

Thank you for downloading this document from the RMIT Research Repository.

The RMIT Research Repository is an open access database showcasing the research outputs of RMIT University researchers.

RMIT Research Repository: http://researchbank.rmit.edu.au/

Citation:
See this record in the RMIT Research Repository at:
Version:
Copyright Statement:
©
Link to Published Version:

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sleep Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/sleep



Review Article

SEVIER

Updated clinical evidence of Chinese herbal medicine for insomnia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Xiaojia Ni ^{a,b}, Johannah Linda Shergis ^b, Xinfeng Guo ^a, Anthony Lin Zhang ^b, Yan Li ^a, Chuanjian Lu ^{a,c,*}, Charlie Changli Xue ^{b,c,**}

^a Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, The Second Clinical School of

Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510120, China

^b Discipline of Chinese Medicine, School of Health Sciences, RMIT University, Bundoora, Vic. 3083, Australia

^c Guangdong Provincial Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Guangzhou 510120, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 June 2015 Accepted 6 August 2015 Available online 5 September 2015

Keywords: Insomnia Herbal medicine Systematic review Meta-analysis Randomized controlled trial Evidence-based medicine

ABSTRACT

This systematic review is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) for people with insomnia. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating oral CHM alone or in combination with conventional therapies for primary insomnia were identified by searching English and Chinese publications and databases of clinical trial registration. Risk of bias was assessed according to the Cochrane Handbook 5.1. Meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan 5.2.4. Seventy-nine trials (7886 participants) were finally included in the review, and 76 were included in the meta-analysis. Twenty-seven trials reported the methods of random sequence generation, and five of them used the allocation concealment. Blinding of participants and personnel were used in 10 studies. The main meta-analysis showed that CHM alone was more effective than placebo by reducing scores of Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (mean difference, MD: -3.06, 95% confidence interval, CI: -5.14 to -0.98, $l^2 = 97\%$) and benzodiazepine drugs (BZDs) (MD: -1.94, 95% CI: -2.45 to -1.43, $l^2 = 96\%$). The effect was also seen when CHM was combined with BZDs compared with placebo plus BZDs (MD: -1.88, 95% CI: -2.78 to -0.97, $I^2 = 0\%$) or cognitive and behavioral therapy (MD: -3.80, 95% CI: -4.91 to -2.68, I² = 68%) alone. There was no significant difference between CHM and placebo regarding the frequency of adverse events (relative risk, RR: 1.65, 95% CI: 0.67-4.10, $I^2 = 0$). Overall, oral CHM used as a monotherapy or as an adjunct to conventional therapies appears safe, and it may improve subjective sleep in people with insomnia. However, the typical effect of CHM for insomnia cannot be determined due to heterogeneity. Further study focusing on individual CHM formula for insomnia is needed. The development of a comparable placebo is also needed to improve the successful blinding in RCTs.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: AIS, Athens Insomnia Scale; BZDs, benzodiazepine drugs; BZRAs, benzodiazepine receptor agonists; CBT-i, cognitive behavior therapy for insomnia; CCMD, Chinese Classification and Diagnosis of Mental Disease; CGI, Clinical Global Impression; CGI-I, Clinical Global Impression-Improvement scale; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale; CHM, Chinese herbal medicine; CI, confidence interval; CROs, Clinician-reported outcomes; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; GABAergic, gamma-aminobutyric acidergic; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ISCD, International Classification of Sleep Disorders; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; MCID, minimal clinical important difference; MD, mean difference; Non-BZDs, non-benzodiazepine drugs; PROs, patientreported outcomes; PSG, Polysomnography; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; RR, relative risk.

* Corresponding author. Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, 111 Dade Road, Yuexiu District, Guangzhou 510120, China. Tel.: +86 20 81887233-31223; fax: +86 20 81887233-31223.

E-mail address: luchuanjian888@vip.sina.com (C. Lu).

** Corresponding author. School of Health Sciences, RMIT University, PO BOX 71, Bundoora, Vic. 3083, Australia. Tel.: +61 3 99257360; fax: +61 3 99256539.

E-mail address: charlie.xue@rmit.edu.au (C.C. Xue).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2015.08.012

1389-9457/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Insomnia is a common sleep disorder. Around one-third of adults experience the symptoms of insomnia, and approximately 6% meet the diagnosis of insomnia worldwide [1]. Insomnia can result in functional impairment as well as increase the risk of severe conditions such as depression and cardiovascular disease in the long term [2,3]. Growing evidence suggests that cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-i) is effective in improving subjective sleep outcomes [4]. However, it is underutilized in clinical practice because of difficulties in successful patient compliance [5] and high requirements of well-trained psychotherapists [6]. Pharmacotherapy such as benzodiazepine receptor agonists (BZRAs) is considered beneficial in improving sleep as well [7]. However, it is not without risks including tolerance and addiction from long-term use [8,9]. Therefore,



new treatments with low risk to benefit ratio are needed for insomnia.

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), originating from ancient China, has been used to treat insomnia for >2000 years in China [10]. In modern China, both traditional herbal formulae and patent herbal products coexist in the treatment of insomnia [11]. Furthermore, conventional medicine and CHM are coadministered frequently in clinical practice for sleep disorders [12–16]. In recent years, CHM has been increasingly used as a form of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in the Western world such as in America, Europe, and Australia [17]. Although the mechanism by which CHM improves sleep is not fully elucidated, preclinical studies have shown that some Chinese herbal formulae or single herbal ingredients have sedative-hypnotic functions, which is mediated by the gammaaminobutyric acid-ergic (GABAergic) system [18]. For example, sour jujube seed (scientific name: Ziziphus spinosa Hu; pharmaceutical name: Semen Zizyphi Spinosae; and Chinese pinyin: suan zao ren) has been shown to enhance the activity of GABA, an inhibitory neurotransmitter, as a single herb [19] or as a main ingredient in a multiherb formulation (known as sour jujube seed decoction), which modulates specific sedative effects by selective binding to the GABA(A) receptors [20].

The public perception of the benefit of CHM in improving overall sleep and the potential hypnotic effects in animal studies need to be supported through critical evaluation of the clinical evidence. Two previous systematic reviews concluded that there was insufficient evidence to support the efficacy of CHM for insomnia [21,22]. However, the latest Chinese evidence-based guideline for insomnia acknowledges the importance of CHM [11] without specific data on the role of CHM in clinical management for insomnia. Considering the growing number of clinical trials for CHM in recent years, it is important to update the search and evaluation to provide the best available evidence for insomnia. This systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) aims to answer four clinical questions for insomnia: (1) whether CHM is more effective than placebo; (2) whether CHM is more effective than conventional therapies such as BZRAs and psychotherapies; (3) whether the adjunct use of CHM provides better outcomes than conventional medicine alone; and (4) whether CHM is safe when used alone or in combination with conventional therapies.

2. Methods

2.1. Eligibility

2.1.1. Inclusion criteria

2.1.1.1. Study designs. The RCTs were eligible.

2.1.1.2. Participants. Participants of any age, gender, or ethnic background with the main complaint of insomnia were included. Insomnia needed to be defined by standard diagnostic instruments including International Classification of Sleep Disorders (ISCD) [23,24], Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) [25], Chinese Classification and Diagnosis of Mental Disease (CCMD) [26], International Classification of Diseases (ICD) [27], and other current guidelines [12,13,28–30].

2.1.1.3. Interventions and controls. Intervention included oral CHM treatment prepared in any form such as decoction, granule, capsule, and tablet. Studies that evaluated CHM combined with conventional therapies were also eligible. The comparators included placebo, pharmacotherapy routinely used such as benzodiazepine drugs (BZDs) and non-benzodiazepine drugs (non-BZDs), and psychotherapy such as CBT-i and sleep hygiene education. When another treatment was combined with CHM, the adjunct needed to be the same as the control.

2.1.1.4. Outcomes. The primary outcome was overall sleep quality measured by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). PSQI is a well-validated and commonly used instrument for sleep quality assessment. The global scores (value range: 0–21 points) were calculated by its seven domains reported by patients, including subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medications, and daytime dysfunction [31]. Higher scores indicated poorer sleep quality. It was translated into 56 additional languages including Mandarin Chinese [32]. In the Chinese population, its minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for Chinese medicine therapy was estimated to be 1.75 points by the standard error of measurement method or 1.54 by the distribution-based method [33]. Therefore, it was used as the threshold to assess the generalization of results to clinical practice.

Secondary outcomes included the following: (1) the total scores of the Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS) [34]; (2) the total scores of the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) [35]; (3) the patient-rated sleep parameters such as sleep-onset latency, total sleep duration, sleep efficiency (ratio of time asleep to time on bed), and frequency of early awakenings; (4) objective sleep parameters measured by polysomnography (PSG), such as sleep-onset latency, total sleep duration, sleep efficiency, and times or duration of awakening after sleep onset; (5) clinical global impression (CGI) including the Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale (CGI-S) and Clinical Global Impression-Improvement scale (CGI-I) [36]; and (6) frequency and nature of adverse events.

