GTTC Future of Ground Testing Meta-Analysis of 20 Documents

Steven C. Dunn^a Jacobs, Hampton, VA, 23681, USA

John R. Micol^b NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, 23681, USA

David J. Myren^c Aero Systems Engineering, Inc., Saint Paul, MN, 55107, USA

and Roman W. Paryz^d NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, 23681, USA

Abstract

National research, development, test, and evaluation ground testing capabilities in the United States are at risk. There is a lack of vision and consensus on what is and will be needed, contributing to a significant threat that ground test capabilities may not be able to meet the national security and industrial needs of the future. To support future decisions, the AIAA Ground Testing Technical Committee's (GTTC) "Future of Ground Test" (FoGT) Working Group selected and reviewed 20 "seminal" documents related to the application and direction of ground testing. Each document was reviewed, with the content main points collected and organized into sections in the form of a gap analysis – current state, future state, major challenges/gaps, and recommendations. This paper includes key findings and selected commentary by an editing team.

I. Nomenclature

AAAS	=	American Association for the Advancement of Science
CFD	=	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CSE	=	Computational Science and Engineering
DT&E	=	Development, Test and Evaluation
EFD	=	Experimental Fluid Dynamics
FoGT	=	Future of Ground Testing working group
FT	=	Flight Test(ing)
GT	=	Ground Test(ing)
GTTC	=	Ground Testing Technical Committee
HPC	=	High Powered Computing
M&S	=	Modeling and Simulation
NASA	=	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NPAT	=	National Partnership for Aeronautical Testing
RDT&E	=	Research, Development, Test and Evaluation

USAF = United States Air Force

^a Engineering Specialist, Jacobs Tidewater Operations Group, and AIAA Associate Fellow.

^b Research Analyst, Aerothermodynamics Branch, and AIAA Senior Member.

^c Director, FluiDyne Laboratory, and AIAA Associate Fellow.

^d Branch Manager, Subsonic/Transonic Testing, and AIAA Associate Fellow.

II. Executive Summary

The AIAA GTTC/FoGT working group has sponsored several interactive sessions on defining issues and approaches associated with experimental ground testing now and going forward in the United States. The consensus of the FoGT working group members is that the current trends in the management of ground testing (GT) capabilities will put the nation at severe risk of not having the capabilities required to develop critical technologies and produce new aerospace products. This relates directly to threats to national defense and the economy.

The working group reviewed and distilled twenty recent 'seminal' documents related to the health and needs of experimental ground testing. The following observations and recommendations/future state comments are the primary takeaways (some are directly from the reviewed documents, the references of which are in the body of the paper):

Observations

- The US experimental ground test industry is coming out of a long post-cold war downturn. Research is down, maintenance has long lagged and is lagging, and investment in new capabilities is limited.
- The future of ground testing is an integrated, interdependent process with computational methods. It is clear to the authors and the FoGT working group that the future of ground testing is completely intertwined with the development and implementation of computational methods (and vice-versa).
- Uncertainty quantification (UQ) is more robust in experimentation, but computational UQ is improving.
- There is a move toward recognizing and valuing capabilities as tools sustaining and investing **in** the experimental and computational tools required to accomplish the research and development for different classes of aerospace products (as opposed to treating capabilities as cost centers).
- The major defects frequently found late in the development cycle for a flight system usually occur at the interface of major subsystems, e.g., aerodynamically induced structural failures. Finding and fixing major defects early, which requires both funding and expertise for experimental and computational testing, results in significantly lower time and cost in the development life cycle and, potentially, gains in product performance.
- Stove-piping has been and remains a significant issue in advancing both the computational and experimental state of the art. Research and development in experimental/measurement/sensing and computational techniques tend to be protected within the originating organization

Recommendations and Future State Needs

- Saving money on tests is not the right metric. Rather, the proper metric is acquiring enough information to improve quality, resulting in fewer defects and shorter product development times. It should also be noted that improved quality of the research process also positively affects product development.
- Data management/data fusion is not addressed much in this paper, but must be addressed for EFD/CFD integration to proceed and to meet future development needs possibly the most important element of integration. Rather than generating large amounts of data, sufficient planning for managing the data sets and leveraging computational strengths must be undertaken to develop fused data sets that go beyond what either resource can provide independently.
- Computational modeling will not replace wind tunnel testing in the foreseeable future. Product complexity, flight-envelope expansion, risk aversion, and extensive flight-control systems are the additional drivers for the continued use of the wind tunnel.
- Future workforce will require the right combination of generalists (broad-based skills) and specialists (deeply skilled in specialty areas).
- Capabilities must have sustained investment or services will decline, even as needs (accuracy, data quality, timeliness, more) increase due to product complexity. This includes experimental tools (wind tunnels, propulsion facilities, and measurement and sensing technologies), computational tools (hardware, software, and processes), and data management (acquisition, processing, mining, fusing, organizing, and information output).
- Improved (new and better) test techniques are needed for verification and validation of codes and provide information that may lead to new theories that can be numerically solved in the future.
- Additive manufacturing and rapid mesh/grid generation have the potential to provide rapid responses to new information shortening the research time required for new designs and concepts.
- Data security was only touched on in this paper but is recognized as a pressing investment requirement, especially as test campaigns become integrated experimentally and computationally, in real time, across geographic locations.

III. Introduction

THE American Institute for Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA) is the professional society for members of the aerospace industry, providing a collaborative environment that supports teaming to address issues important to the industry. A major organizational element that supports teaming is the collection of 71 technical committees, each addressing a specific discipline area within the aerospace umbrella. The Ground Test Technical Committee (GTTC) was formed in the 1960s to enable sharing of best practices and address common areas of need within the experimental ground testing community.

GTTC leadership has, since the early 2000s, consistently expressed the need for researching and documenting the future direction of ground testing to provide guidance that the members could share with their parent organizations. The GTTC already sponsored a group of original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) that met periodically to produce information on expected future test needs. But this was not enough information to guide test capability owners in determining investment needs or capacity requirements over time. A working group within the GTTC, called "Future of Ground Testing" (FoGT), was formed in 2013 to accomplish the research and report their findings.

Members of the working group were aware of a number of recent papers and reports that assessed various aspects of experimental ground test capabilities. Therefore, the group's initial effort was to collect recent pertinent publications and review these documents to define what the working group should produce that would add value to the existing body of knowledge. What emerged from this review was that the future of ground testing is completely intertwined with the development and availability of computational modeling and simulation (M&S).

In 2014, a definitive report was published that mapped a way forward for computational M&S entitled "CFD Vision 2030 Study: A Path to Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences".¹ This report was fully focused on computational M&S, recognizing ground testing at interfaces such as being required to validate new codes. Due to the increasingly interdependent nature of experimental and computational testing, this 2014 report allowed the FoGT team to focus on ground testing and directly map the experimental interfaces to computational competencies.

The structure of this ground test report is based on a number of seminal papers produced within the last 15 years by subject matter experts within the aerospace research and development community. The excerpted comments are organized into the form of a gap analysis and sorted into sections with affinity subgroups. The excerpted comments are placed into table form for each section/group and includes selected separate comments from the editing team.

A. Background

Motivations

Perhaps the earliest effective ground testing capabilities developed for research were a whirling-arm mechanism and the ballistic pendulum designed by Benjamin Robins in the mid 1700's to study aerodynamic forces on various models at various angles of attack.² It wasn't until the late 1800's that wind tunnels started to be used to simulate flight effects. The key was improved understanding of the equivalence of air passing over a fixed surface and a surface moving through the air.

As the quest for manned flight in the late 1800's and early 1900's intensified, various applications of models (and some full-scale) through flying tests and ground tests – mostly simple wind tunnels – were used to learn about the aerodynamics of various wing forms and (models of) flying vehicles.

As flying vehicles evolved over the next century, with increasing speed, a wide array of applications and missions, varying geometries, and different combinations of lift and propulsion, ground testing capabilities also evolved and expanded, including such forms as:

- Wind tunnels and propulsion (air breathing and rocket) test facilities with a variety of test techniques and measurement capabilities,
- Vacuum chambers,
- Test tracks,
- Projectile ranges,
- Arc jet facilities,
- Structural/loads testing facilities,
- Environmental testing facilities
- Radar cross section facilities,
- Materials testing, and
- Various labs with specific aero-related research capabilities.

The scope of this effort is focused on "major" wind tunnels and propulsion facilities in the United States from across government, industry, and academia.

The research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) process is used in some form to develop every aerospace product, which then can transition to production and use. In simple terms, the product life cycle consists of two major phases: formulation (includes RDT&E) and implementation. The US Air Force and NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) each use a systems engineering process that begins with requirements flowing from some need and culminates in a system that addresses the need. Along the way, information is acquired that supports risk-based decisions and choices as the system is designed.

An important trade-off during the development process is how much time and money to put into information gathering – it's all about risk management (and cost). More testing (experimentally and computationally) usually drives down risk and is essential, but at what point is the information good enough to allow the design to proceed to the next steps? Testing can be expensive and time-consuming with well-defined costs, leading to a trade decision: spend this time and money now to drive down risk or accept the risk and save cost and time now. The testing campaign is often budget constrained already, so the temptation can be strong to reduce or eliminate tests. Inadequate risk assessment and/or acceptance of higher risks early in the development phase is a major reason for defects migrating across the RDT&E life cycle, with a problem not being identified until later in the cycle that causes a much more significant impact (increased cost and time) than the savings achieved earlier.

Experimental ground test capabilities exist to provide data and information from basic and applied research through product development and operational support. Over recent decades, computational capabilities have become more robust, replacing or augmenting selected experimental ground and flight testing such that the researcher, developer, or user must choose the appropriate capability to address a need based on risk, cost, schedule, and availability of capabilities. This introduces more complexity into risk management. Selection (with associated costs and times) of the tools themselves affect the quality of the information obtained. The capabilities of the tools, especially computational, are evolving so the selection process will change over time for various applications.

New and updated products are developed by combining new and mature technologies and processes. The key to these new and updated products is the research that generates the new technologies – typically what discriminates the newer product from its predecessors. Without new research, eventually the development of new products would greatly diminish or cease.

Research, as defined by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), "is [a] systematic study directed toward more complete scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied. The federal government classifies research as either basic or applied according to the objective of the sponsoring agency.

- In basic research, the objective is to gain knowledge or understanding of phenomena without specific applications in mind.
- In applied research, the objective is to gain knowledge or understanding necessary for meeting a specific need."³

Research is accomplished by industry, government, and academic organizations to develop new things – materials, items, methods, and approaches – based on their needs, purposes, and budgets. A scan of organizational websites revealed many mission support plans and roadmaps that specify areas of research and development (R&D). Research is an investment in the future that may or may not be targeted at a specific area of need.

Development of a new system, or aerospace product, occurs in response to a defined need or market opportunity. "Development is the systematic use of the knowledge or understanding gained from research for the production of materials, devices, systems, or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes. It excludes quality control, routine product testing, and production."³

A product life cycle model of the aerospace development process spans from early product concept exploration through development, qualification, and operational support:

- The process results in response by a producer to a market need or opportunity.
- The new system is composed of new and existing technologies.
- Ideally, risk management is robust early in the process problems are identified and addressed, with solutions
 integrated into the design.
- The go/no-go decision is based on assessing whether the design meets requirements; estimated costs to produce, operate, and maintain are acceptable; the product can be produced as planned; remaining risks are understood and manageable; and the market/business case remains sound.
- When the process is less than ideal, problems migrate until they are discovered later in the process, often causing delays until the problem can be assessed, a solution developed, and the system redesigned to incorporate the solution (hopefully without "ripple" effect spin-off problems).

Facility Factors

Many in the industry classify ground test facilities in two overlapping categories: science and technology (S&T) facilities used for research and development, test, and evaluation (DT&E) facilities used for developing products with a focus on production. It really comes down to deliverable capabilities at a particular facility related to test techniques, data quality and repeatability, process efficiency, cost, availability, and customer service. Thus, a customer needing experimental test services must identify facilities with the necessary capabilities and use the other qualifying factors as trade-offs to determine where to run a test.

It should also be noted that the test capabilities themselves, including test and measurement techniques and tools, are also subject to the R&D process. Very often, new products require new/better/different information from current testing capabilities, typically due to new technologies, greater precision, or required integration with computational results. Thus, improved forms of measurement and testing are regularly developed, moving from labs to S&T facilities for development and verification, then to either specific facilities for unique applications or across multiple facilities for broad-based applications.

National investment in the tools to accomplish RDT&E – the facilities – has been trending down over recent decades.⁴ Since the end of the Cold War, many government- and private-owned aerodynamic and propulsion ground test facilities have been closed, greatly reducing capacities and creating some significant deficiencies in capability. Multiple reasons have been identified for these closures: local budget problems, agency budget problems, belief that computers have replaced experimental capabilities, old facilities that require recapitalization and/or increased maintenance, cross-organization challenges, and politics. These are all legitimate reasons to some degree, but the result is that national capabilities are being lost. The nation lacks a national vision and consensus on what is and will be needed – in great contrast to post WWII – contributing to a significant threat that national ground test capabilities may not be able to meet the national security and industrial needs of the future. This is the key reason for the effort that went into this paper.

It is inevitable that computational tools will continue to be developed and will grow more capable and efficient as codes are improved and computer speeds and processing capabilities grow. The nature of ground testing has changed, and will continue to change, as test campaigns become a more interdependent mix of experimental and computational work. Software development likely will continue to require, for many more decades, various forms of experimental validation. There are still several significant computational capability challenges to be worked, as noted in the CFD (computational fluid dynamics) Vision 2030 report. It is therefore expected that there will be a continued need for experimental ground testing for the foreseeable future.

The FoGT working group has sponsored several interactive sessions on defining issues and approaches associated with experimental ground testing now and going forward in the United States. The consensus of the FoGT working group members is that the current trends in the management of ground testing (GT) capabilities will put the nation at severe risk of not having the capabilities required to develop critical technologies and produce new aerospace products. This relates directly to threats to national defense and the economy.

The goal of this paper is to synthesize the findings and opinions of a recent cross-section of significant national reports and papers by industry subject matter experts. It is hoped that this paper will provide a national picture of the current state of national ground test capabilities, the trajectory of those capabilities if left unchecked, and where subject matter experts would like these capabilities to go.

B. Approach

In discussions on how to accomplish this effort, many in the group could point to several publications that already addressed ground testing issues, needs, recommendations, and projected future states. It was decided that pertinent publications – formal reports, conference papers, and key briefings from the last five to ten years – would be collected and the top 15 to 20 publications would be selected for review and used to generate this paper.

The team met at a conference and selected the top 20 from about 60 posted publications. The selection criteria were a mix of objective and subjective ranking, based on the status of the author, the source and quality of data and information, the scope of the content, and the applicability of the content in terms of describing the environmental factors driving the industry, the state of capabilities, and future plans.

The documents selected and used in this paper are as follows:

- Kraft, E. M., "Integrating Computational Science and Engineering with Testing to Re-Engineer the Aeronautical Development Process", 48th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2010, AIAA 2010-139.⁵
- Committee to Assess NASA's Aeronautics Flight Research Capabilities, National Research Council of the National Academies, "Recapturing NASA's Aeronautics Flight Research Capabilities", The National Academies Press, 2012.⁶

- Committee on the Assessment of NASA's Laboratory Capabilities, National Research Council of the National Academies, "Capabilities for the Future: An Assessment of NASA Laboratories for Basic Research", The National Academies Press, 2010.⁷
- Kallimani, J., Ohlandt, C., Anton, P. and Osburg, J, "Future Test Needs of U.S. National Wind Tunnels for NASA's Aeronautics Test Program: An Approach for Mapping Ground Test Facility Usage Projections into Capability Projections", 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2011, AIAA 2011-1069.⁸
- 5. Malik, M., and Bushnell, D., "Role of Computational Fluid Dynamics and Wind Tunnels in Aeronautics R and D", NASA/TP-2012-217602, November 2012.⁹
- Slotnik, J., Khodadoust, A., Alonso, J., Darmofal, D., Gropp, W., Lurie, E., and Mavriplis, D., "CFD Vision 2030 Study: A Path to Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences", NASA/CR-2014-218178, March 2014.¹
- 7. European Commission, "Flightpath 2050, Europe's Vision for Aviation", Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2011.¹⁰
- 8. Kraft, E. M., "After 40 Years Why Hasn't the Computer Replaced the Wind Tunnel?", ITEA Journal, 2010, 31: 329-346.¹¹
- 9a. Anton, P. S, Johnson, D. J., Block, M., Brown, M., Drezner, J., Dryden, J., Gritton, E. C., Hamilton, T., Hogan, T., Mesic, R., Peetz, D., Raman, R., Steinberg, P., Strong, J., and Trimble, W., "Wind Tunnel and Propulsion Test Facilities", Rand Corporation, 2004.¹²
- 9b. Anton, P. S, Raman, R., Osburg, J., and Kallimani, J. G., "An Update of the Nation's Long-Term Strategic Needs for NASA's Aeronautics Test Facilities", Rand Corporation, 2009.¹³
- The National Science and Technology Council, "National Aeronautics Research and Development Plan", Office of Science and Technology Policy, Washington, D.C., February, 2010.¹⁴
- The National Science and Technology Council, "National Aeronautics Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Infrastructure Plan", Office of Science and Technology Policy, Washington, D.C., January, 2011.¹⁵
- 12. Advisory Council for Aviation Research and Innovation in Europe, "Realising Europe's Vision for Aviation, Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda, Volume 1", September, 2012.¹⁶
- Kraft, E. M., "HPCMP CREATE[™]-AV and the Air Force Digital Thread", 53rd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting. January 2015, AIAA 2015-0042.¹⁷
- Fetterhoff, T., Kraft, E., Laster, M., and Cockson, W., "High-Speed/Hypersonic Test and Evaluation Infrastructure Capabilities Study", 14th AIAA/AHI Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference. November 2006, AIAA 2006-8043.¹⁸
- Melanson, M., "An Assessment of the Increase in Wind Tunnel Testing Requirements for Airvehicle Development Over the last 50 Years", 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit. January 2008, AIAA 2008-830.¹⁹
- Best, J., Kraft, E., and Huber, A., "Revitalizing the Technical Excellence of the Workforce at the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) and Beyond", 2008 U.S. Air Force T&E Days. February 2008, AIAA 2008-1611.²⁰
- 17. Skelley, M., Langham, T., and Peters, W., "Integrated Test and Evaluation for the 21st Century", USAF Developmental Test and Evaluation Summit. November, 2004, AIAA 2004-6873.²¹
- Melanson, M., Chang, M., and Baker II, W., "Wind Tunnel Testing's Future: A Vision of the Next Generation of Wind Tunnel Test Requirements and Facilities", 48th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2010, AIAA 2010-142.²²
- Dunn, S. C., "Direction and Integration of EFD and CFD, a Summary of Two Panel Sessions," 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 2016, AIAA 2016-0896.²³

A document review and editing process was developed and implemented, as follows:

1. Review each document and collect (directly excerpt) the primary points and findings. Keep each comment exactly in the words of the author(s) and retain the original source via citation for each.

Please note that the document references use the above one through nineteen numbers (not where they fall out in references at the end of the document).

	Context	Provides the background for the current
		state of ground testing.
	GT Current State	Information pertaining to the current/recent state of ground testing.
	Computational Current/Future States	Information focused on CFD for now, in the future, and gaps/challenges.
Sections	GT Future State	Future projections of the state of ground testing; various or unspecified timing.
	Gaps/Challenges	Gaps and challenges GT, integrated GT/CFD and some CFD will be facing.
	Recommendations	Recommendations, including the integration of ground testing and CFD, from now to the future state.
	Approach to Testing	Test types, techniques, measurements, and instrumentation
	Integration of GT/CFD	Integration experimental testing and computational modeling
	Efficiency/Cycle Time Reduction	Efficiency improvements and cycle time reduction measures
Chong	Capability Sustainment/Maintenance	Sustaining existing capabilities, including maintenance and operations/maintenance integration
Groups (Within Each Section)	Capability Investment/I&M	Investment in existing or new facilities to produce new/advanced capabilities
Section)	Workforce/Staffing	All pertaining to workforce, staffing, people output/needs/initiatives
	Strategic Perspective	Management and leadership – how and why decisions were and might be made.
	Programmatic Drivers	Costs, funding, workloads, competition, government policies, industry needs.
	Systems Integration/Interfacing	The RDT&E process, integrating product elements, defect management, organizational interfacing.

Table 1 Organizing Information Excerpts into Sections and Groups.

- 2. Organize each comment into information groups, shown in Table 1. Some comments are included, as applicable, in multiple section/group categories.
 - a. Sections are the main categories for the gap analysis.
 - b. As the team sorted comments, a set of topical affinity groups emerged, as shown in Table 1.
- 3. Accomplish knowledge work (by the cited editors/authors of this paper) on each set of grouped comments:
 - a. Summarize key points and takeaways,
 - b. Add any needed commentary (context and voice of the FoGT), and
 - c. Identify any actionable recommendations.

The goal in collecting and organizing all this information was to capture the salient points each author was making and then to combine these points to describe a definitive range of current state with associated gaps and recommendations – expected to be relatively converged – and the range of possible future states with associated gaps and recommendations. The range of publications from different perspectives and different levels of effort/depth were expected to provide a (comprehensive / cohesive) mosaic of the current and future states.

The focus of this paper is experimental aeronautics ground testing and the papers chosen by the FoGT working group were ground testing related. There are overlapping disciplines that this effort only touches on that are crucial to the future RDT&E needs of the nation, including the following:

- Aeronautics computational development and application, including integration with experimental techniques. There is information in this paper on integration, but only to the extent the authors addressed in their publications.
- Experimental measurement and instrumentation capabilities development and application. This is foundational support discipline area for experimental ground testing and is barely addressed in this paper.
- Data collection, management, and use are also barely addressed. As experimental and computational capabilities continue to develop, more data will be generated and must be integrated from multiple sources to produce actionable information.
- Experimental flight testing provides the actual flow physics environment to validate development on the ground, but is typically expensive and capabilities and capacities are limited. This area was also only touched on in the study papers.

The editors recognize that this process was subjective, since the team selected the excerpts deemed to best represent the views and position of the study reference authors. The goal was to capture those views in summarized form and not to push or bias toward some preconceived viewpoint. The editors did include comments, noted separately in the tables, related to changes since a study document comment was published or to make editorial comments. While the excerpts are taken directly from the study reference documents, their abbreviated form could allow a different interpretation (without associated context) than the author(s) intended. The editing team strove to prevent different interpretations and takes full responsibility for any presentation flaws.

Since the excerpts often use abbreviations explained earlier in their respective documents, each unique abbreviation is spelled out at first occurrence in this paper. This paper also tends to use a few abbreviations interchangeably:

- CFD and CSE (computational science and engineering)
- EFD (experimental fluid dynamics) and GT (ground testing) and/or FT (flight testing)
- CFD is generalized for a range of computational methods.

IV. Document Takeaways

The excerpted comments were organized first into sections and then further into the topical affinity groups noted in Table 1. They were formatted as tables organized by section, group and original references. Since the documents were produced over a period of several years to address a variety of needs, the editing team reviewed each table and added comments to selected excerpts. The team then selected the main points/takeaways from each section/group, though not each section/group produced takeaways.

The editors see much value in the specific excerpted comments, but the tables are several pages long and not all readers will want to comb through the tables to find the takeaways. Therefore, the main points/takeaways are presented in this section and the full tables are included as Appendix 1. In these lists of points/takeaways, please note:

- Verbiage taken directly from the documents is in quotes; source references are cited.
- Statements with no quotes indicate inputs from the authors of this paper.

