

## Dual strongly Rickart modules

Saad Abdulkadhim Al-Saadi, Tamadher Arif Ibrahiem

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al- Mustansiriyah University, Iraq

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce and study the concept of dual strongly Rickart modules as a stronger than of dual Rickart modules [8] and a dual concept of strongly Rickart modules. A module  $M$  is said to be dual strongly Rickart if the image of each single element in  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is generated by a left semicentral idempotent in  $S$ . If  $M$  is a dual strongly Rickart module, then every direct summand of  $M$  is a dual strongly Rickart. We give a counter example to show that direct sum of dual strongly Rickart module not necessary dual strongly Rickart. A ring  $R$  is dual strongly Rickart if and only if  $R$  is a strongly regular ring. The endomorphism ring of  $d$ -strongly Rickart module is strongly Rickart. Every  $d$ -strongly Rickart ring is strongly Rickart. Properties, results, characterizations are studied.

### Indexing terms/Keywords

strongly Rickart rings, strongly Rickart modules, Rickart modules, dual Rickart modules; strongly regular rings.



## Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.1

[www.cirjam.com](http://www.cirjam.com) , [editorjam@gmail.com](mailto:editorjam@gmail.com)



## INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary right  $R$ -modules. A module  $M$  is Rickart if the right annihilator in  $M$  of any single element of  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is generated by an idempotent of  $S$  [7]. Recently, the authors in [4] introduced the concept of strongly Rickart rings as stronger concepts of Rickart rings. A ring  $R$  is strongly Rickart if the right annihilator of each single element in  $R$  is generated by left semicentral idempotent of  $R$ . A module  $M$  is strongly Rickart if the right (resp. left) annihilator in  $M$  of any single element of  $S$  is generated by an left (resp. right) semicentral idempotent of  $S$  [5]. Following [8], a module  $M$  is dual Rickart if the image in  $M$  of any single element of  $S$  is generated by an idempotent of  $S$ . In this paper we introduce a dual concept of strongly Rickart modules as a strong concept of dual Rickart modules and a dual concept of strongly Rickart modules. A module  $M$  is dual strongly Rickart if the image in  $M$  of any single element of  $S$  is generated by a left semicentral idempotent of  $S$ .

Recall that a submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is stable (resp. fully invariant) if for each  $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ ),  $\alpha(N) \leq N$  [1] (resp. [10]). A module  $M$  is weak duo if every direct summand of  $M$  is fully invariant for each  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$  [ ]. A module  $M$  is said to be abelian if for each  $f \in S$ ,  $e^2 = e \in S$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $fem = efm$  [10]. A module  $M$  is an abelian if and only if  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is an abelian ring [10]. An idempotent  $e \in S$  is called left (resp. right) semicentral if  $fe = efe$  (resp.  $ef = efe$ ), for all  $f \in S$ . An idempotent  $e \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is called central if it commute with each  $g \in S$ . A monomorphism  $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$  is a strongly splits if  $\alpha(N)$  is a stable direct summand of  $M$  (i.e fully invariant direct summand) for every direct summand  $N$  of  $M$  [3, Definition (2.3.39)]. A module  $M$  is strongly direct injective, if for every direct summand  $N$  of  $M$ , every monomorphism  $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$  is strongly splits [3, Definition (2.3.40)].

**Notations.**  $R$  is a ring and  $S$  is the endomorphism ring of a module  $M$ . For a ring  $S$  and  $\alpha \in S$ , the set  $r_M(\alpha) = \{m \in M : \alpha m = 0\}$  (resp.  $l_M(\alpha) = \{m \in M : m\alpha = 0\}$ ) is said to be the right (resp. left) annihilator in  $M$  of  $\alpha$  in  $S$ . The sets  $S_l(S)$ ,  $S_r(S)$  and  $B(S)$  are the set of all left semicentral, right semicentral and central idempotent of  $S$  respectively. The samples  $\leq$ ,  $\leq^e$ ,  $\leq^{\oplus}$ ,  $\leq^{\oplus}$ ,  $\leq^e$  and  $\blacksquare$  refer to submodule, fully invariant submodule, direct summand, fully invariant direct summand, essential submodule and end the proof.

