The RNA binding proteins RBM38 and DND1 are repressed in AML and have a novel function in APL differentiation

Julian Wampfler^{1,2}, Elena A. Federzoni³, Bruce E. Torbett³, Martin F. Fey⁴, Mario P. Tschan^{1,2}

Authors' Affiliations:

¹Division of Experimental Pathology, Institute of Pathology and ²Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ³Department of Molecular and Experimental Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, and ⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland.

Email addresses: julianwampfler@hotmail.com, federzon@scripps.edu, betorbet@scripps.edu, martin.fey@insel.ch, mario.tschan@pathology.unibe.ch

Corresponding Author:

Mario P. Tschan, PhD, Tumor Pathology TP2, Division of Experimental Pathology, Institute of Pathology, University of Bern, Murtenstrasse 10, P.O. Box 62, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland. Telephone: 41 31 632 8780. Fax: 41 31 632 3297.

ABSTRACT

The RNA binding proteins RBM binding motif protein 38 (RBM38) and DEAD END 1 (DND1) selectively stabilize mRNAs by attenuating RNAse activity or protecting them from micro(mi)RNA-mediated cleavage. Furthermore, both proteins can efficiently stabilize the mRNA of the cell cycle inhibitor p21^{CIP1}. Since acute myeloid leukemia (AML) differentiation requires cell cycle arrest and RBM38 as well as DND1 have antiproliferative functions, we hypothesized that decreased RBM38 and DND1 expression may contribute to the differentiation block seen in this disease. We first quantified RBM38 and DND1 mRNA expression in clinical AML patient samples and CD34⁺ progenitor cells and mature granulocytes from healthy donors. We found significantly lower RBM38 and DND1 mRNA levels in AML blasts and CD34⁺ progenitor cells as compared to mature neutrophils from healthy donors. Furthermore, the lowest expression of both RBM38 and DND1 mRNA correlated with t(8;21). In addition, neutrophil differentiation of CD34⁺ cells in vitro with G-CSF (granulocyte colony stimulating factor) resulted in a significant increase of RBM38 and DND1 mRNA levels. Similarly, neutrophil differentiation of NB4 acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) cells was associated with a significant induction of RBM38 and DND1 expression. To address the function of RBM38 and DND1 in neutrophil differentiation, we generated two independent NB4 RBM38 as well as DND1 knockdown cell lines. Inhibition of both RBM38 and DND1 mRNA significantly attenuated NB4 differentiation and resulted in decreased p21^{CIP1} mRNA expression. Our results clearly indicate that expression of the RNA binding proteins RBM38 and DND1 is repressed in primary AML patients, that neutrophil differentiation is dependent on increased expression of both proteins, and that these proteins have a critical role in regulating p21^{CIP1} expression during APL differentiation.

Highlights

- RBM38 and DND1 expression is attenuated in primary AML patients
- Normal and leukemic neutrophil differentiation induces RBM38 and DND1 expression
- New function for the RNA binding proteins RBM38 and DND1 in APL differentiation

Keywords: RBM38, DND1, acute myeloid leukemia, acute promyelocytic leukemia, neutrophil differentiation

Abbreviations

- ABL1 ABL proto-oncogene 1
- AML Acute myeloid leukemia
- APL Acute promyelocytic leukemia
- ATRA all-trans retinoic acid
- CDKN1A cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A
- DND1 DEAD END 1
- FAB French American British classification
- FBS Fetal bovine serum
- G-CSF granulocyte colony stimulating factor
- G-CSF-R granulocyte colony stimulating factor receptor
- HMBS hydroxymethylbilane synthase
- **HOVON/SAKK** Dutch-Belgian Hematology-Oncology/Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research Cooperative Group
- MDM2 MDM2 proto-oncogene
- mRNA messenger RNA
- miRNA micro RNA
- MWU Mann-Whytney-U-test
- LATS2 large tumor suppressor kinase 2
- Onco-miR oncogenic micro RNA
- **PML-RARa** onco-fusionprotein of promyelocytic leukemia gene and retinoic acid receptor-alpha (*RARA*) gene
- qPCR quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
- RBM38 RBM binding motif protein 38
- **RBP** RNA binding proteins
- **RRM -** RNA recognition motif
- RT-PCR real-time polymerase chain reaction
- shRNA Small hairpin RNA
- UTR untranslated region
- WIG1 wild-type p53-induced gene 1
- **ZMAT3 -** zinc finger, matrin-type 3

1. Introduction

Post-transcriptional gene expression is regulated by a variety of mechanisms including polyadenylation, RNA splicing, transport, stability and translation. RNA binding proteins (RBP) containing one or more RNA-binding motifs are involved in all of these processes.[1] For instance, the RNA recognition motif (RRM) is the most important binding motif in eukaryotic cells.[2] Given the important function of RBPs in gene expression, it is not surprising that deregulated or mutated RBPs contribute to cancer progression.[3],[4],[5] Dysfunctional or mutated RBPs can cause increased expression of oncogenes or decreased expression of tumor suppressor genes such as the p53 family members.[6],[7] Recent studies demonstrated that RBPs can protect mRNAs by preventing micro(mi)RNA access to the 3'-UTR and thus protecting them from degradation.[8],[9] miRNAs are small non-coding single-stranded RNA molecules that regulate gene expression at a posttranscriptional level by mRNA degradation, destabilization, or translational inhibition.[10] miRNAs control a variety of cellular and metabolic pathways including hematopoietic differentiation, e.g. miR-15a/144/451 regulate erythropoiesis and miR-223 is key to granulocytic differentiation.[11],[12],[13]

