



**Queensland University of Technology**  
Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

[Timmins, Ryan](#), [Bourne, Matthew](#), [Shield, Anthony](#), Williams, Morgan D., Lorenzen, Christian, & Opar, David A.

(2016)

Biceps femoris architecture and strength in athletes with a prior ACL reconstruction.

*Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(3), pp. 337-345.

This file was downloaded from: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/89583/>

© Copyright 2015 Lippincott Williams and Wilkins

**Notice:** *Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:*

<https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000783>

TABLE 2. Within group comparisons average peak knee flexor force during the Nordic hamstring exercise and maximum voluntary isometric knee flexor strength for the control group (left vs right) and the ACL injured group (uninjured vs ACL injured limb). ACL = anterior cruciate ligament, MVIC = maximum voluntary isometric contraction, SD = standard deviation, 95% CI = 95% confidence interval, \*=p<0.05.

	Control group (n=52)					ACL injured group (n=15)				
	Left Leg (Mean±SD)	Right Leg (Mean±SD)	Absolute difference (95% CI)	p	Effect Size ( <i>d</i> )	Uninjured (Mean±SD)	ACL Injured Limb (Mean±SD)	Uninjured minus ACL injured limb (95% CI)	p	Effect Size ( <i>d</i> )
Nordic	316.4±78.7	323.4±79.8	7.0 (-1.58 to 15.5)	0.108	0.08	312.9±85.1	269.9±81.4	43.0 (7.2 to 78.7)	0.022*	0.51
MVIC	378.9±86.9	390.1±85.9	11.2 (-0.5 to 22.1)	0.070	0.13	354.9±62.7	337.6±45.1	17.3 (-9.9 to 44.5)	0.195	0.31