## 2. ON DUAL STRONGLY RICKART MODULES

**Definition 2.1.** A module  $M$  is said to be dual strongly Rickart (shortly, d-strongly Rickart) if the image of any single element of  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is generated by a left semicentral idempotent element of  $S$ . A ring  $R$  is d-strongly Rickart if and only if  $R_R$  is d-strongly Rickart as right  $R$ -module.

### Remarks and examples 2.2.

1. A module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart if and only if  $l_M \alpha$  is a fully invariant direct summand of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Since for any  $e^2 = e \in S$ ,  $eM \leq M$  if and only if  $e^2 = e \in S_l(S)$  [6, Lemma 1.9], then the proof is obvious.  $\blacksquare$

2. A module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart if and only if  $l_M \alpha$  is stable direct summand of  $M$ .

**Proof.** From the fact: every fully invariant direct summands of a module  $M$  is stable [3, Lemma 2.1.6].  $\blacksquare$

3. Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_4 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ 0 & 2\mathbb{Z}_4 \end{pmatrix}$  be an ideal in  $R$ . From [3, Remarks and examples 2.2.2(6)],  $\text{End}_R(I) \cong$

$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_4 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ 2\mathbb{Z}_4 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \end{pmatrix}$ . One can takes  $\alpha \in \text{End}_R(I)$  such that  $l_M \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $l_M \alpha$  is a right direct summand of  $I_R$  [3]. But  $l_M \alpha$  is

not fully invariant in  $I$ . Let  $g \in \text{End}_R(I)$  defined by  $g(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ 2b & d \end{pmatrix} \beta$  for all  $\beta \in \text{End}_R(I)$  and for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

So  $g(l_M \alpha) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax \\ 0 & 2bx \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\} \not\leq l_M \alpha$ . Therefore,  $I$  is not d-strongly Rickart.

4. A module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart if and only if the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow l_M \alpha \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} \frac{M}{l_M \alpha} \rightarrow 0$$

is a strongly split for any  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .

**Proof.** Obvious, since  $l_M \alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$  if and only if  $i(l_M \alpha)$  is stable direct summand of  $M$ .  $\blacksquare$

5. Every d-strongly Rickart module is strongly direct injective.



**Proof.** Let  $N \leq^{\oplus} M$  and  $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$  any monomorphism. There exist  $\beta = \alpha \oplus 0_L$  since  $M = N \oplus L$  for some  $L \leq M$ . By hypothesis,  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, then by (1),  $\text{Im}\beta \leq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $\text{Im}\alpha = \text{Im}\beta$ , so  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Therefore,  $M$  is a strongly direct injective. ■

6. Every  $d$ -strongly Rickart module is  $d$ -Rickart. The converse is not true in general. In fact, the  $Z$ -module  $M =$

$Z_2 \oplus Z_2$  is  $d$ -Rickart [8, Example 4.6], which is not  $d$ -strongly Rickart. If one takes  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\alpha(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) =$

$(\bar{x}, \bar{0})$  for all  $\bar{x} \in Z_2$ , then  $\text{Im}\alpha = Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . Now, let  $g \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$  defined by  $g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) =$

$(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ . So,  $g(\alpha(M)) = g(Z_2 \oplus \{0\}) = \{0\} \oplus Z_2 \not\leq Z_2 \oplus \{0\}$ . Hence  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is not fully invariant submodule of  $M$ . Therefore,  $M =$

$Z_2 \oplus Z_2$  is not  $d$ -strongly Rickart  $Z$ -module.

7. Following (4), (5) and (6), a module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $M$  is strongly direct injective and  $d$ -Rickart module.

8. A module  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $M$  is a  $d$ -Rickart and weak duo (and hence abelian) module.