2.1.2. Exclusion criteria

- Quasi-randomized controlled trials
- Insomnia defined as a symptom or a complaint only
- · Insomnia induced by substances such as alcohol and drugs
- Participants with other sleep disorders, such as breathingrelated sleep disorders, restless leg syndrome, narcolepsy, delayed sleep phase type of circadian rhythm sleep–wake disorders, and parasomnias
- Participants diagnosed with other mental disease and physical conditions
- Nonstandardized, but individualized, CHM and control for all participants
- Another form of Chinese medicine therapy such as acupuncture as control
- Pharmacotherapies not routinely recommended for insomnia, such as antihistamine drugs, as control
- No relevant outcomes

2.2. Database search and study selection

Five English databases (Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, CINAHL, and AMED), four Chinese databases (CBM, CNKI, CQVIP, and Wanfang), and five clinical trial registration databases (ClinicalTrials.gov, ICTRP, ChiCTR, EU-CTR, and ANZCTR) were comprehensively searched in May 2014. The search terms are specified in the Appendix A.

Two researchers (XN and JS) searched and screened the studies by finding duplications, excluding irrelevant titles and abstracts, and then selecting eligible studies by reviewing full texts.

2.3. Data extraction and management

Two researchers (XN and FL) extracted data in the manner of double entry and checking using EpiData Software, version 3.1 (EpiData Association, Odense, Denmark). Basic characteristics and outcome data were extracted, including authors, year of publication, diagnostic instrument, disease duration, stage, sample size, age, gender, details of intervention and control, information of follow-up, outcomes, and adverse events. The data were exported from EpidData to Microsoft Excel 2010 to facilitate sorting. E-mails were sent to the authors for clarification if important data were unavailable, duplication was suspected, or when more than one article reported the same trial. Another researcher (JS) validated the final dataset and the translation into English.

2.4. Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The risk of bias was appraised by two independent reviewers (XN and LZ) according to the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias [37]. Seven domains were evaluated, including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. The assessment for blinding was made based on patient-reported outcomes (PROs) and clinician-reported outcomes (CROs). The objective sleep parameters rated by PSG were grouped as CROs because results can be affected by the assessors and assessment environments. Study protocols or registration information were used to help assess the risk of bias for selective reporting. The risk of other bias was judged by assessing baseline balance and funding source. Judgments were categorized as "low risk of bias," "high risk of biases," or "unclear risk of bias." Disagreement was resolved by discussion and consultation with a senior researcher (XG) when necessary.

2.5. Measures of treatment effect

Continuous outcomes were presented as mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) between two groups, whereas dichotomous data were presented as relative risk (RR) with 95% CI. RevMan software (Version 5.2.4, Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012) was used for data analysis.

For trials with more than one CHM intervention group such as CHM formula A versus CHM formula B versus pharmacotherapy, all relevant CHM groups were combined; for trials with more than one type of control such as CHM versus pharmacotherapy versus placebo, pair-wise comparison results were reported based on different class of comparators. When different outcomes for the same trial were reported in separate publications, the data were merged into one trial.

The predefined main comparisons in the meta-analysis were as follows: (1) CHM versus placebo, (2) CHM versus BZDs, (3) CHM versus non-BZDs, (4) CHM versus psychotherapy, (5) CHM plus BZDs versus placebo plus BZDs, (6) CHM plus non-BZDs versus placebo plus non-BZDs, (7) CHM plus psychotherapy versus placebo plus psychotherapy, (8) CHM plus BZDs versus BZDs, (9) CHM plus non-BZDs versus non-BZDs, and (10) CHM plus psychotherapy versus psychotherapy.

2.5.1. Subgroup analysis and solutions to heterogeneity

Clinical heterogeneity between trials for the primary outcome was addressed by further subgroup analysis noting important factors including treatment duration (\leq 4 weeks and >4 weeks), history of insomnia (<1 year and \geq 1 year), and different preparations of CHM (decoction and non-decoction). Statistical heterogeneity was detected using a chi-squared test. The fixed-effect model was used to estimate the typical effect for studies with low heterogeneity ($l^2 < 50\%$), whereas the random-effects model was used to estimate the average distribution for studies with substantial unexplained heterogeneity ($l^2 \geq 50\%$).

2.5.2. Sensitivity analysis

Blinding of participants and allocation concealment are important for the PRO results. The primary outcome (PSQI) was a PRO, and sensitivity analysis was performed by only including trials with low risk of bias for blinding of participants and allocation concealment.

In studies comparing CHM with pharmacotherapies, the sensitivity analysis of PSQI scores was performed by including studies that removed the sixth domain (use of medication) because participants in the pharmacotherapy group would score higher on this domain.

Imbalance baseline can suggest failure of randomization [38]. Another sensitivity analysis was conducted by excluding the studies with the imbalance baseline of PSQI scores.

2.6. Publication bias

Publication bias was assessed when the subgroup included >10 studies.

3. Results

3.1. Description of included studies

3.1.1. Search results

The primary search identified 47,391 articles in literature databases and seven records in registries. Seventy-nine RCTs with 7886 participants published in 81 articles were finally included in the systematic review [39–119]. A total of 76 were also included in the meta-analyses [39–115,118]. The screening process is shown in Fig. 1.

3.1.2. Basic characteristics of the included studies

All included studies were randomized, parallel-group, controlled trials conducted in China between 2003 and 2014. Seven were multiarm studies [48,56,77,78,83,92,110], and the rest were twoarm studies. The treatment duration ranged from one week to three months (mean: 29 days, median: 29 days, mode: 28 days). Thirteen conducted follow-up ranging from one week to six months after the end of the treatment [43,45,63,65,80,81,87,89,100,101, 103,108,116,117]. Participants ranged in age from 15 to 84 years, and insomnia was diagnosed by CCMD-3 in 64 studies [39,40,42-48,50-56,58-71,73,74,77-80,82,84,85,87,89-92,94-96, 98-100,102,104-110,112-117,119], ICSD-2 in six studies [41,76,93,97,103,111], ICD-10 in seven studies [49,57,72,75,81, 83,101,118], DSM-4 in one study [88], and Chinese national guideline in one study [86]. The duration of insomnia history ranged from one month to 30 years. The PSQI was reported in 59 studies [39-43,46-51,53-55,57,58,60-65,67,68,72-84,86-88,90-96, 98-104,106,108,109,111,114,119], the AIS in eight studies [52,56,59,66,85,97,110,115], patient-rated sleep parameters in 13 studies [41,44,45,50,68,69,71,80,95,103,105,112], PSG-reported sleep parameters in two studies [76,94], and the CGI-S in four studies [70,89,107,113]. The ISI and the CGI-I were not reported in any study. Twenty-six studies used Chinese patent herbal products [41,48,54,58,60,64,67,71,74,75,80-83,91,93-96,99-101,103,104,108, 111,119], and other studies used decoctions, the traditional form, and combination method. Sixty-six herbal formulae were investigated in the included studies. The most frequent formulae were Xue Fu Zhu YuTang (traditional decoction) and Zao Ren An Shen Capsule (patent product). The herb most commonly used was sour jujube seed. The complete characteristics of the included studies are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

3.2. Risk of bias in the included studies

Only one study registered the protocol in ChiCTR [76]. The risk of bias for other studies was assessed based on their publications. Twenty-seven studies (34.18%) reported adequate methods of random sequence generation, including computer



Fig. 1. Flowchart of study screening.

software [68,76,80,81,95,101,104], random number table [40,43,48, 50,53,54,57,65,69,73,77,78,82-84,87,88,90,93,106,108], and drawing of lots [111]. Sequence allocation was concealed in five studies (6.33%) [68,75,80,81,95,101]. Blinding of participants and personnel were performed in 10 studies (12.66%) [41,63,68, 76,80,81,94,95,101,104,108]. Only one study (1.27%) used an independent outcome assessor [76]. Two studies (2.53%) had high dropout rate with unreported reasons, and they did not appropriately treat missing data [76,87]. One study (1.27%) did not report its predefined primary outcome (PSQI scores) with unreported reason [112]. Three studies showed imbalance baseline (3.80%) [50,82,109]. Sixteen studies (20.25%) were supported by nonprofit institutions, such as by national scientific funding [75,76,95] or local scientific grant [43,46-48,64,73,77,78,84, 85,87,93,111,115], and the rest did not declare the presence or absence of a conflict of interest and the funding sources. The risk of bias is summarized in Fig. 2.

