1. Introduction

The discovery and development of novel chemical entities from scratch into a drug for clinical use is a time- and money-consuming task. Therefore, there is a lot of truth in the famous quote by Nobel laureate James Black: “The most fruitful basis of the discovery of a new drug is to start with an old drug”. Taking these words to heart, there is still a lot of potential in the many compounds that are currently on the market and for which it is still unknown how they exactly elicit their beneficial effects. Furthermore, even for drugs with a known mechanism, it still makes sense to explore their versatility. Antiviral drug development for neglected tropical diseases is considered to be challenging because of the low market value, in spite of the increasing need. Drug repositioning or re-profiling may be an attractive and effective process to unlock the clinical potential of established molecules for the treatment of neglected tropical diseases such as dengue (DENV) and chikungunya virus (CHIKV).

Dengue and chikungunya virus are the two most prevalent tropical mosquito-borne diseases that affect humans. Cases have even been reported on the concurrent transmission of both viruses amongst travelers. DENV (genus Flavivirus, family Flaviviridae) is endemic in more than 100 countries with an average annual global incidence of 390 million cases, of which around 96 million develop dengue disease (Bhatt et al., 2013 [ref. 17]). In general, DENV infection follows a subclinical course that is characterized by non-specific symptoms. However, a second infection with any of the other four DENV serotypes is strongly correlated with the clinically more severe dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS). Various vaccine development programs are already ongoing for several years, but this proves to be quite challenging due to the link between secondary infection and the underlying mechanism of DHF/DSS, i.e. antibody-dependent enhancement of infection. Patients those who suffer from DENV disease can only be offered symptomatic treatment and intensive care. CHIKV belongs to the Alphavirus genus of the Togaviridae family. This viral infection is mostly characterized by acute and chronic articular manifestations. Although CHIKV infection is rarely fatal, the disease evolves into a chronic stage in ~50% of the infected patients and is characterized by persistent disabling polyarthritis that can severely...
incapacitate the patient for weeks up to several years beyond the acute stage.\textsuperscript{24} Despite its widespread and high morbidity, at the moment, there is no approved vaccine or antiviral treatment available.\textsuperscript{22} The administration of analgesics, antipyretics, and anti-inflammatory agents is the only way to increase the comfort of the patient.

For viruses, the non-structural (NS) viral proteins are attractive targets for the design of drug-like molecules.\textsuperscript{15} A lot of information, like the crystal structure, is available for proteases, which, for other viruses, has already proven to facilitate the development of peptidomimetic inhibitors. The hydrophobic NS2B part is essential for the activation of the DENV NS3, which contains the catalytic triad His51, Asp75 and Ser135 within its N-terminal region (Fig. 1). For CHIKV, NSP2 (Fig. 2), which carries three catalytic cysteine residues (Cys1233, Cys1274 and Cys1290) in its C-terminal region as well as four histidine residues (His1222, His1228, His1229 and His136), is also a potential target for the development of peptidomimetic inhibitors.\textsuperscript{15,22}

The HIV/HCV protease inhibitors\textsuperscript{25–27} (Fig. 3), benchmark examples of peptidomimetic inhibitors, target the enzymatic activity of the respective viral proteases. Building on this knowledge, extensive studies have been performed to develop peptidomimetic inhibitors that target the DENV NS2B-NS3 (Fig. 5) or CHIKV NSP2 protease (Fig. 4). Because all these inhibitors share common structural features (Fig. 6), it prompted us to explore whether HIV/HCV protease inhibitors could possibly be repurposed for the inhibition of the replication of DENV and CHIKV.

In this report, computer-aided drug design (CADD) and enhanced molecular modeling techniques were used to investigate whether HIV/HCV protease inhibitors that are already on the market could have the potential to inhibit the replication of DENV and CHIKV by exploration of their capacity to bind to the viral NS2B-NS3 or NSP2 protease, respectively. In parallel, their biological potency was evaluated in virus-cell-based assays and was correlated with the \textit{in silico} results.