**Proof.** Let  $N \leq^{\oplus} M$  and  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$  such that  $\text{Im}\alpha = N$ . By hypothesis,  $N = \text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Hence  $M$  is a weak duo module. Following (6),  $M$  is a  $d$ -Rickart. The converse is an obvious. ■

9. A module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is generated by a central idempotent element of  $S$  for each  $\alpha$

$\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .

**Proof.** An immediately consequence from(8).

10. Every  $d$ -strongly Rickart module has strictly SIP and strictly SSP.

**Proposition 2.3.** A module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} \text{Im}\alpha$  is generated by left semicentral idempotent element in  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , for any finite generated ideal  $I$  of  $S$ .

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $I$  be any nonzero left ideal of  $S$  with finite generators  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Since  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, then  $\text{Im}\alpha_i = e_i M$  for some left semicentral idempotent  $e_i^2 = e_i \in S_e(S)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . So  $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}\alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i M$ . But  $M$  satisfies the strictly SSP (Remarks and examples (2.2(10))). Now, each of  $e_i M$  is a direct summand of  $M$  and  $e_i^2 = e_i \in S_e(S)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  so there is a  $e^2 = e = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i = e_1 e_2 \dots e_n \in S_e(S)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}\alpha_i = eM$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\mu \in S$  and  $I = S\mu$  be a principle left ideal of  $S$ . By hypothesis,  $\text{Im}\alpha = eM$  for  $e^2 = e \in S_e(S)$ . Hence  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.

**Proposition 2.4.** For a module  $M$  and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , the following conditions hold:

1. If  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart with  $D_2$ -condition, then  $M$  is strongly Rickart.
2. If  $M$  is strongly Rickart with  $C_2$ -condition, then  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart.
3. If  $M$  is projective morphic, then  $M$  is a strongly Rickart if and only if  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart.
4. If  $M$  is Rickart with  $SC_2$ -condition, then  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart.
5. A module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart satisfies the  $D_2$ -condition if and only if  $M$  is strongly Rickart satisfies the  $C_2$ -condition.

**Proof.** 1. Let  $\alpha \in S$ , then  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $\text{Im}\alpha \cong \frac{M}{\ker\alpha}$ , so  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Since  $M$  is weak duo module, so  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Thus  $M$  is strongly Rickart module.

2. Suppose that  $M$  is strongly Rickart module and  $\alpha \in S$ . Then  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Hence  $M = \ker\alpha \oplus K$  for some  $K \leq M$ . Then  $\text{Im}\alpha \cong \frac{M}{\ker\alpha} \cong K \leq^{\oplus} M$ . By  $C_2$ -Condition,  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $M$  is a weak duo module(Remarks and examples(2.2(6))), hence  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ .

3. Suppose that  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module. Since, for each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\frac{M}{\ker\alpha} \cong \text{Im}\alpha$  and by hypothesis,  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Then, by  $D_2$ -condition,  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Then  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$  (Remarks and examples(2.2(6))) and hence  $M$  is strongly Rickart. Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is a strongly Rickart module and  $\alpha \in S$  then  $\ker\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Since  $M$  is morphic ( $\frac{M}{\ker\alpha} \cong \text{Im}\alpha$ ) and satisfies the  $D_2$ , hence  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Therefore,  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module (Remarks and examples(2.2(6))).

4. Since the  $SC_2$ -condition implies the  $C_2$ -condition and weak duo module, so from (2) the proof obvious.



5. Obvious. ■

**Examples 2.5.**

1. The  $Z$ -module  $Z$  is projective (and hence satisfies the  $D_2$ -condition) module which is not morphic. From[5],  $Z$  is strongly Rickart. If  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(Z)$ , such that  $\alpha(n) = 2n$  for each  $n \in Z$ , so  $\text{Im}\alpha \not\subseteq^{\oplus} Z$ . Hence  $Z$  is not  $d$ -strongly Rickart  $Z$ -module.
2. The  $Z$ -module  $Z_p$  is morphic (also satisfies the  $c_2$ ) which is not projective module. Since every endomorphism of  $Z_p$  is an epimorphism so  $Z_p$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart (see Proposition 3.9). From[5],  $Z_p$  is not strongly Rickart  $Z$ -module [5].

