Structure and biosynthesis of the signal-sequence receptor
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The signal-sequence receptor (SSR) previously has been shown to be a component of the environment which nascent polypeptides meet on passage through the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane. We report here on the primary structure of the SSR as deduced from cDNA clones and from direct protein sequencing. The glycoprotein is synthesized with a cleavable amino-terminal signal sequence and contains only one classical membrane-spanning segment. Its insertion into the ER membrane during biosynthesis depends on the function of the signal-recognition particle. SSR shows a remarkable charge distribution with the amino terminus being highly negatively charged, and the cytoplasmic carboxyl terminus positively charged. The SSR can be phosphorylated in its cytoplasmic tail both in intact cells and in a cell-free system, suggesting a regulation of its function. The localization of the protein in the ER membrane was confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy.

Protein translocation across the endoplasmic reticulum membrane is initiated by an interaction of the signal sequence of the nascent polypeptide with the 54-kDa polypeptide component of the signal-recognition particle (SRP) [1—3]. Association with the membrane is mediated by the affinity of SRP for its receptor in the ER membrane, also called docking protein [4, 5], which has recently been shown to be a GTP-binding protein [6]. On interaction with its receptor, the SRP is released from both the ribosome [7] and from the signal sequence [8] and the latter is transferred into close proximity of an integral, glycosylated membrane protein of molecular mass ≈ 34 kDa, called the signal-sequence receptor [9]. Identification of the SSR was based on a photocrosslinking approach whereby a photoactive lysine derivative was introduced into the signal sequence of nascent preprolactin and crosslinking to the SSR was induced by irradiation [2, 9]. Using the same technique, it has been recently shown that not only the signal sequence but also the succeeding part of nascent preprolactin is located in proximity to the SSR during translocation [10]. This result indicates that the SSR is a component of the environment which nascent polypeptide chains meet on passage through the ER membrane. SSR may be a constituent of a postulated translocation complex through which the nascent chain traverses the membrane.

On the basis of the properties of the SSR as deduced from crosslinking experiments, we have purified a 34-kDa integral membrane glycoprotein from dog pancreatic microsomes[11]. Identity with the SSR is indicated by the fact that antibodies to the purified protein recognize the crosslinked products [10]. The SSR is present in the ER membrane of various types of cells of different species in excess over membrane-bound ribosomes [10], in keeping with its assumed role as a constituent of the translocation complex. The protein appears to be essential for translocation since antibodies directed against it and Fab fragments prepared from the antibodies inhibit the translocation of several secretory proteins in vitro [11].

It is obviously of great interest to obtain structural information on the SSR. Is it a tunnel protein similar in structure to the ion channels or electron transporters (for review, see [12])? Given the fact that macromolecules should pass through the postulated translocation complex, one may expect completely different features. Indeed, we report here that the primary structure of the SSR does not contain multiple membrane-spanning segments with amphipathic helical character. The protein has only one classical membrane-spanning segment and displays a remarkable charge distribution with the N-terminus highly negatively charged and the C-terminus positively charged. Insertion of the SSR into the ER membrane during biosynthesis depends on the function of the SRP. We have also found that the SSR is phosphorylated at its cytoplasmic tail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Amino acid sequencing

SSR was purified from canine microsomes extracted with alkali by concanavalin-A—Sepharose chromatography as described [11]. The eluted glycoproteins were separated in 10% SDS/polyacrylamide gels, the proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose and stained with Poncet S. Sequencing of tryptic peptides of the SSR was carried out according to Aebersold et al. [13]. CNBr-peptides of gel-eluted
SSR were separated by HPLC and subjected to automated sequencing.

cDNA cloning, screening and nucleotide sequencing

A cDNA library was prepared from cytoplasmic poly(A)-rich RNA, isolated from MDCK (Madin Darby canine kidney) cells, essentially as described by Haymerle et al. [14]. The double-stranded cDNA was ligated to adaptors and cloned into the BamHI site of the bacterial expression vector pTEX. pTEX is a derivative of the pEX/pUEX vector family (J. Herz et al., unpublished results).

