



Queensland University of Technology
Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

[Choi, Jaz Hee-jeong](#) & Graham, Mark
(2014)
Urban food futures : ICTs and opportunities.
Futures: the journal of policy, planning and futures studies, 62(Part B), pp. 151-154.

This file was downloaded from: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/74592/>

© Copyright 2014 Elsevier

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Futures*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Futures*, [VOL 62, Part B (2014)] DOI: 10.1016/j.futures.2014.04.009

Notice: *Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:*

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2014.04.009>

Urban Food Futures: ICTs and Opportunities

1. The networking of food

Food is a vital foundation of all human life. It is essential to a myriad of political, socio-cultural, economic and environmental practices throughout history. As Kaplan [1] contends, “the scholarship on food has real pedigree.” Today, practices of food production, consumption and distribution have the potential to go through immensely transformative shifts as network technologies become increasingly embedded in every domain of contemporary life. This presents unique opportunities for further scholarly exploration on this topic, which this special issue intends to address.

Information and communication technologies (ICTs) are one of the pillars of contemporary global functionality and sustenance and undoubtedly will continue to present new challenges and opportunities for the future. As such, this special issue of Futures has been brought together to address challenges and opportunities at the intersection of food and ICTs. In particular, the edition asks, *what are the key roles that network technologies play in re-shaping social and economic networks of food?*

Possible responses to the above question would necessarily be wide-ranging and even conflicting. We introduce a special issue here that addresses the question from multiple perspectives. This special issue was born out of a collection of papers that were presented at the *Urban Food Futures symposium* held in late 2011 at the University of Oxford’s Oxford Internet Institute. The speakers came from a variety of fields, including information technology, geography, business studies, development, and futures studies. Before introducing the papers that make up this issue and the debates and issues that they speak to, we find it useful to reflect on the importance of the coming-togethers of food and ICTs for futures studies. We do that in three ways.

First, we focus on the increasing data trails left behind by food as it is moved across the world, and highlight the significant impacts that these food-related data might have on both production and purchasing practices. Second, because our interactions with food are always inherently social, we focus on both the ways that ICTs are able to amplify certain socialites around food, and the broader implications of those amplifications. Finally, we focus on the re-routings of mobility and distribution networks made possible by ICTs and their potential effects on not just

food production and consumption, but also the urban and rural infrastructures and spaces that mediate those activities.

2. Food data, transparency and changing practices

The last decade has seen an unprecedented growth in the digital data trails left behind by human behavior. Governments, corporations, and academics are all rushing to harness the unimaginable amount of 'big data' produced about everyday life. Deepening data shadows have also emerged with a concomitant and broad-ranging movement to promote openness and transparency of data sources. Though not without criticism [2, 3], 'open data', 'open government', 'open society', and 'open development' are just a few of the umbrella-terms that have been marshaled to deploy significant resources and massive amounts of human labour to remove barriers and intermediaries in flows of information between producers and users. In relation to food, this desire for transparency has been particularly pronounced at economic and environmental intersections. Data about the environmental consequences of economic processes and products is collected, collated, and calculated by firms, certifying organisations, and consumers. In other words, myriad groups focused on ethical consumption (e.g. SourceMap¹, the Rainforest Alliance², Followthethings³, and a myriad of food miles calculators) are employing the powerful discourse of transparency in order to make available a range of new data sources that attempt to allow people to see the environmental, and the economic implications and embeddedness of engagement with contemporary food chains [4].

3. ICTs and the sociality of food

From production to consumption, sociality around food continues to shape the meanings, processes, and physical traits of food. Food has played a central role in shaping a range of individual and societal changes and transformations through history [cf. 5, 6, 7]. For example, as Sennett remarks, our fundamental social skills to connect with others can be traced back to food consumption in early stages of our

¹ sourcemap.com

² rainforest-alliance.org

³ followthethings.com

lives: “[b]uried in all of us is the infantile experience of relating and connecting” [8] for survival, a basic craft of cooperation learnt and rehearsed through experiences such as breastfeeding. On a broader level, the innate centrality of food in society is clearly demonstrated in tight state control (in most countries) over food. From religious food regimes commonly observed during the Middle Ages to contemporary scientific forestry and industrialisation-scale food production [9, 10] – food, and social, economic, and political practices and forms of governance related to food, have significantly environments, social orders, cultural values, and many other facets of everyday life.

