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#### Abstract

The paper presents a modified ant colony optimization approach for the network coding resource minimization problem. It is featured with several attractive mechanisms specially devised for solving the network coding resource minimization problem: 1) a multi-dimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism is put forward to address the issue of pheromone overlapping; 2) problem-specific heuristic information is employed to enhance the heuristic search (neighboring area search) capability; 3) a tabu-table based path construction method is devised to facilitate the construction of feasible (link-disjoint) paths from the source to each receiver; 4) a local pheromone updating rule is developed to guide ants to construct appropriate promising paths; 5) a solution reconstruction method is presented, with the aim of avoiding prematurity and improving the global search efficiency of proposed algorithm. Due to the way it works, the ant colony optimization can well exploit the global and local information of routing related problems during the solution construction phase. The simulation results on benchmark instances demonstrate that with the five extended mechanisms integrated, our algorithm outperforms a number of existing algorithms with respect to the best solutions obtained and the computational time.


Index Terms-Ant Colony Optimization, Network Coding, Combinatorial Optimization.

## I. INTRODUCTION

TRADITIONAL routing works in such a way that data information being transmitted is stored and forwarded at intermediate nodes in communications networks. At the network layer, data streams are processed separately as fluids share pipes or vehicles share highways [1]. Unfortunately, traditional routing cannot guarantee to achieve the maximum multicast throughput, determined by the Max-Flow Min-Cut theorem [2]. Hence, in 2000, Ahlswede et al. proposed network coding [3], an emerging communication paradigm that always enables the theoretical maximum data rate. Network coding has revolutionized the way of information processing and transmission in communications network. It is a great breakthrough in the field of information theory, computer science and telecommunications.

The network coding resource minimization (NCRM) problem is a resource optimization problem emerged in the field of

[^0]network coding. In the original studies, in order to achieve the theoretical maximum throughput of multicast, it was assumed that coding operations have to be performed at all codingpossible nodes [4]-[7]. This means all nodes which have the potential to perform coding would perform coding by default. However, as pointed out in [8]-[10], only a subset of coding-possible nodes suffices to realize network codingbased multicast (NCM) with an expected data rate. As network coding involves complicated mathematical operations (e.g., finite field computation), performing coding (and decoding) operations will consume significant computational and buffering resources in the corresponding nodes [11]. The less the coding operations, the less computational and buffering costs. When considering practical deployment, it is no doubt that carriers expect to make full of the benefits the NCM brings while paying minimal computational and buffering costs incurred. Therefore, it is worthwhile to study the problem of minimizing coding operations within NCM. Nowadays, Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) are the mainstream solutions for NCRM in the field of computational intelligence (see Subsection III-B for details). However, the existing EAs for the NCRM problem are not good at integrating local information of the search space or domain-knowledge of the problem, which could seriously deteriorate their optimization performance.

Different from EAs, ant colony optimization algorithms (ACOs) is a class of reactive search optimization (RSO) methods adopting the principle of "learning while optimizing" [12], [13]. They are constructive algorithms and simulate the behavior of the ant colony foraging for food and finding the most efficient routes from their nest to food sources. Since its introduction in 1992, a number of variant ACOs have been proposed, e.g., ant colony system (ACS) [14], MAX-MIN ant system (MMAS) [15], and Best-Worst ant system (BWAS) [16]. Meanwhile, ACOs have been intensively investigated and successfully applied to a vast number of optimization problems, e.g., vehicle routing problems [17], assignment problems [18], and scheduling problems [19]. ACOs are capable of exploiting the local and global information of the underlining problems during the solution construction phase. This characteristic is especially suitable for addressing pathfinding related optimization problems, e.g., TSP and routing problems [14], [20]-[23]. Meanwhile, the objective of the NCRM problem is to find a sub-network consisting of a set of link-disjoint paths. Therefore, ACOs may be a good candidate for solving the NCRM problem. However, to the best of our knowledge, there has not been any research conducted about applying ACO for NCRM problem.

In this paper, a modified ACO is developed for tackling the NCRM problem. Based on the framework of the basic ACO, the proposed algorithm is devised with several attractive features specially for enhancing the optimization performance. These include a multi-dimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism, the use of problem-specific heuristic information, a tabu-table based path construction method, a pheromone local updating rule, and a solution reconstruction method.

- Multi-dimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism. In the basic ACO, a single pheromone table is maintained. However, this always leads to a seriously deteriorated performance when solving the NCRM problem. Hence, we develop the above pheromone maintenance mechanism to effectively solve the pheromone overlapping problem.
- Problem-specific heuristic information. Due to the nature of the NCRM problem, there is no clear local heuristic information immediately available for ACO to solve the NCRM problem. Hence, we devise a heuristic information scheme to provide necessary guidance to an efficient search.
- A tabu-table based path construction method. In the NCRM problem, a set of paths is expected to be built from the source to each receiver, which is extremely difficult. To deal with this issue, we propose a tabu-table based path construction method to handle this constraint and support better collaborative performance of ants.
- A pheromone local updating rule. As constructing linkdisjoint paths are quite difficult, the above path construction method may not be able to produce feasible solutions in some complicated circumstances. Hence, a pheromone local updating rule is introduced as a complement to the path construction method above. Inappropriate path selection is punished while promising path choices are rewarded to increase the probability of generating linkdisjoint paths.
- A solution reconstruction method. In order to avoid the search being stuck in local optima and diversify the solutions, we propose a solution reconstruction method to enhance local exploitation and alleviate the premature convergence.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the basic ACO algorithm framework and the graph decomposed method for the NCRM problem. Section III describes the problem formulation and related works. Details of the proposed algorithm is introduced in Section IV. Simulation results are analyzed in Section V. Conclusions are presented in Section VI.


## II. BASIC CONCEPTS

In this section, we briefly review the framework of the basic ACO and the graph decomposition method for the NCRM problem.

## A. $A C O$

ACO was originally created to address the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP). Hence, this subsection describes the procedure of the basic ACO for TSP as an example [14], [20].

Given a number of cities, the objective of TSP is to find a minimal travel distance while traversing each city once. Assume there are $n$ cities fully connected by edge set $E$. The search procedure is shown below.

1) Initialization. Randomly select $m$ cities and place each city with an ant. Set initial pheromone value on each edge to a very small positive variable $\tau_{0}$.
2) Path construction. Ant $k(k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ (in city $i)$ decides the next city $j$ to visit, according to the transition probability given in formula (1).

$$
p(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{[\tau(i, j)]^{\alpha}[\eta(i, j)]^{\beta}}{\sum_{u \in \Psi_{i}}[\tau(i, u)]^{\alpha}[\eta(i, u)]^{\beta}}, j \in \Psi_{i}  \tag{1}\\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\tau(i, j)$ represent the pheromone on edge $(i, j)$ and $\eta(i, j)=1 / d_{i j}$ be the heuristic information on edge $(i, j)$ reflecting local information, where $d_{i j}$ is the distance from city $i$ to $j$. Let $\Psi_{i}$ denote an edge set that records all edges an ant could visit. Let $\alpha, \beta$ denote weight factors, which measure the relative importance between the pheromone and the heuristic information.
3) Implement local search to optimize the solution found by ant $k$ (optional) [21]. If all ants have completed Step 2, go to Step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 2.
4) Update the pheromone level by formula (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(i, j)=(1-\rho) \tau(i, j)+\rho \Delta \tau(i, j) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\rho \in(0,1)$ represents the evaporation coefficient. The term $\Delta \tau(i, j)$ is associated with the performance of each ant.
5) If the termination condition is met, stop the procedure and output the best solution obtained.

## B. The graph decomposition method

A communication network can be modeled as a directed graph $G(V, E)$ where $V$ and $E$ denote the set of nodes and links, respectively. Assume each link $e \in E$ is with a unit capacity. We refer to each non-receiver node with multiple incoming links as a merging node which can perform coding operation if necessary. However, it is difficult to determine whether coding is needed at a merging node and how coding is performed when needed. In order to clearly show all possibilities when an information flow joins a merging node, the graph decomposition method was proposed to decompose a merging node into a set of auxiliary nodes connected with auxiliary links [9], [10]. The following describes the graph decomposition procedure.

Each merging node $m$ is decomposed into two auxiliary node sets, i.e., the incoming auxiliary node set $\operatorname{In}(m)$ and the outgoing auxiliary node set $\operatorname{Out}(m)$. Let $I_{m}$ and $O_{m}$ be the incoming and outgoing link sets of merging node $m$, respectively. Then, $\operatorname{In}(m)$ has $\left|I_{m}\right|$ incoming auxiliary nodes while $O u t(m)$ owns $\left|O_{m}\right|$ outgoing auxiliary nodes. In $\operatorname{In}(m)$, each node corresponds to a unique link in $I_{m}$. Likewise, each node in $O u t(m)$ corresponds to a unique link in $O_{m}$. During the graph decomposition, each link in $I_{m}$ is redirected to the corresponding incoming auxiliary node and each link in $O_{m}$

```
for \(t=1\) to \(|V|\) do
    if \(v^{t}\) is a merging node then
        for \(i=1\) to \(n_{i n}\) do
                Create a new incoming auxiliary node, denoted
                by \(v_{i n}^{t}(i)\), then add to \(G\);
                Redirect link \(e_{i n}(i)\) to \(v_{i n}^{t}(i)\);
            end for
            for \(j=1\) to \(n_{\text {out }}\) do
                Create a new outgoing auxiliary node, denoted
                by \(v_{\text {out }}^{t}(j)\), then add to \(G\);
                Redirect link \(e_{\text {out }}(j)\) to \(v_{\text {out }}^{t}(j)\);
            end for
            for \(i=1\) to \(n_{i n}\) do
                for \(j=1\) to \(n_{\text {out }}\) do
                    Create a new auxiliary link from \(v_{i n}^{t}(i)\) to
                    \(v_{\text {out }}^{t}(j)\) and then add to \(G\);
                end for
            end for
            Remove \(v^{t}\) from \(G\);
        end if
end for
```

Fig. 1. Pseudo code of the graph decomposition method
is redirected to the corresponding outgoing auxiliary node. In addition, auxiliary links are inserted between incoming and outgoing auxiliary nodes so that any incoming auxiliary node is connected to all outgoing auxiliary nodes. Let $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ be the decomposed graph of $G(V, E)$. Fig. 1 shows the pseudo code of the graph decomposition method, where $v^{t} \in V$, $|V|$ is the number of nodes in $V$, links $e_{\text {in }}(i)$ and $e_{\text {out }}(j)$ denote the $i$-th incoming link and the $j$-th outgoing link of $v^{t}$, respectively, and $n_{\text {in }}$ and $n_{\text {out }}$ are the numbers of incoming and outgoing links of $v^{t}$, respectively.