\section{Materials and methods}

\subsection{Molecular modeling study}

The crystal structure of the DENV NS2B-NS3 protease in its ligand bound conformation (PDB: 3U1I)\textsuperscript{28} and the CHIKV NSP2 protease (PDB: 3TRK)\textsuperscript{29} was obtained from the protein data bank. The closed conformation of the DENV NS2B-NS3 subunit (PDB: 3U1J)\textsuperscript{30} was preferred over the open conformation without ligand (PDB: 2FOM)\textsuperscript{30} as the protease is presumed to remain in a closed conformation when bound to an inhibitor. The structures of the HIV/HCV protease inhibitors that were used in this study were procured from Chemsper database\textsuperscript{31} and downloaded in MOL2 format. The receptor and ligands were prepared as mentioned previously by Maharaj \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} Subsequently, processed ligands were docked in the active site of the DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease using Autodock.
The top docked conformations were generated using ViewDock plugin integrated with Chimera. Molecular docking-based binding affinity calculation often leads to artifacts. Therefore, further molecular dynamics analysis was used for further refinement. Molecular dynamics-based MM/GBSA calculation has proven to be an effective tool to re-rank protein–inhibitor binding affinity. Therefore, molecular dynamics based MM/GBSA rescoring was used to precisely rank the HIV/HCV inhibitors against both target enzymes.

All molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the GPU version of the PMEMD engine provided with Amber 14 (ref. 44) as described by Bhakat et al. The H++ server

---

**Fig. 3** The 2D structures of representative HIV/HCV protease inhibitors that were used in this drug repurposing study. A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I represents, respectively, lopinavir (LPV), nelfinavir (NFV), amprenavir (AMP), atazanavir (ATV), indinavir (IDV), ritonavir (RTV), saquinavir (SQV), telaprevir (TPV) and darunavir (DRV).

---

**Fig. 4** 2D representation of the structure (A–D) of some previously-reported CHIKV NSP2 inhibitors. A and D represent the chemical structures of two CHIKV NSP2 inhibitors as predicted in silico by Rashad et al.
(http://biophysics.cs.vt.edu/H++) was used to assign correct protonation states in case of all the systems prior to system preparation. In brief, the ligands were parameterized using GAFF force field, whereas the protein systems were described using FF99SB force field integrated with Amber 14. The leap module integrated with Amber 14 was used to add missing hydrogen atoms and heavy atoms as well as counter ions to neutralize the systems. All the systems were immersed in

![Image](http://biophysics.cs.vt.edu/H++)

**Fig. 5** Chemical structures of some previously-reported DENV NS2B-NS3 protease inhibitors. (A) R, R1 are the position of substitutions as reported by Nitsche et al.;55 (B) one of the anilide reported by Zhou et al.;56 (C) one of the promising NS2B-NS3 inhibitors reported by Yildiz et al.;57 (D) one of the potent DENV NS2B-NS3 inhibitor reported by Ganesh et al.58

**Fig. 6** The common peptidomimetic chemical similarity among HIV/HCV inhibitors, DENV NS2B-NS3 inhibitor/s and CHIKV NSP2 inhibitor/s inspired the repositioning concept.
a TIP3P water box so that no atom was within 10 Å of any box edge. Long-range columbic interactions were treated using particle mesh Ewald (PME) implemented in Amber 14. The prepared systems were then subjected to different stages e.g. minimizations, heating and equilibration before proceeding to production runs as described by Bhakat et al.45 Finally, a 30 ns explicit solvent molecular dynamics simulation was performed for all the systems using an NPT ensemble with a target pressure set at 1 bar and constant pressure coupling of 2 ps.

The molecular dynamics trajectories were analyzed using PTRAJ and CPTRAJ modules46 integrated with Amber 14. Visualization was carried out using VMD47 and Chimera.35 MM/GBSA-based binding energy was calculated using a singular trajectory approach taking in account 1000 frames with a regular interval of 30 ps.45 The following set of equations describes the calculation of binding free energy.

$$
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = G_{\text{complex}} - G_{\text{receptor}} - G_{\text{ligand}}
$$

$$
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = E_{\text{gas}} + G_{\text{sol}} - T\Delta S
$$

$$
E_{\text{gas}} = E_{\text{int}} + E_{\text{vdw}} + E_{\text{ele}}
$$

$$
G_{\text{sol}} = G_{\text{GB}} + G_{\text{SA}}
$$

$$
G_{\text{SA}} = \gamma \text{SASA}
$$

The notations of these parameters were described in detail by Bhakat et al.45

2.2. DENV and CHIKV cell-based assay

2.2.1. Cells and virus strains. CHIKV Indian Ocean strain 899 (Genbank FJ959103.1) was generously provided by Prof. S. Güntner (Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg, Germany). CHIKV was propagated in African green monkey kidney cells [Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81)]. Vero-B cells were maintained in cell growth medium composed of minimum essential medium (MEM Rega-3, Gibco, Belgium) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Integro, The Netherlands), 1% L-glutamine (Gibco), and 1% sodium bicarbonate (Gibco). The antiviral assays were performed in assay medium, which is the respective cell growth medium supplemented with 2% FBS (instead of 10%). All cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95–99% humidity.