Hybridization screening of colonies was carried out according to Maniatis et al. [15] with the following two oligonucleotides: 5'-AT(A/G)TA(A/G)AA(T/C)TG(A/G)-TA(A/G)TC(T/C)TG-3' and 5'-TT(A/G)TTNGCNGG(A/G)AA(A/G)TC(T/C)TC-3' (N indicates that all four bases were inserted at that position), corresponding to the peptides QDYQFY1 and EDFPANN, respectively. The probes were end-labeled with [α-32P]ATP and polynucleotide kinase and hybridized in 6 × SSC (SSC: 0.15 M NaCl, 15 mM trisodium citrate, pH 7.0) at 42 °C. Washing was carried out with 4 × SSC at 37 °C.

Immunoscreening with antibodies to the SSR [11] was carried out according to Stanley and Luzio [16] after growth of the colonies at 42 °C.

HindIII and PstI fragments of the positive clones were cloned into M13 mp18 and 19 and both DNA strands were sequenced by the Sanger method with sequenase (United States Biochemical, manufacturer's protocol). Some regions were analyzed by the use of internal oligonucleotide primers synthesized according to the already known sequence.

Cell-free transcription/translation

The insert of a SSR clone was cut out with the restriction enzyme NotI, the ends were filled in with Klenow enzyme and cloned into the BamHI site of the vector pGEM2. In vitro transcription was carried out with T7-RNA polymerase as described by Melton et al. for SP6 polymerase [17]. Translation in a wheat germ cell-free system was performed according to Maniatis et al. [15] with the following two oligonucleotides: 5'-AT(A/G)TA(A/G)AA(T/C)TG(A/G)-TA(A/G)TC(T/C)TG-3' and 5'-TT(A/G)TTNGCNGG(A/G)AA(A/G)TC(T/C)TC-3' (N indicates that all four bases were inserted at that position), corresponding to the peptides QDYQFY1 and EDFPANN, respectively. The probes were end-labeled with [α-32P]ATP and polynucleotide kinase and hybridized in 6 × SSC (SSC: 0.15 M NaCl, 15 mM trisodium citrate, pH 7.0) at 42 °C. Washing was carried out with 4 × SSC at 37 °C.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy was performed with antibodies against SSR and tetramethylrhodamine-isothiocyanate-labelled second antibodies directed against rabbit immunoglobulin.

Phosphorylation of the SSR

For phosphorylation in intact cells, MDCK cells (5 x 10^7) were incubated with [32P]phosphate (18.5 MBq/ml) for 2 h in medium lacking serum. The cells were lysed and the proteins subjected to immunoprecipitation with antiserum to SSR.

Cell-free phosphorylation was performed in a 25 μl assay containing 20 mM Heps/KOH, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2 and 0.2 MBq of [γ-32P]ATP. One equivalent of microsomes was added. Where indicated wheat germ extract (≈ 10 μg protein) and inhibitor of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase (8 μg) were also added. Incubations were carried out at 0 °C for 20 min. For alkaline extraction, 150 μl 0.15 M Na2CO3 were added. After 10 min incubation in ice, the samples were centrifuged in a Beckman Airfuge for 10 min at 0.14 MPA. The pellets were dissolved in 2% SDS and analyzed by SDS gel electrophoresis according to Laemmli [23].

Wheat germ postribosomal supernatant was obtained from an extract by centrifugation at 100000 × g av for 1 h.

Peptide antibodies and immunoblots

Antibodies to a peptide comprising the C-terminal 15 amino acids of the SSR were raised in rabbits after coupling to keyhole-limpet hemocyanine with glutaraldehyde. Immuno blot analysis of electrophoretically separated microsomal proteins was carried out as described [11].

RESULTS

Amino acid sequencing

The 34-kDa protein (SSR) was isolated from canine microsomes as described previously [11]. Partial amino acid sequences were obtained from purified peptides obtained by cleavage of the SSR with trypsin or CNBr. The amino terminus of the protein could not be determined since it was found to be blocked.

