i$ . Under some numerical assumption on  $a_j$  and  $b_i$ , we prove that the closure of  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , show that  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is generically smooth along  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$ , and compute the dimension of  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  in terms of  $a_j$  and  $b_i$ . To achieve these results we first prove that  $X$  is determined by a regular section of  $\mathcal{F}_Y/\mathcal{F}_Y^2(s)$  where  $s = \deg(\det \mathcal{A})$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a codimension-2, arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme defined by the maximal minors of the matrix obtained deleting a suitable row of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with determinantal schemes. A scheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension  $c$  is called *determinantal* if its homogeneous saturated ideal can be generated by the  $r \times r$  minors of a homogeneous  $p \times q$  matrix with  $c = (p - r + 1)(q - r + 1)$ . When  $r = \min(p, q)$  we say that  $X$  is *standard determinantal*. Given integers  $r \leq p \leq q$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_p$ , and  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_q$ , we denote by  $W_{p,q}^r(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) \subset \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  the locus of determinantal schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension  $c = (p - r + 1)(q - r + 1)$  defined by the  $r \times r$  minors of a  $p \times q$  matrix  $(f_{ji})_{j=1, \dots, q}^{i=1, \dots, p}$  where  $f_{ji} \in k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $a_j - b_i$ .

The study of determinantal schemes has received considerable attention in the literature [Bruns and Vetter 1988; Hochster and Eagon 1971; Eagon and Northcott 1962; Miró-Roig 2008]. Some classical schemes that can be constructed in this way are the Segre varieties, rational normal scrolls, and the Veronese varieties. This paper contributes to the classification of determinantal schemes, and addresses, in the case  $p = q = t$ ,  $r = t - 1$ , three fundamental problems:

- (1) determining the dimension of  $W_{p,q}^r(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  in terms of  $a_j$  and  $b_i$ ,

*MSC2000:* primary 14M12, 14C05, 14H10, 14J10; secondary 14N05.

*Keywords:* Hilbert scheme, arithmetically Gorenstein, determinantal schemes.

Miró-Roig was partially supported by MTM2007-61104.

- (2) determining whether the closure of  $W_{p,q}^r(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , and
- (3) determining when  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is generically smooth along  $W_{p,q}^r(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$ .

The first important contribution to these problems was made by Ellingsrud [1975], who proved that every arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay, closed subscheme  $X$  of codimension 2 of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is unobstructed (that is, the corresponding point in the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is smooth) provided  $n \geq 3$ . He also computed the dimension of the Hilbert scheme at  $(X)$ .

Recall that the homogeneous ideal of an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay closed subscheme of codimension 2 of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is given by the maximal minors of a  $(t-1) \times t$  homogeneous matrix, the Hilbert–Burch matrix; that is, such a scheme is standard determinantal. The purpose of this work is to extend Ellingsrud’s Theorem, viewed as a statement on standard determinantal schemes of codimension 2, to arbitrary determinantal schemes. The case of codimension-3 standard determinantal schemes was mainly solved in [Kleppe et al. 2001, Proposition 1.12], and the case of standard determinantal schemes of arbitrary codimension was studied and partially solved in [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2005]. In [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2007], we treated the case of codimension-3 determinantal schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the submaximal minors of a symmetric homogeneous matrix. In our opinion, it is difficult to solve the above three questions in full generality, and, in this paper, we will focus our attention on the first unsolved case; that is, we will deal with codimension-4 determinantal schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , defined by the submaximal minors of a homogeneous square matrix. As in [Kleppe et al. 2001; Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2005; Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2007], we prove our results by considering the smoothness of the Hilbert flag scheme of pairs, or, more generally, the Hilbert flag scheme of chains of closed subschemes obtained by deleting suitable rows, and its natural projections into the usual Hilbert schemes. We wonder if a similar strategy could facilitate the study of the general case.

Here we outline the structure of the paper. In Section 2, we recall the basic facts about local cohomology and deformation theory needed in what follows. In Section 3, we describe the deformations of the codimension-4 arithmetically Gorenstein schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined as the degeneracy locus of a regular section of the twisted conormal sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_Y/\mathcal{F}_Y^2(s)$  of a codimension-2, arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of dimension  $\geq 3$ . Section 4 is the heart of the paper. There we determine the dimension of  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  in terms of  $b_i$  and  $a_j$  provided  $a_i \geq b_{i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-3$  (and  $a_1 \geq b_t$  if  $t \leq 3$ ),  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  and  $\dim X \geq 1$ . We also prove that, under this numerical restriction,  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is generically smooth along  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$ , and that the closure of  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is an irreducible component of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  (see Theorem 4.6).

The key point in proving our result is the fact that any codimension-4, determinantal scheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the submaximal minors of a homogeneous square matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  is arithmetically Gorenstein and determined by a regular section of  $\mathcal{F}_Y/\mathcal{F}_Y^2(s)$  where  $s = \deg(\det \mathcal{A})$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a codimension-2, arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme defined by the maximal minors of the matrix  $\mathcal{N}$  obtained deleting a suitable row of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [Proposition 4.3](#)). Conversely, any codimension-4, arithmetically Gorenstein scheme  $X = \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by a regular section  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{F}_Y/\mathcal{F}_Y^2(s)$  where  $Y = \text{Proj } B \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is a codimension-2, arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay scheme, fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_B(n + 1 - 2s) \longrightarrow N_B(-s) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} B \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,$$

and is determined by the submaximal minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  obtained by adding a suitable row to the Hilbert–Burch matrix of  $Y$  (see [Proposition 4.3](#)). In [Section 5](#), we include some examples which illustrate that the numerical hypothesis in [Theorem 4.6](#),  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$ , cannot be avoided.

**Notation.** Throughout this paper  $k$  will be an algebraically closed field,  $R = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } R$ . As usual, the sheafification of a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  will be denoted by  $\tilde{M}$  and the support of  $M$  by  $\text{Supp } M$ .

Given a closed subscheme  $X$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension  $c$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_X$  its ideal sheaf, by  $\mathcal{N}_X$  its normal sheaf, and by  $I(X) = H_*^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}_X)$  its saturated homogeneous ideal unless  $X = \emptyset$ , in which case we let  $I(X) = \mathfrak{m}$ . If  $X$  is equidimensional and Cohen–Macaulay of codimension  $c$ , we set  $\omega_X = \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{P}^n}}^c(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{P}^n})(-n - 1)$  to be its canonical sheaf.

In the sequel, for any graded quotient  $A$  of  $R$  of codimension  $c$ , we let  $I_A = \ker(R \twoheadrightarrow A)$ ,  $N_A = \text{Hom}_R(I_A, A)$  be the normal module. If  $A$  is Cohen–Macaulay of codimension  $c$ , we let  $K_A = \text{Ext}_R^c(A, R)(-n - 1)$  be its canonical module. When we write  $X = \text{Proj } A$ , we let  $A = R/I(X)$  and  $K_X = K_A$ . If  $M$  is a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module, let  $\text{depth}_J M$  denote the length of a maximal  $M$ -sequence in a homogeneous ideal  $J$  and let  $\text{depth } M = \text{depth}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$ . If  $\Gamma_J(-)$  is the functor of sections with support in  $\text{Spec}(A/J)$ , we denote by  $H_J^i(-)$  the right derived functor of  $\Gamma_J(-)$ .

Let  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  be the Hilbert scheme parameterizing closed subschemes  $X$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with Hilbert polynomial  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  [[Grothendieck 1966](#)]. By abuse of notation we will write  $(X) \in \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  for the  $k$ -point which corresponds to a closed subscheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . The Hilbert polynomial of  $X$  is sometimes denoted by  $p_X$ . By definition  $X$  is called unobstructed if  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is smooth at  $(X)$ .

The pullback of the universal family on  $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^n)$  via a morphism  $\psi : W \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^n)$  yields a flat family over  $W$ , and we will write  $(X) \in W$  for a member of that family as well. Suppose that  $W$  is irreducible. Then, by definition, a general

$(X) \in W$  has a certain property if there is a nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $W$  such that all members of  $U$  have this property. Moreover, we say that  $(X)$  is general in  $W$  if it belongs to a sufficiently small open subset  $U$  of  $W$  (so any  $(X)$  in  $U$  has all the openness properties that we want to require).

Finally we let  $D = D(p_X, p_Y)$  be the Hilbert flag scheme parameterizing pairs of closed subschemes  $(X' \subset Y')$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with Hilbert polynomials  $p_{X'} = p_X$  and  $p_{Y'} = p_Y$ , respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader we include in this section the background and basic results on local cohomology and deformation theory needed in the sequel.

