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Abstract. For a graph $G$ and any $v \in V(G)$, $E_G(v)$ is the set of all edges incident with $v$. A function $f : E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ is called a signed matching of $G$ if $\sum_{e \in E_G(v)} f(e) \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The weight of a signed matching $f$, is defined by $w(f) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$. The signed matching number of $G$, denoted by $\beta'_1(G)$, is the maximum $w(f)$ where the maximum is taken over all signed matchings over $G$. In this paper, we have obtained the signed matching number of some families of graphs and studied the signed matching number of subdivision and the edge deletion of edges of a graph.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, $G$ is a simple graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. The order $|V|$ and size $|E|$ of $G$ is denoted by $n(G)$ and $m(G)$, respectively. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For $u \in V$, $E_G(u) = \{uv \in E | u \in V\}$ are called the edge-neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. For simplicity $E_G(v)$ is denoted by $E(v)$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V$ is $\deg_G(v) = d_G(v) = |E(v)|$. The minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph $G$ are denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$ and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, respectively. A vertex of degree one is called a leaf and its neighbour is called a support vertex. A graph, $G$, is called $r$-regular graph if $\deg_G(v) = r$ for every $v \in V(G)$. For a nonempty subset $X \subseteq E$ the edge induced subgraph of $G$, induced by $X$, denote by $\langle X \rangle$, is a subgraph with edge set $X$ and a vertex $v$ belong to $\langle X \rangle$ if $v$ is incident with at least one edge in $X$. A $k$-partite graph is a graph which its vertex set can be partitioned into $k$ sets $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_k$ such that every edge of the graph has an end point in $V_i$ and an end point in $V_j$ for some $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. A complete $k$-partite graph is a $k$-partite graph that every vertex of each partite set is adjacent to all vertices of the other partite sets. We denote the complete $k$-partite graph
by $K_{n_1, n_2, ..., n_k}$, where $|V_i| = n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. In the case $k = 2$, the $k$-partite and complete $k$-partite graph are called bipartite and complete bipartite graphs. We denote by $P_n, C_n, K_n$ and $\overline{K_n}$, the path, the cycle, complete graph and the empty graph of order $n$, respectively. A double star $DS_{a, b}$ is a graph containing exactly two non-leaf vertices which one is adjacent to $a$ leaves and the other is adjacent to $b$ leaves. These two non-leaf of double star are called centers of double star. For a graph $G = (V, E)$ and $e = uv \in E$, a subdivision of $G$ respect to $e$, denote by $S(G)$, is a graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the edge $e$ and add new vertex $x$ and new edges $xu$ and $xv$. Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two disjoint vertex sets. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is the join graph of $G_1$ and $G_2$, if $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{uv : u \in V_1, v \in V_2\}$. If $G$ is the join graph of $G_1$ and $G_2$, we shall write $G = G_1 + G_2$. The graphs $W_n = C_n + K_1, F_n = P_n + K_1$, and $F_{Kn} = nK_2 + K_1$ are called wheel, fan and friendship graphs, respectively. For all graph-theoretic terminology not defined here, the reader is referred to [2].

Let $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be a function. For every vertex $v$, we define $f_G(v) = \sum_{e \in E_G(v)} f(e)$. A function $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is called a signed matching of $G$ if $f_G(v) \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The weight of a signed matching $f$ is defined by $w(f) = f(E(G)) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$. The signed matching number of $G$ is $\beta_1'(G) = \max w(f)$, where the maximum is taken over all signed matchings. It seems natural to define $\beta_1'(\overline{K_n}) = 0$ for all totally disconnected graphs $\overline{K_n}$. A signed matching $f$ on $G$, with $w(f) = \beta_1'(G)$ is called a $\beta_1'$- signed matching.

The concept of signed matching is defined by Wang [4], and further studied in, for example [3, 5, 6]. In [4], it is shown that a maximum signed matching can be found in strongly polynomial time. In addition, the exact value of $\beta_1'(G)$ for paths, cycles, complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs were found [4].

In this paper, we have studied the signed matchings of subdivision and edge deletion of a graph. Also, we have studied the signed matchings of join of graphs.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we first stated some of the results which would be useful in the remaining part of the paper. The following proposition provides a relation between $|E(G)|$ and $\beta_1'(G)$.