A submodule of a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module may be not  $d$ -strongly Rickart. In fact,  $\text{End}_Z(Q) \cong Q$  and every endomorphism of  $Q$  is either isomorphism or zero. Hence  $Q$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart while the submodule  $Z_2$  is not, where there is  $\alpha: Z \rightarrow 2Z$  have  $\text{Im}\alpha = 2Z \not\subseteq^{\oplus} Z$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** If  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, then every direct summand of  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Proof.** Let  $M = N \oplus L$  and  $\alpha \in H = \text{End}_R(N)$ . So  $\alpha$  can be extended to  $\beta \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . i.e  $\beta = \alpha \oplus 0|_L$ . Since  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, then  $\text{Im}\beta \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $\text{Im}\beta = \alpha N$ . So  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . Thus  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} N$  since  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq N$ . Now, let  $g \in H$ , consider the following sequence  $M \xrightarrow{p} \text{Im}\alpha \xrightarrow{j_1} N \xrightarrow{g} N \xrightarrow{j_2} M$ , where  $p$  is the projection epimorphism and  $j_1, j_2$  are the injection monomorphism. So,  $\text{Im}\alpha \geq j_2 g j_1 p(\text{Im}\alpha) = g(\text{Im}\alpha)$ . Hence,  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} N$ . Therefore,  $N$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module. ■

**Corollary 2.7.** If  $R$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart ring, then, so is  $eR$  for each  $e^2 = e \in R$  as an  $R$ -module.

Recall that a module  $M$  is an epi-retractable if every submodule of  $M$  is a homomorphic image of  $M$  [11].

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $M$  be an epi-retractable module. If  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, then so is every submodule of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be any submodule of a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module  $M$ . By hypothesis, there exists an epimorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$  such that  $N = \alpha(M)$ . So  $N = \text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , since  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module. Therefore,  $N$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module (Proposition 2.6).

**Examples 2.9**

1. The  $Z$ -module  $Q$  is not epi-retractable module[11]. From Example (2.5), the submodule  $Z$  is not  $d$ -strongly Rickart although the  $Z$ -module  $Q$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart.
2. The  $Z$ -module  $Z_4$  is not strongly Rickart while the submodule  $2Z_4 \cong Z_2$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.

In general,  $d$ -strongly Rickart property is not closed under direct sum, see Remarks and example (2.2(6)), although it closed under direct summand. The following proposition gives the necessary condition to a direct sum of  $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $M_i$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ) and  $M_i \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $M_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , is  $d$ -strongly Rickart modules and  $S_i = \text{End}_R(M_i)$ . Since  $M_i \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , So  $S = \text{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\alpha \in S$ , then  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha_i \in S_i$ . But  $M_i$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, hence  $\text{Im}\alpha_i = e_i M_i$  for  $e_i^2 = e_i \in S_i(S_i)$ . We claim that  $\text{Im}\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} M$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S_e(S)$ . Firstly,  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 x_1 e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 x_2 e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for all  $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S$ . Thus  $e = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is a left semicentral idempotent of  $S$ . Now, let  $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Im}\alpha$  where  $m_i \in \text{Im}\alpha_i = e_i M_i$ . So  $m_i = e_i m_i$ . Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} \in eM$ . Clearly that,  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} M \leq \text{Im}\alpha$ . Thus  $\text{Im}\alpha = eM$  for  $e^2 = e \in S_e(S)$ . Therefore  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart.

