Forcing of stratospheric chemistry and dynamics during the Dalton Minimum
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Abstract. The response of atmospheric chemistry and dynamics to volcanic eruptions and to a decrease in solar activity during the Dalton Minimum is investigated with the fully coupled atmosphere–ocean chemistry general circulation model SOCOL-MPIOM (modeling tools for studies of SOLar Climate Ozone Links-Max Planck Institute Ocean Model) covering the time period 1780 to 1840 AD. We carried out several sensitivity ensemble experiments to separate the effects of (i) reduced solar ultra-violet (UV) irradiance, (ii) reduced solar visible and near infrared irradiance, (iii) enhanced galactic cosmic ray intensity as well as less intensive solar energetic proton events and auroral electron precipitation, and (iv) volcanic aerosols. The introduced changes of UV irradiance and volcanic aerosols significantly influence stratospheric dynamics in the early 19th century, whereas changes in the visible part of the spectrum and energetic particles have smaller effects. A reduction of UV irradiance by 15 %, which represents the presently discussed highest estimate of UV irradiance change caused by solar activity changes, causes global ozone decrease below the stratopause reaching as much as 8 % in the midlatitudes at 5 hPa and a significant stratospheric cooling of up to 2 °C in the mid-stratosphere and to 6 °C in the lower mesosphere. Changes in energetic particle precipitation lead only to minor changes in the yearly averaged temperature fields in the stratosphere. Volcanic aerosols heat the tropical lower stratosphere, allowing more water vapour to enter the tropical stratosphere, which, via HOx reactions, decreases upper stratospheric and mesospheric ozone by roughly 4 %. Conversely, heterogeneous chemistry on aerosols reduces stratospheric NOx, leading to a 12 % ozone increase in the tropics, whereas a decrease in ozone of up to 5 % is found over Antarctica in boreal winter. The linear superposition of the different contributions is not equivalent to the response obtained in a simulation when all forcing factors are applied during the Dalton Minimum (DM) – this effect is especially well visible for NOx/NOy. Thus, this study also shows the non-linear behaviour of the coupled chemistry-climate system. Finally, we conclude that especially UV and volcanic eruptions dominate the changes in the ozone, temperature and dynamics while the NOx field is dominated by the energetic particle precipitation. Visible radiation changes have only very minor effects on both stratospheric dynamics and chemistry.

1 Introduction

The fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Forster et al., 2007) noted that while the scientific understanding of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and volcanic effects on climate is rather high, this is not the case for changes in solar activity. The combined forcings of GHG and tropospheric aerosols is predicted to increase until possible stabilization is reached in the second
half of the 21st century. The volcanic effect is unpredictable. Concerning solar activity, it is hypothesized that solar activity will – after a long period of high activity – drop to a new grand minimum in the 21st century (Abreu et al., 2008, 2010; Lockwood et al., 2011b; Steinhilber and Beer, 2013). Given this, an assessment of periods in the past containing grand solar minima is helpful to understand the mechanism and its implications. As an example, the Dalton Minimum (DM) was a time period lasting from 1790 to 1830 which was characterized by a significant cooling in Europe (Luterbacher et al., 2004) and the extratropical Northern Hemisphere (Ljungqvist, 2010; Auchmann et al., 2012). This unusually cold time coincides with the period of very low solar activity as expressed in low sunspot numbers (Hoyt and Schatten, 1998) and high volcanic activity due to two major volcanic eruptions in 1809 and in 1815. The exact causes of this cooling are not well defined. Some part of it can be explained by downward-propagating stratospheric perturbations (e.g. Ineson et al., 2011). We thus decided to study this period and address the solar and volcanic effects on stratospheric climate and chemistry. Up to now, studies of the DM were done to a major part with climate models with coupled interactive oceans. The novelty of our experiment setting was to include interactive chemistry to a GCM coupled with a deep layer ocean. We succeeded thus to include the most important natural forcing in a climate model simulation during the DM: (a) solar irradiance changes, which can be decomposed into the ultraviolet (UV), visible and infrared (IR) parts of the spectrum, (b) explosive tropical volcanic eruptions and (c) energetic particle precipitation (EPP).

Solar activity has been monitored for a long time (Wolf, 1861; Hoyt and Schatten, 1998). The influence of the Sun on time scales of up to hundreds of years can first be divided into two temporal classes; there is a regular, well established 11 yr cycle (Wolf, 1861; Schwabe, 1844) – which can vary in its intensity – and, on a longer time scale, there are grand minimum and maximum states of the solar activity.

Solar influence can be further classified in terms of where its largest effects can be observed in the Earth’s atmosphere. This is strongly linked to the part of the spectrum with the largest variability. Kodera and Kuroda (2002) investigated the effects of the 11 yr solar cycle on atmospheric dynamics, focusing on the UV part of the spectrum. Their work suggests a downward propagation of the response in the middle atmosphere caused by heating through UV absorption and ozone increase. In solar active conditions, this additional heating leads to an increasing pole-to-equator temperature gradient, influencing also the stratospheric zonal winds (Kodera and Kuroda, 2002). This process is known as the top-down mechanism (e.g. Meehl et al., 2009; Gray et al., 2010). A different aspect is to focus rather on the visible spectrum and to follow a bottom-up approach (Meehl et al., 2009): during active solar conditions, more evaporation occurs in the subtropics. This in turn leads to an increase in the precipitation amount, which accelerates the Hadley and Walker cells (Labitzke et al., 2002), finally leading to ENSO-like (El Niño-Southern Oscillation) anomalies and influencing stratospheric circulation.

Thus, volcanic aerosols are important for both radiative and chemical processes in the atmosphere.

Reconstructions of the volcanic forcing (Gao et al., 2008) have been used to model past and present-day influences of volcanic events on the global climate. Generally, following observations and modelling studies, while the lower stratosphere is heated by absorption of infrared radiation by the aerosols, the troposphere and the surface usually experience a significant cooling after major volcanic eruptions (Dutton and Christy, 1992; Minnis et al., 1993; Stenchkov et al., 1998; Robock, 2000, and references therein). The plume of powerful volcanic eruptions reaches the stratosphere (Halmer et al., 2002). There, SO₂ is transformed, through a number of chemical reactions, to sulfate aerosols. Aerosols at lower stratospheric altitudes (Whitten et al., 1980) are mostly spherical (Tratt and Menzies, 1994) and reflect part of the incoming solar short-wave radiation back to space. On the other hand, sulfate aerosol absorb thermal radiation. The aerosol particles also provide a medium for heterogeneous reactions facilitating the removal of reactive nitrogen oxides and the activation of halogen radicals. Thus, volcanic aerosols are important for both radiative and chemical processes in the atmosphere.