AML is a heterogeneous leukemic disease affecting the myeloid lineage and characterized by a block of differentiation at different stages of myelopoeisis.[14] According to the French-American-British (FAB) classification AML can be classified into eight subtypes based on their blast cell morphology. Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL or FAB AML-M3 subtype), which is characterized by a chromosomal translocation involving the promyelocytic leukemia gene (PML) on chromosome 15 and the retinoic acid receptor alpha (RARA) on chromosome 17, resulting in the expression of the oncogenic fusion protein PML-RARA. Among others, PML-RARA causes a block in differentiation which can be nevertheless resolved with pharmaceutical doses of all-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA).[15] The oncogenic fusion protein AML1-ETO is result of a translocation involving the genes AML1 on chromosome 8 and ETO on chromosome 21 present in the AML-M2 subtype. AML1-ETO enhances stem cell maintenance and inhibits myeloid differentiation (as reviewed by Nimer et al).[16] Based on the fact that several miRNAs are key to successful myeloid differentiation, a general decrease in miRNA expression is associated with AML pathology.[17] Moreover, we recently published that several members of the miRNA processing machinery, particularly DICER1, are downregulated in primary AML.[18]

Two RNA binding proteins, the RNA binding motif protein 38 (RBM38 also known as RNPC1) and the DEAD END 1 (DND1), have been linked to cellular differentiation. RBM38 is induced during late erythrocyte development where it mainly regulates alternative splicing. RBM38 knockout mice exhibit decreased erythropoiesis and other hematopoietic defects.[19],[20] Furthermore, RBM38 and DND1 can regulate miRNA activity at the level of miRNA-mRNA interactions by blocking miRNA access to their target mRNAs.[8],[21] Several studies identified RBM38 as a downstream effector of p53 family members that stabilizes the mRNA of the cell cycle inhibitor p21^{CIP1}.[22] The stabilization of the p21^{CIP1} mRNA by RBM38 is at least in part caused by preventing access of miR-17/106b to the p21^{CIP1} - 3'UTR.[8] Of interest for this study, p21^{CIP1} has been linked to retinoic acid-induced differentiation of AML cells.[23] In addition to p53 its relative p73 is also stabilized by RBM38.[24] Lastly, RBM38 is not the only RBP that is regulated by p53, e.g. transcription of the zinc finger, matrin-type 3 (ZMAT3 also known as WIG1) depends on p53.[25]

Although RBM38 was originally identified as a potential oncogene, the majority of hepatocellular carcinoma and breast cancer published reports on RBM38 function point to a tumor suppressor role.[26],[27] RBM38 also contributes to the destabilization of the MDM2 mRNA, a gene promoting p53 degradation.[28] Interestingly, p73 contributes to myeloid differentiation by modulating p21^{CIP1}, and RBM38 may contribute to this process by regulating p73 mRNA stability.[29],[30] Although limited information is available on the role of DND1 in tumors, DND1 mutations have been implicated in germ cell loss and testicular germ cell tumors.[31] This effect has been partially explained by inhibiting miRNA mediated cleavage of mRNAs bound by DND1.[9],[32] Furthermore, DND1 can block skin oncogenesis by preventing miR-21 binding to its targets.[33] Lastly, similarly to RBM38, DND1 can also protect p21^{CIP1} mRNA from degradation.[34] Thus, the published evidence supports a tumorsuppressive role for DND1 as well.

Based on the above-described link of RBM38 and DND1 to differentiation and their tumor suppressor functions, we investigated their role in AML pathology. We hypothesized that low RBM38 and DND1 mRNA levels and their effector proteins may contribute to the differentiation block seen in AML.

2. Material and methods

2. 1. Primary patient samples and cell culture

Primary AML patients samples from patients enrolled on HOVON/SAKK (Dutch-Belgian Hematology-Oncology/Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research Cooperative Group) protocols -04, -04A, -29 and -42 (available at <u>www.hovon.nl</u>) between 1987 and 2006 were provided by Dr. P.J.M. Valk and B. Löwenberg.[35],[36],[37] Patient data represent log2 expression levels and were normalized to the expression levels of the two house keeping genes *HMBS* and *ABL*. For better readability we multiplied the results by (-1) and excluded Ct values higher than 40 (Δ Ct = 40- Ct^{GENE-1} - (Mean Ct^{HMBS} and Ct^{ABL1}) * (-1)).[38] The number of patient samples analyzed for *RBM38* and *DND1* mRNA expression is slightly different due to fact that in some patients the gene expression was below the detection limit of our assay (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Human mobilized CD34⁺ cells were isolated from of healthy donors (City of Hope). The cells were then expanded for 8 days in IMDM supplemented with 10% Hyclone FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P4333; Sigma-Aldrich), 100 ng/mL SCF, 50 ng/mL IL-3, 50 ng/mL IL-6 (Peprotech) at a density of 1x106 cells/ml. Induction of differentiation was performed in IMDM Gibco, 10% Hyclone FBS, 1% P/S Corning, 50 ng/mL hG-CSF, Peprotech, 50 ng/mL hIL-6 (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ) at a density of 1x106 cells/ml for the indicated days.