A. Context

Integration of GT/CFD

• In the context of CFD simulations, **Code Calibration** refers to, in most cases, the agreement between computational and experimental results. Calibration arises from the uncertainty in modeling complex physical processes.²⁴ **Verification** is the process of determining that a model (a representation of a physical system or process intended to enhance the ability to understand, predict, or control its behavior) and its implementation, accurately represent the developer's conceptual description of the model and the solution to the model.²⁴ **Verification Assessment** determines if the programming and computational implementation of the conceptual model is correct. Another attribute, is the examination of the mathematics in the models through comparison to exact analytical results. Examination of programming for computer programming errors is another aspect of verification assessment. **Validation** per the AIAA guideline is the process of determining the degree to which a model is an accurate representation of the real world from the perspective of the intended uses of the

model.²⁴ Validation Assessment is determining if the computational simulation agrees with physical reality. It examines the science in the models through comparison to experimental results.

- Uncertainty is defined as "A potential deficiency in any phase or activity of the modeling process that <u>is due</u> to the lack of knowledge" and **Error** is defined as "A recognizable deficiency in any phase or activity of modeling and simulation that <u>is not due</u> to the lack of knowledge."²⁴ The overall goal is to demonstrate the accuracy of CFD codes in order to use them with confidence for performing numerical aerodynamic simulation and furthermore, that the simulation might provide credible results for decision making in design.
- "The challenge today is how best to combine the use of CFD with wind-tunnel testing to improve the prediction of aerodynamic flight loads while reducing the development cycle time."⁹
- "... research efforts must establish to what degree these CFD tools can be trusted to replace wind-tunnel experiments for the purposes of predicting aerodynamic characteristics ..."
- "While high-performance computing (HPC) hardware is expected to continue to advance at a rapid rate, note that this advance in HPC hardware will not automatically translate into advances in predictive CFD, or more generally, into the multidisciplinary design optimization (MDO) capabilities that are required for the efficient design of aerospace systems."⁹
- CSE/CFD will advance when it is clearly advantageous to do so for particular application areas results (output quality and quantity), cost, timeliness, risk
- "If CSE could actually eliminate the use of wind tunnels in system development, the net gain to the acquisition program would be fractions of a percentage in cost savings. On the other hand, reducing the overall cycle time by merging CSE and wind tunnel testing could reduce total development cycle time by months to years, resulting in billions of dollars of savings."¹¹
- "The best way to minimize the impact of rework on cycle time is early discovery of defects. This will entail improvements in design methodologies employed by aircraft companies coupled with improvements in wind tunnel testing and modeling techniques."¹¹

Capability Sustainment/Maintenance

- "... the cost of maintaining required wind tunnel infrastructure, let alone developing new test capabilities, has been a very real and difficult challenge. Aging facilities, diminishing number of development programs, ineffective business models, diminishing national investment in aeronautical research and development, all combine to challenge those responsible for developing and maintaining this required capability."¹⁹
- "The third period the current period of decline started in the early 1990s with the end of the First Gulf War. A "peace dividend" was declared, producing a 40-percent budget reduction across the DoD, including AEDC (Arnold Engineering Development Center). A major shift in philosophy overtook the aerospace industry manifested as a shift from the early technical emphasis on "further, higher, faster" to a financial emphasis on "faster, better, cheaper." (Internal Reference) It has become a very competitive environment, with reduced budgets that have brought bottom-line-driven business people to top management positions."²⁰

Capability Investment/Improvement and Modernization (I&M)

- Hypersonic investment over recent decades has lagged, greatly impacting development of new products.
- "Development of a new test capability, in today's world, would require approximately a twenty-year effort."¹⁹ Difficult to define requirements for some date 10-to-20 years out and even harder to justify the expenditure when so many things are needed now. So, capabilities mostly remain either static or received incremental (not transformative) improvement.

Workforce/Staffing

- "A study was performed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Internal Reference) to understand whether the application of large-scale computer simulation to the design process would offset the inexperience of aircraft designers.... The key findings from the study were as follows:
 - There is a strong linkage between experience and performance;
 - o 70s-era design efforts outperformed 90s-era in weight management;
 - Test phase is an important downstream indicator of design performance test personnel understood design flaws through exposure to recurring problems;
 - Modern design tools are graphically compelling, but reduced experimental experience led to deficiencies."¹

- Since 2000, NASA aeronautics testing per person declined trade for maintaining existing expertise against investing in the future.
- NASA aeronautics researchers are a significant national asset for their contributions to test customer research and development.

Strategic Perspective

- "One of the major problems facing NASA's aeronautics program is that it has been directed to pursue a large number of goals, but it clearly lacks the resources to accomplish more than a few of them."⁶
- Treating capabilities as cost centers results in behavior that exacerbates the financial situation. Typically, a local or agency decision (in their stovepipe) to try to recover costs with reduced testing, often results in added risk to the product. Pinching local pennies can cause potentially big dollar (and time) impacts to product development due to defect migration.
- Aeronautics is crucial to the U.S. economy and jobs. Circa 2010: "Aviation contributes an estimated \$741 billion to the U.S. economy or roughly 5.6 percent of the nation's gross domestic product. Over 11 million jobs with \$369 billion in wages are estimated to be associated with the aviation industry. The aerospace products and parts sector is the largest U.S. manufacturing exporter . . .¹⁴
- "The system development cycle time from the Milestone B (MS-B) decision to design and build the system until the Initial Operational Capability (IOC) has dramatically increased over the last several decades until the time from MS-B to IOC for the Joint Strike Fighter is well in excess of 200 months."¹⁷
 - The history of improving GT throughput has not been nearly enough to offset the effects from complexity. A more revolutionary approach integrating EFD and CFD is indicated but progressing slowly.

Programmatic Drivers

- "As a percentage of the NASA budget, aeronautics research has declined from ~7 percent in 2000 to ~3 percent in 2010."⁶ NASA Aeronautics was 3.34% of the total NASA budget in FY2017.²⁵
- "NASA spends approximately 1.5% of CRV (current replacement value) on maintenance annually but the current industry guideline is 2% to 4% of CRV. Maintenance budgets would need to double to reach industry guidelines."⁷
- Research takes, typically, 10-20 years before it is manifested in a product. Looking at the huge reduction in NASA aeronautics research over the 2000s, consider the foundational and applied research that is missing going into the next ten years there has to be a significant impact.
- Defaulting to CFD for research or development because the tangible costs of experimental ground testing are deemed high is a false choice at the system level. There is a cost for CFD that is often ignored. And the researcher or developer having access to the right tool(s) should result in a better product.
- "In search of lower costs and modern wind tunnel technology, U.S. customers typically conduct 25 percent of their wind tunnel development at European facilities."¹³

Systems Integration/Interfacing

- "The major defects frequently found late in the development cycle for a flight system usually occur at the interface of major subsystems, e.g., aerodynamically induced structural failures."⁵
 - "... a structural flaw found very late in the development cycle of a fighter aircraft can easily drive a billion dollar plus bill when the time and cost to redesign, rebuild, retest, and modify production tooling are accounted for. On average, ten structural flaws of varying importance are discovered during developmental flight testing."²⁰
- Finding and fixing major defects early, which requires funding and expertise for experimental and computational testing, results in significantly lower time and cost in the development life cycle and, potentially, gains in product performance.
- "At the same time computer systems have advanced, so has the complexity of aeronautical systems. Over the last 30 years, expanded flight envelopes, super-maneuverability, super-cruise, low observables, and advances in materials technology have made it more challenging to model the physics of military flight systems."⁵

B. GT Current State

Approach to Testing

• "Currently, insufficient in-house technical expertise and analysis tools are available to support hypersonic flight-test planning and engineering analysis activities."¹⁸

Integration of GT/CFD

- "CFD validation against experimental data is crucial, and this is essentially why, for example, automobile companies have continued to build new wind tunnels, particularly for aeroacoustic considerations."⁹
- Experimental GT provides more than aero data in short amounts of time it can be "used to predict not only the performance, but also the operability, reliability, and maintainability of an aeronautical system."
- One element of cycle time reduction is the time it takes to design, fabricate, and verify wind tunnel models rapid prototyping and additive machining can significantly reduce fabrication time.

Workforce/Staffing

- "... there has been a significant decline in the experience base of aeronautical designers and developers ... the experience base for post-WWII engineers was approximately 6-12 new design aircraft per career.... In contrast, an aerospace engineer starting his career today may experience only one, maybe two new system designs during his or her career."⁵
- "It is recognized that the paraprofessional and craft workforces contribute immensely to the technical reputation of AEDC and require technical excellence to conduct their jobs."²⁰
- "The education system is becoming much more multi-disciplinary. Need young people with the ability to see the big picture. Meaningful work is important. Should not just have young people tracking down drawings, etc. The work must interest them."²³

•

Programmatic Drivers

- "The trend in number of programs (per decade) is a telling sign of the increased complexity and cost associated with aircraft programs."¹⁹
- Cost is king (at least to some). Not so long ago, technical excellence was first, often followed closely by schedule. Cost was important and managed, but not primary. It's always a trade between these three.

C. Computational Current/Future States

Integration of GT/CFD

- The current tools are mostly stand-alone and are not necessarily standardized across the ranges. The vision would be to have an automated suite of tools . . .
- The question is not can CFD give a great answer for one or two test cases, but can the CFD "processes" give good answers for a range of cases when run by a competent engineer?
- At the current state of technology, CFD engineers may spend much more time just setting up a computer job. Large database requirements (hundreds of thousands of individual flight conditions) may be obtained less expensively via wind-tunnel testing for a long time into the future.
- Uncertainty management already is a requirement for experiments and needs to be for CFD.

Capability Investment/I&M

- "Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem."⁹
- "Because the solution cost of high-order methods varies strongly with grid size, robust grid adaptation is needed to optimally distribute the grid and minimize the overall grid size."⁹
- Data management is one of the areas not addressed much in this paper, but must be addressed for EFD/CFD integration to proceed and to meet future development needs. More and more data is being generated; what is needed and how it will be managed must be defined before each test (experimental, computational, or both) campaign. Otherwise, unnecessary time and money will be spent and there is significant risk that needed data will not have been acquired.

Workforce/Staffing

Acquiring, processing, managing/organizing data, then putting it into a useful form (with quality verified) in a timely manner for the next step in a test is crucial. This role is critical to integrating EFD and CFD data.
 "Need 'data reductionists'..."²³

Programmatic Drivers

• Costing drives behavior that drive results. CFD has costs that are often managed differently than for experimental testing – experimental testing tends to have well defined costs while CFD may be inexpensive or "free" to the end user. This can drive bias toward using computational over experimental tools, which may or may not be the best tool selection for the researcher or developer.

D. GT Future State

Approach to Testing

- "Advances in data mining and data merging software as an integral part of the facility data systems to enable rapid analyses of the variances along response surfaces."⁵
- "Virtual presence, networking, and connectivity to achieve a fully integrated developmental and operational test (DT/OT) approach in an interoperable environment."⁵
- "With a vision of the future in mind, key capabilities and characteristics for a new (or upgraded) capability should include:
 - Multi-mission capability,
 - Moderate test sections size,
 - Advanced data mining capability,
 - Excellent test section optical access,
 - Ease of access and installation,
 - Highly automated testing,
 - Highly connected facility,
 - Ability to create model configurations on-site,
 - Energy efficiency, and
 - Expert staff."¹⁹

Integration of GT/CFD

- "Computational modeling will not replace wind tunnel testing in the foreseeable future." "Product complexity, flight-envelope expansion, risk aversion, and extensive flight-control systems are the additional drivers for the continued use of the wind tunnel."¹⁹
- "Except for flight-certification issues, CFD will soon be ready to replace wind tunnels for the attached-flow cruise conditions." Cost per point must also considered.
- It's about best value risk management based on the state of the particular system in development. The goal is to meet technical, cost, and schedule development goals. This means finding and fixing major and most minor defects early. So, design a test campaign (EFD and CFD) that best accomplishes risk management at the system level.

Capability Sustainment/Maintenance

• "Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities."²²

Workforce/Staffing

• Vision: "Predicting accurately the future wind tunnel test requirements, both in terms of capability and capacity, is difficult; but historical data trends indicate a strong need to maintain significant wind tunnel test expertise and capability."¹⁹

Strategic Perspective

- Research is the foundation for the future.
- Future capability needs must be based on future vehicle development needs.
- "The value of wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost."²³

E. Gaps/Challenges

Approach to Testing

- "An area where scaling effects are not well understood and CSE may have the potential for producing new insights is simulation of military tactical aircraft at high-angle maneuvering conditions."⁵
- ". . . there is not a capability to propulsion mode transition testing at supersonic and hypersonic Mach numbers."¹⁸ (Note that CUBRC can duplicate high enthalpy chemistry effects.)
- "At Mach numbers greater than 12, the gases begin to ionize. Dissociation and recombination can continue to occur in the flow boundary layer resulting in significant effects on the vehicle heating. Existing ground-test facilities can provide partial simulation."¹⁸ (Note that CUBRC can duplicate high enthalpy chemistry effects.)
- "The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict future engine performance to Mach 4 and above."¹⁸ Note that NASA Glenn PSL-4 facility is rated to Mach 4.0.²⁶

Integration of GT/CFD

- "CFD data can be used to determine initial structural sizing, to support wing trade studies, and to help scale the wind-tunnel database to flight conditions. However, CFD is not yet able to deliver the required degree of accuracy (low single-digit percentages over a wide range of conditions) and the throughput that is necessary to replace extensive wind-tunnel testing."⁹
- "The well-recognized challenges for CFD technology include:
 - Aeroelastic distortion
 - Boundary conditions (e.g., wind-tunnel walls, stings, and in-flight boundary conditions for higher fidelity simulations)
 - Turbulence modeling (e.g., formulations, variable constants, gross shortfalls in capability)
 - Predictions for drag (which are far less accurate than those for lift)
 - "Untrustworthy" results near the outer portions of the flight envelope
 - o Boundary-layer transition location(s)/locus, subsequent "paths to turbulence"
 - Discretization errors
 - Mismatches in (macro and micro) geometry, computation-to-experiment and application."9
- "While low speed (e.g., takeoff/landing) is a problem for CFD, supersonic speed is even more difficult because of the strong shock-boundary-layer interactions, and hypersonic speed is probably the most difficult of all because of the critical roles of boundary-layer transition, heat transfer, and chemical reaction."⁹
- "Perhaps the single, most critical area in CFD simulation capability that will remain a pacing item by 2030 in the analysis and design of aerospace systems is the ability to adequately predict viscous turbulent flows with possible boundary layer transition and flow separation present."
- "... some test facilities cannot replicate the turbulence found in nature as well."²³

Efficiency/Cycle Time Reduction

- "Defects discovered late during the development process not only increase cycle time but also can impact manufacturing costs if significant tooling and production have already occurred."¹¹
- "Improvements are needed in wind tunnels:
 - Efficiency

0

- Rapid prototyping
 - New measurement techniques
 - Unsteady flow measurements
 - Skin-friction measurements
 - Non-intrusive: Pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry."²³ Global surface and offbody measurements (such as pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry).

Capability Sustainment/Maintenance

- "Low-use facilities can be closed for long periods, but cost savings may be lower than expected and capabilities will degrade quickly."¹³
- "... those tunnel facilities that are seen to have low utilization will be in danger of closure, further degrading the nation's capability to maintain our global leadership in aerospace."²² Be careful of conflating usage with value.

Capability Investment/I&M

- A designed campaign of unit experiments is necessary for advancement in computational capabilities.
 - "In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue."⁹
- "... data storage media will not support future HS/H (high speed/hypersonic) system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks."¹⁸
- Need for CSE research to solve challenges like unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition.
- "Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, they are less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth, they are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-nominal readings caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system."¹⁸
- Future workforce will require the right combination of generalists (broad-based skills) and specialists (deeply skilled in specialty areas).
- Significant test capability gaps existing in wind tunnels and propulsion facilities relying on long-existing facilities plus improvements over time; examples noted include hypersonic speed and size limitations and propulsion turbine engine to scramjet transition test limitations.
- "Improvements are needed in wind tunnels:
 - Efficiency
 - Rapid prototyping
 - New measurement techniques
 - Unsteady flow measurement
 - Skin-friction measurements
 - Non-intrusive: Pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry."²³ Global surface and offbody measurements (such as pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry).

Strategic Perspective

- "... military systems which provide the most technically challenging environment for large-scale computing. Military systems fly over larger speed ranges and operating envelopes, have more intense integration issues such as low observable, weapons integration, buried inlet/engine configurations, etc. and have a much more challenging multiorganizational environment in which to implement CSE."⁵
- "Lack of explicit collaboration among turbulence researchers."¹
- "Comprehensive interagency management policies for aeronautics infrastructure do not yet exist."¹⁵ Local priorities and budgets are primary (stovepipes).
- "The challenge for developing an integrated roadmap for HS/H engineering development and test and evaluation (T&E) capability requirements is to identify the capability requirements for each discipline."¹⁸
- "The aerospace industry (both government and private sector) must adapt to a strategy of maintaining and operating key and critical wind tunnels as essential assets which insures the nation's leadership in the aerospace field."²²

Programmatic Drivers

- "... if funding continues to decline, NASA may not be able to claim aeronautics technology leadership from an international and in some areas even a national perspective."⁷
- "Despite numerous attempts at acquisition reform, the number of acquisition programs behind schedule and over costs continues to escalate."¹⁷
- Near-term budgets have driven much of the decision-making in recent decades. Facility usage is a key measure of value to the system in current terms. Suggest that capabilities be valued based on information generated for development and risk reduction of specific product classes, both current and projected. This becomes more of a strategic decision perspective.

F. Recommendations

Approach to Testing

- "A large static rig facility is needed for testing full-scale prototype rotorcraft systems."¹⁵
- "Basic data analysis and reporting should be considered an inherent cost of testing by the customer."20

• "We must analyze the wind tunnel in its environment with all interferences in order to understand it."23 The wind tunnel environment must be analyzed with all its initial and boundary conditions (e.g., inflow, walls, and interference effects) characterized. This is also critical for GT/CFD integration.

Integration of GT/CFD

- "... a vision needs to be created for innovative ways to bring CSE and testing together to have the maximum impact on the effectiveness of the development process."⁵ A major question is who will have the authority and the resources to lead an effort with maximum results as its goal? Does the US have the will to do this?
- "To successfully integrate CSE and testing will require advances not only in high-performance computing but in intellectual capital and process management as well."⁵
- "The proper national debate that needs to be held is not CSE versus test facilities. The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems..."⁵
- "... the national discussion at this stage should not be about shutting down test facilities in the near future because of HPC but about how to use HPC to increase the effectiveness of the aeronautical development process by reducing the design/acquisition cycle time."⁹
- "NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns."¹
- Could forensic investigations reveal root sources of some defects that could guide improved early test and computational campaigns?
- "The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems. Effectiveness in the context of this article means the ability to reduce the overall cycle time for development while minimizing the need for rework of late defect discoveries."¹¹

Efficiency/Cycle Time Reduction

• "Whether experimental or CFD, the concern is how long it takes to produce information for flight prediction; need to produce knowledge, not data. Whether experimental or CFD, the developer must know his customer's accuracy requirements which are becoming more stringent in many ways; e.g., cruise and pitching moment considerations."²³

Capability Sustainment/Maintenance

• Capabilities must have sustained investment or services will decline, even as needs (accuracy, data quality, timeliness, more) increase due to product complexity.

Capability Investment/I&M

- "The best way to minimize the impact of rework on cycle time is early discovery of defects. This will entail improvements in design methodologies employed by aircraft companies coupled with improvements in wind tunnel testing and modeling techniques. . . . Also, feedback loops from discrepancies found in flight testing back to ground testing and back to design methodology need to be institutionalized to make further improvements."¹²
- "An urgent need exists to reinvigorate research in computational science and engineering at NASA, as well as to provide access to the state-of-the-art computer hardware to NASA scientists."⁹
- "The most readily identifiable major investment need from our survey of users is associated with the hypersonic vehicle programs. Serious research challenges in hypersonic air-breathing propulsion (e.g., vitiated/non-vitiated issues in hypersonic propulsion facilities) may require new facilities and test approaches for breakthroughs to occur."¹²
- "A large static rig facility is needed for testing full-scale prototype rotorcraft systems."¹⁵
- "Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities."²²
- "A large-scale (16-ft square test section) (AEDC/16S) supersonic wind tunnel testing capability is needed for the development of expanded missile defense vehicles."²³

Workforce/Staffing

• "Efforts should be undertaken by the Air Force T&E Enterprise to share information on its technical excellence initiatives with the larger, national T&E and acquisition workforces."²⁰

• "Need 'data reductionists' and to design software to handle data."²³

Strategic Perspective

- "The proper national debate that needs to be held is not CSE versus test facilities. The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems."⁵
- "NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns."¹ If not NASA, who?
- "There is also the potential for sharing some of the same modeling methodologies between the structural analysts and the propulsion system designers."¹¹
- "NASA should work with the DoD to analyze the viability of a national reliance test facility plan, since this could affect the determination of the future minimum set of facilities NASA must continue to support."¹² Is the National Partnership for Aeronautical Testing (NPAT) enough?
- "The most critical issue is for NASA headquarters leadership to develop a specific and clearly understood aeronautics test technology vision and plan."¹²
- "Interdependencies and overlapping research goals among research agencies in the Federal Government create a need for closer cooperation and coordination of processes and facilities planning. Some of the barriers to improved cooperation can be lowered by leadership from the Federal departments and agencies that own critical infrastructure, the NSTC and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)."¹⁵
- The nation has lost a lot of test infrastructure over the last 25 years; often due to local situations. Was what was needed for the future retained? Suggest a formal view on what is needed and take actions to support and invest in the future.
- "The value of wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost."²³ Treat capabilities as tools, with their value based on contributions to product.

Programmatic Drivers

- "NASA aeronautics should aggressively pursue collaboration with the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the U.S. aerospace industry, and international aeronautics research agencies. NASA should adopt management practices to facilitate effective collaboration and treat external organizations as customers and partners."⁶
- "NASA aeronautics should become the nation's repository of flight research data and flight test results and should make these archival data readily accessible to key stakeholders—the engineers and scientists in industry, academia, and other government agencies."⁶
- "NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns."¹
- "The most critical issue is for NASA headquarters leadership to develop a specific and clearly understood aeronautics test technology vision and plan, to continue to support the development of plans to very selectively consolidate and broadly modernize existing test facilities, and to proscribe common management and accounting directions for NASA's WT/PT (wind tunnel/propulsion tunnel) facilities."¹²
- "Critical shortfalls:

Ground Test Facilities

- o Subsonic Acoustic Measurement and Low Turbulence Flow Test Facilities
- Hypersonic Materials Test Facilities
- Hypersonic Engine (Scramjet) Development Propulsion Test Facilities
- Turbine Engine Icing Test Facilities
- Turbine Engine Combustion Facilities
- Full-Scale Rotorcraft Test Facilities

Flight Test Facilities

- Transport Category Flight Test Aircraft
- Hypersonic Test Ranges
- Airborne Icing Capability
- High-End Computational Facilities
- High-End Computing Capacity"¹⁵

Systems Integration/Interfacing

- "... feedback loops from discrepancies found inflight testing back to ground testing and back to design methodology need to be institutionalized to make further improvements."⁵ If common defect roots could be identified, significant improvement to cycle time reduction could result.
- NASA aeronautics should aggressively pursue collaboration with the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the U.S. aerospace industry, and international aeronautics research agencies. NASA should adopt management practices to facilitate effective collaboration and treat external organizations as customers and partners.
- NASA aeronautics should become the nation's repository of flight research data and flight test results and should make these archival data readily accessible to key stakeholders—the engineers and scientists in industry, academia, and other government agencies.

V. Summary

Many members of the GTTC (and many people outside the GTTC) have long been concerned that critical RDT&E ground testing capabilities are at risk. Many, perhaps most, capability sustainment and investment decisions are made parochially. Compounding the situation is the often-parallel parochial sustainment and development of aeronautics computational techniques and tools. The nation lacks a consensus on what is and will be needed, contributing to a significant threat that ground test capabilities may not be able to meet the national security and industrial needs of the future. This paper is intended to provide information to decision-makers responsible for the support, development, and integration of these critical experimental and computational tools.

