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Introduction

The Church is a worshipping community. However, liturgy has been a contentious issue in the Church. The Council’s call for renewal of the liturgy has led to debates and conflicts, sometimes even leading to serious divisions. This situation continues even today. The papers in Part V deal with some of these issues, mainly based on SC.

Joris Geldhof presents the similarities and differences between Trent and Vatican II. Briefly discussing two concepts of modernity, he holds that changes in liturgy must not be made only on the basis of shifting sensitivities in society and culture. Geldhof concludes with a suggestion for a more fruitful understanding of the interplay between modernity and liturgy. According to him, “the real challenge fifty years after Sacrosanctum concilium may not be to win the battle over the right interpretation of the document but to interiorize the thoroughly theological sense of liturgy it promoted.”

S. Joseph Lionel says that the great liturgical renewal envisioned by the Second Vatican Council found its fruition in the liturgical reform that followed the council. At the same time, we cannot ignore critical
approach to the serious objections raised about the lex credendi of the Roman Missal, namely the continuity in the theological content of the prayers. Lionel proposes a three-steps methodology to study continuity in the prayers of the Roman Missal tradition, namely, substantial, biblical, and traditional. To demonstrate how this method could be applied for the study of continuity in liturgical texts, he presents a study on the prefaces for the Sundays of Lent in Cycle A in the editio typica tertia of the post-conciliar Missale Romanum.

Maryann Madhavathu investigates how Vatican II affected the revision and reform of the Liturgy of the Hours of the Syro-Malabar Church. She points out that mostly the word liturgy is used in a narrow sense to indicate the celebration of the Eucharist. Madhavathu examines the reform of the Liturgy of the Hours in the Syro-Malabar Church in three main sections: an overview of the reforms of Liturgy of the Hours in the Syro-Malabar Church prior to Vatican II; Vatican II’s criteria for liturgical reform; the revision of the Liturgy of the Hours under the influence of Vatican II. Madhavathu concludes that on the one hand, Vatican II and SC had changed the direction of the already begun liturgical reform of the Liturgy of the Hours in the Syro-Malabar Church. On the other hand, it slowed down the whole process as the council recommendations led to debates and conflicts. It may be sad that even today, the whole Church community cannot pray together one single officially approved text of the Liturgy of the Hours and hopes that the Liturgy of the Hours will regain its ancient status.

Joshy George Pazhukkathara, while acknowledging that SC (art.7) has powerfully affirmed Christ’s Presence through the four modes of presence in the Eucharist, points out that from the time of the inception of the Church the modes of Christ’s presence have been an issue of debate. According to him, the question of Christ’s presence has been an issue of critical reflection from two angles: i) the difference between the real presence of Christ and all-permeating presence of God in the world, and ii) the difference between the different modes of Christ’s presence in the Eucharist as retained in SC. Pazhukkathara deals with the latter, from the phenomenological perspective of Robert Sokolowski, and in conversation with Paul A. Janowiak’s liturgical reading of presence.
THE REFORM OF THE LITURGY OF THE HOURS OF THE SYRO-MALABAR CHURCH IN LIGHT OF VATICAN II

Maryann Madhavathu, CMC

Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven

Introduction

Fifty years after Vatican II, the influence of the liturgical reform triggered by it has not yet been completely evaluated in the Syro-Malabar Church (SMC). However, there have been many serious studies and discussions about the liturgical identity of this Church in the past century.1 This paper investigates how Vatican II affected the revision and reform of the Liturgy of the Hours (LH) of the SMC.2


Vatican II entails fundamental principles for the reform of liturgy at the beginning of Sacrosanctum Concilium confirming that the liturgy is the outstanding means to express the mystery of Christ and the essence of the Church. The Council realised the Church-building potential of liturgy with a profound reflection of its immense importance in the life of the Church. Mostly, however, the word liturgy is used in a narrow sense to indicate the celebration of the Eucharist. But the concept includes the sacraments and all other formal worship of the Church as well. Studies about the liturgical reform in SMC are generally limited to the Eucharist. The current status of the revision of the liturgical texts of SMC shows that the text of the LH is not yet included in the list of officially approved texts.

