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#### Abstract

A series of heteroleptic tris(diimine) complexes of chromium(III) is reported and the crystal structures of $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\text { bpy })_{2}\right.\right.$ (phen $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$ and $4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{bpy})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are described. The combined effects of a $1: 3$ cation : anion ratio and lattice solvent molecules are discussed, in particular in the context of the influence on intercation embraces. The presence of the methyl substituents in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}(\right.\right.$ bpy $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ results in $\mathrm{Me} . . . \pi_{\text {bpy }}$ contacts becoming the dominant packing interactions and in the assembly of motifs distinct from those in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\text { bpy })_{2}(\right.\right.$ phen $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Chromium(III) complexes containing diimine ligands are of potential interest as Earth abundant photo- and redox-active components for artificial photosynthesis and watersplitting systems, and their photophysical properties have therefore been studied in detail [1,2]. We have recently reported that, although chromium(III) complexes are classical 'kinetically inert $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ systems', those with $2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ligands can exhibit a
remarkable and unexpectedly high lability [3]. We also noted that the degree of lability is strongly dependent upon the nature of the ligands, the solvents and pH [3]. We have extended the study to heteroleptic tris $($ diimine $)\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{3+}$ complexes and have embarked on a structural investigation of these complexes to probe the influence of the ligand set on the metal environment. Although the complexes $\left[\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{3+}\left(\mathrm{bpy}=2,2^{\prime}-\right.$ bipyridine) and $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]^{3+}($ phen $=1,10$-phenanthroline $)$ are well known [4], synthetic and structural studies of heteroleptic complexes remain sparse [5,6,7,8]. A convenient route to heteroleptic $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}^{\prime} \wedge \mathrm{N}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{3+}$ complexes $\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}^{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \wedge \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ are different bpy or phen-based ligands) involves the reaction of $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}$ in the presence of powdered zinc in ethanol to give cis- $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ [9], followed by reaction with triflic acid to give cis- $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]$ containing labile triflate ligands [10]. These are easily replaced by the second ligand $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \wedge \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ [11]. We have recently shown that an analogous approach can be applied for the preparation of heteroleptic $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\text { tpy })\left(\text { tpy }^{\prime}\right)\right]^{3+}$ complexes [3], which, like the heteroleptic diimine systems, are rather underexplored. The use of the triflate intermediate proves to be key to gaining heteroleptic chromium(III) complexes containing either tris(diimine) or bis(tpy) metal-binding domains, and in the former case, gives a route which is free of redistribution reactions involving the diimine ligands [11]. The method is preferred over routes involving perchlorates [6].


Scheme 1. General route to heteroleptic complexes illustrated by the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}$.

We first prepared $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}$ as a representative heteroleptic complex using the literature procedure (Scheme 1) [11]. The same strategy was then used to prepare $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3},\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\left(5,5^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{bpy})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}[12] .\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}$ has previously been characterized by elemental analysis and electronic absorption spectroscopy, and we were able to confirm the structure of the complex by single crystal X-ray diffraction [13]. In $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$, the octahedral tris(chelate) crystallizes as a racemate and Figure 1a shows the structure of the $\Lambda-\left[\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]^{3+}$ cation. Bond
distances and angles are as expected (caption to Figure 1). Dance et al. [14] demonstrated that $\Lambda$ - and $\Delta$-cations in $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}$ (CSD [15] refcode FERYEG01) engage in aryl embraces and pack into columns running along 3-fold axes in the lattice. This packing motif is retained in $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} 2.5 \mathrm{MeCN}[16]$ (CSD refcode QEJQAZ), i.e. the lower oxidation state for chromium and consequent reduction in the number of anions is compensated for by incorporation of solvent molecules. The limit to which the packing can tolerate the needs of anions and solvent is tested in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\text { bpy })_{2}(\right.\right.$ phen $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$, where we observe that the accommodation of three $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}$anions per cation in addition to lattice MeCN molecules switches off the columnar packing motif. Hexafluoridophosphate anions are sandwiched between $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\text { phen })\right]^{3+}$ cations of the same handedness (Figure 1b), dominant interactions being CH...F contacts involving the bpy H 3 and H 3 ' protons ( $\mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} . . . \mathrm{F} 12=2.49 \AA$, C7H7A...F12 $=2.64 \AA$ ), and $\pi_{\text {bpy }} \ldots$ F and $\pi_{\text {phen }} \ldots$. $F$ contacts. The chains of cations (Figures 1 b and 1c) follow the crystallographic $a$-axis with $\mathrm{Cr} . . . \mathrm{Cr}$ separations of 10.286(1) $\AA$. Although the phen domains in adjacent chains approach closely (Figure 1 c ), there is no ring overlap and, therefore, there are no $\pi-\pi$ interactions.
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Fig. 1. (a) Structure of the $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]^{3+}$ cation in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN} ; \mathrm{H}$ atoms are omitted and ellipsoids are plotted at $40 \%$ probability level. Selected bond metrics: $\mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 3=2.0361(18)$, $\mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 4=2.0373(19), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 6=2.0468(18), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 5=2.0494(19), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 1=2.0506(19), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 2=$ $2.0527(19) \AA$; N1-Cr1-N2 = 79.53(8), N3-Cr1-N4 = 79.81(8), N6-Cr1-N5 = 80.75(8) ${ }^{\circ}$. (b)
Cation...anion F...H and F... $\pi$ contacts. (c) Packing of chains of cations along the $a$-axis. (Colour online)