It has been shown that solar cycles are associated with changes in solar irradiance (e.g. Dutton et al., 1998) which affects atmospheric dynamics. In particular, changes in the solar UV irradiance are associated with changes in atmospheric heating. Changes in the heating profile of the stratosphere influence the strength and structure of the polar vortex, which in turn affects the occurrence of stratospheric polar vortices (e.g. Stenchkov et al., 2010, 2012). The interaction with chemistry is mainly focused on the UV part of the spectrum, (b) explosive tropical volcanic eruptions and (c) energetic particle precipitation (EPP).
The influence of EPP on climate is – compared to the other two aforementioned factors – a rather new subject to science and has been investigated increasingly often during the last twenty years. Its effect is still not well known and is a quite controversial issue in the climate change discussion (Marsh and Svensmark, 2000; Laut, 2003; Lockwood and Fröhlich, 2007; Erlykin et al., 2013). This disagreement is also a reason why EPP have not been included in important climate model simulation campaigns in support of WMO (World Meteorological Organization) and IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) assessments (WMO, 2011; Forster et al., 2007). The EPP can be divided into three main categories: galactic cosmic rays (GCRs), solar protons, and high- and low-energy electrons (HEE, LEE). All of these types of EPP can ionize neutral molecules in the Earth’s atmosphere.

The GCRs are highly energetic, charged particles. They originate from supernova explosions in our galaxy and their flux and energy spectrum at the entry of the heliosphere is very stable over millennial timescales, only being modulated by the solar activity, which is shielding the Earth from them via magnetospheric deflection (see e.g. Scherer et al., 2004). The observed GCR flux variability thus follows the cycles of the solar magnetic activity. GCRs are highly energetic particles, often relativistic with energies reaching several GeVs (Bazilevskaya et al., 2008), being capable in influencing our atmosphere in important ways: GCRs dissipate their energy mainly by ionization processes. Following a Bragg-peak (Bragg and Kleeman, 1905), the maximal ionization rate by GCR is reached at altitudes between 15 km and 20 km (Usoskin et al., 2010). The ionization is largest in the polar regions (poleward of ±60°) where the geomagnetic field has the weakest shielding effect (lowest cut-off rigidity).

Solar proton events (SPEs) emerge from coronal mass ejections of the Sun, which occur very irregularly and are rarely directed towards the Earth. Hence, SPEs are very sporadic and hardly predictable. The solar wind plasma usually reach the Earth’s atmosphere within 1–2 days after the ejection (Kahler, 1992). The charged particles are directed towards the poles, where they follow the lines of the geomagnetic field into the atmosphere. Only in extreme cases – when their energies reach 500 MeV or more – they can propagate down to the stratosphere (Jackman et al., 2008). As a result of the magnetic shielding, the effect of SPEs is strongly latitude dependent with an equatorward minimum of ±20° and a poleward maximum of ±60°.

LEEs and HEEs originate from the interaction of the Earth’s magnetospheric plasmasheet with the solar wind (Brasseur and Solomon, 2005). Solar plasma is kept trapped in the magnetosphere of the Earth and can be accelerated during periods of higher solar wind speeds. The accelerated electrons then rapidly travel along the magnetic field lines to the poles and partly penetrate the uppermost layers of the atmosphere (Bazilevskaya et al., 2008). The best evidence for their existence are the aurorae, formed by the excitation of nitrogen and oxygen atoms.

Ionization of oxygen and nitrogen lead to NOx and HOx production.

In the stratosphere, while HOx has a short life time (in the range of minutes to hours) and thus affects atmospheric chemistry only locally, reactive nitrogen (NOx) and its representative species nitric acid and chlorine nitrate have lifetimes comparable to, or even longer than, the characteristic times for vertical and horizontal mixing (which occur, for instance, via the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC)). In the stratosphere, NOx and HOx interact with ozone in a significant way, as was found by analysing important ionization events (Callis et al., 1998; Funke et al., 2011). Changes in ozone concentration inside the polar vortex modify the pole-to-equator temperature gradient and thus can have a significant influence on circulation and weather patterns (Gray et al., 2010). Different modelling studies demonstrated the influence of EPP not only on chemistry (Jackman et al., 2008; Baumgaertner et al., 2009; Egorova et al., 2011; Calisto et al., 2011; Rozanov et al., 2012b) but also on dynamics (Baumgaertner et al., 2009; Calisto et al., 2011; Rozanov et al., 2012b).

Climate during the DM minimum has already been simulated with general circulation models (GCM) in a number of studies (Bauer et al., 2003; Wagner and Zorita, 2005; Ammann et al., 2007; Spangehl et al., 2007; Arfeuille, 2012). While Bauer et al. (2003) only used a simplified model, Wagner and Zorita (2005) and Spangehl et al. (2007) exploited a coupled atmosphere–ocean GCM (AO-GCM). Arfeuille (2012) used the chemistry–climate model (CCM) SOCOL to simulate the effects of the Tambora volcanic eruption in 1815 on the climate and found a strong geopotential height gradient anomaly (around 250 gpm) between 55° N and 75° N at 50 hPa in the first winter after the eruption (November–April), as well as a net radiative forcing anomaly reaching −8 W m−2 (60° S–60° N) during the first five months following the eruption. Thus, volcanic influences and solar Grand Minima are generally accepted as main drivers for global climate cooling. Wagner and Zorita (2005) also investigated the contribution of the slightly increasing GHG concentrations during the DM and did not find any significant impact.