Fig. 2 illustrates an example of the graph decomposition method. The original graph with a source (i.e., node 1) and two receivers (i.e., node 8 and node 9) are shown in Fig. 2(a), where node 4 and node 7 are merging nodes. Fig. 2(b) shows the decomposed graph, where eight auxiliary links are inserted. Node 4 is decomposed into two incoming auxiliary nodes, node $4 \_i \_1$ and node $4 \_i \_2$, and two outgoing auxiliary nodes, node 4_o_1 and node 4_o_2. Likewise, node 7 is decomposed into four auxiliary nodes, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The decomposed graph unveils all possibilities that information flows may pass through node 4 and node 7.

Note that each outgoing auxiliary node in $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ has a single outgoing link. Therefore, if more than one information flow joins an outgoing auxiliary node, it means the coding operation is required at that auxiliary node. In addition, the graph decomposition method only decomposes merging nodes which does not affect the source, receivers and data rate of the graph.

## III. Problem formulation and related works

## A. Problem formulation

As aforementioned, a communication network is represented by a directed graph $G(V, E)$. After the graph decomposi-


Fig. 2. An example of the graph decomposition method
tion, $G(V, E)$ is transformed to graph $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$. A singlesource network coding based multicast scenario can be defined as a 4-tuple set $\left(G_{D}, s, T, R\right)$, where the information needs to be transmitted at data rate $R$ from the source node $s \in V^{\prime}$ to a set of $d$ receivers $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\}$. We assume each link has a unit capacity, so a path from $s$ to $t_{k}$ has a unit capacity. If $R$ link-disjoint paths $\left\{p_{1}\left(s, t_{k}\right), \ldots, p_{R}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\right\}$ from $s$ to each receiver $t_{k} \in T$ are set up, the data rate $R$ is said to be achievable. The $R$ link-disjoint path set $\left\{p_{1}\left(s, t_{k}\right), \ldots, p_{R}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\right\}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right)$, where $t_{k} \in T$. If we successfully obtained $\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{d}\right)$, then we obtain a feasible solution Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$. According to the solution Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$, a NCM subgraph can be built to support the multicast with network coding, which is denoted by $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$.

The following lists some notations used in the paper:

- $s$ : the source node in $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$;
- $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\}$ : set of receivers, where $d=|T|$ is the number of receivers;
- $R$ : data rate (an integer) at which $s$ expects to transmit to $T$;
- $p_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ : the $i$-th path from $s$ to $t_{k}$, where $t_{k} \in T$ and $i=1, \ldots, R$;
- $W_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ : the set of links of $p_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$, i.e., $W_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)=$ $\left\{e \mid e \in p_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\right\}$;
- Paths $\left(s, t_{k}\right)=\left\{p_{1}\left(s, t_{k}\right), \ldots, p_{R}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\right\}$ : a path set from $s$ to $t_{k}$, where $t_{k} \in T$ and any two paths in $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ are link-disjoint;
- Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)=\left\{\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{d}\right)\right\}$ : a complete NCM solution;
- $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.$ Solution $\left.\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$ : a NCM subgraph that is built by Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$;
- $O A\left(G_{D}\right)$ : the set of outgoing auxiliary nodes in $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\sigma_{o}$ : a binary variable associated with each node $o \in$ $O A\left(G_{D}\right) . \sigma_{o}=1$ if at least two incoming links of node $o$ are occupied by $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)\right) ; \sigma_{o}=0$, otherwise;
- $\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.$ Solution $\left.\left.\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)$ : the number of coding nodes in $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.$ Solution $\left.\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$.
The NCRM problem is defined as to find a solution to build a NCM subgraph $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$ with the minimum amount of coding operations performed and the data rate $R$
satisfied, as shown below:
Minimize:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\text { Solution }\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)=\sum_{\forall o \in O A\left(G_{D}\right)} \sigma_{o} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R\left(s, t_{k}\right)=R, \forall t_{k} \in T  \tag{4}\\
W_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right) \cap W_{j}\left(s, t_{k}\right)=\emptyset  \tag{5}\\
\forall t_{k} \in T, \forall i, j \in\{1, \ldots, R\}, i \neq j
\end{gather*}
$$

Objective (3) defines the optimization problem as to minimize the number of coding operations. Constraint (4) defines that the achievable rate between $s$ and each receiver is exactly data rate $R$ in solution $\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)$, indicating there are $R$ paths between the source and each receiver. Constraint (5) indicates that for arbitrary two paths from $s$ to $t_{k}, p_{i}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ and $p_{j}\left(s, t_{k}\right)(i \neq j)$, no common link exists so that each receiver can receive information at data rate $R$.

An illustrative example is given in Fig. 3. Fig. 3(a) illustrates the decomposed graph for the original multicast scenario in Fig. 2. With data rate $R=2$ and two receivers, i.e., node 8 and node 9 , we use an ant colony of two ant groups $\left(A n t G_{1}\right.$ and $\operatorname{Ant} G_{2}$ ) to address the NCRM problem, where each group consists of two ants. Ant $G_{1}$ is responsible for finding a path set of two link-disjoint paths from node 1 to node 8. Ant $G_{2}$ is for constructing a link-disjoint path set from node 1 to node 9. Specifically, as shown in Fig. 3(b)-(c), the two ants in Ant $G_{1}$ find $p_{1}(1,8)=1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 8$ and $p_{2}(1,8)=1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow$ $4 \_i \_2 \rightarrow 4 \_o \_1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \_i \_1 \rightarrow 7$ _o_1 $\rightarrow 8$, respectively. Thus $W_{1}(1,8)=\{1 \rightarrow 2,2 \rightarrow 8\}$ and $W_{2}(1,8)=\{1 \rightarrow$ $3,3 \rightarrow 4 \_i \_2,4 \_i \_2 \rightarrow 4 \_o \_1,4 \_o \_1 \rightarrow 7 \_i \_1,7 \_i \_1 \rightarrow$ $\left.7 \_o \_1,7 \_o \_1 \rightarrow 8\right\}$. Due to $W_{1}(1,8) \cap W_{2}(1,8)=\emptyset$, the two paths $p_{1}(1,8)$ and $p_{2}(1,8)$ are link-disjoint. Likewise, then the other ants in $A n t G_{2}$ find two link-disjoint paths $p_{1}(1,9)=$ $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \_i \_1 \rightarrow 4 \_o \_1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \_i \_1 \rightarrow 7 \_o \_2 \rightarrow 9$ and $p_{2}(1,9)=1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 9$, respectively. Eventually, a complete solution Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)=\{\operatorname{Paths}(1,8)$, Paths $(1,9)\}$ can be constructed, where $\operatorname{Path} s(1,8)=\left\{p_{1}(1,8), p_{2}(1,8)\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Path}(1,9)=\left\{p_{1}(1,9), p_{2}(1,9)\right\}$, then the associated NCM subgraph is built as shown in Fig. 3(d). It is noted that node $4 \_o \_1$ is the only coding node in $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$, which means the number of the coding nodes $\varphi$ equals to 1.

## B. Related works

Due to the importance and the benefit network coding brings, the NCRM problem has received much attention recently. Fragouli et al. [24] and Langberg et al. [11] proposed two greedy-based approaches for solving the problem. However, greedy algorithms do not perform well in escaping local optimum, leading to a deteriorated optimization performance when the link traversing order is not appropriate. Later on, Kim et al. [8]-[10] proved that the NCRM problem is NPhard and carried out a series of research on how to efficiently apply genetic algorithms (GAs) to tackle the problem. Simulation results demonstrate that GAs outperform the greedy
algorithms in a statistical manner. Since then, EA-based search algorithms have become the mainstream techniques for solving the NCRM problem in the field of computational intelligence.

We classify the existing EAs into four categories by the individual encoding approaches adopted. EAs of the first category are based on the binary link state (BLS) encoding. As mentioned in Subsection II-B, for a merging node $m$, there are $\left|I_{m}\right| \times\left|O_{m}\right|$ auxiliary links inserted between the corresponding incoming and outgoing auxiliary nodes. In BLS encoding, an individual consists of a number of binary variables, with each corresponding to the state of an auxiliary link (active or inactive). Hence, an explicit NCM subgraph can be built by a feasible individual. The BLS-based EAs include GAs [9], [10], [25], quantum-inspired EAs [26], [27], population based incremental learning [28], [29] and compact GA [30]. One of the disadvantages of BLS is that infeasible solutions account for the majority of the search space, which to a certain extent deteriorates the search ability and efficiency of EAs [31], [32].

EAs of the second category are based on the block transmission state (BTS) encoding. BTS is similar to BLS. In BTS, an individual is divided into a number of blocks, each of which corresponds to an outgoing auxiliary node. If there are at least two 1's in a block, the whole block is set to all-one block. In this way, the size of the search space is greatly decreased. Nevertheless, using BTS may lose useful information for guiding the search towards the global optima. GA [10] is based on BTS encoding. In addition, Ahn et al. incorporated the selfadaptive fitness assignment rule and entropy-based relaxation technique into EAs with BTS to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the algorithms [33], [34].

As mentioned above, BLS and BTS encodings both record the explicit link states (active or inactive). But, the third category of the EAs utilizes the relative information of the flows [35]. To be specific, each link is associated with a coefficient which represents how the information is combined according to the combination of flows from the upstream links. Hu et al. invented this encoding approach and adapt several GAs, e.g., the ripple-spreading GA (RSGA) [36] and the spatial receding horizon control GA (SRHCGA) [37], for the problem in large-scale or complex networks. Meanwhile, a chemical reaction optimization (CRO) algorithm was studied for addressing the problem, with the operating principle inspired from chemical reactions [38]. Different from optimizing routing only, their research also work out the associated information encoding/decoding scheme, which is an important and realistic issue when considering the practical deployment of NC.

The fourth stream of EAs is the path-oriented encoding method. Each individual is comprised by a union of paths from the source to one of the receivers. Compared with BLS and BTS, the path-oriented encoding results into a search space where all solutions are feasible. As there is no infeasible solution, the search space is well connected and the problem difficulty is reduced. Xing and Qu proposed a path-oriented encoding EA in [32].

In addition to the NCRM problem above, more and more research efforts have been made to the multi-objective network coding based multicast routing problem (MNCMRP),


(c) Paths $(1,9)=\left\{p_{1}(1,9), p_{2}(1,9)\right\} \quad$ (d) Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)=\{\operatorname{Path} s(1,8), \operatorname{Path}(1,9)\}$

Fig. 3. An illustrative example of the problem formulation
where coding cost, link cost, and quality-of-service indicators are often considered as multiple objectives for simultaneous optimization. Coding cost and link cost are often considered as two conflicting objectives in the context of MNCMRP. A number of multi-objective evolutionary algorithms have been proposed to gain the trade-off between the two costs [39][41]. Xing et al. formulated a novel MNCMRP, where the total cost and maximum end-to-end delay are two objectives [31]. The fast nondominated sorting genetic algorithm II (NSGA-II) was adapted for the problem. Moreover, Karunarathnea et al. investigated a MNCMRP with three objectives, including the number of coding nodes, the mean number of coding node input links and the sharing of resources by receivers [42].