DENV serotype 2 strain New Guinea C (DENV-2 NGC) was kindly provided by Dr V. Deubel (formerly at Institute Pasteur, Lyon, France). DENV was propagated in C6/36 mosquito cells (from Aedes albopictus; ATCC CCL-1660) at 28 °C in Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Life Technologies, cat no. 11415049) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% non-essential amino acids (Life Technologies, cat no. 11140035), 1% HEPES buffer (Life Technologies, cat no. 15630056) and 1% penicillin (100 U ml⁻¹)/streptomycin (100 µg ml⁻¹) solution. Antiviral assays using DENV were performed on Vero-B cells (obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures) using the same assay medium as was described for CHIKV.

2.2.2. Chikungunya virus CPE reduction assay. CHIKV cytopathic effect (CPE) reduction assays were performed as described before (Delang et al., 2014 (ref. 48)). In brief, Vero-B cells were seeded in 96-well tissue culture plates (Becton Dickinson, Aalst, Belgium) at a density of 2.5 × 10⁴ cells per well in 100 µl assay medium and were allowed to adhere overnight. Next, a compound dilution series was prepared in the medium on top of the cells after which the cultures were infected with 0.01 MOI of CHIKV 899 inoculum in 100 µl assay medium. On day 5 post-infection (p.i.), the plates were processed using the MTS/PMS method as described by the manufacturer (Promega, The Netherlands). The 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀), which is defined as the concentration of compound that is required to inhibit viral RNA replication by 50%, was determined using logarithmic interpolation. Potential cytotoxic/cytostatic effect of the compound was quantified in uninfected cells also by means of the MTS/PMS method. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀; i.e., the concentration that reduces the overall metabolic activity of the cells by 50%) was calculated using logarithmic interpolation. All assay wells were checked microscopically for minor signs of virus-induced CPE or alterations of host cell or monolayer morphology that may have been caused by the compound.

2.2.3. Dengue virus yield reduction assay. Vero-B cells (5 × 10⁴) were seeded in 96-well plates. One day later, medium was replaced by 100 µl assay medium containing 100 CCID50 (50% cell culture infectious doses) of DENV-2 and incubated for 2 hours, after which the cell monolayer was washed 3 times with assay medium to remove non-adsorbed virus. Cells were further cultivated in 200 µl fresh assay medium containing 2-fold serial dilutions of the compounds (50–0.20 µg ml⁻¹) for 4 days. Supernatant was harvested and viral RNA load was determined by real-time quantitative RT-PCR, as previously described (Kaptein et al., 2010 (ref. 49)). The EC₅₀ value, which is defined as the compound concentration that is required to inhibit viral RNA replication by 50%, was determined using logarithmic interpolation. Potential cytotoxic/cytostatic effects of the compounds were evaluated in uninfected cells using the MTS/PMS method similarly as was described for CHIKV.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Insights from MM/GBSA-based rescoring and the virus-cell-based assays

From the docking studies, it could be derived that all the selected HIV/HCV inhibitors are able to physically bind into the active site of DENV NS2B-NS3 as well as that of the CHIKV NSP2 (Fig. 7). To validate the docking protocol, the peptide-like inhibitor complexed with DENV NS2B-NS3 protease (PDB: 3U1P†) was extracted in the configuration as it was bound and was re-docked into the active site of DENV serine protease (details described in ESI†). Fig. 7 highlights the binding mode of all HIV/HCV inhibitors inside the active site of DENV NS2B-NS3 protease and CHIKV NSP2, which also highlights the preciseness of the docking protocol used in this study.
To explore whether the in silico results are predictive for antiviral activity against the respective viruses, the effect of the HIV/HCV protease inhibitors was evaluated in in vitro virus-cell-based assays with DENV and CHIKV. As shown in Table 2, most HIV/HCV protease inhibitors did not inhibit the replication of CHIKV. Only lopinavir and nelfinavir showed a modest antiviral effect (Fig. 8A). None of the compounds fully inhibited virus-induced cytopathic effects and the antiviral activity seems to be associated with an adverse effect on the host cell (MTS cytotoxicity assay and microscopic evaluation). Likewise, only two out of the nine HIV/HCV protease inhibitors showed some antiviral activity against DENV-2 (Table 2, Fig. 8B). The antiviral activity of ritonavir is clearly associated with an adverse effect on the host cell, while the antiviral effect of nelfinavir against DENV-2 was a bit more pronounced with an EC_{50} value of 3.5 ± 0.4 μM and a selectivity index (SI) of 4.6 (SI = EC_{50}/EC_{90}). In general, the antiviral effect of NFV on DENV-2 replication was better compared to few previously reported DENV inhibitors.15,50-51