In this paper, we investigate the effect of different natural factors on global stratospheric climate during the DM with a fully interactive atmosphere–ocean–chemistry climate model (AO-CCM). To the best of our knowledge, no coupled AO-CCM with EPP parameterization has yet been used for an in-depth analysis of the climate and chemistry state during the DM so far. This is also a reason why a comparison and a validation of our model simulation is nearly impossible to carry out: although investigations of the influence of EPP on the modern climate have been done (Calisto et al., 2011; Rozanov et al., 2012b), the far higher chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) content nowadays makes it difficult to compare the effect on the chemistry and especially on ozone. Concerning the effects of volcanic eruptions, e.g. the work of Arfeuille (2012) can give some hints how another model (the
former model version SOCOLv2 without interactive ocean) has simulated the Tambora eruption. Yet, exact numbers in ozone disturbance are sparse and thus ISO here, a validation of our results is difficult.

A description of the model framework is done in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we describe the chemical and dynamical changes in the stratosphere. In the last chapter, we discuss and summarize the findings of this work.

2 Description of the model and experimental set-up

2.1 AO-CCM SOCOL3-MPIOM

The AO-CCM SOCOL3-MPIOM emerges from the coupling of the CCM SOCOL3 (Stenke et al., 2013) and the ocean model MPIOM (Marsland et al., 2003) with the OASIS3 coupler (Valcke, 2013). SOCOL3 consists of the chemistry module MEZON (Model for Evaluation of ozONe trends, Rozanov et al., 1999; Egorova et al., 2003; Schranner et al., 2008) which is coupled to the GCM MA-ECHAM5 (Roeckner et al., 2003). Atmospheric temperature fields are passed to MEZON, which computes the tendencies of 41 gas species, taking into account 200 gas-phase, 16 heterogeneous, and 35 photolytical reactions. Once computed, the chemical tendencies are handed back to ECHAM5, which then takes care of the transport of species. The simulations were run in T31 spectral resolution, which is equivalent to a grid spacing of around 3.75°. The vertical spacing is irregular, as the model uses hybrid sigma pressure coordinates on 39 levels from 1000 hPa up to 0.01 hPa (80 km). The chemistry scheme is only called every two hours – simultaneously with the radiative scheme – in order to be computationally efficient.

Due to this relatively coarse vertical resolution, the Quasi-Biennial-Oscillation (QBO) is not reproduced autonomously by the GCM. To reproduce the QBO, the equatorial zonal wind field is nudged to reconstructed data in the same manner as described in Giorgetta (1996).

The original ECHAM5 radiation code does not properly treat solar spectral irradiation forcing (Forster et al., 2011): therefore, extra-heating correction factors (Zhu, 1994) for the Lyman-alpha line, the Schumann–Runge, and the Hartley and Huggins bands as well as for the Herzberg continuum were implemented. The radiation code was also modified in such a way that ECHAM5 reads in spectrally resolved solar irradiance in the six ECHAM5 short-wave bands with varying distribution instead of the standard fixed distribution of the varying total solar irradiance into the six bands.

Parameterization of the different EPPs was done identically to Rozanov et al. (2012b) and ref. therein, with the only difference being that the code has been modified for use in SOCOLv3. Highly energetic electrons (HEE) were not included in the model. To include the magnetic dependency of the ionization by EPP, a temporal, locally changing dipole magnetic field was implemented in the model using geomagnetic proxy data as input.

2.2 Boundary conditions

The model is forced by several boundary conditions described in the following section.

The GHG concentrations for the 1780 to 1840 period of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide are based on the Palaeoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project Phase III (PMIP3) protocol (Etheridge et al., 1996, 1998; MacFarling-Meure, 2004; Ferretti et al., 2005; MacFarling-Meure et al., 2006). Halogen-containing species were kept constant to preindustrial levels.

All forcings influenced by the activity level of the Sun were based on the solar modulation potential reconstructions produced from 10Be records from ice cores: for the spectral solar irradiance forcing, we use the reconstruction of Shapiro et al. (2011). In Fig. 1, the radiative forcing data is plotted for the six bands of ECHAM5 radiation code. The main difference between this reconstruction and the former ones like Lean et al. (1995) or Bard et al. (2000) is the amplitude of the variability. For example, the difference between the maximum and the minimum total solar irradiance (TSI) value during the DM is roughly 6 W m⁻², whereas in, e.g. Lean et al. (1995), the drop was only by 2 W m⁻². For the photolysis rates, look-up tables are used, which have been generated from the spectral solar irradiance (SSI) of Shapiro et al. (2011) are used.

Several different datasets were used for the energetic particles. For the parameterization of NO₃ influx, Baumgaertner et al. (2009) used the A_p index which can be reconstructed as far as back as the year 1932. The A_p index can be correlated with the A_q index, which has a longer time frame but is only based on two stations. It is available from 1868 to present. Based on sunspot numbers, the A_q and A_p indexes can be reconstructed via correlation until the year 1600. SPEs were prescribed from an existing SPE dataset (provided by Charles Jackman and covering the period 1963–2008, see Jackman et al., 2009). SPEs are very short-lived (in the order of days); thus such events cannot be reconstructed from proxies like 10Be, which are usually used. Shea et al. (2006) presented a solution to reconstruct big events, like the Carrington event, from nitrates deposited in ice cores. This method, however, is very controversial (Wolff et al., 2008; Schrijver et al., 2012). In our work, SPEs are randomized for the years before 1963 by using a return-period based analysis of the last 45 yr, and weighted with the A_p index. Cosmic rays are based on the solar modulation potential (Φ), which has been reconstructed by Steinilber et al. (2008). The dataset compares well with the neutron monitor measurements which are available for since the year 1950. Φ is an index which describes the solar modulation of the cosmic ray flux, which can be converted into pressure–latitude ionization rates using look-up tables from Usoskin et al. (2010). Palaeo-magnetic datasets.
(C. Finlay, personal communication, 2010) are applied to the model in order to take into account the geomagnetic dependency of the ionization.

Stratospheric aerosol properties are prescribed according to the approach applied by Arfeuille et al. (2013a) who used the Atmospheric and Environmental Research Inc. (AER) model (Weisenstein et al., 1997) constrained with ice-core-based estimates of sulphate aerosol mass (Gao et al., 2008, 2012). From those simulations, zonally averaged aerosol optical properties (spectrally resolved) and surface area density forcing data for SOCOL3-MPIOM were extracted. The most important volcanoes during the DM period where two weak eruptions (1 and 3 Mt SO$_2$) in 1794 and 1796 with unknown locations (probably extratropical), a strong unknown tropical eruption of 27 Mt SO$_2$ in 1809, the 55 Mt SO$_2$ Tambora eruption in 1815 and two eruptions (8.5 Mt and 20 Mt SO$_2$) – the Babuyan Claro in 1831 and the Cosiguina in 1835.