With rising environmental problems, diet-related health issues, and widening economic inequality in recent years, ensuring food security has become a major political, social, economic, and scholarly agenda. Recent interest by a broad swath of society about food has been demonstrated by the proliferation of food-related media across platforms as well as an extensive growth in farmers’ markets around the world: 60 per cent increase in farmers’ markets in US between 2010 and 2013 [11]; UK has experienced a similarly exponential growth in this area with approximately 800 Farmers’ Markets currently in operation, since it was first introduced in 1997 [12]. Added to this is the rapid development of ICTs, which has been conducive to a myriad of technical endeavours designed to address these issues and topics. Choi [21], for instance, attributes the recent surge of interest to people’s need to engage in new “tactics of wellbeing” and argues that most of these technical endeavours could benefit from further critical considerations of some of the key sociocultural and phenomenological aspects of food.

New forms of sociality have been emerging in various parts of the food chain from consumption to preparation and production, afforded by everyday experiences increasingly and inextricably interwoven with network technologies. Some of the most prolific developments have been observed in the **consumption** domain. For example, sharing information through online review systems – such as Urbanspoon⁴, a restaurant review system; Buycott⁵, an App that lets the user scan bar codes of products and trace their corporate parent companies; and Fooducate⁶, which helps the

⁴ urbanspoon.com

⁵ buycott.com

⁶ fooducate.com

user find detailed information about ingredients of a particular product and suggests other products that are deemed healthier alternatives – has had significant implications for contemporary consumer decision making processes. New ways of communicating and evaluating product information, as seen in *qkies*⁷, edible QR codes, and MealSnap, which analyses photographs of food taken with the user’s mobile phone to algorithmically identify the food and its calories⁸, have provided novel and richer ways for consumers to understand food. Some modes of **preparing food** have become more accessible with the advancement of DIY science and knowledge sharing through online communities – for example, molecular gastronomy – and some have been newly explored and engendered, such as food fabrication [[13](#), [14](#)]. Uses of network technologies for knowledge development have already become a significant part of small-to-large scale food **production** as evidenced in growing body of literature in, notably, ICT4D (ICT for Development) [cf. [15](#), [16](#)] and HCI (Human-computer Interaction) [cf. [17](#), [18](#)], among other fields. In the current era ‘food porn’ [[19](#)], in which most intimate and visceral gastronomic experiences are shared through social media, sociality of food, remains pivotal to the evolution of food systems from consumption to production.

4. Conclusions

This edition of Futures presents six papers around these two themes and represent an important coming-together of research on food futures. First, **Kervenoael, Elms, and Hallsworth** offer an insight into long-term ethnographic case studies of households engaged in online grocery shopping in England. The findings suggest the flexibility of online grocery shopping allows for alternative perspectives and channels conducive to practicing their anti-choice against hegemonic supermarkets chains. This shift of power, practices, and agency of consumers demands a timely innovation of the retail sector for it to remain relevant. The second article by **Lekakis** puts forward a more cautionary view by questioning the transferability of online participation into mobilising, interactive, and sustained engagement with fair trade as a political and economic project. **Vallauri**’s study about the Veg Bag scheme in a North-West

⁷ qkies.de

⁸ mealsnap.com

London neighbourhood of Belsize Park adds to this view. His findings show that while online communication platforms enhanced procurement infrastructures, sociocultural interactions occurred essentially in a physical communal environment, and contributed more significantly to the development of the local food scheme. However, he notes the contextual specificity of the case by reflecting on the findings of his previous study in Kenya where online platforms played a significant role in enabling new communication and knowledge channels that captured voices that had not been heard previously.

In recognition of the complex and contextual issues surrounding food, **Davies** problematises the productivist approaches commonly undertaken by states and global organisations. Davies examines the CONSENSUS (Consumption, Environment and Sustainability) project in Ireland, which involved a practice-oriented participatory (POP) backcasting approach, and argues that a more nuanced approach to understanding of practices, values, and systems are required in order to shape a better food future. Following this, **Kera and Sulaiman** provide glimpses of such practices and systems by exploring data-driven commensality. Using prototypes based on two key current technosocial trends in Singapore – rise of social media use and the country’s long history of hawker food culture – Kera and Sulaiman speculate what commensal food experiences would look like in the emerging data-rich environments. Finally, **Hearn, Collie, Lyle, Foth, and Choi** present a broader analysis of emerging innovations in urban food systems by addressing the technical, discursive, and social layers through communicative ecology theory. They conclude that although fundamental systemic changes in food systems may only be speculative at this point, smaller scale, particularly community-level developments afforded by innovative multi-platform technologies are important signals for a new turn in food history.