$\Rightarrow$ ) The proof is a consequence immediately from (Proposition (2.6)) and (Remarks and examples (2.2(8))) respectively. ■

**3. ENDOMORPHISM RING OF d-STRONGLY RICKART MODULES.**



As well as d-Rickart [8], the following proposition proves that the endomorphism ring of d-strongly Rickart module is strongly Rickart ring. Following [4], every strongly Rickart ring is a left-right symmetric.

**Proposition 3.1.** The endomorphism ring of d-strongly Rickart module is strongly Rickart.

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a d-strongly Rickart module and  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then  $\text{Im}\alpha = eM$  for some  $e^2 = e \in S_l(S)$ . Hence  $\ell_S(\alpha) = \ell_S(\alpha M) = \ell_S(eM) = S(1-e)$ . Since  $(1-e)^2 = 1-e \in S_r(S)$ , therefore  $S$  is a strongly Rickart ring. ■

It's well known that the endomorphism ring of  $Z_Z$  is an isomorphic to  $Z$ , this example shows that the converse of Proposition (3.1) is not true in general.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $M$  be a retractable module. Then every d-strongly Rickart module is a strongly Rickart.

**Proof.** Following (Proposition (3.1)),  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a strongly Rickart ring. By Proposition [5, Proposition (2.3)],  $M$  is strongly Rickart module.

**Corollary 3.3.** Every d-strongly Rickart ring is strongly Rickart ring.

**Corollary 3.4.** If  $R$  is a d-strongly Rickart ring, then for each  $e^2 = e \in R$ ,  $eRe$  is strongly Rickart ring.

**Proof.** Since for each  $e^2 = e \in R$ ,  $eRe = \text{End}_R(eR)$ [12, 7.8, p.60]. Then, by Proposition (2.7) and Proposition (3.1) the proof is complete. ■

Recall that a module is called self-cogenerator if it cogenerates all its factor modules [12, Exercises17.15, p.147]. It's easy to prove that if a module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart and  $f \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then  $Sf$  is projective left  $S$ -module.

**Proposition 3.5.** If a module  $M$  is self-cogenerator and  $S$  is a strongly Rickart ring, then  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart modules.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is strongly Rickart ring and  $\alpha \in S$ . Since  $M$  is self-cogenerator, by [12, 39.11, p.335],  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $S$  is an abelian ring, so  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$ . ■

Recall that a homomorphic image of projective module over semihereditary ring is projective.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module satisfies the  $SC_2$ -condition over a right (semi)hereditary ring  $R$ . Then  $M$  is a d-strongly Rickart module. Furthermore,  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a strongly regular ring.

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated projective over right semihereditary ring. Then for each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is a projective module. So  $M = \text{ker}\alpha \oplus N$ . But  $\frac{M}{\text{ker}\alpha} \cong \text{Im}\alpha \cong N \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Since  $M$  satisfies  $SC_2$ -condition, then  $\text{Im}\alpha \leq^{\oplus} M$  and so  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart. Thus,  $\text{Im}\alpha$  and  $\text{ker}\alpha$  are fully invariant direct summand in  $M$ . Hence,  $S$  is strongly regular ring. ■

**Corollary 3.7.** Every right semihereditary right  $SC_2$ - ring  $R$  is d-strongly Rickart as right  $R$ -module and strongly regular ring.

**Remark 3.8 .** It's well known that the ring  $Z$  is a left semihereditary ring (since its hereditary) which is not satisfies the  $SC_2$ -condition, and then  $Z$  is not d-strongly Rickart ring.

**Proposition 3.9.** A module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a domain if and only if every nonzero element of  $S$  is an epimorphism.

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$ ) A module  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart since  $\text{Im}\alpha = M$  for each nonzero endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $M$ . Now, if  $\beta\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha(M) = M$ . Hence  $\beta\alpha(M) = \beta(M) = 0$ . Thus  $\beta = 0$ . So  $S$  is domain.