It was interesting to observe that nelfinavir, the compound which was found to be the most promising compound in the in silico study, also showed some antiviral activity against both viruses in cell culture, (Table 2). It is also necessary to mention that all HIV/HCV inhibitors displayed a better binding free energy profile in complex with DENV NS2B/NS3 protease as compared to CHIKV NSP2, which can be correlated with slightly better anti-DENV as compared to anti-CHIKV activity. A more detailed modeling analysis of nelfinavir bound in both proteases (see Section 3.2) provided additional support that, even though the antiviral effect in cell culture was rather modest, nelfinavir showed some interesting features to further explore its properties as a stepping-stone towards the development of inhibitors that could inhibit both DENV and CHIKV replication.

Table 1  MM/GBSA based binding free energy profile in comparison with docking score for all re-profiled HIV/HCV inhibitors presented in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>$E_{vdw}$</th>
<th>$E_{elect}$</th>
<th>$G_{gas}$</th>
<th>$G_{adv}$</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{bind}$</th>
<th>Docking score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>-29.6529 ± 0.3262*</td>
<td>0.0619 ± 0.1230*</td>
<td>-29.5911 ± 0.3217*</td>
<td>6.4800 ± 0.1219*</td>
<td>-23.1111 ± 0.2546*</td>
<td>-7.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV</td>
<td>-38.0980 ± 0.2978*</td>
<td>-5.2609 ± 0.2709*</td>
<td>-43.3584 ± 0.4630*</td>
<td>14.3965 ± 0.2768*</td>
<td>-22.9619 ± 0.2961*</td>
<td>-7.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVT</td>
<td>-24.0476 ± 0.6403*</td>
<td>-3.7475 ± 0.6056*</td>
<td>36.7568 ± 0.5067*</td>
<td>13.5103 ± 0.3604*</td>
<td>-14.2848 ± 0.2057*</td>
<td>-6.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV</td>
<td>-23.9486 ± 0.2011*</td>
<td>-3.8793 ± 0.3810*</td>
<td>35.8169 ± 0.6037*</td>
<td>13.6207 ± 0.4903*</td>
<td>-14.2072 ± 0.3803*</td>
<td>-6.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV</td>
<td>-28.9049 ± 0.5113*</td>
<td>-8.9503 ± 0.2415*</td>
<td>30.6537 ± 0.6095*</td>
<td>9.5843 ± 0.2875*</td>
<td>-28.2694 ± 0.4378*</td>
<td>-8.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDV</td>
<td>-32.5832 ± 0.3492*</td>
<td>-6.5005 ± 0.3255*</td>
<td>39.0837 ± 0.5231*</td>
<td>16.3381 ± 0.3074*</td>
<td>-27.7456 ± 0.2876*</td>
<td>-7.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQV</td>
<td>-27.8572 ± 0.4036*</td>
<td>-2.7578 ± 0.9063*</td>
<td>37.3605 ± 0.5063*</td>
<td>18.0774 ± 0.8057*</td>
<td>-12.5376 ± 0.7485*</td>
<td>-6.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFV</td>
<td>-33.9221 ± 0.5955*</td>
<td>-1.6321 ± 0.9333*</td>
<td>28.7214 ± 1.2058*</td>
<td>12.1607 ± 0.8491*</td>
<td>-23.3925 ± 0.8491*</td>
<td>-7.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPV</td>
<td>-22.8304 ± 0.2907*</td>
<td>-1.3875 ± 0.2038*</td>
<td>28.8467 ± 0.7073*</td>
<td>11.8232 ± 0.4063*</td>
<td>-12.3947 ± 0.1078*</td>
<td>-6.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates ligands complexed with DENV NS2B-NS3 (PDB: 3U11) whereas * stands for ligands complexed with CHIKV NSP2 (PDB: 3TRK).
3.2. Molecular analysis of the nelfinavir–protease interaction

