Contrib Mineral Petrol (2007) 154:1–14 DOI 10.1007/s00410-006-0176-2

ORIGINAL PAPER

# Thermochemistry of monazite-(La) and dissakisite-(La): implications for monazite and allanite stability in metapelites

E. Janots · F. Brunet · B. Goffé · C. Poinssot · M. Burchard · L. Cemič

Received: 25 September 2006 / Accepted: 15 December 2006 / Published online: 26 January 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

**Abstract** Thermochemical properties have been either measured or estimated for synthetic monazite, LaPO<sub>4</sub>, and dissakisite, CaLaMgAl<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH, the Mg-equivalent of allanite. A dissakisite formation enthalpy of  $-6,976.5 \pm 10.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> was derived from high-temperature drop-solution measurements in lead borate at 975 K. A third-law entropy value of  $104.9 \pm 1.6$  J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> was retrieved from low-temperature heat capacity ( $C_p$ ) measured on synthetic LaPO<sub>4</sub> with an adiabatic calorimeter in the 30–300 K range. The  $C_p$  values of lanthanum phases were measured in the 143–723 K range by differential scanning calorimetry. In this study, La(OH)<sub>3</sub> appeared as suit-

E. Janots · F. Brunet · B. Goffé Laboratoire de Géologie, ENS-CNRS UMR8538, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

C. Poinssot DEN/DPC/SECR, CEA, Saclay, France

M. Burchard Institut für Geologie, Mineralogie und Geophysik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum, Germany

L. Cemič Institut für Geowissenschaften der Universität Kiel Olshausenstraße 40, 24098 Kiel, Germany

E. Janots (🖂)

Institute of geological sciences, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 3, 30012 Bern, Switzerland e-mail: ejanots@geo.unibe.ch able for drop solution in lead borate and represents an attractive alternative to La2O3. Pseudo-sections were calculated with the THERIAK-DOMINO software using the thermochemical data retrieved here for a simplified metapelitic composition (La =  $\sum REE + Y$ ) and considering monazite and Fe-free epidotes along the dissakisite-clinozoïsite join, as the only REE-bearing minerals. Calculation shows a stability window for dissakisite-clinozoïsite epidotes (T between 250 and 550°C and P between 1 and 16 kbar), included in a wide monazite field. The P-T extension of this stability window depends on the bulk-rock Ca-content. Assuming that synthetic LaPO<sub>4</sub> and dissakisite-(La) are good analogues of natural monazite and allanite, these results are consistent with the REE-mineralogy sequence observed in metapelites, where (1) monazite is found to be stable below 250°C, (2) around 250-450°C, depending on the pressure, allanite forms at the expense of monazite and (3) towards amphibolite conditions, monazite reappears at the expense of allanite.

## Introduction

Although it is an accessory mineral, monazite, the light rare earth element (LREE) phosphate (LREEPO<sub>4</sub>), is one of the main hosts for lanthanides and actinides in sedimentary, magmatic and metamorphic rocks. Its ubiquity as well as its chemical durability and its apparent resistance to radiation-induced amorphization confer to monazite the qualities of a robust U–Th–Pb

Communicated by J. Hoefs.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00410-006-0176-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

chronometer (e.g. Spear and Pyle 2002). Amongst the thermodynamic properties of REE-phases available, those of monazite are now relatively well constrained. Solubility products data ( $\log K$ ) have been measured for La, Nd and Sm monazite end-members (Rai et al. 2003; Poitrasson et al. 2004; Cetiner et al. 2005). Monazite formation enthalpy has been measured by high-temperature drop-solution calorimetry (Ushakov et al. 2001, 2004). Entropies of monazite have been derived only recently for Ce and La and Gd compositions by Thiriet et al. (2004) and Thiriet et al. (2005), respectively. The heat capacities  $(C_p)$  at high temperature (from 298 K to 1,600 K) were retrieved by Tsagareishvili et al. (1972). However, there is a lack of thermochemical properties for REE-minerals, which are likely to share phase relationships with monazite in metamorphic rocks. Consequently, the stability relations between monazite and allanite, the REE-epidote, have been mostly inferred indirectly from in situ U-Pb and Th-Pb geochronology data and mineral assemblages evolution along metamorphic transects (e.g. Wing et al. 2003; Janots et al. 2006). In metapelitic rocks, monazite stability (and geochronology) has long been thought to be restricted to medium and high-grade metamorphism typically above the greenschist facies conditions where allanite is the dominant REE-mineral (see Spear and Pyle 2002, for a review). At lower grade (including diagenesis), monazite is mostly considered as unstable (e.g. Harrison et al. 2002) or as detrital when present. However, recent studies show that monazite could crystallize under subgreenschist and low-temperature blueschist conditions (Rasmussen et al. 2001; Janots et al. 2006, respectively) or even during diagenesis (Evans and Zalasiewicz 1996; Evans et al. 2002).

We propose here to address monazite and allanite stability in metapelites by measuring or estimating the thermochemical data required to model their phase relationships. We have collected calorimetric data on synthetic analogues of LREE-minerals: LaPO<sub>4</sub> and dissakisite, CaLaMgAl<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH, the Mg-equivalent of allanite, which is the main LREE-bearing silicate in metamorphic rocks. Dissakisite was chosen in preference to allanite, although less relevant to metapelites, to avoid the difficulty of controlling and characterizing iron oxidation states in synthetic epidotes. Formation enthalpy, third-law entropy and  $C_p$  function have been derived from high-temperature solution calorimetry, low-temperature adiabatic calorimetry and differential scanning calorimetry, respectively. Then the measured data have been used to calculate pseudo-sections with the THERIAK-DOMINO program (Decapitani and Brown 1987) for a metapelitic bulk-rock composition. For the convenience of the reader, all mineral formulae and abbreviations encountered in this study have been recapitulated in the Appendix.

#### Experimental

Sample synthesis and characterization

Dissakisite, CaLaMgAl<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH, has been synthesized from stoichiometric tetraethylorthosilicate-based gels calcinated, beforehand, at 1,073 K (ambient pressure). This starting material was then run in a pistoncylinder apparatus at 1,023 K and 2.3 GPa for 6 days.  $La(OH)_3$  was synthesized hydrothermally from  $La_2O_3$ (99.99%) and deionized water at 773 K and 140 MPa for 8 days and then stored in air. Synthetic monazite, LaPO<sub>4</sub>, was prepared by J.M. Montel (LMTG, Toulouse, France) according to the following procedure: in a first stage, a precipitate is obtained by adding phosphoric acid to a lanthanum nitrate solution. The precipitate, which, after drying was identified as rhabdophane-(La), LaPO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, was baked at 1,525 K overnight, which then produces monazite. In a last stage, monazite crystals are grown in a Li<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>-MoO<sub>3</sub> flux for 1 week at 1,273 K; the flux is removed by dissolution in hot water. The monazite sample used for calorimetric measurements consists of homogeneous grains with sizes of about 50 µm.

All experimental products are single-phased as checked using X-ray diffraction data collected with a Siemens D5000 diffractometer at the Institute of Geosciences (Kiel University, Germany). In particular, the  $La(OH)_3$  diffraction pattern did not show any detectable hydroxicarbonate or carbonate which can form in the course of the synthesis or during storage in air (Diakonov et al. 1998; Wood et al. 2002). Homogeneity, composition and grain size of the synthetic products were controlled using scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi S-2500 with EDS detector), Raman microspectroscopy (Renishaw spectrometer,  $\lambda = 532$  nm, ENS-Paris, France) and electron microprobe analysis (SX-50, Jussieu, France). In addition, heat capacities measured with DSC have been compared to those derived from an oxide summation method (Berman and Brown 1985).

In order to achieve the reaction cycles required to retrieve formation-enthalpy data, additional sample powders were used for drop-solution measurements:  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Merck, reagent grade) annealed at 1,300°C and stored under dry conditions, CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Aesar, 99.99%) and spinel MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Kanto Chemicals, 99.9%). In addition, gem-quality specimens (mineral collection of the Ruhr-University, Bochum, Germany) were used: Brazilian quartz (99.9% purity) and wollastonite,  $Ca_{2.98-3.00}Si_{3.00-3.03}O_9$ , from Kropfmuhl (Germany).

