Late Holocene sea- and land-level change on the U.S. southeastern Atlantic coast
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ABSTRACT

Late Holocene relative sea-level (RSL) reconstructions can be used to estimate rates of land-level (subsidence or uplift) change and therefore to modify global sea-level projections for regional conditions. These reconstructions also provide the long-term benchmark against which modern trends are compared and an opportunity to understand the response of sea level to past climate variability. To address a spatial absence of late Holocene data in Florida and Georgia, we reconstructed ~1.3 m of RSL rise in northeastern Florida (USA) during the past ~2600 years using plant remains and foraminifera in a dated core of high salt-marsh sediment. The reconstruction was fused with tide-gauge data from nearby Fernandina Beach, which measured 1.91 ± 0.26 mm/yr of RSL rise since 1900 CE. The average rate of RSL rise prior to 1800 CE was 0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr. Assuming negligible meltwater input, this sea-level history approximates net land-level (subsidence and geoid) change, principally from glacio-isostatic adjustment. Historic rates of rise commenced at 1850-1890 CE and it is virtually certain \( (P=0.99) \) that the average rate of 20th century RSL rise in northeastern Florida was faster than during any of the preceding 26 centuries. The linearity of RSL rise in Florida is in contrast to the variability reconstructed at sites further north on the U.S. Atlantic coast and may suggest a role for ocean dynamic effects in explaining these more variable RSL reconstructions. Comparison of the difference between reconstructed rates of late Holocene RSL rise and historic trends measured by tide gauges indicates that 20th century sea-level trends along the U.S. Atlantic coast are not dominated by the characteristic spatial fingerprint of melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet.
1. INTRODUCTION

Relative sea level (RSL) is the net outcome of several simultaneous contributions including ocean mass and volume, gravitational effects of ice-sheet melting, ocean dynamics, and glacio-isostatic adjustment (GIA; e.g. Shennan et al., 2012). During the late Holocene (last ~2000-3000 years), RSL change along the passive U.S. Atlantic margin was dominated by spatially-variable land subsidence and geoid fall. The primary driver of these two processes was (and continues to be) GIA caused by the retreat of the Laurentide Ice Sheet and the collapse of its pro-glacial forebulge (e.g. Peltier, 2004). However, other processes such as dynamic topography caused by mantle flow associated with plate tectonic motion (e.g. Rowley et al., 2013) and sediment compaction (Miller et al., 2013) also contribute to long-term RSL trends through vertical land motion. For convenience we use the term “land-level change” to refer to the net effect of GIA-induced geoid change and vertical land motion from all sources (Shennan et al., 2012). To isolate climate-related sea-level trends and compare reconstructions from different regions, it is necessary to quantify rates of land-level change (e.g. Church and White, 2006). These estimates are important for coastal management and planning because in many regions subsidence will be a principal reason for regional modification of global sea-level projections (e.g. Kopp et al., In Review; Nicholls and Cazenave, 2010). Approaches to estimate the contribution of land-level change to past and projected RSL include:

i. Earth-ice models that assume no meltwater input during the late Holocene and attribute predicted RSL trends solely to GIA (Peltier, 2004);

ii. Permanent global positioning stations (GPS) that directly measure net vertical motion from GIA and other processes (e.g. Sella et al., 2007; Woppelmann et al., 2009). The
short time series of measurements currently causes large (but decreasing) uncertainties in estimated land-level trends, but do not incorporate GIA-induced changes in the geoid;

iii. Paired satellite altimetry and tide-gauge datasets;

iv. Basal RSL reconstructions that assume late Holocene meltwater input was negligible (like Earth-ice models) until ~1850 CE and attribute RSL trends solely to land-level change (e.g. Engelhart et al., 2009), thereby also capturing land-level changes from processes other than GIA.

Earth-ice models predict that the contribution of GIA to RSL varies systematically with distance away from the former centers of glaciation. Along the east coast of North America this pattern is clear in RSL reconstructions, which show that the rate of late Holocene subsidence is greatest along the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast (up to 1.4 mm/yr in New Jersey and Delaware) with decreasing rates to the north and south (Engelhart et al., 2009; Engelhart, Peltier, et al., 2011). However, the absence of RSL reconstructions prevented estimation of subsidence rates in Florida and Georgia. It is important to constrain the late Holocene RSL history of this region to support coastal planning, to provide geological data for testing Earth-ice models, and to fill the spatial gap between the existing RSL datasets that are available for the U.S. Atlantic coast (Engelhart and Horton, 2012) and Caribbean (Milne et al., 2005; Milne and Peros, 2013).

Detailed reconstructions of late Holocene RSL allow investigation of the response of sea level to climate variability (e.g. the Medieval Climate Anomaly and Little Ice Age) and show that
historic sea-level rise (either reconstructed or measured by tide gauges) exceeds the background rate that persisted for several previous centuries or longer (e.g. Donnelly et al., 2004). Existing reconstructions from the Atlantic coast of North America indicate that RSL departed positively and negatively from a linear trend at intervals during the last 2000 years and prior to the onset of historic rates of rise (Gehrels, 2000; Gehrels et al., 2005; Kemp, Horton, et al., 2011; Kemp, Horton, et al., 2013). Spatial differences in the timing, sign, and magnitude of these trends may be indicative of the mechanisms causing RSL change (e.g. Clark and Lingle, 1977; Mitrovica et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2010).

To estimate the rate of late Holocene land-level change and describe sea-level trends in northern Florida (Figure 1) we reconstructed RSL change during the past ~2600 years using plant macrofossils and foraminifera preserved in a dated core of salt-marsh sediment from Nassau Landing. We estimate the rate of late Holocene (pre-1800 CE) RSL rise using noisy-input Gaussian process regression and compare it to historic tide-gauge measurements from Fernandina Beach and reconstructions from elsewhere on the U.S. Atlantic coast. We evaluate the possible role of GIA and ocean dynamics as drivers of past, present, and future RSL change in the southeastern United States.

