Performance of HBsAg point-of-care tests for detection of diagnostic escape-variants in clinical samples
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Highlights

- HBsAg point-of-care tests have slightly lower sensitivities than standard methods.
- We assessed test characteristics of point-of-care tests using HBsAg mutated viruses.
- The point-of-care tests accurately diagnosed mutated Hepatitis B viruses.
- HBsAg mutations do not affect the sensitivity of the evaluated tests.
Abstract

Background: Hepatitis B viruses (HBV) harboring mutations in the α-determinant of the hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) are associated with reduced reactivity of HBsAg assays.

Objectives: Evaluating the sensitivity and specificity of three HBsAg point-of-care tests for the detection of HBsAg of viruses harboring HBsAg mutations.

Study design: A selection of 50 clinical plasma samples containing HBV with HBsAg mutations was used to evaluate the test characteristics of three HBsAg point-of-care tests (Vikia®, bioMérieux, Marcy-L’Étoile, France. Alere Determine HBsAg™, Iverness Biomedical Innovations, Köln, Germany. Quick Profile™, LumiQuick Diagnostics, California, USA) and compared to the ARCHITECT HBsAg Qualitative® assay (Abbott Laboratories, Sligo, Ireland).

Results: The sensitivity of the point-of-care tests ranged from 98% to 100%. The only false-negative result occurred using the Quick Profile™ assay with a virus harboring a D144A mutation.

Conclusions: The evaluated point-of-care tests revealed an excellent sensitivity in detecting HBV samples harboring HBsAg mutations.
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Background

The mainstay of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection diagnosis is the detection of the HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) [1]. In recent years an increasing number of HBsAg point-of-care (POC) tests have become available. POC tests, which use the principle of immunochromatography as well as enzyme immunoassays and chemiluminescence immunoassays, are based on the detection of the antigenic determinant (“a-determinant”). The a-determinant is located between amino acid position 99 and 160 of the HBsAg [2]. However, in a recent study from The Gambia, POC tests had a slightly lower sensitivity than the standard serological methods [3]. In diagnostic-escape variants, mutations in the a-determinant of the HBsAg are thought to influence the performance of HBsAg assays [2]. The diagnostic performance for mutant HBV has been shown to differ across commercial HBsAg assays, depending on which anti-HBsAg reagents are used [4]. Thus, the different capacity in detecting HBV diagnostic-escape variants between POC tests and standard HBsAg assays could be an explanation for the lower sensitivity of POC tests.

Objectives

To determine the performance of three commercial HBsAg POC tests (Vikia®, bioMérieux, Marcyl’Étoile, France. Alere Determine HBsAg™, Iverness Biomedical Innovations, Köln, Germany. Quick Profile™, LumiQuick Diagnostics, California, USA) in detecting HBV with HBsAg mutations of the antigenic determinant from clinical samples.

Study Design

We retrospectively screened all samples for HBsAg mutations that were sent to our reference laboratory for HBV genotyping between January 2010 and December 2013. All samples with any mutation of the HBsAg with the exception of serotype- (amino acid positions 122, 127, 140, 159, 160) or genotype- (T118A, T125M, A128V) specific HBsAg polymorphisms [5, 6] were considered for this analysis. Twenty randomly selected HBsAg negative samples were used as negative controls. The HBV viral load was measured using
DNA was extracted using NucliSENS easyMAG® (bioMérieux, Paris, France). A fragment of the HBsAg was amplified in a primary PCR (pPCR) using the primers HBV_1F and HBV_4R [7]. If needed, a nested PCR (nPCR) was performed using the primers HBV P1F_f and HBV S6_r [8]. All PCR products were purified using QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN GMBH, Hilden, Germany). The purified amplicons were subjected to bidirectional Sanger sequencing using the primers HBV_1F [5] and HBV S6_r [8] for pPCR products and HBV P1F_f and HBV S6_r [7, 8] for nPCR products. Cycle sequencing was performed according to Platt et al [9]. After purification of the cycle sequencing products by the QIAGEN DyeEx® 2.0 Spin Kit (QIAGEN GMBH, Hilden, Germany) The electropherograms were acquired on a Applied Biosystems® 3130 genetic analyzer (Life Technologies Europe BV, Nieuwerkerk, Netherlands) and then processed using SeqMan® (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA). For in silico sequence analysis and detection of HBsAg mutations the open access interpretation tool geno2pheno was used [10]. The performance of three HBsAg POC tests (Vikia®, Alere Determine HBsAg™, Quick Profile™) previously validated in a French cohort [11] was compared with that of the ARCHITECT HBsAg Qualitative® assay, which has an excellent sensitivity in detecting HBsAg mutants [12]. False-negative and borderline POC test results were repeated twice. The ARCHITECT HBsAg Quantitative® assay was additionally performed in samples with false-negative POC tests and in samples harboring the same mutations as the false-negative ones. This allowed determining if false-negative results were caused by lower HBsAg levels. All tests were performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

**Results**

Of 153 samples sequenced between 2010 and 2013, 50 contained HBsAg mutations. Forty-one different single or combined mutations were detected (Table 1). With the exception of six
samples containing the mutations T118S, T126A, T126N, H129L, Y134R or W196L, all mutant variants had been previously associated with reduced sensitivity for HBsAg detection [2], occurrence of occult HBV infection [13-15], reduced binding of anti-HBsAg antibodies [16] or reduced HBsAg secretion [17]. The median HBV viral load was 14’937 IU/ml (IQR 1’139-329’750 IU/ml). Genotype D was the most prevalent (52.0%, 13/50) followed by A (26.0%, 13/50), B (10.0%, 5/50), C (6.0%, 3/50), E (4.0%, 2/50) and F (2.0%, 1/50).