#### Calorimetric methods

Heat capacity  $(C_p)$  of synthetic monazite, LaPO<sub>4</sub>, was measured between 30 and 300 K on 10.6 g of sample using the low-temperature adiabatic calorimeter described in Brunet et al. (2004). The calorimeter is equipped with two adiabatic shields and the sample temperature is measured with a platinum resistance thermometer. The powder sample is loaded under a He stream in a copper cell. Each experiment consists of a continuous temperature scan using 2 to 4 K steps and heating cycles of 300 to 600 s under a pressure of  $\sim 10^{-7}$  mbar. Heat capacity of the sample is obtained after subtracting the copper-cell contribution (see Brunet et al. 2004 for the heat capacity of the empty cell). The accuracy of  $C_p$  measurement is estimated to  $\pm 0.8$  J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> based on measurements on a reference compound ( $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Furukawa et al. 1956). This accuracy can be converted into an entropy uncertainty ( $\sigma$ ) of around 1.5% (Brunet et al. 2004).

Heat capacities were measured in the 143-323 K and 341-923 K ranges with an automated Perkin-Elmer DSC 7 (Institute of Geosciences, Kiel University, Germany). Temperature calibration, purge gas and other technical details are found in Bosenick et al. (1996) and Bertoldi et al. (2001) for the measurements in the high-temperature and low-temperature regions, respectively. Measurements are performed on 20-55 mg of sample placed in a gold pan (6-mm diameter) and covered with a thin gold lid. The heatcapacity data are obtained by measuring alternatively, a blank (empty pan), a standard for calibration (pan loaded with corundum) and the sample of interest (pan with sample). Heat capacities were collected in step-scanning mode as described in Bosenick et al. (1996), with a heating rate of 10 K min<sup>-1</sup>. The  $C_{\rm p}$ -calibration factor is obtained from synthetic corundum measurements, using the  $C_{p}$  function by Ditmars and Douglas (1971). Correction for Au-pan weight differences is calculated using the gold  $C_{\rm p}$ polynomial by Robie et al. (1979).

Formation enthalpies were derived from high-temperature drop-solution calorimetry (Navrotsky 1997) in the Tian–Calvet twin calorimeter described by Kahl and Maresch (2001) and located at the Institute for Geology, Mineralogy and Geophysics (Ruhr University, Bochum, Germany). Sample pellets of 5–8 mg are dropped from room temperature (290–293 K) into a lead-borate solvent (2PbO·B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) held at the calorimeter temperature (975 K). Measurements are performed under dynamic conditions (Navrotsky et al. 1994), i.e. under an argon stream (flow rate of 1.5 cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), since volatile-bearing phases are investigated (e.g. carbonates and hydroxides). Before each set of measurements (typically, five measurements on both calorimeter sides), platinum scraps (30 mg) are dropped into the solvent in order to determine the calorimeter calibration factor. This continuous calibration reduces measurement error caused by changes of the temperature and electronic environment. Since samples are equilibrated, before being dropped, at a temperature between 290 and 293 K instead of 298.15 K (reference temperature), measured drop-solution enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{ds}$ ) are corrected using the  $C_{\rm p}$  function of the sample, either tabulated (CaCO<sub>3</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and CaSiO<sub>3</sub>) in Robie and Hemingway (1995) or measured here by DSC (dissakisite,  $La(OH)_3$  and monazite).

#### Results

#### Heat-capacity data

The molar heat capacity of the following lanthanum phases monazite, dissakisite and lanthanum hydroxide was measured by DSC; temperature range, sample weight and  $C_p$  functions (Berman and Brown 1985; Maier and Kelley 1932) are recapitulated in Table 1.

In addition, monazite molar heat capacity was measured from 30 to 300 K using adiabatic calorimetry (electronic supplementary data). A ninth-order polynomial equation was fitted to the  $C_p$  data from 30 to 300 K and extrapolated down to absolute temperature using a cubic temperature approximation  $(C_{\rm p} = \alpha T^3)$ . Integration of these  $C_{\rm p}(T)/T$  functions between 40 to 298.15 K, and 0 to 40 K, respectively, yields a third-law entropy  $(S^{\circ}_{298})$  of 104.9 (1.6) J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for LaPO<sub>4</sub>. Recently, Thiriet et al. (2005) proposed a LaPO<sub>4</sub> entropy of 108.24 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> from adiabatic data collected in the 2-380 K range. This entropy value is consistent with ours according to the uncertainty range (1-3%) proposed by Thiriet et al. (2005) for their  $C_p$  measurements. Accordingly, we will consider the mean third-law entropy value of 106.6 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for LaPO<sub>4</sub>, hereafter. Combining adiabatic and DSC data, the  $C_p$  function of monazite could be determined in the 30-723 K range with around 150 K overlap between the two independent datasets acquired on the same sample. These  $C_p$  data are plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 1 along with data from previous studies (i.e. Thiriet et al. 2005; Tsagareishvili et al. 1972).

Sample	Weight (mg)	T range (K)	$N\left(T_{\mathrm{low}}\right)$	$N\left(T_{\mathrm{sup}} ight)$	Heat capacity polynomial
Monazite-(La)	38.7	143–723	5	5	$198.08 - 1,645T^{-0.5} - 1.323 \times 10^{5}T^{-2} + 1.9276 \times 10^{7}T^{-3} \text{ a}$
Dissakisite-(La)	43.96	143–623	4	4	$ \begin{array}{l} 102.96 + 0.053 T - 14.322 \times 10^5 T^{-2} \ b \\ 743.18 - 6,116 T^{-0.5} - 41.841 \times 10^5 T^{-2} \\ + 44.4052 \times 10^7 T^{-3} \ a \end{array} $
La(OH) <sub>3</sub>	51.48	143–323	4	0	$\begin{array}{l} 421.91 + 0.142T - 93.838 \times 10^5 T^{-2} \ ^{b} \\ 207.97 - 1.401 T^{-0.5} - 15.417 \times 10^5 T^{-2} \\ + 15.0260 \times 10^7 T^{-3} \ ^{a} \\ 95.26 + 0.101T - 9.720 \times 10^5 \ T^{-2} \ ^{b} \end{array}$


Table 1 Summary of the DSC data for synthetic monazite-(La), dissakisite-(La) and La(OH)<sub>3</sub>

N number of DSC scans on  $(T_{\text{low}}) = (143-323 \text{ K})$  and  $(T_{\text{sup}}) = (341-923 \text{ K})$ 

<sup>a</sup> Berman and Brown (1985)

<sup>b</sup> Maier and Kelley (1932)


Since low-temperature  $C_p$  data have only been measured for monazite, the entropy of dissakisite has been approximated with the oxide summation method proposed by Holland (1989). This summation method includes a molar volume correction (S-V) to the entropy and can predict entropy within a few percents when the coordination of the oxide components is taken into account. In order to derive the dissakisite entropy, (S-V) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is taken from Brunet et al. (2004) and the other (S-V) values are taken from Holland (1989) apart from (S-V) La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> which was not available in the literature. A mean (S-V) value for La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> of 86.5 has been extracted from LaPO<sub>4</sub> monazite (this study), La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Cordfunke and Konings 2001a), La(OH)<sub>3</sub> (Chirico and Westrum 1980), La-AlO<sub>3</sub> (Schnelle et al. 2001) and  $La_2Si_2O_7$  (Bolech et al. 1996). This mean value of 86.5 (irrespective the La coordination) permits to re-calculate the entropy of the five La-bearing phases used for the extraction within 5% ( $R^2 = 0.961$ ) as shown in Fig. 2. Taking a



**Fig. 1** LaPO<sub>4</sub> heat capacity measured using LT adiabatic calorimetry (30–300 K) and DSC (143–723 K). The  $C_{\rm p}$  data from Thiriet et al. (2005) and Tsagareishvili et al. (1972) are also plotted for comparison

dissakisite molar volume of  $137.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , the predicted entropy of dissakisite is found to be equal to  $309.9 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ .

Heat-capacity data for dissakisite could not be measured above 623 K due to thermal decomposition (dehydration) in the vicinity of that temperature. For the same reason, La(OH)<sub>3</sub> heat capacity could be measured up to 323 K only. Mean  $C_p$  value averaged over three to five DSC temperature scans are listed with their two standard deviations of the mean in electronic supplementary data. The precision for low-temperature (143–323 K) and superambient (341–723 K) DSC data is estimated to around 2–7% and 1–3%, respectively (Figs. 1, 3a, b). The low-temperature heat-capacity data for La(OH)<sub>3</sub> are consistent (within ±3%) with previous adiabatic measurements (Chirico and Westrum 1980, Fig. 3a). For further thermochemical calculations, equations were fitted to



**Fig. 2** Comparison between measured and calculated entropy values of LaPO<sub>4</sub> (this study), La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Robie et al. 1979), La(OH)<sub>3</sub> (Chirico and Westrum 1980), LaAlO<sub>3</sub> (Schnelle et al. 2001) and La<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Bolech et al. 1996). Calculated value has been obtained by the oxide summation of Holland (1989) using a mean (S-V) La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> of 86.5 retrieved from these La-bearing phases




Fig. 3 Polynomial equation (*solid line*) of Berman and Brown's (1985) fitted to the DSC data obtained (*circles*) on a  $La(OH)_3$  and b dissakisite-(La)

the heat-capacity data of all phases measured by differential scanning calorimetry using

1. the  $C_p$  polynomial proposed by Berman and Brown (1985) in order to be input in the database of Berman (1988):

$$C_{\rm p} = k_0 + k_1 T^{-0.5} + k_2 T^{-2} + k_3 T^{-3}$$

(Table 1, Fig. 3).

2. the  $C_p$  polynomial proposed by Maier and Kelley (1932) in order to be added to the SUPCRT92 database (Johnson et al. 1992):

$$C_{\rm p} = a + bT + cT^{-2}$$

(Table 1).

#### Formation-enthalpy data

Drop-solution enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{ds}$ ) for monazite and dissakisite and their reactant phases were measured in lead borate solvent at 975 K (Table 2). The reaction cycle used to derive dissakisite formation enthalpy  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{f,298}$  is given as an example in Table 3. Volatile components (H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>) are assumed to be totally

released by the lead borate melt (Navrotsky et al. 1994) and flushed by the argon stream (i.e. under dynamic conditions). The dissolution enthalpy of  $CaCO_3$  (Table 2) is consistent with published data obtained under similar dynamic conditions (Navrotsky et al. 1994; Kahl and Maresch 2001).

The La(OH)<sub>3</sub> formation enthalpy has been recalculated using our drop-solution value for La(OH)<sub>3</sub> (Table 2) combined to the drop-solution value for La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Bularzik et al. 1991; Robie et al. 1979) and La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formation enthalpy (Cordfunke and Konings 2001a). We obtained a formation enthalpy of La(OH)<sub>3</sub> equal to  $-1,411.9 \pm 4.6$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which compares well with the  $-1.416.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  proposed by Diakonov et al. (1998). In addition, examination of lead borate solvent after dissolution reveals no evidence of undissolved particles or reaction products of La(OH)<sub>3</sub>. Therefore,  $La(OH)_3$  appears to be suitable for hightemperature dissolution experiments in lead borate solvent and, actually, it offers an interesting alternative to La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> which shows a sluggish dissolution rate and an exothermic enthalpy of solution in lead borate at 975 K (Helean and Navrotsky 2002).

The reaction cycle presented for dissakisite (Table 3) involves CaCO<sub>3</sub> and yields a formation enthalpy of  $-6,976.5 \pm 10.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (selected value for further thermochemical calculations, Table 4). A second cycle built up with CaSiO<sub>3</sub> instead of CaCO<sub>3</sub> yields  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{f,298} = -6,978.4 \pm 9.9$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for dissakisite. The good consistency between the formation enthalpy derived from these two reaction cycles validates the assumption of CO<sub>2</sub> degassing out of the solvent.

Monazite formation enthalpy was derived using the  $\Delta H_{\rm ds}$  value for P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> taken from Ushakov et al. (2001). Reaction cycle with La(OH)<sub>3</sub>, considering white phosphorus as reference state, yields a value of  $-1,985.7 \pm 3.0$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, which compares well with the new dissolution data in 3Na<sub>2</sub>O·4MoO<sub>3</sub> and in 2PbO·B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solvents (-1,987.9 ± 2.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) obtained by Ushakov et al. (2004).

# Phase diagrams

The stability of monazite and dissakisite has been evaluated for a real metapelite composition expressed in the  $SiO_2-Al_2O_3-FeO-Fe_2O_3-MgO-CaO-Na_2O-K_2O-P_2O_5-La_2O_3-CO_2-H_2O$  system using the THE-RIAK-DOMINO software (Decapitani and Brown 1987). This software calculates equilibrium mineral assemblages for a specific bulk-rock composition (pseudo-sections). The thermochemical data derived in this study (Table 4) have been added to the updated database of Berman (1988), JUN92.bs, supplied with

Sample	$\Delta H_{\rm ds}$ measured (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta H_{\rm ds}$ literature (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )
Dissakisite, CaLaMgAl <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH La(OH) <sub>3</sub> Monazite, LaPO <sub>4</sub> Calcite, CaCO <sub>3</sub> Corundum, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Quartz, SiO <sub>2</sub> Spinel, MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Wollastonite, CaSiO <sub>3</sub>	$542.1 \pm 7.3 (8)$ $170.1 \pm 3.8 (11)$ $148.5 \pm 2.2 (16)$ $190.9 \pm 0.8 (17)$ $107.8 \pm 1.9 (7)$ $38.8 \pm 1.1 (8)$ $164.8 \pm 1.9 (7)$ $105.8 \pm 3.1 (8)$	$\begin{array}{l} 154.6 \pm 1.6 \ ^{a} \\ 191.1 \pm 1.1 \ (7)^{b}; \ 194.1 \pm 0.9 \ ^{c}; \ 193.4 \pm 0.7 \ (10)^{d}; \ 189.6 \pm 1.1 \ (9)^{e} \\ 107.4 \pm 1.2 \ (22)^{b}; \ 108.0 \pm 1.0 \ ^{c}; \ 107.9 \pm 1.0 \ (8)^{d} \\ 38.8 \pm 0.8 \ (9)^{b}; \ 38.4 \pm 0.8 \ ^{c}; \ 39.1 \pm 0.3 \ (9)^{d}; \ 40.0 \pm 0.2 \ (6)^{f} \\ 165.2 \pm 1.0 \ ^{g} \\ 105.4 \pm 0.7 \ (8)^{f} \end{array}$

Table 2 Corrected drop-solution enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{ds}$ ) obtained in this study along with literature data for comparison

Numbers in parentheses correspond to the number of measurements used to derive the drop-solution values

Reported uncertainties are two standard deviation of the mean

<sup>a</sup> Ushakov et al. (2004)

<sup>b</sup> Kahl and Maresch (2001)

<sup>c</sup> Grevel et al. (2001)

<sup>d</sup> Kisevela et al. (1996)

<sup>e</sup> Navrotsky et al. (1994)

<sup>f</sup> Chai and Navrotsky (1993)

Char and Wavrotsky (199

<sup>g</sup> McHale et al. (1998)

**Table 3** Thermochemical cycle used to derive the standard enthalpy of formation from the elements,  $\Delta H_{f,el}$  (298), for dissakisite, CaLaMgAl<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH

Reaction		$\Delta H ~(\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{CaLaMgAl}_2({\rm SiO}_4)_3{\rm OH}_{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm CaLaMgAl}_2({\rm SiO}_4)_3{\rm OH}_{(975)} \\ \mbox{CO}_2 \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm CO}_2 \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{CaCO}_3 \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm LaCO}_3 \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{La(OH)}_3 \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm La(OH)}_3 \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{SiO}_2 \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm SiO}_2 \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{MgAl}_2{\rm O}_4 \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm H2O} \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{H}_2{\rm O} \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm H2O} \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{H}_2{\rm O} \ _{(298)} \rightarrow {\rm H2O} \ _{(975)} \\ \mbox{CaCO}_3 + {\rm La(OH)}_3 + 3 \ {\rm SiO}_2 + {\rm MgAl}_2{\rm O}_4 \ \rightarrow \\ \\ \mbox{CaLaMgAl}_2({\rm SiO}_4)_3{\rm OH} + {\rm CO}_2 + {\rm H}_2{\rm O} \ \Delta H(8) = -\Delta H(1) - \\ \end{array}$	(1) $\Delta H_{ds}$ CaLaMgAl <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH (2) $\Delta H_{[298-975]}CO_2$ (3) $\Delta H_{ds}$ CaCO <sub>3</sub> (4) $\Delta H_{ds}$ La(OH) <sub>3</sub> (5) $\Delta H_{ds}$ SiO <sub>2</sub> (6) $\Delta H_{ds}$ MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (7) $\Delta H_{[298-975]}H_2O$ (8) $\Delta H_{ox, 298}$ CaLaMgAl <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH	$542.1 \pm 7.3 \\32.2 \\190.9 \pm 0.8 \\170.1 \pm 3.8 \\38.8 \pm 1.1 \\164.8 \pm 1.9 \\25.1 \\42.9$
$\begin{array}{l} \text{CatalMgAl}_{2}(3iO_{4})_{3}OH + CO_{2} + H_{2}O_{2}MI(6) = -\Delta H(1) = \\ \Delta H(2) - \Delta H(7) + \Delta H(3) + \Delta H(4) + 3\Delta H(5) + \Delta H(6) \\ \text{C}_{(298)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{CO}_{2}_{(298)} \\ \text{Ca}_{(298)} + C_{(298)} + \frac{3}{2}O_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_{3}_{(298)} \\ \text{La}_{(298)} + \frac{3}{2}O_{2}_{(298)} + \frac{3}{2}H_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{La}(OH)_{3}_{(298)} \\ \text{Si}_{(298)} + O_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{SiO}_{2}_{(298)} \\ \text{Mg}_{(298)} + 2 \text{ Al}_{(298)} + 2 \text{ O}_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{MgAl}_{2}O_{4}_{(298)} \\ \text{H}_{2}_{2}_{(298)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2}_{(298)} \rightarrow \text{H}_{2}O_{(298)} \\ \text{Ca} + \text{La}_{(298)} + 3\text{Si}_{(298)} + \text{Mg} + 2 \text{ Al} + 0.5\text{H}_{2} + 6.5\text{O}_{2} \rightarrow \\ \text{CaLaMgAl}_{2}(\text{SiO}_{4})_{3}\text{OH}\Delta H(15) = \Delta H(8) - \Delta H(9) - \\ \Delta H(14) + 2\Delta H(10) + \Delta H(11) + 3\Delta H(12) + \Delta H(13) \end{array}$	(9) $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} CO_{2}^{a}$ (10) $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} CaCO_{3}^{a}$ (11) $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} La(OH)_{3}^{b}$ (12) $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} SiO_{2}^{a}$ (13) $\Delta H_{f} MgAl_{2}O_{4}^{a}$ (14) $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} H_{2}O^{a}$ (15) $\Delta H_{f, 298} CaLaMgAl_{2}(SiO_{4})_{3}OH$	$\begin{array}{c} -393.5 \pm 0.1 \\ -1,207.4 \pm 1.3 \\ -1,416.1 \pm 1.0 \\ -910.7 \pm 1.0 \\ -2,299.1 \pm 2.0 \\ -241.8 \pm 0.0 \\ -6976.5 \pm 10.0 \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup> Robie and Hemingway (1995)

<sup>b</sup> Diakonov et al. (1998)

the THERIAK-DOMINO software (http://www.titan. minpet.unibas.ch/minpet/theriak/theruser.html).


The consideration of  $P_2O_5$ , a main monazite constituent, led us to incorporate hydroxylapatite,  $Ca_5$ (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH (Robie and Hemingway 1995) to the database, as well. The solid solution between clinozoïsite and dissakisite was assumed to be ideal (REE in the A2 site, Rouse and Peacor 1993). Pseudo-sections have been calculated for a Ca-poor and Al-rich composition metapelite (Table 5, Fig. 4a) from the Central Alps, called MF131, described in Frey (1969). This sample is mainly constituted of quartz, chloritoid, white mica and chlorite assemblages which record sub-greenschist facies conditions (temperature around 350–400°C). In terms of REE-mineralogy, allanite (Ca<sub>1.2</sub>Fe<sub>0.8</sub> Al<sub>2.2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH) is found associated with chloritoid,

 Table 4
 Thermochemical data measured or estimated (bold) for monazite and dissakisite

Phase	$\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ} \ (\rm kJ \ mol^{-1})$	$S^{\circ}$ (J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ} \; (\rm kJ \; mol^{-1})$	Heat-capacity polynomials
Monazite-(La)	$-1,985.7 \pm 3.0$	106.6	-1,865.9	198.08 - 1,645 $T^{-0.5}$ - 1.323 × 10 <sup>5</sup> $T^{-2}$ + 1.9276 × 10 <sup>7</sup> $T^{-3}$ a 102.96 + 0.053 $T$ - 14.322 × 10 <sup>5</sup> $T^{-2}$ b
Dissakisite-(La)	$-6,976.5 \pm 10.0$	309.9	-6,578.7	$\begin{array}{l} 102.90 + 0.053T - 14.322 \times 10^{7} \\ 743.18 - 6.116 \times T^{-0.5} - 41.841 \times 10^{5} T^{-2} + 44.4052 \times 10^{7} T^{-3} \\ 421.91 + 0.142T - 93.838 \times 10^{5} T^{-2} \\ \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup> Berman and Brown (1985)

<sup>b</sup> Maier and Kelley (1932)



whereas monazite is absent. Trace elements in MF131 were analysed by ICP mass spectrometry following a  $LiBO_2$  fusion and nitric acid digestion of 0.2 g of sample (ACME lab, Canada). This metapelite is REE rich

 
 Table 5
 Bulk rock composition (REE, oxides and elements) of an alpine metapelite (MF131) used as input for calculations with THERIAK-DOMINO software


REE	ppm	Oxide	wt.%	Element	mol%
Y	136.2	SiO <sub>2</sub>	58.3	Si	19.01
La	146.8	$TiO_2$	0.84		
Ce	274.4	$Al_2O_3$	19.7	Al	7.57
Pr	31.3	$Fe_2O_3$	1.9	Fe <sub>tot</sub>	2.32
Nd	122.3	FeO	6.8		
Sm	23.4	MnO	0.08	Mg	0.16
Eu	5.7	MgO	2.6	Ca	0.31
Gd	21.5	CaO	0.88	Na	0.46
Tb	3.9	Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.73	Κ	1.08
Dy	24.8	$K_2 O$	2.6	Р	0.008
Ho	5.0	$P_2O_5$	0.06	La	0.006
Er	14.8	$La_2O_3$	0.01	С	0.35
Tm	2.2	$CO_2$	0.8	Н	9.8
Yb	12.0	$H_2O$	4.6	Ο	58.50
Lu	2.0				
Sum	826.1	Sum	99.89		

The elemental composition (in mol%) has been normalized to 100% ignoring minor Ti and Mn

 $(\sum \text{REE} = 825 \text{ ppm})$  with a content of each REE around five times higher than that of the NASC reference (Haskin et al. 1968; Fig. 4b). For simplifications, lanthanum content was taken for the calculation as equal to the sum of REE and *Y*.