This summary of information was taken from twenty documents related to aeronautical experimental ground testing. Each document was reviewed, and key points and takeaway comments were directly excerpted. These were then organized en masse into sections structured as a gap analysis, then further into subject affinity groups. These were then placed into tables, with editorial comments added to select comments – included as the section tables in the Appendix. The authors then distilled these down into the primary points presented in the preceding Chapter II (Document Takeaways).

A number of overall observations and recommendations/future state needs emerged from Chapter II, which are summarized below:

Observations

- The US experimental ground test industry is coming out of a long post-cold war downturn. Research is down, maintenance has long lagged and is lagging, and investment in new capabilities is limited.
 - Hypersonic investment over recent decades has greatly lagged with boom-bust cycles, greatly impacting development of new products.
 - Staffing has become challenging in a number of ways, especially for hypersonics. Investment in technical excellence staff waned along with other sustainment and investment funding.
 - Significant test capability gaps existing in wind tunnels and propulsion facilities relying on longexisting facilities plus improvements over time; examples noted include hypersonic speed and size limitations and propulsion turbine engine to scramjet transition test limitations.
- The future of ground testing is an integrated, interdependent process with computational methods. It is clear to the authors and the FoGT working group that the future of ground testing is completely intertwined with the development and implementation of computational methods (and vice-versa).
 - It is very challenging to become expert in both EFD and CFD. This impedes the integration of the two. Two distinctly different cultures exist – a challenge that must be overcome to effectively integrate them.
- Uncertainty quantification (UQ) is more robust in experimentation, but computational UQ is improving.
- There is a move toward recognizing and valuing capabilities as tools sustaining and investing in the experimental and computational tools required to accomplish the research and development for different classes of aerospace products (as opposed to treating capabilities as cost centers).
 - Investing in improved test and measurement techniques on the basis of their return-on-investment (ROI) is likely a false flag increasing complexity largely offsets improvements. Investing in improved quality and information turnaround that support life cycle efficiency can be a significant positive.
 - Be careful of conflating usage with value.
 - CSE/CFD will advance when it is clearly advantageous to do so for particular application areas results (output quality and quantity), cost, timeliness, and risk.

- "The major defects frequently found late in the development cycle for a flight system usually occur at the interface of major subsystems, e.g., aerodynamically induced structural failures."⁵ Finding and fixing major defects early, which requires both funding and expertise for experimental and computational testing, results in significantly lower time and cost in the development life cycle and, potentially, gains in product performance.
- Experimental GT provides more than aero data in short amounts of time it can be "used to predict not only the performance, but also the operability, reliability, and maintainability of an aeronautical system."
- "It is recognized that the paraprofessional and craft workforces contribute immensely to the technical reputation of AEDC and require technical excellence to conduct their jobs."²⁰ This is true across the RDT&E workforce.
- Stove-piping has been and remains a significant issue in advancing both the computational and experimental state of the art. Research and development in experimental/measurement/sensing and computational techniques tend to be protected within the originating organization
 - o "Lack of explicit collaboration among turbulence researchers."1
 - "Comprehensive interagency management policies for aeronautics infrastructure do not yet exist."¹⁵ Local priorities and budgets (stovepipes) persist.
 - Another form of stove-piping is organizational and individual hand-off of product development in the DT&E process. Early cost cutting (resulting from reduced testing) can be rewarded, but could result in increased cost and schedule delays downstream due to defect migration.

Recommendations and Future State Needs

- Saving money on tests is not the right metric. Rather, the proper metric is acquiring enough information to improve quality, resulting in fewer defects and shorter product development times. It should also be noted that improved quality of the research process also positively affects product development.
 - "If CSE could actually eliminate the use of wind tunnels in system development, the net gain to the acquisition program would be fractions of a percentage in cost savings. On the other hand reducing the overall cycle time by merging CSE and wind tunnel testing could reduce total development cycle time by months to years, resulting in billions of dollars of savings."¹¹
- Data management/data fusion is not addressed much in this paper, but must be addressed for EFD/CFD integration to proceed and to meet future development needs possibly the most important element of integration. Rather than generating large amounts of data, sufficient planning for managing the data sets and leveraging computational strengths must be undertaken to develop fused data sets that go beyond what either resource can provide independently.
- "Computational modeling will not replace wind tunnel testing in the foreseeable future." "Product complexity, flight-envelope expansion, risk aversion, and extensive flight-control systems are the additional drivers for the continued use of the wind tunnel."¹⁹
- A designed campaign of unit experiments is necessary for advancement in computational capabilities.
- Future workforce will require the right combination of generalists (broad-based skills) and specialists (deeply skilled in specialty areas).
 - \circ "Need "data reductionists" and to design software to handle data." 23
- "The proper national debate that needs to be held is not CSE versus test facilities. The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems. ..."
- Capabilities must have sustained investment or services will decline, even as needs (accuracy, data quality, timeliness, more) increase due to product complexity. This includes experimental tools (wind tunnels, propulsion facilities, and measurement and sensing technologies), computational tools (hardware, software, and processes), and data management (acquisition, processing, mining, fusing, organizing, and information output).
 - Saving money on tool sustainment/investment and reducing testing in a trade with research and product quality directly relates to defect migration across the RDT&E life cycle. Early scope trades must be made against the overall product system costs, timing, and performance.
- "The value of wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost."²³ Treat capabilities as tools with their value based on contributions to research and product quality.

- Moving forward with GT/CFD integration as well as improvements in each discipline tends to be at the pace of individual organizations stove-piping from a combination of intellectual property protections, security limitations, and parochialisms (we paid for this and we're not giving it away) limit shared developments.
 - "NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns."¹ If not NASA, who?
 - "NASA aeronautics should aggressively pursue collaboration with the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the U.S. aerospace industry, and international aeronautics research agencies."⁶
 - "NASA aeronautics should become the nation's repository of flight research data and flight test results and should make these archival data readily accessible to key stakeholders—the engineers and scientists in industry, academia, and other government agencies."⁶ Again, if not NASA, who?
- Improved (new and better) test techniques are needed for verification and validation of codes and provide information that may lead to new theories that can be numerically solved in the future.
- Additive manufacturing and rapid mesh/grid generation have the potential to provide rapid responses to new information shortening the research time required for new designs and concepts.
- Data security was only touched on in this paper but is recognized as a pressing investment requirement, especially as test campaigns become integrated experimentally and computationally, in real time, across geographic locations.

The authors and FOGT working group team are encouraged with significant recent progress in workforce investment, increasing test workload, advancing computational technologies, and the recognition that GT is a resource to be maintained at a strategic level along with the need for continued CFD development. NASA's new funding model and collaboration through both NPAT and AIAA continue towards charting a path forward that uses GT and CFD efficiently and effectively to support aerospace product development and acquisition. It is hoped that this paper, through consolidation of primary points and key recommendations from industry subject matter experts, will provide ideas and guidance to industry leaders as they position capabilities, organizations, and processes to meet aerospace RDT&E needs for the next 30+ years.

Appendix

The following tables contain the grouped comments that were identified as key points/takeaways from the twenty source documents. The comments are organized into sections and groups within sections. They are sorted within each group by the source document from which each comment was obtained. Additionally, the editors added comments to selected material based on current conditions and an RDT&E system perspective.

A. Context

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments
Approach	1	To a large part, the added speed and storage of the hardware has been consumed by increasing the fidelity and complexity of the class of problems in hand. This increase in fidelity is required to improve the accuracy of the solutions to put them on par with wind tunnel and flight testing data.
Approach	5	It is important to note that verification can only be conducted for idealized situations (linear partial differential equations, smooth solutions, uniform grids, and so on) which are never present in realistic simulations. Further, most theoretical properties are in terms of rates, such as the rate of mesh convergence or of iterative convergence, and there are few absolutes that can be "verified" in such a manner.
Approach	11	Critical shortfalls: Ground Test Facilities Subsonic Acoustic Measurement and Low Turbulence Flow Test Facilities Hypersonic Materials Test Facilities Hypersonic Engine (Scramjet) Development Propulsion Test Facilities Turbine Engine Icing Test Facilities Turbine Engine Combustion Facilities Full-Scale Rotorcraft Test Facilities Flight Test Facilities Transport Category Flight Test Aircraft Hypersonic Test Ranges Airborne Icing Capability High-End Computational Facilities
GT/CFD Integration	1	To further advance CSE (computational science and engineering) into the development process will require a clear advantage to the program manager relative to better quality of data, lower costs, reduced risk, or reduced cycle time. Editor: Note that CSE and CFD (computational fluid dynamics) are terms taken directly from the reference documents. They are essentially used interchangeably in this paper to represent aero computational modeling and simulation.
GT/CFD Integration	1	The list of physics modeling and simulation. The list of physics modeling challenges that inhibit the robust application of CSE is legend. The classical problems are turbulence modeling, boundary-layer transition, and flow separation.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The challenge today is how best to combine the use of CFD with wind-tunnel testing to improve the prediction of aerodynamic flight loads while reducing the development cycle time.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The impact of CFD is that much less commercial transport business is available to the wind-tunnel facilities. The picture is considerably different from a military perspective, where configurations tend to be different and include many compromises to the aerodynamics for multidisciplinary reasons. Editor: Work is migrating from experimental to computational as computational improves and becomes more viable – commercial transport development is one example.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The substantial role of CFD in configuration screening and refinement, particularly at cruise conditions for transport aircraft, was recognized as contributing to a reduction of about 50 percent in the number of required testing hours for such applications.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The use of CFD tools by aerospace engineers will require the determination of how well these computational tools predict important design characteristics of the target aerospace vehicles. In other words, research efforts must establish to what degree these CFD tools can be trusted to replace wind-tunnel experiments for the purposes of predicting aerodynamic characteristics
GT/CFD Integration	5	While high-performance computing (HPC) hardware is expected to continue to advance at a rapid rate, note that this advance in HPC hardware will not automatically translate into advances in predictive CFD, or more generally, into the multidisciplinary design optimization (MDO) capabilities that are required for the efficient design of aerospace systems.
GT/CFD Integration	5	Engineering program managers will willingly adopt a CFD-based design process, depending on how well CFD improves the process in four general areas: Quality (accuracy), Schedule (time), Cost, Risk Editor: CSE/CFD will advance when it is clearly advantageous to do so for particular application areas –
		results (output quality and quantity), cost, timeliness, risk

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments
GT/CFD Integration	8	At hypersonic conditions, additional physical phenomena such as real gas chemistry, conjugate heat transfer, wall catalicity, shock/shock interactions, etc., create significant problems for CSE. Compounding the physical modeling issue is the dearth of qualified experiments and test facilities to explore the physics and provide sufficient high quality data to validate and verify the models.
	0	Editor: Outside of transonic cruise, CSE/CFD has chaininges.
Integration	0	acquisition program would be fractions of a percentage in cost savings. On the other hand reducing the overall cycle time by merging CSE and wind tunnel testing could reduce total development cycle time by months to years, resulting in billions of dollars of savings.
		Editor: Integrating CFD/EFD (experimental fluid dynamics) gains much more from cycle time reduction than saving from reduced experimental testing. Additional clarification: the term EFD is used interchangeably with experimental testing throughout these tables.
GT/CFD Integration	8	Turbulence modeling may be one of those intractable engineering problems that cannot be solved with higher performance computing.
		Editor: There are significant computational physics modeling challenges that will limit CSE/CFD application.
GT/CFD Integration	8	Typical applications include pretest planning to ensure optimization of the test facility and instrumentation; support to real-time data analysis and decision making; analysis of potential wind tunnel effects such as support or wall interference; extrapolation of wind tunnel data to flight conditions; support to flight testing, particularly weapon separation; and support to operational flight issues that occur after a system has been developed.
		understand flow characteristics
GT/CFD Integration	17	The development of a successful aircraft or weapon system requires the assurance of airframe- propulsion system compatibility early in the development cycle to prevent costly engine instabilities or performance deficiencies in fielded systems Computational tools are under development to bridge the gap between the inlet testing and engine testing. Computational support will provide understanding of the flow field, as well as of the cause and effect relationship between inlet and engine.
		Editor: CFD is used and being developed to complement experimental testing at the system (propulsion, airframe) by identifying additional system interactions typically not identified until flight testing
GT/CFD Integration	17	Under the general umbrella of aeromechanics, store integration, aerodynamic performance, inlet performance, and jet effects have become intensive areas of growth at AEDC. Over the past two decades aircraft store integration has become the most intensive area of growth for computations and evaluation. This has come about primarily through the marrying of the wind tunnel with modeling and simulation (M&S) using the IT&E methodology.
		Editor: Can AEDC's experience in merging CSE and GT tools be used as a framework for future efforts to determine the most effective combination?
Efficiency	5	While high-performance computing (HPC) hardware is expected to continue to advance at a rapid rate, note that this advance in HPC hardware will not automatically translate into advances in predictive CFD, or more generally, into the multidisciplinary design optimization (MDO) capabilities that are required for the efficient design of aerospace systems.
		Editor: Gains in computational efficiency advances have been offset by increasing modeling complexity fidelity. Also, a trend in wind tunnel testing – better models, more component testing – complexity offsets efficiency gains.
Efficiency	5	Engineering program managers will willingly adopt a CFD-based design process, depending on how well CFD improves the process in four general areas: Quality (accuracy), Schedule (time), Cost, Risk
Efficiency	8	Advances in the software engineering tools have not kept up with the promises of peta-scale computing. More importantly, the people and processes required to truly revolutionize the use of CSE in the development process have not been considered as part of the approach to CSE applications.
Efficiency	8	Using CSE to reduce cycle time will have a greater overall influence on decreasing program costs and justifying CSE applications than any other cost-cutting strategy. Trying to justify CSE only as an offset to testing misses the best business case, since testing is only a small fraction of development costs.
Efficiency	8	The best way to minimize the impact of rework on cycle time is early discovery of defects. This will entail improvements in design methodologies employed by aircraft companies coupled with improvements in wind tunnel testing and modeling techniques.
Efficiency	15	A test hour in 1950 produced far less "data" than a test hour today.
Sustainment	4	Historically, there has been no penalty for cancelling or delaying tests. This is especially true now given surplus capacity. Facilities may also have an incentive to overestimate their demand in order to receive extra annual funding or maintain staffing levels. As a result, aggregating individual facility forecasts have been consistently higher than actual use.
Sustainment	4	We estimate total capacity of a wind tunnel by analyzing need for maintenance and upgrades, available workforce, number of work-shifts the tunnel can operate, and other characteristics.

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments
Sustainment	5	Just as wind tunnels have been closing for past several decades for the myriad of reasons mentioned above, the support for CFD research has been in steady decline for almost as long at the three NASA aeronautics research centers (Ames, Glenn, and Langlev).
Sustainment	9a	WT (wind tunnel)/PT (propulsion tunnel) facilities are vulnerable to budgetary shortfalls when utilization falls.
Sustainment	15	Development, investment, and maintenance of wind tunnel infrastructure occur over a very long period of time, greatly exceeding the ability to predict the actual "need" for the capability and capacity. Editor: It's hard to predict the future when designing new facilities; old facilities tend to have margin and new ones not so much
Sustainment	15	the cost of maintaining required wind tunnel infrastructure, let alone developing new test capabilities, has been a very real and difficult challenge. Aging facilities, diminishing number of development programs, ineffective business models, diminishing national investment in aeronautical research and development, all combine to challenge those responsible for developing and maintaining this required capability. Editor: The bean counters have taken over!
Sustainment	16	with diminished opportunity to develop the next generation of test experts through hands-on test experience and reporting, this world-class expertise had a predictable shelf life.
Sustainment	16	The third period – the current period of decline – started in the early 1990s with the end of the First Gulf War. A "peace dividend" was declared, producing a 40-percent budget reduction across the DoD, including AEDC. A major shift in philosophy overtook the aerospace industry manifested as a shift from the early technical emphasis on "further, higher, faster" to a financial emphasis on "faster, better, cheaper." (Internal Reference) It has become a very competitive environment, with reduced budgets that have brought bottom-line-driven business people to top management positions.
Investment	8	Another cultural dynamic that impedes the successful application of CSE to major programs is the lack of understanding that one needs to invest in a capability before taking the promised gains.
Investment	14	A high-level notional assessment of the nation's current integrated T&E capability to support HS/H weapon system development is presented Editor: Context and approach – a way to graphically show attribute comparison; this shows goodness of
Investment	14	During these four decades, the lack of investment in hypersonic test technologies and facilities has prevented the testing community from keeping pace with the testing needs of hypersonic S&T programs and projected system applications.
Investment	15	Development of a new test capability, in today's world, would require approximately a twenty-year effort.
Investment	19	It is difficult for ground test to compare with "free" computer time and three-year cycle computer systems that are refreshed for much improved capability.
Workforce	1	 A study was performed at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Internal Reference) to understand whether the application of large-scale computer simulation to the design process would offset the inexperience of aircraft designers The key findings from the study were as follows:: There is a strong linkage between experience and performance 70s-era design efforts outperformed 90s-era in weight management Test phase is an important downstream indicator of design performance – test personnel understood design flaws through exposure to recurring problems Modern design tools are graphically compelling, but reduced experimental experience led to deficiencies. Editor: Tools may produce pretty pictures, but the understanding of the physics behind the pics is not as robust. However, a skilled experimentalist can glean new understanding from these CFD results.
Workforce	1	there has been a significant decline in the experience base of aeronautical designers and developers. As shown in a RAND study, (Internal Reference) the experience base for post-WWII engineers was approximately 6-12 new design aircraft per career. The number of new military aircraft program starts per decade is shown in In the 1950s there were 60 aircraft programs in various stages of development. In contrast, an aerospace engineer starting his career today may experience only one, maybe two new system designs during his or her career.
Workforce	2	the NASA aeronautics budget has shrunk by approximately 40 percent from 2006 to 2011. (Internal Reference) However, during this same period, the NASA aeronautics civil servant workforce dropped from 1,449 employees in 2006 to 1,371.5 in 2011, or approximately 4 percent Thus, a major decrease in funding occurred, but civil servant staffing remained essentially unchanged the civil service salaries now represent a much greater proportion of NASA's aeronautics budget than they did in 2006.
Workforce	8	Advances in the software engineering tools have not kept up with the promises of peta-scale computing. Editor: This has a workforce element – computational tools and expertise are not keeping pace with hardware development.
Workforce	9a	A significant theme from users and test facility operators alike was the importance of a knowledgeable, skilled, and motivated workforce to complement the technical hardware of the facility. Hardware alone is not enough. Users also noted the value of having active researchers on hand at NASA R&D facilities as a major advantage.

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments	
		Editor: Workforce is critical – "the importance of a knowledgeable, skilled, and motivated workforce to complement the technical hardware of the facility."	
Workforce	19	A national will and leadership is needed to sustain funding to get young people into an area like aerodynamics.	
Strategic	1	Another cultural dynamic that impedes the successful application of CSE to major programs is the lack of understanding that one needs to invest in a capability before taking the promised gains.	
		Editor: 1) Investment is up front and along the way; gains typically occur after initial operating capability is demonstrated and verified. In the meantime, whatever exists that is fielded must continue to be	
		supported. 2) The migration of work from experimental to computational is based on the assurance that computational can provide needed (quality) information. Investment is necessary to develop, field, and	
Strategic	2	One of the major problems facing NASA's aeronautics program is that it has been directed to pursue a	
		Editor: Challenge area: Larger scope than budget	
Strategic	7	Every Euro invested in aeronautics R&D creates an equivalent additional value in the economy every year thereafter.	
		Editor: One element of a positive financial business case.	
Strategic	8	it needs to be recognized that the aeronautical development community is very conservative. Their design and development processes have been refined over generations of applications and are intended to reduce risks. Coupled with the forecast for fewer major aeronautical system developments, it will be challenging to have the industry perform a significant overhaul to their processes, no matter how attractive CSE appears.	
		Editor: Aeronautical technologies are catalysts for innovation and spill-over into other economic and technological sectors, thus contributing to the growth. Yet, the will and ability to transform processes tends to be limited, extending the time to develop and implement CSE.	
Strategic	9a	Flight testing plays a dominant role during final refinement, validation, and safety verification of a production aircraft flight testing complements but does not replace WT/PT facility testing.	
		Editor: Very little in our paper on flight test integration; this is a significant area of need.	
Strategic	9a	when the Air Force experimented with recovering full costs from 1969 to 1972, AEDC found that their prices became inherently unstable and unpredictable the test workload decreased dramatically, which, in turn, drove up overhead costs and initiated a positive feedback loop that continued driving up prices and driving away users.	
		Editor: An important lesson. Did we learn from it?	
Strategic	9a	It is important to retain perspective on the magnitude of NASA's WT/PT (wind tunnel/propulsion test) facility costs relative to the investment value of the aerospace vehicles they enable or support.	
		Editor: Key point – WT/PT facilities are tools for research and some development that undergirds the product pipeline for the nation's entire aeronautics industry.	
Strategic	9b	Foreign Reliance Might Be a Plausible Future Step, but Security Concerns Would Need to Be Addressed.	
Strategic	9b	Lack of federal investments in new, more advanced facilities is forcing the retention of aging and sometimes inferior infrastructure for strategically important capabilities	
Strategic	10	Aviation contributes an estimated \$741 billion to the U.S. economy or roughly 5.6 percent of the nation's gross domestic product. Over 11 million jobs largest U.S. manufacturing exporter.	
		Editor: (2010 numbers)	
Strategic	11	Historically, Federal departments and agencies that own or manage part of the aeronautics RDT&E infrastructure have independently planned, programmed, and budgeted for their own RDT&E facility	
		Educes and needs. Editor: Stovepiped organizations often make decisions in their own best interests rather than from a national need perspective. Combined with near-term, budget-driven decision-making, capabilities needed for the future, including skills, are lost	
Strategic	11	decisions involving investment in the infrastructure, divestment of infrastructure assets, and scheduling of competing workload in those assets are normally based on individual department or agency priorities.	
Stratagia	12	Luitor, while studenty provide time from the Milestone P (MS P) designs to design and build the	
Strategic	13	system until the Initial Operational Capability (IOC) has dramatically increased over the last several decades until the time from MS-B to IOC for the Joint Strike Fighter is well in excess of 200 months.	
		Editor: Note how this and the Boeing decrease in wings tested conflict	
Strategic	18	Boeing decreased the number of wing configurations in wind tunnel testing from seventy-seven to five between 1980 to 2003.	
		Editor: Note how this (reducing test scope) and the preceding Joint Strike Fighter development time (increasing) conflict	
Strategic	19	If the wind tunnel is not there, we must build extra margin into the vehicle at increased cost and risk.	