**Proposition 2.1.** For any graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, we have $\beta_1'(G) \equiv |E(G)| \pmod{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $f$ be a $\beta_1'$-signed matching on $G$. Suppose that $P$ and $M$ are the numbers of positive and negative edges respect to $f$, respectively. Hence

$$P + M = |E(G)|, P - M = \beta_1'(G).$$

Therefore, $\beta_1'(G) - |E(G)| = -2M$ and we conclude that $\beta_1'(G) \equiv |E(G)| \pmod{2}$. \qed

In [4], $\beta_1'(G)$ for Eulerian graphs is given as follows.
Theorem 2.1. [4] Let $G$ be a Eulerian graph of order $n$ and size $m$. Then

$$\beta'_1(G) = \frac{1}{2}((-1)^m - 1).$$

Corollary 2.1. [4] Let $n$ be a natural number. Then

$$\beta'_1(C_n) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } n \neq 2k, \\ 0, & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

For non-Eulerian graph, the following theorem was given in [4]. Here we give an alternative proof for this theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $2k (k \geq 1)$ odd vertices. Then

$$0 \leq \beta'_1(G) \leq k.$$

Proof. Let $f : E(G) \to \{1, -1\}$ be a $\beta'_1$-signed matching of $G$. Hence $f_G(v) \leq 0$ for any even vertex $v$ and $f_G(v) \leq 1$ for any odd vertex $v$. Therefore

$$2\beta'_1(G) = 2 \sum_{e \in E} f(e) = \sum_{v \in V} f_G(v) \leq 2k,$$

and hence $\beta'_1(G) \leq k$.

For the lower bound, note that, the edges of $G$ can be partitioned to subsets $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k$, such that for each $i$, the induced subgraph $\langle E_i \rangle$ is a trail connected odd vertices and at most one of these trails has odd length (see Theorem 5.3 of [2]). If we label the edges of each $E_i$ alternately by 1 and $-1$, we can find a signed matching with positive weight. Hence $\beta'_1(G) \geq 0$.

As a straight result of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. Let $G$ be a graph. Hence $\beta'_1(G) = -1$ if and only if $G$ is a Eulerian graph of odd size.

Theorem 2.3. [4] Let $m$ and $n$ be two natural numbers. Then

$$\beta'_1(K_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } mn \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \min\{m, n\}, & \text{if } mn \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $m, n, p$ be positive integers. Then

$$\beta'_1(K_{m,n,p}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ -1, & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \min\{m, n\}, & \text{if } m \equiv n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, p \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$
Proof. If \( m \equiv n \equiv p \pmod{2} \), then each vertex of \( K_{m,n,p} \) has even degree and hence \( K_{m,n,p} \) is an Eulerian graph. Therefore, the first and the second parts of the theorem are obtained by Theorem 2.1. Now suppose that \( V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\} \), \( V_2 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\} \) and \( V_3 = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_p\} \) are three parts of \( K_{m,n,p} \) of sizes \( m, n \) and \( p \), respectively. Let \( f : E(G) \to \{1, -1\} \) be a signed matching of \( K_{m,n,p} \). At first consider the case \( m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \) and \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \). Hence every vertex of \( V_3 \) has even degree. Therefore \( f_{K_{m,n,p}}(v) \leq 0 \) for any \( v \in V_3 \). On the other hand \( K_{m,n,p} \equiv K_{m+n,p} \cup K_{m,n} \) and hence

\[
w(f) = \sum_{v \in V_3} f_{K_{m,n,p}}(v) + \sum_{v \in V_2} f_{K_{m,n}}(v).\]

Note that for any \( v \in V_2 \), the degree of \( v \) in \( K_{m,n} \) is even and hence \( f_{K_{m,n}}(v) \leq 0 \). Therefore \( w(f) \leq 0 \). Hence \( \beta'_1(K_{m,n,p}) \leq 0 \). Now consider the function \( g : E(K_{m,n,p}) \to \{1, -1\} \) as follows:

\[
g(u_iv_j) = (-1)^{i+j}, \ g(u_iw_j) = (-1)^{i+j}, \ g(w_iv_j) = (-1)^{i+j}.
\]

It is not difficult to see that \( g \) is a signed matching and \( w(g) = 0 \). Therefore, in this case \( \beta'_1(K_{m,n,p}) = 0 \).

Now suppose that \( m \equiv n \equiv 1 (\pmod{2}) \) and \( p \equiv 0 (\pmod{2}) \). Again, every vertex of \( V_3 \) has even degree. Therefore \( f_{K_{m,n,p}}(v) \leq 0 \) for any \( v \in V_3 \). By the same argument as above we have

\[
w(f) = \sum_{v \in V_3} f_{K_{m,n,p}}(v) + f(E(K_{m,n})) \leq f(E(K_{m,n})).
\]

But \( f(E(K_{m,n})) \leq \min\{m, n\} \) by Theorem 2.3. Hence \( \beta'_1(K_{m,n,p}) \leq \min\{m, n\} \).