2. STUDY AREA

We used sediment recovered in gouge cores to investigate the stratigraphy underlying numerous salt marshes between Jacksonville, FL and St. Mary’s, GA (Figure 1). Nassau Landing had the thickest and most complete sequences of high salt-marsh peat that we identified in the region.
We selected core NLM2 from Nassau Landing for detailed analysis because it included a 1.0 m thick unit of salt-marsh peat with abundant and in situ macrofossils of high-marsh plants (*Juncus roemerianus* and *Cladium jamaicense*) and was typical of the sediment sequence underlying the site (Figure 1C). Cores for laboratory analysis were collected using a Russian corer to prevent compaction and contamination during sampling. The cores were placed in rigid plastic sleeves, wrapped in plastic and kept in refrigerated storage. Below the high salt-marsh peat was 0.75 m of organic silt that included sparse *Juncus roemerianus* macrofossils and became less organic with depth. This unit overlies grey mud with no visible organic material that extended to at least 4.0 m below the marsh surface in NLM2. We did not recover any longer cores to establish the thickness of the grey mud or to identify the sedimentary unit underlying it. No cores described at Nassau Landing indicated the presence of deeper units of salt-marsh peat. Models of sediment compaction indicate that RSL reconstructions from saturated, shallow salt-marsh peat sequences without overburden are unaffected by autocompaction (Brain et al., 2012).

The Nassau Landing salt marsh is a platform marsh and typical of the ecology and geomorphology of marshes in the study region. Low-marsh floral zones are largely absent because there is a pronounced step change in elevation between the tidal channel and salt-marsh platform, which is up to 3.5 km wide in some places along the Nassau River. Peat-forming plant communities are restricted to the salt-marsh platform and are vegetated by mono-specific stands of *Juncus roemerianus* that are replaced with mixed stands of *Juncus roemerianus*, *Cladium jamaicense*, and *Iva fruticosa* at locations inland of the tidal channels reflecting the attenuation of tides by *Juncus roemerianus* stems and the low tolerance of *Cladium jamaicense* to salinity rather than a distinct zone of elevation (e.g. Brewer and Grace, 1990; Ross et al., 2000).
marsh platform spans a narrow range of elevations in the uppermost part of the tidal frame from mean high water (MHW) to highest astronomical tide (HAT). Hardwood hammocks occupy uplands and well-drained slopes above HAT within and around the tidally-flooded marsh (Platt and Schwartz, 1990). Water monitoring by the Department of Environmental Protection since 1996 CE shows that close to the coring site the Nassau River has an average salinity of 9.2 ‰. The great diurnal tidal range (mean lower low water, MLLW, to mean higher high water, MHHW) at the NOAA tide station adjacent to the coring site (“Boggy Creek”) is 0.98 m. No HAT datum is available for Boggy Creek, so one was estimated as being 25 % of the great diurnal tidal range above MHHW based on the tidal frame reported for Fernandina Beach. This approach assumes that the relationship between tidal datums is unchanged among estuaries in northeastern Florida and between sites located along the course of the estuary from sites relatively close to the coast (e.g. Fernandina Beach) to sites located upriver (e.g. Nassau Landing; Figure 1). The validity of these assumptions can be test on the St. John’s River in Florida (~22 km south of Nassau Landing; Table 1) because tide gauges with reported HAT values extend from Mayport at the coast to Racy Point, which is 100 km upriver. This suite of tide gauges show that the height of HAT above MHHW falls from 28% of tidal range at Mayport to 15.4% at Racy Point. This indicates that our estimate of HAT at Nassau Landing is conservative. Cores and modern surface samples were related to tidal datums by leveling directly to the Boggy Creek tidal benchmark (Figure 1) using a total station and real time kinematic satellite navigation. The core-top altitude of NLM2 was 0.55 m above MTL.

3. Methods
3.1 Developing a chronology for NLM2

The accumulation history of NLM2 was established using an age-depth model (Bchron; Haslett and Parnell, 2008; Parnell et al., 2008). The input for the model was a composite chronology comprised of radiocarbon ages (Table 2) and chronohorizons recognized by pollution and pollen markers of known age (Figure 2; Table 3). Chronohorizons were treated as having a uniform probability distribution and radiocarbon ages were calibrated using the IntCal09 dataset (Reimer et al., 2011). No weighting was applied to any age estimates and a super long run of 10 million iterations was used to develop the age-depth model (Figure 3).

Ten sub-surface stems (culms) of *J. roemerianus* were separated from the sediment matrix and cleaned under a binocular microscope to removing contaminating material such as rootlets or adhered sediment particles before being dried at ~45 °C. These macrofossils are accurate markers of paleo-marsh surfaces because they grow close to the marsh surface (Eleuterius, 1976) and are relatively short lived (~3 years; Eleuterius, 1975). The age-depth modeling approach we applied incorporated a vertical uncertainty (specified as sample thickness in model input) in the relationship between plant macrofossils and the paleo-marsh surfaces they represent. This approach is more flexible than applying a universal, discrete correction for each type of dated plant macrofossil. The ten samples were radiocarbon dated at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) and underwent standard acid-base-acid pretreatment.
Prior to isotopic and elemental analysis, 1-cm thick slices of NLM2 were dried and ground to a homogenized fine powder. Every other 1-cm thick section in the upper 30 cm of NLM2 was analyzed for $^{137}$Cs and $^{210}$Pb after a sub-sample of the homogenized powder was weighed into vials that were sealed and stored for at least four weeks to achieve equilibrium and allow in growth of $^{222}$Rn daughters prior to counting. Activity of $^{137}$Cs in NLM2 was measured for 24-48 hours by gamma spectroscopy using net counts at the 661.7 keV photopeak on a low-background, high-purity Germanium well detector at the Yale University Environmental Science Center. Although measured, $^{210}$Pb was not included in the age-depth model for NLM2 because $^{210}$Pb chronologies are derived from an accumulation model resulting in age-depth estimates that are not independent of one another. Since Bchron treats paired measurements of age and depth as independent, the inclusion of a $^{210}$Pb-derived chronology would bias the age-depth model by unfairly and implicitly weighting it toward the $^{210}$Pb estimates that are typically and positioned at 1 cm or 2 cm intervals (Kemp, Horton, et al., 2013). Furthermore, the accumulation model used to build the $^{210}$Pb chronology imposes an age-depth structure on the input used by Bchron resulting in a model of a model. One solution to this problem would be to downweight $^{210}$Pb-derived age estimates so that they sum to an importance equivalent to any single age-depth input such as a radiocarbon date.