The partial amino acid sequence obtained for the SSR is shown in parenthesis in Fig. 1 below the nucleotide sequence of the cDNA.

cDNA cloning

Two oligonucleotides were synthesized, the sequences of which were based on those of two of the tryptic peptides (see Materials and Methods). The probes were end-labeled and used to screen a MDCK-cell cDNA library cloned into the vector pTEX [14] (Herz et al., unpublished results).

MDCK cells have been used previously to clone the SRP receptor [24]. The synthesis of SSR in these cells could be demonstrated by immunoprecipitation after metabolic labelling with [35S]methionine (data not shown). The vector pTEX can also be used to screen with antibodies for the temperature-sensitive expression of a fusion between β-galactosidase and the desired protein.

Among approximately 150000 independent recombinants screened, 25 were found to hybridize with both oligonucleotide
Antibodies to a C-terminal peptide recognize a cytosolic domain of SSR. Microsomal membranes from dog pancreas (two equivalents) were either treated with proteinase K (Prot. K; 0.1 mg/ml, 10 min, 25°C; lanes 2 and 4) or remained untreated (lanes 1 and 3). The proteins were analyzed after SDS/PAGE by immunoblotting with antibodies directed against intact SSR, α(SSR) [11] (lanes 1 and 2), or against a peptide comprising the C-terminal 15 amino acids, α(C-pept.; lanes 3 and 4; see Fig. 1). The numbers give the molecular mass of marker proteins.

Further proof that the correct protein has been cloned was obtained by producing antibodies to a synthetic peptide comprising the C-terminal 15 amino acids (indicated in bold face in Fig. 1). Immunoblots demonstrate that the antibodies recognize the SSR in microsomes (Fig. 2, lane 3). If microsomes were pretreated with a high concentration of protease, the reactivity was lost (Fig. 2, lane 4), whereas the antibodies against the intact SSR reacted with the protein fragment (cf. lanes 1 and 2). These data indicate that the epitope of the SSR recognized by the peptide antibodies is exposed to the cytosol.

The sequence upstream of the assumed initiator methionine is very similar to the Consensus sequence (GCCGCG(A/G)CAGG) determined by Kozak [25] to be present at most sites of translation initiation in eukaryotic mRNA. In order to provide additional proof that the clones contain the entire coding sequence of the SSR, the DNA was recloned under the simian virus 40 promoter and expressed in CV-1 cells. The cells were incubated with [35S]methionine, lysed under non-denaturing conditions and the -proteins subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibodies to the 34-kDa SSR (Fig. 3). The overexpressed SSR had exactly the same size as the endogenous protein (Fig. 3, arrow, cf. lanes 1 and 2). A band of slightly slower mobility seen after overexpression presumably represents a precursor polypeptide from which the signal sequence has not yet been removed (see below). It should also be noted that two other polypeptides of lower and higher molecular mass were coimmunoprecipitated under these conditions (Fig. 3, see arrow head and bar). The smaller one appears to be identical with a recently discovered 22-kDa protein that is tightly bound to the SSR (D. Goerlich et al., unpublished results); the nature of the larger protein is unclear as yet.

Properties of the SSR sequence

The amino acid sequence reveals two potential sites for N-linked glycosylation (Fig. 1). According to the hydrophobicity
immunoprecipitation with SSR antibodies. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by fluorography. Lanes 1 and 2 show the results of two independent experiments; lane 3 shows a control with nontransfected cells. The arrow indicates the position of the SSR, the arrow head and the bar that of two other polypeptides which were coprecipitated.

Fig. 3. Expression of SSR in CV-1 cells. CV-1 cells were transfected (transf.) with a clone containing the SSR sequence under a simian virus 40 promoter. The cells were labelled with [35S]methionine, lysed under non-denaturing conditions and the proteins subjected to immunoprecipitation with SSR antibodies. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by fluorography. Lanes 1 and 2 show the results of two independent experiments; lane 3 shows a control with nontransfected cells. The arrow indicates the position of the SSR, the arrow head and the bar that of two other polypeptides which were coprecipitated.