Uncertainties in historical long-term volcanic aerosol datasets can be large, and pose more challenges for representation than does the well-observed Pinatubo 1991 eruption. Indeed, the lack of atmospheric observations leads to uncertainties arising from ice-core measurements and calibrations, and from the implementations of volcanic datasets, which generally involve further assumptions (e.g. altitude and size distributions of the aerosols). The volcanic forcing applied here is based on an aerosol model for the calculation of these variables, and the strengths of this method for the depiction of the aerosol latitude/altitude/size distributions for eruptions in the pre-satellite period are described in Arfeuille et al. (2013a). As many CCMs, also SOCOL tends to overestimate the stratospheric warming following the Pinatubo eruption (Eyring et al., 2006; Lanzante, 2007), and the AER-based SOCOL simulation of the Pinatubo eruption (Arfeuille et al., 2013b) suggests that AER-SOCOL might also overestimate the stratospheric warming due to the eruptions in the Dalton minimum. While this issue is one of the current uncertainties for the representation of volcanic impacts in the pre-satellite period, it can be noted that in the important tropical-tropopause region, SOCOL forced by AER leads to a good representation of temperature after the Pinatubo eruption.
even in better agreement with observations than many GCMs forced by satellite-based aerosol datasets.

Tropospheric aerosol properties were constructed by scaling the existing CAM3.5 simulations with a bulk aerosol model driven by CCSM3 (CMIP4) sea-surface temperatures and the 1850–2000 CMIP5 emissions (S. Bauer, personal communication, 2011). For data before the year 1850, the applied scaling is a function of the world population except for 10 % of the presumed 1990 biomass burning aerosols which were considered natural.

The model was forced by the standard (Hagemann et al., 1999; Hagemann, 2002) land surface datasets provided with the ECHAM5 package.

Finally, equatorial zonal mean zonal winds for nudging the QBO were generated from a backward extension of the Brönnimann et al. (2007) reconstructions using an idealized QBO cycle plus a seasonal anomaly cycle.

### 2.3 Experiments

To investigate the influence of solar, volcanic and the EPP forcings, we perform a series of three-member ensemble sensitivity experiments described in Table 1. We initialize our runs in the year 1780 from a transient simulation starting in 1388 AD. While the first ensemble member is run with unperturbed initial 1780 conditions, the two following members are initialized with an ocean field of the years 1781 and 1779, respectively. Every experiment covers 60 yr to reach December 1840. The analyzed period is chosen to be from 1805 to 1825 in order to reduce the noise and strengthen the signal from volcanic, solar and particle forcings. The small number of ensemble members is chosen to reduce the computational time needed for the simulations.

To address the relative roles of the UV, visible and infrared radiation, as well as the extra heating and the photolysis rates, two experiments called DM-TD (top-down) and DM-BU (bottom-up) are designed. In DM-TD, all forcing data is kept constant except (i) the first radiation band (UV) of ECHAM5 radiation code, (ii) the coefficients of extraheating parameterization, as well as (iii) the photolysis rates. Hence, all forcing comes from the stratosphere because the response of the heating rate in the second band of ECHAM5 radiation code (240–440 nm) to the solar variability is very small (Forster et al., 2011). The opposite experiment, DM-BU, is designed in a way that all forcing is kept constant except in bands 2–6 of the ECHAM5 radiation code. Hence, DM-BU does not include any stratospheric heating or ozone production changes, meaning that all extra radiation is absorbed mostly in the troposphere and by the surface. In turn, the DM-VOLC runs are driven with all forcings except the volcanic forcing kept to constant 1780 conditions. The DM-CTRL1780 runs are performed with perpetual 1780 conditions, whereas the DM-ALL runs are driven with all forcings in transient conditions. To address the effect of energetic particles, we carry out the DM-EPP experiment which is forced only by the parameterizations for GCR, SPE and LEE, while all other forcings are set constant or switched to background aerosol concentrations (volcanic forcing).

### 2.4 Method of comparison

In the next section we analyze 60 yr long time series of annual zonal mean quantities constructed from the results of three 20 yr long ensemble runs for each experiment. The statistical significances were calculated using the two-tailed Student’s t test using the 5 % significance level, and comparing all 60 yr long time series for each experiment. All figures illustrate the relative or absolute deviation of the results of the experiment runs relative to the control run (DM-CTRL1780). On all plots, the yellow line indicates the height of the dynamical WMO tropopause. The nonlinearities are computed in the following way: the differences of DM-TD, DM-BU, DM-VOLC and DM-EPP relative to DM-CTRL1780 are computed and added. This field is then compared to the difference field between DM-ALL and DM-CTRL1780. A positive value in the nonlinearity plot would mean that the stacked relative differences are greater than the combined differences from DM-ALL. Nonlinearities are only discussed when the sum of the contributions and the combined modelled effect are significantly different.

If not noted differently, the upper left figure of every panel illustrates the overall effect of all factors (DM-ALL), followed by the effects of UV solar irradiance (DM-TD), volcanic aerosols (DM-VOLC) and energetic particle precipitation (DM-EPP). As a reduction of the visible and infrared radiation in the DM-BU experiment has small effects on the stratospheric chemistry, these results are not shown in the chemical section.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Atmospheric chemistry

In this section, we focus on four species: ozone, water vapour, HOx and NOx, as they show the most pronounced response to the considered factors.