3.3. Estimated effect of CHM on insomnia

3.3.1. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

3.3.1.1. CHM alone versus control. In terms of sleep quality assessed by PSQI, CHM was more effective than placebo (MD: -3.06, 95% CI: -5.14 to -0.98, $l^2 = 97\%$; n = eight RCTs, 853 participants) [63,68,80,83,94–96,101] and BZDs (MD: -1.94, 95% CI: -2.45 to -1.43, $l^2 = 96\%$; n = 35 RCTs, 3361 participants) [40,42,43,46–51,53–55, 57,60–62,64,67,72–75,82,83,86,87,90,93,98–100,102,103,106,114]. However, CHM was not superior to non-BZDs (MD: -0.16, 95% CI: -0.65-0.33, $l^2 = 57\%$; n = three RCTs, 323 participants) [39,65,84] or psychotherapy (MD: -1.23, 95% CI: -2.48-0.01, n = one RCT, 120 participants) [92]. A four-arm, double-blind, double-dummy RCT (33 participants) reported that CHM plus placebo of BZDs was not superior to BZDs plus placebo of CHM (MD: -2.10, 95% CI: -6.00-1.80) or placebo of BZDs plus placebo of CHM (MD: -1.60, 95% CI: -4.45-1.25) [76]. The results are shown in Fig. 3.

Table 1
Basic characteristic of the included studies.

Study	Arm	Randomized sample size: I/C	lomized Age: mean (SD) years, I/C Gender: M/F Diagnostic Duration of insomnia history: ble size: I/C instrument mean (SD), I/C		Outcomes	Follow-up		
Niu ZZ 2014 [87]	2	48/48	41.32 (7.46) /40.12 (8.66)	38/58	CCMD-3	28.36 (11.51) m/26.89 (13.65)	PSQI	10 d
Li ZI 2014 [79]	2	50/50	43 32 (11 31)/42 13 (12 41)	47/54	CCMD-3	2836(838) m/2762(768) m	PSOI	No
Cai TR 2013 [43]	2	98/49	46.2 (6.5)/47 (6.2)	56/91	CCMD-3	56(12) v/59(1) v	PSOI	3 m
Hou N 2013 [60]	2	54/54	42.3(5.3)/43.5(4.3)	NS	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	No
Wang SM 2013 [94]	2	48/48	45.12(11.51)/44.58(12.17)	34/62	CCMD-3	2716(3505) m/2599(3217)	PSOI and PSG	No
wallg 510 2015 [54]	2	-10/-10	45.12 (11.51)/44.50 (12.17)	54/02	CCMD-5	m	1501 and 150	110
A M 2013 [39]	2	41/41	44.45 (8.33)/45.12 (7.76)	55/27	CCMD-3	6.32 (2.43) y/6.76 (2.01) y	PSQI	No
Gan JG 2013 [54]	2	60/60	67.2 (5)/66.5 (9.2)	46/74	CCMD-3	19.4 (6.1) m/20.6 (8.7) m	PSQI	No
Zhang XZ 2013 [112]	2	110/55	40.5 (8.6)/41.3 (9.2)	65/100	CCMD-3	16.5 (2.7) m/15.5 (2.8) m	Patient-rated sleep parameters	No
Huang HB 2013 [63]	2	33/33	28 (2.14)/30 (2.21)	32/34	CCMD-3	1.6(0.61) y/1.4(0.47) y	PSQI	30 d
Huang WM 2013 [97]	2	39/35	41.7 (10.5)/40.8 (9.8)	31/43	ICSD-2	19.2 (5.5) m/17.7 (4.7) m	AIS	No
Li GX 2013 [75]	2	35/35	49.93 (11.54)/52.7 (10.54)	27/34	WHO ICD-10	10.13 (13.42) v/11.94 (10.31) v	PSOI	No
Sun XA 2013 [91]	2	50/50	40.34 (12.6)/41.15 (12.7)	51/49	CCMD-3	2.64 (5.11) v/2.15 (4.18) v	PSOI	No
Yuan CX 2013 [108]	2	30/30	39.57 (12.38)/34.53 (11.73)	11/49	CCMD-3	2.18(2.08) v/2.18(1.98) v	PSOI	7 d
Lin YY 2013 [82]	2	30/30	70.85 (3.06)/71.14 (3.24)	27/33	CCMD-3	6.43 (3.88) v/6.55 (3.12) v	PSOI	No
Han YC 2013 [57]	2	32/32	45 2 (19 3)/40 7 (16)	26/38	WHO ICD-10	78(34) m/8 3(39) m	PSOL	No
Pan BX 2013 [88]	2	40/40	40 25 (1171)/41 25 (15 3)	36/44	DSM-4	3 30 (5 29) v/3 22 (4 14) v	PSOI	No
Oian C 2012 [41]	2	158/52	44 97 (13 55)/42 75 (13 81)	74/136	ICSD-2	108 32 (44 12) d/111 79 (44 96)	PSOI and patient-	No
(m) (m)	_	,				d	rated sleep	
Chen WM 2012b [46]	2	60/30	30 8 (12 77)/373 (12 30)	53/37	CCMD_3	28.2(11.0) m/24.0(12.3) m		No
Zhang CL 2012 [51]	2	48/30	50/49	10/20	CCMD-3	10 v/18 v	PSOI	No
Shang CL 2012 [51]	2	25/21	561(65)/573(71)	18/28	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI and patient	No
Shelig CJ 2012 [50]	2	25/21	30.1 (0.3)/37.3 (7.1)	10/20	CCMD-5	15	rated sleep parameters	NO
Yang YI. 2012 [117]	2	60/60	73.15 (10.19)/73.18 (10.15)	81/39	CCMD-3	4.97 (2.11) v/4.95 (2.15) v	PSOI	6 m
Yang XC 2012 [99]	2	30/30	41 (12)/43 (13)	28/32	CCMD-3	7 m - 1 v/6 m - 21 v	PSOI	No
Chen WM 2012a [47]	2	50/30	38 95 (10 57)/38 35 (11 58)	43/37	CCMD-3	265(10.8) m/259(11.2) m	PSOI	No
Wang [H 2012 [93]	2	38/38	61 (12 4)/61 (12 8)	34/36	ICSD-2	NS	PSOI	No
Lou YY 2012 [109]	2	30/30	72.83 (618)/741 (733)	31/29	CCMD-3	413(211) v/534(42) v	PSOI	No
Li V 2012 & Li V 2013 [77 78]	3	30/30/30	NS	NS	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	No
Li GR 2012 [74]	2	30/30	378/374	28/32	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	No
Zhang HM 2012 [59]	2	32/34	34 32 (1 45)/32 34 (1 67)	27/39	CCMD-3	734(0.65) m/913(0.15) m	AIS	No
Li XI 2012 [102]	2	96/90	43(2)/435(1)	73/113	CCMD-3	15(05) v/18(02) v	PSOI	No
Miao WH 2012 [96]	2	30/29	44 46 (12 34)/43 51 (12 81)	18/41	CCMD-3	2470(2974) m/1748(1563)	PSOI	No
	-	00/20		10/07		m		
Kuang JG 2012 [69]	2	40/40	/3.8//4.1	43/37	CCMD-3	3.7 y/4.1 y	Patient-rated sleep parameters	No
Li DY 2012 [73]	2	52/52	45.9 (11.3)/44.54 (10.6)	41/63	CCMD-3	9.3 (4.7) m/10.7 (5.3) m	PSQI	No
Luo HO 2012 [84]	2	60/59	NS	46/71	CCMD-3	NS	PSQI	No
Zhu GQ 2012 [114]	2	34/34	NS	32/36	CCMD-3	NS	PSQI	No
Cai Y 2011 [44]	2	21/21	40.17 (11.26)/41.36 (11.48)	19/23	CCMD-3	15.92 (11.55) m/16.39 (12.21)	Patient-rated sleep	No
OF CE 2011 [55]	2	40/40	51 2 (10 2)/52 5 (12 42)	26/11	CCMD 2	5 62 (2 25) y/6 20 (2 12) y		No
QI GF 2011 [55]	2	40/40	51.2(10.5)/55.5(12.42)	26/44	CCMD 2	3.02(2.33) y/0.30(3.12) y 12.8 (6.4) m/12.28 (6.0) m	PSQI	No
3011 F 2011 [90] 7bu W/H 2011 [115]	2	40/40	NS	23/33	CCMD 2	12.0 (0.4) 111/13.28 (0.9) 111 NS	AIC AIC	No
	2	51/30	INO 44 EQ (10 DE)/44 D (700)	20/40	CCMD 2	INO E 2 (1 E) w/E 1 (1 0)	AIS DSOL	INO
пиану XY 2011 [64]	2	20/20	44.38 (10.25)/44.2 (7.83)	40/00	CCMD-3	3.3 (1.3) y/3.1 (1.8) y 19 m/10 m	rsųi	INO
JId B 2011 [40]	2	29/29	50.03 (10.56)/47.76 (10.58)	37/41	CCMD-3	18 III/16 M	PSQI	INO No
vvang C 2011 [42]	2	60/60	39.3/3/.6	54/66	CCMD-3	2.9 y/3.1 y	PSQI	NO
rang XH 2011 [105]	2	50/50	40.1/45	50/50	CCMD-3	9.78 m/9.44 m	Patient-rated sleep parameters	NO
Jing XW 2011 [104]	2	21/27	41.82 (12.88)/42.37 (11.64)	12/35	CCMD-3	7.9 (3.84) y/5.7 (2.53) y	PSQI	No
							(continued	i on next page)