Crystals of $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{bpy})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [17] were grown from MeCN solution by overlaying with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The chromium(III) centre is octahedrally coordinated (Figure 2a) and both enantiomers of the tris(chelate) are present in the unit cell. Bond parameters within the coordination sphere of atom Cr 1 are given in the caption to Figure 2a. One $\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}$ion is disordered and was modelled over two sites with 0.57 and 0.43 occupancies; residual electron density was modelled as a partial
occupancy $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule. The cation : anion ratio in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.\right.\right.$
$\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ bpy $)_{2}($ bpy $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\text { bpy })_{2}(\right.\right.$ phen $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$ is the same, and the solvent content is comparable. It is therefore noteworthy that the cations in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{bpy})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ approach more closely than in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$, and associate through $\mathrm{Me} . . . \pi$ interactions (Figure $2 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {Me }} \ldots$ centroid $=3.53 \AA$ ). Only the $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ bpy ligands containing N 1 and N 2 engage in these interactions, which build up chains running parallel to the $b$-axis (Figure 2c). Solvent molecules and anions occupy the cavities between the chains.


Fig. 2. (a) Structure of the $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bpy }\right)_{2}(\text { bpy })\right]^{3+}$ cation in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}($ bpy $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{H}$ atoms omitted and ellipsoids plotted at $40 \%$ probability level. Important bond lengths and angles: $\mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 4=2.031(3), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 6=2.032(3), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 3=2.038(3), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ $=2.041(3), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 2=2.044(3), \mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 1=2.044(3) \AA$; $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 2=79.56(12), \mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Cr} 1-\mathrm{N} 4=80.15(13)$, N5-Cr1-N6 $=79.34(13)^{\circ}$. (b) Centrosymmetric pairs of cations associate through Me... $\pi$ contacts (Me groups shown in red). (c) Chains of cations follow the $b$-axis. (Colour online)

In conclusion, we have prepared a series of heteroleptic tris(diimine)chromium(III) complexes and presented the structures of two representative examples. The $1: 3$ cation : anion ratio coupled with accommodation of solvent forces the packing away from the aryl embraces described by Dance [ ${ }^{14}$ ] for related structures. The presence of methyl substituents in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bpy }\right)_{2}(\right.\right.$ bpy $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCNH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ leads to $\mathrm{Me} . . . \pi_{\text {bpy }}$ contacts being dominant packing interactions, and the assembly of motifs which contrast sharply with those in $\left\{4\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\right.\right.$ phen $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 11 \mathrm{MeCN}$.

## Appendix 1 Supplementary data


$\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ bpy $)_{2}($ bpy $\left.\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{3}\right\} \cdot 12 \mathrm{MeCN} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ have been deposited with the CCDC (Cambridge

Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax +441223 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk) and may be obtained free of charge on quoting the deposition numbers CCDC 1029169 and 1029170.
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