For elemental analysis by mass spectrometry, a 0.25 g sub sample of the homogenized powder was dissolved in Savillex™ PFA (Teflon) vials by a HF/HClO$_4$/HNO$_3$ mixed concentrated acid attack. Once dry, the sample was redissolved in 25 ml of 1.6 M HNO$_3$. Pb and isotope ratio determinations were made using a quadrupole ICP-MS instrument (Agilent 7500 series) fitted with a conventional glass concentric nebuliser. For elemental analyses, the samples were further
diluted at a 1:40 ratio with 1% HNO₃/HCl mixture on the day of analysis. The instrument was calibrated with multi-element chemical standards (SPEX CertPrep™) of varying concentration to cover the expected range in the sample. The calibration was validated by additional standards obtained from a separate source to those used in calibration. Reference materials (including BCR-2) were carried through the same analytical procedure as samples as an additional check. The BCR-2 reference material basalt from the Columbia River and was produced and certified by the U.S. Geological Survey. This was chosen as the British Geological Survey long-term quality control for lead isotope ratio analysis because it is highly homogeneous with reliable and certificated isotope values at background lead concentrations. Detection limits for each element were calculated as the 3σ uncertainty of total procedural blanks. The detection limits for Pb and V were <0.3 mg/kg and <0.2 mg/kg for Cu.

The Pb isotope ratio analysis was performed on samples individually diluted to give a $^{208}$Pb$^+$ response of c. 500-800 kcps, the maximum value for the detector while maintaining linearity in the pulse-counting mode. Measurements were made as ten, 30 second integrations to allow calculation of individual sample statistics. All ratios were corrected for blanks; mass bias being corrected by repeated analysis of SRM981 (NIST). Quality control was performed by repeated analysis of an in-house UK ore lead “GlenDenning” and the BCR-2 reference material. The 2σ precision for the GlenDenning material was $^{207}/^{206}$Pb = 0.0007, $^{208}/^{206}$Pb = 0.0017, based on $n=111$ replicates over 3 years. The 2σ precision of the BCR-2 reference material, which has a total lead concentration of 11 mg/kg was $^{207}/^{206}$Pb = 0.0018, $^{208}/^{206}$Pb = 0.0041, based on $n=47$ replicates over 3 years; the accuracy of the measured values were within error of those defined in (Baker et al., 2004).
Palynomorphs (pollen and fern spores) were isolated from 1cm thick core samples using standard palynological preparation techniques (Traverse, 2007). To calculate palynomorph concentration (grains/g), one tablet of *Lycopodium* spores was added to 0.5-1.5 g of dry sediment. Samples were treated with HCl to remove carbonates and HF to remove silicates, acetolyzed (1 part H$_2$SO$_4$: 9 parts acetic anhydride) in a boiling water bath for 10 minutes, neutralized, and treated with 10% KOH for 10 minutes in a 70 °C water bath. After neutralization the coarse and clay fractions were removed by sieving with 149 µm and 10 µm nylon mesh. Samples were swirled in a watch glass to remove mineral matter as necessary. After staining with Bismarck Brown, palynomorph residues were mounted on microscope slides in glycerin jelly. A minimum of 300 pollen grains and spores were counted from each sample to determine relative abundance.

3.2 Reconstructing paleomarsh elevation and relative sea level

Paleomarsh elevation (PME) is the tidal elevation at which a sample was originally deposited. It is reconstructed using the analogy between modern sea-level indicators and their counterparts preserved in the sedimentary record. In temperate latitudes, salt-marsh plants and foraminifera are widely employed as sea-level indicators because their observable, modern distribution is intrinsically linked to the frequency and duration of tidal inundation by ecological preferences and tolerances that vary among species (e.g. Scott and Medioli, 1978; Wright et al., 2011). On the Atlantic coast of North America, this results in the zonation of plants into high and low salt-marsh ecosystems that are recognized in sediment cores by identification of plant
macrofossils and sediment texture (e.g. Gehrels, 1994; Niering et al., 1977; van de Plassche, 1991). According to Eleuterius and Eleuterius (1979) environments characterized by more than 75 % *Juncus roemerianus* on the U.S. Gulf coast are flooded by about 8 % of high tides, equating to a total annual inundation period of <1.3 % and tidal elevations above mean higher high water (MHHW). A similar distribution is observed on salt-marsh platforms in northeastern Florida (and elsewhere along the southeastern U.S. coast), where the lowest elevation of mono-specific zones of *Juncus roemerianus* in brackish settings is approximately MHW (e.g. Hughes, 1975; Kemp et al., 2010; Kurz and Wagner, 1957; Wiegert and Freeman, 1990; Woerner and Hackney, 1997). Therefore high salt-marsh peat that is classified as having accumulated at an elevation between MHW and HAT (e.g. Engelhart and Horton, 2012; van de Plassche, 1991).