Table 1	(continued)
---------	-------------

Study	Arm	Randomized sample size: I/C	Age: mean (SD) years, I/C	Gender: M/F	Diagnostic instrument	Duration of insomnia history: mean (SD), I/C	Outcomes	Follow-up
Chen YY 2011 [49]	2	32/20	46.6 (1.5)/59.2 (0.9)	20/32	WHO ICD-10	3.2 (0.3) y/3.3 (0.4) y	PSQI	No
Xiao LG 2010 [72]	2	33/32	68.2 (18.3)/67.5 (19)	38/27	WHO ICD-10	38 (18.5) m/45 (16.2) m	PSQI	No
Jiang HQ 2010 [61]	2	183/183	71.9/70.8	176/188	CCMD-3	33.1(5.7) m/31.4 (7.1) m	PSQI	No
Wang ZT 2010 [95]	2	41/39	NS	NS	CCMD-3	NS	Patient-rated sleep	No
		,					parameters	
Liao RD 2010 [116]	2	22/18	48.95 (1.468)/50.6 (1.911)	31/9	CCMD-3	NS	PSQI	3m
Huang D 2010 [62]	2	42/38	50.2 (9.2)/53.6 (8.7)	47/33	CCMD-3	9.56 (5.42) y/10.37 (6.63) y	PSQI	No
Wang F 2010 [53]	2	59/60	40.23 (10.79)/39.92 (11.47)	36/83	CCMD-3	37.49 (7.36) m/35.81 (9.92) m	PSQI	No
Tian [2010 [66]	2	30/30	70 (7)/67 (5)	26/34	CCMD-3	NS	AIS	No
Huang Y 2010 [65]	2	62/62	47 (9)/48 (10)	60/64	CCMD-3	4.8 (1.2) y/4.16 (1.6) y	PSQI	4w
Song XH 2010 [81,101]	2	106/106	NS	54/147	WHO ICD-10	NS	CGI-S	2w
Lian FM 2009 [80]	2	71/36	43.14 (13.91)/44.73 (13.92)	39/68	CCMD-3	19.83 (27.13) m/20.92 (45.12)	Patient-rated sleep	1w
		,		,		m	parameters	
Xia CY 2009 [52]	2	60/60	42.18 (9.82)/42.75 (10.72)	33/87	CCMD-3	11.09 (14.27) m/11.84 (14.29) m	AIS	No
Zhang XP 2009 [111]	2	82/80	7114(324)/7085(307)	89/73	ICSD-2	651(327)v/602(345)v	PSOI	No
Xu HK 2009 [58]	2	42/40	56 (7)/58 (7)	36/46	CCMD-3	56(7) m/92(8) m	PSOI	No
Nie ZH 2009 [86]	2	50/45	37.81(10.42)/41.24 (9.14)	39/53	Chinese	NS	PSOI	No
	-	50/10		30/00	guideline	110		110
She YO 2009 [106]	2	60/59	36.68 (8.53)/35.32 (9.13)	0/119	CCMD-3	3.22 (3.37) v/3.51 (3.67) v	PSOI	No
Li X 2008 [98]	2	68/52	36.64 (4.51)/37.26 (4.79)	60/60	CCMD-3	9(4.85) m/8.89(4.52) m	PSOI	No
liang LP 2008 [70]	2	34/34	44.8 (14.1)/39.26 (12.38)	31/37	CCMD-3	6.27 (4.18) m/5.91 (4.31) m	CGI-S	No
Feng XD 2008 [100]	2	25/23	NS	20/28	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	Unclear
Zhang XM 2008 [103]	2	43/41	NS	38/46	ICSD-2	NS	PSOI and patient-	3m
							rated sleep	
							parameters	
Zhang IP 2008 [71]	2	30/30	4126(1025)/403(1362)	36/24	CCMD-3	235(522) v/225(427) v	PSOI and patient-	No
	-	50/50	1120 (10120)/ 1010 (10102)	00/21	cento o	2130 (0122) 9/2120 (1127) 9	rated sleep	
							narameters	
Sup V 2008 [118]	2	52/50	3836	44/58	WHO ICD-10	156(104) v/161(103) v	PSOI	No
Ren VI 2007 [89]	2	50/50	NS	48/62	CCMD-3	NS	CCL-S	7d
Chang C 2006 [119]	2	19/18	18-65	12/25	CCMD-3	5132(7332) m/6014(923) m	PSOL	No
Chen IF 2006 [67]	2	30/30	NS	28/32	CCMD-3	NS	PSOL	No
Zheng SV 2006 [113]	2	34/34	38 67 (15 42)/39 26 (12 38)	28/40	CCMD-3	6.27 (4.18) m/5 92 (4.33) m	CCL-S	No
Luo I C 2006 [85]	2	52/30	51 2/50 5	23/40	CCMD-3	26 y/25 y		No
Chen FO 2005 [45]	2	180/90	$A_{2}(15 A)/A_{1}(14 0)$	100/170	CCMD-3	2.0 y/2.3 y	Patient_rated sleep	3m
chen 102005 [45]	2	100/50	42 (15.4)/41 (14.5)	100/170	CCMD-5	115	parameters	5111
Yu HT 2005 [107]	2	48/45	32 6 (8 9)/30 6 (8 4)	45/48	CCMD-3	22(0.6) y/28(4.6) y	CGLS	No
7hang IF 2003 [68]	2	113/114	44 09 (13 29)/44 97 (13 11)	68/159	CCMD-3	79.64(102.66) m/71.89(93.5)	PSOL and natient-	No
	2	113/111	11.03 (13.23)/ 11.37 (13.11)	00/100	cenib 5	m	rated sleep	110
							narameters	
7hu T 2013 [92]	3	60/60/60	NS	71/109	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	No
Chen H 2012 [56]	3	30/30/30	NS	75/15	CCMD-3	NS	AIS	No
Liu Y 2009 [83]	3	30/30/30	36 9 (11 48)/37 2 (11 36)/	38/52	WHO ICD-10	89(289) m/93(218) m/98	PSOI	No
	-	20100100	378 (11 38)	30,02		(2.28) m		
Chen WO 2008 [48]	3	68/72/76	62 19 (6 09)/64 32 (6 71)/	108/108	CCMD-3	NS	PSOI	No
	2	001,21,0	66 19 (5 81)	100/100	Semb 5			110
Zhan SO 2008 [110]	3	39/21/40	43 8 (12 8)/471 (10 8)/44 6	35/65	CCMD-3	NS	AIS	No
21111 30 2000 [110]		55/21/70	(14.4)	55/05	COMD-J	110	1115	110
Li Y 2009 [76]	4	NS	32.7 (9.2)/37.6 (10.3)/38.4 (13.8)/30 (9.7)	8/25	ICSD-2	56.1 (36.4) d/39 (37.7) d/51.1 (32.9) d/ 47.7 (33.2) d	PSQI and PSG	No

Abbreviations: AIS: Athens Insomnia Scale; BZDs: benzodiazepine drugs; C: control; CBT-i: Cognitive and behavioral therapy for insomnia; CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; CGI-S: Clinician Global Impression-Severity; CCMD-3: Chinese Classification and Diagnosis of Mental Disease, Third Edition; CGDTAI: Chinese Guideline on Diagnosis and Treatment for Adult Insomnia; DSM-4: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition; d: day/days; F: female; M: male; m: month/months; I: intervention; ISCD-2: International Classification of Sleep Disorders, Second Edition; NS: not stated; PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; PSG: polysomnography; WHO ICD-10: World Health Organization International Classification of Diseases, 10th Version; w: week/weeks; y: year/years.

Table 2

Details of the treatment in the included studies.