3.2.1. The nelfinavir/DENV NS2B-NS3 interaction. A more detailed analysis of the interaction of nelfinavir with the active site of the DENV NS2B-NS3 protease delineates a number of crucial residues involved in the interaction (Fig. 9). The majority of the interactions are hydrophobic and polar type interactions. Also, a per-residue footprint analysis confirmed that van der Waals energy is the main driving force for binding, which is exemplified by the high VdW values in binding free-energy (Fig. 9C). The position of three catalytic site residues e.g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protase inhibitors</th>
<th>Anti-DENV activity EC₅₀ (µM)</th>
<th>CC₅₀ (µM)</th>
<th>Anti-CHIKV activity EC₅₀ (µM)</th>
<th>CC₅₀ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir</td>
<td>42 ± 20</td>
<td>47 ± 23</td>
<td>32 ± 9</td>
<td>44 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16 ± 0.4</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
<td>22 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amprenavir</td>
<td>&gt;49</td>
<td>&gt;49</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atazanavir</td>
<td>&gt;71</td>
<td>&gt;71</td>
<td>&gt;71</td>
<td>&gt;71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indinavir</td>
<td>&gt;41</td>
<td>&gt;41</td>
<td>&gt;82</td>
<td>&gt;82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>22 ± 4.4</td>
<td>46 ± 27</td>
<td>&gt;69</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir</td>
<td>32 ± 7.7</td>
<td>&gt;37</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darunavir</td>
<td>&gt;84</td>
<td>&gt;84</td>
<td>&gt;84</td>
<td>&gt;84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telaprevir</td>
<td>43 ± 10</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 8](image)

Fig. 8 Dose response curves of the antiviral effect (black bars) and the cytotoxic effect (white circles) of (A) lopinavir and nelfinavir in the chikungunya virus CPE reduction assay and (B) lopinavir, nelfinavir and ritonavir in the dengue virus yield reduction assay.
His51, Asp75 and Ser135 will play a crucial role in the development of novel inhibitors using the structural features of NFV (Fig. 9B). NFV formed two backbone hydrogen bond interactions with Met84 and one with Gly153. It also formed a pi–pi stacking interaction with Tyr161 and a side chain H bond interaction with Thr83 (Fig. 9A). A molecular dynamics study highlights that Met84 and Thr83 formed a stable hydrogen bond interaction with NFV with higher % occupancy during simulation time (Table 3). Whereas, the % occupancy of the hydrogen bond interaction between Gly153 is lower (79.3%) as compared to the other two residues (Table 3). The lower % hydrogen bond occupancy in case of Gly153 can be further confirmed from the fact that the electrostatic contribution coming from Gly153 is slightly lower (∼0.15 kcal mol⁻¹) when compared with Thr83. Thus, the backbone H-bond interaction with Met84, Gly153 and side chain H-bond interaction with Thr83 played a crucial role in capturing the binding orientation of NFV inside the active site of the DENV serine protease.

### 3.2.2. The nelnavir/CHIKV NSP2 interaction

The binding mode of NFV with the NSP2 of CHIKV puts forward some interesting observations in terms of binding mode as well as residues that are involved. It was observed that one of the conserved cysteine residues of the catalytic triad, Cys1290, appears to be involved in the binding of NFV in the active site. In addition, NFV interacts with conserved active site residues His1222, Ser1293, Gly1176 etc. at the C-terminal domain of NSP2 (Fig. 10A). Most importantly, the formation of a hydrogen bond between His1222 and a carbonyl moiety appears to play an important role in the stability of NFV inside the active site (Fig. 10A). The majority of the interactions were polar and hydrophobic in nature, which correlates with the high value of van der Waals contributions to the total binding free-energy as well as the high contribution of VdW forces in the per-residue energy decomposition (Fig. 10B). The interaction between NFV and the CHIKV NSP2 was found to be stable during the simulation time with backbone Cα RMSD and the potential energy of the system was found to be well converged during the period of simulation (Fig. S1 and S2, ESI†).

To understand the stability of the conserved hydrogen bond between His1222 and NFV, the H-bond distance and % occupancy between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group and the His1222 were monitored during simulation time. From Fig. 10A, it can be clearly stated that the hydrogen bond between these two moieties was very stable with a % occupancy of 88.2 and an average distance of 3.50 Å, which further points out the role of His1222 in the stability of NFV inside the active site (Table 4). The binding conformation of NFV during the simulation time in respect to conserved cysteine and histidine residues (Fig. 10C) further gives an insight into the binding theme of NFV that can help in understanding the development of novel CHIKV inhibitors with the NFV template as starting point.