---

3Sacrosanctum Concilium (SC), the Constitution on the Sacred Liturgy, was one of the four constitutions of Vatican II. It was approved by the bishops with a vote of 2,147 to 4 and promulgated by Pope Paul VI on December 4, 1963. Henceforth denoted as SC.

4Liturgical reform aims to impart vigour to the Christian life of the faithful, to adapt more suitably to the needs of our own times, to foster ecumenism and to strengthen the mission of the Church. SC, 1. “Vatican II preferred the concept of renewal (renovatio) and meant by it a comprehensive conversion of the Church as body of Christ and God’s people, much more than the adaptation of rubrics in view of preserving an amalgamation of ritual and textual traditions.” Joris Geldhof, “Liturgy, Modernity, and Ideology: Reflections on Similarities and Differences between Trent and Vatican II,” Asian Horizons 7, 1 (2013) 186.

5Geldhof, “Liturgy, Modernity, and Ideology,” 183-185. Also see SC, 2.


7The following are the Syro-Malabar liturgical texts: Thaksa of Holy Qurbana, Thaksa of Sacraments, Pontifical, Divine Praises, Calendar, Lectionary, Holy Week liturgy, Christmas liturgy, Thaksa of Sacramentals. There are English translations of these Malayalam texts.

Vatican II insisted on ‘returning to the sources’ with the hope that it would be a suitable medium to guide necessary changes in the liturgical field. This study examines the reform of the LH in SMC in three main sections. The first section provides an overview of the reforms of LH in SMC prior to Vatican II. Vatican II's criteria for liturgical reform are discussed in the second section along with an analysis of their appropriateness for SMC. The final section traces the revision of the LH in this Church under the influence of Vatican II.

1. The Historical Development of the Liturgy of the Hours Prior to Vatican II

We begin our survey with the sixteenth century because it was the start of a new era in the liturgical history of SMC. In particular, it was marked by the arrival of Western missionaries on the Malabar coasts.

1.1. Official Attempts at Liturgical Reform

From sixteenth century onwards there were attempts to Latinize the LH of SMC. On Dec 21 1923, by the encyclical Romani Pontifices, Pius XI established a new Malabar Hierarchy and on Dec 1, 1934 he called for a liturgical reform of the SMC. The Congregation for the Oriental Churches thereupon published the book entitled Breviarium juxta Ritum Syrorum Orientalium id est Chaldaorum in 1938 as the official books of the “Divine Praises” of the Assyro-Chaldean Church and SMC. It had first been edited in 1886-1887 in three volumes by Paul Bedjan. The history of LH in SMC prior to Bedjan’s edition by and large ran parallel to that of the Chaldean Church.

1.2. Other Initiatives to Reform the Liturgy of the Hours

Many individuals and organisations were involved in the reform of the LH in SMC before Vatican II and they also published liturgical

---

9Kanichikattil, To Restore or to Reform?, xvii.
12The Congregation for the Oriental Churches. Henceforth denoted as COrC.
books including LH. Kuriakose Elias Chavara, the then Vicar General of the Syro-Malabarians, made an abbreviation of the available manuscripts for the clergy in the second half of the nineteenth century. It was printed and published from the seminary press at Puthenpally in 1876. It contained practically one week’s celebration to be repeated all through the liturgical year. This volume was in use till 1967, when the first vernacular volume was published. The Divine Office for the feast days was not included in the above said volume. Chavara himself compiled a different volume for the Feasts. He translated some prayers from the Breviarium Romanum and added to the Syriac originals. The feasts were all rearranged according to the Latin calendar as the Church then was making use of it for the celebration of the Eucharist. But his death brought the project to an end.\textsuperscript{16}

A lot of liturgical books were published in vernacular after Vatican II and most of them compiled by Fr Abel, CMI were extensively used in SMC. There were liturgical books translated from the Syriac originals in the East Syrian tradition, by Denha Services used in several dioceses.\textsuperscript{17} But those liturgical books lack official approval.\textsuperscript{18} Since officially approved text for LH was not available, the SMC members were forced to use the texts published by individuals and this situation continued even after Vatican II for a long time. The following section presents the norms given by Vatican II for liturgical reform.