Under current tidal conditions at Nassau Landing this range corresponds to an elevation of 0.58 ± 0.14 m above MTL based on our estimate of HAT.

The plant community at the time of sediment deposition was described by comparing plant macrofossils preserved in sediment cores described in the field and laboratory with modern examples (e.g. Niering et al., 1977; Warner, 1988). Foraminifera were counted wet under a binocular microscope after core samples were sieved under running water to disaggregate the sediment and retain material sized between 63 μm and 500 μm. A minimum of 100 dead individuals were counted or the entire sample was counted if fewer than 100 were present. We assigned a PME to samples in NLM2 based on plant macrofossil remains that were supported by independent evidence from assemblages of foraminifera.
In most cases, down core changes in foraminifera (even within a continuous high-marsh peat) represent subtle disequilibrium between sediment accumulation and RSL rise. This requires PME to be estimated for each sample using a technique such as a transfer function (e.g. Gehrels, 2000; Horton, 1999). There is a strong relationship between transfer function precision and tidal range demonstrating that reconstructions derived from settings with small tidal ranges have a correspondingly small vertical uncertainty (e.g. Callard et al., 2011). However, in regions with very small tidal range this relationship is less robust. Barlow et al. (2013) compiled examples of transfer functions developed for salt-marsh foraminifera and showed that the average uncertainty was 10.1% of tidal range (when at least 50% of the tidal range was sampled in the training set). At locations where the tidal range was less than 1.0 m, the average uncertainty of three transfer functions was 16.2% of tidal range. This reduction in relative precision could reflect a changing relationship between elevation and inundation where wind-driven water levels and distance inland are increasingly influential at smaller tidal ranges. At Nassau Landing (0.98 m tidal range) a transfer function precision of 16.2% would result in a vertical uncertainty in reconstructed PME of ±0.16 m compared to ±0.14 m for classification of a high salt-marsh peat. Under these (and similar) conditions development and application of a transfer function to reconstruct PME is unlikely to offer a significant advantage over classification. Therefore it was not necessary to develop and apply a transfer function to reconstruct PME at Nassau Landing and this level of complexity was removed.

3.3 Quantifying rates of relative sea-level change
RSL was reconstructed by subtracting PME from measured sample elevation (depth in a core with a known surface elevation). The new reconstruction and annual tide-gauge data from Fernandina Beach (1898-2013 CE) were used to describe and quantify patterns of RSL change in northeastern Florida. To fuse these two independent data sources into a single record, we smoothed the tide-gauge data to remove interannual, red noise-like variability following the method of Kopp (2013). To analyze the data, we apply a noisy-input Gaussian process regression methodology similar to that used by Kopp (2013) for tide-gauge analysis, although treating all data as though observed at a single spatial location (Fernandina Beach is approximately 23 km from Nassau Landing; Figure 1). A similar approach was used by Miller et al. (2013) for examining proxy data and tide-gauge data from New Jersey.

The vertical uncertainty of reconstructed RSL was treated as a normally-distributed $2\sigma$ range. Age errors estimated from Bchron were approximated as normally distributed and treated as $\pm 2\sigma$. Uncertainty on calibrated ages was transformed to vertical uncertainty using the noisy-input Gaussian process methodology of McHutchon and Rasmussen (2011). We fit the data to a Gaussian process with a prior mean of zero and prior covariance function $k(t_1, t_2)$, where $t_1$ and $t_2$ are two different ages. We employ the sum of (1) a Matérn covariance function with amplitude $\sigma_m^2$, scale factor $\tau$, and order $\nu$, (2) white noise with amplitude $\sigma_n^2$, and (3) an offset with amplitude $\sigma_d^2$ to correct for datum mismatches between the tide gauge and the proxy data:

$$k(t_1, t_2) = \sigma_m^2 C(|t_1 - t_2|, \nu, \tau) + \sigma_n^2 \delta(t_1, t_2) + \sigma_d^2 I_1 I_2$$

$$C(r, \nu, \gamma) = \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\nu r}}{\gamma} \right) K_\nu \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\nu r}}{\gamma} \right)$$
where \( C(r; v, \gamma) \) is a Matérn covariance function, \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta function (equal to 1 if \( t_1 = t_2 \) and 0 otherwise), \( I_i \) is an indicator equal to 1 if \( I \) is a proxy observation and 0 otherwise, \( \Gamma \) is the gamma function and \( K \), the modified Bessel function of the second kind. We set the hyperparameters \( \sigma_m, \tau, \gamma, \sigma_n \) and \( \sigma_d \) by finding their maximum likelihood values. The resulting hyperparameters are \( \sigma_m = 1190 \) mm, \( \tau = 4.13 \) ky and \( \nu = 1.1 \). The parameters \( \sigma_n = \sigma_d = 0 \) mm, implying that both high-frequency variability and datum offsets are within the noise of the observations.