## IV. NCRM-ACO

In this section, we first describe the overall procedure of the ACO algorithm for the NCRM problem (NCRM-ACO), followed by details of the key mechanisms and significance of parameters in subsections.

## A. Overall procedure of NCRM-ACO

Fig. 4 is the overall procedure of NCRM-ACO and Fig. 5 shows the pseudo code of function PathSetConstruction. Fig. 6 shows the overall flow chart of the algorithm. In the proposed NCRM-ACO, first of all, with the original network $G(V, E)$, the graph decomposition phase is executed so as to obtain a decomposed graph $G_{D}\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$, based on which ACO is implemented to build feasible solutions. The proposed algorithm maintains a single ant colony at each generation. Within the colony, there are $d$ ant groups $A n t G_{k}, k=1, \ldots$, $d$, each of which contains $R$ ants ( $R$ is the expected data rate). Each ant group corresponding to one of $d$ receiver, i.e., the $k$-th ant group is in charge of finding a feasible path set $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ for receiver $t_{k} \in T$, where $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ is composed of $R$ link-disjoint paths from the source to $t_{k}$. Each ant in $A n t G_{k}$ finds a single path from the source to $t_{k}$ so that the above mentioned $R$ link-disjoint paths are constructed for receiver $t_{k}$. In the algorithm, $d$ path sets are built one after another. If path set Paths $\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ is constructed successfully (see Subsection IV-D), it is used to update the pheromone and heuristic information of the ant colony to guide the path construction process (see Subsection IV-E).

With all path sets found, a complete solution $\operatorname{Solution}_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)$ consisting of all paths in these path sets is formed, where $z$ is the generation number. Then, a NCM subgraph could be built by the solution and the number of coding nodes $\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)$ is easily calculated. After that, a solution reconstruction method is devised to improve the quality of Solution $_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)$ by exploring its neighboring area in the solution space, aiming to find an improved solution Solution ${ }_{z}^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)$ (see Subsection IV-F). Finally, the global (historical) best solution Solution $_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)$ obtained is used to update the pheromone so as to guide the search towards the optimal solution to the problem (see Subsection IV-G). The above process is repeated generation by generation, until the termination condition is met.

The pheromone and heuristic coefficients are two important coefficients, necessarily supporting effective search. In Subsections IV-B and IV-C, two problem-specific pheromone and heuristic maintenance mechanisms are described in detail. The remaining steps of NCRM-ACO are introduced from Subsections IV-D to IV-G.

## B. The pheromone maintenance mechanism

In this paper, pheromone is used to provide essential guidance for the ant colony to gradually search towards the optimal solution for the NCRM problem. As mentioned in Subsection III-A, the less coding operations are required the better. Hence, pheromone is designed to be associated with the number of coding nodes a solution owns. This idea is similar to the pheromone scheme in TSP and 0-1 knapsack problems [14], [43], where pheromone is associated with the total distance and the total number of bins, respectively.

However, there is a significant difference between the pheromone schemes for TSP and 0-1 knapsack problems than that for the NCRM problem. That is, for the former, a single pheromone table is able to provide effective guidance during the search while for the latter such a scheme does not apply, as explained below. Compared with TSP and 0-1 knapsack problems, NCRM problem is much more complicated. In TSP, each link is selected once in an arbitrary solution. Nevertheless, in the NCRM problem, each link could be idle, occupied once or multiple times (e.g., a link may belong to multiple path sets simultaneously). If a single pheromone

```
Input: A graph \(G\), data rate \(R\)
    Decompose graph \(G\) to \(G_{D} ; \quad \triangleright\) (Subsection II-B )
    Initialize pheromone values; \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-B)
    Initialize Solution \(_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)=\emptyset\);
    while Termination conditions NOT met do
        Initialize Solution \(_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)=\emptyset\);
        Initialize heuristic information table; \(\quad \triangleright\) (Subsection IV-C)
        for \(k=1\) to \(d\) do
            Initialize \(\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right)=\emptyset\);
            Set Paths \(\left(s, t_{k}\right)=\operatorname{PathSetConstruction~}\left(s, t_{k}, R\right)\); \(\quad \triangleright\) (Subsection IV-D)
            while size of \(\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)<R\) do
                Invoke the pheromone local updating rule (punishment) to \(\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\); \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-E)
                Set \(\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right)=\) PathSetConstruction \(\left(s, t_{k}, R\right)\); \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-D)
            end while
            Invoke the pheromone local updating rule (reward) to \(\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)\);
                            \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-E)
            Add Paths \(\left(s, t_{k}\right)\) into Solution \(_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)\);
            Update the heuristic information according to \(\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right) ; \quad \triangleright\) (Subsection IV-C)
        end for
        Apply solution reconstruction method to \(\operatorname{Solution}_{z}\left(G_{D}\right)\) and get \(\operatorname{Solution}_{z}^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)\); \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-F)
        if \(\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.\) Solution \(\left.\left._{z}^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)<\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.\) Solution \(\left.\left._{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)\) then
            Invoke pheromone global updating rule by \(\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.\) Solution \(\left.\left._{z}^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)\); \(\quad\) (Subsection IV-G)
            Set Solution \(_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)=\operatorname{Solution}_{z}^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)\);
        end if
    end while
Output: The global best solution Solution \(_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)\) and \(\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.\) Solution \(\left.\left._{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)\)
```

Fig. 4. The overall procedure of NCRM-ACO

```
function PathSetConstruction(source, receiver, \(R\) )
        Initialize Paths(source, receiver) \(=\emptyset\);
        for \(l=1\) to \(R\) do
            Ant \(l\) builds a path from source to receiver, denoted by \(p_{l}\) (source, receiver); \(\triangleright\) (Subsection IV-D)
            Add \(p_{l}\) (source, receiver) into Paths(source, receiver);
        end for
        return Paths(source, receiver);
    end function
```

Fig. 5. The pseudo-code of constructing the path set from the source to a receiver
table is adopted, this conflicting and misleading information (pheromone overlapping problem) would not be able to provide useful guidance for the solution construction procedure. This is because for an arbitrary link different ants may have different options on whether or not to occupy it.

In order to efficiently guide the search, NCRM-ACO uses a new pheromone maintenance mechanism employing multiple pheromone tables. We associate each ant in the ant colony with a pheromone table, leading to in total $R * d$ pheromone tables, where $R$ and $d$ is the data rate and the number of receivers, respectively. Each table maintains the pheromone of an ant over the decomposed graph $G_{D}$, where each auxiliary link is associated with a pheromone value. Let $\tau_{0}$ be the initial pheromone value over each link. For all tables, $\tau_{0}$ is set to a small positive number $\varphi_{\max }=\left(\left|V^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1}$, where $\left|V^{\prime}\right|$ is the number of nodes in $G_{D}$. Take Fig. 2(a) as an example, with $d=2$ and $R=2$, the NCRM-ACO maintains $2 \times 2=4$ pheromone tables as shown in Fig. 7. At different generations, those ants responsible for finding the same path, e.g., $p_{1}(1,8)$, share the
same pheromone table. Moreover, $\tau_{0}$ for all links is set to $\varphi_{\max }=\left(\left|V^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1}=(15)^{-1}$. During the search procedure, the pheromone values in those tables are gradually updated, as introduced in Subsections IV-E and IV-G. The number of pheromone tables is the product of the number of the data rate $R$ and the number of receivers $d$. Data rate $R$ is subjected by the max-flow from the source to a receiver. In the literature, data rate $R$ is usually small. To the best of our knowledge, the largest $R$ for experiments and simulations is set to 7 [34]. So, the number of pheromone tables grows approximately linearly with $d$.

## C. The heuristic maintenance mechanism

In ACOs, the heuristic information is of vital importance for guiding the construction of the global best solutions, e.g., the distance between two cities in TSP and the weight and value of goods in the $0-1$ knapsack problem [14], [43]. In those problems, such information can be easily extracted and defined to better explore the neighboring areas. However, the NCRM


Fig. 6. The overall flow chat of NCRM-ACO


Fig. 7. An example of multiple pheromone tables maintained
problem aims to find a feasible routing subgraph consisting of multiple path sets, each of which contains a number of disjoint paths to the same receiver, where no clear heuristic information is immediately available.

In this paper, an efficient heuristic maintenance mechanism maintains how many times each link has been selected by different ant groups in the same generation. Heuristic information represents local information and can provide some useful guidance when constructing the paths to form the NCM subgraph. According to Subsection II-B, an outgoing auxiliary node $m \in O A\left(G_{D}\right)$ will perform coding operations if the received information comes from more than one incoming link. How to reduce the probability of the incurrence of coding operations is desirable. Fortunately, the number of times that each incoming link is selected can help. This is because, at a
certain generation, each ant aims at finding a complete path from the source to one of the receivers and for a certain outgoing auxiliary node, if all ants pass (select) a single incoming link, then no coding operation is necessary at the outgoing auxiliary node. If we use the number of times that each incoming link is selected by ants, it is possible to reduce the coding probability during the NCM data transmission. That is, an incoming link associated with larger number of times is selected by ants. In this way, for an arbitrary outgoing auxiliary node, one of its incoming links is selected multiple times while the rest of the links are not employed by the NCM. Hence, the coding operation is avoided at the outgoing auxiliary node. On the other hand, if ants are allowed to randomly select incoming links, coding operations are more likely to happen. To realize such an idea in the proposed ACO, we use the number of times that each incoming link is selected as the heuristic information, to provide necessary guidance for the ant groups to find all feasible path sets while trying best to involve as less coding operations as possible. Specifically, for an arbitrary outgoing auxiliary node, we count the number of times each incoming link is selected by all ant groups at each generation. When implementing path construction, each ant preferably selects those incoming links with higher heuristic information values. Hence, in a greedy manner, the role of the heuristic information is to provide extra guidance to reduce the number of coding operations in the solution construction
phase.
In the proposed mechanism, the heuristic information is maintained in one table, called Key-Value map, where Key and Value represent the link ID and its corresponding value, respectively. The value stands for the number of times a link has been selected. Initially, the value of each link is set to 1 . All path sets are constructed in a one-by-one manner. The table is updated after each of the $d$ path sets is constructed by adding a value of 1 to the heuristic information value of each link in the path set. At the beginning of each new generation, the values of all links are reset to 1 since the heuristic information is only used to indicate the link occupation status of the incumbent generation.