Group	Doc Bof #	Excerpted Material and Comments	
Strategic	19	People are in the mode of protecting what they have. It's the wrong question. The real question is, what	
Decement	4	facilities do we need?	
Programmatic	1	capacity is primarily budget driven Editor: Declining budgets since the 1990's have resulted in many facility closures, many of which have	
		resulted in reduced capacities (and less redundancy for key capabilities).	
Programmatic	1	Minimizing potential weight growth of the airframe structure to account for defects discovered inflight can	
		between the various subsystems is a contributor to late cycle churn and program delays.	
Programmatic	2	As a percentage of the NASA budget, aeronautics research has declined from ~7 percent in 2000 to ~3 percent in 2010	
Programmatic	3	Based upon 2005 through 2009 budgets provided by NASA Headquarters, expenditures for:	
		Basic Research decreased by 23 percent or \$542M Applied Research decreased by 47 percent or \$042M	
		 Development increased by 78.7 percent or \$2750M 	
Programmatic	3	NASA spends approximately 1.5% of CRV on maintenance annually but the current industry guideline is	
		2% to 4% of CRV. Maintenance budgets would need to double to reach industry guidelines.	
		for maintenance effectiveness. Equipment condition, functioning, and readiness is key. Look at these	
Programmatic	4	currently; maintenance limitation may be the cause of deficiencies.	
riogrammatio	•	50,000	
		Change from 2007 to 2004	
		25,000 - Change Hom 2003 to 2004 04 to 05	
		Change from 2005 to 2006	
		20,000 - Change from 2005 to 2007	
		E 15,000 - Change from 2007 to 2008 08 to 09	
		001010	
		10 to 11	
		10,000	
		DoD	
		5,000	
		Commercial	
		0	
		2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 Projection Projection	
		NASA Wind tunnel Test Hours and Percentage of Test Hours for FY2003 to FY2011	
		Editor: The 2000s into the 2010's were challenging for capability sustainment. The later 2010's are	
		picking up. AEDC test load is up dramatically and the NASA new funding model deployed in FY2017 has	
Programmatic	5	Since 1980, NASA LaRC (Langley Research Center) alone has closed 12 hypersonic tunnels, 7	
		transonic tunnels, and 3 subsonic tunnels. Seventeen of these have been closed, demolished, or abandoned since 1995	
		Editor: Managing decline [Aligns with AEDC's coasting phase and period of decline]	
Programmatic	7	Air vehicles are subject to very long R&D cycles (up to 20 years). Research efforts need to be based on	
Programmatic	9a	Based on our research, we find that 84 percent of NASA's WT/PT facilities are technically competitive	
Drogram - t'	0-	and effective with state-of-the-art requirement.	
Programmatic	яа	prices became inherently unstable and unpredictable because large infrastructure-driven cost had to be	
		spread over an annually variable customer workload base. Also, test customers were not given time to	
		decreased dramatically, which, in turn, drove up overhead costs and initiated a positive feedback loop	
Drogrommetia	00	that continued driving up prices and driving away users.	
Frogrammatic	98	to serve national needs: alignment with national needs, technical competitiveness, redundancy, and	
		usage.	

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments				
		Editor: This is in fundamental disagreemen	nt with NASA direction	tives to align capab	ilities with NASA	mission
Programmatic	9b	In search of lower costs and modern wind of their wind tunnel development at Europe	In search of lower costs and modern wind tunnel technology, U.S. customers typically conduct 25 percent of their wind tunnel development at European facilities."			
Programmatic	11	This identification of the most critical shortfalls in the present Federal aeronautics RDT&E infrastructure is the first crucial step toward addressing infrastructure deficiencies that may hinder progress toward the				
Programmatia	11	goals and objectives of the National Aeron	autics R&D Plan.	politico infrastructu	ura da pat vat a	viat It ia
Tiogrammatic		difficult to prioritize national RDT&E needs given the existence of different budget pro Congressional committees. Challenges the Competing authorization and appropri- present legal and procedural barriers	at may impede interior at the sharing of r	artment and Agency cy goals that are of eragency cooperatic amongst the various esources:	y) boundaries, pa ten reviewed by s on include: s D&As, which ma	rticularly separate ay
		 Lack of imperative or incentive to prio inconsistently or intermittently used b needed; 	ritize and ensure t ut that remain critic	he availability of fac cal in those instance	ilities that are es when they are	
		 Lack of consistent cost accounting all accounting practices that hinder shari Federal users; High pacts for infractructure construct 	nd usage policies of ing of agency reso	driven by individual urces and raise and	D&A budgeting a access barrier for	nd • non-
		 Ingricosis for infrastructure considering institutional barriers when considering of the owning agency's mission. 	the allocation of i	nfrastructure resour	ces to priorities c	outside
Programmatic	13	The system development cycle time from t until the Initial Operational Capability (IOC	the Milestone B (M) has dramatically	IS-B) decision to de increased over the	sign and build the last several deca	e system des.
Programmatic	15	Program data requirements vary dramatica operational limits (Mach, AOA, AOS), we other configurations, etc.	ally and are affecte apons or carriage	d by factors such as requirements, high	configuration cor lift systems, sim	nplexity, nilarity to
Programmatic	15		1950	2007	% Change	
		Test hours/program	1746	40444	2300%	
		Total Data Runs (/1000)/program	2.88	241.6	8300%	
		Test Efficiency Changes (runs/hour)	1.7	6.0	350%	
Programmatic	16	One of the key factors contributing to cost been the lack of maturity of new technolog	and schedule ove jies injected into th	rruns in major acqui le system design.	isition programs I	าลร
	10	Editor: Might the reduced research of the	2000s be a signific	ant contributing fac	tor?	
Programmatic	16	foo much emphasis on improving the efficience fewer personnel.		aster and cheaper te	ests, rewer racilitie	es,
		50%.	990s at AEDC, the	e goal was repeated	ly to cut station k	eepers
Programmatic	18	the number of major U.S. test facilities period (1985 to 2009).	has been reduced	by nearly 50 perce	ent within a 24-ye	ar
Programmatic	10	Editor: Automotive facilities are not represe	ented and blosson	ned at the turn of the	e millennia 21 facilities open	and
Togrammatic	15	free for us to use. If you have more demar decide who gets the time.	nd for test time that	n you have time ava	ailable, let manag	ement
		Editor: This is difficult, as there are costs to One possible path is the mutually beneficia receive benefit.	o bear and outside al cooperative test	e users should bear ing, where both part	some of this burd ties contribute an	den. d
Programmatic	19	We don't know how to measure the infrast What accounts most for this lack of unders	ructure cost of CF standing: accountir	D (high-end computing practice difference	ters, personnel, e ces for CFD and (etc.). GT,
Systems Integration	1	The major defects frequently found late in interface of major subsystems, e.g., aerod	the development of ynamically induced	cycle for a flight syst d structural failures.	tem usually occur	at the
		Editor: This is a major key point, contributi ultimate product performance.	ng to the extended	development times	s and costs, as w	ell as
Systems Integration	1	At the same time computer systems have Over the last 30years, expanded flight env and advances in materials technology hav	advanced, so has velopes, super-mai e made it more ch	the complexity of a neuverability, super allenging to model t	eronautical syster -cruise, low obse he physics of mil	ms. rvables, itary
		Editor: Similar for ground testing – efficien been offset by complexity. Doesn't mean in product requires more work.	cy gains and impro t's not worth it, but	oved test and meas instead of realizing	urement techniqu cycle time gains	ies have , the

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Comments
Systems Integration	8	Airframe/structure integration is arguably the most important of the integration issues that need to be resolved early in the design cycle.
		Editor: Noted above is that this is where major defects are found late in the development cycle – a major driver for improved testing and modeling earlier during design formulation.
Systems Integration	11	Historically, Federal departments and agencies that own or manage part of the aeronautics RDT&E infrastructure have independently planned, programmed, and budgeted for their own RDT&E facility resources and needs. Over time, the interdependence of the Federal agencies for specific types of infrastructure has increased as technology has advanced, as development has become more complex, and as research focuses for individual D&As have changed.
		Editor: Stovepiping; noted earlier
Systems Integration	13	In spite of increases in testing efficiencies, a nominal wind tunnel campaign requires 22,000 Occupancy Hours in an array of wind tunnels and 6-8,000 sorties for the flight test campaign. The typical wind tunnel campaign requires nominally 4 years while the typical flight test program requires 6-8 years – a total of 10-12 years of developmental testing cycle time.
Systems Integration	13	Surprisingly, wind tunnel campaigns are traditionally designed around test hours, not test points. That is why a fourfold increase in test productivity generated by the wind tunnel community in the 1990s had essentially no impact on reducing the number of wind tunnel hours for the F-35 program. (Internal References)
		Editor: Test hours are an artifact from earlier times – easy to measure and correlate with staff man hours and (roughly) power usage. Not usually a very good measure of value.
Systems Integration	15	The need for much more data can be attributed to a wide range of factors including much higher performance aircraft, the advent of digital flight control systems (and the need for extensive data for flight control law development), the increased desire to avoid the high cost and risk of flight test development, and integration of aerodynamic and propulsion systems.
Systems Integration	16	a structural flaw found very late in the development cycle of a fighter aircraft can easily drive a billion dollar plus bill when the time and cost to redesign, rebuild, retest, and modify production tooling are accounted for. On average, ten structural flaws of varying importance are discovered during developmental flight testing.
Systems Integration	17	Design and fabrication of the wind tunnel model may take several months and often include subcomponent tests to ensure that the model will provide the appropriate level of data quality.
		Editor: Model design and fab typically comes after much of the product design is complete and supports testing to provide (or confirm) both system level and detail designs. So this time can be crucial, impacting the product development critical path.

B. Current State

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Editorial Comments
Approach	14	Currently, insufficient in-house technical expertise and analysis tools are available to support hypersonic flight-test planning and engineering analysis activities.
		Editor: Boom-bust cycles and general neglect (specialized market with more limited monetization potential) has impacted; currently trying to ramp up and reconstitute. Will this build into the future or until the next bust?
Approach	18	Adequate tunnel facilities are still available to address normal low-speed testing.
Approach	18	Facilities to test transports, high-altitude ISR, and time-critical-to-target platforms are reaching critical mass; and they are in need of major upgrades or maintenance to continue operation. In addition, to answer the government's challenge of advancing our technology to reduce energy consumption, reduce noise impact, and improve vehicle performance, these major tunnel facilities will need to support non-traditional concept entries requiring unique or innovative test methodology.
Approach	19	Customer accuracy requirements are becoming more stringent.
		Editor: With few to no new facilities, this requires investment in existing capabilities to improve to meet these requirements.
GT/CFD Integration	5	CFD validation against experimental data is crucial, and this is essentially why, for example, automobile companies have continued to build new wind tunnels, particularly for aeroacoustic considerations.
		Editor: See earlier statement
GT/CFD Integration	8	Increasing the use of CSE versus testing is a two-edged sword relative to the technical talent involved in aeronautical system development. On the one hand, visual output from high-fidelity models provides unprecedented insight into flow features that cannot be obtained in any other way On the other hand, having a generation of engineers experienced only in the "zeros and ones" of advanced modeling has the downside of limiting real understanding of the physics of the problem, especially when extending into realms beyond the physical fidelity of the model.
		Editor: Engineers must understand the physics and drivers of variabilities (what's inside the black box). This tends to come from experimental experience.

GT/CFD	8	advanced computer-based control systems in modern military aircraft actually drive a need for even
GT/CFD Integration	8	What is frequently overlooked by the CSE community desiring to replace testing is that test facilities are used to predict not only the performance, but also the operability, reliability, and maintainability of an aeronautical system
Efficiency	17	One of the latest improvements that has reduced costs is the use of computer systems on the moveable wind tunnel test sections; this innovation permits investigators to check out a test article without disconnecting and reconnecting leads when the wind tunnel test begins. One of the newest techniques enabling faster acquisition of data is the use of continuous sweep.
Efficiency	17	The polars per operating hour of 16T have nearly doubled in the last 20 years.
Workforce	18	Tools such as "rapid prototyping" and high-speed machining are being used to significantly reduce both the cost and time required for model fabrication.
		Editor: This is important in addressing cycle time concerns expressed in Table 10 for time to design and fabricate models.
Workforce	1	there has been a significant decline in the experience base of aeronautical designers and developers the experience base for post-WWII engineers was approximately 6-12 new design aircraft per career. In contrast, an aerospace engineer starting his career today may experience only one, maybe two new system designs during his or her career.
Workforce	9a	For most aeronautic testing, WT/PT facilities remain the most viable and cost-effective tools for predicting aeronautic behavior.
Workforce	14	insufficient in-house technical expertise and analysis tools are available to support hypersonic flight- test planning and engineering analysis activities. These activities include test engineering, test operations, and M&S capabilities over diverse flight regimes and test environments.
Workforce	16	It is recognized that the paraprofessional and craft workforces contribute immensely to the technical reputation of AEDC and require technical excellence to conduct their jobs. Editor: This is very important – it takes many skill types to produce a successful ground test, including different types of engineers, crafts/technicians/operators, software developers, and other support
Workforce	16	Administrative workload on technical workers decreases time to develop technical skills, gain hands-on experience, and/or document technical activities.
Workforce	19	The education system is becoming much more multi-disciplinary. Need young people with the ability to see the big picture. Meaningful work is important. Should not just have young people tracking down drawings, etc. The work must interest them. Editor: Specialist vs generalist – this is a real concern as the direction of skill needs points toward people
		with at least working skills in both experimental and computational disciplines while also still needing subject matter experts in each. How does this align with the culture of the current generations?
Workforce	19	We have training regimens, but it takes years of experience to become an expert. It's difficult enough without trying in another discipline.
Strategic	14	Test capability technical needs and timing have been focused on potential flight systems that may result from the S&T technologies being pursued by the NAI program plan. (Internal References)
Strategic	16	In reviewing the almost six-decade history of AEDC, the teams found that several key attributes that historically contributed to building technical excellence are still in evidence: A. Excitement in and innovation potential of the mission B. Organizational and leadership emphasis on technical excellence C. Opportunities for the staff to be technical and create knowledge D. Technical collaboration E. Focused emphasis on developing technical people F. Knowledge archiving Editor: Broadly applicable? Several of these things were reduced (benefits long-term) in the 1990s and
		2000s as budgets were reduced and are actively being restored and reinvigorated as they are recognized as being critical for technical excellence.
Programmatic	3	The innovation and advanced technologies required to advance aeronautics, explore the outer planets, understand the beginnings of the universe have been severely restricted by the short-term perspective and funding.



C. Computational Current/Future

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Editorial Comments
Approach	1	a commercial aircraft company owns the entire design and development process, maintains its own databases and tools as a competitive edge, and sustains a critical mass of experienced practitioners.
		Editor: More stovepiping.
Approach	6	CFD modeling capability grows to capture the required scope and physics to answer the questions raised during testing the expense of responding to often unplanned technical surprises that drives conservatism into aerospace designs, and is a significant motivation for improving the accuracy and speed of CFD.
		Editor: Defining the rules/measures are key to understanding when "good" is good enough (relative to conservative designs).
Approach	13	This technology thrust is referred to as the "Digital Twin" with a long term goal of using physics-based modeling and probabilistic analysis to forecast the life cycle by part number and by aircraft tail number of structural elements with the intent to reduce the cost of Operations and Sustainment.
		Editor: An important tool that will become more important, even ubiquitous. Relates to performance, operability, maintainability, life cycle analyses, training, and more. Also provides bridging between computational and experimental techniques. At the present time, these tools are used more for mechanical applications at the system level
Approach	13	The "Digital Thread" as envisioned in the Global Horizons report and AF Engineering Enterprise Strategic Plan is intended to expand the principles of MBE (model based engineering), industry's digital thread, and the Digital Twin to encompass the entire life cycle from early research through development planning, design and manufacture, testing, operations, sustainment, and training
Approach	14	The current tools are mostly stand-alone and are not necessarily standardized across the ranges. The vision would be to have an automated suite of tools integrated with flight prediction models, IIP (instantaneous impact predictor) models, debris dispersion models, and flight simulators.
		Editor: Stovepipes. Vision will require both cost sharing and developing a process that protects intellectual property while supporting common platforms and tools.
GT/CFD Integration	1	More importantly, the people and processes required to truly revolutionize the use of CSE in the development process have not been considered as part of the approach to CSE applications.

		Editor: Has there been too much focus on tools? Application requires people that understand both the
		hysics and tools. A difficult balance to strike
GT/CED	1	The question is not can CED drive a great answer for one or two test cases but can the CED "processes"
Integration		give good answers for a range of cases when run by a competent engineer?
GT/CFD	1	Industry chose to stay at modest levels of computing capability in the 1990s and rely on government
Integration		investments to have access to larger systems National capabilities may need to be scheduled for
integration		dedicated applications to major systems in development much as government national wind tunnels are
		scheduled. There may not be enough peta-scale computing capacity at the national level to simultaneously
		support the S&T and aeronautical engineering community during a major DoD development Clearly, a
		strategy for providing sufficient capacity as well as a CONOPS to support design and development of
		systems will be required to enable any potential success for large-scale application of CSE to the
		development process.
		Editor: Combination of stovenining, letting government foot the hill, and industry focus on development
GT/CED	5	Can engineering data be obtained more cheaply with the use of CED over alternative sources?
Integration	5	Can engineering data be obtained more cheaply with the use of of b over alternative sources:
GT/CED	5	At the current state of technology, CED engineers may spend much more time just setting up a computer
Integration	Ŭ	ich Larre database requirements (hundreds of thousands of individual flight conditions) may be met
integration		cheaper via wind-turned testing for a long time into the future
		independent wind turner testing for a long time into the rotation.
		Editor: This is probably not intuitive to most. For example, CFD can't build the S&C database in a timely
	F	mannel. The hulk is not all of the CED is been done poplinger methods including full potential with opunled hourdary.
GT/CFD	Э	The burk, in tot all, of the CFD is based on nonlinear metriods including full potential with coupled boundary
Integration		layer, Euler, and KANS. To be able to expand the use of CFD over the entitle night envelope, considerable
		progress in agonum, physics modeling, and naroware technology is still necessary.
07/055	_	Editor: Last sentence summarizes the challenge
GI/CFD	5	Is the degree to which CFD data can be trusted understood?
Integration		Editor: Again, what defines when good is good enough?
GT/CFD	5	The trade-off between CFD and wind-tunnel test data is not yet clear for new, revolutionary configurations.
Integration		
GT/CFD	6	Emphasis on physics-based, predictive modeling. In particular, transition, turbulence, separation,
Integration		chemically-reacting flows, radiation, heat transfer, and constitutive models must reflect the underlying
		physics more closely than ever done before.
		Editor: CFD will need future experiments to do this.
GT/CFD	6	Management of errors and uncertainties resulting from all possible sources: (a) physical modeling errors
Integration		and uncertainties addressed in item (Internal Reference), (b) numerical errors arising from mesh and
-		discretization inadequacies, and (c) aleatory uncertainties derived from natural variability as well as
		epistemic uncertainties due to lack of knowledge in the parameters of a particular fluid flow problem.
		Editor: Uncertainty management already is a requirement for experiments and needs to be for CFD.
GT/CFD	6	in 2030 many of the aerospace engineering problems of interest will be of a multi-disciplinary nature
Integration		and CFD will have to interface seamlessly with other high-fidelity analyses including acoustics, structures,
•		heat transfer, reacting flow, radiation, dynamics & control, and even ablation and catalytic reactions in
		thermal protection systems.
GT/CFD	8	Quick turnaround computing to support interactive design is essential. This intensity of schedule, accuracy,
Integration		and volume cannot be supported by competing in a queue with S&T projects (i.e., a dedicated facility will
-		be required).
		Editor: Important points -1) having a range of computational tools to match needs, not one size fits all that
		takes too long and 2) having the adjity to interact on a near real-time basis with ongoing experiments (and
		not having to wait in the processing line with nonrelated work).
GT/CFD	9a	The technology is not vet considered reliable for predicting the characteristics of the complex separated
Integration		flows that dominate many critical design points for an aircraft.
GT/CFD	18	Future CFD applications will evolve towards multidisciplinary studies for system design and
Integration		optimization. Moving control surfaces, computational maneuverability, and vehicle systems with integrated
°,		propulsion are all prime application areas of interest. The net effect of this new generation of applications
		will be an increasing reliance on CFD modeling for aerodynamic design and systems optimization where
		wind tunnel modeling cannot be so easily or cost-effectively applied.
		Editor: As noted before. CED will transition when it makes sense and GT will move into more of a support
		(verification and some physics investigations) role
GT/CFD	19	As we move from a conventional tube plus wing to blended bodies, there is less confidence in CFD.
Integration	-	
GT/CFD	19	Complex CFD solutions require a lot of computing time for high-fidelity results.
Integration		
GT/CFD	19	CFD tools in recent years: 1) It can't handle highly integrated problems yet. 2) This equates to need for
Integration	-	more and more complex experimental testing, for fewer data points, but with higher accuracy, 3) The wind
		tunnel element of EFD (i.e., GT) is used for validation now, but are moving away from developmental roles
		to characterization.
GT/CFD	19	Our culture is that the wind tunnel is always correct compared to CFD.
Late matters	1	

		Editor: Wind tunnels are another form of simulation; real physics that may or may not align with actual flight physics.
GT/CFD	19	You can get whole properties when you conduct CFD.
Integration		Editor: CFD will always give you an answer. Again, how good?
Efficiency	5	With another 10 to 20 years of Moore's Law advancements and assuming that research in relevant numerical and flow physics issues is successful in developing an accurate turbulence-modeling approach for complex flows, CFD could primarily replace wind tunnels for off-design conditions.
Efficiency	8	CSE does, however, offer significant potential to impact the overall wind tunnel campaign in three significant areas. First, and most importantly, CSE can be used to reduce the overall workload. Second, CSE, if applied appropriately, can reduce downstream effects of late defect discovery on total development cycle time. Third, CSE can be used to integrate major subsystems earlier in the development cycle avoiding late integration issues.
Investment	5	An urgent need exists to reinvigorate research in computational science and engineering at NASA, as well as to provide access to the state-of-the-art computer hardware to NASA scientists.
		Editor: Investment. The recent move to explore supersonic modeling and simulation utilizing experiments at the 4ft UPWT (Unitary Plan Wind Tunnel) at NASA Langley would seem to be a strong move in support of this statement. This also should result in improvements in the experimental capabilities.
Investment	5	Because the solution cost of high-order methods varies strongly with grid size, robust grid adaptation is needed to optimally distribute the grid and minimize the overall grid size. Therefore, the success of high-order-methods technology requires advancement in efficient solvers, as well as high-fidelity grid generation and adaptation.
Investment	5	In order to advance the state of the art of computational technology for aeronautics applications, CFD
Investment	5	Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem.
Investment	6	Despite considerable past success, today there is a general feeling that CFD development for single and multi-disciplinary aerospace engineering problems has been stagnant for some time, caught between rapidly changing HPC hardware, the inability to predict adequately complex separated turbulent flows, and the difficulties incurred with increasingly complex software driven by complex geometry and increasing demands for multidisciplinary simulations.
Investment	6	An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Editor: Data management is one of the areas not addressed much in this paper, but must be addressed for EFD/CFD integration to proceed and to meet future development needs. More and more data is being generated; what is needed and how it will be managed must be defined before each test (experimental, computational, or both) campaign. Otherwise, unnecessary time and money will be spent and there is
Investment	0	significant risk that needed data will not have been acquired.
mvesunent	0	take the forecasted savings from M&S up front usually by diminishing the resources for testing. The need to invest in and implement the CSE tools to support the projected savings is usually not budgeted. As a consequence, the modeling and the testing efforts in support of system development both come up short, leading to further skepticism about M&S in general.
Workforce	19	Need "data reductionists" and to design software to handle data. Editor: Must define the problem to know exactly what data is needed and potentially how to get it
Strategic	6	Lack of explicit collaboration among turbulence researchers. Editor: This may be a bit dated, as some efforts are ongoing; still, stovepipes driven by IP, costing, who benefits, and other concerns persist.
Strategic	6	NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns.
Strategic	19	Need capabilities for both computationalists and aerodynamicists to understand each step of the process; must not expect each participant to understand every detail of developing the information. Editor: Need both specialists and generalists; also need to ensure some "generalists" are fluent to a fair depth in both areas.
Strategic	19	Need to have people from both worlds (experimental and theory) available to the aerodynamicist. We obtain much data from experiments, and orders of magnitude more from CFD.

D. Future State

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Editorial Comments
Approach	1	 Some of the attributes required for upgrades to current facilities or for future test facilities include: Ability to install and de-install test articles in minutes to support high-frequency, short-duration tests focused in areas where primary uncertainties exist and to optimize use of design of experiments (DOE).