### 3.3. Conclusive pharmacophore features of nelnavir

The pharmacophore features and hypothesis presented in Fig. 11 highlights the minimum pharmacophore requirements

---

**Table 3** Average hydrogen bond distance (Å) and % occupancy of interacting active site residues with NFV during simulation time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H-bond interaction</th>
<th>Average distance (Å)</th>
<th>% occupancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thr83 (OH)···(OH) NFV</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met 84 (NH2)···(O52) NFV</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met 84 (O)···(NH) NFV</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly 153 (O)···(OH) NFV</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
based on the structural template of NFV and its interaction with active site residues of DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease will help in the design and identification of novel protease inhibitors that target the DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV

Fig. 10 (A) Ligand interaction plot showing active site residues of C-terminal CHIKV NSP2 with nelfinavir (NFV). (B) Per-residue footprint of active site residues involved in the interaction with nelfinavir (NFV). Highlighting contributions coming from electrostatic and van der Waals interactions in case of each residue. (C) The position of catalytic cysteine residues in the C-terminal domain and His1222 in respective with nelfinavir (NFV).

Table 4 Average hydrogen bond distance and % occupancy between –NH2 side chain of His1222 and NFV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H-bond interaction</th>
<th>Average distance (Å)</th>
<th>% occupancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>His1222 (NH2)···(Oe2) NFV</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11 (A) and (B) highlights the minimum pharmacophore features of NFV in bound conformation with DENV NS2B-NS3 protease and CHIKV NSP2, respectively. The artistic representation of the common pharmacophore feature of NFV for their DENV/CHIKV protease activity is described with the arrows. The yellow highlighted region represents the core area of the peptidomimetic scaffold. Green, yellow and white regions of pharmacophore points represents HP (hydrophobic), HBa (hydrogen bond donor), HBa (hydrogen bond acceptor) respectively.
NSP2. The pharmacophoric features presented in Fig. 11 can be used as a template for future pharmacophore-based drug discovery efforts and to screen large commercial databases e.g. ZINC Pharmer etc. to find novel leads. Not only that: the combination of structural similarity and minimum pharmacophore features of NFV will be effective in the future to pick new inhibitors from large pools of chemical compounds to identify novel small-molecule protease inhibitors against these neglected tropical diseases.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, we applied a drug re-profiling strategy to explore the antiviral effect of selected HIV/HCV inhibitors against DENV and CHIKV. The peptidomimetic scaffold of HIV/HCV inhibitors and its structural similarity with previously reported DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease inhibitors inspired us to re-profile HIV/HCV inhibitors against the DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease. MM/GBSA-based binding free energy profile analysis highlighted a better binding of nelfinavir to the DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease, which was further validated by a modest antiviral activity of nelfinavir on CHIKV (EC_{50} = 14 ± 1 μM) and a bit more pronounced antiviral effect on DENV-2 (EC_{50} = 3.5 ± 0.4 μM and SI = 4.6) in virus-cell-based assays. Besides nelfinavir, lopinavir displayed a modest antiviral effect against CHIKV but none of these compounds fully inhibit virus-induced cytopathic effects. Ritonavir modestly inhibited DENV-2 but its activity is clearly associated with an adverse effect on the host cells. From this study, it can be concluded that NFV has more pronounced antiviral activity against DENV-2 as compared to CHIKV. The structural and pharmacophoric features now could be used to identify novel leads from chemical databases as well as for the design of novel inhibitors that target both DENV and CHIKV. This study also gave credence to the fact that further optimization of structural and pharmacophore features of nelfinavir may lead to development of multifunctional small-molecule inhibitor that target both the DENV NS2B-NS3 and CHIKV NSP2 protease. It is also worth to mention that previous reports highlighted anti-HCV and anti-cancer properties of nelfinavir. Adding to this the antiviral activity against DENV and CHIKV corroborates that nelfinavir has unique properties that endow it with activity in different systems. Thus, future efforts to understand the structural and pharmacophore features of nelfinavir that are responsible for its diverse activity will be essential to develop novel multi-functional inhibitors.

Therefore, the binding mode, interaction and pharmacophore features of nelfinavir that have been highlighted in this manuscript will not only act as a stepping stone to develop novel DENV and CHIKV protease inhibitors, but also may be applied to identify novel protease inhibitors that target other neglected viral diseases from large pools of small-molecule inhibitors.
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