Tendinopathies of the hip and pelvis in athletes: A narrative review
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ABSTRACT

Tendon disorders represent some of the most frequent musculoskeletal complaints worldwide. In the athletic population, tendinopathy could affect different anatomical districts. Tendons surrounding hip and pelvis are frequently involved due to overuse and high functional demands in the athletes. These disorders negatively impact on sport performance, since they are a long-lasting clinical condition requiring a multimodal management. Great trochanter pain syndrome, proximal hamstring tendinopathy, insertional adductor tendinopathy and ileopsoas tendinopathy are the most common clinical conditions involving tendon structures of the hip and pelvis. Due to the anatomical complexity of the region, the relationship with pelvic organs, the demographic and anthropometric characteristics of the athletes, the differential diagnosis between these musculoskeletal disorders and other diseases is often difficult to conduct and some therapeutic options are challenging. Modification of risk factors, changes in training protocols, some specific therapeutic exercise programs and rehabilitation procedures have been proposed as an efficient conservative management strategy, guarantying a complete recovery of athletic function. Surgical approaches are required in a specific subset of patients. This narrative literature review aims to summarize current understanding and areas of ongoing research about the clinical features, diagnostic keys and therapeutic options of the main clinical tendinopathies surrounding hip and pelvis.
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INTRODUCTION

Tendinopathies represent a complex issue among musculoskeletal disorders. They have a wide etiology, including chronic diseases and traumatic injuries, with a recognized inflammatory pattern as one of the causal factors (D’Addona et al., 2017).

The structure and the function of the tendons could be impaired by several systemic metabolic, genetic and neurological diseases, such as diabetes (Batista et al., 2008), endocrinological disfunctions (hypothyroidism) (Oliva et al., 2016), genetic enzymatic defect (Corrado et al., 2019) and spasticity (Corrado et al., 2019).

In common clinical practice tendinopathies are related to acute or chronic trauma, caused by overload and overtraining beyond the healing capabilities of the tendon itself. For these reasons, tendinopathies represent one of the most frequent orthopaedic diagnosis (Andarawis-Puri et al., 2015). Lots of human tendon-related procedures take place annually worldwide with significant socio-economic repercussions (Abbah et al., 2014). Some modifiable and not modifiable risk factors are involved in developing tendinopathy, like age, gender, sex (Morton et al., 2017), occupational exposure (Hopkins et al., 2016) and different pharmacological molecules (Knobloch, 2016).

Preventive approaches have been proposed over the year to limit the incidence of tendinopathies, such as adopting specific protocol of therapeutic exercises (Littlewood et al., 2015), monitoring training load (Mascaró et al., 2018) (Ardigò et al., 2020), analysing of sport specific gesture (Rosa et al., 2016) and practicing regular physical activity to contrast sedentarism and avoiding drop-out from sports (Montesano et al., 2016), although these approaches are applied rarely in general population (Palermi et al., 2020).

Tendon disorders are very common in sports and any anatomical district may be interested depending on the type of sport practiced (Loiacono et al., 2019). For position and role, hip and the surrounding tendons are overstressed and often overloaded, especially in athletes (Schilders et al., 2013): this could lead to the developing of several tendinopathies, among which the differential diagnosis is often complicated (Frizziero et al., 2016). The anatomy of hip is extremely complex, and several muscles interact and contribute to its functioning: flexors (iliopsoas, rectus femoris, pectineus and sartorius), extensors (gluteus maximus and hamstrings – semimembranosus, semitendinosus and biceps femori), adductors (adductors longus, brevis and magnus, pectineus and gracilis), abductors (gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, piriformis and tensor fascia latae), external rotators (biceps femoris, gluteus maximus, piriformis, assisted by the obturators, gemilli and quadratus femoris) and internal rotators (anterior fibres of gluteus medius and minimus, tensor fascia latae) (Wilson & Furukawa, 2014). It is estimated that injuries to the hip or pelvis represent approximately 2–5% of all sports injuries and up to 11% of running-related injuries (Heiderscheit & McClinton, 2016).

