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Abstract

The ability to perceive bodily signals (interoceptive sensitivity) modulates emotional experience. However, there is scarce evidence that interoceptive sensitivity also modulates the use of emotion regulation strategies. The present study investigated whether individual differences in interoceptive sensitivity are associated with the habitual use of two main emotion regulation strategies: reappraisal (antecedent-focused) and suppression (response-focused). All participants (N. = 402) completed the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire and underwent the heartbeat perception task. Individuals with higher interoceptive sensitivity showed both greater habitual reappraisal and suppression use compared to those with lower interoceptive sensitivity. These findings suggest that better detection of one's bodily signals facilitates the selection and implementation of antecedent-focused as well as response-focused emotion regulation strategies. © 2015 Elsevier Ltd.
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1. Introduction

In their daily lives, humans do not only have access to external environmental cues, but they also perceive signals from the inner body that provide a sense and feedback of their physiological condition (Herbert & Pollatos, 2012). This accurate self-perception of visceral activity and changes arising from the body classically refers to the concept of "interoceptive sensitivity" (IS) (Craig, 2003).

When considering the current literature, it appears that IS presents an important component of emotional processing and experience. Indeed, early theories of emotion, such as those proposed by James (1884) and Damasio (1994), postulate that bodily reactions play a crucial role in subjective emotional experience. Concretely, they argue that emotional stimuli automatically trigger changes in bodily physiology and that the perception of the latter forms the basis of emotional feelings.

With regard to IS, more recent studies have shown that IS correlates positively with the ability to understand and describe one's feelings. Besides, when viewing affective pictures, higher IS is associated with stronger links between actual bodily arousal (heart rate) and subjective arousal ratings (Dunn et al., 2010; Pollatos, Herbert, Matthias, & Schandry, 2007).

Of particular interest, research indicates that IS does not only influence emotional experience, but also affects the regulation of emotional responses. For example, IS is positively associated with frustration tolerance, affect differentiation and affect tolerance (Weiss, Sack, Henningsen, & Pollatos, 2014). Besides, IS has been shown to facilitate the successful down-regulation of negative emotion via reappraisal strategies (Füstös, Gramann, Herbert, & Pollatos, 2013).

Taken together, these preliminary findings suggest that IS may play a crucial role in emotion regulation processes. However, the above-mentioned studies have exclusively focused on the relation between IS and reappraisal abilities (Füstös et al., 2013), without examining the habitual use of other, more specific emotion regulation strategies. Therefore, the present study investigated whether IS modulates the habitual use of two main emotion regulation strategies: reappraisal (antecedent-focused) and suppression (response-focused).

Emotion regulation typically refers to the processes by which individuals modulate their emotional experiences, expressions, and the situations giving rise to the emotion (Gross, 2002). As emotions unfold over time, emotion regulation strategies are often differentiated along the timeline of the emotion-generative process (Gross, 2002). Consequently, two types of regulation strategies can be defined on the basis of whether they are used before response tendencies become active (i.e., antecedent-focused) or once the emotional response has been triggered (i.e., response-focused) (Gross, 1998).

With regard to antecedent-focused strategies, most research has concentrated on reappraisal, which involves changing the way of...
2.2.1. Emotion regulation strategies

Emotion regulation is broadly considered as a beneficial and adaptive regulation strategy, leading to healthier patterns of affect, greater well-being and enhanced interpersonal functioning (John & Gross, 2004). By contrast, the response-focused strategy of suppression refers to the inhibition of the outward signs of an ongoing emotion via a deliberate reduction of emotion expression. The latter appears to be often maladaptive, causing diminished control of emotion as well as decreased well-being and more negative social consequences (John & Gross, 2004).

In view of the above-mentioned information, the current study aimed to fill the gap in the literature about IS and emotion regulation by examining whether IS is associated with the habitual implementation of reappraisal and suppression strategies. Specifically, given that high IS facilitates emotion regulation via reappraisal (Füstös et al., 2013) and that IS is positively associated with the beneficial effects of reappraisal (e.g., well-being; Herbert, Blechert, Hautzinger, Matthias, & Herbert, 2013), we hypothesized a positive relation between IS and the habitual use of reappraisal. Furthermore, because high IS is negatively associated with the deleterious consequences of expressive suppression (e.g., altered physical and mental health; Aldao, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Schweizer, 2010), we hypothesized that IS will be negatively associated with the habitual use of suppression.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Four hundred and two participants (158 men) with a mean age of 23.27 (SD = 4.58) took part in the study. Participants were screened for health status using an anamnestic questionnaire. They were only included if they did not have a history of any Axis 1 disorder. All participants gave their written informed consent. They received an amount of 5€ in return for their participation. Experiments were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and with the approval of the local ethics committee.