$\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $M$  is a d-strongly Rickart and  $0 \neq \alpha \in S$ , then  $\text{Im}\alpha = eM$ . Since  $S$  is a domain and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $e = 1$  and hence  $\text{Im}\alpha = M$ . This implies that  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism. ■

Recall that a module  $M$  is an indecomposable strongly Rickart if and only if each nonzero element of  $S$  is a monomorphism[5]. The following result is the dual of this fact can be proved in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.10.** A module  $M$  is indecomposable d-strongly Rickart if and only if each nonzero element of  $S$  is an epimorphism.

**Proof**  $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\alpha \in S$ . Since  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart, then  $\alpha(M) = eM$  for some  $e^2 = e \in S_l(S)$ . But  $M$  is indecomposable module then either  $e = 1$  and then  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism or  $e = 0$  and so  $\alpha$  is zero.

$\Leftarrow$ ) By hypothesis, if  $(0 \neq) e^2 = e \in S$ , then,  $e = 1$ . Hence  $M$  is an indecomposable. In the same way, for any  $\alpha \in S$ , either  $\alpha = 0$  and so  $\alpha(M) = 0 \leq^{\oplus} M$  or  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism and hence  $\alpha(M) = M \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Then  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart module. ■

**Proposition 3.11.** Let  $M$  be a module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent

1.  $M$  is d-strongly Rickart
2.  $S$  is a strongly Rickart ring and  $\alpha(M) = r_M(\ell_S(\alpha M))$ , for all  $\alpha \in S$ .



**Proof .** (1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) By Proposition (3.1),  $S$  is a strongly Rickart ring. Let  $\alpha \in S$ , then  $\text{Im}\alpha = eM$  for some  $e^2 = e \in S_r(S)$ . Then  $\ell_S(\alpha M) = S(1-e)$  and hence  $r_M(\ell_S(\alpha(M))) = eM = \alpha(M)$ .

(2 $\Rightarrow$ 1) Suppose that  $S$  is strongly Rickart ring. Let  $\alpha \in S$ , then  $\ell_S(\alpha) = Se$  for some  $e^2=e \in S_r(S)$ . Since  $\alpha(M) = r_M(\ell_S(\alpha(M)))$ , then  $\alpha(M) = (1-e)M$  for  $(1-e) \in S_r(S)$ . Therefore  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3.12.** For a module  $M$  and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.
2.  $\alpha(M) = r_M(\ell_S(\alpha(M))) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ .

**Proof.** Obvious.  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.10.** For a module  $M$  and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module;
2.  $M$  is satisfies  $SC_2$ -condition and  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $M$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ .

**Proof.** 1 $\Rightarrow$ 2) Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$  such that  $N \cong L \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . Hence  $N = \text{iap}(M)$ , where  $p : M \rightarrow L$  be projection,  $\alpha : L \rightarrow N$  be an isomorphism and  $i : N \rightarrow M$  be injection. Since  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module, so  $N = \text{Im}(\text{iap}) \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . the second condition is an obvious.

2 $\Rightarrow$ 1) Let  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then by hypothesis,  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is an isomorphic to a direct summand of  $M$ . Hence by  $SC_2$ -condition  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 3.11.** A module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart satisfies the  $D_2$ -condition if and only if  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a strongly regular ring.

**Proof.**  $\Leftarrow$ ) Following [5],  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$  for each  $\alpha \in S$ .

$\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\alpha \in S$ . So  $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ , since  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart. Indeed,  $\frac{M}{\text{ker}\alpha} \cong \text{Im}\alpha$  and by  $D_2$ -condition,  $\text{ker}\alpha \subseteq^{\oplus} M$ . But  $M$  is an abelian module, so  $\text{ker}\alpha \subseteq M$ . Therefore,  $S$  is strongly regular ring.  $\blacksquare$

We can summarize the previous propositions in the following theorem

**Theorem 3.12.** For a module  $M$  and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $S$  is a strongly regular ring;
2.  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module satisfies the  $D_2$ -condition;
3.  $M$  is satisfies  $D_2$ -condition and  $SC_2$ -condition, and  $\text{Im}\alpha$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $M$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ ;
4.  $M$  is an abelian module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$  is a von Neumann regular ring.