4. Results

4.1 Age-depth model

Calibrated radiocarbon ages showed that the upper 125 cm of NLM2 spans the period since ~600 BCE (Figure 3). Measured \( \delta^{13}C \) values on the radiocarbon dated macrofossils identified as \( J. roemerianus \) (-28.3 ‰ to -25.1 ‰) and are similar to the value (-27.0 ‰) reported for \( J. roemerianus \) in modern Florida wetlands (Choi et al., 2001). Down core measurements of \( ^{137}Cs \) activity, elemental concentrations, ratios of stable Pb isotopes (\( ^{206}Pb:^{207}Pb \)), and changes in pollen identified pollution and environmental horizons of known age (Table 3; Figure 2). Interpretation of the elemental and isotopic profiles was based on national production records (e.g. USGS, 1998) and regional pollution histories (Jackson et al., 2004; Kamenov et al., 2009). We assumed that changes in production and consumption caused a corresponding change in emissions that were transported by constant prevailing wind patterns and deposited from the atmosphere on to the salt-marsh surface within a few years (Graney et al., 1995) and without isotopic fractionation (Ault et al., 1970). Since emissions per unit of production or consumption
must have changed over time, we recognized chronohorizons in NLM2 using trends rather than absolute values. Maximum $^{137}$Cs activity was caused by the peak in above ground testing of nuclear weapons in 1963 CE. Prevailing winds limited Pb fluxes from the Upper Mississippi Valley to northern Florida and this source was discounted when interpreting $^{206}$Pb:$^{207}$Pb trends (Gobeil et al., 2013; Lima et al., 2005). After 1920 CE, changes in Pb isotopes reflect emissions from leaded gasoline prior to its phasing out in North America (Facchetti, 1989). We recognized horizons matched to 1965 CE and 1980 CE that resulted from the changing mixture of leaded gasoline in the U.S. (Hurst, 2000). Changes in Pb isotope ratios at 1870 CE and 1900 CE were correlated to the regional history of coal combustion (Jackson et al., 2004). Introduction of V to the environment is principally from industrial and domestic combustion of fuel oil (Hope, 2008). Its volatility ensures that influx of V is most likely from an atmospheric source. The change from heavy to distilled oils since the 1970s reduced V emissions (Kamenov et al., 2009), therefore the peak concentration was assigned an age of 1975 CE ± 5 years.

Changes in pollen percent abundance provided two age-depth estimates. The decline in Pinus at 21 cm was attributed to the logging of pine trees in northern Florida which gathered pace after the Civil War and assigned an age of 1865 CE. Increased Ambrosia at 13 cm was attributed to the regional expansion of agroforestry (pine plantations) with the arrival of the Rayonier Company and assigned an age of 1935 CE. We developed an age-depth model for core NLM2 (Figure 3) using the Bchron package for R (v.3.1.5; Parnell et al., 2008). The model included all dating results (radiocarbon and chronohorizons) and generated an age estimate (with 95% confidence interval) for each 1-cm thick level from 0 cm to 125 cm in NLM2. Inclusion of all age-depth results (with chronological and vertical errors) in the model ensures that it provides an
accurate estimate of total uncertainty that takes into consideration uncertainty. The average uncertainty in modeled age was ± 64 years and none of the original age-depth results were shown to be incompatible with others given their uncertainties. Age-depth data is presented in Appendix A.

4.2 Reconstructing paleomarsh elevation and relative sea level

Paleomarsh elevation was reconstructed using plant macrofossils and foraminifera preserved in the dated interval of NLM2. The upper 1.0 m of NLM2 was comprised of a brown high salt-marsh peat and contained abundant and in situ plant macrofossils of *Juncus roemerianus* and *Cladium jamaicense* (Figure 1C). Between 1.0 m and 1.75 m the core was comprised of organic silt including preserved *Juncus roemerianus* remains between 1.0 m and 1.25 m. Reconstruction of RSL was limited to the upper 1.25 m of the core because of a lack of reliable material for radiocarbon dating and paleoenvironmental interpretation below this depth. The plant macrofossils in NLM2 indicate that the core accumulated in a high salt-marsh environment above MHW and below HAT (e.g. Eleuterius and Eleuterius, 1979; Engelhart and Horton, 2012; van de Plassche, 1991). The presence of *Cladium jamaicense* macrofossils also indicates low-salinity conditions (Brewer and Grace, 1990), similar to those present at the site today.

In NLM2, the assemblage of foraminifera in 66 samples from 2 cm to 130 cm (Figure 4) was dominated by *Ammonoastuta inepta* (70 % of total individuals). Modern assemblages of *A. inepta* on the U.S. Atlantic coast occupy low-salinity environments and high tidal elevations close to MHW and MHHW (Kemp et al., 2009; Kemp, Telford, et al., 2013). In a small number of
samples the abundance of other species exceeded 20% (*Arenoparrella mexicana* at 52 cm, 54 cm, and 56 cm; *Jadammina macrescens* at 24 cm and 50 cm; *Miliammina petila* at 2 cm and 4 cm; and *Tiphotrocha comprimata* at 20 cm), likely reflecting short-lived environmental changes such as local salinity fluctuations or populations blooms (Kemp, Buzas, et al., 2011). At depths of 26-30 cm there were five or fewer foraminifera in each 1-cm thick core sample. The species present in these samples were *Ammoastuta inepta*, *Jadammina macrescens* and *Tiphotrocha comprimata* and the individual tests were only unusual in their scarcity. This interval does not correspond to any visible change in sediment composition. Intervals of low test abundance are not uncommon in cores of salt-marsh sediment (e.g. Gehrels et al., 2002; Gehrels et al., 2006; Kemp, Horton, et al., 2013) and may be caused by test dissolution, low rates of reproduction, patchy distributions of living foraminifera, or dilution of test concentration by the rate of sediment accumulation.

Given the homogenous nature of preserved plant macrofossils and foraminiferal assemblages in NLM2, we assigned a PME of 0.58 m above MTL ± 0.14 m to all samples in NLM2 with counts of foraminifera reflecting deposition in a high salt-marsh environment between MHW and HAT. This suggests that the rate of sediment accumulation was equal to the rate of sea-level rise and that the Nassau Landing marsh maintained its elevation in the tidal frame since ~600 BCE (Kirwan and Murray, 2007; Morris et al., 2002). Therefore, RSL is equal to the history of sediment accumulation described by an age-depth model.
RSL was reconstructed by subtracting PME from the measured altitude of samples in NLM2.