2.2. Material

2.2.1. Emotion regulation strategies

A German translation (Abler & Kessler, 2009) of the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross & John, 2003) was used to assess the habitual use of reappraisal and suppression. The ERQ consists of 6 items measuring reappraisal (e.g., I control my emotions by changing the way of thinking about the situation I’m in) and 4 items measuring suppression (e.g., I control my emotions by not expressing them). Participants are required to indicate whether they agree with each statement on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The questionnaire demonstrates good scale score reliability for the reappraisal (Cronbach’s alpha = .76) and reappraisal factors (Cronbach’s alpha = .74) (Abler & Kessler, 2009).

2.2.2. Heartbeat perception task

Participants sat in a sound-attenuated chamber and IS was assessed using four heartbeat counting phases (varying in length) in accordance with the Mental Tracking Method (Schandry, 1981). Therefore, ECG was prepared by attaching nonpolarizable Ag–AgCl electrodes to the right mid-clavicle and lower left rib cage. Participants were requested to count their own heartbeats silently between onset and offset of an acoustic signal. During heartbeat counting (four phases in random order: 15 s; 25 s; 35 s and 45 s), participants were not permitted to take their pulse or to use any other forms of manipulation that might facilitate the task. At the end of the counting phase, participants were asked to verbally report the number of counted heartbeats. IS was estimated as the mean heartbeat perception score according to the following transformation: $1/4 \Sigma (1 - (|\text{recorded heartbeats} - \text{counted heartbeats}|) / \text{recorded heartbeats})$. The computed score can vary between 0 and 1, with higher scores indicating more accurate heartbeat perception and thus higher IS.

2.3. Procedure

The present research is part of a larger study about interoception and psychological functioning. All participants first realized the heartbeat perception task and then filled in the ERQ.

3. Results

Two participants presenting IS or ERQ scores of more than 3 standard deviations above the mean were discarded as outliers. Before performing statistical analysis, skewness and kurtosis of the data were examined. All parameters were between -1 and 1, indicating that the data are univariately normally distributed. The mean heartbeat perception score was .69 (SD = .18, range = .16–1.00), and the means (SD) of reappraisal and suppression scores were 4.42 (1.05) and 3.54 (1.24), respectively.

Results reveal significant correlations between IS and reappraisal scores ($r = .17; p = .001$) as well as between IS and suppression scores ($r = .17; p = .001$) (Fig. 1). With regard to gender, females used more suppression than males (females: $M = 3.65; SD = 1.27$; males: $M = 3.37; SD = 1.18$; $F(1, 399) = 4.75; p = .03$). No group differences were found neither for IS nor for the use of reappraisal strategies ($p > .45$).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we examined whether individual differences in IS were related to the habitual use of two different emotion regulation strategies: reappraisal and suppression. In accordance with our hypothesis, IS was positively correlated with reappraisal use, suggesting that...
better perception of one’s bodily signals is associated with a more frequent use of antecedent-focused and more adaptive emotion regulation strategies. Furthermore, we observed that higher levels of IS are related to greater use of suppression strategies.

Globally, this pattern of results supports previous findings emphasizing a link between IS and emotion regulation capacities (Fürstös et al., 2013) and extends current knowledge by showing that differences in bodily perception affect the use of various types of emotion regulation strategies (see also Pollatos, Matthias, & Keller, 2015). In respect to reappraisal, the observed positive relation between IS and reappraisal implementation is in accordance with data from Fürstös et al. (2013), indicating that IS facilitates emotion down-regulation via reappraisal. More precisely, these data showed that applying reappraisal while looking at aversive pictures was accompanied by a significant reduction in P300 and slow wave amplitudes that correlated with IS scores, suggesting that increased awareness of ongoing bodily changes is related to more successful emotion regulation in response to negative affect. Similarly, Van’t Wout, Faught, and Menino (2013) observed that participants with higher IS reported less emotional involvement during an unfair decision making game when applying a reappraisal strategy. Therefore, the present results support the positive association between IS and effective reappraisal implementation and, more importantly, extend previous findings by indicating that individuals with higher IS report using significantly more reappraisal than those with lower IS.

