

## Strong Insertion of a Contra-Continuous Function Between Two Comparable Contra-B–Continuous Functions

MAJID MIRMIRAN

Department of Mathematics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran.

[mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir](mailto:mirmir@sci.ui.ac.ir)

### Abstract

Enough condition in terms of lower cut sets are given for the strong insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable contra-b–continuous real-valued functions on such topological spaces that kernel of sets is open.

**Indexing terms/Keywords:** Weak insertion, Strong binary relation, Contra-b-continuous function, kernel-sets, Lower cut set.

**Subject Classification:** MSC (2010): Primary 54C08, 54C10, 54C50; Secondary 26A15, 54C30.

**Supporting Agencies:** University of Isfahan and Centre of Excellence for Mathematics(University of Isfahan).

Date of Publication : 30-08-2018

Volume : 1 Issue : 2

Journal : MathLAB Journal

website : <https://purkh.com>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



## 1 Introduction

The concept of a preopen set in a topological space was introduced by H. H. Corson and E. Michael in 1964 [5]. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called preopen or locally dense or nearly open if  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(C I(A))$ . A set  $A$  is called preclosed if its complement is preopen or equivalently if  $CI(\text{Int}(A)) \subseteq A$ . The term ,preopen, was used for the first time by A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb [22], while the concept of a , locally dense, set was introduced by H. H. Corson and E. Michael [5].

The concept of a semi-open set in a topological space was introduced by N. Levine in 1963 [19]. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called semi- open [19] if  $A \subseteq CI(\text{Int}(A))$ . A set  $A$  is called semi-closed if its complement is semi-open or equivalently if  $\text{Int}(C I(A)) \subseteq A$ .

D. Andrijevic introduced a new class of generalized open sets in a topological space, so called  $b$ -open sets [2]. This type of sets discussed by A. A. El-Atik under the name of  $\gamma$ -open sets [11]. This class is closed under arbitrary union. The class of  $b$ -open sets contains all semi-open sets and preopen sets. The class of  $b$ -open sets generates the same topology as the class of preopen sets. D. Andrijevic studied several fundamental and interesting properties of  $b$ -open sets. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $b$ -open if  $A \subseteq CI(\text{Int}(A)) \cup \text{Int}(C I(A))$  [1]. A set  $A$  is called  $b$ -closed if its complement is  $b$ -open or equivalently if  $CI(\text{Int}(A)) \cap \text{Int}(C I(A)) \subseteq A$ .

A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in [21]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them  $V$ -sets. Complements of  $V$ -sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called  $\Lambda$ -sets [21].

Recall that a real-valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space  $X$  is called  $A$ -continuous [26] if the preimage of every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $A$ , where  $A$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ . Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of  $A$ -continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [6, 13].

In the recent literature many topologists had focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity.

J. Dontchev in [7] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers [1, 4, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 25].

Hence, a real-valued function  $f$  defined on a topological space  $X$  is called contra-continuous (resp. contra- $b$ -continuous) if the preimage of every open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed (resp.  $b$ -closed) in  $X$  [7].

Results of Katětov [16, 17] concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [3], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient conditions for the insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions on such topological spaces that  $\Lambda$ -sets or kernel of sets are open [21]. If  $g$  and  $f$  are real-valued functions defined on a space  $X$ , we write  $g \leq f$  in case  $g(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [18].

A property  $P$  defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space is a  $cc$ -property provided that any constant function has property  $P$  and provided that the sum of a function with property  $P$  and any contra-continuous function also has property  $P$ . If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are  $cc$ -properties, the following terminology is used:(i) A space  $X$  has the weak  $cc$ -insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$  if and only if for any functions  $g$  and  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $g \leq f$ ,  $g$  has property  $P_1$  and  $f$  has property  $P_2$ , then there



exists a contra-continuous function  $h$  such that  $g \leq h \leq f$ .(ii) A space  $X$  has the strong cc-insertion property for  $(P_1, P_2)$  if and only if for any functions  $g$  and  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $g \leq f$ ,  $g$  has property  $P_1$  and  $f$  has property  $P_2$ , then there exists a contra-continuous function  $h$  such that  $g \leq h \leq f$  and if  $g(x) < f(x)$  for any  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $g(x) < h(x) < f(x)$ .

In this paper, for a topological space whose  $\Lambda$ -sets or kernel of sets are open, is given a sufficient condition for the weak cc-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak cc-insertion property, we give a sufficient conditions for the space to have the strong cc-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results. In addition, the weak insertion of a contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) function has also recently considered by the author in [23].

## 2 The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for insertability of a contra-continuous function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated. The abbreviations cc and cbc are used for contra-continuous and contra-b-continuous, respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We define the subsets  $A^\wedge$  and  $A^\vee$  as follows:

$$A^\wedge = \cap \{O : O \supseteq A, O \in (X, \tau)\} \text{ and } A^\vee = \cup \{F : F \subseteq A, F^c \in (X, \tau)\}.$$

In [8, 20, 24],  $A^\wedge$  is called the kernel of  $A$ .