The age of each sample was estimated by the age-depth model. From 590 BCE to 2010 CE, reconstructed RSL at Nassau Landing rose by 1.27 m ± 0.09 m (2σ; Figure 5B). Between 1900 CE and 2012 CE the Fernandina Beach tide gauge measured 1.9 ± 0.3 mm/yr of RSL rise (Figure 5A; Kopp, 2013). We fused these two records to quantify RSL changes in north Florida. The Gaussian process fit to the records indicates that the mean rate of RSL rise in northern Florida was 0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr (2σ) from 700 BCE to 1800 CE (Figure 5). The first 40-year period where the rate of RSL rise exceeded this background rate with probability $P > 0.95$ was 1850 CE to 1990 CE ($P=0.96$; Figure 5D). To compute the probability that 20th century RSL rise in northern Florida was without precedent in the late Holocene, we sampled the posterior probability distribution generated by the Gaussian process model, taking into account the covariance among time points (Miller et al., 2013). This analysis showed that it was virtually certain ($P=0.99$) that the 20th century rate of RSL rise was greater than the average rate during any of the previous 26 centuries. After correction for 0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr of land-level change, the Fernandina Beach tide gauge indicates that sea level in northern Florida rose at ~1.5 ± 0.3 mm/yr, consistent with the global mean of ~1.7 ± 0.2 mm/yr (Church and White, 2011). PME and RSL data are available in Appendix A.

5. Discussion

5.1 Rate of land-level change in northern Florida

Earth-ice model predictions indicate that the reconstructed rate of late Holocene subsidence (0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr) is representative of regional, late Holocene RSL trends between approximately
Cape Canaveral, FL (28.5°N) and Savannah, GA (32°N; Figure 6). The new reconstruction extends southward the spatial extent of land-level changes estimated from geological data and demonstrates that the rate of subsidence in northern Florida conforms to the pattern observed further north along the U.S. Atlantic coast in RSL reconstructions (Engelhart et al., 2009) and in modeling of tide-gauge data (Figure 7; Kopp, 2013). It is also in agreement with data from the U.S. Gulf coast located at a similar latitude and distance from the Laurentide Ice Sheet, which reconstructed long-term RSL rise to be 0.6 mm/yr, including 0.45 mm/yr from GIA and 0.15 mm/yr from flexure of the Mississippi delta (Yu et al., 2012). Land subsidence of 0.41 mm/yr should accordingly be included in regional projections of RSL rise in northern Florida for purposes of coastal planning and management.

The ICE6G-VM5a (C) Earth-ice model (Argus and Peltier, 2010; Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., In Revision) predicts 1.00 m of RSL rise from GIA over the last 2000 years (linear rate of 0.5 mm/yr) at Nassau Landing. This rate is in agreement with the new RSL reconstruction. The small (~0.1 mm/yr) difference is at the margins of the reconstruction precision and could be attributed to processes that counteract GIA. Up to 0.047 mm/yr may be from isostatic uplift caused by karstification of the Florida platform, although the model used to calculate this estimate did not account for GIA (Adams et al., 2010). Dynamic topography may also contribute to the difference between predicted and reconstructed RSL (Rowley et al., 2013), but is likely to be a negligible effect over the timescales under consideration. A full assessment of model fit in Florida and Georgia requires longer RSL records because the misfit between models and predictions elsewhere along the U.S. Atlantic coast is most apparent in middle and early Holocene data (Engelhart, Peltier, et al., 2011).
A GPS station 5.5 km from the Fernandina Beach tide gauge measured subsidence of 3.58 ± 0.30 mm/yr and was recognized as anomalous compared to data from Charleston, Miami Beach, and Key West (Wöppelmann et al., 2009; Yin and Goddard, 2013). GPS station JXVL (~12 km from Nassau Landing) measured uplift of 1.0 ± 2.6 mm/yr (1σ), over 3.6 years (Sella et al., 2007).

Although this wide error bound includes the rates estimated using the Earth-ice model and the Nassau Landing RSL reconstruction, accurately estimating rates of land-level change using GPS in northern Florida will require waiting for longer time series and/or more instruments to reduce uncertainty and allow a more meaningful comparison among approaches. It is also important to note that GPS stations do not measure the same parameter as RSL records; GPS stations are sensitive only to vertical land motion and not the geoid component of GIA.

5.2 Late Holocene relative sea-level trends

The linearity of reconstructed RSL in northern Florida before the late 19th century is in contrast to similar reconstructions from North Carolina and New Jersey that identified periods of late Holocene sea-level rise prior to the onset of historic trends (Kemp, Horton, et al., 2011; Kemp, Horton, et al., 2013). The non-synchronous timing of sea-level rise in New Jersey (250 CE to 750 CE) and North Carolina (950 CE to 1375 CE) coupled with the linearity of sea level in Florida suggests that these features were unlikely generated by radiocarbon calibration and a physical explanation is needed to explain the spatial pattern displayed by the reconstructions.
Oceanographic models predict a spatial pattern of dynamic sea-level rise along the Atlantic seaboard of North America caused by changes in the strength and position of the Gulf Stream (e.g. Ezer et al., 2013; Levermann et al., 2005; Yin et al., 2009). Modeling studies suggest that a 1 Sv change in Gulf Stream transport (currently ~31 Sv) would generate a 0.5-2 cm sea-level change along the U.S. Atlantic coast north of Cape Hatteras (Bingham and Hughes, 2009; Ezer, 2001; Kienert and Rahmstorf, 2012). A weaker Gulf Stream reduces the sea-surface height gradient causing a RSL rise to the north west (i.e. along the U.S. east coast) and a RSL fall to the south east (e.g. Bermuda; Ezer, 2001). This pattern is reversed by strengthening of the Gulf Stream to support a larger sea-surface height gradient. Locations south of Cape Hatteras (including Nassau Landing) are unaffected by this process because of the proximity of the Gulf Stream to the coast. Changes in Gulf Stream strength occur as part of trends and variability in Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC; Bryden et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2007; Srokosz et al., 2012). Over seasonal to decadal timescales, changing patterns of atmospheric winds and pressure (such as those that occur as part of the North Atlantic Oscillation; NAO) influence AMOC (e.g. Lozier, 2012). During the relatively short period of direct measurement, the strength of the Gulf Stream in the Florida Current is anti-correlated with the NAO and there is a positive correlation between transport in the Florida Current and the gradient of sea surface height north of Cape Hatteras (Ezer et al., 2013). For the decade 2002-2011 CE, comparison of sea-level variability measured by tide gauges along the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast with altimetry measurements of the sea-surface gradient across the Gulf Stream indicate that changes in Gulf Stream strength resulted in sea-level changes along the U.S. Atlantic coast (Ezer et al., 2013). Long-term (multi-century) changes in AMOC may result from climate-driven changes in North Atlantic water density (Lund et al., 2006; Lynch-Stieglitz et al.,...
1999). In the Florida strait, Gulf Stream strength was 3 Sv less than present during the Little Ice Age (Lund et al., 2006) and 10-17 Sv less at the Last Glacial Maximum (Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 1999). Assuming that the separation of the Gulf Stream from the coast remained at Cape Hatteras (Matsumoto and Lynch-Stieglitz, 2003), the Little Ice Age change would have produced a small sea-level rise in North Carolina and New Jersey (<6 cm), but not in Florida. To explain the magnitude of late Holocene sea-level changes in North Carolina and New Jersey (approximately 10-30 cm) as a consequence of variability in Gulf Stream strength would require a greater sensitivity than that predicted by current models or a process that amplifies the resulting sea-level trends. Furthermore, the Little Ice Age is characterized by stable or falling sea level in both reconstructions possibly because the ocean dynamic effect was overwhelmed by another contribution working in the opposite direction such as ocean mass and volume changes in a cooler climate.