**2.1. Local cohomology.** Let  $B = R/I_B$  be a graded quotient of the polynomial ring  $R$ , let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated graded  $B$ -modules and let  $J \subset B$  be an ideal. We say that  $M$  (assumed nonzero) is *Cohen–Macaulay* if  $\text{depth } M = \dim M$  and *maximal Cohen–Macaulay* if  $\text{depth } M = \dim B$ . Equivalently, since  $\text{depth}_J M \geq r$  is equivalent to  $H_J^i(M) = 0$  for  $i < r$ , the module  $M$  is Cohen–Macaulay (resp. maximal Cohen–Macaulay) if  $H_m^i(M) = 0$  for all  $i \neq \dim M$  (resp.  $i < \dim B$ ). If  $B$  is Cohen–Macaulay, we know by Gorenstein duality that the  $\nu$ -graded piece of  $H_m^i(M)$  satisfies

$${}_\nu H_m^i(M) \simeq {}_{-\nu} \text{Ext}_B^{\dim B - i}(M, K_B)^\vee.$$

Let  $Z$  be closed in  $Y := \text{Proj } B$  and let  $U = Y - Z$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{I(Z)}^0(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow H_*^0(U, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow H_{I(Z)}^1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

and isomorphisms  $H_{I(Z)}^i(M) \simeq H_*^{i-1}(U, \tilde{M})$  for  $i \geq 2$ , where as usual we write  $H_*^i(U, \tilde{M}) = \bigoplus_t H^i(U, \tilde{M}(t))$ . More generally, if  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} N \geq i + 1$ , there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0 \text{Ext}_B^i(M, N) &\hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_U}^i(\tilde{M}|_U, \tilde{N}|_U) \\ &\rightarrow {}_0 \text{Hom}_B(M, H_{I(Z)}^{i+1}(N)) \rightarrow {}_0 \text{Ext}_B^{i+1}(M, N) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2-1)$$

by [Grothendieck 1968, exposé VI], where the middle form comes from a spectral sequence also treated in the same source.

**2.2. Basic deformation theory.** To use deformation theory, we will need to consider the (co)homology groups of algebras  $H_2(R, B, B)$  and  $H^2(R, B, B)$ . Let us recall their definition. We consider

$$\dots \rightarrow F_2 := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mu_2} R(-n_{2,j}) \rightarrow F_1 := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} R(-n_{1,i}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0, \quad (2-2)$$

a minimal graded free  $R$ -resolution of  $B$  and let  $H_1 = H_1(I_B)$  be the first Koszul homology built on a set of minimal generators of  $I_B$ . Then we may take the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(R, B, B) \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes_R B \rightarrow I_B/I_B^2 \rightarrow 0 \tag{2-3}$$

as a definition of the second algebra homology  $H_2(R, B, B)$  [Vasconcelos 1994], and the dual sequence

$$\rightarrow {}_v\text{Hom}_B(F_1 \otimes B, B) \rightarrow {}_v\text{Hom}_B(H_1, B) \rightarrow {}_vH^2(R, B, B) \rightarrow 0,$$

as a definition of graded second algebra cohomology  $H^2(R, B, B)$ . If  $B$  is generically a complete intersection, then it is well known that [André 1974, Proposition 16.1]:

$$\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, B) \simeq H^2(R, B, B).$$

We also know that  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_Y)$  is the tangent space of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  in general, while  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{N}_Y)$  contains the obstructions of deforming  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  in the case in which  $Y$  is locally a complete intersection (l.c.i.) [Grothendieck 1966]. If  ${}_0\text{Hom}_R(I_B, H_m^1(B))$  vanishes (for example if  $\text{depth}_m B \geq 2$ ), we have by (2-1) that  ${}_0\text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, B) \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{N}_Y)$  and  ${}_0H^2(R, B, B) \hookrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{N}_Y)$  is injective in the l.c.i. case, and that  ${}_0H^2(R, B, B)$  contains the obstructions of deforming  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  [Kleppe 1979, Remark 3.7]. Thus  ${}_0H^2(R, B, B) = 0$  suffices for the unobstructedness of an l.c.i. arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay subscheme  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of  $\dim Y \geq 1$ . For this conclusion we may even entirely skip “l.c.i.” by slightly extending the argument, as done in [Kleppe 1979].

**2.3. Useful exact sequences.** In the last part of this section, we collect some exact sequences frequently used in this paper, in the case that  $B = R/I_B$  is a generically complete intersection codimension-2 CM quotient of  $R$ . First, applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  to the minimal graded free  $R$ -resolution of  $B$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow F_2 := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\mu-1} R(-n_{2,j}) \rightarrow F_1 := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} R(-n_{1,i}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0, \tag{2-4}$$

we get a minimal graded free  $R$ -resolution of  $K_B$ :

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus R(n_{1,i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus R(n_{2,j}) \rightarrow K_B(n+1) \rightarrow 0. \tag{2-5}$$

If we apply  $\text{Hom}(-, B)$  to (2-5) we get the exactness on the left in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_B(n+1)^* \rightarrow \bigoplus B(-n_{2,j}) \rightarrow \bigoplus B(-n_{1,i}) \rightarrow I_B/I_B^2 \rightarrow 0, \tag{2-6}$$

which splits into two short exact sequences via  $\bigoplus B(-n_{2,j}) \twoheadrightarrow H_1 \hookrightarrow \bigoplus B(-n_{1,i})$ , one of which is (2-3) with  $H_2(R, B, B) = 0$ . Indeed since  $H_1$  is Cohen–Macaulay by [Avramov and Herzog 1980], we get  $H_2(R, B, B) = 0$  by (2-3). Moreover since

$\text{Ext}_R^1(I_B, I_B) \simeq N_B$  we showed in [Kleppe and Peterson 2001, page 788] that there is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow F_1^* \otimes_R F_2 \rightarrow ((F_1^* \otimes_R F_1) \oplus (F_2^* \otimes_R F_2))/R \rightarrow F_2^* \otimes_R F_1 \rightarrow N_B \rightarrow 0, \quad (2-7)$$

where  $F_i^* = \text{Hom}_R(F_i, R)$ . Indeed this sequence is deduced from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus I_B(n_{1,i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus I_B(n_{2,j}) \rightarrow N_B \rightarrow 0,$$

which we get by applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, I_B)$  to (2-4) [Kleppe and Peterson 2001, (26)]. Similarly applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, I_B/I_B^2)$  to (2-4), and noting that

$$\text{Hom}_R(I_B, I_B/I_B^2) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, I_B/I_B^2),$$

we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, I_B/I_B^2) \rightarrow \bigoplus I_B/I_B^2(n_{1,i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus I_B/I_B^2(n_{2,j}) \rightarrow N_B \rightarrow 0. \quad (2-8)$$

Finally we recall the following frequently used exact sequence [Vasconcelos 1994]:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^2(\bigoplus R(-n_{2,j})) \rightarrow (\bigoplus R(-n_{1,i})) \otimes (\bigoplus R(-n_{2,j})) \rightarrow S^2(\bigoplus R(-n_{1,i})) \rightarrow I_B^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (2-9)$$

### 3. Deformations of quotients of regular sections

In [Kleppe 2007] the first author studied deformations of a scheme  $X := \text{Proj } A$  defined as the degeneracy locus of a regular section of a “nice” sheaf  $\widetilde{M}$  on an arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay (ACM) scheme  $Y = \text{Proj } B$ . Recall that if we take a regular section of the anticanonical sheaf  $\widetilde{K}_B^*(s)$  and  $Y$  is an l.c.i. of positive dimension, then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_B(-s) \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

in which  $A$  is Gorenstein. Indeed the mapping cone construction leads to a resolution of  $A$  from which we easily see that  $A$  is Gorenstein. In [Kleppe and Peterson 2001], we generalized this way of constructing Gorenstein algebras to sheaves of higher rank and, in [Kleppe 2007], we studied the deformations of this “construction”, notably in the rank 2 case which we now recall.

Let  $M$  be a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $B$ -module of rank  $r = 2$  such that  $\widetilde{M}|_U$  is locally free and  $\bigwedge^2 \widetilde{M}|_U \simeq \widetilde{K}_B(t)|_U$  in an open set  $U := Y - Z$  of  $Y$  satisfying  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 2$ . Then a regular section  $\sigma$  of  $\widetilde{M}^*(s)|_U$  defines an arithmetically Gorenstein scheme  $X = \text{Proj } A$  given by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_B(t - 2s) \rightarrow M(-s) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0, \quad (3-1)$$

and  $M \simeq \text{Hom}_B(M, K_B(t))$  by Theorem 8 of [Kleppe and Peterson 2001]. In this paper we consider and further develop the case where  $M = N_B$  and  $\dim B = n - 1$  ( $n + 1 = \dim R, n \geq 5$ ). By Proposition 13 of the same reference,  $N_B$  is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $B$ -module and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_B(n+1-2s) \rightarrow N_B(-s) \rightarrow I_{A/B} \rightarrow 0, \text{ where } I_{A/B} := \ker(B \rightarrow A). \quad (3-2)$$

**Example 3.1.** Set  $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_5]$  and let  $B = R/I_B$  be a codimension-2 quotient with minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R(-3)^2 \rightarrow R(-2)^3 \rightarrow R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

and suppose  $Y = \text{Proj } B$  is an l.c.i. in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . Let  $A$  be given by a regular section of  $I_B/I_B^2(s), s \geq 3$ . Thanks to the exact sequences (2-5) and (2-7) and the mapping cone construction applied to both (3-2) and  $0 \rightarrow I_{A/B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ , we get the following resolution of the Gorenstein algebra  $A$ :

$$0 \rightarrow R(-2s) \rightarrow R(2-2s)^3 \oplus R(-1-s)^6 \rightarrow R(3-2s)^2 \oplus R(-s)^{12} \oplus R(-3)^2 \\ \rightarrow R(1-s)^6 \oplus R(-2)^3 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed  $X = \text{Proj } A$  is an arithmetically Gorenstein curve of degree  $d = 3s^2 - 10s + 9$  and arithmetic genus  $g = 1 + d(s - 3)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  [Kleppe 2007, Example 43].