## D. Tabu-table based path construction

Different from the TSP, the NCRM problem is much more complex. It aims to construct multiple path sets, with each consisting of a number of link-disjoint paths from the source to a certain receiver. Due to the problem nature, it is often possible that an ant could not reach its destination, e.g., receiver $t_{k}$. To overcome this problem, we propose a tabu-table based path construction method to increase the probability that an arbitrary ant can find a feasible and demanded path.

In the proposed method, the route of each ant starts from the source and ends up with one of the receivers. A feasible solution to the NCRM problem is quite difficult to construct, since one needs to find multiple path sets, where each path set contains multiple link-disjoint paths from the source to the same receiver. To ease the above problem, for each ant group Ant $G_{k}$, we maintain a tabu table to record which links have been employed. Those employed links will not be visited by other ants within $A n t G_{k}$. Fig. 8 illustrates a simple example of the tabu table. When $a n t_{1}$ in $\operatorname{Ant} G_{1}$ find $p_{1}(1,8)=1 \rightarrow$ $2 \rightarrow 8$ as shown in Fig. 8(b), the two links $1 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 8$ are added in the tabu table. Then, $a n t_{2}$ of $A n t G_{1}$ would not choose the two links any more. If there is only a single link from node $i$, the ant will move to this link; otherwise, those available links $\Psi_{i}$ which are not being included in the tabu table will have a chance to be selected. To select a link from $\Psi_{i}$, the pseudo-random rule [14] is adopted to calculate the probability by formula (6).

$$
n p=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\underset{u \in \Psi_{i}}{\arg \max }\left[\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, u)\right)\right]^{\alpha}[\eta(i, u)]^{\beta}, \text { if } q \leq q_{0}  \tag{6}\\
\zeta, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where argument $\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, u)\right)$ is amount of pheromone and $\eta(i, u)$ is the amount of heuristic information on link $(i, u)$. In $\tau$, receiver $t_{k}$ and path number $l$ are both associated with the pheromone maintenance mechanism. Parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ define the relative importance of the pheromone and the heuristic information, respectively. $q$ is a uniformly distributed random number in the range $[0,1]$ and $q_{0}\left(0<q_{0}<1\right)$ is a threshold value. $\zeta$ is a random value determined by the probability of $p(i, j)$ if $q$ is greater than $q_{0}$ :

$$
p(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left[\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)\right]^{\alpha}[\eta(i, j)]^{\beta}}{\sum_{u \in \Psi_{i}}\left[\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, u)\right)\right]^{\alpha}[\eta(i, u)]^{\beta}}, j \in \Psi_{i}  \tag{7}\\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig. 8. An example of the tabu table

By using formulae (6) and (7), each ant may either follow the most favorite path already established or randomly select a path based on the probability distribution of the pheromone and the heuristic accumulated. It is noted that the pseudorandom rule facilitates the diversity of the stochastic search and hence it helps to enhance the global search ability.

## E. The pheromone local updating rule

The expected data rate $R$, as a hard constraint, must be satisfied during the establishment of the network coding based multicast session. This can be achieved by constructing $R$ linkdisjoint paths from the source to each receiver. However, even if the tabu table scheme is employed, an infeasible path could be resulted if an ant chooses inappropriate links. Take Fig. 9(a) as an example. An ant in ant group $A n t G_{1}$ has constructed a path $p_{1}(1,8)=1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \_i \_1 \rightarrow 4 \_o \_1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \_i \_1 \rightarrow$ 7 _o_1 $\rightarrow 8$ from source node 1 to receiver node 8 and all links in $p_{1}(1,8)$ are recorded in the tabu table. The other ant in $A n t G_{1}$ cannot construct a second path $p_{2}(1,8)$ that is link-disjoint with $p_{1}(1,8)$ from node 1 to node 8 in any circumstance, as shown in Figures 9 (b) and (c). Apparently, if this happens, we could send a new group of ants to reconstruct a feasible path set. In NCRM-ACO, a pheromone punishing-and-rewarding mechanism is proposed to avoid ants following the same paths as the old group does.

In the pheromone punishing scheme, if an ant group $A n t G_{k}$ fails to construct a feasible path set, e.g., $\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right)$, the pheromone values on those paths which have been employed by $\operatorname{Ant} G_{k}$ are decreased by a constant $\Delta \tau_{l o c}$ before the reconstruction of Paths $\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)=\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)-\Delta \tau_{l o c} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value $\Delta \tau_{l o c}$ is a small positive number. In the pheromone local updating rule, $\Delta \tau_{l o c}=\left(\varphi_{\max }\right)^{-1}$.

It is noted that the pheromone values on some of the links may decrease constantly, which can cause a stagnation search when the difference of pheromone on links is too large. Therefore, inspired by the idea of MAX-MIN ant system [15], in our scheme the pheromone value on any link cannot be lower than a threshold value $\left(\varphi_{\max }\right)^{-1}$, i.e., whenever $\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, u)\right)-$ $\Delta \tau_{l o c} \leq\left(\varphi_{\max }\right)^{-1}$, set $\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, u)\right)=\left(\varphi_{\max }\right)^{-1}$, which could effectively avoid the stagnation search.


Fig. 9. An example of the inappropriate path selection

On the contrary, in the pheromone rewarding scheme, when a feasible path set is constructed successfully, the associated ant group will be rewarded by means of increasing the pheromone values on links they employ by $\Delta \tau_{l o c}$ at each time (see formula (9)).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)=\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)+\Delta \tau_{l o c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In summary, the pheromone local updating rule is composed of the punishing and rewarding schemes to guide the construction of feasible solutions.

## F. Solution reconstruction method

It is widely recognized that prematurity often happens in ACO and could cause serious performance deterioration [15], [44]. Hence, we develop a solution reconstruction method to improve the quality of the solution obtained, aiming at enhancing the local exploitation ability and avoiding the premature convergence. The solution reconstruction method consists of three steps. First of all, for a given solution Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$, we randomly select a coding node $m_{\text {coding }}$ from it. Secondly, we randomly select one of the incoming links, e.g., $e_{\text {coding }}$, of node $m_{\text {coding }}$. Then, we divide all path sets $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$, $k=1, \ldots, d$, of $\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)$ into two groups, i.e., unaffectedPaths and affectedPaths. Assume there are $h$ path sets in affectedPaths, where $h$ is a positive integer smaller than the number of receivers $d$. So unaffectedPaths contains ( $d$ $h)$ path sets. Paths $\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ is included into affectedPaths if link $e_{\text {coding }} \in \operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$; otherwise, $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ belongs to unaffectedPaths. Thirdly, we reconstruct all path sets in affectedPaths, with unaffectedPaths unchanged. After that, all path sets in unaffectedPaths and affectedPaths are combined to form a new solution, aiming to reduce the coding operations involved.

The path set reconstruction is described below. First, with link $e_{\text {coding }}$ unchanged, we delete the rest of the incoming links of node $m_{\text {coding }}$ from $G_{D}$ resulting into a new graph $G_{D}^{\prime}$. Then, we send $h$ ant groups to rebuild all path sets in affectedPaths over $G_{D}^{\prime}$. Note that, it is possible that graph $G_{D}^{\prime}$ cannot meet the data rate requirement after the deletion of those incoming links. So, when rebuilding a path set, e.g., $\operatorname{Path} s\left(s, t_{k}\right)$, we limit the number of times attempted. If the reconstruction cannot be completed after these attempts, NCRM-ACO gives up the reconstruction process;
otherwise, replaces $\operatorname{Paths}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$ with the newly constructed path set $P a t h s_{n e w}\left(s, t_{k}\right)$. After all path sets in affectedPaths are rebuilt or after a certain number of times, we combine affectedPaths with unaffectedPaths to form a new solution Solution ${ }^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)$. If Solution ${ }^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)$ requires less coding operations, Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$ is replaced by Solution ${ }^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)$. Otherwise, Solution $\left(G_{D}\right)$ remains unchanged.

For example, as shown in Fig. 4(d), there is only one coding node, i.e., node 4_o_1. Hence, the solution reconstruction method procedure starts with node 4_o_1. According to the procedure, we randomly choose an incoming link $e$ of node 4_o_1, e.g., node 4_i_1 to node 4_o_1. As link $e$ is included in Paths $(1,9)$ but not in Paths $(1,8)$, we have unaffectedPaths $=\{\operatorname{Paths}(1,9)\}$ and affectedPaths $=\{\operatorname{Path} s(1,8)\}$. After that, apart from link $e$, the rest of the incoming links of node 4_o_1, i.e., link node 4_i_2 $\rightarrow$ node 4_o_1, is deleted from the graph, and the reconstruction of $\operatorname{Paths}(1,8)$ is triggered. Fig. 10(a) shows the new graph $G_{D}^{\prime}$ after the deletion of incoming links. Suppose the new ant group successfully constructs two link-disjoint paths, $p_{1}^{\prime}(1,8)=$ $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 8$ and $p_{2}^{\prime}(1,8)=1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \_i \_2 \rightarrow$ 4_o_2 $\rightarrow 6 \rightarrow$ 7_i_2 $\rightarrow$ 7_o_1 $\rightarrow 8$. We thus have $\operatorname{Path}_{\text {new }}(1,8)=\left\{p_{1}^{\prime}(1,8), p_{2}^{\prime}(1,8)\right\}$, as shown in Fig. 10(b). Then, a new solution is formed by combining $\operatorname{Path}_{\text {new }}(1,8)$ and $\operatorname{Path} s(1,9)$, with no coding operation required (see Fig. 10(d)). Due to $\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.\right.$ Solution $\left.\left.^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)<$ $\varphi\left(G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\operatorname{Solution}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)\right)$, we replace the old solution with Solution ${ }^{\text {new }}\left(G_{D}\right)$.

## G. The pheromone global updating rule

In addition to the pheromone local updating rule, NCRMACO adopts a pheromone global updating rule to guide the search towards optimal solutions. Under this rule, the pheromone information on all links is updated by a historic best solution Solution $_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)$, providing some instructive guidance to improve the quality of the solutions built. The pheromone value is updated by using formulae (10) and (11).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)=(1-\rho) \tau\left(t_{k}, l,(i, j)\right)+\rho \Delta \tau_{g b}  \tag{10}\\
\Delta \tau_{g b}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\varphi_{g b}\right)^{-1}, \text { if }(i, j) \in \text { Solution }_{g b}\left(G_{D}\right) \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where parameter $\rho \in(0,1]$ is a constant value, called the evaporation rate, mimicking the evaporation of the pheromone on all links [21], i.e., the pheromone value on each link decreases by $\rho$ whenever the global pheromone updating is executed. $\varphi_{g b}$ is the number of coding nodes in $G_{\mathrm{NCM}}\left(\right.$ Solution $\left._{g b}\left(G_{D}\right)\right)$.