By the same argument as above \( \beta'_1(K_{m,n,p}) = \min\{m, n\} \). \( \square \)

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that \( a \) and \( b \) are two integers. Then

\[
\beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) = \begin{cases} 
3 & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\
1 & \text{if } a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\
2 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} 
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Let \( u \) and \( v \) be centers of double star \( DS_{a,b} \) of degrees \( a + 1 \) and \( b + 1 \). Suppose that \( f : E(DS_{a,b}) \to \{1, -1\} \) is a signed matching set. Hence \( w(f) = f_{DS_{a,b}}(u) + f_{DS_{a,b}}(v) - f(uv) \).

If \( a \equiv b \equiv 1 (\pmod{2}) \), then \( \deg(u) \) and \( \deg(v) \) are even. Therefore, it follows that \( f_{DS_{a,b}}(u), f_{DS_{a,b}}(v) \leq 0 \). We conclude \( w(f) \leq -f(uv) \leq 1 \). Hence \( \beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) \leq 1 \).

Now consider \( g : E(DS_{a,b}) \to \{1, -1\} \) such that \( g(e) = 1 \) for \( \frac{a+1}{2} \) edges of \( E_{DS_{a,b}}(v) \setminus \{uv\} \) and \( \frac{b+1}{2} \) edges of \( E_{DS_{a,b}}(u) \setminus \{uv\} \) and \( g(e) = -1 \) for the remaining edges of \( E_{DS_{a,b}}(v) \cup E_{DS_{a,b}}(u) \). Clearly \( g \) is a signed matching and \( w(g) = 1 \). Hence \( \beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) \geq 1 \) and we conclude \( \beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) = 1 \).

If \( a \equiv b \equiv 0 (\pmod{2}) \), then \( \deg(u) \) and \( \deg(v) \) are odd. Therefore, it follows \( f_{DS_{a,b}}(v), f_{DS_{a,b}}(u) \leq 1 \). We conclude that \( w(f) \leq 2 - f(uv) \leq 3 \). Hence
\[ \beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) \leq 3. \] Now consider \( g : E(DS_{a,b}) \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \) such that \( g(e) = 1 \) for \( \frac{a+1}{2} \) edges of \( E_{DS_{a,b}}(v) \setminus \{uw\} \) and \( \frac{a+1}{2} \) edges of \( E_{DS_{a,b}}(u) \setminus \{uw\} \) and \( g(e) = -1 \) for the remaining edges of \( E(u) \cup E(w) \). Again \( g \) is a signed matching with \( w(g) = 3 \) and we conclude that \( \beta'_1(DS_{a,b}) = 3 \).

For the last case, suppose that \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \) and \( b \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \). By the same argument as above, we conclude that \( \beta'_1(S_{a,b}) = 2 \).

**Theorem 2.6.** Let \( n \) be an integer. Then

\[ \beta'_1(W_n) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** Suppose that \( E(W_n) = \{v_iv_{i+1}, uv_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \), where indices computing in module \( n \). Note that the vertex \( u \) has degree equal to \( n \), and other vertices have degree \( 3 \). If \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), then \( W_n \) has \( n \) vertices of odd degree. Hence \( \beta(W_n) \leq \frac{n}{2} = \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil \) by Theorem 2.2. Now define \( f : E(W_n) \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \) by

\[ f(uv_{4i+1}) = f(uv_{4i+2}) = f(v_{4i+2}v_{4i+3}) = f(v_{4i+3}v_{4i+4}) = f(v_{4i+4}v_{4i+5}) = 1 \]

for \( 0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1 \) and \( f(e) = -1 \) for other edges of \( W_n \). Clearly \( f \) is a signed matching with \( w(f) = \frac{n}{4} = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \). So \( \beta'_1(W_n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \). Hence \( \beta'_1(W_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \).