With  $M$  and  $A$  as above, it turns out that [Kleppe 2007, Theorems 1 and 25] describes the deformations space,  $\text{GradAlg } R$ , of the graded quotient  $A$  and computes the dimension of  $\text{GradAlg } R$  in terms of a number  $\delta := \delta(K_B)_{t-2s} - \delta(M)_{-s}$ , where

$$\delta(N)_v := {}_v\text{hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, N) - {}_v\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N). \quad (3-3)$$

Here we have used small letters for the  $k$ -dimension of  ${}_v\text{Ext}_B^i(-, -)$  and of similar groups. If we suppose  $M = N_B$ ,  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$  and  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , then the conditions of parts A and B of [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 25] are satisfied provided  ${}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) = 0$  or  ${}_{-s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) = 0$ , respectively. In both cases  $X$  is unobstructed and

$$\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) =$$

$$\dim(N_B)_0 + \dim(I_B/I_B^2)_s - {}_0\text{hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, I_B/I_B^2) + \dim(K_B)_{t-2s} + \delta, \quad (3-4)$$

where  $t = n + 1$  [Kleppe 2007, Corollary 41 and its proof and Remark 42]. Using the exact sequence (2-7) we get  ${}_{-s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) = 0$  for  $s > 2 \max n_{2,j} - \min n_{1,i}$  which led to Corollary 41 of [Kleppe 2007] which we slightly generalize in Corollary 3.2(i) below. The A-part was considered in [Kleppe 2007, Remark 42]. By the proof of [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 25] we may replace the vanishing of  ${}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B)$  by the vanishing of the subgroup  ${}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B)$  and still



get all conclusions of the A-part. Therefore, we can also prove (ii) of the following corollary to [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 25].

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $B = R/I_B$  be a codimension-2 CM quotient of  $R$ , let  $U = \text{Proj } B - Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be an l.c.i., and suppose  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$ . Let  $A$  be given by a regular section of  $\widetilde{N}_B^*(s)$  on  $U$ , let  $\eta(v) := \dim(I_B/I_B^2)_v$ , and put*

$$\epsilon := \eta(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu-1} \eta(n_{2,j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \eta(n_{1,i}).$$

- (i) *Let  $j_0$  satisfy  $n_{2,j_0} = \max n_{2,j}$ . If  $s > n_{2,j_0} + \max_{j \neq j_0} n_{2,j} - \min n_{1,i}$  and  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , then  $X$  is a  $p_Y$ -generic unobstructed arithmetically Gorenstein subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension 4 and  $\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \epsilon$ .*
- (ii) *If  ${}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(N_B, A) = 0$ ,  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $s > \max n_{2,j}/2$  and  $(X \subset Y)$  is general, then  $X$  is unobstructed,  $\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \epsilon + \delta$  and the codimension of the stratum in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  of subschemes given by (3-1) is  ${}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B})$ . Moreover if  $s > \max n_{2,j} + \max n_{1,i} - \min n_{1,i}$  we have  ${}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B}) = -{}_s\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) = \delta$ , while if  $s > \max n_{2,j}$  we have  ${}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B}) = -{}_s\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B)$ .*

Here  $I_{A/B} = \ker(B \rightarrow A)$  and “ $X$  is  $p_Y$ -generic” if there is an open subset of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  containing  $(X)$  whose members  $X'$  are subschemes of some closed  $Y'$  with Hilbert polynomial  $p_Y$ . The stratum in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  of subschemes given by (3-1) around  $(X)$  is defined by functorially varying both  $B, M$  and the regular section around  $(B \rightarrow A)$  [Kleppe 2007, the definition before Theorem 25]. Indeed it is proved in [Kleppe 2007, Lemma 2.9] that pairs of closed subschemes  $(X' \subset Y')$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $X' = \text{Proj } A'$  and  $Y' = \text{Proj } B'$ , obtained as in (3-1), contain an open subset  $U \ni (X \subset Y)$  in the Hilbert flag scheme  $D$ , and taking such a  $U$  small enough, we may define the mentioned stratum to be  $p(U)$  where  $p : D \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is the projection morphism induced by  $(X' \subset Y') \rightarrow (X')$ . Thus “ $X$  is  $p_Y$ -generic” essentially means that the codimension of the stratum of subschemes given by (3-1) around  $(X)$  is zero.

Note also that “ $(X \subset Y)$  is general” means that it is the general member of an irreducible (nonembedded) component of the Hilbert flag scheme  $D$ . Since we, in Corollary 3.2 suppose  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$  and hence  $\text{depth}_m A \geq 2$ , this is equivalent to saying that  $(B \rightarrow A)$  is the general member of an irreducible (nonembedded) component of the “Hilbert flag scheme” parameterizing pairs of quotients of  $R$  with fixed Hilbert functions. Indeed we can replace the schemes  $\text{GradAlg } R$  of [Kleppe 2007] by  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  because we work with algebras of depth at least 2 at  $m$  [Ellingsrud 1975; Kleppe 1979, Remark 3.7].

*Proof.* By the text before (3-4), in order to prove (i) it is sufficient to show that  ${}_{-s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) = 0$ . To see it we observe that

$$\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) \simeq \text{Ext}_B^1(T_B, K_B(n+1)),$$

where  $T_B := \text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, I_B/I_B^2)$  by [Kleppe 2007, Remark 42]. We consider the exact sequence (2-8) and we define  $F := \ker(\bigoplus I_B/I_B^2(n_{2,j}) \rightarrow N_B)$ . Since  $N_B$  is a maximal CM  $B$ -module and  $I_B/I_B^2$  has codepth 1 (that is,  $\text{Ext}_B^i(I_B/I_B^2, K_B) = 0$  for  $i \geq 2$ ) by [Avramov and Herzog 1980] or (2-9), we get  $\text{Ext}_B^2(F, K_B) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\text{Ext}_B^1(\bigoplus I_B/I_B^2(n_{1,i}), K_B(n+1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_B^1(T_B, K_B(n+1))$$

is surjective. Since

$$\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, K_B(n+1)) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^3(I_B/I_B^2, R) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^2(I_B^2, R),$$

it suffices to show  ${}_{-s}\text{Ext}_R^2(I_B^2(n_{1,i}), R) = 0$  for any  $i$ . Looking to (2-9) it is enough to see that  ${}_{-s}\text{Hom}(\bigwedge^2(\bigoplus R(-n_{2,j}))(n_{1,i}), R) = 0$ . Since, however,  $n_{2,j} + n_{2,j'} - n_{1,i} - s < 0$  for any  $i, j, j', j \neq j'$  by assumption, we easily get this vanishing for any  $i$  and hence  ${}_{-s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, N_B) = 0$ . Finally, the dimension formula follows from (3-4) and (2-8) since we get  $(K_B)_{t-2s} = 0$  and  $\delta = 0$  from the proof of (ii).

(ii) By (2-5) we have  $(K_B)_{t-2s} = 0$ , provided  $2s > \max n_{2,j}$ . By the discussion before Corollary 3.2 we must prove  ${}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B) = 0$ . Using the proof of [Kleppe 2007, Lemma 28] there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B) \rightarrow {}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(N_B), K_B) \rightarrow {}_s\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, B),$$

induced by (3-2), where we have

$${}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(N_B), K_B) \simeq {}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) \simeq {}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, I_{A/B}(s)),$$

by (2-1), (3-2), and the fact that  $N_B$  is a maximal CM  $B$ -module. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} {}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(N_B), K_B) &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}_U}^2(\widetilde{S^2(N_B)}|_U, \widetilde{K_B}|_U(t)) \\ &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{C}_U}^2(\widetilde{N_B}|_U, \widetilde{N_B}^* \otimes \widetilde{K_B}|_U(t)) \simeq {}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B), \end{aligned}$$

by (2-1). Since  $\text{Ext}_B^1(N_B, B) = 0$  by (2-1) and (2-9), it follows that

$${}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B) \simeq {}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(N_B, A),$$

which vanishes by assumption.

It remains to prove the final statement. If we apply  $\text{Hom}(-, K_B)$  to (2-2) and we use (2-5), we get  ${}_{-2s}\text{Ext}_R^i(I_B, K_B(t)) = 0$  and hence  ${}_{-2s}\text{Ext}_B^i(I_B/I_B^2, K_B(t)) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1$  provided  $s > \max n_{2,j}$ . Similarly we use  $\text{Hom}(-, N_B)$  and (2-7) to

show that  ${}_{-s}\mathrm{Hom}(I_B, N_B) = 0$  provided  $s > \max n_{2,j} + \max n_{1,i} - \min n_{1,i}$ . We conclude by applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, -)$  to (3-2).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** If  $\mathrm{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$  and  $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$ , we showed in [Kleppe 2007, Remark 42] that

$${}_0\mathrm{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) \simeq {}_0\mathrm{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, H_{I(Z)}^3(I_B/I_B^2)) \simeq {}_0\mathrm{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, H_{I(Z)}^4(I_B^2)).$$

In a similar way one can show that  $\mathrm{Ext}_B^2(N_B, B) \simeq H_{I(Z)}^4(I_B^2)$ . Hence the group  ${}_s\mathrm{Ext}_B^1(N_B, A)$  of Corollary 3.2 is isomorphic to the kernel of the natural map

$${}_0\mathrm{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, H_{I(Z)}^4(I_B^2)) \rightarrow {}_sH_{I(Z)}^4(I_B^2),$$

induced by the regular section  $\sigma$ . This sometimes allows us to verify that

$${}_s\mathrm{Ext}_B^1(N_B, A) = 0.$$

**Remark 3.4.** The first author takes the opportunity to point out a missing assumption in [Kleppe 2007] as well as in [Kleppe 2006]. In these papers there are several theorems involving the *codimension of a stratum* in which the assumption “ $(B \rightarrow A)$  is general” or “ $(B)$  general” is missing. The main result [Kleppe 2006, Theorem 5] (and hence [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 15]) uses generic smoothness in its proof and refers to [Kleppe et al. 2001, Proposition 9.14] where the generality assumption occurs, as it should. In the proof of [Kleppe 2006, Theorem 5] we need  $(B \rightarrow A)$  to be general to compute the dimension of the stratum. It is easily seen from the proof that what we really need is that  $(B \rightarrow A)$  be general, in the sense that, for a given  $(B \rightarrow A)$ ,  ${}_0\mathrm{hom}_R(I_B, I_{A/B})$  attains its least possible value in the irreducible components of  $\mathrm{GradAlg}(H_B, H_A)$  to which  $(B \rightarrow A)$  belongs. Thus in [Kleppe 2006, Theorem 5, Proposition 13, Theorem 16] (and hence [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 23]), for the codimension statement we should assume that  $(B)$  is general or at least that  ${}_{-s}\mathrm{hom}_R(I_B, K_B)$  attains its least possible value in the irreducible component of  $\mathrm{GradAlg}(H_B)$  to which  $(B)$  belongs. If we apply our results in a setting where these hom-numbers vanish (this is what we almost always do), we don’t need to assume that  $(B)$  or  $(B \rightarrow A)$  is general.