The management of tendinopathies is changing with the progression of the research and the growing evidences on this argument (Grimaldi et al., 2015). Currently, the treatment should include early functional treatments, rather than rest and immobilization (Lustenberger et al., 2011). Several therapeutic options are allowed: eccentric and concentric exercise, extra-corporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT), physical therapy (therapeutic ultrasound, low level laser therapy (LLLT) and hyperthermia (D’Addona et al., 2016)), splinting-bracing and orthoses and manual therapy (Kaux et al., 2011). The biological effectiveness of some physical therapy, like electromagnetic field, has been proved in some cells (like chondrocytes), but poor results are available about tenocytes’ activities (Servodio Iammarrone et al., 2016). Injection therapy has been largely adopted over the year using steroid, blood derivates, collagen and other drugs (Sirico et al., 2017) (Corrado et al., 2019). Nowadays, these procedures are commonly performed exactly to the involved anatomical...
structures; technical equipment, like ultrasound guidance, are able to provide anatomical, functional and biomechanical information about the architecture of several components of musculoskeletal systems, providing qualitative information about the characteristics of involved tissues (with techniques like the elastography) (Vola et al., 2018) (Corrado et al., 2019).

In this narrative review we aim to summarize key characteristics about clinic, diagnosis and therapy of hip and pelvis tendinopathies.

**GREAT THROCANTER PAIN SYNDROME (GPTS)**

Several definitions, over the years, have been given to Greater Trocanther Pain Syndrome (GTPS), as “hip periarthritis” and “trochanteric bursitis” (Bird et al., 2001) (Kingzett-Taylor et al., 1999). However, in most cases, the diagnosis of trochanteric bursitis alone is inappropriate (Shbeeb et al., 1996), since co-existence of both bursitis and tendinopathy often occurs (Long et al., 2013). Particularly, GTPS contains a range of causes including gluteal medius and minimus tendinopathy and/or tears, trochanteric bursitis and external coxa saltans (Strauss et al., 2010) (Connell et al., 2003) (Bird et al., 2001).

GTPS is the most frequent cause of pain in the trochanter region (Strauss et al., 2010) (Connell et al., 2003), and the most common tendinopathy in lower limbs ( Fitzpatrick et al., 2019), being the leading cause in 10–20% of patients presenting with hip pain (Lievense et al., 2005). It is more frequent in women and the mean age at presentation is over 50 years ( Segal et al., 2007). It is a widespread condition in runners (Grimaldi et al., 2015). Risk factors for GPTS include female gender, obesity, knee pain, and low back pain, other than scoliosis, leg length discrepancy and articular conditions of lower limbs (Fearon et al., 2013). However, its pathogenesis remains unclear (Genth et al., 2012) (Chi et al., 2015). GTPS has been shown to negatively impact quality of life, such as other similar conditions do (hip osteoarthritis) (Fearon et al., 2014).

There are no established diagnostic criteria for GPTS; nevertheless, the key clinical features are lateral hip pain near the greater trochanter, different from posterior hip (sub gluteal or retro trochanteric disease) and anterior hip (articular hip pathology). Pain increases with walking, prolonged standing, upright activity, climbing stairs and with direct pressure when lying on the painful side (Brunker et al., 2017). Local tenderness to palpation of the great trochanter could be considered as a diagnostic feature too. Single clinical tests for GPTS lack validity, but a combination of tests can be used to increase the diagnostic accuracy (Speers & Bhogal, 2017): i.e. a combination of tenderness to palpation of the great trochanter, of standing on one leg for 30 seconds, of resisted external derotation test, of passive adduction with resisted abduction, of FABER test. Imaging studies could be helpful to obtain a diagnosis, including conventional radiography and ultrasonography. MRI should be reserved for specific situations (Chowdhury et al., 2014). Differential diagnosis of lateral hip pain includes (Ho & Howard, 2012): GTPS, hip joint disease and lumbar spine disease (referred).