##### 3.1.1 Ozone

Figure 2a shows the relative effect of DM-ALL including all factors – namely the reduction of solar radiation, volcanic eruptions and EPP – with respect to the control simulation (DM-CTRL1780). Substantial ozone depletion is found almost everywhere, reaching its maximum (−8 %) in the upper tropical mesosphere and middle stratosphere over the high latitudes. However, the opposite response is simulated in the polar upper mesosphere and tropical upper stratosphere/lower stratosphere (UT/LS) regions where the ozone mixing ratio increases by up to 15 %.
Table 1. Experiments for DM sensitivity runs: CONST values are monthly mean values from 1780. BCKGRD means that only background aerosol emissions were enabled and volcanic eruptions were turned off. TRANS means transient forcing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>UV</th>
<th>VIS</th>
<th>Volcanic</th>
<th>EPP</th>
<th>Photolysis</th>
<th>Extra Heating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM-CTRL1780</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>BCKGRD</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-ALL</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-TD</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>BCKGRD</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-BU</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>BCKGRD</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-VOLC</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM-EPP</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>BCKGRD</td>
<td>TRANS</td>
<td>CONST</td>
<td>CONST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2b shows that mainly the effects of the solar UV reduction in DM-TD are responsible for the ozone loss at ozone-layer height and for the gain of ozone in the polar upper mesosphere. These ozone changes in the atmosphere can be explained mostly by three factors:

1. the decrease in solar UV irradiance which reduces the ozone production via oxygen photolysis in the stratosphere and NO₂ photolysis in the troposphere,

2. the increase of NOₓ (see Fig. 5, Sect. 3.1.3), which facilitates the intensification of the NOₓ cycle of ozone oxidation (Reactions R1–R3),

\[
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2, \quad \text{(R1)}
\]

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_2, \quad \text{(R2)}
\]

Net:

\[
\text{O}_3 + \text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2, \quad \text{(R3)}
\]

3. the slight compensation of the above-mentioned ozone depletion processes due to the stratospheric cooling caused by reduced solar UV and ozone mixing ratio, slowing down the ozone destruction cycles (see Sect. 3.2.1).

At the poles in lower mesospheric height (60–80 km) a surplus of ozone by up to 20% is explained by the fact that at these heights, the UV radiation acts like a sink rather than a source of ozone. Thus, with less UV radiation, near the mesopause, ozone destruction is suppressed.

The surplus of ozone at the tropical tropopause can be explained by volcanic effects with the DM-VOLC experiment (see Fig. 2c). The main reason for the ozone increase in the tropical UT/LS after volcanic eruptions is the transformation of NOₓ to N₂O₅ and the subsequent hydrolysis of N₂O₅ to HNO₃ via heterogeneous reactions on/in the sulfuric acid particles, formed in the stratosphere from the products of the volcanic eruptions. In the present day atmosphere, ozone depletion was observed after major volcanic eruptions and attributed to catalytic reactions involving reactive halogens. However, a potentially significant background of natural chlorine and bromine existed. The effect of this background, to cause ozone depletion, would have been dominated by nitrogen deactivation on sulphate aerosol. Therefore, the heterogeneous conversion of HOₓ and NOₓ to relatively passive reservoir species leads to an ozone increase of up to 16%. The decrease of ozone in the mesosphere is related to the strong increase of the HOₓ species at that height (see Sect. 3.1.2), leading to an acceleration of the ozone depletion cycle.

Energetic particles can influence the ozone concentration, as shown in Rozanov et al. (2012b). Although our NOₓ field looks very similar to the one from the cited work (see...
Sect. 3.1.3), the ozone response to EPP in the polar mesosphere is much weaker in our simulations. The main reason for this finding is that background temperatures in the involved regions are different in SOCOLv3 from SOCOLv2. Though, the reaction of nitrogen with oxygen is highly temperature dependent (Funke et al., 2011). Thus, only a minor part of the signal seen in Fig. 2a can be attributed to EPP: the annual mean ozone anomaly shows an ozone decrease of up to 2 % in the southern extratropics, which is due to the ionization of nitrogen by GCRs. The change, however, is only significant on a 10 % level. Over the poles, in the lower mesosphere, a significant increase of ozone of up to 2 % is simulated due to the lower ionization rates of both SPEs and LEEs, leading to less NOx (see later). Seasonal variations are visible. The biggest effect is modelled in austral spring (SON, see Fig. S1 in the Supplement), where significant losses of ozone at UT/LS level of up to 4 % are found in the southern polar latitudes.

The temporal evolution of ozone at 70 hPa (Fig. S2 in the Supplement) and at 1 hPa (Fig. S3 in the Supplement), averaged between 20° N–20° S, are illustrated in the Supplement. They show that while the volcanic events dominate in the overall signal at the tropical-tropopause height, it is the solar signal which dominates overall at 1 hPa height (blue curve of DM-TD experiment inducing a negative anomaly visible in the pink DM-ALL curve). Other forcing factors which are not of importance at 70 hPa height have not been plotted.

### 3.1.2 HOx and water vapour

In Figs. 3a and 4a the differences in water vapour and HOx in DM-ALL and water vapour and HOx in DM-CTRL1780 are illustrated. While water vapour concentration increases dramatically above the tropopause, HOx is experiencing an increase in tropical UT/LS and a decrease in the mesosphere and middle tropical stratosphere.

The results of the DM-TD experiment illustrated in Fig. 3b and Fig. 4b help to attribute the H2O increase and HOx loss in the mesosphere to the introduced decrease of solar UV irradiance. A strong (by up to 25 %) HOx decrease in the mesosphere, coinciding with a pronounced increase of H2O, is driven by less intensive water vapour photolysis in the Lyman-alpha line and Schumann–Runge bands. When looking at the highest levels of the model atmosphere, one recognizes that HOx decreases less in the lower mesosphere than at stratosphere levels (see Fig. 4b). This can be explained by looking at the increase of the water vapour content in Fig. 3b. As water vapour is more prominent during periods of decreasing UV radiation above 60 km due to the decrease in photodissociation, production of OH via reaction with O(1D) is more likely. Moreover, a cooling of the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere (UT/LS) of 0.1 K decreases the stratospheric water content by 2 %. Hence, as the mean decrease of temperature at UT/LS height (shown in the dynamics section) in our DM-TD run is of around 0.2 K, a decrease of roughly 4 % of the stratospheric water content is to be expected – and modelled. This drop is the reason for the observed decrease in HOx (of 4 % on average) below 65 km down to the tropopause.