So Remark 3.4 gives the reason for including the assumption that  $(X \subset Y)$  is general in Corollary 3.2(ii), even though this assumption does not occur in the codimension statements of the A-part of [Kleppe 2007, Theorems 1 and 25].

#### 4. Ideals generated by submaximal minors of square matrices

Let  $X = \mathrm{Proj} A \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a codimension-4, determinantal scheme defined by the submaximal minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix. In this section we compute the dimension of  $\mathrm{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  for  $n \geq 5$  at  $(X)$  in terms of the corresponding degree matrix. The proof requires a proposition (valid for  $n \geq 3$ ) on how  $A$  is determined

by a locally regular section of  $I_B/I_B^2(s)$  where  $B = R/I_B$  is a codimension-2 CM quotient. Let us first fix the notation we will use throughout this section.

Given a homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, a matrix representing a degree 0 morphism  $\phi$  of free graded  $R$ -modules, we denote by  $I(\mathcal{A})$  (or  $I(\phi)$ ) the ideal of  $R$  generated by the maximal minors of  $\mathcal{A}$  and by  $I_j(\mathcal{A})$  (or  $I_j(\phi)$ ) the ideal generated by the  $j \times j$  minors of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A codimension- $c$  subscheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is called a *determinantal* scheme if there exist integers  $r, p$  and  $q$  such that  $c = (p - r + 1)(q - r + 1)$  and  $I(X) = I_r(\mathcal{A})$  for some  $p \times q$  homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is called a *standard determinantal* scheme if  $r = \min(p, q)$ . The corresponding rings  $R/I_r(\mathcal{A})$  are called determinantal (resp. standard determinantal) rings.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a codimension-4, determinantal scheme defined by the vanishing of the submaximal minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A} = (f_{ji})_{i,j=1,\dots,t}$  where  $f_{ji} \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $a_j - b_i$  with  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_t$  and  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_t$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{A}$  is minimal; that is,  $f_{ji} = 0$  for all  $i, j$  with  $b_i = a_j$ . If we let  $u_{ji} = a_j - b_i$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, t$  and  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , the matrix  ${}^0\mathcal{U} = (u_{ji})_{i,j=1,\dots,t}$  is called the *degree matrix* associated to  $X$ .

We denote by  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) \subset \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  the locus of determinantal schemes  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  of codimension 4 defined by the submaximal minors of a homogeneous square matrix  $\mathcal{A} = (f_{ji})_{i,j=1,\dots,t}$  as above. Notice that  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $u_{i-1,i} = a_{i-1} - b_i > 0$  for  $i = 2, \dots, t$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the matrix obtained by deleting the last row, let  $I_B = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{N})$  be the ideal defined by the maximal minors of  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $I_A = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A})$  be the ideal generated by the submaximal minors of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set  $A = R/I_A = R/I(X)$  and  $B = R/I_B$ .

**Remark 4.2.** If the entries of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are sufficiently general polynomials of degree  $a_i - b_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ , and  $a_{i-1} - b_i > 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq t$ , then  $B$  is a graded Cohen–Macaulay quotient of codimension 2 and  $A$  is a graded Gorenstein quotient of codimension 4.

The goal of this section is to compute, in terms of  $a_j$  and  $b_i$ , the dimension of the determinantal locus  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) \subset \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ , where  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is the Hilbert polynomial of  $X$ . Note that the Hilbert polynomial of  $X$  can be computed explicitly using the minimal free  $R$ -resolution of  $R/I(X)$  given by Gulliksen and Negård [1972], see (4-5). We will also analyze whether the closure of  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  is a generically smooth, irreducible component of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ . To this end, we consider

$$F := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R(b_i) \xrightarrow{\phi} G := \bigoplus_{j=1}^t R(a_j),$$

the morphism induced by the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$ , and

$$F \xrightarrow{\phi_t} G_t := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} R(a_j),$$

the morphism induced by the matrix  $\mathcal{N}$  obtained by deleting the last row of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The determinant of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $s = \sum_{j=1}^t a_j - \sum_{i=1}^t b_i$ , and the degrees of the maximal minors of  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $s + b_i - a_t$ ; that is,  $I_B$  has the minimal free  $R$ -resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow G_t^*(a_t - s) \xrightarrow{^t\mathcal{N}} F^*(a_t - s) \xrightarrow{\beta} I_B \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4-1}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose  $\text{char } k = 0$ .*

- (i) *Let  $A = R/I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A})$  be a determinantal ring of codimension 4 where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix, and let  $B = R/I_{t-1}(\mathcal{N})$  be the standard determinantal ring associated to  $\mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the matrix obtained by deleting the last row of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, let  $Z \subset \text{Proj } B$  be a closed subset such that  $\text{Proj } B - Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is an l.c.i., and suppose  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 2$ . Then there is a regular section  $\sigma$  of  $(\tilde{I}_B/\tilde{I}_B^2(s))|_{\text{Proj } B - Z}$ , where  $s = \text{deg det } \mathcal{A}$ , whose zero locus precisely defines  $A$  as a quotient of  $B$  (that is,  $\sigma$  extends to a map  $\sigma : B \longrightarrow I_B/I_B^2(s)$  such that  $A = B/\text{im } \sigma^*$ ).*
- (ii) *Conversely, let  $B = R/I_{t-1}(\mathcal{N})$  be a standard determinantal ring of codimension 2, let  $Z \subset \text{Proj } B$  be a closed subset such that  $\text{Proj } B - Z \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is an l.c.i. and  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 2$ , and furthermore let  $A'$  be defined by a regular section  $\sigma$  of  $(\tilde{I}_B/\tilde{I}_B^2(s))|_{\text{Proj } B - Z}$ , that is, given by*

$$0 \longrightarrow K_B(n + 1 - 2s) \longrightarrow N_B(-s) \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} B \longrightarrow A' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4-2}$$

for some integer  $s$ . Then, there is a  $t \times t$  homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}'$  obtained by adding a row to  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $I_{A'} = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A}')$ .

*Proof.* To define  $\sigma$ , we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_t^*(a_t - s) & \xrightarrow{^t\mathcal{N}} & F^*(a_t - s) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & I_B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 & & G^*(a_t - s) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*(a_t - s)} & F^*(a_t - s) & \longrightarrow & (\text{coker } \phi^*)(a_t - s) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & R(-s) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha : G_t^*(a_t - s) \hookrightarrow G^*(a_t - s)$  is the natural inclusion defined by

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_{t-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\beta$  is given by multiplication with the maximal minors of the matrix  $\mathcal{N}$ . The snake Lemma yields the exact sequence

$$R(-s) \xrightarrow{\cdot \det \phi} I_B \longrightarrow (\text{coker } \phi^*)(a_t - s) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4-3}$$

and hence

$$(\text{coker } \phi^*)(a_t) \simeq I_B(s) / \det \phi. \tag{4-4}$$

If we tensor  $R(-s) \xrightarrow{\cdot \det \phi} I_B$  with  $B(s)$ , we get a section  $\sigma$  of  $I_B/I_B^2(s)$ . Before proving that the zero locus of  $\sigma$  defines precisely  $A$  as a quotient of  $B$  via  $\text{im } \sigma^* = I_{A/B}$ , we claim that any locally regular section  $\sigma'$  of  $I_B/I_B^2(s)$  defining  $A'$  via  $A' = B / \text{im } \sigma'^*$  gives rise to a homogeneous matrix  $\mathcal{A}'$  and a corresponding map  $\phi'$  such that (4-3) and (4-4) hold with  $\phi'$  instead of  $\phi$ . Indeed, given a section  $\sigma'$  of  $I_B/I_B^2(s)$ , there exists a map  $\sigma''$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F^*(a_t) \otimes B & \\ & \nearrow \sigma'' & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & I_B/I_B^2(s) \end{array}$$

and we denote by  $\sigma_R \in \text{Hom}_R(F, R(a_t))$  the map which corresponds to  $\sigma''(1)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_R(F, R(a_t)) = \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t R(b_i), R(a_t))$ , the morphism  $\sigma_R$  determines a  $1 \times t$  row  $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$  where  $g_i$  is a homogeneous form of degree  $a_t - b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and we define

$$\mathcal{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N} \\ \underline{g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the vertical map in the above diagram is induced by  $\beta$  described above, we may assume that  $\det(\phi') = \sigma'(1)$  modulo  $I_B^2(s)$  and we get the claim.