The APL cell lines NB4, its ATRA-resistant subclone NB4-R2 and HT93 were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% FBS (#S0615; Biochrom AG) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P4333; Sigma-Aldrich) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37° C. For neutrophil differentiation APL cells were seeded at a concentration of 0.2 million cells/ml and treated with 1µM all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA; Sigma-Aldrich, Switzerland) for four to six days. Successful granulocyte differentiation was evaluated by increased CD11b surface marker (#21279114; Immunotools) or granulocyte colony-stimulating factor receptor (*G-CSF-R*) mRNA expression.

2.2. Generation of RBM38 and DND1 knockdown cell lines

pLKO.1 lentiviral vectors expressing small hairpin (sh) RNAs targeting RBM38 or DND1 (shRBM38_317 NM_017495.4-317s21c1, shRBM38_1312 NM_017495.4-1312s1c1, shDND1_249 NM_194249.1-249s1c1 and shDND1_1084 NM_194249.1-1084s1c1) non-targeting shRNA control (SHC002) vector were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma, Switzerland). Puromycin served as an antibiotic resistance marker to enable a positive selection of the infected cells. Lentivirus production and the transduction on NB4 cells were performed as previously described.[39]

2.3. TaqMan low-density arrays and real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR)

RNA isolation, RT-PCR low-density arrays as well as data analysis were performed as described previously.[40] TaqMan Gene Expression Arrays bought from Applied Biosystems® for *HMBS, ABL1, RBM38 and DND1* preloaded on low-density arrays were Hs00203008_m1, Hs00377897_m1, Hs00250139_m1, and Hs00832091_s1. TaqMan gene expression assays for *RBM38, DND1, p21^{CIP1}* and *G-CSF-R* used in a 96-well format were Hs00250139_m1, Hs00832091_s1, Hs00355782_m1 and Hs00167918_m1 (Applied Biosystems®), respectively. Specific primers and probes for *HMBS* and data analysis were used as described.[41] We calculated the n-fold mRNA induction upon ATRA-treatment using the ddCt method of relative quantification. All data are shown as mean ± the standard error of the mean (SEM) of at least three independent experiments. Nonparametric Mann-Whitney-U tests (MW.) were applied to compare the difference between two groups using the program GraphPad Prism 4 (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA). P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

2.4. Western Blotting

Whole cell extracts were prepared using Urea lysis buffer, supplemented with 25X proteinaseinhibitors. Total protein was loaded on a 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Blots were incubated with the primary antibodies in TBS 0.05% Tween-20/2% milk overnight at 4°C, incubated with secondary antibodies goat anti-rabbit IRDye 800CW and goat anti-mouse IRDye 680LT (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) at 1:5000 for 1 h at room temperature, and analyzed using the Odyssey infrared imaging system detection (LI-COR Biosciences). Primary antibodies used were anti-RBM38 1:500, anti-p21 1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA), and anti-GAPDH 1:5000 (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 RBM38 and DND1 mRNA expression levels are down-regulated in clinical AML samples

In a first attempt to identify a potential role for RBM38 and DND1 in AML (molecular) pathogenesis, we quantified gene expression in a cohort of 98 M0-M4 clinical AML patient samples. As compared to granulocytes from healthy donors, RBM38 AML transcript levels were significantly decreased by 7fold in AML patients, by 4-fold in macrophages from healthy individuals and 10-fold in CD34⁺ cells. Of note, we also found a trend of lower RBM38 mRNA expression in CD34⁺ cells as compared to AML patient blast cells (Fig. 1, upper panel). Similarly, DND1 mRNA expression was significantly decreased in primary AML samples by 18-fold as compared to granulocytes and by 8-fold as compared to macrophages. Interestingly, DND1 expression in AML patient samples is significantly increased by 3fold as compared to CD34⁺ cells (Fig. 1, lower panel). Comparing the different AML translocations as well as complex and normal karyotype AML, we found that RBM38 is also significantly upregulated in normal karyotype AML samples as compared to the other subtypes. Moreover, cell samples from AML patients characterized by the expression of the AML-ETO fusion protein t(8;21), expressed significantly lower mRNA levels of both RBM38 and DND1 as compared to the other AML subtypes. Consistently, we found markedly lower RBM38 protein expression in t(8:21) positive Kasumi cell line as compared to t(8:21)-negative HL60 and NB4 cell lines (Additional file 2: Figure S1). Of interest, we found that yet another RNA binding protein regulated by p53, the zinc finger, matrin-type 3 (ZMAT3) is significantly repressed in primary AML as compared to healthy granulocytes (Additional file 3: Figure S2a).

Our findings indicate that *RBM38* and *DND1* expression associates with a mature neutrophil phenotype and that both RBPs are repressed in the presence of AML1-ETO. Low RBM38 expression in AML may also reflect a defective p53 pathway as RBM38 is a transcriptional target of p53.[22] Although NB4 and HT93 APL cells express a mutant p53, p73 may partially replace p53 activity during ATRA-mediated AML differentiation allowing for activation of RBM38 transcription.[30],[42] Together, AML1-ETO expression as well as impaired p53 family signaling in AML may provide a possible explanation for low RBP expression in particular AML subtypes.