2. Vatican II and its Call for the Renewal of the Liturgy

Some norms laid down by the Constitution, as the document itself states, “can and should be applied both to the Roman rite and also all other rites” (SC, 3). Since Vatican II, the SMC has received many guidelines in view of restoring and reforming the liturgy. Of these some are of a general nature and others with specific indications.\textsuperscript{19}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{17}Thadikkatt, Liturgical Identity, 133.
\item \textsuperscript{18}Mannooramparampil, “Orientalium Ecclesiarum,” 108.
\item \textsuperscript{19}CorC, Report on the State of Liturgical Reform in the Syro-Malabar Church, Rome 1980; Observations on the Order of the Holy Mass, Rome, 1983; Final Judgement Concerning the Order of the Syro-Malabar Qurbana, Rome 1985; Directives on the Order of Syro-Malabar Qurbana, Rome, 1988; Instruction, Rome, 1996; Besides, in addition to CCEO, there are also speeches of Pope John Paul II
\end{itemize}
2.1. Principles for Reform

Some general norms for liturgical reform found in SC and other Church documents after Vatican II are analyzed first in this section with a special focus on their expediency in SMC.

2.1.1. Revision Based on Sound Tradition and Contemporary Needs

SC, 4 invites for a revision of rites. The criteria for revision are: carefully done in the light of sound tradition and in order to give new vigour to meet the needs of modern times. These two aspects are to be equally attended (SC, 4). For it, SC, 23 suggests theological, historical and pastoral research. The Instruction for applying the liturgical prescriptions of the Code of Canons of the Eastern Churches § 19 repeats this exhortation and affirms that this should be carried out in the desired way. Nevertheless, while modifying ancient liturgical practice, coherence with context and homogeneity with symbolic language, with images and the specific style of the particular church is to be considered. Though SC, 4 suggests revision, the Fundamental Doctrinal Principles for an authentic Liturgical Reform of 1980 says: “Liturgy of the SMC should remain faithful to the traditional Syro-Malabar Liturgy and to the norms of the OE in connection with nos. 5 and 12.” OE, 6 elucidates the fidelity to the authentic liturgical tradition. But in order to know what is to be preserved they should acquire greater knowledge of their own rites and their ancestral traditions.

2.1.2. Noble Simplicity

One of the norms based upon the didactic and pastoral nature of the liturgy is as follows: “The rites should be distinguished by a noble simplicity. They should be short, clear, and free from useless repetitions. They should be within the people’s power of comprehension, and

addressed to the Syro-Malabar Bishops, Communications from Cardinal Rubin, Prefect of the CCorC etc.


23Orientalium Ecclesiarum (OE), is the Vatican II’s Decree on the Eastern Catholic Churches.

normally should not require much explanation” (SC, 34). ‘Simplicity’ of the rites, however, should be understood in the right perspective. Vernacularisation of the liturgy was in view of simplifying it. Avoidance of clumsiness in the rites, omission of certain repetitions etc., too were part of simplification.

2.1.3. Active Participation and the Promotion of Sacred Scripture

One of the thrusts of Vatican II liturgical reform was active participation of the people. The purpose of any reform is to facilitate the full, conscious and active participation of the faithful (SC 14, 19 & 21). Those who attend the liturgy should know the meaning of prayers and actions since liturgy is to be lived, and not merely to be performed in the Church building. SC, 21 reminds that the revision of the order should help people to make that part more clear and the correlation is brought forth clearly. Another important call of SC is for the promotion of sacred scripture in liturgy (SC, 51, 92). In order to achieve the restoration, progress, and adaptation of the sacred liturgy, love of Scripture which is the venerable tradition of both East and West is highly recommended (SC, 24).