		 Ability to rapidly prototype and manufacture models reflecting design changes that are instantly transmitted by customers of ground-test facilities to their test partners using the latest incompatible CAD/CAM and model shop tools and materials. Ability to efficiently modify test conditions or proceed through a test point matrix to minimize energy usage while reflecting to a maximum extent DOE considerations. Convenient and thorough optical accessibility for flow diagnostics tools Connectivity to high-performance computing capabilities to integrate and merge CSE simulations and test data. Advances in data mining and data merging software as an integral part of the facility data systems to enable rapid analyses of the variances along response surfaces. Virtual presence, networking, and connectivity to achieve a fully integrated developmental and operational test (DT/OT) approach in an interoperable environment. Editor: Upgrades subject to local (or, maybe, agency) budgets and priorities. Lots of needs, so will need organization/coordination and prioritization within and probably across organizations (where capabilities are shared) to get these in place when needed as budgets allow.
Approach	13	 the AF Research Laboratory Materials and Manufacturing Directorate has been pursuing the development of technologies focused on applying physics-based modeling to the manufacturing, operations, and sustainment of aircraft structural elements. This technology thrust is referred to as the "Digital Twin" with a long term goal of using physics-based modeling and probabilistic analysis to forecast the life cycle by part number and by aircraft tail number of structural elements with the intent to reduce the cost of Operations and Sustainment. Editor: NASA and industry also have ongoing efforts for the development and use of digital twins in a variety of applications. Probably some great collaboration opportunities!
Approach	13	The "Digital Thread" as envisioned in the Global Horizons report and AF Engineering Enterprise Strategic Plan is intended to expand the principles of MBE, industry's digital thread, and the Digital Twin to encompass the entire life cycle from early research through development planning, design and manufacture, testing, operations, sustainment, and training Editor: A seemingly significant challenge is integrating digital twins from multiple sources. Another is accomplishing the DT&E process with this embedment into the digital thread in its process design.
Approach	14	The need for highly productive cold-flow facilities will continue to exist as before. Even though CFD is advancing there will continue to be a need for WT facilities to verify & validate CFD results.
Approach	14	HS/H aircraft systems that operate within the atmosphere for minutes and hours will require an entirely new type of testing with associated testing capability. Editor: There is nothing in sight as far as the editing team knows and there are long lead times for new
Approach	14	Some of the desired test facilities must themselves be supported by research to investigate new approaches for energy addition and materials/cooling techniques to permit containment of the required high-pressure, high-temperature test gases. Editor: As with CFD, experimental capabilities require improvements to meet future needs (including management and instrumentation capabilities)
Approach	14	The development of improved suites of tools is required to aid in the decision making process. The current
Approach	15	 With a vision of the future in mind, key capabilities and characteristics for a new (or upgraded) capability should include: Multi-mission capability, Moderate test sections size, Advanced data mining capability, Excellent test section optical access, Ease of access and installation, Highly automated testing, Highly connected facility, Ability to create model configurations on-site, Energy efficiency, and Expert staff.
GT/CFD Integration	1	it is not envisioned that industry will invest in peta-scale computing resources even though the unit cost of computing is dropping dramatically. Industry chose to stay at modest levels of computing capability in the 1990s and rely on government investments to have access to larger systems. (Internal Reference) Consequently, high-fidelity peta-flop computer systems will be limited to a few federal sites for the foreseeable future.
GT/CFD	5	Product complexity, flight-envelope expansion, risk aversion, and extensive flight-control systems are the additional drivers for the continued use of the wind tunnel.
GT/CFD Integration	5	A case for the closure of any wind tunnel, at least in the next 20 years, cannot be made based on the premise that CFD capability will advance sufficiently to obviate the need for the wind tunnel. This is true for commercial as well as military systems. Editor: Prove first; don't close on a promise.

GT/CED	5	Although computational fluid dynamics (CED) is not expected to replace wind tunnels in the part 20 years
Integration	5	Autougn computational noise dynamics (or b) is not expected to replace while unities in the next 20 years,
megration		afficiency due to the availability of much faster computing hardware will facilitate the increased use of CED
		in the design of aerospace vehicles
		Editor Dequine investment for improvement in both EED and CED
	F	Eation. Requires investment for improvement in both EFD and Crob.
GI/CFD	Э	Engineering program managers will willingly adopt a CFD-based design process, depending on now well
integration		Cro improves the process in four general areas.
07/055	_	
GT/CFD	5	Except for flight-certification issues, CFD will soon be ready to replace wind tunnels for the attached-flow
Integration		cruise conditions.
		Editor: Progress has been made to certify by (WT) test or certify by CFD for derivative or similar designs.
GT/CFD	5	the target is to reduce the aircraft development process to one design cycleThe alternative is more
Integration		risk that must be resolved in flight or the abandonment of potentially good concepts that entail too much
		unresolved risk.
		Editor: It's about best value risk management based on the state of the particular system in development.
		The obvious goal is to catch major and most minor defects early. So, design a test campaign (EFD and
		CFD) that best addresses needs (technical first, then schedule, then cost), which provides the best
		outcome for all three at the system level.
GT/CFD	5	Given the massive advances in computing that are expected, the question is not if but when CFD will
Integration		essentially replace nearly all wind-tunnel testing. This question will be faced by all aeronautical testing
•		facilities, if not in two decades, then in three to five decades.
GT/CFD	5	The use of CFD for early concept development and phenomena investigation complements the use of
Integration		experiments for gathering the large volume of data that are needed to develop any significant product.
GT/CFD	5	This study concludes that, based on current and projected machine shortfalls with respect to turbulence in
Integration		complex separated flows, wind tunnels will still be required; in fact, additional wind-tunnel testing will be
U U		required both to adequately foster the development of appropriate turbulence models and to subsequently
		build confidence in these models.
GT/CFD	5	Useful quantum computing is projected to be possible in a couple of decades. The availability of quantum
Integration		computing would obviate the need for most physical testing, including the use of wind tunnels.
GT/CFD	5	CED computations can be made fast enough to soon replace wind tunnels for aero-database generation
Integration	Ũ	for aircraft cruise design. While no flow physics issues will likely require the use of wind tunnels for this
		flow regime, flight certification procedures will have to be changed to eliminate the need for wind tunnels
		in the cruise design.
GT/CFD	8	The integration of multidisciplinary data is key to developing this aggressive approach to minimizing data
Integration		requirements.
GT/CFD	9a	Although CFD has made inroads in reducing some empirical test simulation requirements, CFD will not
Integration		replace the need for test facilities for the foreseeable future.
GT/CFD	11	The RDT&E infrastructure of the future will routinely allow adaptive integration of physical and simulated
Integration		components
GT/CFD	15	Computational analysis, long touted to replace wind tunnel testing, continues to play an important and
Integration	_	synergistic role in the development of air vehicles, but currently cannot simulate all flight conditions and
		cannot produce the large volume of data required. Computational modeling will not replace wind tunnel
		testing in the foreseeable future.
GT/CFD	15	Evolution of the aircraft design process will require a more integrated and streamlined design/analysis/test
Integration	-	process that requires careful and deliberate integration of computational tools with wind tunnel testing.
5		Developing skilled practitioners capable of using both tools effectively is a key.
GT/CFD	16	Integration of CFD and Ground/Flight Testing (includes risk management during research and product
Integration		development): Re-engineering including:
		Early integrated use of high-fidelity physics modeling
		 A complete reevaningtion of the system ground-test approaches
		 Development of Improvement testing methodologies and process for scaling of ground testing
GT/CED	17	Ground testing, flight setting, and modeling and simulation must continue to be fused into a mustine by
Integration	17	addressing the evolution of analytical models flexibility of the processes and judicious consideration of
Integration		the data from previous tests and models. The systematic utilization of these information sources will allow
		customers to benefit from a procedure that is seemless and easily used. In order for this to occur the
		evolution of analytical models into flavible processes that enable the application of any of these models at
		any noint in the system development is needed
GT/CED	18	CED will increasingly complement wind turned data acquisition requirements
Integration	10	diber "Complement" and integrate
otion	4.5	Editor: Complement and Integrate.
GI/CFD	18	Wind tunnel testing's purpose is then to provide an anchor point with which to validate predictions or to
	40	provide incremental corrections to existing designs instead of helping to drive the design.
GT/CFD	18	more and more, test programs will consist or CFD simulations, coupled with wind tunnel testing in an
Integration		Integrated tashion, to help reduce cost and shorten schedules.

		Editor: Expectation caution – efficiencies have been getting outpaced by increasing complexities. A more important outcome might be improved information quality resulting in better risk management.
GT/CFD Integration	18	Within the aerospace community, wind tunnel testing will continue to be utilized to validate predictions, populate databases, and provide an anchor point for baseline concepts.
GT/CFD	19	CFD 2030 predicts that turbulence modeling can't be mastered in the next 20 to 30 years when computers
Integration		are expected to become more capable.
		Editor: To include software tools, integrated CFD/EFD tools and measurements, improved gridding, and the people making the inputs.
Efficiency	15	While test efficiency has increased significantly, the need to maintain a robust capability for effective wind
		tunnel testing will continue well into the 21st century. It is anticipated that new air vehicle programs
		for much of the data.
Efficiency	18	High-speed machining coupled with ever improving CAD design capabilities will be another important
		contributor to future reductions in model span time and cost.
Sustainment	15	Editor: Additive manufacturing will have a similar impact.
Sustainment	15	time, greatly exceeding the ability to predict the actual "need" for the capability and capacity.
Sustainment	18	"Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities."
		Editor: Key point.
Investment	1	Furthermore, older facilities will eventually reach a point where they become too costly to sustain and
		upgrade, and building new construction is more cost effective.
		on a much shorter time scale.
Investment	14	A proposed solution would look at redesigning how data are "encrypted" to meet security standards. Raw
		to each measurement are known, the data cannot be comprised.
		Editor: Data security is a key issue: not addressed in this paper.
Investment	14	A proposed solution would need to determine the feasibility of nonintrusive instruments to measure
		products of combustion and/or velocity, as well as set up a feasibility study of more advanced nonintrusive instruments to measure in-stream products of combustion and/or velocities
		Editor: The entire area of instrumentation and measurement is beyond the scope of this paper and requires
		its own study.
Investment	15	With a vision of the future in mind, key capabilities and characteristics for a new (or upgraded) capability should include:
		Multi-mission capability.
		Moderate Test sections size
		Advanced data capability
		• Excellent test section optical access for application of developing on- and off-body flow visualization
		and measurements.
		Ease of access and installation. Highly automated testing
		 Highly connected facility.
		Ability to create model configurations on-site.
		Energy efficiency.
	4.0	Expert staff
Investment	18	"Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities."
Investment	10	Editor: As the time to field a new design designate requirements for future systems.
		(UAVs), the entire aerospace industry will have to adapt to a new rapid pace benchmark.
Investment	18	What capabilities will be needed and how will they need to work and be managed?
		Anchor point limited wind tunnel test
		Data mining and Real time quantitative and visualization of on and off body flow fields.
		 M/T would need large speed ranges with flow visualization at any speed, adaptable to various types
		of testing and be highly automated to reduce the need for model changes.
Investment	19	A large-scale (16-ft square test section) (AEDC/16S) supersonic (hypersonic?) wind tunnel testing
		capability is needed for the development of expanded missile defense vehicles.
		the authors) is planned for FY19. Note that previous study indicates that 16S appears feasible to operate
		up to Mach 6, making it a 16-ft hypersonic tunnel (16H). ²⁷
Workforce	15	Predicting accurately the future wind tunnel test requirements, both in terms of capability and capacity, is
		and capability.

-		
Workforce	17	The role of the analyst and test team is multifold. The team must be available and readily accessible to offer the customer system evaluation support at any time and/or phase of the acquisition process. This support is needed from concept exploration through the operational use of the developed systems
14/ 17	47	support is needed non-concept exploration introdgin the operational use of the developed systems.
vvorktorce	17	test planning, matrix optimization, and pretest predictions will be an ongoing focus. Online data analysis, data validation, and real-time matrix modifications will continue to be refined. This will be coupled with postfest data evaluation and the integration of data with analytical models for increased accuracy and
		efficiency. Increased interaction between ground simulation and flight test protocols will aid continued improvements in test planning support as well as pretest predictions. At the end of testing, posttest analysis and the systematic investigation of anomalies will further the accuracy of the testing process.
Workforce	18	and the systematic investigation of anomalies with further the declarge of the testing process.
Otratagia	-	
Strategic	5	A case for the closure of any wind tunnel, at least in the next 20 years, cannot be made based on the premise that CFD capability will advance sufficiently to obviate the need for the wind tunnel. This is true for commercial as well as military systems.
		Editor: Again, readiness must be proven to some defined level and closure not based on a promise.
Strategic	9a	 Anticipated strategic needs include the following: Space access —subsonic through hypersonic speeds and recent efforts for air-breathing hypersonic propulsion. Commercial transports — subsonic through supersonic and their propulsion systems
		 Military vehicles —subsonic through supersonic vehicles and their propulsion systems. Military weapons— supersonic through hypersonic missiles and their propulsion systems.
Strategic	9a	No vehicle classes have been eliminated from future needs, and each class will continue to require
2		empirical prediction of airflow behavior across a range of design considerations. Even beyond the existing programs, it is clear that the country will eventually need to produce each existing vehicle class.
		Editor: Important point when trying to figure out what to close. Conops for EFD is changing with advancing CFD capabilities. Decisions must be made based on risk management for the development of new vehicles across the class ranges.
Strategic	9b	The facilities most detrimental to close would affect any strategic national need from all sectors-NASA
		research, civil aviation, military, and space—not just NASA research needs.
		Editor: NASA research supports many national needs.
Strategic	9b	Thus, the aeronautics prediction capabilities required to produce these vehicles (no matter their production
		rate) must be preserved or be able to be regenerated in a timely manner, or the country will risk losing the ability to produce them without dependency on foreign cooperation and access to their test capabilities.
Strategic	10	the R&D goals and objectives will require stable and long-term foundational research across a breadth of aeronautics disciplines to provide the underlying basis for new technological advances and breakthroughs.
Strategic	16	Development of an optimum integration of S&T and T&E capabilities for inserting new technologies into acquisition development programs should result in:
		program resulting in much less overrun in the RDT&E costs and cutting cycle time by reducing the number of late defect discoveries
		Delivery of more war fighting capability for a given budget
		Production of significant dividends for future recapitalization of the Air Force
		Acceleration of the maturation of critical technologies for war fighting capabilities
		Better utilization and sustainment of T&E capabilities.
Strategic	18	Divestment of redundant and nonessential test infrastructure is required to focus limited resources on critical capabilities and new infrastructure requirements.
	- 10	Editor: See above statement (vehicle classes). Limited funds is a function of choices. Closing obsolete or unneeded infrastructure is necessary, as long as the decisions are based on system level needs.
Strategic	18	In emost local nuture scenario will include nightly integrated computational and physical simulation capable of registion of expected physical simulation capable
		or table evaluation of concepts and comparisons. Robest and reliable CFD modeling simulation will be used to evaluate and narrow the design options to a chosen few Papid wind tupped model design and
		fabrication would begin taking advantage of light-weight easily workable and high-strength materials to
		manufacture modularized model parts for testing. Wind tunnels would be readily available with capabilities
		spanning a large speed range and flow visualization at any speed. efficient data gathering process
		(hardware and software), automation that reduces model changes, and adaptable to various types of
		testing, i.e., aero, propulsion, loads, and noise. Entries in the tunnel will be shorter and more rapid,
Otratasia	10	providing focused physical validation of analytic estimates and, where appropriate, volumes of data required for extensive control law and flight envelope expansion.
Strategic	19	rine value or wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost.
rogrammatic	5	we must note that during the stated time period (i.e., 20 years) only a few new commercial airplanes
		adhere to essentially the same basic design paradium that presently exists.
		Editor: How will new breakthroughs bannen?
Programmatic	14	Over-land flight capability is critical for the viability of future HS/H testing and operations for military access
····	1-7	to space and hypersonic vehicles.

Programmatic	14	To fulfill the purpose of national space policy and national space transportation policy, suitable hypersonic corridors for air-launched, generic, combined-cycle, and hypersonic vehicles need to be identified.
		Editor: Important for hypersonic systems development. Again, this needs much more assessment and attention beyond what this paper covers.
Programmatic	15	Development of a new test capability, in today's world, would require approximately a twenty-year effort. Acquisition of capital investment funds to accomplish significant maintenance and repair of existing facilities can take upwards of ten years planning. Both of these span times far exceed the ability to accurately predict the future "need" for test capabilities.
		Editor: So, this approach not likely. What is another approach that can deliver a capability in a shorter timespan for less cost? Or do without.
Programmatic	18	"Divestment of redundant and nonessential test infrastructure is required to focus limited resources on critical capabilities and new infrastructure requirements."
Programmatic	18	 As wind tunnel facilities and capabilities decrease and test costs almost certainly increase, a. programs become forced to choose between accepting increased vehicle development risk through limited testing, b. utilizing foreign test assets, or c. forgoing testing altogether and committing to full dependency on computational modeling.
		Editor: Or quit.
Systems Integration	1	Most defects occur at the interface of major subsystems. Current practices generally address system integration issues later in the development process which maximizes the amount of rework required (and increases associated costs) if a defect is discovered.
	<u> </u>	Editor: Needs clarifying comment Foot stomper!
Systems Integration	5	For aircraft manufacturers, the target is to reduce the aircraft development process to one design cycle. This will require significant improvements in both CFD and wind tunnels The alternative is more risk that must be resolved in flight or the abandonment of potentially good concepts that entail too much unresolved risk.
		Editor: Strong push to accomplish certification by analysis – integrates with earlier development, reducing time and cost for flight testing. Requires a new risk management paradigm and associated assessment and proof.
Systems Integration	9a	Because of high costs and instrumentation limitations, flight testing complements but does not replace WT/PT facilities.
	L	Editor: Integration with flight testing
Systems Integration	11	The RDT&E infrastructure of the future will routinely allow adaptive integration of physical and simulated components, dynamically creating geographically distributed real-time systems of systems.
Systems Integration	17	the application of multidisciplined computational methods and powerful computing capabilities, in concert with a ground testing and flight testing IT&E approach, will make it possible to simulate and evaluate the aerodynamics, aeroacoustics, structural response, heat-transfer characteristics, store separation qualities, and electromagnetic, or stealth, characteristics of a vehicle prior to flight.

E. Gaps

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Editorial Comments
Approach	1	An area where scaling effects are not well understood and CSE may have the potential for producing new insights is simulation of military tactical aircraft at high-angle maneuvering conditions.
Approach	1	CSE can be an invaluable tool to ensure better use of ground-test facilities to preclude design defects from finding their way into the flight-test program
Approach	5	 Editor: CSE is complementary to GT facilities in detecting design defects early in the development process. accounting for certain effects, such as the influence of free-stream disturbances through their effect on transition, is not possible
Approach	5	These scaling issues can in turn be influenced by other flight-to-wind-tunnel differences
Approach	5	 Wind-Tunnel-to-Flight Scaling Issues Wind tunnel walls: solid, porous/slotted, adaptive, open jet. Aeroelastic distortion differences: specific wind-tunnel/model conditions versus flight. Sufficient Reynolds number scaling: especially critical for transonic flows, longitudinal vortices, large transitional flow influences (separation, hypersonics). Stream disturbance fields: vorticity dynamics, acoustics, entropy spottiness, particulates, and, especially, influence(s) on transition. Model mounting influences: sting, strut, wire (e.g., rear, side). Stream gross unsteadiness, of special concern for buffet. Installed propulsion influences or lack thereof: various propulsion simulators/effects. Geometric fidelity: potential criticality of even minor differences in flight to ground, including curvatures and second derivatives, difficulties in scaling small features, boundary-layer tripping, and trip drag. Stream mean distortions/inhomogeneities. Leakage/spillage/efflux differences.

		11. Wall-to-total-temperature ratio, humidity.
		12. Differences flight to ground in instrumentation details (i.e., nature, locations, and accuracy),
		including variability of the various/multitudinous transition detection schemes and approaches, and
		data-reduction errors.
	4.0	13. High-energy, high-density effects for hypersonics.
Approach	10	Foundational research provides the "building blocks" of a technology base to successfully address the
Approach	13	The mathematics of the DOE methodology helps assure the optimum data set is taken
Approach	15	Editor: The opproach to using OCE with oversimptal tot fosilities must be optimized based on that
		calling performance observations. Dec provides 05% confidence level for the development of the
		database while OFAT may be more susceptible to bias and uncertainty errors – this can be a trade-off
		with the time each version can take.
Approach	14	some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies
Approach	14	A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems.
Approach	14	Also, there is not a capability to propulsion mode transition testing at supersonic and hypersonic Mach
		numbers.
Approach	14	Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds,
		minutes, or tens or numbers or num time will be required for operability and durability testing.
		Editor: Part of the reason they are short duration facilities is the models can't survive the long duration
Approach	14	At present, agree onto the testing is limited to cold flow (perfect gas) and impulse wind tunnels
Арріоасні	14	A present, acto-optic testing is immedia to conclusion on a personal and impulse wind turners.
		Editor: The LENS XX Expansion Tunnel was designed and constructed by CUBRC to provide a research
		and testing capability to investigate real gas, shock ager chemistry, viscous interaction and ablation enterts on the performance of hypervelocity vehicles. LENS XX generates clean uncontaminated high enthalpor
		flows at velocities up to 35,000 ft/sec to simulate re-entry into Earth and other planetary atmospheres. At
		100's of milliseconds, the LENS facilities are actually short duration rather than impulse.
Approach	14	Developers of missiles favor a full-scale test in highly productive facilities and will acquiesce to flight
		duplication enthalpies that can be provided only by very short run time facilities (shock/impulse wind
		tunnels).
		Editor: Agreed. S&C database data is required for flight control systems programming. More
Amman	4.4	maneuverability means more data is needed.
Approach	14	Development of a programmed accelerating and decelerating nypersonic propulsion system is considered
Approach	14	Existing around-test facilities can provide partial simulation. Perfect das wind tunnels are used to measure
, pp. each		heat-transfer coefficients (up to about Mach 16) although they do not provide the enthalpy required for the
		full heating rates. Impulse tunnels can provide the correct Mach number and enthalpy but for very short
		test times.
		Editor: LENS can evaluate correctly duplicated real gas chemistry effects upwards of Mach 20 for Matching
		altitude velocity, velocity conditions up to 10 km/sec are now possible as well to further study these effects
Approach	14	Gaps between current capabilities and required capabilities were the basis for determining a HS/H T&E
Approach	1.1	capability requirement roadmap.
Approach	14	notice both external and internal loading
Approach	14	Reasonably good hypersonic perfect gas aerodynamic wind tunnels exist today, but none simulate the
		real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8.
		Editor: See note above regarding LENS.
Approach	14	Several of the off-ramp vehicles change propulsive mode as they accelerate or decelerate This propulsion
		concept is a requirement for all hypersonic vehicles that operate throughout the flight envelope from takeoff
		to cruise.
Approach	14	The aerothermal environment is composed of the aerodynamics, the enthalpy (or velocity), and the density
		effects. Around Mach 8 to 10 in the air-breathing propulsion flight corridor, air will begin to chemically
		dissociate (oxygen initiality) when passing through the initial shock system produced by the vehicle. At
		Match numbers greater than 12, the gases begin to follow. Dissociation and recombination can commute to occur in the flow boundary layer resulting in significant effects on the yearlog beating. Existing ground-
		test facilities can provide partial simulation.
		Editor: At Mach numbers greater than 12 the gases begin to jonize. Dissociation and recombination can
		continue to occur in the flow boundary laver resulting in significant effects on the vehicle heating. Existing
		ground-test facilities can provide partial simulation; see above note regarding LENS.
Approach	14	The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities below
		Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above Mach
		8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed.
		Editor: It was pointed out in a recent presentation at the Air Vehicles Tech 2017 symposium that it is highly
		unlikely that new large test facilities will be built in the US. So, this gap may remain.
Approach	14	I ne large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict future