3.2 RBM38 and *DND1* expression is significantly induced during neutrophil differentiation and knocking down either of these genes interferes with APL differentiation

Since *RBM38* and *DND1* mRNA expression was lower in AML patient samples than in healthy, mature granulocytes, we asked if these two genes are involved in neutrophil differentiation, specifically of the APL cell lines NB4 and HT93. These two cell lines can be differentiated *in vitro* towards neutrophil-like cells by treating them with ATRA. After 6 days of treatment, we observed a 9-fold

induction of RBM38 message, and a marked upregulation of RBM38 protein expression (Figs., 2a-b). Consistently, we found an 8-fold upregulation of RBM38 message in HT93 APL cells upon ATRA treatment (Fig. 2c). Similarly, although to a lesser extend, *DND1* mRNA expression levels were significantly induced 2.5-fold in NB4 and HT93 APL cells in response to ATRA-treatment for 6 days (Figs. 2d-e). Importantly, the induction of *RBM38* and *DND1* is not due to unspecific ATRA toxicity since their expression was not induced in the ATRA-resistant control cell line NB4-R2 (Figs. 2a and d, right panels).

To further confirm our findings, we analyzed *RBM38* and *DND1* mRNA expression during G-CSF mediated neutrophil differentiation of primary CD34⁺ progenitor cells *in vitro*. Both genes were significantly upregulated at 3 and 6 days of G-CSF treatment compared to day 0 of treatment (Fig. 2f).

Next, we aimed at investigating if RBM38 and DND1 are necessary for successful neutrophil differentiation. To address this question, we generated two independent NB4 RBM38 and DND1 knockdown cell lines using lentiviral vectors expressing shRNAs targeting RBM38 (shRBM38 317 and shRBM38_1312) or DND1 (shDND1_249 and shDND1_1084), respectively. To exclude non-specific effects caused either by the viral infection itself or the puromycin selection treatment, we used scrambled shRNA (SHC002) transduced NB4 cells as a control. RBM38 knock-down efficiency was measured by qPCR after 6 days of ATRA treatment, and showed 75% and 70% downregulation for shRBM38_317 and shRBM38_1312, respectively (Fig 3a, upper panel). Lower RBM38 mRNA expression was paralleled by markedly decreased RBM38 protein levels (Fig. 3a, lower panel). Knocking down RBM38 resulted in impaired neutrophil differentiation of the NB4 cells as evidenced by significantly reduced expression of the differentiation markers G-CSF-R and CD11b, up to 50 and 40%, respectively (Figs. 3b-c). DND1 mRNA knockdown experiments paralleled the RBM28 findings, with a knockdown efficiency after 6 days of ATRA treatment was 68% and 64% for NB4 shDND1_249 and shDND1_1084 cells, respectively (Fig. 4a). Both DND1 knockdown lines displayed significantly lower CD11b surface (reduced by 32% and 39%, respectively) as well as G-CSF-R mRNA expression (reduced by 66% and 87%, respectively) (Fig. 4b-c).

Our data clearly show that *RBM38* and *DND1* expression is significantly induced upon neutrophil differentiation of an APL cell line, as well as in CD34⁺ cells. Furthermore, both RNA binding proteins function in APL differentiation, since knocking down either RBM38 or DND1 diminishes ATRA-induced neutrophil differentiation.

3.3 p21^{CIP1} (CDKN1A) and LATS2 mRNA levels are significantly decreased in RBM38 and DND1 NB4 knockdown cells

Based on reports of enlarged mRNA stability by RBM38 and DND1 binding of cell cycle regulators, which identified the cell cycle regulator p21^{CIP1} mRNA as an mRNA protected by RBM38[32],[28] and DND1[9],[34], as well as the described role of p21^{CIP1} in ATRA-induced differentiation of APL cells[43], we asked if inhibiting RBM38 or DND1 expression affects p21^{CIP1} mRNA levels during neutrophil differentiation. Therefore, we measured p21^{CIP1} message in control and ATRA-treated NB4 RBM38 and DND1 knockdown cells. p21^{CIP1} mRNA levels were significantly decreased in both knockdown cell lines under control conditions and upon ATRA treatment (Figs. 5a-b). These findings provide insights as to how inhibiting RBM38 or DND1 attenuates APL differentiation as it has been shown that reduced p21^{CIP1} expression is results in reduced differentiation.[23] Moreover, previous studies indicated that a loss of p21^{CIP1} facilitates AML1-ETO-induced leukemogenesis.[44] In line with this study, the particularly low RBM38 and DND1 expression in this AML subtype may contribute to decreased p21^{CIP1} stability. However, the effects RBM38 and possibly DND1 of blocking ATRAmediated neutrophil differentiation are most likely not solely based on reduced p21^{CIP1} stability. Another previously described RBM38 binding RNA, the serine/threonine kinase LATS2 might be an interesting candidate as well. LATS2 is a member of the Hippo signaling pathway that is of outmost importance during development and early reports associated this pathway with hematopoiesis.[45] Consistently, we found that similar to p21^{CIP1} also LATS2 mRNA expression is significantly decreased in NB4 RBM38 knockdown cells upon ATRA treatment (Additional file 3: Figure S2b). Thus, decreased LATS2 expression due to reduced RBM38 levels may also contribute to an immature myeloid phenotype. In line, significantly lower LATS2 mRNA expression was measured in AML patient samples as compared to mature neutrophils (Additional file 3: Figure S2c).

3.4 Conclusions

Although microRNAs are globally down-regulated in AML[46], specific oncogenic miRNAs such as miR-9[47] and miR-17 family members[48] are often found highly expressed in AML. Induction of RBM38 and DND1 during neutrophil differentiation may antagonize the activity of these oncomiRs by protecting mRNAs, including p21^{CIP1} that are important for myeloid differentiation. Our data strongly suggest a novel function for the RNA binding proteins RBM38 and DND1 in AML differentiation possibly providing novel targets in AML therapy. In general, the role of RNA binding proteins during hematopoiesis and leukemic progression warrants further studies.