GTPS is a self-limited condition in the majority of patients. Therefore, the goal of treatment is to relieve symptoms and prevent disability. Initial therapy for patients with GTPS includes a combination of pain relief (oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDs) or a glucocorticoid injection), exercise therapy and activity modification. The treatment with corticosteroids injections has been shown to be effective (Labrosse et al., 2010), relieving pain and reducing inflammation within three months after the administration (Stephens et al., 2008) (Coombes et al., 2013), whether studies with a long follow-up are still missing. Conservative treatment is the gold standard for GTPS, even if there is currently no evidence-based protocol for its management (Lustenberger et al., 2011). Exercise and load management are the cornerstone of an effective
tendinopathy management (Mellor et al., 2016): exercises usually involve isometric loading of hip abductors and flexors, as well as calf strengthening exercises (Mellor et al., 2016) (Ganderton et al., 2018). Activity modifications can be incorporated into activities of daily living, recreation and sports (Ganderton et al., 2018): minimize stair climbing, avoid hip adduction across the midline, avoid crossing legs while sitting, stand with equal weightbearing through lower limbs. Patients who have not improved after at least 12 months of conservative therapy or who have muscle tears on MRI, should be referred for surgical intervention (Del Buono et al., 2012).

PROXIMAL HAMSTRING TENDINOPATHIES (PHT)

Proximal hamstring tendinopathies (PHT) is a painful syndrome localized in the ischiatic region that may extend to the posterior thigh (Cushman & Rho, 2015). The proximal hamstrings originate from the ischial tuberosity, except from the short head of the biceps femoris. Indeed, their origin is double: the semimembranosus (ventral and lateral) and the conjoint tendon, comprised of both the semitendinosus and long head of the biceps femoris (Feucht et al., 2015). Different parts of hamstring can be affected by tendon pathology. The semimembranosus is one of the most commonly affected parts in PHT (Lempainen et al., 2015), followed by the common hamstring tendon, biceps femoris and semitendinosus (Benazzo et al., 2013).

While hamstring injuries at the musculotendinous junction are relatively common with athletic participation, proximal hamstring injuries occur rarely (Ahmad et al., 2013). PHT is commonly seen in long distance and sprinting runners (Puranen & Orava, 1988), football and hockey players (Fredericson et al., 2005), since these activities involve frequent changes of direction. It is also common in sedentary people (Jesús et al., 2015). Key risk factors are represented by poor lumbo-pelvic control, thigh muscle imbalances and hamstring weakness (Jayaseelan et al., 2014). Compression of the tendon at its attachment during hip flexion/adduction has been seen to be an important etiological factor, (Cook & Purdam, 2009), other than a shearing force in hamstring attachment (Hamming et al., 2015) and an increased hip flexion angle (Harvey et al., 2015).

As a result of both their reduced incidence and variable masked presentation, these injuries are often misdiagnosed, resulting in prolonged periods of disability and delays in treatment (Degen, 2019). Since there is no significant swelling, inspection is not so useful in the diagnostic process. Palpation over the ischium can reproduce some tenderness in severe cases. Hip and knee range of motion (ROM) is usually preserved; therefore, specific physical examination manoeuvres should be performed to elicit symptoms (Degen, 2019): the bent-knee stretch, the modified bent-knee stretch and the Puraven-Orava test are used as passive stretch tests in the diagnosis of PHT (Cacchio et al., 2012) (Cook & Purdam, 2014). The pain is often felt on the lower gluteal region, radiating along the hamstrings (Fredericson et al., 2005). The onset is mostly gradual, presenting as a chronic condition (Puranen & Orava, 1988). This syndrome must be distinguished from ischiofemoral impingement, gluteal muscle tear, pelvis stress fracture and rupture of the proximal hamstring tendon (Goom et al., 2016), other than sciatic nerve pain (Podschun et al., 2013). MRI is required to confirm the diagnosis and to quantify the amount of the injury (Zissen et al., 2010). Ultrasound is also used, but with poorer results (McCormack, 2012) (De Smet et al., 2012).

Eccentric exercises have been considered the cornerstone of insertional hamstring tendinopathy treatment, in spite of low grade supportive evidences (Goom et al., 2016) (Beyer et al., 2015). Goom et al. (Goom et al., 2016) recommend four progressive rehabilitation stages: isometric hamstring load, isometric hamstring load with minimum hip flexion, isotonic exercise in increased hip flexion and energy storage loading. Training load management is essential in the management of tendon-related pain: it is advisable to limit pain producing compressive and energy storage load activities (i.e. increased hip flexion), until there is a reduction in pain.
irritability (Goom et al., 2016). Aggravating sporting activities may be replaced with cross-training both to maintain cardiovascular fitness and to reduce physical effort (Goom et al., 2016). Rehabilitation should incorporate the whole kinetic chain (Cook & Docking, 2015). Injections with platelet rich plasma (PRP) may have interesting implications (Dallaudière et al., 2014) (Davenport et al., 2015), even if there are no high quality studies to support its use (Fader et al., 2014) (Wetzel et al., 2013). Limited evidences are available on the effectiveness of dry needling and soft tissue techniques (Jayaseelan et al., 2014). Massage and manual therapy can be useful in PHT, especially when these are used to address tone and restriction in the kinetic chain (Goom et al., 2016). When conservative treatment fails, the patient may need surgery to decrease improve its symptomatology and prognosis (Brunker et al., 2017).