Study	Formula	^d Herbal ingredients		Preparation	Dosage	Frequency	Comparator	Treatment duration
Niu ZZ 2014 [87]	Bu Shen Shu Gan Tang	shu di, gou qi zi,sheng di, xuan shen, mai dong, dang gui, chuan xiong, chai hu, zhi ke, huang qin, huang lian, gan cao, ye jiao teng, bai he, zhen zhu mu	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	clonazepam tablet, 2–4 mg, qn	30 d
Li ZJ 2014 [79]	Jia Wei Chang Pu Yu Jin Tang	shi chang pu, yu jin, huang lian, rou gui, yun fu shen, yuan zhi hai zi ren	Y	decoction	1 pack decocted 3 times	tid	estazolam tablet, 2 mg/an	30 d
Cai TR 2013 [43]	Tiao Zhong Hua Tan An Shen He Ji	suan zao ren, fu ling, chai hu, huang qin, zhi mu, fa ban xia, chuan xiong, chen pi, zhu ru, shou wu teng, zhen zhu mu, zhi shi zhi gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1–2 mg qn	2 w
Hou N 2013 [60]	Mian An Ning Ke Li	dan shen, shu di huang, shou wu teng, bai zhu, chen pi, yuan zhi,da zao	Ν	granule	1 bag	bid	alprazolam tablet ,0.4 mg qn	3 w
Wang SM 2013 [94]	Zao Ren An Shen Pian	he shou wu, suan zao ren, sang shen,he huan hua, bai zi ren, dang gui, shu di huang, yuan zhi,chai hu	Ν	tablet	4 tablets	tid	Placebo	4 w
A M 2013 [39]	Zi Ni Yang Xin An Shen Tang	sheng di huang ,mai men dong, dang gui, dan shen, ren shen, fu shen, bai zi ren, suan zao ren,ye jiao teng,zhi gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted 3 times	tid	zolpidem tablet, 5 mg, qn	4 w
Gan JG 2013 [54]	Zao Ren An Shen Jiao Nang	suan zao ren, dan shen,wu wei zi	Ν	capsule	5 tablets	qn	alprazolam tablet ,0.8 mg, qn	4 w
Zhang XZ 2013 [112]	Yang Yin An Shen Jiao Nang	suan zao ren, wu wei zi, ye jiao teng, zhi mu, fu shen, he huan hua, dan shen, hu po,ling ci shi	Ν	capsule	3 mg	bid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, qn	4 w
Huang HB 2013 [63]	Xie Cao	xie cao	Ν	powder	3 g	tid	Placebo	150d
Huang WM 2013 [97]	Wen Dan Tang plus Xue Fu Zhu Yu Tang Jia Jian	fa ban xia, chen pi, zhu ru, zhi shi, fu ling, tao ren, hong hua, chi shao, chuan xiong, niu xi, sheng di huang,dang gui, jie geng,gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 2 mg, qn	30 d
Li GX 2013 [75]	Wen Dan Ning Xin Ke Li	suan zao ren, dang shen, ban xia, chen pi, zhu ru, zhi shi, fu ling,shi chang pu, yuan zhi, shu di huang, long gu, mu li,zhi gan cao	Ν	granule	6 g	tid	estazolam tablet, 1–2 mg, qn	4 w
Sun XA 2013 [91]	Shui Mian Ling	ren shen, huang qi, wu wei zi, bai he, zhi yu cao,chao suan zao ren, ye jiao teng, dan shen, yuan zhi,fu ling, sheng di,nv zhen zi, chai hu, yu jin, he huan pi, shen qu, shan zha, you dong, bai zhu, zhi ke,ban xia	Y	pill	10 g	tid	zopiclone tablet, 3.75 mg qn	4 w
Yuan CX 2013 [108]	Mei An Ke Li	suan zao ren ,ren shen ,ci wu jia ,fu ling ,dang gui ,chuan xiong	Y	granule	4.0 g	qn	placebo + estazolam, 1 mg, qn	2 w
Lin YY 2013 [82]	Jian Nao Ning Shen Ke Li	suan zao ren ,bai zi ren ,huang lian ,zhi zi ,bai shao ,mai dong ,dan shen ,long gu ,zhen zhu mu ,yuan zhi ,bai he ,shou wu teng	Ν	granule	1 bag	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Han YC 2013 [57]	Tian Wang Bu Xin Dan	bai shao ,dan shen ,chao zao ren ,bai zi ren ,bai he ,shou wu teng ,chao bai zhu ,fu ling ,sha ren ,ji nei jin ,zhen zhu mu .duan long chi .rou gui ,bai dou kou .zhi gan cao .zhi zi	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted 4 times	qid	alprazolam tablet ,0.4–0.8 mg,qn	4 w
Pan BX 2013 [88]	Jian Pi Shu Gan Tang plus Fu Fang Zao Ren Jiao Nang	tang ji :dang shen fu chao bai zhu ,fu ling ,chen pi ,fa ban xia ,chao chai hu ,bai shao ,dang gui ,bo he ,yi yi ren ,shan yao zhi yuan zhi ,shou wu shan au sha ren chao gu ya gan cao	Y	Decoction + capsule	1 bag decocted twice;0.4g	bid; qn	estazolam tablet, 0.5–1 mg, qn	2 w
Qian C 2012 [41]	Nan Wu Wei Zi Jiao Nang	nan wu wei zi	Y	capsule	2 capsules	qn	Placebo	4 w
Chen WM 2012b [46]	Tian Wang Bu Xin Dan	suan zao ren ,bai zi ren ,dang gui ,tian men dong ,mai men dong ,sheng di huang ,dang shen ,dan shen ,xuan shen ,fu ling ,wu wei zi ,yuan zhi ,ju geng	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg qn	8 w
Zhang CL 2012 [51]	Zi Ni Yi Shen An Mei Tang	shu di huang ,yin yang huo ,huang jing ,nv zhen zi ,wu wei zi ,bai shao ,suan zao ren ,sheng long gu,sheng mu li ,fo shou ,gan song	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 5 mg qn	1 m

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Study	Formula	^d Herbal ingredients	^c IM	Preparation	Dosage	Frequency	Comparator	Treatment duration
Sheng CJ 2012 [50]	Jian Pi He Wei Zhong Yao	yi yi ren ,huai shan yao ,fu ling ,ze xie ,zhu ling ,chen pi ,jiao san xian ,pu gong ying ,suan zao ren ,gan cao	Ν	decoction	NS	NS	diazepam tablet, 5–10 mg, gn	10 d
Yang YL 2012 [117]	Suan Zao Ren Shui	suan zao ren	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted once	qn	benzodiazepine drugs without details	1 w
Yang XC 2012 [99]	Jie Yu Wan	zi shao ,chai hu ,dang gui ,fu ling ,gan cao ,xiao mai ,da zao ,yu jin ,he huan pi	Ν	pill	4 g	bid	estazolam tablet, 1–2 mg, qn	4 w
Chen WM 2012a [47]	Gui Pi Tang	huang qi ,dang shen ,bai zhu ,dang gui ,fu shen ,suan zao ren ,yuan zhi ,mu xiang ,gan cao ,long yan rou	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	8 w
Wang LH 2012 [93]	Er Dong Yang Xin Kou Fu Ye	di huang,tian dong,mai dong,huang qi,dang shen,bai zhu	Ν	oral solution	20 ml	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg qn	4 w
Lou YY 2012 [109]	Wen Yang Huo Xue Ning Xin Fang	dang gui ,zhi fu pian ,tao ren ,hong hua ,chuan xiong ,gua lou ke ,chi shao ,sheng di ,shi chang pu ,jiu yuan zhi ,suan zao ren ,ye jiao teng ,zhen zhu mu	Y	decoction	1 pack decocted once	qd	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Li Y 2012 & Li Y 2013 [77,78]	1.Qing Zhen Tang; 2.Jia Wei Wen Dan Tang	1. huang lian ,sha shen ,bai he ,dan shen ,yuan zhi ,niu xi ,shi ju pu ,gan cao ,rou gui ,fu ling ,zhu ru ,yan huang bai ,long gu ,mu li, zhu ye,ge gen ,yu jin; 2. chen pi ,fa ban xia ,fu ling ,zhi shi ,zhu ru ,shi chang pu ,dan nan xing ,zhi mu ,yuan zhi ,zhen zhu mu ,ye jiao teng ,chuan xiong ,bai he ,chao zao ren ,gan cao	Y	decoction	1 pack decocted once	qd	CBT	8 w
Li GR 2012 [74]	Zao Ren An Shen Jiao Nang	chao suan zao ren ,dan shen ,cu zhi wu wei zi	Ν	capsule	5 capsules		estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	2 w
Zhang HM 2012 [59]	Xue Fu Zhu Yu Tang	chai hu ,zhi ke ,chi shao ,tao ren ,chuan hong hua ,dang gui ,chuan xiong ,sheng di ,niu xi ju geng	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted 3 times	tid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Li XL 2012 [102]	Xue Fu Zhu Yu Tang	tao ren ,hong hua ,dang gui ,sheng di huang ,chuan xiong ,chi shao ,niu xi ,ju geng ,chai hu ,zhi ke ,gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Miao WH 2012 [96]	Xin Ren Shen An Jiao Nang	sheng di huang,suan zao ren,lian zi xin,yuan zhi,chen pi,gan cao	Ν	capsule	1.35 g	tid	Placebo	4 w
Kuang JG 2012 [69]	Tian Ma Gou Teng Yin	tian ma ,gou teng ,shi jue ming ,du zhong ,niu xi ,shan zhi ,huang qin ,ye jiao teng ,fu shen	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 2.5 mg, qn	4 w
Li DY 2012 [73]	He Wei An Shen Fang	ban xia ,yi yi ren ,shi chang pu ,fu ling ,bai zhu ,he huan pi ,ye jiao teng ,chao zao ren ,	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Luo HO 2012 [84]	He Wei An Shen Fang	ban xia ,sheng yi ren ,chen pi ,fu ling ,bai zhu ,chai hu ,chao huang qin ,ye jiao teng ,zhi gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	zolpidem tablet, 10 mg, qn	4 w
Zhu GQ 2012 [114]	Gan Cao Xie Xin Tang	gan cao ,dang shen ,huang qin ,huang lian ,gan jiang ,ban xia ,da zao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet ,0.4–0.8 mg, qn	12 w
Cai Y 2011 [44]	Zi Ni Shu Gan Qing Xin Tang	chai hu ,yu jin ,fo shou ,zhi zi ,he huan pi ,ye jiao teng ,suan zao ren ,bai shao ,chuan lian zi ,fu shen ,an mu xiang ,zhi mu ,gan cao	N	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 2.5–5.0 mg, qn	2 w
Qi GF 2011 [55]	Zi Ni Qing Hua Zi Yin Fang	ban xia ,xia ku cao ,jiang can ,yu jin ,huang lian ,bai he ,zhi mu ,fu ling ,yuan zhi ,chao zao ren ,sheng di huang ,dang gui dan shen ve jiao teng sheng long chi	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	28 d
Sun P 2011 [90]	Zi Ni Jie Yu Hua Tan An Shen Tang	huang lian ,dan nan xing ,chai hu ,bai shao ,zhi ke ,xiang fu ,zhu ru ,ban xia ,chang pu ,yu jin ,fu ling ,ye jiao teng ,yuan zhi ,sheng gan ,co	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 2 mg, qn	30 d
Zhu WH 2011 [115]	Wu Ling Jiao plus Nang Zao Ren An Shen Jiao Nang	wu ling jun	Ν	capsule	3capsules	tid, qn	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	30 d
Huang XY 2011 [64]	Wu Ling Jiao Nang	wu ling jun	Ν	capsule	3 capsules	tid	diazepam tablet, 5 mg, qn	4 w