It remains to show that  $\text{im } \sigma^* = I_{A/B}$ , where  $I_A = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A})$ , and that  $\sigma$  is a locally regular section. Note that this will also show that  $\text{im } \sigma'^* = I_{A'/B}$ , where  $I_{A'} = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A}')$ ; that is, we get the converse. Moreover, looking at the exact sequence (4-2) with  $A$  instead of  $A'$  and recalling that

$$N_B \simeq K_B(n + 1) \otimes I_B/I_B^2,$$

we see that  $\text{im } \sigma^* = \text{coker}(\sigma(-2s) \otimes \text{id})$  where  $\text{id} : K_B(n+1) \rightarrow K_B(n+1)$  is the identity map and  $\sigma$  is induced by  $\det \phi$ . Since we get

$$F(s - a_t) \rightarrow G_t(s - a_t) \rightarrow K_B(n+1) \rightarrow 0$$

by dualizing the exact sequence (4-1), we see that the cokernel above is the same as the twisted cokernel of the composition

$$\gamma : G_t(-a_t) \rightarrow K_B(n+1-s) \xrightarrow{\sigma(-s) \otimes \text{id}} N_B.$$

Hence, we must prove that  $\text{coker}(\gamma) = I_{A/B}(s)$  where  $I_A = I_{t-1}(\mathcal{A})$ .

By [Gulliksen and Negård 1972, Theorem 2] and [Ile 2004, Theorem 2], we have an exact sequence

$$\ker[\text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) \xrightarrow{j} R] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, G) \rightarrow I_A(s) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4-5)$$

where  $j(\rho_0, \rho_1) = \text{tr } \rho_0 - \text{tr } \rho_1$  is the difference between trace maps. The map

$$\text{Hom}(F, G) \rightarrow I_A(s)$$

is given by  $\gamma \mapsto \text{tr}(\gamma \psi)$ , where  $\psi$  is the matrix of cofactors; that is, this map is given by the submaximal minors of  $\mathcal{A}$  while the map  $\text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}(F, G)$  is given as a difference of the obvious compositions with  $\phi$ , that is,  $\eta(\rho_0, \rho_1) = \rho_1 \phi - \phi \rho_0$ . Since we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Hom}(F, G) \rightarrow I_A(s) \\ (\text{id}, 0) & \mapsto & t \cdot \det \phi \end{array}$$

and since there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & & & & & & \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \\ \ker j & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(F, G) & \longrightarrow & I_A(s) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Hom}(F, G) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker } \eta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \\ R & & & & & & \end{array}$$

we get an exact sequence

$$R \xrightarrow{\cdot t \det \phi} I_A(s) \rightarrow \text{coker } \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $\text{coker}(\eta) \simeq I_A(s) / \det \phi$  ( $\text{char } k = 0$ ) and the following sequence is exact:

$$\text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}(F, G) \rightarrow I_A(s) / \det \phi \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{Hom}(R(-a_t), G^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(R(-a_t), F^*) & \longrightarrow & I_B(s)/\det \phi & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \text{Hom}(G, R(a_t)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(F, R(a_t)) & & & & \\
 \downarrow (0, \cdot) & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Hom}(F, G) & \longrightarrow & I_A(s)/\det \phi & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow (\text{id}, \alpha_1^*) & & \downarrow \alpha_2^* & & \downarrow & & \\
 \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G_t) & \xrightarrow{\eta_t} & \text{Hom}(F, G_t) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\eta_t) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha_1^*$  and  $\alpha_2^*$  are induced by  $\alpha$  in a natural way and  $\eta_t$  is a difference of the obvious compositions, that is,  $\eta_t(\rho_0, \rho'_1) = \rho'_1\phi - \phi_t\rho_0$ . We see, in particular, that the ideal  $I_{A/B} = I_A/I_B$  is given by an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G_t) \xrightarrow{\eta_t} \text{Hom}(F, G_t) \longrightarrow I_{A/B}(s) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the rightmost map is given by the submaximal minors of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  which do not belong to  $I_B$ .

On the other hand, by (2-7), there is an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(G_t^*, G_t^*) \oplus \text{Hom}(F^*, F^*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(G_t^*, F^*) \longrightarrow N_B \longrightarrow 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G_t, G_t) \xrightarrow{\eta'} \text{Hom}(F, G_t) \longrightarrow N_B \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\eta'$  is given by  $\eta'(\rho_0, \rho_2) = \rho_2\phi_t - \phi_t\rho_0$ . Using again the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R(a_t) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} G_t \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G_t, G_t) & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \text{Hom}(F, G_t) & \longrightarrow & N_B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow (\text{id}, \alpha_3) & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\
 \text{Hom}(F, F) \oplus \text{Hom}(G, G_t) & \xrightarrow{\eta_t} & \text{Hom}(F, G_t) & \longrightarrow & I_{A/B}(s) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 \text{Hom}(R(a_t), G_t) & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha_3$  is induced by  $\alpha$ . Hence we get an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(R(a_t), G_t) \xrightarrow{\gamma} N_B \longrightarrow I_{A/B}(s) \longrightarrow 0.$$



This proves that  $\text{coker}(\gamma) = I_{A/B}(s)$ , that is,  $\text{im } \sigma^* = I_{A/B}$  as required. Finally note that the above codimension and depth relations imply that  $\sigma$  is a *regular* section on  $U := \text{Proj } B - Z$  because  $(\text{im } \tilde{\sigma}^*)|_U$  must locally on  $U$  be generated by two regular elements (to get that  $(B/\text{im } \tilde{\sigma}^*)|_U$  is a codimension-2 Cohen–Macaulay quotient of  $\tilde{B}|_U$ ). This completes the proof of [Proposition 4.3](#).  $\square$

This proposition seems to be known in special cases. For instance, Ellingsrud and Peskine [[1993](#), before Proposition 6] state that the Artinian Gorenstein ring associated to an invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_S(C)$  on a surface  $S$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , where  $C$  is an arithmetically CM curve, is given by the submaximal minors of a square matrix which extends the Hilbert–Burch matrix associated to  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Since we get (3-1) with  $M = N_B$  by applying  $H_*^0(-)$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{C/S}(-s) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_C(-s) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_S|_C(-s) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

of normal sheaves, it is clear that their Gorenstein ring (see their construction 2) is essentially the same as ours in the Artinian case. However, we have given a proof of the proposition suited to our applications.

As a nice application of [Proposition 4.3](#) we have:

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , be a codimension-4 scheme defined by the submaximal minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous square matrix  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $X$  is in the Gorenstein liaison class of a complete intersection, that is,  $X$  is glicci.*

*Proof.* By [[Gulliksen and Negård 1972](#), Theorem 2] (see also [Proposition 4.3](#)),  $X$  is arithmetically Gorenstein and hence glicci [[Casanellas et al. 2005](#), Theorem 7.1].  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** This proposition has been recently generalized by Gorla, who proved [[2008](#), Theorem 3.1] that any codimension- $(t - r + 1)^2$  ACM scheme  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the  $r \times r$  minors of a  $t \times t$  homogeneous square matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  is glicci.

For an introduction to glicciness, see [[Kleppe et al. 2001](#)].

We are now ready to compute  $\dim W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  and  $\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)} \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , in terms of  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_t$ . Note that if  $t = 2$  then a general  $X$  is a complete intersection in which case these dimensions are well known.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Assume  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Fix integers  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_t$  and  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_t$ . Assume  $t > 2$ ,  $a_i \geq b_{i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t - 3$  (and  $a_1 \geq b_t$  if  $t = 3$ ),  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  and  $n \geq 5$ . Then  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is irreducible. Moreover,*

if  $(X)$  is general in  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$ , then  $X$  is unobstructed, and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) &= \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(X)}(\mathbb{P}^n) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq t} \binom{a_j - b_i + n}{n} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} \binom{a_j - a_i + n}{n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq t} \binom{b_i - b_j + n}{n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{b_i - a_j + n}{n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t \\ 1 \leq i \leq k \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s - b_i - b_k + a_j + n}{n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq t \\ 1 \leq k \leq t-1}} \binom{a_t - s - b_i - a_k + a_j + n}{n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < k \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s - a_i - a_k + a_j + n}{n} + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq t} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - 2b_1 + n}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (4-6)$$

*Proof.* Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an arithmetically Gorenstein scheme of codimension 4 defined by the submaximal minors of a homogeneous square matrix  $\mathcal{A} = (f_{ji})_{j=1, \dots, t}^{i=1, \dots, t}$  where  $f_{ji} \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  is a sufficiently general homogeneous polynomial of degree  $a_j - b_i$ , and let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a codimension-2 subscheme defined by the maximal minors of the matrix  $\mathcal{N}$  obtained deleting the last row of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see Remark 4.2). So, the homogeneous ideal  $I_B = I(Y)$  of  $Y$  has the minimal free  $R$ -resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow F_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} R(a_t - s - a_j) \xrightarrow{t, \mathcal{N}} F_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R(a_t - s - b_i) \longrightarrow I_B \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4-7)$$