The pseudo-section obtained with the THERIAK-DOMINO program is represented in Fig. 5 for pressures and temperatures ranging from 1 to 16 kbar and 200 to 600°C, respectively. For MF131, the stability field of the clinozoïsite-dissakisite series (called REE-epidote hereafter) is found to be comprised between 250 and 550°C for pressures between 2 and 16 kbar. Monazite is basically stable in the rest of the P-T field displayed in Fig. 5. From 250°C (P = 2 kbar) to  $450^{\circ}\text{C}$  (P = 16 kbar), the monazite breakdown into REE-epidote (dissakisite component  $X_{\text{Dsk}} = 0.6 - 0.8$ ) and apatite involves several silicates (reactions 1 to 9 in Fig. 5). In these reactions, the calcium is supplied by different minerals according to the P-T conditions: laumontite, lawsonite, wairakite (a low pressure phase) or omphacite. In most of the reactions (1 to 5; 6 and 7), dissakisite and apatite are associated with chloritoid. Above temperatures of 400–550°C, REE-epidotes ( $X_{\text{Dsk}} = 0.5$  to 0.8) are no longer stable and monazite forms instead. Calcium is then taken up in anorthite and/or garnet, whereas

Fig. 5 THERIAK-DOMINO pseudo-section of the alpine metapelitic composition (MF131) highlighting the stability fields of monazite (Mnz) and dissakisiteclinozoïsite solution (Epd). Dissakisite-clinozoïsite isopleths are represented by the lines with a number corresponding to the dissakisite component  $(X_{\text{Dsk}})$ . The reactions between monazite and dissakisite (1 to 14) are summarized below the diagram with abbreviations described in the Appendix



magnesium and aluminum are mainly incorporated into mica (white mica or biotite). All the reactions from 1 to 14 correspond to water-producing reactions (with increasing temperature).

The respective stability of monazite and REEepidote is expected to depend on the bulk composition of the hosting rock. In order to investigate the role of variable rock chemistry, additional calculations were performed using the THERIAK-DOM-INO software on the MF131 composition with the concentration of a single element taken as variable. The influence of magnesium and iron variations cannot be reasonably considered as long as thermochemical data for allanite, CaREEFeAl<sub>2</sub>(SiO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>OH, are unknown. The effect of changing the bulk-rock calcium content between 0 and 5 mol% is displayed in Fig. 6. Whereas the temperature of the REE-epidote appearance (monazite breakdown) is unaffected, the breakdown temperature of REE-epidote increases with increasing calcium content (0 to 5 mol%): from 500 to 570°C at 5 kbar, from 570 to 810°C at 10 kbar and from 490 to more than 850°C at 15 kbar. This can be related to the epidote decomposition towards high temperatures, which involves the formation of garnet and plagioclase. For high Ca concentrations, the formation of these Ca-bearing alumino-silicates does not require the breakdown of REE-epidotes, abundant clinozoïsite acts as the calcium supply. Lanthanum and phosphorus concentrations will control the abundance of dissakisite, monazite and apatite but, unlike Ca concentration, they will have little effect on the temperature ranges of occurrences of these phases.

## Discussion

Evaluation of the thermochemical data derived

In order to evaluate the accuracy of the formation enthalpy of  $LaPO_4$  obtained by dissolution in lead borate, the solubility product (log *K*) of  $LaPO_4$  was

9




Fig. 6 Limits of monazite and Fe-free epidote stability fields as a function of temperature (at different pressures) and bulk-rock molar content of calcium. *Black solid, dashed* and *dotted lines* represent calculation at P = 5, 10 and 15 kbar respectively. *MF131* Ca-content is also plotted

calculated using the SUPCRT92 code (Johnson et al. 1992) and compared with literature data. In SUP-CRT92, the thermochemical data for REE aqueous species are from Haas et al. (1995) and white phosphorus is used as reference state. The calculated solubility products have been determined using the following dissolution reaction:

$$LaPO_4 \rightarrow La^{3+} + PO_4^{3-}$$

While the experimental solubilities of hydrous La-phosphate are well documented (references in Poitrasson et al. 2004; Cetiner et al. 2005), those of monazite-(La) have only been determined by Cetiner et al. (2005) at 296.15 and 323.15 K, at atmospheric pressure. Figure 7 shows the calculated solubility products of LaPO<sub>4</sub> between 273.15 and 573.15 K at saturated vapour pressure compared to the experimental values of  $-24.7 \pm 0.15$  and -25.4 at 296.15 and 323.15 K, respectively (Cetiner et al. 2005). Calculations predict well the solubility decrease with temperature already documented by Poitrasson et al. (2004) and Cetiner et al. (2005). Even though, there is a

general agreement between experimental solubility products measured on different monazite end-members, the calculated solubility products are three to four orders of magnitude lower than the experimental ones. The log *K* value of monazite-(La) compare well with those derived for Nd-, Sm- and Gd-composition, which are equal to  $-25.93 \pm 0.07$  and  $-25.8 \pm 0.05$  (Poitrasson et al. 2004; Cetiner et al. 2005, respectively),  $-24.55 \pm 0.19$  (Cetiner et al. 2005) and -25.84 (Poitrasson et al. 2004), respectively.

Assuming that the  $LaPO_4$  third-law entropy is well constrained by low temperature adiabatic calorimetry, we can retrieve the formation enthalpy consistent with the experimental solubility data, as follows:

$$\Delta H_{f,T}^{\circ}(\text{LaPO}_4) = 2.306 RT \log K + T\Delta S_{d,T}^{\circ}(\text{LaPO}_4)$$
$$+ \Delta H_{f,T}^{\circ}(\text{La}^{3+}) + \Delta H_{f,T}^{\circ}(\text{PO}_4^{3-})$$

where

$$\Delta S^{\circ}_{d,T}(\text{LaPO}_4) = \Delta S^{\circ}_{f,T}(\text{LaPO}_4) - \Delta S^{\circ}_{f,T}(\text{La}^{3+})$$
$$-\Delta S^{\circ}_{f,T}(\text{PO}_4^{3-})$$

Considering that  $\Delta S_{f,T}^{\circ}$  (LaPO<sub>4</sub>) = 106.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>  $K^{-1}$  as determined in this study and using the data of aqueous species from the database of SUPCRT92, the experimental solubility product at standard conditions (log K = -24.7) yields a formation enthalpy of -1,963.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. This value differs by around 20 kJ from those obtained by high temperature drop solution. It is important to note that a relatively small difference in term of enthalpy (around 1% of the value) influences remarkably the solubility product (three to four orders of magnitude). It remains difficult to interpret this discrepancy, which exceeds the uncertainty range of drop-solution data or solubility experiments. The revaluation of the standard properties of the La<sup>3+</sup> (Cordfunke and Konings 2001b) shows that the source of error related to the lanthanum aqueous species is limited. Inconsistency between data using different reference states for phosphorus (e.g. red or white) has been looked for. The data in SUPCRT92 use the database of Wagman et al. (1982) for aqueous phosphorus species, where reference state for phosphorus is consistently taken as white phosphorus. In a similar way, the P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> thermochemical data, implemented in the high-temperature dissolution cycle (Robie and Hemingway 1995), are obtained using white phosphorus as reference state. The consistency

between drop-solution data obtained using different reactants for lanthanum (La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and La(OH)<sub>3</sub>) as well as different solvents (lead borate and sodium molybdate) demonstrates that high-temperature calorimetry is suitable to derive the formation enthalpy of monazite. Assuming that the source of the discrepancy lies in the solubility products derived experimentally, then the precipitation of a secondary metastable phase during monazite dissolution in acidic solution appears as a conceivable candidate. Although such secondary products have never been observed (Poitrasson et al. 2004; Cetiner et al. 2005), their formation cannot be excluded since it would explain the similarities between the solubility products measured for monazite and rhabdophane and, eventually, the apparent incongruent dissolution of monazite in acidic solution (Poitrasson et al. 2004; Cetiner et al. 2005). It must however be noted that such secondary precipitate, if any, is likely to involve Ostwald step processes (metastable intermediate phases) since the experimental solubility products are higher than the calculated ones (Fig. 7).