(continued on next page)

1469

Study	Formula	^d Herbal ingredients	сIМ	Preparation	Dosage	Frequency	Comparator	Treatment duration
Jia B 2011 [40]	Wen Bu Zhen She Fang	zhi fu zi ,rou gui ,yin yang huo ,ling ci shi ,long chi ,zhen zhu mu ,wu wei zi ,chang pu ,yuan zhi ,zhi gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 5 mg, gn	30 d
Wang C 2011 [42]	Qing Xin Ning Shen Fang	lian zi xin ,jiu huang lian ,shui niu jiao ,lian qiao ,shan zhi ,zhu ye ,wu wei zi ,mai dong ,yuan zhi ,chang pu ,yu jin ,suan zao ren .bai zi ren	N	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Yang XH 2011 [105]	Gui Pi Tang	huang qi fu ling ,bai zhu ,dang shen ,suan zao ren ,mu xiang ,yuan zhi ,zhi gan cao ,long yan rou ,dang gui ,bai zi ren ,ye jiao teng .he huan ni	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted 3 times	tid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	4 w
Jing XW 2011 [104]	Xin Shen Ning Pian	suan zao ren "fu ling "shou wu teng "shen qu "wei zi	Y	tablet	6 tablets	tid	placebo + estazolam tablet 1 mg qn	3 w
Chen YY 2011 [49]	Chai Hu Jia Long Mu Tang plus Ban Xia Xie Xin Tang	chai hu ,huang qin ,huang lian ,ban xia ,dang shen ,gui zhi ,bai shao ,gan jiang ,sheng long gu ,sheng mu li ,ye jiao teng gan cao, da zao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 2 mg, qn	8 w
Xiao LG 2010 [72]	Bu Xin An Shen Hua Tan Fang	shu di huang ,bai shao yao ,e jiao ,suan zao ren ,fu shen ,bai zi ren ,chen pi ,fa ban xia ,zhu ru ,he huan pi	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 1.3 mg, gn	6 w
Jiang HQ 2010 [61]	Fu Zha Zao Ren Tang	fu ling ,shan zha ,suan zao ren	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet , 0.4–0.8 mg, gn	3 w
Wang ZT 2010 [95]	San Oi Ke Li	suan zao ren .ii xue teng .san ai .xiao ii	Ν	granule	1 bag	ad	Placebo	4 w
Liao RD 2010 [116]	No Name Formula	sang ye ju hua ,tian ma ,gou teng ,chai hu ,long gu ,mu li ,yu jin ,shi chang pu ,chi bai shao ,dan shen ,he huan pi	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted once	qd	estazolam tablet, 1–2 mg, gn	2 w
Huang D 2010 [62]	Xue Fu Zhu Yu Tang	tao ren ,hong hua ,dang gui ,chuan xiong ,sheng di huang ,chi shao .chai hu .zhi ke .niu xi .ju geng .gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet, 0.8 mg. gn	4 w
Wang F 2010 [53]	Jie Yu Ning Shen Fang	chai hu ,yu jin ,bai zi ren ,dang shen ,bai zhu ,shan yao ,suan zao ren ,shan yu rou ,yuan zhi fu shen ,bai he ,sheng long mu .zhen zhu mu .hu po .tao ren	N	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 5 mg qn	28 d
Tian J 2010 [66]	Shen Qi Wu Wei Zi Pian	wu wei zi ,dang shen ,huang qi ,suan zao ren	Ν	tablet	3 tablets	tid	estazolam tablet, 1 mg. gn	8 w
Huang Y 2010 [65]	An Shen Gao	lian zi ,qian shi ,fu ling ,hei zhi ma ,mi zao ren ,bai zi ren ,ye jiao teng ,yuan zhi ,e jiao	Ν	soft extracts	15 ml	qn	zopiclone tablet, 7.5 mg, gn	6 w
Song XH 2010 [81,101]	Wu Ling Jiao Nang	wu ling jun	Ν	capsule	0.99 g	tid	Placebo	4 w
Lian FM 2009 [80]	Chan Ye An Shen Jiao Nang	tian zhu huang ,can tui ,shou wu teng ,jiang can ,di long ,bai shao ,gou teng ,fa ban xia ,suan zao ren ,yuan zhi	Ν	capsule	2 g	qd	Placebo	3 w
Xia CY 2009 [52]	Xia's No.1 Sleep Prescription	huang qi, bai zhu, suan zao ren,ye jiao teng, he huang pi, dan shen, huai xiao mai, fu ling, zhi yuan zhi, long gu, e jiao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	Estazolam, 1 mg, qn	6 w
Zhang XP 2009 [111]	Shen Song Yang Xin Jiao Nang	ren shen ,mai dong ,wu wei zi ,sang ji sheng, shan zhu yu,suan zao ren ,dan shen ,chi shao ,tu bie chong ,gan song ,huang lian ,long gu	Y	capsule	1.6g	qn to tid	CBT	12w
Xu HK 2009 [58]	Yin Dan Xin Nao Tong Ruan Jiao Nang	yin xing ye ,deng zhan xi xin ,dan shen ,jiao gu lan ,san qi ,bing pian ,shan zha ,da suan	Y	capsule	0.8g	bid	estazolam tablet, 2 mg, qn	4 w
Nie ZH 2009 [86]	Rou Gan Ning Shen Tang	suan zao ren ,long chi ,bai shao ,ye jiao teng ,he huan pi ,yu jin ,dan pi ,chao zhi zi ,gua lou pi ,fu ling ,	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, gn	2 w
She YQ 2009 [106]	No Name Formula	suan zao ren ,chuan xiong ,fu ling ,zhi mu ,gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	diazepam tablet, 5 mg, qn	4 w
Li X 2008 [98]	Zhu Mian Tang	ye jiao teng ,chao zao ren ,wu wei zi ,lian zi rou ,bai zi ren ,shi chang pu ,yuan zhi ,fu ling ,zhi mu ,zhu ru ,ban xia ,gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet , 0.4–0.8 mg, qn	20 d
Jiang LP 2008 [70]	Jie Yu Wan	bai shao ,chai hu ,dang gui ,yu jin fu ling ,bai he ,he huan pi ,gan cao ,xiao mai ,da zao ,	Ν	pill	12 g	tid	estazolam tablet, 2–12 mg, bid	6 w
Feng XD 2008 [100]	Wu Ling Jiao Nang	wu ling jun	Ν	capsule	3 capsules	tid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, qn	60 d