To explain the strong increase of water vapour above the tropopause, a look at the results of the DM-VOLC experiment (see Fig. 3c) is needed. Due to a warming signal (which will be shown in Section “Temperature”), a strong (of up to 14 %) increase in the stratospheric water content is modelled even when the results are averaged over a 20 yr long period (see Robock, 2000). It is interesting to note that in the two years after Tambora, simulated water vapour contents rise by up to 60 % at the tropical tropopause. Such a strong increase in water vapour content leads to an acceleration of the reactions

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH},
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH},
\]

in the lower mesosphere and stratosphere. Hence, an increase in HOx throughout the whole stratosphere and mesosphere (see Fig. 4c) is observed, with peak increases over the equatorial tropopause. The increase in HOx leads to a speed-up in the oxidation of long-lived species like methane or CO.
In a clean and unpolluted atmosphere, a surplus of nitrogen oxides automatically leads to the drop in HOx concentrations. In Fig. 4d, the additional NOx produced (see next subsection) from the GCRs decreases the amount of HOx slightly. These changes are marginally significant on a 5% level but highly significant on a 10% level in the tropical regions of the largest ionization rates (around 100 hPa). A decrease of HOx of up to 3% – and, during the absolute minimum of the DM, even of up to 8% – is simulated by our model. This decrease is supported by the slight additional decrease of 0.5 to 1% in the stratospheric water vapour content (Fig. 3d).

3.1.3 NOx

In the DM-ALL experiment, the NOx mixing ratio dramatically decreases in the polar mesosphere by up to 70% and – with much smaller magnitude – also decreases in the tropical middle stratosphere. In the tropical upper troposphere and in the stratosphere, an increase in NOx is found (see Fig. 5a), which reaches its maximum in the upper tropical stratosphere/lower mesosphere. The latter can be explained by the smaller solar UV forcing in the DM-TD experiment (see Fig. 5b). The reduction in the solar UV irradiance leads to a pronounced decrease of the photolysis rates for all species, including nitrogen oxide (NO). The NO absorption bands overlap with the oxygen Schumann–Runge bands (170–200 nm) and the introduced decline of the solar irradiance in this interval is one of the most pronounced (Shapiro et al., 2011). The NO photolysis (NO + hν → N + O) plays a crucial role in the NOx budget, providing pure loss of NOx via the subsequent cannibalistic reaction (N + NO → N2 + O) which explain the overall NOx increase in the DM-TD experiment.

The dipole structure in the tropical UT/LS is explained by the influence of the volcanic eruptions. The volcanic sulfate aerosols provide a media for a number of fast heterogeneous reactions. For the clean stratosphere during the DM, the most important reaction was the N2O5 hydrolysis, which facilitates the conversion of active nitrogen oxides to rather passive nitric acid. This effect is shown in Fig. 5c which illustrates the results of the DM-VOLC experiment. A significant NOx decrease over the DM period is observed in this experiment above the tropopause over the tropics and at high latitudes where the aerosol abundance is at a maximum. The causes for a small NOx increase in the tropical
upper troposphere are not clear; probably it is related to the ozone increase in this area which leads to an enhanced NO\textsubscript{x} production via \( \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}^{(1)D} \rightarrow \text{NO + NO} \).

As expected, most of the changes in NO\textsubscript{x} seen in Fig. 5a is dominated by energetic particles (see Fig. 5d). The NO\textsubscript{x} influx, parameterized as the function of \( A_p \) index, weakened in intensity during the DM, leading to a NO\textsubscript{x} decrease by up to 80\%. Particles with higher energies – to a large part GCRs, whose flux was higher during the DM – penetrate deeper into the atmosphere. At tropopause levels, GCRs produce up to 6\% more NO\textsubscript{x} at the poles and up to 2\% more NO\textsubscript{x} at the equator. While an increase in NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations above 50 km has only a small effect on the ozone layer, NO\textsubscript{x} production at lower altitudes may lead to an acceleration of the destruction of ozone via Reactions (R1)–(R3). This insight could be of high importance for the possible future decrease in solar activity in the current century (see Anet et al., 2013). The NO\textsubscript{x} anomalies compare well to those found in Rozanov et al. (2012b). The reason why the positive change in NO\textsubscript{x} is not reflected in Fig. 5a is that conversion to NO\textsubscript{y} occurs due to the additional amount of stratospheric aerosols from the volcanic eruptions. In DM-ALL, a strong decrease in NO\textsubscript{y} is seen over the whole tropopause region.

The NO\textsubscript{x} field is a good example to show the non-linear behaviour of atmospheric chemistry (see Fig. 6a). Superimposing all relative differences of all experiments, the mesospheric polar regions from the stacked DM-BU, DM-TD, DM-VOLC and DM-EPP result in significant differences of up to 15\% more NO\textsubscript{x} compared to DM-ALL. The NO\textsubscript{x} field in the lower stratosphere over the northern extratropics also shows also a significant positive anomaly of 2–4\% more NO\textsubscript{x}. The analysis of the NO\textsubscript{y} field in Fig. 6b shows an even more pronounced anomaly when superimposing all differences of all contributions together and comparing this result to the DM-ALL field: values of up to 25\% more NO\textsubscript{y} in the mesospheric polar atmosphere and in the northern polar mid-stratospheric region are reached. These differences stem partly from the NO photolysis, which was kept to constant 1780 values during the DM-EPP run. As well, the additional cooling during the DM-VOLC run resulted in NO\textsubscript{x} deactivation over the poles, which could not happen during the DM-TD run.

The temporal evolution of NO\textsubscript{x} at 70 hPa (Fig. S4 in the Supplement) and at 1 hPa (Fig. S5 in the Supplement), averaged between 20° N–20° S, are illustrated in the Supplement. The main signal at 70 hPa height again – as for ozone – is dominated by the volcanoes. The remaining forcings are relatively unimportant at this height and have not been plotted. At 1 hPa height, it is the solar signal which is dominant, although spikes do appear in volcanic periods. The latter anomalies, however, go back to normal values 1–2 yr after the volcanic eruptions. A negative NO\textsubscript{x} anomaly due to EPP is not yet visible at this altitude.

\[ \text{NO}_x + \text{O}^{(1)D} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{NO} \]

Fig. 6. Differences between the DM-ALL vs. DM-CTRL1780 field and between the (DM-TD + DM-BU + DM-VOLC + DM-EPP) vs. DM-CTRL1780 field. Positive values show a positive anomaly of the stacked differences over the DM-ALL difference field. Hatched areas are significantly different on a Student’s t test with \( \alpha = 10\% \). The yellow line illustrates the height of the WMO tropopause.