Regarding the effect of IS on suppression use, results suggest that high IS facilitates the inhibition of ongoing emotion via a deliberate reduction of emotion expression. Although this result contradicts our initial hypothesis, several potential explanations and interpretations can be considered. First, one may argue that IS facilitates the use of any regulation strategy because perceiving bodily changes might ease their modulation, regardless what strategy one uses. Second, although research findings mainly suggest that suppression has negative cognitive, social and affective consequences and therefore appears to be less adaptive than reappraisal (Augustine & Hemenover, 2009), evidence has nuanced these assumptions. Recent data indeed suggest that suppression is not in any case maladaptive, but, on the contrary, can be an adequate strategy, depending on context, emotion type and the purpose of regulation. Kalokerinos, Greenaway, and Denson (2014) observed for in- 
idividuals with higher IS facilitation of ongoing emotion via a deliberate reduction of emotion expression. Although this result contradicts our initial hypothesis, several potential explanations and interpretations can be considered. First, one may argue that IS facilitates the use of any regulation strategy because perceiving bodily changes might ease their modulation, regardless what strategy one uses. Second, although research findings mainly suggest that suppression has negative cognitive, social and affective consequences and therefore appears to be less adaptive than reappraisal (Augustine & Hemenover, 2009), evidence has nuanced these assumptions. Recent data indeed suggest that suppression is not in any case maladaptive, but, on the contrary, can be an adequate strategy, depending on context, emotion type and the purpose of regulation. Kalokerinos, Greenaway, and Denson (2014) observed for instance that reappraisal successfully down-regulates experienced positive emotion, whereas suppression reduces the expression but not the experience of positive emotion. Hence, suppression might be an appropriate strategy when trying to inhibit emotion display (e.g., in socially inappropriate contexts) without having to renounce the benefits of experiencing positive emotion. In line with this, Meyer, Smeets, Giesbrecht, and Merckelbach (2012) showed that suppression does not have any detrimental consequences when used to regulate ones’ affects in everyday experiences. Furthermore, the current literature emphasizes that it is less the type of strategy, but rather the regulatory flexibility that is important (Bonanno & Burton, 2013) such that different emotion regulation strategies should be used in a flexible manner according to the characteristics of the situation (Aldao, 2013). These empirical findings and theoretical approaches shed new light on the present results and reinforce the idea that better IS may facilitate the use of a rich palette of emotion regulation strategies. It could indeed be assumed that a more accurate detection of ongoing bodily changes increases the overall ability to use a wide range of emotion regulation strategies. Third, the interaction between high arousal responses (e.g., Grynpberg & Pollatos, 2015; Pollatos et al., 2007) and a more accurate detection of bodily changes in response to an emotional event, may lead to higher necessity to regulate emotional responses and to higher abilities to implement an adequate emotion regulation strategy, independently of whether the emotion has already been fully triggered or not. In other terms, higher IS may promote the selection and use of a regulation strategy that is most appropriate in response to a given emotional challenge and that allows for an optimal adaptation.

Finally, the previous research supports the idea that IS plays a central role in behavioral adaptation through self-regulation processes (Herbert, Muth, Pollatos, & Herbert, 2012; Herbert, Ulbrich, & Schandry, 2007). Self-regulation refers to people’s general capacity to override and alter their responses, namely through self-monitoring and judgement of one’s behavior according to personal standards or environmental context (Maes & Karoly, 2005). For instance, it has been demonstrated that relative to participants with low IS, those with high IS exerted less physical effort during a free cycling task, and adjusted the degree of physical and cardiovascular load according to their better perception of bodily symptoms and fatigue (Herbert et al., 2007, 2012). Taken together, these findings thus suggest that IS influences general self-regulation abilities by improving emotional and non-emotional strategies.

5. Limitations and perspectives

One limitation of the current study might be that IS has been exclusively quantified via the mental tracking task, a widespread and efficient method, but that is not without critics (e.g., potential influence of beliefs about one’s resting heart rate; Ring, Brener, Knapp, & Mailloux, 2015). Furthermore, although IS has been primarily linked to adaptive behaviour, research also revealed increased IS in clinical populations that are usually characterized by ineffective emotion regulation (i.e., panic disorder, social anxiety; Domschke et al., 2010; Stevens et al., 2011). Finally, even if the ERQ self-report is a valid and reliable measure of participant’s tendency to use reappraisal and suppression strategies, it does not allow inferring the effectiveness of these strategies in participants’ real life. In consequence, further studies should rely on various IS measures and evaluate emotion regulation in a more ecological manner, for instance in response to concrete emotion eliciting events.

To conclude, the present study provides evidence indicating that higher IS is associated with both greater habitual reappraisal and suppression use. In other terms, better detection of ongoing bodily changes may facilitate the implementation of antecedent- as well as response-focused emotion regulation strategies.
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