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Study	Formula	^d Herbal ingredients	al ingredients IM Preparation				Comparator	Treatment duration
Zhang XM 2008 [103]	An Shen Jiao Nang	suan zao ren ,zhi mu ,dan shen ,fu ling ,chuan xiong ,mai dong ,zhi shou wu ,wu wei zi	Ν	capsule	4 capsules	tid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, gn	8 w
Zhang JP 2008 [71]	Ye He Jian Nao Pian	ye jiao xi ,he huan pi ,wu wei zi ,yuan zhi	Y	tablet	5 capsules	tid	zopiclone tablet, 7.5 mg, qn	4 w
Sun Y 2008 [118]	Zi Ni Wu Hua Shu Gan Tang	fo shou hua ,dai dai hua ,lv e mei ,chuan piao hua ,mei gui hua ,shou di ,dang gui ,bai shao ,chao bai zhu ,zhi gan cao	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, qn	4 w
Ren YJ 2007 [89]	Zao Ren An Shen Jiao Nang	suan zao ren ,dan shen ,wu wei zi	Ν	capsule	5 capsules	qn	estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn	16 d
Chang C 2006 [119]	Xin Ren Shen An Jiao Nang	sheng di huang ,suan zao ren ,lian zi xin ,yuan zhi ,chen pi ,gan cao	Y	capsule	3 capsules	tid	Placebo + sleep hygiene education	4 w
Chen JF 2006 [67]	Tian Meng Jiao Nang	huang jing ,huang qi ,dang shen ,ci wu jia ,yin yang huo (zhi),shan yao ,ze xie ,fu ling ,gou qi zi ,shu di huang ,ma qian zi (zhi)	N	capsule	3 capsules	bid	alprazolam tablet, 0.4–0.8 mg, qn	1 m
Zheng SY 2006 [113]	Bai Lian Tang	bai he ,lian zi xin ,chao zao ren ,shan yu rou ,sheng mu li ,fu shen ,mai dong ,wu wei zi ,shi chang pu ,chuan bei mu ,he huan pi	Ν	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	estazolam tablet, 2–12 mg, bid	6 w
Luo LC 2006 [85]	Yi Shen Qiang Shen Yin	rou cong rong ,huang jing ,huang qi ,shan zha jue ming zi	Ν	granule	4.5g	qd	diazepam tablet, 5 mg, gn	1 m
Chen FQ 2005 [45]	An Shui Chong Ji	chai hu ,dang gui ,xia ku cao ,gou teng ,dan shen ,sheng di ,long yan rou ,bai he ,zhen zhu mu ,ye jiao teng	Ν	granule	9–18 g	tid	diazepam tablet, 2.5–5.0 mg, gn	1 m
Yu HT 2005 [107]	Jia Wei Suan Zao Ren Tang	suan zao ren ,zhi mu ,chuan xiong ,fu ling ,long gu ,chi shao .wu wei zi ,vuan zhi ,gan cao	Y	decoction	1 pack decocted once	qd	lorazepam tablet, 0.5–1.5 mg, gn	4 w
Zhang IF 2003 [68]	Suan Zao Ren Gao	suan zao ren-zhi mu-fu ling-chuan xiong	Ν	soft extracts	20 g	bid	Placebo	4 w
Zhu T 2013 [92]	Yang Xin An Shen Tang	bai zi ren ,ye jiao teng ,he huan pi hua,fu shen ,chao zao ren ,dang gui ,bai shao ,hu po mo ,wu wei zi ,chen pi ,zhi ke	N + Y	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	CBT	4 w
Chen H 2012 [56]	Bai Le Mian Jiao Nang	bai he ,ci wu jia ,shou wu teng ,suan zao ren ,he huan hua ,zhen zhu mu ,shi gao fu ling ,yuan zhi ,dang can ,sheng di huang ,mai dong ,wu wei zi ,deng xin cao ,dan can	N + Y	capsule	4 capsules	bid	zolpidem, 10 mg, qn	8 w
Liu Y 2009 [83]	Zao Ren An Shen Jiao Nang	zao ren ,dan can ,wu mei zi	N	capsule	5 capsules	qn	^a 1: estazolam tablet, 1 mg, qn; 2: placebo, 5 capsule, bid	3 w
Chen WQ 2008 [48]	Nao Kang II	zhi shou wu ,shou di ,san qi ,chang pu	N + Y	oral solution	50 ml	bid	diazepam tablet, 2.5 mg, qn	4 w
Zhan SQ 2008 [110]	Huo Li Su Kou Fu Ye	zhi shou nia ,gou qi zi ,zhi huang jing ,huang qi ,yin yang huo ,dan can	N + Y	oral solution	10 ml	bid	zolpidem, 5–10 mg, qn	4 w
Li Y 2009 [76]	Jia Wei Xiao Yao San	suan zao ren, fu shen, chai hu, dang gui,bai shao, bai shu, gan cao,bao he ,wei sheng jiang	N + Y	decoction	1 pack decocted twice	bid	1. Estazolam 1 mg, qn + placebo of CHM; 2.placebo of CHM + placebo of estazolam ^b	6 w

Notes: Abbreviations: CBT-i: cognitive behavior therapy for insomnia; CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; IM: integrative medicine; N: no, stating Chinese herbal medicine is used alone; Y: yes, stating Chinese herbal medicine is used as an adjunct to conventional medicine; N + Y: stating there are two intervention groups, one for Chinese herbal medicine and the other for integrative medicine; d: day/days; m: month/months; w:week/weeks; y:year/years.

^a There are two groups of control: pharmacotherapy and placebo.

^b This is a study including four groups: Chinese herbal medicine plus placebo of pharmacotherapy, Chinese herbal medicine plus pharmacotherapy, pharmacotherapy plus placebo of Chinese herbal medicine, and placebo of Chinese herbal medicine plus placebo of pharmacotherapy.

^c When Chinese herbal medicine is used as an adjunct to conventional medicine, the control is the same as the conventional medicine in intervention group.

^d The herbal ingredients are presented as Chinese pinyin. The correspondent scientific names are specified in the book "Dan Bensky, editor. Chinese Herbal Medicine: Materia Medica, Third Edition. Seattle, WA: Eastland Press, Inc; 2004."



Fig. 2. Risk of bias in included studies. CRO: clinician-reported outcome; PRO: patient-reported outcome.

At the end of follow-up ranging from 1 week to 3 months, CHM group had a significantly better effect on the PSQI scores than placebo (MD: -6.30, 95% CI: -12.58 to -0.02, $l^2 = 97\%$; n = two RCTs, 158 participants) [63,80], BZDs (MD: -3.61, 95% CI: -5.81 to -1.40, $l^2 = 97\%$; n = three RCTs, 228 participants) [87,100,103], and non-BZDs (MD: -2.70, 95% CI: -3.33 to -2.07; n = one RCT, 124 participants) [65]. The results are showed in Supplementary Fig. S1.

3.3.1.2. CHM plus conventional medicine versus control. In terms of sleep quality assessed by the PSQI scores, the effect of CHM plus BZDs was significantly better than that of placebo plus BZDs (MD: –1.88, 95% CI: –2.78 to –0.97, $I^2 = 0$; n = three RCTs, 121 participants) [76,104,108] and BZDs alone (MD: –3.19, 95% CI: –4.40 to –1.99, $I^2 = 89\%$; n = five RCTs, 462 participants) [48,58,79,88,109]. CHM plus psychotherapy was more effective than psychotherapy alone (MD: –3.80, 95% CI: –4.91 to –2.68, $I^2 = 68\%$; n = three RCTs, 372 participants) [77,78,92,111]. However, CHM plus non-BZDs was not superior to non-BZDs alone (MD: –1.15, 95% CI: –2.49–0.19; n = one RCT, 96 participants) [91]. One RCT (193 participants) reported that CHM plus sleep hygiene education was not superior to placebo plus sleep hygiene education (MD: –0.81, 95% CI: –2.26–0.64) [41]. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

At the end of a 7-day follow-up, the effect of CHM plus BZDs on PSQI scores was not superior to placebo plus BZDs (MD: -1.43, 95% CI: -3.04-0.18; n = one RCT, 60 participants) [108]. The results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S2.

3.3.2. Athens Insomnia Scale

3.3.2.1. CHM alone versus control. In terms of global sleep quality evaluated by the AIS, CHM was more effective than BZDs (MD: –1.42, 95% CI: –2.21 to –0.64, $l^2 = 63\%$; n = six RCTs, 463 participants) [52,59,66,85,97,115], but not more effective than non-BZDs (MD: 0.14, 95% CI: –0.26–0.54, $l^2 = 0\%$; n = two RCTs, 121 participants) [56,110]. The results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S3.

3.3.2.2. CHM plus conventional medication versus control. The effect of CHM plus non-BZDs on the AIS scores was similar to that of non-BZDs alone (MD: -0.57, 95% CI: -0.95 to -0.19, $l^2 = 0\%$; n = two RCTs, 120 participants) [56,110]. The results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4.