Critical discussions about transformations in society in relation to developments in digital technologies tend to be situated in what Bell and Dourish call “proximate future” [20]. Changes at the intersection between food and technology have not been immune to such an inclination. However, we observe a growing body of work across various fields, revealing the fundamental, intricate, and complex interconnections that necessarily require more open, collaborative, urgent effort across many domains of

research and scholarship. This special issue is our small yet hopeful contribution towards that effort.

References

- [1] D.M. Kaplan, *The Philosophy of Food*, in, University of California Press, 2012.
- [2] H. Yu, D.G. Robinson, *The New Ambiguity of "Open Government"*, *UCLA Law Review Discourse*, 59 (2012) 180-208.
- [3] E. Morozov, *To Save Everything, Click here: Technology, Solutionism and the Urge to Fix Problems that Don't Exist*, Allen Lane, London, 2013.
- [4] M. Graham, H. Haarstad, *Transparency and Development: Ethical Consumption through Web 2.0 and the Internet of Things*, *Information Technologies & International Development*, 7 (2011) 1-18.
- [5] C. Steel, *Hungry City: How Food Shapes Our Lives*, Vintage, London, 2008.
- [6] J.C. Scott, *Decoding Subaltern Politics : Ideology, Disguise, and Resistance in Agrarian Politics*, in, Taylor and Francis, Hoboken, 2012.
- [7] A. Gopnik, *The philosophical baby: what children's minds tell us about truth, love, and the meaning of life*, Picador/Farrar, Straus and Giroux, New York, 2010.
- [8] R. Sennett, *Together: the Rituals, Pleasures and Politics of Cooperation*, Allen Lane, London, 2012.
- [9] C.W. Bynum, *Holy Feast and Holy Fast: The Religious Significance of Food to Medieval Women*, University of California Press, 1987.
- [10] J.C. Scott, *Seeing Like State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*, Yale U.P, London, 1998.
- [11] USDA, *Farmers Market Growth: 1994-2010*, in, USDA, 2010.
- [12] C. Gleeson, *Farmers' markets – 21st century solution to local sustainability?*, in: *Farmers Guardian*, BriefingMedia, Preston, UK, 2010.
- [13] D. Periard, N. Schaal, M. Schaal, E. Malone, H. Lipson, *Printing Food*, in: *Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium*, Austin, TX, 2007, pp. 564-574.
- [14] W. Jun, A.D. Cheok, *Foodie: play with your food promote interaction and fun with edible interface*, *Consumer Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*, 58 (2012) 178-183.
- [15] UNDP Ethiopia, *Promoting ICT based agricultural knowledge management to increase production and productivity of smallholder farmers in Ethiopia*, in: *Development Brief*, UNDP, 2012.
- [16] World Bank, *ICT in Agriculture Connecting Smallholders to Knowledge, Networks, and Institutions*, in: *e-Sourcebook*, World Bank, Washington, DC, 2011.
- [17] T. Hirsch, P. Sengers, E. Blevis, R. Beckwith, T. Parikh, *Making food, producing sustainability*, in: *Proceedings of the 28th of the international conference extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*, ACM, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2010, pp. 3147-3150.
- [18] P. Lyle, J.H.-j. Choi, M. Foth, *HCI for City Farms: Design Challenges and Opportunities*, in: P. Kotzé, G. Marsden, G. Lindgaard, J. Wesson, M. Winckler (Eds.), *Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 2013*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 109-116.
- [19] A.E. McBride, *Food Porn*, *Gastronomica*, 10 (2010) 38-46.
- [20] G. Bell, P. Dourish, *Yesterdays tomorrows: notes on ubiquitous computings dominant vision*, *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 11 (2007) 133-143.
- [21] J.H. Choi, *Tactics of Wellbeing: Mobile Media and A New Turn in the Human-Food Relationship*, in: G. Goggin, L. Hjorth (Eds.), *Routledge Companion to Mobile Media*, Routledge, New York, 2014 (in press).