By Proposition 4.3,  $X$  is the zero locus of a suitable regular section of  $\tilde{I}_B/\tilde{I}_B^2(s)$  where  $s = \deg(\det \mathcal{A})$  and  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is irreducible by [Kleppe 2007, Corollary 41]. Since the hypothesis  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  is equivalent to

$$s > s + a_{j_0} - a_t + \max_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t-1 \\ j \neq j_0}} (s + a_j - a_t) - \min_{1 \leq i \leq t} (s + b_i - a_t),$$

where  $s + a_{j_0} - a_t = \max_{1 \leq j \leq t-1} (s + a_j - a_t)$ ; and since  $a_i \geq b_{i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-3$  (and  $a_1 \geq b_t$  if  $t=3$ ) implies that  $B := R/I_B$  given by (4-7) satisfies  $\text{depth}_{I(Y)} B \geq 4$  [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2005, Remark 2.7], we can apply Corollary 3.2 and we get that  $X$  is unobstructed and

$$\dim W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) = \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(X)}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \eta(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \eta(n_{2,j}) - \sum_{i=1}^t \eta(n_{1,i}),$$

where  $\eta(t) = \dim(I(Y)/I(Y)^2)_t = \dim I(Y)_t - \dim I(Y)_t^2$ ,  $n_{2,j} = s + a_j - a_t$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t-1$ , and  $n_{1,i} = s + b_i - a_t$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . By (2-9),  $I(Y)^2$  has a minimal free

$R$ -resolution of the following type:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^2 F_2 &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq t-1} R(-a_i - a_j + 2a_t - 2s) \\
 &\longrightarrow F_1 \otimes F_2 = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} R(-b_i - a_j + 2a_t - 2s) \\
 &\longrightarrow S^2 F_1 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq t} R(-b_i - b_j + 2a_t - 2s) \longrightarrow I(Y)^2 \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4-8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4-7) and (4-8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta(s) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} \binom{a_t - b_i + n}{n} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t-1} \binom{a_t - a_i + n}{n} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} \binom{2a_t - s - b_i - b_j + n}{n} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{2a_t - s - b_i - a_j + n}{n} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t-1} \binom{2a_t - s - a_i - a_j + n}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using again (4-7) and (4-8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \eta(n_{2,j}) - \sum_{i=1}^t \eta(n_{1,i}) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{a_j - b_i + n}{n} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{a_j - a_i + n}{n} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq k \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s - b_i - b_k + a_j + n}{n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j, k \leq t-1}} \binom{a_t - s - b_i - a_k + a_j + n}{n} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < k \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{a_t - s - a_i - a_k + a_j + n}{n} - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t}} \binom{b_i - b_j + n}{n} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{b_i - a_j + n}{n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j < k \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - b_j - b_k + n}{n} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, k \leq t \\ 1 \leq j \leq t-1}} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - b_k - a_j + n}{n} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < j \leq t-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - a_k - a_j + n}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_{i-1} > b_i$  and  $a_i \geq b_{i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-3$  (and  $a_1 \geq b_t$  if  $t = 3$ ), by hypothesis, the last two sums of binomials vanish. Indeed, to see that  $a_t - s + b_i - b_k - a_j < 0$  for  $1 \leq i, k \leq t$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t-1$ , it suffices to show that  $b_t - b_1 - a_1 < s - a_t = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{t-1} - b_1 - b_2 - \dots - b_t$ , which is straightforward. Similarly, showing that  $a_t - s + b_i - a_k - a_j < 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $1 \leq k < j \leq t-1$  reduces to showing that  $b_t - a_1 - a_1 < s - a_t = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{t-1} - b_1 - b_2 - \dots - b_t$ , which is straightforward too.

The same type of argument applies to see that  $a_t - s + b_i - b_j - b_k < 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $1 \leq j < k \leq t$  and we can replace the summand

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq t \\ 1 \leq j < k \leq t}} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - b_j - b_k + n}{n} \quad \text{by} \quad \sum_{2 \leq i \leq t} \binom{a_t - s + b_i - 2b_1 + n}{n}.$$

Putting all together we obtain (4-6). □

### 5. Examples

We will end this work with some examples where we use [Theorem 4.6](#). Moreover, these examples show that the hypothesis  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  cannot be avoided! To handle such cases, we state a proposition which estimates the codimension of the stratum in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  of subschemes given by the exact sequence (3-1).

**Example 5.1.** Let  $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_5]$  and let  $X = \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}^5 = \text{Proj } R$  be a general arithmetically Gorenstein curve defined by the submaximal minors of a  $4 \times 4$  matrix whose first 3 rows are linear forms and whose last row are forms of degree  $s - 3$  ( $s \geq 4$ ), that is,  $b_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $a_j = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 3$  and  $a_4 = s - 3$ . Then, [Theorem 4.6](#) applies provided  $s > 5$  and we get that  $X$  is unobstructed and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W_{4,4}^3(\mathbb{Q}; 1, 1, 1, s-3) &= \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) \\ &= 12 \binom{6}{5} + 4 \binom{s+2}{5} - 9 \binom{5}{5} - 3 \binom{s+1}{5} - 16 \binom{5}{5} - 10 \binom{s-1}{5} + 12 \binom{s-2}{5} - 3 \binom{s-2}{5} \\ &= 2s^3 - 10s^2 + 13s + 48. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, deleting the last row and taking maximal minors, we get a threefold  $Y = \text{Proj } B$  with resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-4)^3 \longrightarrow R(-3)^4 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5-1}$$

leading to

$$H_B(v) = \binom{v+3}{3} + 2 \binom{v+2}{3} + 3 \binom{v+1}{3} = p_Y(v) \quad \text{for } v \geq 0.$$

Since  $A$  is given by (3-1) with  $t = 6$  and  $M = N_B$ , we get  $\mathbb{O}_X \simeq \omega_X(2s - 6)$ . Hence  $h^1(\mathbb{O}_X(s - 3)) = h^0(\mathbb{O}_X(s - 3))$  and the Hilbert polynomial of  $X$  must be of the form  $p_X(v) = dv + 1 - g = d(v - s + 3)$ . Looking to (5-1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(s - 2) &= h^0(\mathbb{O}_X(s - 2)) - h^0(\mathbb{O}_X(s - 4)) \\ &= h^0(\mathbb{O}_Y(s - 2)) - h^0(\mathbb{O}_Y(s - 4)) = 6s^2 - 28s + 36, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $d = \deg X = 6s^2 - 28s + 36$  and  $g = 1 + d(s - 3)$ .

Note that [Theorem 4.6](#) takes care of all cases except for  $s = 4$  and  $s = 5$ . For these two values of  $s$ , we can, however, use [Corollary 3.2\(ii\)](#) to find  $\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)$  because

$${}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) \simeq {}_0\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2, H_m^4(I_B^2)) = 0,$$

by [\(5-1\)](#) and [Remark 3.3](#). Indeed  ${}_3H_m^4(I_B^2) \hookrightarrow {}_3H_m^6(R(-8)^3) = 0$  by [\(2-9\)](#). Hence  $X$  is unobstructed,

$$\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) = 2s^3 - 10s^2 + 13s + 48 + \delta,$$

where  $\delta = \delta(K_B)_{6-2s} - \delta(N_B)_{-s}$ , and moreover, if  $s = 5$ , then  $\delta$  is the codimension of the closure of  $W_{4,4}^3 := W_{4,4}^3(\underline{0}; 1, 1, 1, s - 3)$  in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)$ . We claim that

$$(\delta(K_B)_{6-2s}, \delta(N_B)_{-s}) = \begin{cases} (-3, -15) & \text{for } s = 4, \\ (0, -12) & \text{for } s = 5, \end{cases}$$

that is,  $\delta = 12$  in both cases.

To find  $\delta(K_B)_{6-2s}$  we apply  $\text{Hom}_B(-, K_B(6))$  to [\(2-3\)](#) and we get

$$-{}_{2s}\text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, K_B(6)) = 0, \quad -{}_{2s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, K_B(6)) = -{}_{2s}\text{Hom}(H_1, K_B(6)).$$

Since the rank of  $H_1$  is 2, we have

$$\text{Hom}(H_1, K_B(6)) \simeq H_1\left(\sum_i n_{1,i}\right) = H_1(12) \tag{5-2}$$

by [[Avramov and Herzog 1980](#)] or [[Kleppe and Peterson 2001](#), Theorem 8], see the isomorphism accompanying [\(3-1\)](#). Using [\(2-6\)](#) or, more precisely, the exactness of

$$\wedge^2(R(-3)^4) \longrightarrow R(-4)^3 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5-3}$$

[[Avramov and Herzog 1980](#)], we get

$$\delta(K_B)_{6-2s} = -\dim H_1(12)_{-2s} = \begin{cases} -3 & \text{for } s = 4, \\ 0 & \text{for } s = 5. \end{cases}$$

It remains to compute  $\delta(N_B)_{-s}$ . If we dualize the exact sequence [\(2-3\)](#) we get

$$0 \longrightarrow N_B \longrightarrow B(3)^4 \longrightarrow H_1^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

to which we apply  ${}_{-s}\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2, -)$ . Combining with

$$\begin{aligned} -{}_{s}\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2, H_1^*) &\simeq -{}_{s}\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2 \otimes K_B(6), H_1^* \otimes K_B(6)) \\ &\simeq -{}_{s}\text{Hom}(N_B, H_1(12)), \end{aligned}$$

where again we have used [\(5-2\)](#), we get

$$\delta(N_B)_{-s} = 4 \dim(N_B)_{3-s} - \dim(-{}_{s}\text{Hom}(N_B(-12), H_1)).$$