The sensitivity and specificity of the HBsAg POC tests were excellent (Table 2). The only false-negative test occurred using the Quick Profile™ assay with a HBV diagnostic escape variant harboring the single mutation D144A (HBV viral load 432 IU/ml; quantitative HBsAg 140.4 IU/ml). Of note, the Quick Profile™ assay produced a borderline positive result using another sample harboring the mutation F134A/D144A (HBV viral load 603 IU/ml; quantitative HBsAg 14.8 IU/ml) but was clearly positive for a sample with a D144A/G145A (HBV viral load 41’850-456 IU/ml, quantitative HBsAg 998.7 IU/ml) and a Y100C/Y134H/D144A (HBV viral load 22’815 IU/ml, quantitative HBsAg 1146.5 IU/ml) mutation. The electropherograms of the four samples containing a D144A mutation showed single peaks at the amino acid position 144. Therefore the correct identification of viruses harboring the D144A mutation could not be explained by the presence of non-mutated HBV sub-populations.

Discussion

This is the first study to assess the performance of HBsAg POC tests in diagnosing HBV harboring HBsAg mutations from clinical samples. We showed that the sensitivity and specificity of the assays were excellent. One false-negative and one borderline positive test occurred, both using the Quick Profile™ assay.

Bottero et al tested the performance of the identical HBsAg POC tests using whole blood samples in a large cohort in France [11]. They found high sensitivities (Vikia® 96.5%, Alere Determine HBsAg™ 93.6%, Quick Profile™ 90.5%) and specificities (Vikia® 99.9%, Alere Determine HBsAg™ 100%, Quick Profile® 99.7%). Because of the low HBV viral loads in the samples with false-negative POC test results, they were not able to investigate whether
false-negatives were caused by HBsAg mutations or by other factors. The sensitivity of POC
tests was even higher in our study, despite analyzing HBV samples harboring HBsAg
mutations. However, we did not have samples with low viral loads, as we only included those
which were successfully sequenced and therefore the sensitivities of the POC test may be
overestimated. We used plasma, which, according to the manufacturer’s information, leads
to a slightly higher sensitivity than whole blood with the Vikia® assay. However, this is not
true for the Alere Determine™ - and unknown for the Quick Profile™ assay.

In line with findings from Muhlbacher et al, we showed that a specific mutation did not always
have the same effect on the result of the assay [18]. In our study the sample with a single
D144A mutation was not detected by one of the tests, whereas for viruses harboring
additional mutations, the result was either borderline positive or clearly positive. This
phenomenon was not explained by lower quantities of HBsAg in the false-negative sample.

This was the first study to evaluate the sensitivity of HBsAg POC tests for diagnostic escape
mutants using clinical samples with a wide variety of mutations and HBV genotypes. We
recognize that in clinical settings, HBsAg POC tests are generally performed using whole
blood and not serum or plasma. However, in light of recently published evidence, we did not
expect the use of plasma to affect our results significantly [19].

In conclusion we demonstrated that the three HBsAg POC tests accurately diagnosed
HBsAg diagnostic escape variants in plasma samples. Besides a potentially slightly reduced
performance of the Quick Profile™ assay in detecting D144A mutants, our results indicate
that HBsAg mutants do not relevantly affect the sensitivity of the evaluated POC tests.
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Table 1

HBsAg variants used for test evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBsAg mutation (Genotype)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>HBsAg mutation (Genotype)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y100C&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>G130N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y100C&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/P120T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T131I&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y100C&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/T118R/P120A/Y134L/D144E&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (B2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y100C&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/Y134H/D144A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T131P&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T118K/P120T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (C2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/I195M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T118S (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M133I&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P120L&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M133L&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (B2, B2)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P120S&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (B4, D2, D3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M133T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A1, D4)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P120S&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/G145R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M133L&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/G145A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (B2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C124Y&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/P135S&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M133T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/I195M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (C1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T126A (A2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y134R (E)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T126I (C2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F134A/D144A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T126N (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P135S&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D4)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T126N/Q129R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>C139Y&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T126N/Q129R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/G145A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S143L&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (F2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H129L (A1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D144A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q129A/G130R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/M133T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/F134V&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>D144A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/G145A&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q129H&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/G130R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/M133T&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/F134V&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I195M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A1, A2, D1, E)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G130N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>W196L (A1, A2, D3)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G130R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>W196S&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (A2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G130R&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;/T131N&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (D3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Associated with occult HBV [13, 15]
2) Associated with reduced sensitivity of HBsAg assays [2]
3) Associated with occult HBV in combination with additional mutations [14]
4) Associated with reduced binding to anti-HBs antibodies [16]
5) Associated with reduced HBsAg secretion [17]
Table 2
Test characteristics of HBsAg point-of-care tests compared to CMIA (ARCHITECT HBsAg Quantitative assay; Abbott Laboratories, Sligo, Ireland)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HBsAg serology</th>
<th>CMIA</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIKIA®</td>
<td>(n=50)</td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DETERMINE™</td>
<td>(n=50)</td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICK PROFILE™</td>
<td>(n=50)</td>
<td>(n=20)</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>