2.1.4. Competent Authority and Revision of Liturgical Books

SC provides some general norms preserving the authority of the Apostolic See or bishop or competent territorial bodies of bishops legitimately established for the regulation of liturgy (SC, 22). SC, 22 instructs that no other individual including priests is allowed to make changes in the sacred liturgy (SC, 39). OE, 23 makes clear who the legitimate authorities in liturgical matters are. SC, 25 asks for the revision of the liturgical books as soon as possible. Detailed study finds that the revision of liturgical books mentioned in it are concerning the Roman rite only (SC, 38).

2.1.5. The Good of the Church and the Organic Growth of Liturgy

The constitution restricts innovations unless it contributes and requires for the genuine good of the Church and produces organic growth of the liturgy. Especially, it instructs that “as far as possible, notable differences between the rites used in adjacent regions should be avoided” (SC, 23). In 1988 the COrC made the following statement: “The good of the faithful (‘bonum fidelium’) is the pastoral norm

---


governing all liturgical legislation.”

The Council which desires that the traditions of each Individual Church remain whole and entire, wishes also “to adapt its own way of life to the needs of different times and places” (OE, 2).

2.1.6. Norms for Experimentation

Antony Nariculam observes that SC has laid down some norms for experimentation which is directly concerned with the Roman Rite. These norms are given in the context of ‘radical adaptations of the liturgy’ which entails ‘great difficulties’ (SC, 40). Here the document is referring to the liturgical inculturation. The Instruction does not speak about ‘experimentation’ as such, though it does refer to the need of revising and adapting the liturgical texts for the contemporary man and woman. It is up to the Synod of Bishops to devise a methodology for experimenting the liturgical texts.

2.2. Dispute over the Expediency

There are debates over the recommendations of SC for the liturgical renewal with regard to the Eastern Rites. Careful discernment is essential while applying the norms in SC in Oriental Churches or Rites. Scholars like Thomas Mannooramparampil argue that since the whole document of SC was mainly meant for the Latin liturgy, the council did not point out which principles concern the oriental liturgies also. They say that these norms which are exclusively meant for the Latin liturgy are misinterpreted to be also for the Orientals. The document is both disciplinary and doctrinal and so not a decree but a constitution. To support this argument that SC does not provide norms for the Oriental Churches, they quote the Roman documents of 1985 and 1996 and find that the

---

27 OIRSI, Roman Documents, 143.
29 Instruction § 18-20.
32 “It should be remembered in this connection that the conciliar constitution on the sacred liturgy is only in its most general principles applicable to all liturgical traditions, not in its detailed prescriptions which hold good for the Roman tradition. Appeals made to Vatican II to justify certain changes in Oriental texts and usages are in not a few cases simply renewed attempts at latinization.” OIRSI, Roman Documents, 113. “The practical norms of the constitution and those of the Code of canons promulgated in 1983 must be understood as affecting only the Latin Church. The principles and norms of liturgical nature which directly concern the Eastern Churches are found instead in various conciliar documents such as Lumen Gentium
documents UR\textsuperscript{33} and OE provide more insight regarding the renewal of the liturgy of SMC.\textsuperscript{34} The fidelity to the Vatican II is found in respecting the individuality of each Church which in turn should keep its whole and entire tradition.\textsuperscript{35} OE 3 and SC 4 repeat the equal dignity and authority of all the rites and express the wish to preserve and foster them.