Using (2-6), we see that

$$0 \rightarrow -_s \text{Hom}(N_B(-12), K_B(6)^*) \rightarrow -_s \text{Hom}(N_B(-12), B(-4)^3) \rightarrow -_s \text{Hom}(N_B(-12), H_1) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact because we have  $\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2 \otimes K_B, K_B^*) = 0$  by Lemma 4.9 of [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2005]. Using (4.17) of the same reference we also get the surjectivity of the natural map  $K_B^* \otimes B(-4)^4 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2 \otimes K_B, K_B^*)$ . Since we may use (5-3) to see that  $(H_1)_\nu \simeq R(-4)_\nu^3 \simeq B(-4)_\nu^3$  for  $\nu \leq 5$ , we get  $K_B(6)_\nu^* = 0$  for  $\nu \leq 5$  by (2-6) and hence

$$-_s \text{Hom}(N_B(-12), K_B^*(-6)) \simeq -_s \text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2 \otimes K_B(6), K_B^*(6)) = 0,$$

for  $s \geq 4$ . It follows that

$$-_s \text{Hom}(N_B(-12), H_1) \simeq (I_B/I_B^2)_{8-s}^3,$$

for  $s \geq 4$ , which implies (by (2-7) and (2-9)) that

$$\delta(N_B)_{-s} = \begin{cases} 4 \dim(N_B)_{-2} - 3 \dim(I_B)_3 = -12 & \text{for } s = 5, \\ 4 \dim(N_B)_{-1} - 3 \dim(I_B)_4 = -15 & \text{for } s = 4. \end{cases}$$

Putting all together we get

$$\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) = \begin{cases} 2s^3 - 10s^2 + 13s + 48 = \dim W_{4,4}^3 & \text{for } s > 5, \\ 125 & \text{for } s = 5, \\ 80 & \text{for } s = 4. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, applying Corollary 3.2(ii), we get  $\text{codim}_{\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)} W_{4,4}^3(\underline{0}; 1, 1, 1, 2) = 12$  in the case  $s = 5$ . Finally, for  $s = 4$ , using a Macaulay 2 program [Grayson and Stillman] we have computed the dimension  ${}_0 \text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) = 3$  for  $(B \rightarrow A)$  general and hence  $\text{codim}_{\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)} W_{4,4}^3(\underline{0}; \underline{1}) = {}_0 \text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) + \delta = 15$ .

If  $a_t \leq a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  we see in the example above that  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  is a proper closed irreducible subset, that is, the generic curve of the component of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)$  to which  $W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a})$  belongs is not defined by submaximal minors of a matrix of forms of degree  $a_j - b_i$ . The converse inequality always implies  $\dim W_{t,t}^{t-1}(\underline{b}; \underline{a}) = \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  by Theorem 4.6. The pattern above for small  $a_t$  may be typical, but is in general rather difficult to prove. We illustrate this by two more examples.

**Example 5.2.** Let  $X = \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be a general arithmetically Gorenstein curve defined by the submaximal minors of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix whose first 2 rows are linear forms and whose last row are forms of degree  $s - 2$  ( $s \geq 3$ ), that is,  $b_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $a_j = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 2$  and  $a_3 = s - 2$ . Thanks to Proposition 4.3, the

analysis of [Kleppe 2007, Example 43] immediately transfers to our case. Hence, for  $s > 4$  ( $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$ ), we see that  $X$  is unobstructed and

$$\dim W_{3,3}^2(\underline{0}; 1, 1, s - 2) = \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) = (s + 1)(s - 1)^2 + 23.$$

Since by deleting the last row and taking maximal minors we get a threefold  $Y = \text{Proj } B$  for which  ${}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) = 0$ , we have the unobstructedness of  $X$  also for  $s = 3, 4$ , and

$$(\delta(K_B)_{6-2s}, \delta(N_B)_{-s}) = \begin{cases} (-1, 2) & \text{for } s = 3, \\ (0, -3) & \text{for } s = 4. \end{cases}$$

That is,  $\delta = -3$  when  $s = 3$ , and  $\delta = 3$  when  $s = 4$ . In both cases,

$$\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) = (s + 1)(s - 1)^2 + 23 + \delta.$$

Thus

$$\dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5) = \begin{cases} 36 & \text{for } s = 3, \\ 71 & \text{for } s = 4; \end{cases}$$

see [Kleppe 2007, Example 43] for the computations. Now, applying Corollary 3.2(ii), we get  $\text{codim}_{\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)} W_{3,3}^2(\underline{0}; 1, 1, 2) = 3$  in the case  $s = 4$ . Finally, for  $s = 3$ , a Macaulay 2 computation shows  ${}_0\text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) = 3$  and hence

$$\text{codim}_{\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)} W_{3,3}^2(\underline{0}; \underline{1}) = {}_0\text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) + \delta = 0 !$$

In the above examples we were able to analyze the case  $a_t \leq a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  through Corollary 3.2(ii) because  ${}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(N_B, A) = 0$ . Since this vanishing may be rare, we want to improve upon Corollary 3.2(ii), at least to get estimates of the codimension of the stratum. We prefer to do it in the generality of [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 25] to extend Theorem 25 in this direction. This leads to the proposition below. Indeed with assumptions as in Proposition 5.3, one knows that the projection morphism  $q : D \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^{p_Y}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  induced by  $(X' \subset Y') \rightarrow (Y')$  is smooth at  $(X \subset Y)$  [Kleppe 2007, Theorem 47]. Using the fact that the corresponding tangent map is surjective, we get Proposition 5.3 and Remark 5.4(a). Since we only use these results in Example 5.6 and Remark 5.5, we skip the details of the proof which are rather straightforward once we have the results and proofs of [Kleppe 2007].

Put

$$c(I_{A/B}) := {}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B}) - {}_t\text{ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B).$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $B = R/I_B$  be a graded licci quotient of  $R$ , let  $M$  be a graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $B$ -module, and suppose  $\tilde{M}$  is locally free of rank 2 in  $U := \text{Proj } B - Z$ , that  $\dim B - \dim B/I(Z) \geq 2$  and that  $\bigwedge^2 \tilde{M}|_U \simeq \tilde{K}_B(t)|_U$ . Let  $A$  be defined by a regular section  $\sigma$  of  $\tilde{M}^*(s)$  on  $U$ , as given by (3-1), let  $X = \text{Proj } A$ , and suppose  ${}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(M, B) = 0$  and  $\dim B \geq 4$ . Moreover let  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , let  $(B \rightarrow A)$  be general and suppose  $(M, B)$  is unobstructed along any graded*

deformation of  $B$  and  ${}_s\text{Ext}_B^2(I_B/I_B^2, M) = 0$ . Then the codimension  $\text{codi}$  of the stratum in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n)$  of subschemes given by (3-1) around  $(X)$  satisfies

$$c(I_{A/B}) \leq \text{codi} \leq c(I_{A/B}) + {}_0h^2(R, A, A) \leq {}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B}),$$

and  $\text{codi} = c(I_{A/B}) + {}_0h^2(R, A, A)$  if and only if  $X$  is unobstructed.

Here “ $(M, B)$  unobstructed along any graded deformation of  $B$ ” means that for every graded deformation  $(M_S, B_S)$  of  $(M, B)$ ,  $S$  local and Artinian with residue field  $k$ , there is a graded deformation of  $M_S$  to any graded deformation  $B_T$  of  $B_S$  for any small Artin surjection  $T \rightarrow S$  [Kleppe 2007, Definition 11]. The important remark for our application  $M = N_B$  where the codimension-2 CM quotient  $B$  satisfies  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$ , is that all assumptions of the proposition are satisfied provided  $\text{char } k = 0$  and  $(B \rightarrow A)$  is general (see proof of Corollary 41 and Remark 42 of [Kleppe 2007]).

Moreover recall that if we put

$$\delta := -\delta(I_{A/B})_0 = {}_0\text{ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, I_{A/B}) - {}_0\text{hom}_R(I_B, I_{A/B}),$$

and use the exact sequence (3-2), we get  $\delta = \delta(K_B)_{t-2s} - \delta(N_B)_{-s}$ , as previously.

**Remark 5.4.** (a) With assumptions as in Proposition 5.3, except for  $(B \rightarrow A)$  being general, we can also show

$$\delta - {}_t\text{ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B) \leq \text{codi}.$$

(b) If  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$ , then we show

$${}_t\text{Ext}_B^2(S^2(I_{A/B}(s)), K_B) \simeq {}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(M, A),$$

exactly as we did for  $M = N_B$  in the proof of Corollary 3.2. Thus if  ${}_s\text{Ext}_B^1(M, A) = 0$ , then the lower bound  $c(I_{A/B})$  of Proposition 5.3 is equal to the upper bound and we essentially get Corollary 3.2(ii)! Moreover, since  $\text{codi} \geq 0$ , Corollary 3.2(i) corresponds to the case where the upper bound is zero!

**Remark 5.5.** In the case  $s > \max n_{2,j}/2$ ,  $\text{depth}_{I(Z)} B \geq 4$  and  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , the inequalities of Proposition 5.3 lead to

$$\epsilon + \delta - {}_0\text{ext}_B^1(N_B, A) \leq \dim_{(X)} \text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^n) \leq \epsilon + \delta,$$

with  $\epsilon$  as in Corollary 3.2.