## V. Performance evaluation

In this section, we first introduce the test instances, the experimental environment and all metrics for performance evaluation. We then report an experiment which helps us to find a set of appropriate parameter values for NCRMACO. Later, we validate the effectiveness of all proposed mechanisms of NCRM-ACO. Finally, the proposed algorithm is evaluated by comparing it against a number of state-of-the-art algorithms already developed for solving the NCRM problem.


Fig. 10. An example of the solution reconstruction method

## A. Test instances

We evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm on 35 benchmark instances which can be classified into four categories, namely, Fixed, Random, Hybrid and Real-world networks. Table I shows all instances and their parameters. To encourage future scientific comparison on the NCRM problem, these instances are available at http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/ $\sim$ rxq/ benchmarks.htm. All experiments are run on a computer with Windows 8 OS, Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-3740QM CPU 2.7 GHz and 8 GB RAM.

- Fixed networks. These four networks have been widely used in the literature [8]-[10], [26]-[30], [32]-[38]. They are also referred to as $n$-copy networks, each of which is built by cascading $n$ copies of Basic network (a) (see Fig. 11(a)). Fig. 11(c) illustrates the 3-copy network, where node 1 is the source and nodes $16,17,24,25$ are receivers. It can be easily inferred that the minimum number of the coding operations to any $n$-copy networks is 0.00 .
- Random networks. Networks of this type are all generated by the directed acyclic graph generation method introduced in [45]. The 18 random networks have 20 to 500 nodes. It is noted that Rnd-11 to Rnd-18 are relatively large networks.
- Hybrid networks. Due to that all test cases have the global minimum of 0.00 , we generated 8 hybrid networks, where the global minimum of each instance is at least 1 and is known beforehand. This is done by combining two basic networks together, i.e., Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b), where Fig. 11(a) is coding-free while Fig. 11(b) has an explicit coding node, i.e., node 4 . In this way, a hybrid network can be built by combining a number of Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b) networks together. The global minimum of an instance is equal to the number of Fig. 11(b) networks. Therefore, in hybrid networks, the global minimum is already known. The hybrid networks are called $X$-hybrid $(Y)$, where $X$ represents the number of networks being combined and $Y$ indicates the global minimum value. Similar to the 3-copy, 7-copy, 15-copy and 31-copy networks, we create 3-hybrid, 7-hybrid, 15hybrid, and 31-hybrid networks, respectively. The global minimum is from 1 to 5 . Fig. 11(d) illustrates 3-hybrid(1)
network which contains two Fig. 11(a) networks and one Fig. 11(b) network. The global minimum is 1 . Therefore, hybrid networks could be used to simulate networks where coding is necessarily performed and reflect the optimization ability of the algorithm in solving this type of the NCRM problem.
- Real-world networks. Five real-world topologies have been adopted for the performance evaluation, namely, Ebone-1, Ebone-2, Ebone-3, Exodus-1, and Exodus-2 [33], [34]. We also use them in our experiments.


Fig. 11. A example of fixed and hybrid networks
(a) Basic network 1; (b) Basic network 2; (c) 3-copy; (d) 3-hybrid(1)

## B. Performance measures

To thoroughly evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, the following performance measuring metrics are employed throughout the experiments.

- Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of the best solutions found from 50 runs. Mean and SD are important metrics to demonstrate the overall performance of a search algorithm.
- Average Computational Time (ACT) consumed by an algorithm over 50 runs. This metric is a direct indication of the computational time of an algorithm.
- Student's $t$-test [32], [46] to compare two algorithms (A and B) in terms of the objective function values of the 50 best solutions obtained. In this paper, two-tailed $t$-test

TABLE I
EXPERIMENTAL INSTANCES AND THEIR PARAMETERS

| Group | Networks | Original network G |  |  |  |  | Decomposed graph GD |  |  | Optimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nodes | Links | Receivers | Rate | Average degree | Nodes | Links | Input links for coding |  |
| Fixed | 3-copy | 25 | 36 | 4 | 2 | 2.88 | 49 | 68 | 32 | 0 |
|  | 7-copy | 57 | 84 | 8 | 2 | 2.95 | 117 | 164 | 80 | 0 |
|  | 15-copy | 121 | 180 | 16 | 2 | 2.98 | 253 | 356 | 176 | 0 |
|  | 31-copy | 249 | 372 | 32 | 2 | 2.99 | 617 | 740 | 368 | 0 |
| Random | Rnd-1 | 20 | 37 | 5 | 3 | 3.80 | 54 | 81 | 43 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-2 | 20 | 39 | 5 | 3 | 3.90 | 65 | 89 | 50 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-3 | 30 | 60 | 6 | 3 | 4.00 | 94 | 146 | 86 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-4 | 30 | 69 | 6 | 3 | 4.60 | 113 | 181 | 112 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-5 | 40 | 78 | 9 | 3 | 3.90 | 124 | 184 | 106 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-6 | 40 | 85 | 9 | 4 | 4.25 | 91 | 149 | 64 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-7 | 50 | 101 | 8 | 3 | 4.04 | 178 | 246 | 145 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-8 | 50 | 118 | 10 | 4 | 4.72 | 194 | 307 | 189 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-9 | 60 | 150 | 11 | 5 | 5.00 | 239 | 385 | 235 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-10 | 60 | 156 | 10 | 4 | 5.20 | 262 | 453 | 297 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-11 | 100 | 175 | 10 | 2 | 3.50 | 245 | 389 | 214 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-12 | 100 | 279 | 10 | 3 | 5.58 | 433 | 879 | 600 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-13 | 150 | 337 | 16 | 2 | 4.49 | 483 | 851 | 514 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-14 | 150 | 363 | 11 | 3 | 6.17 | 712 | 1519 | 1056 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-15 | 200 | 527 | 18 | 2 | 5.27 | 823 | 1586 | 1059 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-16 | 200 | 473 | 12 | 3 | 4.73 | 703 | 1272 | 799 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-17 | 500 | 1086 | 33 | 2 | 4.34 | 1682 | 2947 | 1861 | 0 |
|  | Rnd-18 | 500 | 491 | 24 | 3 | 5.46 | 2187 | 4413 | 3048 | 0 |
| Hybrid |  | 24 | 34 | 4 | 2 | 2.83 | 42 | 58 | 24 | 1 |
|  | 3-hybrid(2) | 23 | 32 | 4 | 2 | 2.78 | 35 | 48 | 16 | 2 |
|  | 7-hybrid(2) | 55 | 80 | 8 | 2 | 2.91 | 107 | 148 | 68 | 2 |
|  | 7-hybrid(3) | 54 | 78 | 8 | 2 | 2.89 | 102 | 140 | 62 | 3 |
|  | 15-hybrid(3) | 118 | 174 | 16 | 2 | 2.95 | 238 | 332 | 158 | 3 |
|  | 15-hybrid(4) | 117 | 172 | 16 | 2 | 2.94 | 233 | 324 | 152 | 4 |
|  | 31-hybrid(4) | 245 | 364 | 32 | 2 | 2.97 | 505 | 708 | 344 | 4 |
|  | 31-hybrid(5) | 244 | 362 | 32 | 2 | 2.97 | 500 | 700 | 338 | 5 |
| Real world | Ebone-1 | 18 | 23 | 5 | 2 | 2.44 | 31 | 39 | 16 | 0 |
|  | Ebone-2 | 31 | 45 | 5 | 3 | 2.90 | 58 | 80 | 35 | 0 |
|  | Ebone-3 | 26 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 3.46 | 62 | 99 | 54 | 0 |
|  | Exodus-1 | 24 | 30 | 5 | 2 | 2.50 | 37 | 46 | 16 | 0 |
|  | Exodus-2 | 33 | 51 | 5 | 3 | 2.73 | 71 | 105 | 54 | 0 |

with 98 degrees of freedom at a 0.05 level of significance is used. The $t$-test result can show statistically if the performance of A is better than, worse than, or equivalent to that of B.

## C. Parameter settings

The performance of the proposed ACO could be seriously deteriorated, e.g., leading to slow convergence and prematurity, if the values of parameters, namely, the pheromone factor $\alpha$, the heuristic factor $\beta$, the pheromone evaporation rate $\rho$ and the pseudo-random coefficient $q_{0}$, are inappropriately set. In order to determine an appropriate combination of the parameter values, for each parameter, we tested 4 possible values, i.e., $\alpha \in\{0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9\}, \beta \in\{2,3,4,5\}$, $\rho \in\{0.0,0.1,0.2,0.3\}$ and $q_{0} \in\{0.4,0.5,0.6,0.7\}$. This may lead to $4^{4}=256$ combinations if we try all possible parameter values. However, it is not necessary to try all the combinations, since we only want to determine an appropriate combination, rather than the best setting. We thus use the orthogonal experimental design (OED) to find a relatively better combination. OED is a multi-parameter experimental design method based on orthogonal array, where a number of representative combinations of parameter values which are uniformly distributed within the test range are selected from the full parameter experiment [47]. This method is highly efficient when designing multi-parameter experiments. It can greatly reduce the number of required experiments while obtaining promising results. Since its introduction in 1950s,

OED has been widely applied in many areas, such as economic management, bioengineering, environmental engineering, etc. [48]-[50]. The following briefly introduces the procedure of OED.

Let $L_{a}\left(b^{c}\right)$ denote the orthogonal array, where $a$ is the number of experiments, $b$ is the levels of parameters, and $c$ is the number of parameters. The orthogonal array has two properties, i.e., (1) in each column, the number of occurrences of different numbers is equal and (2) in any two columns, the arrangement of numbers is complete and balanced. Any parameter at each level is thus compared to all different parameters with each other. Consequently, test results can be analyzed through range and variance analysis to determine a better value combination of parameters. More details can be found in [47]-[51]. In our experiment, an orthogonal array $L_{16}\left(4^{4}\right)$ is obtained from the referencing orthogonal table, where 16 representative combinations are listed in Table II.

We carry out 50 independent runs for each parameter combination and record the mean value of the best solutions. As Fix-4 network instance is one of the most difficult instances, we use it to run the parameter settings experiments.