**Proposition 3.13.** A ring  $R$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $R$  is a strongly regular ring.

**Proof .**  $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $aR$  be a principle right ideal in  $R$  for  $a \in R$ . There is  $\alpha : R \rightarrow aR$  such that  $\alpha(r) = ar$  for each  $r \in R$ . It's clear that  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of  $R$  and  $\text{Im}\alpha = aR$ . By hypothesis,  $aR = \text{Im}\alpha = eR$  for  $e^2 = e \in B(R)$ . Therefore,  $R$  is a strongly regular ring [12, 3.11, p.21].

$\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $S = \text{End}_R(R) \cong R$ , by (Theorem 3.12), the proof holds.  $\blacksquare$

A quotient  $\frac{M}{N}$  of quasi-projective is quasi-projective module  $M$ , if a submodule  $N$  is fully invariant of  $M$  [12, 18.2(4), p.149].

**Proposition 3.15.** Let  $M$  be a quasi-projective module. If  $M$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart, then so is  $\frac{M}{L}$  for each fully invariant submodule  $L$  of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\beta \in \text{End}_R(\frac{M}{L})$  and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Since  $M$  is a quasi-projective module, so there is an epimorphism  $\mu : S \rightarrow \text{End}_R(\frac{M}{L})$  defined by:  $\mu(\alpha) = \beta$ . It's easy to show that  $\mu$  is a well define and ring homomorphism. So  $\text{End}_R(\frac{M}{L}) \cong \frac{S}{\text{ker}\mu}$ . Furthermore,  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module satisfies the  $D_2$ -condition (since  $M$  is quasi-projective), hence  $S$  is a strongly regular ring (Proposition 3.11). So  $\frac{S}{\text{ker}\mu}$  and hence  $\text{End}_R(\frac{M}{L})$  is strongly regular ring. Therefore by Proposition (3.11),  $\frac{M}{N}$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 3.16.** If a module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart and quasi-projective then  $\frac{M}{\text{Im}\alpha}$  is a  $d$ -strongly Rickart and quasi-projective module for all  $\alpha \in S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .



Recall that  $\text{Soc } M = \cap \{L \leq M \mid L \leq^e M\}$  is fully invariant in  $M$  [12, 21.1, p. 174] and  $\text{Rad } M = \cap \{K \leq M \mid K \text{ is a maximal submodule of } M\}$  is fully invariant in  $M$  [12, 21.5, P.176]

**Corollary 3.17.** If  $M$  is a quasi-projective and  $d$ -strongly Rickart, then  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  and  $\frac{M}{\text{Soc}(M)}$  are  $d$ -strongly Rickart.

#### 4. RELATIVE $d$ -STRONGLY RICKART MODULES

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be modules. Then  $M$  is called  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart (relative  $d$ -strongly Rickart to  $N$ ) if for all  $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ ,  $\text{Im } \alpha \leq^{\oplus} N$ .

##### Remarks and examples 4.2.

1. A module  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $M$  is  $M$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.
2. For each semisimple abelian module  $N$ ,  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart for each module  $M$ .
3. Let  $M$  and  $N$  are modules such that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0$ . Then  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart. In fact, Let  $N = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus}$ . It's well known that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, N) = 0$ . Then  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart. Furthermore  $N$  is not  $M$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart. In fact, if  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, M)$  since  $N$  is simple module, then either  $\alpha$  is zero or monomorphism. If  $\alpha$  is monomorphism then  $\text{Im } \alpha$  is not direct summand in  $M$ , since  $M$  is an indecomposable.

**Proposition 4.3.** For a module  $M$  and  $N \oplus L \leq^{\oplus} M$  if  $M$  satisfies the strictly SSP then  $N$  is  $L$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Proof.** By the strictly SSP, every direct summand of  $M$  is a fully invariant. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(N, L) = \text{Hom}_R(L, N) = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 4.4.** If  $M \oplus M$  satisfies the strictly SSP then  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module.