INSERTIONAL ADDUCTOR TENDINOPATHY

Chronic recurrent groin pain could be determined by several pathologies, including insertional adductor tendinopathies. Adductor tendinopathy describes a number of conditions that develop in and around the tendon (Khan et al., 1999), often due to chronic overuse. The adductors consists of 3 muscles: adductor brevis (from the inferior pubic ramus, to the medial ridge of linea aspera), adductor longus (from the front side of the pubic bone under the pubic tubercle, to the medial ridge of linea aspera) and adductor magnus (from the inferior pubic ramus and ischial tuberosity, to the medial ridge of linea aspera and the adductor tubercle). These muscles help to stabilize the pelvis and pull the legs towards the midline (adduction) and are innervated by obturator nerve (L2-L4).

Groin pain is a common problem in athletes (Mens et al., 2006), and it could be adductors related. Adductor related groin pain can be due both to muscle strain, tendon disease, or a combination of these two (Martens et al., 1987) (Braun & Jensen, 2007) (Topol & Reeves, 2008) (Ashby, 1994). Many athletes present to clinicians with a history of acute or chronic groin pain, ranging from a single traumatic event to repeated microtrauma (Martens et al., 1987). However, multiple pathologies may exist in individuals with chronic groin pain (Braun & Jensen, 2007), adding complexity to its diagnosis and management (Biedert et al., 2003), such as inguinal hemia or reproductive system diseases. Insertional adductor tendinopathy is common in sports involving changes of direction, acceleration and deceleration, tackles and kicking, such as running, football, horse riding, gymnastics and swimming (Fricker, 1997) (Robinson et al., 2004). The repetitive nature of these movements in some of these sports heavily stresses the adductor tendon (Biedert et al., 2003), making predisposed to injury. Also stretching of the adductor tendons (Biedert et al., 2003) and sudden increasing in training could contribute to the genesis of this syndrome.

Due to the complexity of groin pain in athletes and the difficulty to specifically diagnose the accurate pathological structure, it is important to combine clinical examination and imaging features (X ray, ultrasound, MRI) to appropriately manage these problematic patients. Delay in diagnosis and treatment may result in undesired complications and lost of time from sport participation. Adductor tendinopathy should be suspected in cases of groin pain with localized tenderness, weakness and unilateral pain, especially during isometric adduction of the hip muscles (Avrahami & Choudur, 2010). Radiological confirmation may require plain radiographs to exclude avulsion injury or heterotopic calcification (Kälebo et al., 1992). MRI is also the imaging of choice (Robinson et al., 2004), to identify the anatomical cause of groin pain. Indeed, the causes of groin pain can be numerous due to musculotendinous, neurologic and reproductive system structures in that area (Mens et al., 2006).

The aim of rehabilitation is to restore muscle and tendon properties (Hölmich et al., 1999). Conservative management may include cessation of physical activity and load management; local anesthetic/corticosteroid
injection and anti-inflammatory drugs can be used along with graduated strengthening of the core muscles, passive physical therapy modalities and stretching exercises (Topol et al., 2005) (Robinson et al., 2004). Recent papers suggest that eccentric based exercise program is the most effective for improving both pain and function in this tendon pathology (Cook & Purdam, 2009). Heavy-slow eccentric and concentric exercises still represent valid therapeutic options. For subjects with recurrent adductor tendinopathy, surgery treatment is a therapeutic option too (Hsu, 2011).