The case \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \) is obtained by a similar argument as the above. Now suppose that \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \). Hence \( \beta'_1(W_n) \leq \frac{n}{2} \) by Theorem 2.2. But \( \beta'_1(W_n) \neq \frac{n}{2} \) by Proposition 2.1 and therefore \( \beta'_1(W_n) \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1 \). Now define \( f : E(W_n) \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \) by

\[ f(uv_{4i+1}) = f(uv_{4i+2}) = f(v_{4i+2}v_{4i+3}) = f(v_{4i+3}v_{4i+4}) = f(v_{4i+4}v_{4i+5}) = 1 \]

for \( 0 \leq i \leq \frac{n-6}{2} \) and \( f(e) = -1 \) for other edges of \( W_n \). Clearly \( f \) is a signed matching with \( w(f) = \frac{n}{4} - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \). So \( \beta'_1(W_n) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \).

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( n \) be an integer. Then

\[ \beta'_1(F_n) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** The result follows by a similar argument as the proof of Theorem 2.6.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( n \) be an integer. Then

\[ \beta'_1(F_{Fr_n}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** Since the graph \( Fr_n \) is an Eulerian graph, the result follows from Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.9. Let $G$ be a graph and $e$ be an edge of $G$. If $S(G)$ is the subdivision of $G$ by edge $e$, then

$$\beta'_1(G) - 1 \leq \beta'_1(S(G)) \leq \beta'_1(G) + 1.$$ 

In addition these bounds are sharp.

Proof. Suppose that $e = uv$ and $S(G) = G \setminus \{e\} \cup \{xu, xv\}$, where $x$ is the new vertex. Let $f$ be a $\beta'_1$-signed matching of $G$. If $f(e) = 1$ (or $f(e) = -1$), then define $g : E(S(G)) \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ by $g(xu) = 1$ (or $g(xu) = -1$), $g(xv) = -1$ and $g(w) = f(w)$ for other edges of $S(G)$. Clearly $g$ is a signed matching on $S(G)$ and $w(g) = \beta'_1(G) - 1$. Hence $\beta'_1(G) - 1 \leq \beta'_1(S(G))$.

Now suppose that $f$ is a $\beta'_1$-signed matching of $S(G)$. Define signed matching $g$ on $G$ by $g(e) = -1$ and $g(w) = f(w)$ for other edges of $G$. we conclude that $\beta'_1(S(G)) \leq \beta'_1(G) + 1$.

For any positive integer $n$, we have $S(C_n) = C_{n+1}$. If $n$ is even, then $\beta'_1(C_n) = 0$ and $\beta'_1(C_{n+1}) = -1$ by Corollary 2.1 and the lower bound is occurred. If $n$ is odd, then $\beta'_1(C_n) = -1$ and $\beta'_1(C_{n+1}) = 0$ by Corollary 2.1 and the we obtain the upper bound.

Theorem 2.10. Let $G$ be a graph. Then

$$\beta'_1(G) - 3 \leq \beta'_1(G - e) \leq \beta'_1(G) + 1.$$ 

In addition these bounds are sharp.

Proof. Suppose that $e = uv$. Let $f$ be a $\beta'_1$-signed matching of $G$. If $f(e) = 1$, then define $g : E(G - e) \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ by $g(x) = f(x)$ for any edge $x$ of $G - e$. Clearly $g$ is a signed matching on $G - e$ and $w(g) = \beta'_1(G) - 1$. Hence $\beta'_1(G) - 1 \leq \beta'_1(G - e)$.

If $f(e) = -1$, change the label of two edges $e_1$ and $e_2$ (which are adjacent to $u$ and $v$ in $G - e$, respectively) from 1 to $-1$. Hence we have a signed matching on $G - e$ of weight $\beta'_1(G) - 3$ and hence $\beta'_1(G) - 3 \leq \beta'_1(G - e)$.

Now suppose that $f$ is a $\beta'_1$-signed matching of $G - e$. Define signed matching $g$ on $G$ by $g(e) = -1$ and $g(w) = f(w)$ for other edges of $G$. we conclude that $\beta'_1(G - e) \leq \beta'_1(G) + 1$.

Suppose that $n$ is an even integer. We have $\beta'_1(DS_{n,n}) = 3$ by Theorem 2.5. If $x, y$ are centers of double star and $e = xy$, then $DS_{n,n} - e = 2K_{1,n}$ and we have $\beta'_1(DS_{n,n} - e) = 0$ by Theorem 2.3. Hence the lower bound is obtained. If $n$ is even and $m$ is odd, then $\beta'_1(K_{1,n} \cup K_{1,m}) = 1$ by Theorem 2.3. But $K_{1,n} \cup K_{1,m} + e = DS_{m,n}$, where $e$ joint two stars $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$. Hence $\beta'_1(DS_{m,n}) = 2$ and upper bound is occurred.
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