Since 1850-1890 CE, RSL rise in northern Florida has exceeded the long-term background rate of change (Figure 5D). After removing 0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr of land-level change, the Fernandina Beach tide gauge indicates that sea level in northern Florida rose at ~1.5 mm/yr, consistent with the global mean of ~1.7 ± 0.2 mm/yr (Church and White, 2011). For ten tide-gauge locations along the U.S. Atlantic coast (from Eastport, ME to Charleston, SC), Engelhart et al. (2009) compared the measured (tide gauge) rate of RSL change to the linear, pre-1850 CE background rate estimated from late Holocene (last 4000 years) RSL reconstructions (Figure 8). In each case the historic rate of rise exceeded the long-term background rate and the difference was shown to increase from north to south reaching a maximum at Charleston, SC. It was cautiously proposed that this spatial trend could be a sea-level fingerprint caused by melting of the Greenland Ice
Sheet, with the caveat that other processes (e.g. steric effects) could also produce a similar spatial pattern and that additional records from Florida and Georgia were needed to further test this hypothesis. We extend this comparison further south along the U.S. Atlantic coast with the new Nassau Landing reconstruction and by using the rates of RSL change at tide-gauge stations (including Fernandina Beach) computed by Kopp (2013) to account for differences in tide-gauge record length (Figure 8). This analysis suggests that the maximum difference between rates of rise estimated from RSL reconstructions and measured by tide gauges likely occurs in Maryland or Virginia and does not conform to the proposed north-south pattern caused by melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet. However, uncertainty in calculating the difference does not preclude the presence of the spatial fingerprint of Greenland Ice Sheet melt in the 20th century that may also be masked or distorted by other contributions to RSL change such as ocean dynamics that occurred simultaneously and had a spatial expression.

**Conclusions**

Absence of data previously prevented the rate of land-level change from being estimated in Florida and Georgia using RSL reconstructions. We used plant macrofossils and foraminifera preserved in a core of dated salt-marsh sediment from Nassau Landing to reconstruct RSL during the last ~2600 years. The new reconstruction was fused with tide-gauge measurements from Fernandina Beach. The resulting RSL record was analyzed using a Gaussian process model that estimated the rate of RSL rise from 700 BCE to 1800 CE to be $0.41 \pm 0.08$ mm/yr, which we attribute to long term land-level change principally from glacio-isostatic subsidence. This is in agreement with the spatial pattern of RSL change reconstructed along the U.S. Atlantic coast,
where late Holocene rates of rise are greatest in the mid-Atlantic region and decrease gradually southward. RSL rise at Nassau Landing was linear until late 19th or early 20th century. The linearity of reconstructed late Holocene sea level in northern Florida is in contrast to locations further north (North Carolina and New Jersey) where positive and negative sea-level trends are thought to be a consequence of climate variability. A strong ocean dynamic component may explain this spatial pattern. The Gaussian process model demonstrates that it was virtually certain \( (P=0.99) \) that the rate of 20th century RSL rise in northern Florida exceeded average rates in each of the previous 26 centuries. The difference between long-term RSL rise reconstructed in northern Florida and historic RSL rise measured by the Fernandina Beach tide gauge is 1.5 mm/yr. Comparison of this difference to locations further north indicates that 20th century sea-level rise along the U.S. Atlantic coast cannot be explained solely by the characteristic spatial fingerprint of melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet. Regional sea-level projections for the 21st century should be modified to include an additional 0.41 mm/yr from land-level change for regional planning and management purposes in northern Florida.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Figure 1:** (A) Location of study area on the Nassau River in northeastern Florida. (B) Location of coring transect (X-X’), and tidal benchmark at the Nassau Landing site. (C) Stratigraphy described in the field from a series of gouge cores collected along transect X-X’. Core NLM2 (in red) was selected for detailed analysis and collected using a Russian corer.