ILIOPSOAS TENDINOPATHY

Iliopsoas syndrome is a series of pathologies causing groin pain, and it is composed by iliopsoas tendinopathy, internal snapping hip and iliopsoas bursitis (Tyler et al., 2014). Iliopsoas tendinopathy is an inflammation of the tendon of this muscle, often related to a bursitis, due to their close proximity (Nanni, 2017). It is a composite muscle formed from the psoas major and the iliacus muscle; the psoas major joint the iliacus at the level of the inguinal ligament and crosses the hip joint to insert on the lesser trochanter of the femur. Iliopsoas is a powerful hip flexor, important for normal hip strength and function (C. N. Anderson, 2016).

There are few epidemiological data in the literature on the actual incidence of the iliopsoas tendinopathy, probably linked to its rare incidence, its misdiagnosis, or its diagnosis under different name (i.e. snapping hip syndrome). In a large sample of consecutive hip MRI, its prevalence was 0.66% (Bui et al., 2008). It is more commonly found in young people with prevalence in females (Dydyk & Sapra, 2020). Iliopsoas tendinopathy occurs most frequently as a result of overuse in sports requiring repetitive hip flexion and external rotation movements (Tyler et al., 2014), such as football, artistic gymnastics, canoeing, uphill walking (Milic et al., 2020) and dancing (Tyler et al., 2014) (Laible et al., 2013) (Brunot et al., 2013). This condition in sedentary subjects could be secondary to hip arthroplasty and arthritis (Tyler et al., 2014).

Iliopsoas tendinopathy presents more as anterior hip pain in younger athletes, especially after a rapid growth spurt (Rauseo, 2017). Examination usually reveals painful hip flexors. When evaluating a patient with iliopsoas tendinopathy, the back and pelvis mechanics should also be checked (Nanni, 2017), due to the relationship between these structures. The Thomas test can be positive (Malanga & Mautner, 2017). The differential diagnosis should be made with hip intra-articular orthopaedic pathologies (femoroacetabular impingement syndrome, acetabular labrum tears, femoral head edema), and with systemic conditions (rheumatic or nonrheumatic osteoarthritis). Other differential diagnoses include insertional tendinopathies, proximal myotendinous lesions of the hip flexors (rectus femoris and sartorius) and distal myotendinous lesions of the abdominal muscle (K. Anderson et al., 2001) (Generini & Matucci-Cerinic, 1993). MRI is the gold standard in the psoas tendinopathy evaluation and is particularly useful in the differential diagnosis (De Paulis et al., 1998) (Shin et al., 1996).

As for other tendinopathies, most patients benefit from conservative treatment (Johnston et al., 1998). Eccentric exercise is an appropriate method to use in the rehabilitation of iliopsoas tendinopathy, as seen in runners (Rauseo, 2017). Rehabilitation treatment is divided into five steps, according to Nanni et al. (Nanni, 2017): pain, swelling, and inflammation reduction; range of motion and extensibility recovery; strength and resistance recovery; coordination and proprioception recovery; specific technical movements and athletic sport-specific parameters recovery. Electrical stimulation was used to create muscular balance and correct muscle dysfunction in this syndrome (Edelstein, 2009). Surgery is required only in cases where pain is not resolved (thus failing to resume sport activities), or when suffering from a painful and debilitating internal
snapping hip; it consists of an endoscopically tendon release (Ilizaliturri & Camacho-Galindo, 2010) (S. A. Anderson & Keene, 2008).

CONCLUSION

Hip tendinopathies are a common musculoskeletal disorder in sportive and sedentary population. Their management is complex and mainly conservative. Surgery is required only in subjects with no improvement over several months.

A physiotherapy approach is mandatory in these diseases and, differently from neurological rehabilitation, it is often related to clinical positive results for patient and for therapists’ satisfaction (Corrado et al., 2019), often reaching a complete functional recovery. Differential diagnosis of these diseases is difficult. Beyond musculoskeletal conditions, some general diseases could determine similar signs and symptoms. A detailed clinical evaluation is required before the treatment and it is necessary to define the most appropriate diagnosis to plan a specific therapeutic program.

It is desirable to strength the preventive concept of different kind of exercise and physical activity, widely recognized as an effective therapeutic tool in different pathological conditions (Viña et al., 2012) (Williamson & Pahor, 2010) (Palermi et al., 2020) (Montesano & Mazzeo, 2019) (Valle et al., 2020), able to reduce the occurrence of significant tendinopathies and to reduce the time of treatment.
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