References

1. Krecic AM, Swanson MS: hnRNP complexes: composition, structure, and function. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1999, **11**:363–371.

2. Dreyfuss G, Matunis MJ, Piñol-Roma S, Burd CG: hnRNP proteins and the biogenesis of mRNA. Annu Rev Biochem 1993, 62:289–321.

3. Audic Y, Hartley RS: Post-transcriptional regulation in cancer. Biol Cell 2004, 96:479–498.

4. Jonsson L, Hedner C, Gaber A, Korkocic D, Nodin B, Uhlén M, Eberhard J, Jirström K: **High** expression of RNA-binding motif protein 3 in esophageal and gastric adenocarcinoma correlates with intestinal metaplasia-associated tumours and independently predicts a reduced risk of recurrence and death. *Biomark Res* 2014, **2**:11.

5. Kim MY, Hur J, Jeong S: **Emerging roles of RNA and RNA-binding protein network in cancer cells**. *BMB Rep* 2009, **42**:125–130.

6. Calaluce R, Gubin MM, Davis JW, Magee JD, Chen J, Kuwano Y, Gorospe M, Atasoy U: **The RNA binding protein HuR differentially regulates unique subsets of mRNAs in estrogen receptor negative and estrogen receptor positive breast cancer**. *BMC Cancer* 2010, **10**:126.

7. Yan W, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Jung Y-S, Chen X: **p73 expression is regulated by RNPC1, a target of the p53 family, via mRNA stability**. *Mol Cell Biol* 2012, **32**:2336–2348.

8. Leveille N, Elkon R, Davalos V, Manoharan V, Hollingworth D, Vrielink JO, le Sage C, Melo CA, Horlings HM, Wesseling J, Ule J, Esteller M, Ramos A, Agami R: **Selective inhibition of microRNA accessibility by RBM38 is required for p53 activity**. *Nat Commun* 2011, **2**:513.

9. Kedde M, Strasser MJ, Boldajipour B, Oude Vrielink JAF, Slanchev K, le Sage C, Nagel R, Voorhoeve PM, van Duijse J, Ørom UA, Lund AH, Perrakis A, Raz E, Agami R: **RNA-binding protein Dnd1 inhibits microRNA access to target mRNA**. *Cell* 2007, **131**:1273–1286.

10. Croce CM, Calin GA: miRNAs, cancer, and stem cell division. Cell 2005, 122:6-7.

11. Johnnidis JB, Harris MH, Wheeler RT, Stehling-Sun S, Lam MH, Kirak O, Brummelkamp TR, Fleming MD, Camargo FD: **Regulation of progenitor cell proliferation and granulocyte function by microRNA-223**. *Nature* 2008, **451**:1125–1129.

12. Zhao H, Kalota A, Jin S, Gewirtz AM: **The c-myb proto-oncogene and microRNA-15a comprise an active autoregulatory feedback loop in human hematopoietic cells**. *Blood* 2009, **113**:505–516.

13. Kim M, Tan YS, Cheng W-C, Kingsbury TJ, Heimfeld S, Civin CI: **MIR144 and MIR451 regulate human erythropoiesis via RAB14**. *Br J Haematol* 2015, **168**:583–597.

14. Yin CC, Medeiros LJ, Bueso-Ramos CE: **Recent advances in the diagnosis and classification of myeloid neoplasms--comments on the 2008 WHO classification**. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2010, **32**:461– 476.

15. Lo-Coco F, Avvisati G, Vignetti M, Thiede C, Orlando SM, Iacobelli S, Ferrara F, Fazi P, Cicconi L, Di Bona E, Specchia G, Sica S, Divona M, Levis A, Fiedler W, Cerqui E, Breccia M, Fioritoni G, Salih HR, Cazzola M, Melillo L, Carella AM, Brandts CH, Morra E, von Lilienfeld-Toal M, Hertenstein B, Wattad M, Lübbert M, Hänel M, Schmitz N, et al.: **Retinoic acid and arsenic trioxide for acute promyelocytic leukemia**. *N Engl J Med* 2013, **369**:111–121.

16. Nimer SD, Moore MAS: Effects of the leukemia-associated AML1-ETO protein on hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Oncogene* 2004, **23**:4249–4254.

17. Jongen-Lavrencic M, Sun SM, Dijkstra MK, Valk PJM, Löwenberg B: **MicroRNA expression profiling in relation to the genetic heterogeneity of acute myeloid leukemia**. *Blood* 2008, **111**:5078– 5085.

18. Wampfler J, Federzoni EA, Torbett BE, Fey MF, Tschan MP: Low DICER1 expression is associated with attenuated neutrophil differentiation and autophagy of NB4 APL cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 2015.

19. Heinicke LA, Nabet B, Shen S, Jiang P, van Zalen S, Cieply B, Russell JE, Xing Y, Carstens RP: **The RNA binding protein RBM38 (RNPC1) regulates splicing during late erythroid differentiation**. *PLoS ONE* 2013, **8**:e78031.

20. Zhang J, Jun Cho S, Chen X: **RNPC1**, an **RNA-binding protein and a target of the p53 family**, **regulates p63 expression through mRNA stability**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010, **107**:9614–9619.

21. Kedde M, Agami R: Interplay between microRNAs and RNA-binding proteins determines developmental processes. *Cell Cycle* 2008, **7**:899–903.

22. Shu L, Yan W, Chen X: **RNPC1**, an **RNA-binding protein and a target of the p53 family, is required for maintaining the stability of the basal and stress-induced p21 transcript**. *Genes Dev* 2006, **20**:2961–2972.