**Figure 2:** Elemental, isotopic, and pollen profiles measured in NLM2. Pollution markers of known age were identified from down core trends in the concentration of lead (Pb), copper (Cu), and vanadium (V), the ratio of stable lead isotopes \((^{206}\text{Pb}:^{207}\text{Pb})\), and \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) activity. Changes in the pollen assemblage were related to historical land use changes. Grey bands represent depth range for each marker horizon (dashed lines) and were used as sample thickness in the age-depth model. In some cases the grey bands from adjacent pollution markers may overlap with one another. Note that the depth scale is different for the panel showing pollen abundance. The detection limit for Pb and V is <0.3 mg/kg and for Cu is <0.2 mg/kg.

**Figure 3:** Age-depth model developed for NLM2 using Bchron. Radiocarbon results show the range between maximum and minimum \((2\sigma)\) calibrated ages, but do not display probability distributions within this range.

**Figure 4:** Abundance of the five most common species of foraminifera preserved in 1-cm thick samples from NLM2. The light grey horizontal bar across all plots represents an interval where foraminifera were present but sparse. These samples were not used to reconstruct relative sea level.
Figure 5: (A) Annual relative sea level measurements from the Fernandina Beach tide gauge as a deviation from the 1980-1999 CE average. (B) Relative sea level reconstructed from NLM2. Error bars are uncertainty from the age-depth model and the range of peat-forming platform marshes (2σ). The Fernandina Beach tide-gauge data is shown for comparison. The Gaussian process model (green shading) was fitted to a dataset created by fusing the tide-gauge record and reconstruction. (C) 40-year average rate of RSL rise estimated using the Gaussian process model that fused the reconstruction and tide-gauge measurements from Fernandina Beach. (D) Probability that the 40-year rate of RSL rise exceeded the background rate of 0.41 ± 0.08 mm/yr. The first period where $P>0.95$ is 1850-1890 CE.

Figure 6: Late Holocene relative sea level predictions from the ICE-6G VM5a (C) model (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., In Revision). Nassau Landing is representative of trends between approximately Cape Canaveral, FL and Savannah, GA.

Figure 7: Regional rate of late Holocene land-level change along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coasts (modified from Kopp, 2013), where positive values indicate relative sea level fall. Geological data are linear regressions fitted to 19 regional groups of sea level index points from the last 4000 years, with 1σ error bars (Engelhart et al., 2009). The Nassau Landing rate is the mean rate of RSL rise estimated by the Gaussian process model from 700 BCE to 1800 CE with a 2σ error term. Estimates from modeling of tide-gauge records are the regionally-coherent linear component of RSL rise generated by Kopp (2013), which are taken to be equivalent to land-level change. The estimate from the Gulf Coast (Yu et al., 2012) was derived from linear regression of reconstructed RSL. Select tide-gauge locations are labeled for orientation.
Figure 8: 20th century rate of relative sea level (RSL) rise measured at 11 tide-gauge locations along the U.S. Atlantic coast (red) and reconstructed late Holocene rates (green). Data are mean with 2σ uncertainty. The difference between these two values is the excess of historic sea-level rise over the long-term background rate. Modified from (Engelhart et al., 2009) by using updated reconstructed trends for Kiptopeke and Willets Point (Engelhart, Horton, et al., 2011), the addition of the Nassau Landing reconstruction and Fernandina Beach tide gauge, and tide-gauge rates estimated by Kopp (2013) to account for differences in record length.
Table One: Relationship between tidal range and mean higher high water (MHHW) reported for select NOAA tide gauges in northeastern Florida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tide Gauge (NOAA ID)</th>
<th>MHHW (m, STD)</th>
<th>HAT (m, STD)</th>
<th>GDR (m)</th>
<th>HAT (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fernandina Beach (8720030)</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayport (8720218)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dames Point (8720219)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbank River Walk (8720226)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-295 Bridge (8720357)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Bay Point (8720503)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racy Point (8720625)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Great diurnal tidal range (GDR) is the difference between mean lower low water and MHHW. Tidal elevations are reported relative to station datums (STD). Data were downloaded directly from NOAA’s tides and currents website (http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/). HAT = highest astronomical tide. Values rounded to nearest centimeter.
Table Two: Radiocarbon dates from NLM2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth in Core (cm)</th>
<th>NOSAMS Lab Number</th>
<th>Dated Material</th>
<th>Age (14C years)</th>
<th>Error (14C years)</th>
<th>δ13C (%o, PDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>OS-99682</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-26.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>OS-94713</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-25.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>OS-96816</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-27.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>OS-94715</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-27.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>OS-96817</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-26.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>OS-99683</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-28.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>OS-96497</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-27.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>OS-96495</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-28.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>OS-94640</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-27.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>OS-96501</td>
<td><em>Juncus roemerianus</em> stem</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-28.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported δ13C values were measured in an aliquot of gas collected from the combusted sample and expressed relative to the Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) standard.
### Table Three: Pollution and pollen chronohorizons identified in NLM2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Age (Year CE)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>1998 ± 3</td>
<td>Peak in copper concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
<td>1980 ± 5</td>
<td>Gasoline peak in lead isotopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>1963 ± 1</td>
<td>Maximum $^{137}$Cs activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>1972 ± 6</td>
<td>Peak in copper concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>1975 ± 5</td>
<td>Peak in vanadium concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>1974 ± 5</td>
<td>Peak in lead concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>1965 ± 5</td>
<td>Gasoline minimum in lead isotopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>1935 ± 10</td>
<td>Arrival of agroforestry (pollen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 ± 3</td>
<td>1900 ± 10</td>
<td>Regional coal combustion (lead isotopes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>1935 ± 6</td>
<td>Great depression minimum in lead concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 ± 2</td>
<td>1900 ± 10</td>
<td>Onset of copper pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>1925 ± 5</td>
<td>Peak in lead concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>1865 ± 15</td>
<td>Expansion of railways (pollen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>1875 ± 5</td>
<td>Onset of lead pollution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>1870 ± 10</td>
<td>Regional coal combustion (lead isotopes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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