Nariculam observes that since, as noted above, the good of the faithful ("bonum fidelium") is the pastoral norm governing all liturgical legislation, diversity and not uniformity is the rule today. To a certain extent, diversity has become ‘normal’ in the celebration of the Syro-Malabar liturgy, due to the options provided in the liturgical texts. All the more, this is true in the case of LH of the SMC. Diversity in the use of texts has become normal for LH also even after publishing approved official prayer texts. The next part describes the discussions on the nature of liturgical reform within the SMC.

2.3. Restoration or Renewal? Inculturation?

The liturgical reform in SMC was affected by the disputes over the nature of reform itself which led to the debates over the liturgical identity of the Rite. One can find diverse opinions on the reasons of the issue.\textsuperscript{36} SC, 21 summons for a general restoration of the liturgy which is to be done with great care since liturgy has both divine and human elements. One group insisted that the restoration of the liturgy is the first concern of the Council before the renewal of it for both the Eastern and Latin rites.\textsuperscript{37} In their view, the policy of SMBC\textsuperscript{38}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item[33]Unitatis Redintegratio (UR), Decree on Ecumenism of Vatican II was passed by a vote of 2,137 to 11 of the bishops and was promulgated by Pope Paul VI on November 21, 1964.
\item[34]Mannooramparampil, “Orientalium Ecclesiarum,” 95-96.
\item[37]Thomas Mannooramparampil, “Response to the Holy See, the SMBC and SMBS on the Inculturation of the Syro-Malabar Liturgy: A Study,” in Inculturation and the Syro-Malabar Church, ed., Bosco Puthur, Kochi: LRC, 2005, 95-96. “Fundamental Doctrinal Principles for an authentic Liturgical Reform” in 1980 says “Liturgy of the SMC should remain faithful to the traditional Syro-Oriental Liturgy and to the norms of the conciliar decree OE, 6 in connection with OE, 5 and OE, 12. There should not be any change unless by reason of proper and organic progress.” Roman Documents,
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
that restoration, revision and adaptation of the liturgy should go
together does not agree with the policy of the Roman Documents
which demand restoration as a prerequisite and basis for any
reform. The document of 1980 includes a call to promote double
integration: in an Eastern Christian direction through deeper contact
with the Syriac liturgical, theological, and spiritual tradition and in an
Indian direction.

M. Nin says that “there has not been a liturgical movement in the
Eastern Churches as occurred in the West in the twentieth century.”
In the Latin West the strong liturgical movement at work culminated
in the reform of Vatican II and it was a natural outcome of the whole
process. The situation of Eastern Churches was different. Neverthe-
less, SMC has a history of revision of liturgical texts before
Vatican II.

38. 1985 doc. says “in keeping with the conciliar directives, it desires also to foster
and promote the restoration and the revival of the full, spiritual and ecclesial heritage
of the ancient and glorious Indian Church of the Syro Malabar Rite.” Roman
Documents, 114. The Council’s first call was for restoration of the authentic tradition
where it has been lost (OE, 6, 12) and it should not be misunderstood as a backward
move. ORISI, Roman Documents, 114 & 120.

39 The special meeting of SMBC convened on 12-14 August 1974 at the
Archbishop’s House Ernakulam decided that restoration, revision and adaptation of
the liturgy should go together, keeping the identity of the rite having in view its