Table III shows the Mean values of the 16 combinations in Table II. It is noted that row $m_{1}$ to row $m_{4}$ represent the mean value of a certain parameter with a certain value. For instance, the mean value of parameter $\alpha=0.6$ is calculated as $(6.82+5.78+0.60+1.28) / 4=3.62$. So, value 3.62 is recorded in row $m_{1}$, column $\alpha$. Moreover, the mean value of each parameter is illustrated in Fig. 12. When $\alpha=0.8, \beta=4, \rho=0.2$,

TABLE II
TABLE OF ORTHOGONAL ARRAY $L_{16}\left(4^{4}\right)$

| ParaCom | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\rho$ | $q_{0}$ | ParaCom | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\rho$ | $q_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 |

Note: number $x$ in the columns $\alpha, \beta, \rho, q_{0}$ correspond to the $x$-th value in the parameter value set

TABLE III
RESULTS OF THE ORTHOGONAL EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

| ParaCom | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\rho$ | $q_{0}$ | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.82 |
| 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 5.78 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.60 |
| 4 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.28 |
| 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.56 |
| 6 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.40 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.62 |
| 8 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.16 |
| 9 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.04 |
| 10 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.00 |
| 11 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.64 |
| 12 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.18 |
| 13 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.86 |
| 14 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.62 |
| 15 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.44 |
| 16 | 0.9 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.84 |
| $m_{1}$ | 3.62 | 2.82 | 3.43 | 2.56 | $/$ |
| $m_{2}$ | 1.69 | 2.95 | 1.99 | 2.61 | $/$ |
| $m_{3}$ | 0.72 | 0.58 | 1.11 | 0.75 | $/$ |
| $m_{4}$ | 1.69 | 1.37 | 1.19 | 1.79 | $/$ |

Note: The symbol / means not applicable
and $q_{0}=0.6$, NCRM-ACO achieves the smallest mean value. Then, we compare the optimization performance of two combinations, i.e. $\{0.8,4,0.2,0.6\}$ and $\{0.8,3,0.3,0.6\}$ which gains the minimum mean value in Table II. In this experiment, performance indicators Mean and ACT are used and the results are shown in Table IV. It is seen that each combination obtains a mean value of 0.00 , indicating both of them can achieve the optimal solution in each single run. However, in terms of the ACT, ACO with $\{0.8,4,0.2,0.6\}$ is faster. It is hence clear that the first combination in Table IV performs the best and is hereafter used as the parameter settings.


Fig. 12. Relationship between average time and parameters

TABLE IV
Results of additional experiments

| ParaCom | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\rho$ | $q_{0}$ | Mean | ACT (sec.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 14.84 |
| 2 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 18.72 |

## D. Effectiveness of the proposed mechanisms

We evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed mechanisms by implementing two experiments on 14 selected instances, including the four fixed networks (3-copy, 7-copy, 15-copy, 31-copy) and ten random networks (Rnd-1, ..., Rnd-10), because these instances have been widely used for performance evaluation.

The proposed ACO is featured with five specially devised mechanisms, including the multi-dimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism, the problem-specific heuristic information, the tabu-table based path construction, the pheromone local updating rule and the solution reconstruction method (see Section IV for details). Among them, the first two are essential components to drive ACO run properly. In other word, they are fundamental mechanisms that adapt ACO for the NCRM problem. One cannot test the effectiveness of the pheromone maintenance and the heuristic information in a separate way. Hence, we evaluate the two mechanisms as a whole (the first experiment) and test the others independently (the second experiment). The algorithms for comparison are listed below.

- Exp1: Verification of the first two mechanisms
- A1: the basic ACO [23]
- A2: A1 with the multi-dimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism and the problem-specific heuristic information (see Subsections IV-B and IV-C);
- Exp2: Independent verification of the rest mechanisms
- A3: A2 with the tabu-table based path construction (see Subsection IV-D);
- A4: A2 with the pheromone local updating rule (see Subsection IV-E);
- A5: A2 with the tabu-table based path construction and the pheromone local updating rule;
- A6: A2 with the solution reconstruction method (see Subsection IV-F);
- A7: A1 with all proposed mechanisms (also called NCRM-ACO).
As there is no clear heuristic information immediately available, we set heuristic information factor $\beta$ of A 1 to 0 . For A2 to A7, we set $\beta=4$, with which the algorithm could achieve better optimization performance, as demonstrated in Subsection V-C.

Table V shows the experimental results of seven algorithms. First of all, it is easily observed that basic ACO cannot build feasible solutions at all in any instance. The reason is as follows. As known, basic ACO utilizes a single pheromone table to guide the searching procedure of ants, which is in favor of addressing traditional path-finding problems such as travelling salesman problems [14], [20]. This is because, in the above path-finding problems, a single path is expected to
be found between a source and a receiver. A single ant is able to finish the task. However, as for the NCRM problem, the task of an ant colony is to build a set of link-disjoint paths from the source to each receiver. Hence, a set of ants search in parallel to construct a number of link-disjoint paths. In this case, using a single pheromone table is far from enough to provide explicit guidance to each of the ants within the group, since the pheromone information on each link cannot simultaneously guide ants with different path-finding purposes. In addition, with no explicit heuristic information assisted, no local information can be utilized. Therefore, the basic ACO cannot even find feasible solutions in all instances. On the other hand, with the proposed pheromone maintenance mechanism and the heuristic information utilized, A2 is successfully applied to the NCRM problem.

Then, we compare A3, ..., A6 with A2 to verify if each of the three mechanisms has a positive impact on the performance of A2. Due to the nature of the NCRM problem, it is extremely difficult to find a satisfied set of link-disjoint paths. Hence, even with the pheromone maintenance mechanism and heuristic information integrated, it is still possible that an ant cannot reach its destination. In order to enhance the ability for an arbitrary ant to find a demanded path and diversify link-disjoint path sets, the tabu-table based path construction is developed. It can be seen that A3 outperforms A2 in all instances in terms of Mean value. Meanwhile, even if the tabu-table based path construction is used, it is still possible to result into an infeasible path if inappropriate links are chosen. So, we need the pheromone local updating rule as a complement to the tabutable based path construction. If we compare the performance of A4 and A2, we see that the former is better. This is because, by using the punishing and rewarding schemes, the pheromone local updating rule is able to avoid ants following the same paths as the previous group does, which helps to improve the optimization performance. Meanwhile, by comparing the performance of A5, A4 and A3, we can verify the effectiveness of the tabu-table based path construction and the pheromone local updating rule. Clearly, the two mechanisms perform better than any of them individually adopted. If looking at the results of A6 and A2, we also observe that the former performs better than the latter. The reason is explained below. As aforementioned, ACO may suffer from the prematurity. The solution reconstruction mechanism can improve the quality of solutions, so the local exploitation is enhanced and the local optimum can be avoided.

Finally, A7 is compared with A2, ..., A6. Obviously, A7 always obtain optimum in any of the instances. Regarding the mean and SD, it performs no worse, but usually better than the others. This demonstrates that equipped with all specially-devised mechanisms, the proposed algorithm has a significantly improved optimization performance.

According to the above comparisons, we see that each of the proposed mechanisms contributes to the improvement of the NCRM-ACO. To further support our findings, we compare the seven algorithms using Student's $t$-test, where results are given in Table VI. The result of $A \leftrightarrow B$ is shown as ' + ', ' - ', or ' $\sim$ ' when algorithm A is significantly better than, significantly worse than, or statistically equivalent to algorithm

B , respectively. According to the results, A1 is beaten by A2 in all instances; A3 to A6 outperform A2 in most of the instances; A5 performs better than A3 and A4; A7 is the best algorithm among the seven. The results demonstrate not only the effectiveness of each mechanism but also the performance improvement via all the mechanisms.

## E. Overall performance evaluation

We evaluate the overall performance of NCRM-ACO by comparing it with the eleven state-of-the-art EAs, including 5 BLS-based (BLSGA [10], QEA1 [27], QEA2 [26], PBIL [28] and cGA [30]), 2 BTS-based (BTSGA [10] and FAENCA [34]), 3 relative-encoding-based (RGA [35], SRHCGA [37] and CRO [38]), and 1 path-oriented (pEA [32]). The algorithms for performance comparison are listed as follows.

- BLSGA: BLS encoding-based GA [10].
- QEA1: Quantum-inspired evolutionary algorithm (QEA) [27].
- QEA2: Another QEA proposed by Ji and Xing [26].
- PBIL: Population-based incremental learning algorithm [28].
- cGA: Compact genetic algorithm [30].
- BTSGA: BTS encoding-based GA [10].
- FA-ENCA: Fast and adaptive evolutionary algorithm [34].
- RGA: GA proposed by Hu et al [35].
- SRHCGA: Spatial receding horizon control (SRHC) genetic algorithm [37].
- CRO: Chemical reaction optimization algorithm [38].
- pEA: the path-oriented encoding EA [32].
- NCRM-ACO: the proposed algorithm.

The population size is set to 20 and the maximum number of generations is 200 for each EA. For BLSGA, we set the crossover probability $p_{c}=0.8$ and the mutation probability $p_{m}$ $=0.006$. For BTSGA, we have $p_{c}=0.8$ and $p_{m}=0.012$. For the rest of the algorithms, we adopt their best parameter settings [26], [27], [29], [30], [32], [34], [35], [37], [38] . For the fixed, random and real-world networks, the stopping criteria is either an optimal solution is obtained or the maximum number of generations is reached. For the hybrid networks, an algorithm stops when either the best-so-far solution has not been changed over 20 generations or the maximum number of generations is reached. The results of Mean and SD are collected in Table VII, where the value should read Mean(SD). Tables VIII and IX illustrate the $t$-test results and the ACTs of the 12 algorithms.

First of all, we compare the performance of algorithms based on the same encoding approach. Among the five BLSbased EAs, cGA gains the best overall performance. It is able to obtain the minimum mean value in almost all instances. As the optimum solution to each instance is already known, cGA obtains the optimum solution in each run in 29 instances. This is because cGA adopts a local search mechanism that exploits the local information of the underlying problem to locate promising areas and solutions. Regarding the BTSbased algorithms, BTSGA is beaten by FA-ENCA in 21 instances while the former wins 4 instances. Compared with

TABLE V
Results of mean(SD) (Best results are in bold)

| Network | Exp1 |  | Exp2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 |
| 3-copy | / | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| 7-copy | 1 | 1.62(1.50) | 0.44 (0.54) | 0.48(0.62) | 0.10 (0.30) | 0.86(0.72) | 0 (0) |
| 15-copy | 1 | 7.52(1.90) | 4.72(1.08) | $5.16(1.16)$ | 4.54(1.19) | 6.10(1.13) | 0 (0) |
| 31-copy | 1 | 21.84(5.67) | 14.08(2.74) | 15.18(2.52) | 14.60(2.25) | 18.90(2.62) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-1 | 1 | 0.14(0.35) | 0 0(0) | 0.02(0.14) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-2 | 1 | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-3 | 1 | 0.28(0.43) | 0 (0) | 0.06(0.24) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-4 | 1 | 0.52(0.90) | 0 (0) | 0.08(0.27) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-5 | 1 | 1.50(1.27) | $1.28(0.76)$ | 1.38(1.31) | $0.34(0.65)$ | 0.26(1.22) | $\mathbf{0}$ (0) |
| Rnd-6 | 1 | 0.06 (0.24) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-7 | 1 | 2.06 (2.12) | 0.76 (0.48) | 0.92(0.72) | $0.54(0.50)$ | 0.36(0.97) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-8 | 1 | 2.72(2.53) | 1.66 (0.79) | 1.92(1.34) | $0.56(1.00)$ | $0.24(0.81)$ | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-9 | 1 | 5.40 (4.98) | $1.36(1.38)$ | 1.84(0.76) | $0.46(1.19)$ | 3.08(2.97) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-10 | / | 2.92(2.04) | 1.72(0.86) | 2.04(1.22) | 0.68(1.07) | 1.54(1.82) | 0(0) |