Without additional data, we propose to consider the value obtained in this study ( $-1,985.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), keeping in mind that it does not fully account for experimental solubility products. We have tested the effect of changing the monazite enthalpy value from  $-1,985.7 \text{ to} -1,963.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  on the calculated pseudo-section for the MF131 composition. Phase relations between monazite and REE-epidotes remain unchanged. However, the stability window of REE-epidote is extended towards larger temperature and pressure intervals of (200–700°C) and (0–20 kbar), respectively. Furthermore, epidote compositions closer to dissakisite endmember are stabilized.

Stability of monazite and allanite in metapelites

In order to evaluate the relevance of the thermochemical data derived here and to gain understanding on monazite- and allanite-forming reactions in metapelites, phase diagrams derived for MF131 have been compared to natural occurrences in metapelites.


The increasing use of monazite as a U–Th–Pb chronometer has led to a large number of descriptions of REE-assemblages in metapelites in order to better constrain and relate monazite ages to its P-T conditions of formation (e.g. Spear and Pyle 2002 and references therein). For example, Giere and Sorensen (2004) proposed that during prograde metamorphism, REE-mineralogy follows the general sequence:

detrital or igneous monazite  $\Rightarrow$  metamorphic allanite  $\Rightarrow$  metamorphic monazite.

Under low-grade conditions, monazite is usually considered to be metastable (detrital or igneous origin) although rare occurrences of newly formed monazite grains have been reported under diagenetic and subgreenschist facies conditions (Evans and Zalasiewicz 1996; Rasmussen et al. 2001; Evans et al. 2002; Wing et al. 2003; Bollinger and Janots 2006). In metapelites, monazite is often found to disappear to form prograde allanite at temperatures around 400°C (Smith and Barreiro 1990; Wing et al. 2003) and, eventually, to reappear at around 450 to 525°C (Smith and Barreiro 1990; Kingsbury et al. 1993; Franz et al. 1996; Wing et al. 2003). Nevertheless, recent studies point out that the temperature at which allanite decomposes to form monazite can be variable from one occurrence to another. Whole-rock composition and particularly Ca-content (Foster and Parrish 2003; Wing et al. 2003) may control this breakdown temperature.

The pseudo-section (Fig. 5) derived from our thermochemical data in a simplified system (La =  $\sum$ REE + Y) using dissakisite instead of allanite shows that, on the low-temperature side (Fig. 5), monazite has indeed a stability field. Under sub-greenschist facies conditions, dissakisite is stable consistently with the occurrence of allanite in the MF131 sample. In agreement with the crystallization sequence proposed by Giere and Sorensen (2004), monazite breaks down above 250°C to produce a La-rich epidote ( $X_{\text{Dsk}} = 0.6$  to 0.8), the lanthanum content of which decreases with increasing temperature. This is in good agreement with the prograde allanite zoning patterns in metapelites (Fig. 8), which are characterized by REE-rich cores and Ca-rich rims, i.e. with a high clinozoïsite content (e.g. Oberli et al. 2004; Janots et al. 2006).

The calculated breakdown reactions of monazite can be compared to those reported from the literature. The REE-epidote forming reactions calculated here (reactions 1–9, Fig. 5) involve white mica, chlorite or biotite as reactants and white mica, biotite or chloritoid as products as already reported from natural occurrences (Broska and Siman 1998; Wing et al. 2003). In metapelites and metagranites, the calcium source to form REE-epidote along with apatite has been proposed to be plagioclase and/or carbonates (Broska and Siman 1998; Wing et al. 2003). However, according to our calculations calcium is rather supplied by different Ca-bearing phases as laumontite, lawsonite, wairakite



**Fig. 7** Comparison of the experimental solubility product (Log K) of the monazite, LaPO<sub>4</sub>, at 296.16 and 323.15 K (Cetiner et al. 2005) with those calculated with SUPCRT92 on the liquid vapour saturation curve




Fig. 8 Scanning electron microscope image showing epidotes with a REE-rich core (allanite Aln) and Ca-rich rim (clinozoïsite cZo) as inclusions in garnet (*Grt*) from a metapelite of Central Alps, MF307, described by Frey (1969)

or omphacite. This discrepancy may be explained by the preservation of detrital plagioclase in natural occurrences. This metastable plagioclase can then react, directly or not, to form allanite and apatite. With respect to carbonates, decarbonation to supply calcium is predicted only in reaction 2 around 280°C (Fig. 5)

There is a general agreement that along the allanite breakdown reactions, calcium is stored in plagioclase and garnet (e.g. Pyle and Spear 2003; Wing et al. 2003). This is consistent with all the prograde mona11

zite-forming reactions calculated here (reactions 10–14, Fig. 5). The diagram in Fig. 6 shows that the increase of Ca concentration in the rock extends the allanite stability domain towards higher pressure and temperature. This is in good agreement with the REE-mineralogy in high-pressure rocks: while allanite is found in Ca-rich metabasite (e.g. Hermann 2002) monazite occurs in rocks with low CaO content (e.g. Krenn and Finger 2004 where CaO is below 0.8 wt.%).

Under retrograde metamorphic conditions, both REE-epidote and monazite can potentially form according to the phase diagram displayed in Fig. 5. Interestingly, the corresponding formation reactions are all water-consuming reactions. Therefore, the crystallization of retrograde monazite (or allanite) requires an aqueous fluid phase as already suggested from natural samples (Lanzirotti and Hanson 1996; Pan 1997; Broska and Siman 1998; Finger et al. 1998; Bollinger and Janots 2006).

In conclusion, we are aware that the generalization of the phase relations derived here to natural cases should be made with caution because (i) synthetic monazite-(La) and dissakisite-(La) are taken as analogues of natural monazite and allanite, (ii) the lack of consideration of other lanthanum or phosphate bearing-phases as florencite (Sawka et al. 1986; Rasmussen 1996; Nagy et al. 2002; Janots et al. 2006) or rhabodphane (Nagy et al. 2002), especially under low-grade conditions (iii) calorimetric data have been incorporated in an internally consistent database with optimized thermodynamic properties (Berman 1988). But despite these limitations, the phase relations in La-bearing systems derived in this study are broadly consistent with petrological observations and offer therefore new perspectives to interpret occurrences of the two main the REE-minerals in metamorphic rocks, monazite and allanite.

Acknowledgments This research project was financially supported by the NOMADE programme (CEA-CNRS) and by the SNF 20020–101826/1. We are grateful to J.-M. Montel who kindly provided us with synthetic LaPO<sub>4</sub> monazite. Assistance from J. Allaz and O. Schwarz (THERIAK-DOMINO software), N. Catel (wet chemical analyses), K.D. Grevel (HT drop-solution calorimetry), P. Kluge (DSC measurements), G. Marolleau (high-pressure devices) are gratefully acknowledged. We also thank C. Chopin and T. Parra for fruitful discussions. Constructive comments of S.V. Ushakov and an anonymous reviewer have significantly improved the quality of the manuscript and are appreciated.

#### Appendix

Table 6 Min	neral formulae	e and ab	breviations
-------------	----------------	----------	-------------

Mineral	Structural formula	Abbreviation	
Monazite	LREEPO <sub>4</sub>	Mnz	
Dissakisite	CaREEMgAl <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH	Dsk	
Allanite	CaREEFeAl <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH	Aln	
Dissakisite-	Ca(Ca, La)(Mg,	Epd	
clinozoïsite-(La) series	Al)Al <sub>2</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH	•	
Florencite	LREEAl <sub>3</sub> (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>6</sub>		
Rhabdophane	LREEPO <sub>4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O		
Hydroxyapatite	$Ca_5(PO_4)_3OH$	Нар	
Water	H <sub>2</sub> O		
Quartz	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Qtz	
Clinozoïsite	Ca <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> (SiO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OH	cZo	
Laumontite	$CaAl_2Si_4O_{12} \cdot 4(H_2O)$	Lmt	
Lawsonite	CaAl <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O	Lw	
Wairakite	$CaAl_2Si_4O_{12} \cdot 2(H_2O)$	Wa	
Calcite	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Cal	
Omphacite solid solution	(Ca, Na)(Al, Fe, Mg)Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	Omp	
Plagioclase solid solution	$(Ca, Na)Al_{1-2}Si_{2-3}O_8$	Pl	
Anorthite	CaAl <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	An	
Albite	NaAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	Ab	
Potassic feldspar	KAlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	K-Fd	
Garnet solid	(Ca, Mg, Fe,	Grt	
solution	$Mn)_3Si_3Al_2O_{12}$		
Chorite solid solution	$(Al, Mg, Fe)_{3-4}(Al, Mg, Fe)_2(Si, Al)_4O_{10}(OH)_8$	Chl	
Chloritoid solid solution	$(Fe,Mg)Al_2SiO_5(OH)_2$	Cld	
Biotite solid	K(Fe,	Bt	
solution	$Mg_3(Si_3Al)O_{10}(OH)_2$		
K White mica solid solution	$\begin{array}{c} (\text{K, Na})_{0.1}(\text{Al, Mg, Fe})_{2} \\ _{3}(\text{Si,Al})_{4}\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_{2} \end{array}$	KWM	