23. Hu XT, Zuckerman KS: Role of cell cycle regulatory molecules in retinoic acid- and vitamin D3induced differentiation of acute myeloid leukaemia cells. *Cell Prolif* 2014, **47**:200–210.

24. Yan W, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Jung Y-S, Chen X: **p73 expression is regulated by RNPC1, a target of the p53 family, via mRNA stability**. *Mol Cell Biol* 2012, **32**:2336–2348.

25. Apostolidis PA, Lindsey S, Miller WM, Papoutsakis ET: **Proposed megakaryocytic regulon of p53: the genes engaged to control cell cycle and apoptosis during megakaryocytic differentiation**. *Physiol Genomics* 2012, **44**:638–650.

26. Xue J-Q, Xia T-S, Liang X-Q, Zhou W, Cheng L, Shi L, Wang Y, Ding Q: **RNA-binding protein RNPC1:** acting as a tumor suppressor in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2014, **14**:322.

27. Ding C, Cheng S, Yang Z, Lv Z, Xiao H, Du C, Peng C, Xie H, Zhou L, Wu J, Zheng S: Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR promotes cell migration and invasion via down-regulation of RNA binding motif protein 38 in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Int J Mol Sci* 2014, **15**:4060–4076.

28. Xu E, Zhang J, Chen X: **MDM2 expression is repressed by the RNA-binding protein RNPC1 via mRNA stability**. *Oncogene* 2013, **32**:2169–2178.

29. Marqués-García F, Ferrandiz N, Fernández-Alonso R, González-Cano L, Herreros-Villanueva M, Rosa-Garrido M, Fernández-García B, Vaque JP, Marqués MM, Alonso ME, Segovia JC, León J, Marín MC: **p73 plays a role in erythroid differentiation through GATA1 induction**. *J Biol Chem* 2009,

284:21139–21156.

30. Tschan MP, Grob TJ, Peters UR, Laurenzi VD, Huegli B, Kreuzer KA, Schmidt CA, Melino G, Fey MF, Tobler A, Cajot JF: **Enhanced p73 expression during differentiation and complex p73 isoforms in myeloid leukemia**. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000, **277**:62–65.

31. Youngren KK, Coveney D, Peng X, Bhattacharya C, Schmidt LS, Nickerson ML, Lamb BT, Deng JM, Behringer RR, Capel B, Rubin EM, Nadeau JH, Matin A: **The Ter mutation in the dead end gene causes germ cell loss and testicular germ cell tumours**. *Nature* 2005, **435**:360–364.

32. Kedde M, Agami R: Interplay between microRNAs and RNA-binding proteins determines developmental processes. *Cell Cycle* 2008, **7**:899–903.

33. Bhandari A, Gordon W, Dizon D, Hopkin AS, Gordon E, Yu Z, Andersen B: **The Grainyhead transcription factor Grhl3/Get1 suppresses miR-21 expression and tumorigenesis in skin: modulation of the miR-21 target MSH2 by RNA-binding protein DND1**. *Oncogene* 2013, **32**:1497– 1507.

34. Cook MS, Munger SC, Nadeau JH, Capel B: **Regulation of male germ cell cycle arrest and differentiation by DND1 is modulated by genetic background**. *Development* 2011, **138**:23–32.

35. Breems DA, Boogaerts MA, Dekker AW, Van Putten WLJ, Sonneveld P, Huijgens PC, Van der Lelie J, Vellenga E, Gratwohl A, Verhoef GEG, Verdonck LF, Löwenberg B: Autologous bone marrow transplantation as consolidation therapy in the treatment of adult patients under 60 years with acute myeloid leukaemia in first complete remission: a prospective randomized Dutch-Belgian Haemato-Oncology Co-operative Group (HOVON) and Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK) trial. *Br J Haematol* 2005, **128**:59–65.

36. Löwenberg B, Boogaerts MA, Daenen SM, Verhoef GE, Hagenbeek A, Vellenga E, Ossenkoppele GJ, Huijgens PC, Verdonck LF, van der Lelie J, Wielenga JJ, Schouten HC, Gmür J, Gratwohl A, Hess U, Fey MF, van Putten WL: Value of different modalities of granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor applied during or after induction therapy of acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 1997, **15**:3496–3506.

37. Löwenberg B, van Putten W, Theobald M, Gmür J, Verdonck L, Sonneveld P, Fey M, Schouten H, de Greef G, Ferrant A, Kovacsovics T, Gratwohl A, Daenen S, Huijgens P, Boogaerts M, Dutch-Belgian Hemato-Oncology Cooperative Group, Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research: Effect of priming with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on the outcome of chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2003, **349**:743–752.

38. Tschan MP, Shan D, Laedrach J, Eyholzer M, Leibundgut EO, Baerlocher GM, Tobler A, Stroka D, Fey MF: **NDRG1/2 expression is inhibited in primary acute myeloid leukemia**. *Leuk Res* 2010, **34**:393–398.

39. Tschan MP, Fischer KM, Fung VS, Pirnia F, Borner MM, Fey MF, Tobler A, Torbett BE: Alternative splicing of the human cyclin D-binding Myb-like protein (hDMP1) yields a truncated protein isoform that alters macrophage differentiation patterns. *J Biol Chem* 2003, **278**:42750–42760.