		Editor: Efforts are underway to configure and validate facilities with higher capability. Will their completion match a timeframe that industry would find productive? Currently, the NASA Glenn PSL-4 facility is rated to Mach 4.0. ²⁶
Approach	14	There is a gap in the ability to cost-effectively recover expendable hypersonic vehicles for data analysis. Editor: Some rocket-based vehicles are designed to be reusable and are being recovered. And concepts are being developed for a hypersonic reusable testbed – but funding and action is in question.
Approach	14	There is currently a gap in the capabilities for testing hardware in the loop.
Approach	16	Concomitant with the high-alpha study above, the same collaborative effort could help develop a database for validation of the next generation of CFD codes.
Approach	16	Current wind tunnel scaling principles are based on circa 1975 studies of Reynolds Number scaling of attached flows on transport configurations.
Approach	19	The strengths of ground testing (GT) are that its measurements are finite and its physics are well defined and irrefutable, except that it's in a wind tunnel.
Approach	19	We need [wind tunnel] models to enable us to treat flows inside scramjet engines as close to reality as possible.
		Editor: Does this mean we need tunnels that can accommodate hot gas and full scale (flight hardware)?
GT/CFD Integration	1	At the same time computer systems have advanced, so has the complexity of aeronautical systems made it more challenging to model the physics of military flight systems.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The bulk, if not all, of the CFD is based on nonlinear methods including full potential with coupled boundary layer, Euler, and RANS. To be able to expand the use of CFD over the entire flight envelope, considerable progress in algorithm, physics modeling, and hardware technology is still necessary.
GT/CFD Integration	5	CFD data can be used to determine initial structural sizing, to support wing trade studies, and to help scale the wind-tunnel database to flight conditions. However, CFD is not yet able to deliver the required degree of accuracy (low single-digit percentages over a wide range of conditions) and the throughput that is
	5	The cessary to replace extensive which until testing.
Integration	5	This will require significant improvements in both CFD and wind tunnels. For example, new design concepts are driving the need for higher Reynolds number testing, and the productivity of existing cryogenic, high-Reynolds-number wind tunnels needs to be improved by at least an OOM (order of magnitude). The alternative is more risk that must be resolved in flight or the abandonment of potentially
07/055		good concepts that entail too much unresolved risk. Current CFD technology cannot mitigate this risk.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The inability to capture these differences adequately is a problem for both CFD and typical low-Reynolds- number wind-tunnel testing.
GT/CFD Integration	5	The lack of robust, accurate prediction methods for aerodynamic S&C was cited as a major shortcoming in the available design methodology. One of the conclusions that was reached at this workshop was, "Prediction of the onset of separated flows across the speed range (with the attendant issues of transition prediction, turbulence modeling, unsteady flows, etc.), and the character and impact of separated flow on aircraft capabilities, is the single most critical fundamental issue to be addressed and should receive a very high priority in aerodynamic R&D programs."
GT/CFD Integration	5	 The well-recognized challenges for CFD technology include: Aeroelastic distortion Boundary conditions (e.g., wind-tunnel walls, stings, and in-flight boundary conditions for higher fidelity simulations) Turbulence modeling (e.g., formulations, variable constants, gross shortfalls in capability) Predictions for drag (which are far less accurate than those for lift)
		 "Untrustworthy" results near the outer portions of the flight envelope Boundary-layer transition location(s)/locus, subsequent "paths to turbulence"
		Discretization errors
	5	 wismatches in (macro and micro) geometry, computation-to-experiment and application. While low speed (e.g., takeoff/landing) is a problem for CED, supersonic speed is even more difficult.
Integration	5	because of the strong shock-boundary-layer interactions, and hypersonic speed is probably the most difficult of all because of the critical roles of boundary-layer transition, heat transfer, and chemical reaction.
GT/CFD Integration	6	Perhaps the single, most critical area in CFD simulation capability that will remain a pacing item by 2030 in the analysis and design of aerospace systems is the ability to adequately predict viscous turbulent flows with possible boundary layer transition and flow separation present.
GT/CFD Integration	6	Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Physics Simulations and Frameworks. We also assume that CFD capabilities in 2030 will play a significant role in routine, multi-disciplinary analysis (MDA) and optimization (MDAO) that will be typical of engineering and scientific practice. In fact, in 2030 many of the aerospace engineering problems of interest will be of a multi-disciplinary nature and CFD will have to interface seamlessly with other high-fidelity analyses including acoustics, structures, heat transfer, reacting flow, radiation, dynamics & control, and even ablation and catalytic reactions in thermal protection systems.
GT/CFD Integration	6	Unsteady Turbulent Flow Simulations Including Transition and Separation. Perhaps the single, most critical area in CFD simulation capability that will remain a pacing item by 2030 in the analysis and design of aerospace systems is the ability to adequately predict viscous turbulent flows with possible boundary layer transition and flow separation present.
GT/CFD	8	Turbulence modeling may be one of those intractable engineering problems that cannot be solved with
Integration	-	higher performance computing.

GT/CFD	9a	The technology is not yet considered reliable for predicting the characteristics of the complex separated
Integration		flows that dominate many critical design points for an aircraft.
GT/CFD	13	There is a point at which doing another CFD solution will not reduce uncertainty further; hence, one needs
Integration		Editor: See upgetteinty exploration statement in section II A (shous)
GT/CED	16	integrated use of advanced computational fluid dynamics (CED) codes modern design of experiments
Integration	10	(MDOE), and "fly the mission" testing techniques to reduce the overall test hours in a wind tunnel
		"campaign".
		Editor: This implies that CFD solutions are faster to obtain than WT campaigns. CFD would be (will
		continue to be) costly and time consuming to fill an S&C database.
GT/CFD	18	it is the integration of these tools, in the hands of knowledgeable experts that ultimately will produce
GT/CED	18	the improvements required.
Integration	10	
GT/CFD	19	some test facilities cannot replicate the turbulence found in nature as well.
Integration		Editor: In fact, tunnels are judged by how low their turbulence levels are rather than duplicating nature's
		turbulence levels.
GT/CFD	19	Rapid grid generation is a challenge in CFD:
Integration	4.0	It will be a big focus in the next 10 to 15 years.
GT/CFD	19	A major weakness of CFD is in turbulence modeling – you get a different answer depending on the flow
integration		Yet, some test facilities cannot replicate the turbulence found in nature as well; or answer such
		questions as when does the flow separate or when does it transition?
		• While these situations are improving, turbulence modeling is a pacing item, and is still not well
		understood; but, again, this is very case dependent.
Efficiency	1	Changes of this magnitude during the flight-test program can have a profound impact on program cost and
		Schedule.
		and costs.
Efficiency	1	the amount of rework (cycles) normally performed, is also process driven
Efficiency	8	Defects discovered late during the development process not only increase cycle time but also can impact
		manufacturing costs if significant tooling and production have already occurred.
		Editor: As previously noted, easier to cut testing early and carry more risk, but can bite hard later. How is
		the career process aligned with long-term decision outcomes? Is cost-cutting rewarded without regard to
Efficiency	16	This amount of wind tunnel testing drives cycle time for system development, which is one of the key
	-	D&SWS (Developing and Sustaining Warfighting Systems) effectiveness measures.
		Editor: Pay me now or pay me later for flight hardware reworks.
Efficiency	18	Finding ways to create W/T models faster and cheaper.
Efficiency	19	Improvements are needed in wind tunnels:
		Efficiency Benid prototyping
		Rapid prototyping New measurement techniques
		 Unsteady flow measurements
		 Skin-friction measurements
		 Non-intrusive: Pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry.
		Editor: [Need more on new measurement techniques]
Efficiency	19	Running wind tunnel tests is the quickest way to fill in a database, especially if you want to capture control
Sustainment	3	The facilities that house fundamental research activities at NASA are typically old and require more
Custaminent	0	maintenance than funding permits.
		Editor: NASA AETC (Aeronautics Evaluation and Test Capabilities) facilities have a \$500M maintenance
		backlog. Facilities should be getting (per NASA policy) 1.6% of Current Replacement Value (CRV). LaRC
		RD alone has approximately \$2.5B CRV facilities. [So, what is the current expenditure?
Sustainment	2	verification/reference for these numbers?]
Sustainment	3 Qh	I me lack of unnery maintenance can lead to safety issues, particularly with large, nigh-powered equipment.
Sustainmont	00	capabilities will degrade quickly.
		Editor: Equipment and people. At some point, cost to bring back becomes prohibitive.
Sustainment	9b	Mothballing a strategically important facility is preferred to closure, but mothballing still involves risk.
		Editor: And, to do it properly, money as well.
Sustainment	18	existing tunnels are experiencing a steady decline in overall usage, forcing cutbacks that impact facility
		up-keep and improvements.
		Editor: NASA New Funding Model (implemented in FY17) is designed to improve this situation for NASA
		AETO TACIIITIES.

Sustainment 18 maintenance and upgrades are an ever increasing and largely unfunded issue with the current turnel suitainment Sustainment 19 Over the years wind turnel infrastructure enter on required costs and perceived value. Sustainment 19 Over the years wind turnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce knowledge base has dwinded. Sustainment 19 Over the years wind turnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce knowledge of base are underway to invest in workforce to meet future needs ALCC for technical staff and for AF Force/NASA hypersonics staffing in general, though funding is limited for both. Investment 5 A drastic increase in the knowledge of base fields for flight, both whice-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also larged unknown for ground lacitities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CPD (e.g., unsteady separation, bounday-kayer transition) are such the type control to assess the role of modeling arros and develop better physical models, a need associated for the estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 Most needd are additional und experiments that provide detailed data for associated distored associated distored associated in generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CPD than the actual CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent for associated and the avoid and the development aneed of thedinform of generation appears to be the bigger (effic	Sustainment	18	for those tunnel facilities that are seen to have low utilization will be in danger of closure, further degrading the nation's capability to maintain our global leadership in aerospace.
Sustainment 19 The challenges of maintaining this infrastructure center on required costs and perceived value. Sustainment 19 Over the years wind tunnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce Anovelege base has dwindled. Editor. Preserving / increasing knowledgeable human capital in the US to waning. Initiatives are underway to invest in workforce to meet truure needs at AEC for technical staff and for Air ForceNASA hypersonics staffing in general, though funding is limited for both. Investment 5 A drastic increase in the Knowledge of those the constel at anospheric, is essentially absent and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by (CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, bounday-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adpation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently uspolling the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization errors are nole with the secolated of the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unt apperiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the savelite discretization errors are nole with discretization errors are not develop better physical modes, aneed exists for detailed core advanced CCP banotecem	Sustainment	18	maintenance and upgrades are an ever increasing and largely unfunded issue with the current tunnel suite.
Sustainment 19 Over the years wind turnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce knowledge base has dwindled. Editor Presencing /Increasing knowledgeble human capital in the US wannig. Initiatives are underway to invest in workforce to meet future needs at AEDC for technical staft and for AF Force/NASA hypersonics staffing in general. Howgh funding is limited for both. Investment 5 A drastic increase in the knowledge of both the ambient and vehicle-generated and strubance fields and modifiers thereol is necessary to anable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-value problem Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-value problem such as a solid problem in the associated unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation is not a solved problem. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation.	Sustainment	18	The challenges of maintaining this infrastructure center on required costs and perceived value
Solution Solution Editor: Preserving/ Increasing knowledgeable human capital in the US is waning. Initiatives are underway trading in general, thoogh funding is limited for hour to the human capital in the US is waning. Initiatives are underway trading in general, thoogh funding is limited for hour for an Forcemark in the Konwledge of the Konwledge Konwledge Konwledge Konwledge Konwledge Konwledge Konwl	Sustainment	19	Over the years wind tunnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce knowledge has has dwindled
Editor: Preserving / increasing knowledgeable numan capital in the US is wannig. Initiatives are underway to invest in workforce to meet future need-initial staff and for AF ForceNASA hypersonics staffing in general; though funding is limited for both. Investment 5 A draski (increase in the Knowledge and both the ambient and vehicle-generated disturbance fields and modifiers thereol is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-layer transition) are such that and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Editor: Key point and need, one of the specialty area staff needs noted previously. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by OFD (e.g., unseady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they compare to more scattate metric schemes, on lowered ordver transition, are such that they compare to more scattate metric schemes, on lowered ordver transition, and exist for detailed discretization enrors are not the issue. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need wasts for detailed discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Research in grid generation speciments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CPD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CPD penerated data can be harvested and uturbulence levelos. ResPlan. Inv	Oustamment	15	over the years wind tanner initiasitation has decayed and the work force knowledge base has dwindied.
Investment 5 A drastic increase in the knowledge of both the ambient and vehicle-generated disturbance fields and modifiers thereol is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem Such knowledge of these fields for light, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unstedy separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of taster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adgridund, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploining the capabilites of trute massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the solution of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD complex turbulent flows. Investment 1 4 Ave to complex turbulent of the sign and and by the sign and on grid to achieve the aeronautics grads in the 2010 National Aeronautics RAD Plan. 1 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integrap and of efficiency issue for CFD technology entities of the overlage and and by the procean turbulence lewels. Are to couglid arad turbulence lewelsits			Editor: Preserving / increasing knowledgeable human capital in the US is waning. Initiatives are underway
Investment 5 A drastic increase in the Roweldge of both the ambient and vehicle-generated disturbance fields and modifiers thereof is necessary to enable transition to be prediced as an initial boundary-value problem Such hnowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also targetly unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CPD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology and dataptation, physic morts be resolved by the meres availability of faster machines. Research is needed for detile discretization entrops are not the issue. Investment 5 Inorder to assess the role of modeling entrops and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization entrops are not the issue. Investment 5 Nost needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) using the advanced CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 11 Accustic and Yusulization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CPD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CPD centrology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CPD cent			to invest in workforce to meet future needs at AEDC for technical staff and for Air Force/NASA hypersonics
Investment 5 A drastic increase in the knowledge of both the ambient and vehicle-generated disturbance fields and modifiers thereoi is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmosphere, is essentially absent and is also largdy unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFO (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adpatation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generation is the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex trubulent flows. 			staffing in general, though funding is limited for both.
modifiers thereof is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both velicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also targely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of taster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, gid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) using the advanced CFD technology envisione in 2033 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD depended data for assessing modeline and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 6 Knowledge Etraction and Visualization. An integral part of effictively using the advanced CFD technology envisione in 2033 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD depenated data for able vere	Investment	5	A drastic increase in the knowledge of both the ambient and vehicle-generated disturbance fields and
Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFO (e.g., unsteady separation, hourday-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall acerdynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Investment 11 Current infastituture fails to meet required capabilities are and funded for icing conditions that include ice particles. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>modifiers thereof is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem</td></t<>			modifiers thereof is necessary to enable transition to be predicted as an initial boundary-value problem
and is also largely unknown for ground facilities. Editor: Rey point and need; one of the specialty area staff needs noted previously. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed duit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed duata for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of efficiency using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Pan. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lover flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11<			Such knowledge of these fields for flight, both vehicle-generated and atmospheric, is essentially absent
Editor: Key point and need; one of the specialty area staff needs noted previously. Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CPG (e.g., unsteady separation, houndary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 6 Konveldeg Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CPD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CPD-generated data can be harvested and utifized to improve the overall acrohymanic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Investment 11 Current infastruture fails to meet required capabilities are too high to achieve at turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too nols			and is also largely unknown for ground facilities.
Investment 5 The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of thure massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed dat for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall earodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Pan. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle arifr			Editor: Key point and need; one of the specialty area staff needs noted previously.
they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Editor: Grid generation and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accostic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are to high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Envestment 11 Curstic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities on publicating rec-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Curstic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities and limite divals for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system in	Investment	5	The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that
devicipment of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of luture massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for compet subublent linews. Investment 6 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aredorynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too nois??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities of the states ment above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too nois??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilitities of this the inability to achieve the elimitations. The firs			they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the
estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines. Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are to high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This component test facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system intel teading edges. Investment 11 The current facilities contributes to the expense of highly c			development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error
parallel machines. operation modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated disoretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Conternet testing. Investment 11 Notality is now available to conduct research by testing turbic engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability the required mass flow (apperisonic vehicle airfarme thermal protection systems and propulsion			estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively
Investment 5 In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology invisioned in 2000 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic on duiter of to noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of the leading deges. Investment 11 The curre			parallel machines.
unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which grid generation and the associated discretization errors are not the issue. Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice patility. The second limitation is the inability to test scramiges at altitude for icing conditions that include ice patinkast numbers, solve capability. The second limitation is the ina	Investment	5	In order to assess the role of modeling errors and develop better physical models, a need exists for detailed
Investment Sector Sector Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2000 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accusts and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy???? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11	invoounoni	Ŭ	unit experiments that involve simple configurations for which and generation and the associated
Investment 5 Most needed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in the available CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor. This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too guiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems and propulsion system intel teading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capability to vary the wind speed during a test (time variant Mach number). Investment 11 The seco			discretization errors are not the issue
Investment Investment The available CPD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. For the actual CFD technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CPD generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Accustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are to high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy???? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capability to test carrigets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean aria and greater than 7 in vitated air because or limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second li	Investment	5	Most headed are additional unit experiments that provide detailed data for assessing modeling errors in
Investment 5 Research in grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Editor: Grid generation is generally under-valued, but it is important to note that grid generation is not a solved problem. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too guiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at alitude for icing conditions that include ic particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems current high Mach number's greater than 5 in clean ariar digrach number). Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet requirene da	investment	Ŭ	the available CED technology and the development of new models for complex turbulent flows
Investment 11 Current for a group of the second part second part of the second part second part of the secon	Investment	5	The available of b technology and also development of new measure of complex tradition in the measure of the second
Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal proteotion systems and propulsion system intel feading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The regularity. The second limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-basing a qualit	mvestment	5	a solved problem
Editor: Grag generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics RaD Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system intel leading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the tability to test scramiets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to test scramiets at Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The eapa in materials testing is the inability to duplicate			
Investment 6 Computation. Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for thypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time- variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to tary the wind speed during at test (time- variant Mach number). Investment<			Editor: Grid generation appears to be the bigger (efficiency) issue for CFD than the actual CFD
Investment 6 Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process. Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramiets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air ad greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities. Investment 11 There apin materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The			computation.
Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 Current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system intel leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to test number greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to test progress sup at of the Next Generation Air Transportation System inclusions system sa part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inabili	Investment	6	Knowledge Extraction and Visualization. An integral part of effectively using the advanced CFD technology
Investment 11 Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 Current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing in the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The gap in the capability of flight test o			envisioned in 2030 is the way in which the very large amount of CFD-generated data can be harvested
Investment 11 Accustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capability to test scramjets at Mach number facilities are limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The range media will not support future HS/H Hystem requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of future HS/H Hystement, Hgh speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 Alight+	-		and utilized to improve the overall aerodynamic design and analysis process.
goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan. Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion systems inlet leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach number facilities. Investment 11 The current time third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability of duplicate the flight envelope between current disclibution requirements for futur	Investment	11	Acoustic and turbulence levels in existing large-scale facilities are too high to achieve the aeronautics
Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because or limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time- variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of uperformance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes or r			goals in the 2010 National Aeronautics R&D Plan.
levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy??? Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for that include ice particles. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems apart of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) an			Editor: This seems contrary to the statement above that states we are not duplicating free-air turbulence
Investment 11 Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at allitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramplets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time- variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The gap in the capability of flight test on-board avionics system sa part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting			levels. Are we too quiet or too noisy???
component testing. Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 114 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and gett	Investment	11	Current combustor component test facilities offer lower flow rate capability than required and thus limit
Investment 11 limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges. Investment 111 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 111 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 111 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 111 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of durit distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14			component testing.
Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to test scamplets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. <t< td=""><td>Investment</td><td>11</td><td> limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for</td></t<>	Investment	11	limitation of current facilities contributes to the expense of highly conservative material designs for
Investment 11 No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions that include ice particles. Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data form a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the he			hypersonic vehicle airframe thermal protection systems and propulsion system inlet leading edges.
Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile syste	Investment	11	No facility is now available to conduct research by testing turbine engines at altitude for icing conditions
Investment 11 The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Warting and getting more data distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A swith the case of aerodynamic			that include ice particles.
Investment 14 Attraction system for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test in the capability to a single test in the capability of a single test in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists or un time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems.	Investment	11	The current infrastructure fails to meet required capabilities because of three limitations. The first is the
Investment 14 Capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow</td></t<>			inability to test full-scale propulsion systems because of limited test cell size and limited mass flow
air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow, flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-variant Mach number). Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A swith the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interc			capability. The second limitation is the inability to test scramjets at Mach numbers greater than 5 in clean
Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight sea of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. <			air and greater than 7 in vitiated air because current high Mach number facilities are limited in mass flow,
Investment11The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities.Investment11There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines.Investment14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly.Investment14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies.Investment14A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems.Investment14A swith the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile.Investment14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			flow quality, and run time. The third limitation is the inability to vary the wind speed during a test (time-
Investment 11 The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities. Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Investment 14 Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the h			variant Mach number).
Investment 11 There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals. Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A swith the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed	Investment	11	The gap in materials testing is the inability to duplicate the flight envelope between current facilities.
Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A though short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation compopents t	Investment	11	There is a gap in the capability to flight test on-board avionics systems as part of the Next Generation Air
Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines. Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			Transportation System (NextGen) and the increased safety-of-flight goals.
Investment 14 data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks. Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation compopents to the vehicle's operating speed			Editor: AEDC would say they are testing hardware in the loop for engines.
Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Athough short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concerm to aerodynamics,	Investment	14	data storage media will not support future HS/H system requirements, and data distribution
Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test entry. High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection – a lot of data quickly. Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists of run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibra			requirements for future hypersonic missions will exceed the capability of current distribution networks.
Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 A swith the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed <td></td> <td></td> <td>Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test</td>			Editor: This is a true statement for every flight regime. Wanting and getting more data from a single test
Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			anticity High speed and dynamic behavior physics capture requires high speed data collection $-a$ let of
Investment 14 some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			data minchy
Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems. Investment 14 Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14	Investment	14	some shortfalls exist in our range infrastructure to adequately validate their applicable technologies
Investment 14 Athough short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points, seconds, minutes, or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing. Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14	Investment	14	A flight-test gap exists in the capability to air-launch the heavier hypersonic missile systems
Investment 14 Natiographic for the minutes integraphic backfull of evaluating periodicating per	Investment	14	Although short run times may be useful for evaluating performance at discrete design points seconds
Investment 14 As with the case of aerodynamic testing, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above Mach 10, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14	mvestment	17	minutes or tens of minutes of run time will be required for operability and durability testing
Investment 14 As with the case of about famile tosting, test time is an issue and a gap for any testing above machine, which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersonic Interceptor/Attack Missile. Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed	Investment	14	As with the case of aerodynamic testing is an issue and a gan for any tasting above Mach 10.
Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow phenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed	mesunent	14	which is needed for the Mach 8 to 12 Hypersphic Intersector/Attack Missila
Editor: Not necessarily true; for most flow pnenomena of concern to aerodynamics, LENS provides adequate test time between Mach 8 and 12 and beyond. Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			Editor Net according the matrix of the type of the method protocol and the sector of the type of the sector of the
Investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed			edocuto to the time between Maps 9 and 12 and here a
investment 14 deficiencies in areas such as micro-instrumentation development and the difficulty in calibrating various instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed		4.4	auequate test time between wath o and 12 and beyond.
	investment	14	instrumentation components to the vehicle's operating speed