In order to test if the N-terminal hydrophobic segment represents a cleavable signal sequence, the cDNA was recloned into the pGEM vector under the T7-polymerase promoter and used in cell-free transcription/translation experiments (Fig. 4). A translation product of about 35 kDa was obtained in the wheat germ cell-free system (lanes 2–4). If translation was carried out in the presence of SRP, the synthesis was severely inhibited (Fig. 4, lanes 7 and 11). If proteolysis was carried out in the presence of detergent (det.) as indicated. The products were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE or after immunoprecipitation with SSR antibodies (IP, lanes 6 and 10). The dots indicate the bands of interest.

The exact cleavage site of the signal peptidase remains unclear as yet since the amino terminal residue(s) of the mature protein could not be determined. Predictions are difficult since no site conforming to the -1, -3 rule [29] can be found. In any case, most if not all of the highly negatively charged region following the N-terminal hydrophobic segment must be present in the mature protein to explain its low isoelectric point [11].

The charge distribution in the SSR sequence is indeed its most remarkable feature. The amino terminal region contains 23 negative charges in a segment of 35 residues, whereas the carboxyl terminal portion following the second hydrophobic domain is predominantly positively charged (Fig. 1).

The SSR can be phosphorylated

Since the function of the SSR in the translocation process may be modulated under certain conditions, we have tested the possibility that it is phosphorylated. MDCK cells were incubated with radioactive inorganic phosphate, lysed and the proteins subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibodies to the SSR (Fig. 5). Indeed a labelled band at the expected position was observed (Fig. 5, lane 16) which was absent if nonimmune serum was used as a control (lane 15).

Phosphorylation of the SSR could also be demonstrated in a cell-free system (Fig. 5, lanes 1–14). If crude microsomal membranes were incubated with [γ-32P]ATP many labelled

Fig. 4. In vitro insertion of SSR into microsomal membranes. RNA coding for the SSR was synthesized by in vitro transcription and translated in a wheat germ cell-free system (lanes 2–12). Sample 1 did not receive RNA. SRP, salt-washed canine microsomes (K-RM) and a peptide competitively inhibiting glycosylation (pept.) were added to the incubations as indicated. After translation, post-translational proteolysis with proteinase K (prot.) was carried out in the presence or absence of detergent (det.) as indicated. The products were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE or after immunoprecipitation with SSR antibodies (IP, lanes 6 and 10). The dots indicate the bands of interest.
carried out either in a cell-free system with [32P]phosphate (lanes 15-17) or in intact MDCK cells with [32P]ATP (lanes 1-14). Where indicated, immunoprecipitation with antiserum against SSR (AS) or with non-immune serum (NS) was carried out. The other samples were analyzed either after precipitation with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) or after alkaline extraction of the membranes. In the in vitro reactions, either crude microsomes (crude RM) or salt-washed microsomes (K-RM) were used. Wheat germ postribosomal supernatant (WG), a specific inhibitor of the CAMP-dependent protein kinase (CAMP-K.), and unlabelled ATP were added as indicated. The arrow head indicates the position of SSR.

bands could be seen (Fig. 5, lane 1), some of which, including that of SSR, were resistant to alkaline extraction (lane 2). The identity of the labelled SSR was proven by immunoprecipitation (cf. Fig. 5, lanes 13 and 14). Low concentrations of unlabelled ATP were sufficient to abolish the phosphorylation (Fig. 5, lanes 9-12). The kinase responsible for the phosphorylation appears to be peripherally bound to the microsomes since extensive washing with high salt removed the activity (Fig. 5, lane 7). The SSR in thoroughly washed microsomes could be phosphorylated by a kinase present in wheat germ postribosomal supernatant (Fig. 5, lane 8) although the band was less prominent than in experiments with crude microsomes. Some of the labelled bands appeared to be identical but there were also differences in the overall pattern (e.g. Fig. 5, cf. lanes 8 and 9), indicating that different kinases are involved. If no unlabelled ATP was added in experiments with wheat germ extract, a major band was seen but phosphorylated SSR could not be detected (Fig. 5, lane 4). As expected, no labelled bands were seen in the alkaline extracted pellet in the absence of membranes (Fig. 5, lane 3). The phosphate in the SSR was found in the cytosolic tail; all the label was lost after proteolysis. Most of the phosphate was attached to serine (data not shown). Phosphorylation could also be observed with [32P]GTP but it cannot be excluded as yet that transfer of the label to ATP (or vice versa in the experiments with labelled ATP) had occurred.