3.2 Stratospheric dynamics

In this section we present the changes of the stratospheric temperature and winds during the DM and identify the contribution of all considered factors.

3.2.1 Temperature

As it is shown in Fig. 7a, during the DM, the model simulates cooling in the entire atmosphere except the lower tropical stratosphere. The cooling gradually increases with altitude – from 1 K in the middle stratosphere around 25 km, up to 8 K near the mesopause. A slight enhancement of the cooling is also visible in the lower polar stratosphere. Weaker cooling of up to 0.6 K occurs below 100 hPa maximizing in the tropical upper troposphere.

Figure 7b shows the temperature changes due to implied decrease of visible and infrared solar irradiance (experiment DM-BU) and demonstrates that this factor is producing a weak cooling in the troposphere and in the upper stratosphere. The tropospheric cooling is explained by less energy income to the surface, while the cooling in the stratosphere is most likely caused by the decrease in available solar radiation for the ozone absorption in the Chappuis band. The temperature changes due to solar UV irradiance (experiment DM-TD) are illustrated in Fig. 7c which shows that this factor plays the dominant role in the cooling of the atmosphere above 25 km. The results shown in Fig. 7d demonstrate that the influence of volcanic eruptions has a more complicated spatial pattern. The volcanic aerosols produce a strong warming of up to 2 K at around 20 km in the tropical and subtropical region. The volcanic aerosol is able to absorb infrared solar and terrestrial radiation (e.g. Stenchikov et al., 1998). The obtained strong warming in the lower tropical stratosphere means that the increased absorption of the terrestrial
radiation by volcanic aerosols dominates over the absorption of the solar radiation, which should lead to a cooling due to the introduced decrease of the solar activity during the DM. As discussed in the introduction, this warming effect may be overestimated by our AO-CCM in the lower stratosphere, while at the tropopause there are good chances that our model – forced by AER data – reproduces an accurate warming right after the eruption. The warming in the UT/LS region explains the strong increase of water vapour in the stratosphere which was illustrated in Sect. 3.1.2. The cooling in the upper part of the model domain is explained by the blocking of the outgoing terrestrial radiation by the aerosol layer, leading to a decrease of the incoming energy in these layers. The dipole-like structure of the temperature changes over the polar regions would hint on the intensification of the polar night jets: this suspicion is confirmed when analysing seasonal means, which show a strong statistical significant acceleration on the 5 % level of the north polar night jet and a significant increase on the 10 % level of the southern polar night jet (see Fig. S6 in the Supplement). The blocking of the solar visible and infrared radiation by volcanic aerosols leads to a cooling in the troposphere.

Due to dilution and gravitational settling as well as washout processes, the volcanic aerosols concentration decreases over time. This has an implication on the temperature anomalies: we find that lower stratospheric temperatures revert to climatology, which is in agreement with Robock (2000).

The annual mean temperature changes from EPP are small and not statistically significant. Seasonal means, however, show significant differences: the austral winter seasonal means show a dipole pattern over the South Pole (Fig. 9a). A significant drop in temperatures (by as much as 0.7 K) between 100 hPa and 5 hPa is modelled in winter, deepening in spring to a cooling of up to 1 K. At the same time, a heating of approximately the same amplitude in a height between 1 hPa and 0.05 hPa is modelled. We explain the pattern over the southern pole by a strengthening of the polar vortex during austral winter (see next subsection) as well as a significant positive ozone anomaly of up to 3 % at 5 hPa (Fig. 2d). Ozone at these heights act as a radiative coolant. The positive temperature anomaly at mesosphere heights is due to a faster descent of air masses (BDC), leading to an increase in diabatic heating. No significant major changes in temperature can be observed during the boreal winter season.

The analyzed nonlinearities in temperatures are only significant in the troposphere and hence not shown here.

The temporal evolution of the temperatures at 70 hPa (Fig. S7 in the Supplement) and at 1 hPa (Fig. S8 in the Supplement), averaged between 20° N–20° S, are illustrated in the Supplement. The main anomalies at 70 hPa height dominating over the analyzed period are triggered by volcanic eruptions (+24 K in 1815). Other effects can be neglected. At 1 hPa height, it is the solar signal which is dominant (blue line, DM-TD). But – as for NOx – during the volcanic peri-

...
downward propagation of the signal is observed in both hemispheres. This effect comes from the strong, extended warming of the entire tropical lower stratosphere by volcanic aerosols (see Fig. 8d), leading to a smaller temperature gradient from the equator to the extratropics. This weakens the subtropical jets. By contrast, a significant strengthening of the polar jets up to 2.8 m s\(^{-1}\) is coming from the increased temperature gradient between the tropical tropopause and the polar tropopause (\(\Delta T = 3.2\) K). Moreover, in Fig. 10, a strong acceleration in vertical residual circulation (positive numbers are upwards) is observed after the 1809 and 1815 volcanic eruptions. Thus, the BDC is accelerated right after major volcanic eruptions. We explain this result by the finding that immediately after the volcanic eruption, cooling in the upper troposphere occurs. This favours the dissipation of gravity waves through the tropopause, leading to an additional gravity wave drag in the lower stratosphere, and hence an acceleration of the BDC. Following the heating of the lower stratosphere by the volcanic aerosols, the vertical residual circulation drops due to strengthening of the temperature gradient at the tropopause.

The DM-EPP experiment indicates positive – however, not significant – changes in annual zonal mean winds of up to 0.8 m s\(^{-1}\) at the stratospheric southern polar extratropics. This anomaly becomes highly significant in the austral winter seasonal mean (Fig. 9b) and reaches values of up to 1.2 m s\(^{-1}\). The origin of this finding is the increase of adiabatic heating by descending air masses of the BDC in austral winter. The residual vertical circulation (not shown) shows a significant increase in downward motion of the air masses by up to 0.8 mm s\(^{-1}\). This in turn forms a positive temperature anomaly, leading to an increase in the pole-to-equator gradient at 60 km of height. As a consequence, the zonal wind increases. During boreal winter, a similar but less strong and non-significant pattern is found.