40. Jenal M, Batliner J, Reddy VA, Haferlach T, Tobler A, Fey MF, Torbett BE, Tschan MP: **The anti-apoptotic gene BCL2A1 is a novel transcriptional target of PU.1**. *Leukemia* 2010, **24**:1073–1076.

41. Tschan MP, Reddy VA, Ress A, Arvidsson G, Fey MF, Torbett BE: **PU.1 binding to the p53 family of tumor suppressors impairs their transcriptional activity**. *Oncogene* 2008, **27**:3489–3493.

42. Humbert M, Mueller C, Fey MF, Tschan MP: Inhibition of damage-regulated autophagy modulator-1 (DRAM-1) impairs neutrophil differentiation of NB4 APL cells. *Leuk Res* 2012, **36**:1552–1556.

43. Ryningen A, Stapnes C, Paulsen K, Lassalle P, Gjertsen BT, Bruserud O: In vivo biological effects of ATRA in the treatment of AML. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2008, **17**:1623–1633.

44. Peterson LF, Yan M, Zhang D-E: **The p21Waf1 pathway is involved in blocking leukemogenesis by the t(8;21) fusion protein AML1-ETO**. *Blood* 2007, **109**:4392–4398.

45. Milton CC, Grusche FA, Degoutin JL, Yu E, Dai Q, Lai EC, Harvey KF: **The Hippo pathway regulates** hematopoiesis in Drosophila melanogaster. *Curr Biol* 2014, **24**:2673–2680.

46. Jongen-Lavrencic M, Sun SM, Dijkstra MK, Valk PJM, Löwenberg B: **MicroRNA expression profiling in relation to the genetic heterogeneity of acute myeloid leukemia**. *Blood* 2008, **111**:5078–5085.

47. Chen P, Price C, Li Z, Li Y, Cao D, Wiley A, He C, Gurbuxani S, Kunjamma RB, Huang H, Jiang X, Arnovitz S, Xu M, Hong G-M, Elkahloun AG, Neilly MB, Wunderlich M, Larson RA, Le Beau MM, Mulloy JC, Liu PP, Rowley JD, Chen J: miR-9 is an essential oncogenic microRNA specifically overexpressed in mixed lineage leukemia-rearranged leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013, 110:11511–11516.

48. Meenhuis A, van Veelen PA, de Looper H, van Boxtel N, van den Berge IJ, Sun SM, Taskesen E, Stern P, de Ru AH, van Adrichem AJ, Demmers J, Jongen-Lavrencic M, Löwenberg B, Touw IP, Sharp PA, Erkeland SJ: **MiR-17/20/93/106 promote hematopoietic cell expansion by targeting sequestosome 1-regulated pathways in mice**. *Blood* 2011, **118**:916–925.

Figure Legends

Fig1. Significantly decreased *RBM38* and *DND1* mRNA expression in AML patient samples. qPCR analysis of *RBM38* (upper panel) and *DND1* (lower panel) in primary AML patient samples (FAB M0-M4), granulocytes (G) as well as macrophages (M) from healthy donors, and CD34⁺ progenitor cells. Data represent log2 expression levels and were normalized to the expression levels of the housekeeping genes *HMBS* and *ABL1*. For better readability we multiplied the results by (-1) and excluded Ct values higher than 40 (Δ Ct = 40- Ct^{WIPI-1} - (Mean Ct^{HMBS} and Ct^{ABL1}) * (-1)). Patient characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Mann-Whitney-U-test (MWU): *p<0.05. **p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ns: not significant.

Fig. 2. Induction of RBM38 and DND1 expression during neutrophil differentiation of APL and CD34⁺ progenitor cells. **a** NB4 and NB4-R2 ATRA-resistant cells were differentiated with 1µM ATRA for four days. *RBM38* mRNA levels were quantified by qPCR and values were normalized to *HMBS*. Results are given as n-fold changes compared to untreated control cells. **b** NB4 and NB4-R2 cells were treated as in a. RBM38 protein levels were determined by Western blotting. The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as a loading control. **c** HT93 APL cells were treated as in a. *RBM38* mRNA expression levels were quantified and analyzed as in a. **d** DND1 mRNA expression levels of NB4 and NB4-R2 cells treated and analyzed as in a. **e** *DND1* mRNA expression levels of HT93 cells treated and analyzed as in a. **f** CD34⁺ progenitor cells were differentiated towards granulocytes *in vitro* using G-CSF for 3 and 6 days, respectively. *RBM38* (left panel) and *DND1* (right panel) mRNA expression levels were quantified by qPCR. Values were normalized to *HMBS* and compared to day 0 of G-CSF treatment. MWU: *P<0.05, ns: not significant.

Fig. 3. Knocking down *RBM38* significantly impairs APL differentiation. NB4 cells stably expressing shRNAs targeting *RBM38* (shRBM38_317 and shRBM38_1312) or a non-targeting shRNA (SHC002) were treated with 1µM ATRA for four days. **a** RBM38 knockdown efficiency of control and RBM38 knockdown NB4 cells upon ATRA treatment was determined by qPCR (upper panel) and western blotting (lower panel). Analysis as in 2a and b. **b**, **c** Neutrophil differentiation of NB4 SHC002 control and RBM38 knockdown NB4 cells was assessed by granulocyte colony stimulating factor receptor (*G*-*CSF-R*) qPCR (b) and CD11b FACS analysis (c). MWU: *p<0.05. **p<0.01.