Phosphorylation was not catalyzed by the cAMP-dependent kinase since a specific inhibitor [30] did not have any effect (Fig. 5, cf. lanes 5 and 6). Also, neither isolated cAMP-dependent kinase phosphorylated the SSR nor did EGTA inhibit phosphorylation by wheat germ extract, indicating that the calmodulin-dependent kinase also was not involved (data not shown).

The SSR is located in the ER

Previous data suggested the ER localization of the SSR on the basis of its abundance in microsomal preparations from dog pancreas. This conclusion could be confirmed by immunofluorescence microscopy using specific antibodies (Fig. 6). The figure shows an ER-typical, network-like staining of CV-1 cells transiently expressing the SSR. A similar staining pattern, although much weaker, may be seen in neighbouring cells with a low expression level.

DISCUSSION

We have determined the primary structure of the SSR, an essential component of the protein translocation complex of the ER membrane. The amino acid sequence did not show any apparent homology to any of the sequences in the EMBL Sequence Data Library (release 19, May 1989). Also, there is no similarity to the 54-kDa polypeptide component of the SRP [31, 32] which interacts with signal sequences [2, 3]. Thus although the signal sequence of nascent preprolactin is close to the SSR during translocation, a specific binding in the same way as suggested for the 54-kDa polypeptide appears to be unlikely. The photocrosslinking of the signal sequence does not occur with the cytoplasmic domain of the SSR [10], in agreement with the fact that its sequence is short and highly charged. The possibility remains that other portion(s) of the SSR have an affinity for signal sequences.

Our belief that SSR is part of a translocation complex appears at variance with the fact that only one classical membrane-spanning segment could be identified rather than several amphipathic helices as is typical for ion and electron channels [12]. However, one may expect a protein-translocating tunnel to be quite different from the rather rigid structures produced by the association of amphipathic helices. It may be assumed to be more flexible since preprolactin can be translocated across the ER membrane even if bulky biotin groups are attached to the side chains of its lysines [33]. For mitochondria evidence exists that even branched, crosslinked proteins and proteins linked to oligonucleotides are able to cross membranes [34].

The membrane topology of the SSR seems to be such that the hydrophobic segment comprising residues 207-230 spans the membrane with its N-terminus located in the lumen of the ER and its C-terminus in the cytoplasm. The role of the remarkable charge distribution, with the luminal portion negatively charged and the cytoplasmic portion positively charged, is unclear as yet. It may be in the build up of a local membrane potential. For protein translocation across the cytoplasmic membrane in Escherichia coli and for protein import into mitochondria the function of a bulk membrane potential has been demonstrated [35, 36]. Although such a potential is probably not required for protein translocation across the ER membrane since ionophores have no effect [37, 38] (our unpublished results), a local potential could conceivably be involved.

The structure of the SSR suggests that it cannot be the only component of a presumed translocation complex. The fact that two polypeptides were coimmunoprecipitated with
SSR antibodies suggests that indeed other components are tightly bound. One of them, a \( \approx 22 \)-kDa glycoprotein, has been purified recently and shown to form a stable complex with the SSR (D. Goerlich et al., unpublished data). Thus, SSR may well be a component of a larger complex.

We have also found that SSR is phosphorylated in its cytoplasmic tail. It is tempting to speculate that phosphorylation regulates the translocation process. For example, phosphorylation or dephosphorylation may induce the formation or the opening of the tunnel. Since wheat germ contains a SSR kinase, it appears possible that phosphorylation occurs in the in vitro translation/translocation system. However, further work, including purification of the kinase, is required to prove a role for a phosphorylation cycle in the translocation process.

It is interesting that the membrane insertion of the SSR depends on the function of the SRP. This is in contrast to the biosynthesis of another membrane component of the translocation apparatus, the \( \alpha \)-subunit of the SRP receptor, which is independent of SRP [39, 40]. Our results show that synthesis of a translocation component depends on the prior existence of a translocation system (a specification of the old statement of R. Virchow: 'omnis cellula e cellula' [41]).
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