Note: The symbol / stands for that the algorithm can't find any feasible solution

TABLE VI
$t$-TEST RESULTS OF THE SEVEN ALGORITHMS

| Network | $\mathrm{A} 2 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 1$ | $\mathrm{A} 3 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 2$ | $\mathrm{A} 4 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 2$ | A5 $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{A} 2$ | A6 $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{A} 2$ | $\mathrm{A} 7 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 2$ | $\mathrm{A} 5 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 3$ | $\mathrm{A} 5 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 4$ | $\mathrm{A} 7 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 5$ | $\mathrm{A} 7 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~A} 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-copy | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| 7-copy | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + | + |
| 15-copy | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| 31-copy | + | + | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + |
| Rnd-1 | + | + | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Rnd-2 | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Rnd-3 | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Rnd-4 | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $\sim$ | $+$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Rnd-5 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Rnd-6 | + | + | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Rnd-7 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Rnd-8 | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + |
| Rnd-9 | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ |

TABLE VII
Results of Mean and SD (Best results are in bold)

| Network | BLSGA | QEA1 | QEA2 | PBIL | cGA | BTSGA | FA-ENCA | RGA | SRHCGA | CRO | pEA NCRM-ACO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-copy | 0.52(0.84) | 0(0) | (0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | (0) | (0) | 0(0) |
| 7-copy | 2.36(2.22) | 0.30(0.65) | 0.74(1.18) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0.38(0.41) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| 15-copy | 10.44(7.02) | 2.82(3.31) | 5.92(1.86) | $1.76(2.57)$ | 0 (0) | $0.08(0.22)$ | 0.15(0.23) | 0 (0) | 0.04(0.55) | 0.10(0.41) |  | 0(0) |
| 31-copy | 31.66(6.48) | 16.68(8.80) | 20.02(0.22) | $22.74(8.43)$ | 0 (0) | 8.58(3.42) | 20.35(3.90) 0 | 0.03(0.44) | 0.01(0.14) | 0.26 (0.59) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-1 | $0.74(1.20)$ | 0.12(0.31) | 0.10(0.31) | $0(0)$ | 0 (0) | $0.28(0.44)$ | ) $0(0)$ | 0.01(0.14) | 0.44(0.50) | 0.20 (0.40) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-2 | 0.26 (0.64) | 0 0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0.02 (0.22) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0.16 (0.37) | 0.06 (0.47) | $\boldsymbol{0}(0)$ | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-3 | $0.24(0.68)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}$ (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $0.08(0.27)$ | 0.18 (0.48) | 0(0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-4 | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-5 | 1.42(0.88) | 0.46(0.51) | 0.38(0.41) | 0 (0) | 0.12(0.36) | 0.38(0.57) | 0 (0) | $0.50(0.50)$ | 0.58(0.58) | 0.96(0.52) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-6 | 0.22 (0.41) | 0(0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $0.06(0.47)$ | 0.46(0.81) | 0.48 (0.59) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-7 | 1.38 (0.97) | 0.72 (0.57) | $0.62(0.48)$ | 0.28 (0.41) | $0.56(0.58)$ | $0.58(0.51)$ | 0 (0) | $0.80(1.41)$ | $0.12(0.69)$ | 1.70 (1.42) | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}$ (0) |
| Rnd-8 | $2.54(2.08)$ | 0.78 (0.85) | 0.72(0.71) | 0.32(0.31) | 0.38(0.51) | 0.92(0.56) | 0 (0) | $1.40(1.56)$ | 0.50(1.03) | 2.10 (1.53) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-9 | $2.76(1.25)$ | $1.58(1.05)$ | $1.58(0.99)$ | 0 0(0) | 0.12(0.41) | 0.88 (0.63) | 0 (0) | $1.26(0.76)$ | $0.72(0.72)$ | $2.54(1.53)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-10 | 3.18 (2.67) | $0.48(0.68)$ | 0.28(0.47) | $0.04(0.22)$ | 0.08(0.22) | 0.96(0.59) | 0 (0) | 1.78(1.96) | 0.52(0.76) | 2.82(2.33) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Rnd-11 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) |  | 0 (0) | 0.12 (0.33) | 0(0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-12 | $0.28(0.57)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $0.24(0.44)$ | 0 (0) | $0.52(0.50)$ | 0.14(0.35) | 0.64 (0.48) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-13 | 25.32(25.30) | 0.02(0.22) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $0.18(0.37)$ | 0 (0) | 0.48 (0.59) | $0.16(0.73)$ | 0.68 (1.07) | $\mathbf{0}$ (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-14 | 25.20(25.45) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}$ (0) | $0.14(0.37)$ | 0 (0) | 0.22 (0.41) | $0.30(1.01)$ | $0.78(0.97)$ | 0(0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-15 | 0.16 (0.37) | 0.02(0.22) | 0.20(0.52) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $0.08(0.22)$ | 0 (0) | $0.36(0.72)$ | 0.90(1.92) | 0.60(0.80) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-16 | 2.34(1.35) | 1.30 (0.92) | $1.48(0.89)$ | 0.40 (1.57) | 0 (0) | $1.24(0.91)$ | 0 (0) | 1.90 (1.37) | $1.48(1.15)$ | $2.54(1.98)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-17 | 1.72 (1.42) | $0.84(0.90)$ | $1.01(0.47)$ | $1.08(0.29)$ | 0 (0) | $1.40(0.75)$ | 0.20)(0.40) | $5.68(6.01)$ | $5.38(3.85)$ | 7.14(4.15) | 0(0) | 0 (0) |
| Rnd-18 | 8.26(2.59) | $2.04(0.90)$ | 1.18(0.37) | 1.20 (0.40) | 0 (0) | $9.50(1.24)$ | 1.30(1.08) 1 | 10.20(2.34) | 7.38(1.49) | 12.45 (3.35 | )0(0) | 0(0) |
| 3-hybrid(1) | 1.16(0.37) | 1(0) | 1(0) | $1.16(0.49)$ | 1(0) | 1.04(0.22) | - 1 (0) | 1(0) | $1(0)$ | 1(0) | 1(0) | 1(0) |
| 3-hybrid(2) | 2.22(0.44) | $2(0)$ | 2 (0) | 2(0) | $2(0)$ | 2(0) | 2 (0) | $2(0)$ | 2(0) | $2(0)$ | 2 (0) | $2(0)$ |
| 7-hybrid(2) | 3.66(1.39) | $2.10(0.31)$ | 3(2.13) | 2.54(1.23) | $2(0)$ | 2.40 (0.60) | $2.10(0.30)$ | $2(0)$ | $3.20(1.79)$ | 2.10 (0.30) | 2(0) | $2(0)$ |
| 7-hybrid(3) | 4.98(2.48) | $3.10(0.31)$ | 3.60(1.85) | 3.88(1.84) | 3 (0) | 3.22(0.44) | 3.34(2.24) | 3 (0) | 3.50(1.09) | 3.34 (2.24) | 3(0) | $3(0)$ |
| 15-hybrid(3) | 10.70(5.44) | 6.20(4.49) | 8.44(3.80) | $5.76(3.85) 3$ | 3.70 (0.47) | 4.72(0.92) | 4.64(1.38) | 7.32(3.47) | 5.46(4.82) | 8.30(3.67) | 3 (0) | 3 (0) |
| 15-hybrid(4) | 11.12(4.88) | 10.90(8.33) | 8.80(4.16) | 7.34(4.83) | $4(0)$ | 5.30(1.08) | 5.64(2.58) | $9.48(3.58)$ | 5.72(4.50) | 9.64 (3.46) | 4(0) | $4(0)$ |
| 31-hybrid(4) | 37.00(9.27) 31 | 31.70 (13.26) | 28.06(8.94)37 | $37.10(10.90)$ | ) 4 (0) | 10.90(2.64) | )10.20(1.56)3 | $30.80(7.95) 2$ | 26.80(11.93) | )35.80(9.18 | ) 4 (0) | 4(0) |
| 31-hybrid(5) | 32.80(8.12) 30 | $30.94(14.90)$ | 28.20(3.88)2 | 29.60(11.82) | ) 5(0) | 10.90(1.29) | 9.80(3.23) 3 | 33.40 (7.18) | 25.50 (12.38) | 36.20(8.92 | )5(0) | 5(0) |
| Ebone-1 | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | 0(0) | $0(0)$ | 0 (0) | 0(0) | $0(0)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0 (0) |
| Ebone-2 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| Ebone-3 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Exodus-1 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |
| Exodus-2 | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}(0)$ | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}(0)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | $\mathbf{0}(0)$ | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0(0) |

TABLE VIII
$t$-TEST RESULTS FOR THE 12 ALGORITHMS

| Network | 3-copy | 7-copy | 15-copy | 31copy | Rnd-1 | Rnd-2 | Rnd-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BLSGA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 1 | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 2 | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ PBIL | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{cGA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BTSGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ FA-ENCA | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ RGA | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ SRHCGA | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ CRO | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{pEA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Rnd-4 | Rnd-5 | Rnd-6 | Rnd-7 | Rnd-8 | Rnd-9 | Rnd-10 |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BLSGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 1 | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 2 | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ PBIL | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | $\sim$ | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{cGA}$ | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BTSGA | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ FA-ENCA | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ RGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ SRHCGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ CRO | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{pEA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Rnd-11 | Rnd-12 | Rnd-13 | Rnd-14 | Rnd-15 | Rnd-16 | Rnd-17 |
| $\text { NCRM-ACO } \leftrightarrow \text { BLSGA }$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA1 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA2 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ PBIL | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{cGA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BTSGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ FA-ENCA | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ RGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ SRHCGA | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ CRO | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{pEA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |  |  |  |
|  | Rnd-18 | 3-hybrid(1) | 3-hybrid(2) | 7-hybrid(2) | 7-hybrid(3) | 15-hybrid(3) | 15-hybrid(4) |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BLSGA | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 1 | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 2 | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ PBIL | + | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{cGA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BTSGA | + | + | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ FA-ENCA | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ RGA | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ SRHCGA | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ CRO | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | + | + | + | + |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{pEA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |  |
|  | 31-hybrid(4) | 31-hybrid(5) | Ebone-1 | Ebone-2 | Ebone-3 | Exodus-1 | Exodus-2 |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BLSGA | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 1 | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ QEA 2 | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ PBIL | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{cGA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ BTSGA | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ FA-ENCA | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ RGA | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ SRHCGA | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow$ CRO | + | + | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NCRM-ACO $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{pEA}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |

Note: The result of comparison between algorithm A and B is shown as ' + ', ' - ', or ' $\sim$ ' when the former is significantly better than, significantly worse than, or statistically equivalent to the latter, respectively.