40 Mannooramparampil, “Response to the Holy See,” 97.


42 The revision of SM liturgical texts started with the establishment of the COcC
and continued after the restoration of the SM hierarchy in 1923.
Mannooramparampil, Syro Malabar Kurbanyuede Charithra Paschathalam, 75.
Thadikkatt, Liturgical Identity, 113. The whole process began with demand of SMB for
a Syriac translation of the Roman Pontifical in 1908 which was rejected by the Holy
See, saying ‘Latinisation should not be encouraged among the Orientals.’ Cyril
Korolevsky, Living Languages in Catholic Worship: A Historical Inquiry, 135. The Holy
See took initiative for the restoration of the SML. But there was resistance for the
restoration from those who claimed that SM rite is distinct from pure Chaldean rite.
See for details, Thomas Mannooramparampil, The Restoration of the Syro Malabar
Qurbana, Kottayam: Oriental Institute of Religious Studies, 1987, 7; Antony
Vallavanthara, “The Liturgical Year of the St. Thomas Christians. Attempts at
Restoration: A Historical Investigation” (Doctoral dissertation, Université catholique
June 1955, “Chaldean Liturgy Not to be Restored,” Ernakulam M issam 43, 6 (1973) 67;
The attempts continued in 1950s through Cardinal Tisserant and P.J. Podipara. On
10th March 1954 Pope Pius XII nominated a Commission to edit the texts of the
The reform of the SM Qurbana ended with liturgical controversy and even after the Holy See approved a text in 1989, the debate continued leading to a crisis of the liturgical identity of the SMC. All these discussions delayed the actual process of liturgical reform in the SMC and since the entire attention was in Qurbana, the LH was neglected. How these events do affected the impact of Vatican II on the reform of the LH of SMC? What influence the Council had on the revision of this prayer is analyzed in the next section.

3. The Revision of the Liturgy of the Hours after Vatican II

SC, 89 mainly gives the norms to revise the LH of Roman Rite. From other articles of SC, some common norms could be drawn for the liturgical reform of the Eastern rites too. But later Roman Documents for SMC call for restoration and rediscovery of its tradition. OE, 22 obviously demands for the restoration of the ancient communal celebration of the LH of the Eastern Churches. There had been the obligation for the clergy to recite the divine office in public in the East Syrian Church and the faithful were encouraged to participate in it. Instruction provides norms of liturgical reform for Eastern Churches. Instruction § 98 demands the restoration of the communitarian celebration of the Divine Praises according to the


43 The revision and translation of the Qurbana thaka had some tensions since the 1968 text was criticised for being a deformation of East Syrian tradition. For a study of the 1968 text see, G. Vavanikunnel and Johannes Madey, “The ‘Reform’ of the Restored Syro-Malabar Qurbana,” in Malabar Church, Rome: Pontificium Institutum Orientalium Studiorum, 1970, 87-97.

44 The debate was between those who argue that the liturgical identity of the SMC is fully East-Syrian (Chaldean) and those who wish to adapt the SML to the Indian context without neglecting the positive elements received from other traditions. Karotemprel, The Syro-Malabar Church Today, 67.

45 SC, 89 speaks of major hours Lauds and Vespers, then of Compline, Matins, Prime and the minor hours of Terce, Sext, and None. From the details it is obvious that SC, 89 refers to Roman Office alone.

46 SC, 90 says that the divine office is the public prayer of the Church and steps must be taken to improve the understanding of the liturgy and of the Bible, especially of the Psalms.

liturgical books. Since the LH was upheld with special care in the East not only in monastic communities but also in parishes, CCEO reminds of the obligation to celebrate them in the cathedrals, parishes, rectorial churches, religious communities and seminaries (CCEO cann 199 § 2:377, 473). In addition to the necessity to observe the prescriptions of the liturgical books (can. 309 of CCEO), there should be true mystagogical program to make the faithful understand the meaning and value of this prayer.

3.1 Important Steps towards Renewal

Even before the Vatican II decision to use vernacular for liturgical celebrations, the Syro-Malabar Bishops were asked by Rome to abridge the officially published Breviarium (Romae 1938), translate it into Malayalam and publish it for regular use. Still, they succeeded in bringing out the first volume in Malayalam, which is for the weeks of Great Fast, only in 1967. Another volume for the weeks of Resurrection appeared in 1968 and a third one for the periods of subara (Annunciation), Christmas and Denha (Epiphany) in 1971. All these were published by the Syro-Malabar Central Liturgical Committee with the permission of the Syro-Malabar Bishops Conference. In 1982 the LH for the periods of Apostles, Elia-sliva-Moses and Dedication of the Church were published. In 1986 the whole LH in three volumes with provisional approval from the Congregation was published. For religious sisters a single volume was published arranged in seven weeks, without any regard for the liturgical year. Of these volumes, the first one for the weeks of Great Fast was translated in fidelity to the approved Syriac sources. The volume for the weeks of Annunciation and Epiphany and the one for the weeks of Resurrection (Ernakulam 1968) were not faithful to the Syriac sources.