The family of all b-open and b-closed will be denoted by  $bO(X, \tau)$  and  $bC(X, \tau)$ , respectively. We define the subsets  $b(A^\wedge)$  and  $b(A^\vee)$  as follows:

$$b(A^\wedge) = \cap \{O : O \supseteq A, O \in bO(X, \tau)\} \text{ and } b(A^\vee) = \cup \{F : F \subseteq A, F \in bC(X, \tau)\}. b(A^\wedge) \text{ is called the b - kernel of } A.$$

Proposition 2.1. (D. Andrijevic [2]) (i) The union of any family of b-open sets is a b-open set.

(ii) The intersection of an open and a b-open is a b-open set. The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [16, 17].

Definition 2.2. If  $\rho$  is a binary relation in a set  $S$  then  $\bar{\rho}$  is defined as follows:  $x \bar{\rho} y$  if and only if  $y \rho v$  implies  $x \rho v$  and  $u \rho x$  implies  $u \rho y$  for any  $u$  and  $v$  in  $S$ .

Definition 2.3. A binary relation  $\rho$  in the power set  $P(X)$  of a topological space  $X$  is called a strong binary relation in  $P(X)$  in case  $\rho$  satisfies each of the following conditions:

1) If  $A_i \rho B_j$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then there exists a set  $C$  in  $P(X)$  such that  $A_i \rho C$  and  $C \rho B_j$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $A \bar{\rho} B$ .

3) If  $A \rho B$ , then  $A^\wedge \subseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq B^\vee$ .

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [3] as follows:



**Definition 2.4.** If  $f$  is a real-valued function defined on a space  $X$  and if  $\{x \in X : f(x) < i\} \subseteq A(f, i) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq i\}$  for a real number  $i$ , then  $A(f, i)$  is called a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of  $f$  at the level  $i$ .

We now give the following main result: **Theorem 2.1.** Let  $g$  and  $f$  be real-valued functions on the topological space  $X$ , in which kernel sets are open, with  $g \leq f$ . If there exists a strong binary relation  $\rho$  on the power set of  $X$  and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets  $A(f, t)$  and  $A(g, t)$  in the domain of  $f$  and  $g$  at the level  $t$  for each rational number  $t$  such that if  $t_1 < t_2$  then  $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$ , then there exists a contra-continuous function  $h$  defined on  $X$  such that  $g \leq h \leq f$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g$  and  $f$  be real-valued functions defined on the  $X$  such that  $g \leq f$ . By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation  $\rho$  on the power set of  $X$  and there exist lower indefinite cut sets  $A(f, t)$  and  $A(g, t)$  in the domain of  $f$  and  $g$  at the level  $t$  for each rational number  $t$  such that if  $t_1 < t_2$  then  $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$ .

Define functions  $F$  and  $G$  mapping the rational numbers  $Q$  into the power set of  $X$  by  $F(t) = A(f, t)$  and  $G(t) = A(g, t)$ . If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any elements of  $Q$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $F(t_1) \bar{\rho} F(t_2)$ ,  $G(t_1) \bar{\rho} G(t_2)$ , and  $F(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$ . By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [17] it follows that there exists a function  $H$  mapping  $Q$  into the power set of  $X$  such that if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any rational numbers with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then  $F(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$ ,  $H(t_1) \rho H(t_2)$  and  $H(t_1) \rho G(t_2)$ .

For any  $x$  in  $X$ , let  $h(x) = \inf\{t \in Q : x \in H(t)\}$ .

We first verify that  $g \leq h \leq f$ : If  $x$  is in  $H(t)$  then  $x$  is in  $G(t^0)$  for any  $t^0 > t$ ; since  $x$  is in  $G(t^0) = A(g, t^0)$  implies that  $g(x) \leq t^0$ , it follows that  $g(x) \leq t$ . Hence  $g \leq h$ . If  $x$  is not in  $H(t)$ , then  $x$  is not in  $F(t^0)$  for any  $t^0 < t$ ; since  $x$  is not in  $F(t^0) = A(f, t^0)$  implies that  $f(x) > t^0$ , it follows that  $f(x) \geq t$ . Hence  $h \leq f$ .

Also, for any rational numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , we have  $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = H(t_2)^V \setminus H(t_1)^\wedge$ . Hence  $h^{-1}(t_1, t_2)$  is closed in  $X$ , i.e.,  $h$  is a contra-continuous function on  $X$ . The above proof used the technique of theorem 1 in [16].

### 3 Applications

Before stating the consequences of theorems 2.1, we suppose that  $X$  is a topological space whose kernel sets are open.