Investment 14 Gaps between current capabilities and required capabilities were the basis for determining a HS/F capability requirement roadmap. Investment 14 Hypersonic wind tunnel productivity is an issue, especially above Mach 10. Investment 14 Hypersonic wind tunnel productivity is an issue, especially above Mach 10. Investment 14 improvements in inlet airframe integration and nozzle after-body test capabilities are needed. Investment 14 Reasonably good hypersonic perfect gas aerodynamic wind tunnels exist today, but none simula real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8. Editor: See note above regarding LENS. Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-no reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definiton/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current grou	are needed. out none simulate the but none simulate the ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far ity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment14Hypersonic wind tunnel productivity is an issue, especially above Mach 10.Investment14Editor: A major part of the challenge is having the qualified people/staff.Investment14 improvements in inlet airframe integration and nozzle after-body test capabilities are needed.Investment14Reasonably good hypersonic perfect gas aerodynamic wind tunnels exist today, but none simula real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8.Editor: See note above regarding LENS.Editor: See note above regarding LENS.Investment14Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-no reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system.Investment14The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed.Investment14The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities.Investment14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities.Investment14The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above. <td>are needed. but none simulate the ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach /stems envisioned far ity to test the system ograms predict future</td>	are needed. but none simulate the ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach /stems envisioned far ity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 improvements in inlet airframe integration and nozzle after-body test capabilities are needed. Investment 14 Reasonably good hypersonic perfect gas aerodynamic wind tunnels exist today, but none simula real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8. Editor: See note above regarding LENS. Investment 14 Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-nor reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Editor: Key area of need – sensors and measurements. Investment 14 Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The light condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The light condition	are needed. but none simulate the ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 14 Reasonably good hypersonic perfect gas aerodynamic wind tunnels exist today, but none simula real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8. Editor: See note above regarding LENS. Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-nor reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 real-gas and aerothermal effects encountered in flight at Mach numbers above 8. Editor: See note above regarding LENS. Editor: See note above regarding LENS. Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-nor reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Editor: Key area of need – sensors and measurements. Investment 14 Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of clean air test facilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. <td>ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future</td>	ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-ne reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The ligher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 Several drawbacks exist in today's sensor systems. First, they are generally intrusive. Second, the less reliable than the hardware that is being monitored. Third, most need manual calibration. Fourth are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-ne reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ve. Second, they are libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	libration. Fourth, they not detect off-nominal ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
are unable to detect when the output is degraded or has failed. Finally, they cannot detect off-nor reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Editor: Key area of need – sensors and measurements. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities. Investment 14 The large regione test facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
reading caused by the effects of failures in other parts of the system. Editor: Key area of need – sensors and measurements. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities. Investment 14 The higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach rstems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Editor: Key area of need – sensors and measurements. Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach /stems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 The effects and limitations of combustion-vitiated testing, development of clean air test facilities Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ir test facilities below pabilities above Mach /stems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Mach 8, definition of needed facility runs times, and definition/development of test capabilities above 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	pabilities above Mach /stems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
8 are all test facility technology gaps that must be addressed. Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	istems envisioned far lity to test the system ograms predict future
Investment 14 The flight condition simulation capabilities required for the transformational HS/H systems envision exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ity to test the system ograms predict future
exceed current ground-test capabilities. Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the sy using today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	lity to test the system
Investment 14 the higher the system performance Mach number, the larger the gap in the ability to test the susing today's facilities. Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	lity to test the system
Investment 14 The large engine test facilities are limited to Mach 3.2, yet turbine engine R&D programs predict engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	ograms predict future
engine performance to Mach 4 and above.	
3 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Editor: Much of the existing capabilities are of the post-WWII vintage plus facility improvements.	mprovements Future
needs push engine performance even more. Currently, the NASA Glenn PSL-4 facility is rated to	cility is rated to Mach
4.0. ²⁶ Efforts are underway to configure and validate facilities with higher capability. Will their comp	Will their completion
match a timeframe that industry would find productive?	
Investment 14 The need for highly productive cold-flow facilities will continue to exist as before.	
Investment 14 The primary propulsion test capability that exists above Mach 8 currently is relatively small impulse (s	small impulse (shock)
tunnels where the test time is of the order of milliseconds and the test medium is usually not clean a	
Editor: Current short duration facilities can test full scale 1X complete vehicle configurations, 10X	ually not clean air.
uninstalled engine flowpaths can also be evaluated. Test times are on the order of 100 ms at Mach 6	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale
conditions.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale)0 ms at Mach 6 flight
Investment 14 there is a gap in providing the correct flow chemistry.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight
Editor: See note above regarding LENS.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight
investment 14 Inere is a gap in the ability to cost-effectively recover expendable hypersonic venicles for data anal	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight
investment 14 there is a need for real-gas hight simulation test capability, and/or analytical procedures are need	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis.
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results	es for data analysis.
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re-	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults.
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a result of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms."	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale D0 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Revnolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence?	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale D0 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities:	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 20 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of and partly a result of a of test types and
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of a of test types and (stratospheric
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of 9 of test types and (stratospheric 1 reasonable
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section sizes for large models and efficient, I	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of a of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low-
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size facilitates models of reasonable results and the information such as where the place of further and between flow here and where	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section sizes for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size facilitates models of reasonable size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and short reference.	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric n reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size facilitates models of reasonable size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections.	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size for large models of reasonable size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-buflow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size facilitates models of reasonabl size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-body flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations.	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size facilitates models of reasonable size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-body flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Excellent test section optical access for application of developing on- and off-body flow visualiz and measurements. Future data mining	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It does a paproximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compr	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access
correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. Investment Noderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section si	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access,
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size facilitates models of reasonabl size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-body flow visualiz and measurements. Future data mining requirements (above) will drive significant optical accee requirements. • Excellent test section optical access for application of de	ally not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access, st a model within a
Investment 14 Correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capability must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. Investment 18 Advanced tata mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-bot flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access, st a model within a
Investment 14 Correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? • Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size for large models of neador on- and off-bi flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-bi flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Excellent test secti	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access, st a model within a rew sizes down in
Investment 14 Correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million, attitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million, attitude size for large models and efficient, 1 operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size for large models of reasonable size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-body flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Excellent test section optical access for application of developing on- and off-body flow visualiz and measurements. Future data mining requirements (above) will drive significant optical acce requirements.	ually not clean air. igurations, 10X scale 00 ms at Mach 6 flight es for data analysis. edures are needed to sults. and partly a result of e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and efficient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access, at a model within a rew sizes down in / future capability.
Investment 14 Correct data taken from perfect gas and nonreal-gas wind tunnels to predict flight results. Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? it goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size for large models of easonab size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shor reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-br flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Excellent test section optical access for application of developing on- and off-body flow visualiz and measurements. Future data mining requirements (above) will	e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and officient, low- els of reasonable s and officient, low- els of reasonable s and officient, low- els of reasonable kdown, and shock of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access equire rapid access, at a model within a rew sizes down in / future capability. ind video feeds (to p without the
Investment 14 This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a re the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 14 This will require a test facility with a variable Mach number test capability. Investment 18 High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms." Editor: Rn is Reynolds number. Low turbulence or accurate turbulence? Investment 18 It goes on to recommend future capabilities: • "Multi-mission capability. Any new test facility must be capable of a broad range of test types a speed ranges. Speeds from M=0 to M=5.0, altitude simulations up to 80,000 ft (stratospheric testing), Reynolds numbers up to 5 million/ft should be capability goals. • Moderate Test sections size. Approximate 60 to 100 sq. ft. test section size is a reasonable compromise between high-cost large-volume test section size for large models and efficient, I operational-cost smaller facilities. This nominal test section size for large models of reasonab size to obtain reliable data and without flow issues such as blockage, flow breakdown, and shc reflections. • Advanced data mining capability. Real-time quantitative and visualization data of on- and off-bot flow fields will be required to integrate and validate computational simulations. • Ease of access and installation. With the anticipation that future windows will require rapid acces requir	e of test types and (stratospheric a reasonable s and off-body of on- and off-body ody flow visualization ant optical access aquire rapid access, at a model within a rew sizes down in / future capability. Ind video feeds (to n without the to existing design

Investment	18	 Ability to create model configurations on-site. Rapid model creation capability (as discussed in previous sections) will become essential to a rapid test mindset. Energy efficiency. Any new facility must be extremely energy efficient. Evaluation of non-traditional designs such as oval circuits, multi-cycle test environments, extremely low-friction circuit design, and variable test section sizes should be important considerations. Expert staff. Test success is more often influenced by the expertise and behavior of the staff than by equipment or underlying infrastructure. To enable rapid, efficient, and successful testing, a well-rounded staff of experts (in both facility operation and aircraft development) is needed. The ability to perform testing without extensive customer presence absolutely revolves around a facility having expertise and efficient processes." Editor: Reynolds number of 5M seems low if we are trying to duplicate speed & altitude. Based on our customers' needs Lockheed Martin finds several areas of emerging technology and development challenge that will require improved test and evaluation assets. These are: High-speed testing for transonic to hypersonic vehicles Low Reynolds number (Rn) micro UAV flight (ultra low turbulence) simulation Urban flow, large-turbulence testing for micro vehicles and hybrid airships
		Stratospheric test capability ranging in Mach numbers from 0.5 to2.5, Rn/ft up to 5 million, dynamic pressures up to 1500 psf and simulating altitudes up to 80,000 ft are required Low Rn, ultra-low-turbulence flow for ISR platform testing High Rn low-turbulence flow for high-speed platforms. Editor: Low turbulence or accurate turbulence?
Investment	18	What capabilities will be needed and how will they need to work and be managed? Anchor point limited wind tunnel test.
Investment	18	What capabilities will be needed and how will they need to work and be managed? Data mining and Real time quantitative and visualization of on and off body flow fields.
Investment	18	What capabilities will be needed and how will they need to work and be managed? Integrated process looping through CFD modeling, rapid prototyping and automated testing.
Investment	18	What capabilities will be needed and how will they need to work and be managed? W/T would need large speed ranges with flow visualization at any speed, adaptable to various types of testing and be highly automated to reduce the need for model changes.
Investment	19	 A large-scale (16-ft square test section) (AEDC/16S) supersonic (hypersonic?) wind tunnel testing capability is needed for the development of expanded missile defense vehicles. If the wind tunnel is not there, we must build extra margin into the vehicle at increased cost and risk. If additional risk in the vehicle is not acceptable, we may have to determine if we can no longer develop that type of vehicle.
Investment	19	 Improvements are needed in wind tunnels: Efficiency Rapid prototyping New measurement techniques Unsteady flow measurements Skin-friction measurements Non-intrusive: Pressure sensitive paint and particle image velocimetry
Investment	19	The challenge of aerodynamic testing is powered models. Techniques are needed to help us handle these situations.
Staffing	9b	An alternative is to provide strategic financial support for periodic use of the capabilities to exercise staff and equipment to maintain knowledge, skills, and equipment.
Staffing	18	 AIAA Ground Test Technical Committee (GTTC) made the following recommendations: "Development of a knowledgeable test workforce is critical for the national infrastructure." "Improved test technology is crucial to enabling future system development." "Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities." "Divestment of redundant and nonessential test infrastructure is required to focus limited resources on critical capabilities and new infrastructure requirements." "New high-speed test infrastructure is required to meet anticipated requirements for future systems."
Staffing	19	Over the years wind tunnel infrastructure has decayed and the workforce knowledge base has dwindled. Editor: Noted previously; wind tunnels have been in a declining phase since at least the early 1990's – limited budgets have limited maintenance and investments in infrastructure and staff. Investments have stabilized in recent years to at least slow the declines and, in some cases (like the Air Force hypersonics T&E capabilities investment of \$350M over 5 years starting in 2017) turning up.
Staffing	19	We are running the risk of losing people with experimental expertise because we are doing less testing. Editor: Chicken and egg statement??? Or, death spiral?
Strategic	1	military systems which provide the most technically challenging environment for large-scale computing. Military systems fly over larger speed ranges and operating envelopes, have more intense integration

		issues such as low observable, weapons integration, buried inlet/engine configurations, etc. and have a
Strategic	3	NASA laboratories as marginally adequate. with some clearly being totally inadequate and others being
	-	very adequate.
Strategic	6	Lack of explicit collaboration among turbulence researchers.
Strategic	9b	An alternative is to provide strategic financial support for periodic use of the capabilities to exercise staff and equipment to maintain knowledge, skills, and equipment.
		Editor: Must have test cycles to train and hone skills.
Strategic	9b	Low-use facilities can be closed for long periods, but cost savings may be lower than expected and
-		capabilities will degrade quickly.
		Editor: Not a great solution, but does push decisions downstream (where it costs even more). Typically,
		low near-term savings since low-use facilities have already had maintenance reduced. And staffing issues worsen.
Strategic	9b	Mothballing a strategically important facility is preferred to closure, but mothballing still involves risk.
		Editor: Costly to mothball properly and huge staffing issues.
Strategic	10	A number of fundamental challenges are barriers to technical progress, as well as opportunities for advancement through sustained aeronautics R&D.
Strategic	11	Comprehensive interagency management policies for aeronautics infrastructure do not yet exist.
		Editor: Local priorities and budgets; stovepipes.
Strategic	11	Shortfalls associated with the state of the art discussed above will have to be overcome to continually improve safety (challenges) in the decades ahead
Strategic	11	The following tables can be used to identify the national aeronautics R&D goals and objectives that are
0		impacted by the shortfalls identified in this RDT&E infrastructure plan. The first table lists each of the
		shortfalls in the left column and then lists codes for the specific goals and objectives that are impacted by
		the shortfalls The second table displays the entire list of the national aeronautics R&D goals and
		objectives, numbering each goal and objective, and associates them with the codes used in the first table.
		Editor: These tables are large/comprehensive/extensive and too large to be included in this paper, but are referenced for the interested reader
Strategic	14	definition/development of test capabilities above Mach 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must
		be addressed.
Strategic	14	The challenge for developing an integrated roadmap for HS/H engineering development and test and evaluation (T&E) capability requirements is to identify the capability requirements for each discipline.
Strategic	14	This lack of needed data is largely the result of shortcomings in existing test facilities and partly a result of
		the lack of a comprehensive approach to capturing needed validation data.
Strategic	14	Ramjet and Scramjet Engine Acceleration Testing – Most of the off-ramp vehicles will require a ramjet or
		scramjet engine to change thrust output as the vehicle accelerates or decelerates. This will require a test
Strategic	18	AIAA Ground Test Technical Committee (GTC) made the following recommendations:
Ollalogio	10	1) "Development of a knowledgeable test workforce is critical for the national infrastructure."
		2) "Improved test technology is crucial to enabling future system development."
		3) "Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test
		capabilities."
		4) "Divestment of redundant and nonessential test infrastructure is required to focus limited resources on
		critical capabilities and new infrastructure requirements."
Strategic	18	b) New high-speed lest initiastructure is required to meet anticipated requirements for future systems.
Otrategie	10	up to take on the challenges, except maybe for the efforts being done by this working group.
Strategic	18	The aerospace industry (both government and private sector) must adapt to a strategy of maintaining and
		operating key and critical wind tunnels as essential assets which insures the nation's leadership in the
Stratogia	10	Ve are lesing our edge in test capabilities
Programmatic	19	The incremental increase in program costs is proportional to $(1/a)-1$ indicating the potential to easily
riogrammatio	•	double development costs through late defects and rework.
Programmatic	2	NASA's research goals in aeronautics are not currently closely aligned with the aerospace industry's
-		needs.
Programmatic	2	NASA aeronautics' current organization, which relies on program management from NASA Headquarters,
		drives behaviors that inhibit collaboration, stille innovation and risk-taking, and limit the organization s
Programmatic	3	if funding continues to decline. NASA may not be able to claim aeronautics technology leadership from
riogrammatio	0	an international and in some areas even a national perspective.
Programmatic	3	Based on the experience and expertise of its members, the committee believes that the equipment and
Programmatic	2	Over the past 5 years or more the funding of fundamental research at NASA including the funding of
riogrammatic	5	facilities and equipment, has declined dramatically.
		Editor: Shown earlier; this has stabilized in recent years but underfunding persists.
Programmatic	9b	An alternative is to provide strategic financial support for periodic use of the capabilities to exercise staff
		and equipment to maintain knowledge, skills, and equipment.

-		
		Editor: Will have to be provided by the government or a government/industry cooperative arrangement.
Programmatic	9b	Low-use facilities can be closed for long periods, but cost savings may be lower than expected and
		capabilities will degrade quickly.
Programmatic	9b	Mothballing a strategically important facility is preferred to closure, but mothballing still involves risk.
Programmatic	11	There is a shortfall in the capability to flight test hypersonic vehicles overland and limitations on the ability
		to test over the ocean.
Programmatic	13	Despite numerous attempts at acquisition reform, the number of acquisition programs behind schedule
		and over costs continues to escalate.
Programmatic	14	A major cost-reduction gap lies in advanced ground support systems for hypersonic vehicles.
Programmatic	14	definition/development of test capabilities above Mach 8 are all test facility technology gaps that must
		be addressed.
Programmatic	14	Metric tracking of platforms flying at hypersonic speeds are limited by present tracking system slew rates
		and response times, which are too slow to maintain track.
Programmatic	16	Today it takes approximately 2.5 million data points in a wind tunnel test program to develop the stability
		and control (S&C) and performance laws database for a SUT [systems under test].
		Editor: What is the time and data quality comparison of collecting this data in a WT vs CFD
Programmatic	19	We don't know how to measure the infrastructure cost of CFD (high-end computers, personnel, etc.).
Programmatic	18	This is analogous to the national highway system which does not generate income directly but without
Ŭ		which we would not have a viable economy.

F. Recommendations

Group	Doc Ref #	Excerpted Material and Editorial Comments
Approach	10	Demonstrate increased cruise lift-to-drag and innovative airframe structural concepts for highly efficient high-altitude flight and for mobility aircraft. The ability to cruise efficiently at a range of altitudes, enabled by a substantial increase in cruise lift-to-drag ratios over today's high-altitude reconnaissance aircraft, is a critical goal and key element in support of national security, providing sustained presence, long range, and advanced sensing capabilities.
Approach	10	Demonstrate reduced gas turbine specific fuel consumption.
Approach	10	 Develop improved lift, range, and mission capability for rotorcraft. Advanced rotors and rotor hubs, possibly with active blade control, that produce higher lift with reduced loads, vibration, noise, and downwash over a range of flight conditions. High-speed, high-torque drive trains that are quieter, more robust, and require less maintenance. Rotors, prop-rotors, transmissions, propulsion systems, and vehicle control systems that allow large variations in rotor speed and a wider range of operation. Advanced digital flight control systems, vehicle management systems and system architectures that enable enhanced aircraft safety and survivability, improve handling qualities, reduce platform wight reduce platform
Approach	11	Weight, reduce life cycle cost, and support a diverse range of venicles and missions.
Approach	11	the development of N+1, N+2, and N+3 aircraft requires the capability to replicate conditions allowing full annular combustor testing.
Approach	11	Understanding the physics of ice particle threats is necessary to develop monitoring strategies for safe turbine engine operations.
Approach	16	An integrated use of CFD and MDOE, a Basic study for vortex-dominated or massively separated flows, and development of the database for high angle of attack phenomena. Editor: What about the integration of WT test too? Implied in MDOE?
Approach	16	Basic data analysis and reporting should be considered an inherent cost of testing by the customer. Editor: So, not just a data mill. This supports data quality, documents issues and best practices, forces staff to look at results (versus plan) and supports the future
Approach	16	Provide more consistent and effective technical reviews.
		Editor: This forces test performance assessment from user and service provider perspectives; important for relationship building, documenting what worked and what had issues (important for future testing), and used for input for capability and process improvements.
Approach	19	The challenge of aerodynamic testing is powered models. Techniques are needed to help us handle these situations.
Approach	19	We must analyze the wind tunnel in its environment with all interferences in order to understand it. Editor: This is also critical in CED/GT integration
Approach	19	We must develop test and measurement techniques that go beyond what pressure-sensitive paint has been promising for the past 10 years.
GT/CFD	1	a vision needs to be created for innovative ways to bring CSE and testing together to have the maximum impact on the effectiveness of the development process. Editor: A major question is who will have the authority and the resources to lead an effort with maximum results as its goal? Does the US have the will to do this?

GT/CFD	1	To successfully integrate CSE and testing will require advances not only in high-performance computing but in intellectual capital and process management as well. Key recommendations for advancing the use of CSE are as follows:
		 Most importantly, the government has to adopt a monopsony for the application of CSE to the development process for military flight systems.
		 A common architecture for the application of multidisciplinary computational tools in a high- performance computing environment needs to be adopted by the industry. This architecture should
		not preclude use of proprietary physical models from industry, but should enable CSE and testing to
		be optimized for use across any aeronautical development process.
		software tools to best use advanced computer systems, notably, better physics modeling, scalability of solvers to tens of thousands of processors, and better multidisciplinary modeling to enable
		dynamic simulation of complete maneuvering aircraft.
		such as design of experiments, streamlined test methodologies, advanced diagnostic tools, networking,
		and knowledge management. In addition, a concept of operations and the necessary computing capacity need to be developed to support the aeronautical systems engineering process.
GT/CFD	1	Clearly, a strategy for providing sufficient capacity as well as a CONOPS to support design and development of systems will be required to enable any potential success for large-scale application of CSE to the development process.
GT/CFD	1	The proper national debate that needs to be held is not CSE versus test facilities. The aeronautics
		community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated
		. The elements that need to be advanced to re-engineer the aeronautical development process include
		CSE as well as test facilities. In addition, a vision needs to be created for innovative ways to bring CSE
	5	and testing together to have the maximum impact on the effectiveness of the development process.
GI/CFD	5	because of HPC but about how to use HPC to increase the effectiveness of the aeronautical development
		process by reducing the design/acquisition cycle time.
	_	Editor: Major point – investments going forward must include EFD and CFD to meet requirements.
GT/CFD	5	The question to ask is "what new computational tools and hardware should be developed alongside new testing facilities and techniques so that a complementary set of tools to best advance product development
		efforts and reduce risk in the future can be realized?"
		Editor: See previous comment.
GT/CFD	5	A national strategy that focuses investment in both the computational and experimental arenas to optimize both capability and availability is essential. The current debate should be on how to integrate CED and
		wind-tunnel testing technologies comprehensively to bring more value to the product-development cycle.
GT/CFD	6	NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns.
07/055		Editor: Research into integration is happening – see the LaRC UPWT initiative – but funding is limited. The effort needs a champion.
GI/CFD	8	A suggested approach to combining modeling and testing to reduce systemic late detects is illustrated in Figure Using Bayesian statistics, the probability of finding a structural flaw in flight is an accumulation
		of the probabilities of a flaw being overlooked either in design, analysis, ground testing, or assembly of the
		prototype flight article. Since the flight test occurs several years after the design and ground testing phases,
		phase is essentially never done. Consequently, these systemic issues show up in program after program.
		Editor: Is this accurate? Could forensic investigations reveal root sources of some defects that could guide
		improved early test and computational campaigns?
GT/CFD	8	advanced computer hardware and software to increase the effectiveness of CSE in the aeronautical
		development process.
		Editor: Tools are inert objects without use. How tools are used are at the root of their development and then must be used by qualified people using effective processes.
GT/CFD	8	The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE
		can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems. Effectiveness in the context of this article means the ability to reduce the overall
		cycle time for development while minimizing the need for rework of late defect discoveries.
		Editor: Again, cycle time reduction by defect reduction; points to need for integrated development using EFD and CFD.
GT/CFD	8	The need for robust V&V also underscores the requirements to put error bars on the computational results
		it is deterministic for a given computation, will have zero precision errors but could have excessive bias
		errors driven by grid resolution, time steps, numerical dissipation, boundary conditions, and physics
		modeling. On the other hand, experimental data can have both precision and bias errors. Precision errors at the 95% confidence level are usually well documented in the experiment, but attention needs to be paid