Fig. 4. Knocking down *DND1* significantly impairs APL differentiation. NB4 cells stably expressing shRNAs targeting *DND1* (shDND1_249 and shDND1_1084) or a non-targeting shRNA (SHC002) were treated with 1μM ATRA for four days. **a** DND1 knockdown efficiency of control and DND1 knockdown NB4 cells upon ATRA treatment was determined by qPCR. Analysis as in 2a. **b**, **c** Neutrophil

differentiation of NB4 SHC002 control and DND1 knockdown NB4 cells was assessed as in 3b and c. MWU: *p<0.05. **p<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Fig. 5. Knocking down *RBM38* or *DND1* decreases $p21^{CIP1}$ mRNA stability. **a, b** NB4 SHC002 control and RBM38 (shRBM38_317) as well as DND1 (shDND1_1084) knockdown cells were treated for 6 days with 1µM ATRA. $p21^{CIP1}$ mRNA levels were measured by qPCR and analyzed as in 2a.

Supplementary Figure 1

RBM38 Western blotting analysis of AML1-ETO positive Kasumi compared to AML-ETO negative NB4 and HL60 cells. GAPDH was used as loading control.

Supplementary Figure 2

Reduced *ZMAT3* and *LATS2* mRNA expression in AML patient samples and decreased LATS2 expression in RBM38 knockdown NB4 cells. **a** qPCR analysis of *ZMAT3* in primary AML patient samples (FAB M0-M4), granulocytes (G) as well as macrophages (M) from healthy donors. Data represent log2 expression levels and were normalized to the expression levels of the housekeeping genes *HMBS* and *ABL1*. **b** *LATS2* mRNA expression levels were measured by qPCR in NB4 RBM38 knockdown (shRBM38_317) and SHC002 control cells upon treatment for 6 days with 1µM ATRA.. **c** qPCR analysis of *LATS2* in primary samples analyzed as described in a. MWU: **p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ns: not significant.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3






Figure 4






Supplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 2

Wampfler et. al



Wampfler J et al.

Supplementary Table 1. AML patients characteristics from the HOVON/SAKK cohort.

RBM38

		Patient characteristics			FAB classification						Cytogenetics						
Cohort	Variables	Age (y)	Sex (female/male)	Total	MO	M1	M2	M3	M4	ND	t(8;21)	inv (16)	t(15;17)	СК	NK	Others	ND
	Range	15-74	-	-													
HOVON/ SAKK	Mean/median or %	43.2/43 (mean/median)	43.1/43	100	4.1	16.3	32.7	19.4	26.6	0	20.4	17.3	20.4	23.5	18.4	0	0
	No. of patients		58/40	98	4	16	32	19	27	0	20	17	20	23	18	0	0

DND1

		Patient characteristics			FAB classification						Cytogenetics						
Cohort	Variables	Age (y)	Sex (female/male)	Total	MO	M1	M2	M3	M4	ND	t(8;21)	inv (16)	t(15;17)	СК	NK	Others	ND
	Range	15-74	-	-													
HOVON/ SAKK	Mean/median or %	43.2/43 (mean/median)	43.1/43	100	4.1	16.5	33.0	18.6	27.8	0	20.6	17.5	20.6	23.5	18.6	0	0
	No. of patients		57/40	97	4	16	32	18	27	0	20	17	20	22	18	0	0

FAB, French-American-British; CK, complex karyotype; NK, normal karyotype; others, up to two chromosomal aberrations (deletions or aneuploidies) in the absence of t(8;21), inv(16)/t(16;16), t(15;17), or t(9;11); ND, not determined.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

JW performed the research and drafted the manuscript. EAF analyzed patient data and performed CD34⁺ neutrophil differentiation experiments. BET and MFF instigated the experimental design and revised the drafted article. MPT designed the project, wrote the paper and gave final approval of the submitted manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. P.J.M. Valk and Dr. B. Löwenberg and the HOVON (Dutch-Belgian Hematology-Oncology) cooperative group for providing primary AML patient samples. Expert technical assistance by D. Shan (Division of Experimental Pathology, Institute of Pathology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland) was appreciated.

This study was supported by grants from the "Stiftung für Klinisch-Experimentelle Tumorforschung Bern" (to MPT), the Foundation Cancer Research Switzerland (KFS-3409-02-2014 to MPT), the Marlies-Schwegler Foundation, the Ursula-Hecht-Foundation for Leukemia Research, and the Bernese Foundation of Cancer Research (to MFF), the Werner and Hedy Berger-Janser Foundation for Cancer Research (to MFF and MPT), and the Bern University Research Foundation (to MPT). BET is funded through NIH (1R01HL116221-01). EAF is a recipient of a 1.5-year SNF Fellowship for Prospective Researchers (PBBEP3_146108). JW is a recipient of a 3-year MD-PhD scholarship of the Swiss Cancer Research Foundation (MD-PhD-02805-07-2011).

Manuscript Name:	The RNA binding proteins RBM38 and DND1 are repressed in AML and have a novel function in APL differentiation
Authors:	Julian Wampfler, Elena A. Federzoni, Bruce E. Torbett, Martin F. Fey, Mario P. Tschan
Corresponding Author:	
	Mario P. Tschan
Corresponding Author's address:	Tumor Pathology TP2 Division of Experimental Pathology Institute of Pathology University of Bern, Murtenstrasse 10 P.O. Box 62, CH-3010 Bern
Corresponding Author telephone number:	
	+41 31 632 8780
Corresponding Author e-mail:	mario.tschan@pathology.unibe.ch
Details of nature of conflict of interest:	The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Conflict of Interest Form