**Corollary 3.1.** If for each pair of disjoint  $b$ -open sets  $G_1, G_2$  of  $X$ , there exist closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $X$  such that  $G_1 \subseteq F_1$ ,  $G_2 \subseteq F_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  then  $X$  has the weak  $cc$ -insertion property for  $(cbc, cbc)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g$  and  $f$  be real-valued functions defined on  $X$ , such that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $cbc$ , and  $g \leq f$ . If a binary relation  $\rho$  is defined by  $A \rho B$  in case  $b(A^\wedge) \subseteq b(B^V)$ , then by hypothesis  $\rho$  is a strong binary relation in the power set of  $X$ . If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are any elements of  $Q$  with  $t_1 < t_2$ , then

$$A(f, t_1) \subseteq \{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\} \subseteq \{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\} \subseteq A(g, t_2);$$



since  $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq t_1\}$  is a b-open set and since  $\{x \in X : g(x) < t_2\}$  is a b-closed set, it follows that  $b(A(f, t_1)^\wedge) \subseteq b(A(g, t_2)^\vee)$ . Hence  $t_1 < t_2$  implies that  $A(f, t_1) \rho A(g, t_2)$ . The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 3.2.** If for each pair of disjoint b-open sets  $G_1, G_2$ , there exist closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that  $G_1 \subseteq F_1$ ,  $G_2 \subseteq F_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  then every contra-b-continuous function is contra-continuous.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a real-valued contra-b-continuous function defined on  $X$ . Set  $g = f$ , then by Corollary 3.1, there exists a contra-continuous function  $h$  such that  $g = h = f$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** If for each pair of disjoint b-open sets  $G_1, G_2$  of  $X$ , there exist closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $X$  such that  $G_1 \subseteq F_1$ ,  $G_2 \subseteq F_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  then  $X$  has the strong cc-insertion property for (cbc, cbc).

**Proof.** Let  $g$  and  $f$  be real-valued functions defined on the  $X$ , such that  $f$  and  $g$  are cbc, and  $g \leq f$ . Set  $h = (f + g)/2$ , thus  $g \leq h \leq f$  and if  $g(x) < f(x)$  for any  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $g(x) < h(x) < f(x)$ . Also, by Corollary 3.2, since  $g$  and  $f$  are contra-continuous functions hence  $h$  is a contra-continuous function.

### Acknowledgement

This research was partially supported by Centre of Excellence for Mathematics (University of Isfahan).

### References

- [1] A. Al-Omari and M.S. Md Noorani, Some properties of contra-b-continuous and almost contra-b-continuous functions, *European J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 2(2)(2009), 213-230.
- [2] D. Andrijevic, On b-open sets, *Mat. Vesnik.*, 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [3] F. Brooks, Indefinite cut sets for real functions, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 78(1971), 1007-1010.
- [4] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, Some properties of contra- $\beta$ -continuous functions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ.*, 22(2001), 19-28.
- [5] H. H. Corson and E. Michael, Metrizable of certain countable unions, *Illinois J. Math.*, 8 (1964), 351-360.
- [6] J. Dontchev, The characterization of some peculiar topological space via  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 69(1-2)(1995), 67-71.
- [7] J. Dontchev, Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed space, *Intrnat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 19(2)(1996), 303-310.
- [8] J. Dontchev, and H. Maki, On sg-closed sets and semi- $\lambda$ -closed sets, *Questions Answers Gen. Topology*, 15(2)(1997), 259-266.
- [9] E. Ekici, On contra-continuity, *Annales Univ. Sci. Bodapest*, 47(2004), 127-137.
- [10] E. Ekici, New forms of contra-continuity, *Carpathian J. Math.*, 24(1)(2008), 37-45.



- [11] A. A. El-Atik, A study of some types of mappings on topological spaces, M. Sc. Thesis, Tanta Univ., (1997).
- [12] A.I. El-Magbrabi, Some properties of contra-continuous mappings, *Int. J. General Topol.*, 3(1-2)(2010), 55-64.
- [13] M. Ganster and I. Reilly, A decomposition of continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 56(3-4)(1990), 299-301.
- [14] S. Jafari and T. Noiri, Contra-continuous function between topological spaces, *Iranian Int. J. Sci.*, 2(2001), 153-167.
- [15] S. Jafari and T. Noiri, On contra-precontinuous functions, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc.*, 25(2002), 115-128.
- [16] M. Katětov, On real-valued functions in topological spaces, *Fund. Math.*, 38(1951), 85-91.
- [17] M. Katětov, Correction to, "On real-valued functions in topological spaces", *Fund. Math.*, 40(1953), 203-205.
- [18] E. Lane, Insertion of a continuous function, *Pacific J. Math.*, 66(1976), 181-190.
- [19] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological space, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [20] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On  $R_{O_S}$ -spaces, *Portugal. Math.*, 34(1975), 213-217.
- [21] H. Maki, Generalized  $\Lambda$ -sets and the associated closure operator, The special Issue in commemoration of Prof. Kazuada IKEDA's Retirement, (1986), 139-146.
- [22] A. S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [23] M. Mirmiran, Weak insertion of a contra-Baire-1 (Baire-.5) function, *MATLAB Journal*, 1(1)(2018), 9-13.
- [24] M. Mrsevic, On pairwise  $R$  and pairwise  $R_1$  bitopological spaces, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie*, 30(1986), 141-145.
- [25] A.A. Nasef, Some properties of contra-continuous functions, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 24(2005), 471-477.
- [26] M. Przemski, A decomposition of continuity and  $\alpha$ -continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 61(1-2)(1993), 93-98.