#### References

- Berman RG (1988) Internally-consistent thermodynamic data for minerals in the system Na<sub>2</sub>O-K<sub>2</sub>O-CaO-MgO-FeO-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub>. J Petrol 29:445-522
- Berman RG, Brown TH (1985) Heat capacity of minerals in the system Na<sub>2</sub>O-K<sub>2</sub>O-CaO-MgO-FeO-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O-CO<sub>2</sub>: representation, estimation, and high temperature extrapolation. Contrib Mineral Petrol 89:168–183
- Bertoldi C, Benisek A, Cemic L, Dachs E (2001) The heat capacity of two natural chlorite group minerals derived from differential scanning calorimetry. Phys Chem Mineral 28(5):332–336
- Bolech M, Janssen F, Booij AS, Cordfunke EHP (1996) The standard molar enthalpies of formation of  $\beta$ -La<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and  $\beta$ -Ce<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. J Chem Thermodyn 28(11):1319–1324
- Bollinger L, Janots E (2006) Evidence for Mio-Pliocene retrograde monazites from the lesser Himalaya metamorphic series in Far Western Nepal. Eur J Mineral 18:289–297
- Bosenick A, Geiger CA, Cemic L (1996) Heat capacity measurements of synthetic pyrope-grossular garnets between 320 and 1000 K by differential scanning calorimetry. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 60(17):3215–3227

- Broska I, Siman P (1998) The breakdown of monazite in the West-Carpathian Veporic orthogneisses and tatric granites. Geol Carpath 49(3):161
- Brunet F, Morineau D, Schmid-Beurmann P (2004) Heat capacity of lazulite,  $MgAl_2(PO_4)_2(OH)_2$ , from 35 to 298 K and a (S V) value for  $P_2O_5$  to estimate phosphate entropy. Mineral Mag 68(1):123–134
- Bularzik J, Navrotsky A, Dicarlo J, Bringley J, Scott B, Trail S (1991) Energetics of  $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4-y}$  solid-solutions (0.0 < x < 1.0). J Solid State Chem 93(2):418–429
- Cetiner ZS, Wood SA, Gammons CH (2005) The aqueous geochemistry of the rare earth elements. Part XIV. The solubility of rare earth element phosphates from 23 to 150°C. Chem Geol 217(1–2):147–169
- Chai LA, Navrotsky A (1993) Thermochemistry of carbonatepyroxene equilibria. Contrib Mineral Petrol 114(2):139– 147
- Chirico RD, Westrum EF (1980) Thermophysics of the lanthanide hydroxides. 1. Heat-capacities of La(OH)<sub>3</sub>, Gd(OH)<sub>3</sub>, and Eu(OH)<sub>3</sub> from near 5 K to 350 K lattice and schottky contributions. J Chem Thermodyn 12(1):71–85
- Cordfunke EHP, Konings RJM (2001a) The enthalpies of formation of lanthanide compounds III. Ln<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(cr). Thermochim Acta 375(1–2):65–79
- Cordfunke EHP, Konings RJM (2001b) The enthalpies of formation of lanthanide compounds II. Ln<sup>3+</sup> (aq). Thermochim Acta 375(1–2):51–64
- Decapitani C, Brown TH (1987) The computation of chemicalequilibrium in complex-systems containing nonideal solutions. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 51(10):2639
- Diakonov II, Tagirov BR, Ragnarsdottir KV (1998) Standard thermodynamic properties and heat capacity equations for rare earth element hydroxides. I. La(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s) and Nd(OH)<sub>3</sub>(s). Comparison of thermochemical and solubility data. Radiochim Acta 81(2):107–116
- Ditmars DA, Douglas TB (1971) Measurement of relative enthalpy of pure  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (NBS heat capacity and enthalpy standard reference material no 720) from 273 to 1173 K. J Res Nat Bur Stand A Phys Chem 75(5):401–420
- Evans J, Zalasiewicz J (1996) U–Pb, Pb–Pb and Sm–Nd dating of authigenic monazite: Implications for the diagenetic evolution of the Welsh Basin. Earth Planet Sci Lett 144(3–4):421– 433
- Evans JA, Zalasiewicz JA, Fletcher I, Rasmussen B, Pearce NJG (2002) Dating diagenetic monazite in mudrocks: constraining the oil window? J Geol Soc Lond 159:619– 622
- Finger F, Broska I, Roberts MP, Schermaier A (1998) Replacement of primary monazite by apatite-allanite-epidote coronas in an amphibolite facies granite gneiss from the eastern Alps. Am Mineral 83(3–4):248–258
- Foster GL, Parrish RR (2003) Metamorphic monazite and the generation of P-T-t paths. In: Vance D, Müller W, Villa IM (eds) Geochronology: linking the isotopic record with petrology and textures, vol 220. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, pp 25–47
- Franz G, Andrehs G, Rhede D (1996) Crystal chemistry of monazite and xenotime from Saxothuringian–Moldanubian metapelites, NE Bavaria, Germany. Eur J Mineral 8(5):1097–1118
- Frey M (1969) Die Metamorphose des Keupers vom Tafeljuras bis zum Lukmanier-Gebiet, PhD thesis, Bern, p 160
- Furukawa GT, Douglas TB, McCoskey RE, Ginnings DC (1956) Thermal properties of aluminum oxide from 0 K to 1200 K. J Res Nat Bur Stand 57(2):67–82