3.1.1. The Liturgical Commission

The Syro-Malabar Bishops’ conference convened on August 21-24, 1974, appointed a Commission under the Chairmanship of Mar Joseph Powathil for preparing authentic texts for the LH in Malayalam. It prepared the text for each liturgical season and sent

---

48“‘The ancient tradition of celebrating the DP with the people should be restored without delay so as not to deprive the faithful of a privileged source of prayer, nourished by treasures of authentic doctrine.’ Instruction, 79.
49Instruction, §98.
them for study and consideration to all the Bishops, the Central Liturgical Committee members and other experts. In 1982-1983, the whole text was printed in three volumes and was given for experimental use in few institutions, mainly, the St Thomas Apostolic Seminary at Vadavathoor, Kottayam.

In 1984 and 1985, SMBC meetings decided not to allow private individuals\(^52\) to publish liturgical texts, and that they should be published by the Bishops’ Conference.\(^53\) The Central Liturgical committee convened on July 11-12, 1985 discussed in detail these texts and submitted them to the Bishops’ Conference with its own suggestions. The Bishops’ Conference on November 6-7, 1985 took decision and asked the Liturgy Commission Chairman to publish it for use, incorporating the agreed upon corrections of the Bishops’ Conference. Thus it was published in a single volume under the copyright of the Syro-Malabar Bishops’ conference in December 1986. SMBC published a Malayalam translation of the LH in 1986 with the name the Liturgy of the Hours of the Syro Malabar Church.\(^54\)

### 3.1.2 The Liturgical Research Centre

The Liturgical Research Centre (LRC) established after SMC having the status of a Major Archiepiscopal Church\(^55\) helped the revision of the texts with research studies and seminars.\(^56\) In 2002 the CORC published a single volume of LH which is recommended as the basic

---

\(^52\)Fr Abel CMI worked for translation and creation of liturgical books at the request of Cardinal Parecattil, the President of Syro-Malabar Liturgical Committee. For details of his work see, Thadikkatt, Liturgical Identity, 131. The CMI St Joseph’s province of Kottayam has granted the copyright of the liturgical books published by Fr Abel to the Liturgical Commission of the SMC. Synodal News, 13, 1&2 (Dec 2005) 123.

\(^53\)In the context of the unauthorized editions of Liturgical Texts like that of Fr Abel or Denha services, it was urgent to publish official editions of all liturgical books in Malayalam. Thadikkatt, Liturgical Identity, 134.

\(^54\)S.M.B.C., Yamaprarthanakal (Malayalam), Tiruvananthapuram: St Joseph’s press, 1986.

\(^55\)SMC was elevated to a Major Archiepiscopal church. Synodal News 1, no. 01 (August 1993): 12-13. LRC started on 10th April 1999.

\(^56\)The IV Synod (1996) decided to erect a research centre at Mount St Thomas and the VI Synod (1998) decided to take prompt steps to establish it in view of arriving at a definitive convergence on liturgical matters. Liturgical Research Centre (LRC) conducted seminars for the members of the CLC from Nov 26-28 2002 and from 30th March to April 1st, 2004 on the history, theology structure and salient features of the LH in the light of the original sources, the experimental text in use today and other available sources. From the report of SM Commission for Liturgy 2004.
text. The text contains only one week’s LH for each liturgical season (the seasons of Elijah-Sliba’ and Muse’ are joined together). Feast days are not included. The Dawidaja’, the Psalter is in full according to the Syriac sources. Besides being faithful to the approved sources, appropriate passages from other writings of the fathers are also included. Insights of Vatican II are incorporated mainly in the Karozuta’, the proclamation prayer of Night Liturgy. Above all, it has brought back almost all the celebrational and ritual details, such as the use of the sanctuary veil, incensing, lighting of the lamps, antiphons and refrains, ritual repetitions, processions, and so on, which were lost during the long period of vernacular experimentation.