BTSGA, FA-ENCA has a more stable performance, especially in small scale networks. This is due to the self-adaptive fitness assignment rule and the entropy-based relaxation technique introduced in FA-ENCA. Looking at those with relativeencoding, RGA wins 11 instances and SRHCGA wins 15 out of all instances. The performance of RGA is excellent in small scale instances and it is deteriorated with the increasing network scale. This is because the individuals become increasingly more complicated with the growth of network size and it is more difficult to satisfy the expected data rate in larger
instances. SRHCGA is a constructive algorithm, where GA is integrated into the solution construction procedure. Due to the inherent shortsighted effect, SRHCGA cannot perform well in large scale networks.

If comparing all algorithms, NCRM-ACO and pEA gain the best performance. They both achieve best mean and SD values in all instances, meaning that optimal solutions are always found. As reported in [32], pEA is one of the best optimization algorithms for the NCRM problem. NCRM-ACO performs no worse than pEA, which indicates our proposed algorithm achieves a decent performance. This is mainly

TABLE IX
Results of ACT (SEC.) (Best results are in bold)

| Network | BLSGA | QEA1 | QEA2 | PBIL | cGA | BTSGA | FA-ENCA | RGA | SRHCGA | CRO | pEA | NCRM-ACO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-copy | 0.92 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 2.90 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| 7-copy | 7.26 | 6.56 | 10.64 | 1.44 | 0.11 | 8.26 | 2.01 | 1.16 | 6.06 | 1.31 | 0.21 | 0.04 |
| 15-copy | 32.31 | 59.73 | 66.52 | 51.23 | 1.52 | 150.80 | 78.70 | 24.94 | 63.94 | 6.72 | 1.17 | 0.62 |
| 31-copy | 143.02 | 514.00 | 508.62 | 431.15 | 21.24 | 333.70 | 332.32 | 288.35 | 316.82 | 28.32 | 15.72 | 14.84 |
| Rnd-1 | 2.22 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 2.12 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 3.10 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.03 |
| Rnd-2 | 1.14 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 1.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 4.19 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| Rnd-3 | 3.01 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 4.05 | 0.11 | 0.63 | 7.45 | 1.30 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Rnd-4 | 2.07 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 1.83 | 0.13 | 2.45 | 4.40 | 1.67 | 0.15 | 0.01 |
| Rnd-5 | 10.56 | 10.64 | 7.67 | 5.18 | 2.38 | 17.71 | 2.28 | 5.19 | 12.32 | 8.87 | 0.58 | 0.54 |
| Rnd-6 | 1.84 | 0.44 | 0.63 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 2.03 | 0.12 | 6.31 | 16.54 | 4.74 | 0.19 | 0.02 |
| Rnd-7 | 14.60 | 17.12 | 21.32 | 10.54 | 3.80 | 31.09 | 2.05 | 5.12 | 26.20 | 2.65 | 1.70 | 0.33 |
| Rnd-8 | 25.10 | 20.82 | 25.26 | 15.94 | 7.10 | 52.31 | 5.09 | 18.08 | 79.75 | 4.01 | 0.65 | 0.03 |
| Rnd-9 | 37.31 | 47.36 | 48.91 | 37.33 | 8.86 | 122.20 | 22.55 | 30.88 | 96.37 | 7.02 | 2.46 | 1.18 |
| Rnd-10 | 39.69 | 31.82 | 52.43 | 22.39 | 9.19 | 264.82 | 11.47 | 41.19 | 95.62 | 12.08 | 0.81 | 0.58 |
| Rnd-11 | 9.91 | 2.69 | 2.67 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 6.29 | 0.58 | 23.86 | 105.62 | 6.18 | 0.40 | 0.03 |
| Rnd-12 | 70.44 | 11.75 | 14.95 | 2.40 | 0.39 | 65.94 | 1.69 | 21.26 | 113.34 | 37.81 | 1.40 | 0.23 |
| Rnd-13 | 133.72 | 51.78 | 37.85 | 3.57 | 0.55 | 138.62 | 11.06 | 67.10 | 147.81 | 31.93 | 2.53 | 0.28 |
| Rnd-14 | 284.57 | 38.31 | 34.68 | 3.66 | 2.06 | 239.84 | 10.09 | 96.12 | 172.06 | 167.51 | 3.53 | 1.16 |
| Rnd-15 | 610.61 | 193.65 | 250.50 | 16.49 | 2.56 | 468.72 | 31.04 | 229.93 | 384.09 | 181.80 | 8.44 | 1.18 |
| Rnd-16 | 305.03 | 299.60 | 362.34 | 165.63 | 43.70 | 286.69 | 113.24 | 439.76 | 423.75 | 74.30 | 18.12 | 4.48 |
| Rnd-17 | 5432.30 | 6224.80 | 6250.50 | 2989.70 | 397.20 | 6070.28 | 2859.75 | 3948.10 | 1523.86 | 1064.61 | 61.61 | 11.31 |
| Rnd-18 | 10635.40 | 9529.90 | 9215.77 | 6319.60 | 1914.75 | 9553.05 | 9984.34 | 10238.23 | 1689.62 | 4032.95 | 83.90 | 51.35 |
| 3-hybrid(1) | 1.88 | 4.20 | 2.65 | 8.57 | 0.06 | 1.38 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 4.79 | 0.77 | 5.23 | 0.05 |
| 3-hybrid(2) | 1.84 | 3.65 | 2.42 | 7.98 | 0.08 | 1.39 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 4.86 | 0.83 | 5.52 | 0.05 |
| 7-hybrid(2) | 3.74 | 12.76 | 6.76 | 20.99 | 0.27 | 5.39 | 2.58 | 3.10 | 10.11 | 1.53 | 19.07 | 0.30 |
| 7-hybrid(3) | 4.40 | 11.22 | 6.23 | 20.54 | 0.34 | 4.94 | 2.34 | 3.51 | 9.94 | 1.45 | 17.38 | 0.33 |
| 15-hybrid(3) | 22.02 | 56.46 | 39.80 | 79.12 | 3.46 | 23.62 | 18.14 | 8.52 | 60.89 | 3.18 | 107.60 | 3.76 |
| 15-hybrid(4) | 23.55 | 42.11 | 40.82 | 81.20 | 2.71 | 24.79 | 18.22 | 9.82 | 62.47 | 3.25 | 131.67 | 4.00 |
| 31-hybrid(4) | 114.58 | 224.09 | 241.59 | 364.92 | 22.07 | 151.65 | 91.79 | 23.94 | 101.67 | 14.68 | 2082.70 | 51.38 |
| 31-hybrid(5) | 114.78 | 206.78 | 230.61 | 311.80 | 20.55 | 156.44 | 74.83 | 20.62 | 128.92 | 13.92 | 2707.60 | 55.33 |
| Ebone-1 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.072 | 1.072 | 0.049 | 0.020 | 0.007 |
| Ebone-2 | 0.170 | 0.041 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.014 | 0.172 | 0.027 | 0.157 | 1.206 | 0.108 | 0.038 | 0.016 |
| Ebone-3 | 0.065 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 0.004 | 0.071 | 0.021 | 0.056 | 0.983 | 0.088 | 0.029 | 0.011 |
| Exodus-1 | 0.036 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.031 | 0.057 | 0.022 | 1.581 | 0.023 | 0.054 | 0.045 |
| Exodus-2 | 0.738 | 0.114 | 0.138 | 0.015 | 0.006 | 0.209 | 0.028 | 0.437 | 1.347 | 0.361 | 0.041 | 0.010 |

because a number of the problem-specific mechanisms have been integrated into the framework of ACO to enhance its overall performance. These mechanisms include the multidimensional pheromone maintenance mechanism which eliminates the pheromone overlapping phenomenon, the heuristic maintenance mechanism which exploits the local information to provide extra guidance to reduce the number of coding operations in the solution construction process, the tabu-table based path construction and the pheromone local updating rule for easily and properly finding feasible paths connecting the source and each receiver, and the solution reconstruction method which improves the exploitation ability of ACO. With all the above mechanisms, NCRM-ACO performs well when tackling the NCRM problem. To further support our analysis, we compare the 12 algorithms using Student's $t$-test. Obviously, NCRM-ACO and pEA are the two best algorithms among all algorithms for comparison.

Then, we compare the ACTs obtained by different algorithms. NCRM-ACO is one of the fastest in almost all instances. The following explains the reasons. Different from the existing algorithms being compared, NCRM-ACO is based on the principle "learning while optimizing". With all the problem-specific mechanisms integrated, NCRM-ACO makes use of the local and global information collected during the search so as to guide the fast construction of optimal solutions. Hence, less computational time is consumed. For relatively small instances, such as Rnd-3 and Rnd-8, NCRM-ACO is 20
times faster compared to pEA , the second fastest algorithm. For large instances, e.g., Fix-4, Rnd-17 and Rnd-18, although constructing feasible paths may waste some time, NCRMACO is still able to obtain an optimal solution within a very limited time, i.e., the fastest one among those algorithms being compared. As compared above, NCRM-ACO and pEA both gain the best performance with respect to the best solutions obtained. However, if looking at the ACT indicator, one can easily see that NCRM-ACO is much faster than pEA in almost all instances. When considering the practical deployment of the NCM, the computational time is of vital importance since the algorithm needs to respond to applications as quickly as possible. So, if we take into account Mean, SD, the $t$-test results and ACT, NCRM-ACO has the best overall performance and is definitely better than pEA , our previous work.

## VI. Conclusion

This paper proposed a modified NCRM-ACO algorithm based on ACO to tackle the NCRM problem. Different from the existing algorithms, NCRM-ACO constructs feasible solutions with the help of the local and global information emerged during the search. The proposed algorithm has several attractive features which contribute to its descent performance. Instead of using a single pheromone table, multiple pheromone tables are maintained in the pheromone maintenance mechanism so that each ant is appropriately guided to complete its path-finding task. The problem-specific heuristic information
exposes the status of each incoming link to ant groups. Thus, each ant is provided with useful local information for selecting appropriate links along the path under construction. A tabutable based path construction mechanism and a pheromone local updating rule are devised to achieve a higher successful ratio for constructing feasible path sets. Moreover, a solution reconstruction method is able to enhance the local exploration ability of NCRM-ACO, with the purpose of improving the solution quality. With these problem-specific mechanisms integrated, NCRM-ACO is reported to outperform seven existing state-of-the-art algorithms in terms of the best solutions obtained and the average computational time on a set of widely tested benchmark problems.
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