**Proof.** Since  $M \oplus M$  satisfies the SSP, so  $M$  is a  $d$ -Rickart module [8, Corollary 2.17]. But  $M$  satisfies the strictly SSP, hence  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart module

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be modules. Then  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if for any  $A \leq^{\oplus} M$  and  $B \leq N$ ,  $A$  is  $B$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Proof.** Let  $A \leq^{\oplus} M$ ,  $B \leq N$  and  $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$  be any homomorphism. Then  $\alpha$  can be extended to  $\beta = \alpha \circ \rho: M \rightarrow N$  where  $\rho: M \rightarrow A$  is projection and  $i: B \rightarrow N$  is injection. Since  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart, so  $\text{Im } \beta = \alpha(A) \leq^{\oplus} N$ . But  $\text{Im } \alpha \leq B$ , so  $\text{Im } \alpha \leq^{\oplus} B$ . Now, let  $g \in \text{End}_R(B)$ , then  $g(\alpha(A)) = ig\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(A)$ , where  $i$  is the inclusion homomorphism from  $B \rightarrow N$ . Therefore  $\text{Im } \alpha \leq^{\oplus} B$  and hence  $A$  is  $B$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.

For the converse, put  $M = A$  and  $N = B$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 4.6.** For modules  $M$ ,  $N$ , and a direct summand  $A$  of  $M$ , if  $M$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart then  $A$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Corollary 4.7.** A modules  $M$  is  $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if for any submodule  $L$  of  $M$  and a direct summand  $A$  of  $M$ ,  $A$  is  $L$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $N$  satisfies the strictly SSP and  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ , then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart if and only if  $M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proof.** From Proposition (4.5), if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart, then  $M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Conversely, let  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i, N)$ . Then  $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^n$  where  $\alpha_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M_i, N)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since each  $M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart, then  $\text{Im } \alpha_i \leq^{\oplus} N$ . But  $N$  satisfies the strictly SSP and  $\text{Im } \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im } \alpha_i \leq^{\oplus} N$ . Therefore  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  is  $N$ - $d$ -strongly Rickart module.  $\blacksquare$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.S. Abbas(1990), On fully stable modules, ph. D, thesis Univ. of Baghdad.
- [2] M. Alkan and A.Harmanci .(2002). On summand sum and summand intersection property of modules, Turk J.Math., 26, pp.131-147.
- [3] S. A. Al-Saadi(2007), S-Extending Modules and Related Concept , ph. D, thesis Univ. of Al-Mustansiriya.
- [4] S. A. Al-Saadi and T. A. Ibrahiem (2014), Strongly Rickart rings, Math. Theory and Modeling , Vol.4, No.8.
- [5] S. A. Al-Saadi and T. A. Ibrahiem(2014), Strongly Rickart modules , Vol.9, No.4, pp. 2506-2514.
- [6] G.F. Birkenmeier, B.J. Muller and S.T. Rizvi (2002), Modules with fully invariant submodules essential in fully invariant summand, Comm. algebra, 30 (4), 1388-1852.



- [7] G. Lee; S.T. Rizvi; C.S. Roman(2010), Rickart modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 38 (11), 4005- 4027.
- [8] G. Lee, S. T. Rizvi and C. Roman(2011), Dual Rickart modules, *Comm. Algebra* 39, 4036-4058.
- [9] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller(1990), *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge.
- [10] A. Ç. Özcan and A.Harmanci (2006), Duo modules, *Glasgow Math J.* 48, pp.533-545.
- [11] B. M. Pandeya, A. K. Chaturvedi and A. J. Gupta(2012), Applications of epi-retractable modules, *Bulletin of the Iranian Math. Society* Vol. 38 No. 2, pp 469-477.
- [12] R. Wisbauer(1991), *foundation of rings and modeling*, Gorden and Breach.