3.2. The Present Status of Reform

The Synod of 1996 decided to ask the Commission for Liturgy to start reviewing the experimental texts of the LH urgently and to get them translated into English. The Synod approved a new structure of LH according to the structure of the text of Bedjan and decided to print it in simple, solemn and most solemn forms as suggested by LRC, as one book in 2004. A sub-committee for the preparation of the text of the propria of the LH was convened in 2011. The English

---

58 Pathikulangara, Divine Praises and Liturgical Year, 79.
60 The seminar conducted by LRC helped to prepare the final text of LH of SMC. See footnote 72. Fr Pauly Kannookadan presented the proposed structure of LH and the Synod approved it with some modifications. Synodal News, Vol.12, Nos 1 & 2, Dec 2004, 22-23, 42. The text of the Psalms was approved and the general structure of the LH was in preparation in 2007. The Synod of 2007 decided to publish the liturgy of the Holy Week integrating the LH together with the rituals of the Week with provision to celebrate it also without the LH. From the Synod report of August 27, 2007. 2008 synod took decision to study the practice of praying the LH of a day starting from the evening of the previous day. The same synod authorized Archbishop Joseph Powathil to reprint the book of the LH according to the need of the Church. From the decisions of XVI Synod, 18-29 August 2008. Synodal News, Vol 16, Nos 1&2, Nov 2008, 61, 65.
61 This committee has decided to take all suitable prayers available in Bedjan’s Hudra and to use the English translation of the prayers by Fr Emmanuel Thelly which is verified with the Syriac text of Bedjan. For Onitha dakdam and Onitha dwasar new hymns composed in the present text of SMBC or Fr Abel’s text may be used and the chosen texts must be in agreement with the spirit of the season and preference for hymns with Patristic texts. Decisions of Sub-committee for the preparation of LH Dec 22, 2011. According to the decisions of the Commission for Liturgy on 22 March 2012
version of the LH approved by the commission for Liturgy was published in 2013.\textsuperscript{62} The revision of the LH of SMC is still in progress.

It is to be noted that even today in some parts of the Church the old editions of unapproved texts are used for the official Liturgical prayers of the Church. The exhortation of Vatican II to promote the communal celebration of LH is not yet realized fully. Mar Powathil rightly states that greater attention is to be given to the LH and the sacramental celebration of the SMC.\textsuperscript{63}

**Conclusion**

This study laid bare a double influence of Vatican II in the liturgical reform of SMC. On the one hand, Vatican II and SC had changed the direction of the already begun liturgical reform of LH in SMC. On the other hand, it slowed down the whole process because the council recommendations were used for argumentation between different groups within the Church. Nevertheless, there arose a passion to implement the proposals of SC or Vatican II in SMC. However, ignorance about the distorted and deformed condition of its liturgy created problems like the debate over the liturgical identity. In this chaotic situation there has always been a more consistent emphasis on the Eucharist and sacraments, whereby the official liturgical prayer of the Church has not received sufficient consideration in the liturgical reform program. Even today, the whole Church community cannot pray together one single officially approved text of the LH. One may hope, however, that the LH will regain its ancient status, where it was communally celebrated in parishes as well as cathedrals. In addition to that, it may even find its way to the families in the future.

\textsuperscript{62}The committee for the English version of LH for the use in the formation houses of the religious outside the territorium proprium was entrusted the task by the XV Synod, Session 1, January 2007. From the report of the Commission for Liturgy, August20, 2012.