		to bias errors driven by geometric modifications of a scaled model, Reynolds number scaling, wall
		Editor: Lineartainty analysis for CED is required just as it's required for WT data
GT/CED	8	The proper debate needs to be centered on how Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) and wind
01/01 0	0	tunnel testing can be integrated to reduce the overall cycle time for development of an aeronautical system.
GT/CFD	16	Elements of this more aggressive collaborative study could include:
		An integrated use of advanced computational fluid dynamics (CFD) codes, modern design of
		experiments (MDOE), and "fly the mission" testing techniques to reduce the overall test hours in a wind tunnel "comparing"
		 A basic theoretical/experimental/computational study of scaling principles for vortex-dominated or
		massively separated flows.
		Concomitant with the high-alpha study above, the same collaborative effort could help develop a
		database for validation of the next generation of CFD codes.
GT/CFD	17	Ground testing, flight testing, and modeling and simulation must continue to be fused into a routine by
		the data from previous tests and models. The systematic utilization of these information sources will allow
		customers to benefit from a procedure that is seamless and easily used In order for this to occur, the
		evolution of analytical models into flexible processes that enable the application of any of these models at
		any point in the system development is needed.
GT/CFD	19	The comment is valid in that we need to use inexpensive (never cheap) data sources early-on when
		tolerance for uncertainty is highest, then switch to more accurate data sources (and yes usually more
GT/CFD	19	EFD and CFD tools must be developed in tandem.
GT/CFD	19	Need CFD to extrapolate Reynolds number. We look for parallel measurements to compare EFD and CFD
		in meaningful ways. For example, we extrapolate wakes, etc., to get comparisons.
GT/CFD	19	Need to have effective coordination between EFD and CFD; common criteria are required.
GT/CFD	19	and competition for funding. Need customer "pull" to develop capability: however, the customer doesn't
		want to pay for it.
Efficiency	5	To shorten the aircraft and aerospace vehicle design cycle, enable efficient and economical study and
		development of advanced, nontraditional design concepts, and reduce the costs associated with physical
		testing/infrastructure, an aggressive research program in turbulence modeling and CFD algorithmic/
		numerical/naroware architecture issues, including experimental validation, is required. Specifically, research needs to be conducted in the following areas:
		Higher moment (e.a., second-order-closure) turbulence modeling.
		Accurate wall modeling for LES.
		 Modeling of a continuous interface between RANS and LES.
		High-order methods for low dissipation/dispersion schemes.
		Fast, robust solver technology.
		Output-based 3D viscous grid adaptation and error estimation. Strategies for exploiting the potential of future computer bardware
		 Carefully designed experiments to aid in the development of physical models and CED validation.
Efficiency	8	The proper debate needs to be centered on how Computational Science and Engineering (CSE) and wind
		tunnel testing can be integrated to reduce the overall cycle time for development of an aeronautical system.
Efficiency	19	Whether experimental or CFD, the concern is how long it takes to produce information for flight prediction;
		customer's accuracy requirements which are becoming more stringent in many ways: e.g. cruise and
		pitching moment considerations.
Sustainment	18	Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities.
Investment	1	Hence, to fully implement CSE into the design and development of military flight systems will require the
		government to create a monopsony (a single customer vs. a single supplier as in a monopoly). The
		Government guidance on the systems engineering approach to design and development fully
		integrating testing and CSE.
		• A common architecture for applied CSE, enabling optimization for large-scale computing,
		multidisciplinary dynamic simulations, standard libraries, and databases for DoD systems,
		A modular "plug and play" environment permitting OEMs to use their own proprietary CSE tools, but in the common development process.
		A critical mass of government CSE applications experts to ensure development and sustainment of
		the common architecture as well as to provide the government the ability to perform independent
		assessment of OEM designs during the acquisition process.
Investment	1	The best way to minimize the impact of rework on cycle time is early discovery of defects. This will entail
		improvements in design methodologies employed by aircraft companies coupled with improvements in wind tunnel testing and modeling techniques. These latter improvements minimize any defects in design
		being passed downstream to flight testing, where the cost of fixing the defect increases an order of
		magnitude. Also, feedback loops from discrepancies found inflight testing back to ground testing and back
		to design methodology need to be institutionalized to make further improvements. A primary target for
		decreasing rework is improving the early determination of the impact of steady and unsteady flow effects

		on the vehicle structure. Historically, most aircraft development programs have discovered 10 structural flaws inflight with varying degrees of cost and schedule impacts that can reach a billion dollars and a year to overcome.
Investment	5	 An urgent need exists to reinvigorate research in computational science and engineering at NASA, as well as to provide access to the state-of-the-art computer hardware to NASA scientists. The following broad recommendations from a DoE study [Internal Reference] are also relevant to aeronautics MODSIM: "Major new investments in computational science are needed in all of the mission areas Such investments will extend the important scientific opportunities that have been obtained by a fusion of sustained advances in scientific models, mathematical algorithms, computer architecture, and scientific software engineering." "Extensive investment in new computational facilities is strongly recommended, since simulation now cost-effectively complements experimentation in the pursuit of the answers to numerous scientific questions. New facilities should strike a balance between capability computing for those 'heroic simulations' that cannot be performed any other way, and capacity computing for 'production' simulations that contribute to the steady stream of progress." "Additional investments in hardware facilities and software infrastructure should be accompanied by sustained collateral investments in algorithm research and theoretical development. Improvements in basic theory and algorithms have contributed as much to increases in computational simulation capability as improvements in hardware and software over the first six decades of scientific computing."
Investment	5	Because the solution cost of high-order methods varies strongly with grid size, robust grid adaptation is needed to optimally distribute the grid and minimize the overall grid size. Therefore, the success of high-order-methods technology requires advancement in efficient solvers, as well as high-fidelity grid generation and adaptation.
Investment	5	The challenges that are faced by CFD (e.g., unsteady separation, boundary-layer transition) are such that they cannot be resolved by the mere availability of faster machines. Research is needed for the development of more accurate numerical schemes, advanced solver technology, grid adaptation, error estimation, physics modeling, and schemes for efficiently exploiting the capabilities of future massively parallel machines.
Investment	5	This may be the time to "cash in" the current aging infrastructure to build a test facility that is designed to fully implement advances in computational science and engineering and advanced diagnostic tools. Such a facility could be energy efficient and "green" as well.
Investment	5	 To shorten the aircraft and aerospace vehicle design cycle, enable efficient and economical study and development of advanced, nontraditional design concepts, and reduce the costs associated with physical testing/infrastructure, an aggressive research program in turbulence modeling and CFD algorithmic/numerical/hardware architecture issues, including experimental validation, is required. Specifically, research needs to be conducted in the following areas: Higher moment (e.g., second-order-closure) turbulence modeling. Accurate wall modeling for LES. Modeling of a continuous interface between RANS and LES. High-order methods for low dissipation/dispersion schemes. Fast, robust solver technology. Output-based 3D viscous grid adaptation and error estimation. Strategies for exploiting the potential of future computer hardware. Carefully designed experiments to aid in the development of physical models and CFD validation.
Investment	8	The need for robust V&V also underscores the requirements to put error bars on the computational results as well as the experimental results. However, one must exercise caution in doing so. A CSE solution, since it is deterministic for a given computation, will have zero precision errors but could have excessive bias errors driven by grid resolution, time steps, numerical dissipation, boundary conditions, and physics modeling. On the other hand, experimental data can have both precision and bias errors. Precision errors at the 95% confidence level are usually well documented in the experiment, but attention needs to be paid to bias errors driven by geometric modifications of a scaled model, Reynolds number scaling, wall interference, support interference, etc.
Investment	9a	The most readily identifiable major investment need from our survey of users is associated with the hypersonic vehicle programs. Serious research challenges in hypersonic air-breathing propulsion (e.g., vitiated/non-vitiated issues in hypersonic propulsion facilities) may require new facilities and test approaches for breakthroughs to occur.
Investment	11	A large static rig facility is needed for testing full-scale prototype rotorcraft systems.
Investment	11	An improved large-scale, low-speed capability (from the suite of existing subsonic wind tunnels), with enhanced anechoic treatments and with greatly improved wind tunnel flow quality, is needed to meet future acoustic test requirements. These facility modifications are critical to achieving goals for fuel efficiency,

		noise reduction, and military rotorcraft mission capability. This shortfall exists in the near, mid, and far
		terms.
	10	Editor: Some of this already (being) done at LaRC 14x22 & GRC 9x15, NFAC
Investment	12	Modern Intrastructure: nigh quality R&D intrastructure as a fundamental pillar of efficient nigh-technology research, ranging from wind tunnels to experimental aircraft
Investment	18	Maintenance and improvement of key test assets is a vital component of enabling future test capabilities.
Investment	18	New high-speed test infrastructure is required to meet anticipated requirements for future systems.
Investment	19	A large-scale (16-ft square test section) (AEDC/16S) supersonic wind tunnel testing capability is needed
	0.	for the development of expanded missile defense vehicles.
vvorktorce	9a	Mothballing incurs the loss of important workforce expertise and knowledge.
Markforce	10	Editor: Workforce (and capability) is lost; it's very difficult to reconstitute if the facility is reactivated.
Workforce	12	A skilled workforce, possessing the quality, skills and motivation to meet the challenges of the future.
Workforce	16	Efforts should be undertaken by the Air Force T&E Enterprise to share information on its technical
	_	excellence initiatives with the larger, national T&E and acquisition workforces.
Workforce	18	Development of a knowledgeable test workforce is critical for the national infrastructure.
Workforce	19	Need "data reductionists" and to design software to handle data.
Strategic	1	a concept of operations and the necessary computing capacity needs to be developed to support the aeronautical systems engineering process.
Strategic	1	The proper national debate that needs to be held is not CSE versus test facilities. The aeronautics
		community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE can be integrated
		with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the development of systems.
		CSE as well as test facilities. In addition, a vision needs to be created for innovative ways to bring CSE
		and testing together to have the maximum impact on the effectiveness of the development process.
Strategic	1	CSE alone will not provide maximum impact to cycle time reduction but must be integrated with other tools
		such as design of experiments, streamlined test methodologies, advanced diagnostic tools, networking,
		and knowledge management.
		Editor: Must be a sustained investment in integrated CSE and EFD to achieve gains in cycle time reduction with equal or better results.
Strategic	6	NASA should develop, foster, and leverage improved collaborations with key research partners and
	_	industrial stakeholders across disciplines within the broader scientific and engineering communities.
		Leverage other government agencies and stakeholders (US and foreign) outside of the aerospace
		field.
Stratagia	6	Improve collaboration with industry.
Strategic	0	validation campaigns.
		Editor: If not NASA who?
Strategic	7	Enable and incentivize a much shorter time to market from initial research to commercialization assisted
		by an integrated, research and innovation friendly environment.
Strategic	8	The aeronautics community would be better served putting their energy into creating a vision for how CSE
		can be integrated with physical testing processes to increase the effectiveness of both during the
		cycle time for development while minimizing the need for rework of late defect discoveries
Strategic	8	There is also the potential for sharing some of the same modeling methodologies between the structural
Ū.		analysts and the propulsion system designers. The fluid-structure interactions that drive structural design
		exhibit the same fundamental physics as the fluid-structure interactions on the aeromechanics of fan and
Stratagia	00	compressor blades.
Strategic	98	could affect the determination of the future minimum set of facilities NASA must continue to support
		Editor: Isn't this what NPAT (National Partnership for Aeronautical Testing) is for?
Strategic	9a	The most critical issue is for NASA headquarters leadership to develop a specific and clearly understood
Ŭ		aeronautics test technology vision and plan.
		Editor: Leadership?
Strategic	9a	When redundancy is eliminated, utilization reflects management challenges to keep low-use facilities
		healthy for future needs, given low revenues from testing rather than a metric of the number of facilities of
		each type that the country needs.
Stratagia	Oh	Editor: Leadership? Rewards are based on near-term results.
Strategic	ae	These canabilities continue to be strategically important to aeronautics research, defense
		commercial and space sectors.
Strategic	11	Interdependencies and overlapping research goals among research agencies in the Federal Government
Ŭ		create a need for closer cooperation and coordination of processes and facilities planning. Some of the
		barriers to improved cooperation can be lowered by leadership from the Federal departments and agencies
		that own critical infrastructure, the NSTC and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). There are a

		number of existing bilateral collaborations on planning and coordination of aeronautics RDT&E infrastructure, and these efforts should be continued and expanded.
		Editor: Leadership and collaboration so all organizations benefit.
Strategic	12	The following enablers are needed to achieve the goals:
		 Optimization of the research and innovation lifecycle: encompassing the full European aviation sector, defining research roadmaps which cover all the successive steps of the innovation cycle.
Strategic	16	To protect national interests it is imperative that NASA and DoD cooperate both boldly and broadly.
		Editor: Isn't this what NPAT is for?
Strategic	16	 Elements of this more aggressive collaborative study could include: An integrated use of advanced computational fluid dynamics (CFD) codes, modern design of experiments (MDOE), and "fly the mission" testing techniques to reduce the overall test hours in a wind tunnel "campaign". A basic theoretical/experimental/computational study of scaling principles for vortex-dominated or massively separated flows. Concomitant with the high-alpha study above, the same collaborative effort could help develop a database for validation of the next generation of CED codes.
Strategic	18	Divestment of redundant and nonessential test infrastructure is required to focus limited resources on critical capabilities and new infrastructure requirements.
		Editor: Tunnel huggers don't like giving up anything! The nation has lost a lot of test infrastructure over the last 25 years; often due to local situations. Was what was needed for the future retained? Suggest a formal view on what is needed and take actions to support and invest in the future. Unneeded facilities are monuments.
Strategic	18	This paper describes what the ideal future would look like: "The most ideal future scenario will include highly integrated computational and physical simulation capable of rapid evaluation of concepts and configurations. Robust and reliable CFD modeling simulation will be used to evaluate and narrow the design options to a chosen few. Rapid wind tunnel model design and fabrication would begin taking advantage of light-weight, easily workable, and high-strength materials to manufacture modularized model parts for testing. Wind tunnels would be readily available with capabilities spanning a large speed range and flow visualization at any speed, efficient data gathering process (hardware and software), automation that reduces model changes, and adaptable to various types of testing, i.e., aero, propulsion, loads, and noise. Entries in the tunnel will be shorter and more rapid, providing focused physical validation of analytic estimates and, where appropriate, volumes of data required for extensive control law and flight envelope expansion."
Strategic	19	EFD and CFD tools must be developed in tandem.
-		Editor: With a defined set of guidelines/requirements
Strategic	19	Need capabilities for both computationalists and aerodynamicists to understand each step of the process; must not expect each participant to understand every detail of developing the information.
Strategic	19	Need to have people from both worlds (experimental and theory) available to the aerodynamicist. We obtain much data from experiments, and orders of magnitude more from CFD.
Strategic	19	 The value of wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost. For example, testing the interaction of fins and jets requires large-scale supersonic capability. This is why the inactivation of 16S has been a big loss to the missile community. In making this statement, we need to make sure we can communicate in what ways the missile community has suffered loss. This is not apparent to the decision makers and the case for the high cost of reactivation and sustainment has not been made yet. Editor: Treat capabilities as tools, with value based on contributions to product.
Strategic	19	We should use what dollars we have to make what we have a little better. We need a program or a clear direction to go to tell us what facility capabilities we need to invest in. Editor: Leadership!
Programmatic	2	NASA aeronautics should aggressively pursue collaboration with the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the U.S. aerospace industry, and international aeronautics research agencies. NASA should adopt management practices to facilitate effective collaboration and treat external organizations as customers and partners.
Programmatic	2	NASA aeronautics should become the nation's repository of flight research data and flight test results and should make these archival data readily accessible to key stakeholders—the engineers and scientists in industry accession
Programmatic	3	Sufficient equipment and support services needed to conduct high-quality fundamental research should be provided to NASA's research community.
Programmatic	3	NASA should find a solution to its deferred maintenance issues before catastrophic failures occur that will seriously impact missions and research operations To optimize limited maintenance resources, NASA should implement predictive-equipment-failure processes, often known as health monitoring, currently used by many organizations. Editor: Some improvement since this was written; much of the progress was accomplished by implementing the generation to a market and market as a more than the generation to a market of the progress.
		implementing the second input, making some hard decisions on levels of support and moving to a more

		proactive condition based maintenance using improved health monitoring techniques. However, a large maintenance backlog remains.
Programmatic	6	NASA should lead efforts to develop and execute integrated experimental testing and computational validation campaigns.
Programmatic	9a	NASA should manage its portfolio to keep its minimum-set WT/PT facilities healthy and open for business. Most importantly, for those facilities in most financial danger, NASA should identify financial shared support to keep them from entering financial collapse because of variable utilization, FCR accounting, and lack of program support for long-term national benefits.
		Editor: Management and leadership - AETC is tasked with doing this for key capabilities with NASA.
Programmatic	9a	NASA should use shared funding of annual full costs to set transfer prices. This approach allows centers and users to split budgetary burden.
		Editor: Cost management
Programmatic	9a	The most critical issue is for NASA headquarters leadership to develop a specific and clearly understood aeronautics test technology vision and plan, to continue to support the development of plans to very selectively consolidate and broadly modernize existing test facilities, and to proscribe common management and accounting directions for NASA's WT/PT facilities.
		Editor: Maybe "leadership"? More likely vision, perhaps "collaborative vision"; who does this and how?
Programmatic	9a	When redundancy is eliminated, utilization reflects management challenges to keep low-use facilities healthy for future needs, given low revenues from testing rather than a metric of the number of facilities of each type that the country needs.
Programmatic	19	The value of wind tunnels for weapon systems should be measured by the quality of flight systems we can produce rather than cost.
Programmatic	19	We should use what dollars we have to make what we have a little better. We need a program or a clear direction to go to tell us what facility capabilities we need to invest in.
Systems Integration	1	feedback loops from discrepancies found inflight testing back to ground testing and back to design methodology need to be institutionalized to make further improvements. A primary target for decreasing rework is improving the early determination of the impact of steady and unsteady flow effects on the vehicle structure. Historically, most aircraft development programs have discovered 10 structural flaws inflight with varying degrees of cost and schedule impacts that can reach a billion dollars and a year to overcome.
Systems Integration	8	There is also the potential for sharing some of the same modeling methodologies between the structural analysts and the propulsion system designers. The fluid-structure interactions that drive structural design exhibit the same fundamental physics as the fluid-structure interactions on the aeromechanics of fan and compressor blades. Editor: Collaborative opportunity; potential force multiplier.

Acknowledgments

The editors wish to recognize and thank the many people, members of the GTTC and a number of nonmembers, for helping with this effort – participating in conference meetings, telecoms, reviewing source material, and reviewing and improving this paper. Specific thanks are due to Michael Mills, Paul Kelly, Timothy Wadhams, Stephen Ryle, Joe Patrick, Paul Piscopo, Steven Bauer, and Eric Walker for reviewing the paper draft and making inputs that resulted in significant improvement. From the many meetings and discussion, it is clear that these many people invested their time because they care about the future of aerospace and recognize its importance to the United States and to the world.

Disclaimer of Endorsement

Neither the U.S. Government nor NASA endorse or recommend any commercial products, processes, or services. Reference to or appearance of any specific commercial products, processes, or services by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, in NASA materials does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or NASA and are presented for reporting purposes only.

The views and opinions of the author(s) expressed in this report do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government, NASA, Aero Systems Engineering, Inc., and/or Jacobs and they may not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

References

- Slotnik, J., Khodadoust, A., Alonso, J., Darmofal, D., Gropp, W., Lurie, E., and Mavriplis, D., "CFD Vision 2030 Study: A Path to Revolutionary Computational Aerosciences", NASA/CR-2014-218178, March 2014.
- 2. Anderson, J. D., "The Airplane: A History of Its Technology", 2002.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science, "Definitions of Key Terms", http://www.aaas.org/page/definitionskey-terms, May 23, 2016
- 4. American Association for the Advancement of Science, "Historical Trends in Federal R&D", http://www.aaas.org/page/historical-trends-federal-rd, May 23, 2016.
- Kraft, E. M., "Integrating Computational Science and Engineering with Testing to Re-Engineer the Aeronautical Development Process", 48th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2010, AIAA 2010-139.
- 6. Committee to Assess NASA's Aeronautics Flight Research Capabilities, National Research Council of the National Academies, "Recapturing NASA's Aeronautics Flight Research Capabilities", The National Academies Press, 2010.
- 7. Committee on the Assessment of NASA's Laboratory Capabilities, National Research Council of the National Academies, "Capabilities for the Future: An Assessment of NASA Laboratories for Basic Research", The National Academies Press, 2010.
- Kallimani, J., Ohlandt, C., Anton, P. and Osburg, J, "Future Test Needs of U.S. National Wind Tunnels for NASA's Aeronautics Test Program: An Approach for Mapping Ground Test Facility Usage Projections into Capability Projections", 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2011, AIAA 2011-1069.
- 9. Malik, M., and Bushnell, D., "Role of Computational Fluid Dynamics and Wind Tunnels in Aeronautics R and D", NASA/TP-2012-217602, November 2012.
- 10. European Commission, "Flightpath 2050, Europe's Vision for Aviation", Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2011.
- 11. Kraft, E. M., "After 40 Years Why Hasn't the Computer Replaced the Wind Tunnel?", ITEA Journal, 2010, 31: 329-346.
- Anton, P. S, Johnson, D. J., Block, M., Brown, M., Drezner, J., Dryden, J., Gritton, E. C., Hamilton, T., Hogan, T., Mesic, R., Peetz, D., Raman, R., Steinberg, P., Strong, J., and Trimble, W., "Wind Tunnel and Propulsion Test Facilities", Rand Corporation, 2004.
- 13. Anton, P. S, Raman, R., Osburg, J., and Kallimani, J. G., "An Update of the Nation's Long-Term Strategic Needs for NASA's Aeronautics Test Facilities", Rand Corporation, 2009.
- 14. The National Science and Technology Council, "National Aeronautics Research and Development Plan", Office of Science and Technology Policy, Washington, D.C., February, 2010.
- 15. The National Science and Technology Council, "National Aeronautics Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Infrastructure Plan", Office of Science and Technology Policy, Washington, D.C., January, 2011.
- 16. Advisory Council for Aviation Research and Innovation in Europe, "Realising Europe's Vision for Aviation, Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda, Volume 1", September, 2012.
- 17. Kraft, E. M., "HPCMP CREATE[™]-AV and the Air Force Digital Thread", 53rd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting. January 2015, AIAA 2015-0042.
- Fetterhoff, T., Kraft, E., Laster, M., and Cockson, W., "High-Speed/Hypersonic Test and Evaluation Infrastructure Capabilities Study", 14th AIAA/AHI Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference. November 2006, AIAA 2006-8043.
- 19. Melanson, M., "An Assessment of the Increase in Wind Tunnel Testing Requirements for Airvehicle Development Over the last 50 Years", 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit. January 2008, AIAA 2008-830.
- 20. Best, J., Kraft, E., and Huber, A., "Revitalizing the Technical Excellence of the Workforce at the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) and Beyond", 2008 U.S. Air Force T&E Days. February 2008, AIAA 2008-1611.
- 21. Skelley, M., Langham, T., and Peters, W., "Integrated Test and Evaluation for the 21st Century", USAF Developmental Test and Evaluation Summit. November, 2004, AIAA 2004-6873.
- 22. Melanson, M., Chang, M., and Baker II, W., "Wind Tunnel Testing's Future: A Vision of the Next Generation of Wind Tunnel Test Requirements and Facilities", 48th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition. January 2010, AIAA 2010-142.
- 23. Dunn, S. C., "Direction and Integration of EFD and CFD, a Summary of Two Panel Sessions," 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 2016, AIAA 2016-0896.
- 24. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Computational Fluid Dynamics Technical Committee, "Guide for the Verification and Validation of Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulations", AIAA G-077-1998, 2002.
- 25. Morgan, D., "NASA Appropriations and Authorizations: A Fact Sheet", Congressional Research Service, September 11, 2017.
- "Propulsion Systems Laboratory", page last updated August 14, 2017, retrieved from https://www1.grc.nasa.gov/facilities/psl/.

27. Mills, M. L., "Feasibility of Operating the AEDC Supersonic Wind Tunnel (16S) Up to Mach Number 6", AIAA 2004-1138, 2004.