Nonlinearities in the zonal wind field are especially well visible at the southern extratropical stratopause, where a positive bias of up to 2 m s\(^{-1}\) is found (not shown). We assign this anomaly to the complex interaction between the DM-VOLC temperature gradient changes and the overall DM-TD negative temperature anomaly, which could have led to significant higher temperature gradient changes especially at the stratopause.
4 Conclusions

We present in this paper, we present a modeling study of the different forcings which could have led to the dynamical and chemical changes in the stratosphere during the DM from 1805 to 1825 AD. The contributions, analyzed with four sensitivity experiments, include decrease in visible and near infrared radiation (DM-BU), UV radiation (DM-TD), volcanic eruptions (DM-VOLC) and energetic particles, the latter including an increase of galactic cosmic rays ionization and a decrease of solar proton events and low energetic electron precipitation (DM-EPP). A comparison of DM-TD, DM-VOLC and DM-EPP to the control run showed major significant changes. However, when comparing DM-BU to the control run, we did not succeed in identifying any noticeable changes neither in stratospheric chemistry nor in the stratospheric dynamics – except for the mid-stratospheric temperature field. This is mainly due to the drop of only 1 % in the radiation band 3 from the Shapiro et al. (2011) reconstruction.

The reconstructions of the solar irradiance for past times remain highly uncertain (Judge et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2013; Solanki and Unruh, 2013) since no direct long-term measurements are available. We are aware of the fact that by using a strong solar forcing, the temperature, wind and chemical responses will be correspondingly strong, so that a comparison to a weaker forcing might be in place. However, in the recent work of Anet et al. (2013), we compared the ozone response using the strong forcing of Shapiro et al. (2011) – applied here – and a weaker one. Differences were not high enough to warrant the repetition of all experiments with a weaker solar forcing.

When isolating the different contributions, our simulations show the following effects on temperatures: when reducing the solar radiation in the 185–250 nm band and the photolysis rates, a temperature drop, reaching higher negative anomalies at the mesosphere than at the tropopause, is modelled. The anomalies reach 0.2 K at the tropopause and quasi-uniformly drop to values down to 6 K at the lower mesosphere. The main reason is the lower amount of absorption of radiation by ozone. A significant cooling of up to 0.6 K is observed in the middle stratosphere when reducing the irradiance of the bands 2 and 3 of the solar spectrum in our model (250–690 nm). In the volcanic scenario, the tropical tropopause is heated by up to 2.2 K due to radiative absorption by the aerosols, while a significant cooling of up to 1.2 K is modelled around the stratopause. In the DM-EPP scenario, only a seasonal significant change in temperatures could be modelled in JJA, leading to a dipole-like structure: a cold anomaly of 0.5 K in the southern polar middle stratosphere and a warming of around the same amount in the southern polar lower mesosphere.

The zonal winds did not change significantly neither in the DM-BU nor the DM-TD experiment. However, a strong significant change is modelled in the subtropics and extratropics in both hemispheres in the lower and upper stratosphere by up to 2.8 m s\(^{-1}\) when forcing the model only with volcanic aerosols. This effect is highest in the two years following an important volcanic eruption. Same as for the temperature, changes in zonal winds in the DM-EPP scenario are only significant in the JJA seasonal mean, showing a zonal wind increase of up to 1.2 m s\(^{-1}\) in the southern extratropical stratosphere, which is due to the dipole-like temperature anomalies.

The analysis of the stratospheric chemistry leads to following conclusions: ozone drops by up to 8 % in the ozone layer, \(\text{HO}_x\) decreases by up to 20 % at the stratopause and water vapour content decreases in the low stratosphere by up to 3.6 % but increases by up to 40 % at the lower mesosphere in the DM-TD scenario. In the DM-VOLC scenario, ozone increases by up to 16 % at the tropical tropopause but decreases by up to 6 % at the stratopause, \(\text{HO}_x\) increases all over by up to 25 %, as does the water vapour amount by up to 14 %. These effects are highest in the two subsequent years after a major volcanic eruption (up to 60 % more water vapour in the lower stratosphere in the 2 yr after Tambora). The DM-EPP experiment showed highly significant changes in the \(\text{NO}_x\) field: while a decrease of up to 80 % is modelled at the mesospheric poles, an increase of up to 4 % is simulated at the polar tropopause. However, no or very low effects are modelled for ozone, \(\text{HO}_x\) and water vapour.

By considering the changes in dynamics and chemistry, we conclude that only due to the complex interaction of volcanic, UV solar spectral and EPP forcing, do these contributions induce changes in the dynamics and chemistry of the stratosphere during the DM. The reduction of the visible radiation plays only a minor role in most of the fields except temperature. Thus, for future modelling studies, including an interactive chemistry with separate treatment of the different spectral bands is of great importance in order to get the climate responses on solar- and volcanic forcing as realistic as possible.

Concluding, the ozone decrease was predominantly influenced by the decrease in UV radiation in the polar mesosphere and at ozone-layer height, whereas the volcanic eruptions influenced ozone concentrations at tropical-tropopause height. EPP influenced only in a minor part the ozone concentrations in the polar mesosphere. \(\text{HO}_x\) and water-vapour increase were affected primarily by volcanic eruptions in the stratosphere and by UV in the lower mesosphere. \(\text{NO}_x\) fields were most notably influenced by EPP in the polar mesosphere and by UV in the upper stratosphere. Stratospheric winds were influenced to a major part from volcanic eruptions to a large degree. Temperatures were mainly influenced by volcanic eruptions and UV reduction, leading to a significant warming at the tropical tropopause and to a cooling in the remaining of the atmosphere.

With respect to a possible future grand solar minimum in the 21st century, a drop in ozone column by up to 7 % due to the reduction of the UV radiation is a very significant finding.
In combination with a similar decrease in the ozone layer thickness due to ozone-depleting substances, this may become a possible health issue on Earth. As well, the effects of a reduction of UV, volcanic eruptions, and an increase of oxidation by GCRs should be thoroughly investigated in future research of the 21st century with an AO-CCM. The evolution of the ozone layer remains an important scientific topic, as e.g. crop yields or the health of living beings are subject to both anthropogenic and natural influences.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/10951/2013/acp-13-10951-2013-supplement.zip.
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