- Giere R, Sorensen SS (2004) Allanite and other REE-rich epidote-group minerals. In: Epidotes, Rev Mineral Geochem 56, pp 431–493
- Grevel KD, Schoenitz M, Skrok V, Navrotsky A, Schreyer W (2001) Thermodynamic data of lawsonite and zoisite in the system CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O based on experimental phase equilibria and calorimetric work. Contrib Mineral Petrol 142(3):298–308
- Haas JR, Shock EL, Sassani DC (1995) Rare-earth elements in hydrothermal systems: estimates of standard partial molal thermodynamic properties of aqueous complexes of the rare-earth elements at high-pressures and temperatures. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 59(21):4329–4350
- Harrison TM, Catlos EJ, Montel JM (2002) U–Th–Pb dating of phosphate minerals. In: Phosphates: Geochemical, geobiological, and materials importance. Rev Mineral Geochem 48, pp 523–558
- Haskin LA, Haskin MA, Frey FA, Wildeman TR (1968) Relative and absolute terrestrial abundances of the rare earths. In: Ahrens LH (eds) Origin and distribution of the elements, Pergamon, New York, pp 889–911
- Helean KB, Navrotsky A (2002) Oxide melt solution calorimetry of rare earth oxides - Techniques, problems, cross-checks, successes. J Therm Anal Calorim 69(3):751–771
- Hermann J (2002) Allanite: thorium and light rare earth element carrier in subducted crust. Chem Geol 192(3–4):289
- Holland TJB (1989) Dependence of entropy on volume for silicate and oxide minerals: A review and a predictive model. Am Mineral 74(1–2):5–13
- Hutcheon I, Bloch J, De Caritat P, Shevalier M, Abercrombie H, Longstaffe F (1998) What is the cause of potassium enrichment in shales? In: Shales and mudstones; II, Petrography, petrophysics, geochemistry, and economic geology, E. Schweizervartsche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart, pp 107–128
- Janots E, Negro F, Brunet F, Goffe B, Engi M, Bouybaouene ML (2006) Evolution of the REE mineralogy in HP-LT metapelites of the Sebtide complex, Rif, Morocco: Monazite stability and geochronology. Lithos 87(3–4):214–234
- Johnson JW, Oelkers EH, Helgeson HC (1992) Supcrt92—a software package for calculating the standard molal thermodynamic properties of minerals, gases, aqueous species, and reactions from 1 bar to 5000 bar and 0°C to 1000°C. Comput Geosci 18(7):899–947
- Kahl WA, Maresch WV (2001) Enthalpies of formation of tremolite and talc by high-temperature solution calorimetry—a consistent picture. Am Mineral 86(11–12):1345– 1357
- Kingsbury JA, Miller CF, Wooden JL, Harrison TM (1993) Monazite paragenesis and U–Pb systematics in rocks of the eastern Mojave desert, California, USA—implications for thermochronometry. Chem Geol 110(1–3):147–167
- Kiseleva I, Navrotsky A, Belitsky IA, Fursenko BA (1996) Thermochemistry and phase equilibria in calcium zeolites. Am Mineral 81(5–6):658–667
- Krenn E, Finger F (2004) Metamorphic formation of Sr-apatite and Sr-bearing monazite in a high-pressure rock from the Bohemian Massif. Am Mineral 89(8–9):1323–1329
- Lanzirotti A, Hanson GN (1996) Geochronology and geochemistry of multiple generations of monazite from the Wepawaug Schist, Connecticut, USA: implications for monazite stability in metamorphic rocks. Contrib Mineral Petrol 125(4):332–340
- Maier CG, Kelley KK (1932) An equation for the representation of high temperature heat content data. J Am Chem Soc 54:3243–3246

- McHale JM, Navrotsky A, Kirkpatrick RJ (1998) Nanocrystalline spinel from freeze-dried nitrates: synthesis, energetics of produce formation, and cation distribution. Chem Mater 10(4):1083
- Nagy G, Draganits E, Demeny A, Panto G, Arkai P (2002) Genesis and transformations of monazite, florencite and rhabdophane during medium grade metamorphism: examples from the Sopron Hills, Eastern Alps. Chem Geol 191(1– 3):25–46
- Navrotsky A (1997) Progress and new directions in high temperature calorimetry revisited. Phys Chem Mineral 24(3):222–241
- Navrotsky A, Rapp RP, Smelik E, Burnley P, Circone S, Chai L, Bose K (1994) The behaviour of H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in hightemperature lead borate solution calorimetry of volatilebearing phases. Am Mineral 79(11–12):1099–1109
- Oberli F, Meier M, Berger A, Rosenberg CL, Giere R (2004) U– Th–Pb and Th<sup>230</sup>/U<sup>238</sup> disequilibrium isotope systematics: precise accessory mineral chronology and melt evolution tracing in the Alpine Bergell intrusion. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 68(11):2543–2560
- Pan Y (1997) Zircon- and monazite-forming metamorphic reactions at Manitouwadge, Ontario. Can Mineral 35:105–118
- Poitrasson F, Oelkers E, Schott J, Montel JM (2004) Experimental determination of synthetic NdPO<sub>4</sub> monazite endmember solubility in water from 21°C to 300°C: Implications for rare earth element mobility in crustal fluids. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 68(10):2207–2221
- Pyle JM, Spear FS (2003) Four generations of accessory-phase growth in low-pressure migmatites from SW New Hampshire. Am Mineral 88(2–3):338–351
- Rai D, Felmy AR, Yui M (2003) Thermodynamic model for the solubility of NdPO<sub>4</sub>(c) in the aqueous Na<sup>+</sup>-H<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>--</sup>-HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>-OH<sup>-</sup>-Cl<sup>-</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O system. J Radioanal Nucl Ch 256(1):37-43
- Rasmussen B (1996) Early-diagenetic REE-phosphate minerals (florencite, gorceixite, crandallite, and xenotime) in marine sandstones: a major sink for oceanic phosphorus. Am J Sci 296(6):601–632
- Rasmussen B, Fletcher IR, McNaughton NJ (2001) Dating lowgrade metamorphic events by SHRIMP U–Pb analysis of monazite in shales. Geology 29(10):963–966
- Robie RA, Hemingway BS (1995) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar (10<sup>5</sup> Pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures. In: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2131, Government Printing Office, Washington, 461 p
- Robie RA, Hemingway BS, Fisher JR (1979) Thermodynamic properties of minerals and related substances at 298.15 K and 1 bar (10<sup>5</sup> Pascals) pressure and at higher temperatures. In: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1452, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 454 p
- Rouse RC, Peacor DR (1993) The crystal-structure of Dissakisite-(Ce), the Mg analogue of allanite-(Ce). Can Mineral 31:153–157
- Sawka WN, Banfield JF, Chappell BW (1986) A weatheringrelated origin of widespread monazite in S-type granites. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 50(1):171–175
- Schnelle W, Fischer R, Gmelin E (2001) Specific heat capacity and thermal conductivity of NdGaO<sub>3</sub> and LaAlO<sub>3</sub> single crystals at low temperatures. J Phys D Appl Phys 34(6):846–851
- Smith HA, Barreiro B (1990) Monazite U–Pb dating of staurolite grade metamorphism in pelitic schists. Contrib Mineral Petrol 105(5):602–615
- Spear FS, Pyle JM (2002) Apatite, monazite, and xenotime in metamorphic rocks. In: Phosphates: Geochemical, geobio-

logical, and materials importance, Rev Mineral Geochem 48, pp 293–335

- Thiriet C, Konings RJM, Javorsky P, Wastin F (2004) The heat capacity of cerium orthophosphate  $CePO_4$ , the synthetic analogue of monazite. Phys Chem Mineral 31(6):347–352
- Thiriet C, Konings RJM, Javorsky P, Magnani N, Wastin F (2005) The low temperature heat capacity of LaPO<sub>4</sub> and GdPO<sub>4</sub>, the thermodynamic functions of the monazite-type LnPO<sub>4</sub> series. J Chem Thermodyn 37(2):131
- Tsagareishvili DS, Gvelesia GG, Orlovskii VP, Belyaevskaya TK, Rep'ko VP (1972) Enthalpies and specific-heats of lanthanum, neodymium and yttrium orthophosphate at high temperature. Izv Akad Nauk SSSR Neorg Mater 8:1790–1793
- Ushakov SV, Helean KB, Navrotsky A, Boatner LA (2001) Thermochemistry of rare-earth orthophosphates. J Mater Res 16(9):2623–2633
- Ushakov SV, Navrotsky A, Farmer JM, Boatner LA (2004) Thermochemistry of the alkali rare-earth double phosphates, A<sub>3</sub>RE(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. J Mater Res 19(7):2165–2175

- Wagman DD, Evans WH, Parker VB, Schumm RH, Halow I, Bailey SM, Churney KL, Nuttall RL (1982) The NBS tables of chemical and thermodynamic properties. J Phys Chem Ref Data 11(2):392p
- Wing BA, Ferry JM, Harrison TM (2003) Prograde destruction and formation of monazite and allanite during contact and regional metamorphism of pelites: petrology and geochronology. Contrib Mineral Petrol 145(2):228–250
- Wood SA, Palmer DA, Wesolowski DJ, Bénézeth P (2002) The aqueous geochemistry of the rare earth elements and yttrium. Part XI. The solubility of  $Nd(OH)_3$  and hydrolysis of  $Nd^{3+}$  from 30 to 290°C at saturated water vapor pressure with in-situ  $pH_m$  measurement. In: Hellmann R and Wood SA (eds) Water-rock interactions, ore deposits, and environmental geochemistry: a tribute to David A. Crerar, Geochemical Society Special Publication, vol 7, pp 229–256