**Example 5.6.** Now let  $X = \text{Proj } A \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  be a general arithmetically Gorenstein curve defined by the submaximal minors of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix whose first (resp. second) row consists of linear (resp. quadratic) forms and whose last row are forms of degree  $s - 3$  ( $s \geq 5$ ), that is,  $b_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = 2$  and  $a_3 = s - 3$ . In the following we skip a few details which we leave to the reader. Note that the case



$a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  or equivalently  $s > 6$ , is taken care of by [Theorem 4.6](#). So we concentrate on the cases  $s = 5$  and  $6$ , which we analyze by using [Proposition 5.3](#) and [Remark 5.4](#). First, we use [Remark 3.3](#) to compute  ${}_0\text{ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B)$  where  $B$  is obtained by deleting the last row and taking maximal minors. We easily get  ${}_0\text{ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) = {}_s\text{ext}_B^1(N_B, A) = 3$  by using

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-5) \oplus R(-4) \longrightarrow R(-3)^3 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

(2-9), and

$${}_0\text{Ext}_B^2(N_B, N_B) \simeq {}_0\text{Hom}_B(I_B/I_B^2, H_m^4(I_B^2)).$$

Moreover,  $\dim(K_B)_{6-2s} = 0$  by (2-5). Now if we apply  ${}_{-2s}\text{Hom}(-, K_B(6))$  to (2-3) we get  $\delta(K_B)_{6-2s} = 0$  and  ${}_{-2s}\text{Ext}_B^1(I_B/I_B^2, K_B(6)) = 0$  for  $s \geq 5$  provided we can show  ${}_{-2s}\text{Hom}(H_1, K_B(6)) = 0$ . Using (2-3) we get that  $H_1$  has rank 1 and  $H_1 \simeq K_B(-3)$ . Hence  ${}_{-2s}\text{Hom}(H_1, K_B(6)) \simeq B(9)_{-2s} = 0$  for  $s \geq 5$ .

It remains to compute  $\delta(N_B)_{-s}$ . We claim that  $\delta(N_B)_{-s} = -8$  for  $s = 5$  and  $\delta(N_B)_{-s} = -3$  for  $s = 6$ . Indeed, dualizing the exact sequence (2-3), we get

$$0 \longrightarrow N_B \longrightarrow B(3)^3 \longrightarrow H_1^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

If we apply  ${}_{-s}\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2, -)$  to this sequence, recalling  $H_1 \simeq K_B(-3)$  and hence  ${}_{-s}\text{Hom}(I_B/I_B^2, H_1^*) \simeq (I_B/I_B^2)_{9-s}$ , we get an exact sequence which rather easily proves the claim. It follows that the numbers  $\delta = \delta(K_B)_{6-2s} - \delta(N_B)_{-s}$  and  ${}_s\text{ext}_B^1(N_B, A)$  appearing in [Remark 5.4](#) are computed. We conclude, for  $s = 5$ , that the codimension  $\text{codi}$  of the stratum in  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)$  of subschemes given by (3-1) around  $(X)$  is at least 5-dimensional. In fact a Macaulay 2 computation shows  ${}_0h^2(R, A, A) = 0$  and  ${}_0\text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) = 1$  and hence we have  $\text{codi} = c(I_{A/B}) + {}_0h^2(R, A, A) = 6$  by [Proposition 5.3](#). For  $s = 6$  the lower bound for  $\text{codi}$  of [Remark 5.4\(a\)](#) is 0. Since a Macaulay 2 computation shows  ${}_0\text{hom}(I_B, I_{A/B}) = 0$  the better lower bound of [Proposition 5.3](#) is also 0 while the smallest upper bound of [Proposition 5.3](#) is 3. The latter is the correct bound for the codimension of the stratum, provided  $X$  is unobstructed. In conclusion, if  $X$  belongs to a reduced component  $V$  of  $\text{Hilb}^{p(x)}(\mathbb{P}^5)$ , then  $\text{codi} = 3$ , but  $\text{codi} = 0$  is possible, in which case  $V$  is nonreduced. We have not been able to fully tell what happens, but we expect  $V$  to be reduced and  $\text{codi} = 3$ .

The last case of the preceding example illustrates how difficult the analysis of when  $\text{codi}$  is positive could be. Cases where  $a_t$  is close to  $a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  seem especially difficult to handle. Since it turns out that the lower bounds of [Proposition 5.3](#) and [Remark 5.4\(a\)](#) are often negative (also in the case  $a_t > a_{t-1} + a_{t-2} - b_1$  treated in [Theorem 4.6](#)), they are not very helpful. This, however, also indicates that the conclusions of [Theorem 4.6](#) are rather strong.

## References

- [André 1974] M. André, *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **206**, Springer, Berlin, 1974. [MR 50 #4707](#) [Zbl 0284.18009](#)
- [Avramov and Herzog 1980] L. Avramov and J. Herzog, “The Koszul algebra of a codimension 2 embedding”, *Math. Z.* **175**:3 (1980), 249–260. [MR 82g:13011](#) [Zbl 0461.14014](#)
- [Bruns and Vetter 1988] W. Bruns and U. Vetter, *Determinantal rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1327**, Springer, Berlin, 1988. [MR 89i:13001](#) [Zbl 0673.13006](#)
- [Casanellas et al. 2005] M. Casanellas, E. Drozd, and R. Hartshorne, “Gorenstein liaison and ACM sheaves”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **584** (2005), 149–171. [MR 2006c:14072](#) [Zbl 1095.14045](#)
- [Eagon and Northcott 1962] J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, “Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them.”, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A* **269** (1962), 188–204. [MR 26 #161](#) [Zbl 0106.25603](#)
- [Ellingsrud 1975] G. Ellingsrud, “Sur le schéma de Hilbert des variétés de codimension 2 dans  $\mathbf{P}^e$  à cône de Cohen-Macaulay”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **8**:4 (1975), 423–431. [MR 52 #13831](#) [Zbl 0325.14002](#)
- [Ellingsrud and Peskine 1993] G. Ellingsrud and C. Peskine, “Anneau de Gorenstein associé à un fibré inversible sur une surface de l’espace et lieu de Noether-Lefschetz”, pp. 29–42 in *Proceedings of the Indo-French Conference on Geometry* (Bombay, 1989), Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi, 1993. [MR 95e:14025](#) [Zbl 0846.14029](#)
- [Gorla 2008] E. Gorla, “A generalized Gaeta’s theorem”, *Compos. Math.* **144**:3 (2008), 689–704. [MR 2009c:14098](#) [Zbl 1147.14022](#)
- [Grayson and Stillman] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, “Macaulay 2: a software system for algebraic geometry and commutative algebra”, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
- [Grothendieck 1966] A. Grothendieck, “Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique, IV: Les schémas de Hilbert”, in *Séminaire Bourbaki 1960/1961* (exposé 221), W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1966. Reprinted as pp. 249–276 in *Séminaire Bourbaki* **6**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995. [MR 1611822](#) [Zbl 0236.14003](#)
- [Grothendieck 1968] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux* (SGA2), Masson, Paris, 1968. [MR 57 #16294](#) [Zbl 0197.47202](#)
- [Gulliksen and Negård 1972] T. H. Gulliksen and O. G. Negård, “Un complexe résolvant pour certains idéaux déterminantiels”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **274** (1972), A16–A18. [MR 45 #5124](#) [Zbl 0238.13015](#)
- [Hochster and Eagon 1971] M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, “Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci”, *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 1020–1058. [MR 46 #1787](#) [Zbl 0244.13012](#)
- [Ile 2004] R. Ile, “Deformation theory of rank one maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities and the Scandinavian complex”, *Compos. Math.* **140**:2 (2004), 435–446. [MR 2005e:13023](#) [Zbl 1069.13010](#)
- [Kleppe 1979] J. O. Kleppe, “Deformations of graded algebras”, *Math. Scand.* **45**:2 (1979), 205–231. [MR 82j:13017](#) [Zbl 0436.14004](#)
- [Kleppe 2006] J. O. Kleppe, “Maximal families of Gorenstein algebras”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358**:7 (2006), 3133–3167. [MR 2007e:13034](#) [Zbl 1103.14005](#)
- [Kleppe 2007] J. O. Kleppe, “Unobstructedness and dimension of families of Gorenstein algebras”, *Collect. Math.* **58**:2 (2007), 199–238. [MR 2008g:14003](#) [Zbl 1128.13015](#)

- [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2005] J. O. Kleppe and R. M. Miró-Roig, “Dimension of families of determinantal schemes”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357**:7 (2005), 2871–2907. [MR 2006b:14086](#) [Zbl 1073.14063](#)
- [Kleppe and Miró-Roig 2007] J. O. Kleppe and R. M. Miró-Roig, “Unobstructedness and dimension of families of codimension 3 ACM algebras”, pp. 141–164 in *Algebra, geometry and their interactions*, Contemp. Math. **448**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. [MR 2009c:14097](#) [Zbl 1128.13015](#)
- [Kleppe and Peterson 2001] J. O. Kleppe and C. Peterson, “Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Gorenstein algebras”, *J. Algebra* **238**:2 (2001), 776–800. [MR 2002e:13029](#) [Zbl 1028.13007](#)
- [Kleppe et al. 2001] J. O. Kleppe, J. C. Migliore, R. Miró-Roig, U. Nagel, and C. Peterson, *Gorenstein liaison, complete intersection liaison invariants and unobstructedness*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **732**, 2001. [MR 2002e:14083](#) [Zbl 1006.14018](#)
- [Miró-Roig 2008] R. M. Miró-Roig, *Determinantal ideals*, Progress in Mathematics **264**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008. [MR 2008k:14098](#) [Zbl 1131.14002](#)
- [Vasconcelos 1994] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Arithmetic of blowup algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **195**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. [MR 95g:13005](#) [Zbl 0813.13008](#)

Communicated by Craig Huneke

Received 2007-10-03

Revised 2008-12-12

Accepted 2008-12-12

[JanOddvar.Kleppe@iu.hio.no](mailto:JanOddvar.Kleppe@iu.hio.no)

*Oslo University College, Faculty of Engineering,  
Postboks 4, St. Olavs Plass, Oslo, N-0130, Norway*

[miro@ub.edu](mailto:miro@ub.edu)

*University of Barcelona, Algebra and Geometry, Gran Via  
de les Corts Catalanes 585, Barcelona, 08007, Spain,*