3.3.3. Patient-rated sleep parameters

3.3.3.1. Sleep-onset latency. In terms of sleep-onset latency reported by patients, CHM was more effective than placebo (MD: -19.87 min, 95% CI: -26.93 to -12.82 min, $l^2 = 0\%$; n = three RCTs, 395 participants) [68,80,95], but not more effective than BZDs (MD: -13.81 min, 95% CI: -31.27-3.65 min, $l^2 = 92\%$; n = three RCTs, 329 participants) [69,103,112]. There was no significant difference between the effect of CHM plus non-BZDs and non-BZDs alone (MD: -1.93 min, 95% CI: -11.30-7.44 min; n = one RCT, 60 participants) [71] or CHM plus sleep hygiene and BZDs plus sleep hygiene (MD: -2.81 min, 95% CI: -8.17-2.55 min; n = one RCT, 193 participants) [41]. The results are shown in Supplemental Figs. S5 and S6. At the end of a 3-month follow-up period, participants who received BZDs (MD: -32.00 min, 95% CI: -45.84 to -18.16 min; n = one RCT, 84 participants) [103].

3.3.3.2. Total sleep duration. In terms of total sleep duration reported by patients, CHM was more effective than placebo (MD: 0.78 h, 95% CI: 0.55–1.01 h, $l^2 = 0\%$; n = three RCTs, 395 participants) [68,80,95] and BZDs (MD: 1.12 h, 95% CI: 0.36–1.87, $l^2 = 95\%$; n = seven RCTs, 783 participants) [44,45,50,69,103,105,112]. The results are shown in Supplemental Fig. S7. At the end of a 3-month follow-up period, participants who received CHM reported longer total sleep duration than those who received BZDs (MD: 1.60 h, 95% CI: 1.08–2.12 h; n = one RCT, 84 participants) [103].

	C	НМ			Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 CHM alone ver	sus Placebo)						,	,
Huang HB 2013	5.23	1.13	27	12.45	1.33	30	12.9%	-7.22 [-7.86, -6.58]	
Lian FM 2009	5.27	3.87	66	8 29	4 71	35	11.9%	-3.02 [-4.84 -1.20]	
Liu Y 2009	5.93	1.3	30	6.83	1.3	30	12.9%	-0.90 [-1.56, -0.24]	
Mian WH 2012	5.67	217	30	91	2.74	29	12.5%	-3 43 [-4 69 -2 17]	
Song XH 2010	7.53	3.11	94	7.6	3.2	92	12.8%	-0.07 [-0.98 0.84]	_ _
Wang SM 2013	6.65	3 37	48	10.76	2 9.2	48	12.5%	-4 11 [-5 38 -2 84]	
Wang 7T 2010	919	1 11	40	11.95	4.66	30	11 7%	-2.66 [4.65 -0.67]	
7hang JE 2002	5.13	2.07	106	9.0	202	109	12.9%	-2.00 [-4.00, -0.07]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.04	2.87	442	0.0	3.83	411	100.0%	-3.06 [-5.99, -2.13]	
Unteressentity Tour	- 0.60. 068-	- 346 7		7/0 - 01	000043-18-	. 0.70	100.0%	-5.00 [-5.14, -6.50]	
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.88 (P	= 0.004	s,ur–. ⊈)	(F < 0.)	50001),1 -	- 37 70			
1.1.2 CHM alone vers	sus BZDs								
Cai TR 2013	8.36	0.37	98	11.33	0.51	49	3.2%	-2.97 [-3.13, -2.81]	-
Chen JF 2006	7.95	1.92	30	8.12	2.34	30	2.8%	-0.17 [-1.25, 0.91]	
Chen WM 2012a	7.52	1.62	50	9.32	2.25	30	2.9%	-1.80 [-2.72, -0.88]	<u> </u>
Chen WM 2012b	7.52	1.55	60	9.31	2.27	30	2.9%	-1 79 [-2 69 -0 89]	
Chen 10(0, 2008	4.87	1.63	76	8.68	1 32	72	3.1%	-3 81 [-4 29 -3 33]	-
Chen VV 2011	10.1	24	32	12.3	27	20	2.6%	-2 20 [-3 65 -0 75]	
Eeng VD 2009	7.2	0.70	25	10.12	0.62	20	2.070	-2.20 [-3.03, -0.73]	
Con IC 2000	5.01	1.04	20	5 70	1 50	23	3.2.70	-2.32 [-3.32, -2.32]	
Gall JG 2013	0.91	1.31	00	3.72	1.50	00	3.1%	0.18[-0.33, 0.71]	
man to 2013	7.8	Z.1	21	1.4	2.4	29	2.1%	0.40 [-0.78, 1.58]	
HOUN 2013	3.58	1.23	54	5.67	3.56	54	2.9%	-2.09 [-3.09, -1.09]	
Huang D 2010	8.87	3.65	42	10.63	3.21	38	2.5%	-1.76 [-3.26, -0.26]	
Huang XY 2011	9.56	0.36	50	11.35	0.67	50	3.2%	-1.79 [-2.00, -1.58]	*
JIA B 2011	7.32	2.16	39	8.14	2.38	39	2.9%	-0.82 [-1.83, 0.19]	
Jiang HQ 2010	4.1	2.6	183	10.1	2.6	183	3.1%	-6.00 [-6.53, -5.47]	
Li DY 2012	8.12	4.28	52	10.07	3.13	52	2.6%	-1.95 [-3.39, -0.51]	
Li GR 2012	6.3	1.1	30	6.5	1.6	30	3.0%	-0.20 [-0.89, 0.49]	-+
Li GX 2013	11.79	4.23	32	14.1	3.25	29	2.2%	-2.31 [-4.19, -0.43]	
Li X 2008	7.2	1.6	68	9.32	1.38	52	3.1%	-2.12 [-2.65, -1.59]	
Li XL 2012	8.9	3.6	96	10	3	90	2.9%	-1.10 [-2.05, -0.15]	
Lin YY 2013	7.83	1.79	30	8.47	1.52	30	3.0%	-0.64 [-1.48, 0.20]	
Liu Y 2009	5.93	1.3	30	4.53	1.2	30	3.1%	1.40 (0.77, 2.03)	
Nie 7H 2009	619	2.36	47	7.36	2.93	45	2.8%	-1 17 [-2 26 -0.08]	
Niu 77 2014	614	1.6	48	8.58	2.06	48	3.0%	-2 44 [-3 18 -1 70]	
OLGE 2011	8.86	3.63	40	10.62	3.80	40	2 / 96	-1 76 -3 41 -0 111	
She VO 2009	6	2.00	60	Q /	3.00	59	2.470	-3.40 [4.37 -2.43]	
Sheng CL2012	0 CO 3	0.62	26	10.26	0.03	21	2.370	2521206 2201	+
Our D 2012	0.03	4.0	20	10.30	0.02	40	3.2%	-3.33 [-3.60, -3.20]	
Suri P 2011	0.8	1.9	40	40.00	244	40	3.0%	0.20[-0.59, 0.99]	
Wang C 2011	10.32	2.67	50	12.28	3.14	60	2.8%	-1.96[-3.00, -0.92]	
Wang F 2010	1.3	3.13	59	9.38	3.27	60	2.8%	-2.08 [-3.23, -0.93]	
Wang LH 2012	8.57	2.39	35	1.23	1.93	35	2.9%	1.34 [0.32, 2.36]	
Xiao LG 2010	5.98	1.67	33	8.18	2.11	32	2.9%	-2.20 [-3.13, -1.27]	
Yang XC 2012	14.71	3.35	30	18.23	3.36	30	2.4%	-3.52 [-5.22, -1.82]	
Zhang CL 2012	5.38	2.14	48	8.23	2.91	30	2.7%	-2.85 [-4.05, -1.65]	
Zhang XM 2008	9.7	2.2	43	13.2	2	41	2.9%	-3.50 [-4.40, -2.60]	
Zhu GQ 2012	4.5	2.5	34	11.9	4.5	34	2.3%	-7.40 [-9.13, -5.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			1766			1595	100.0%	-1.94 [-2.45, -1.43]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 2.09; Chi ^z =	= 835.4	5, df = 3	34 (P < 0	1.00001); I ^z	= 96%			
l est for overall effect	: Z = 7.47 (P	< 0.000	JU1)						
1.1.3 CHM alone ver	sus Non-B70	Ds							
A M 2012	7 6 4	2.24	44	7.00	2.45	44	16.40	0 45 1 40 0 50	
A W 2013	7.54	2.34	41	7.99	2.45	41	10.4%	-0.45 [-1.49, 0.59]	
Huang Y 2010	1.1	1.2	62	7.5	1	62	44.0%	0.20 [-0.19, 0.59]	
Luo HU 2012 Subtotal /05% CP	8.12	1.2	160	8.55	1.33	58	39.7%	-0.43 [-0.89, 0.03]	
Jubioragonality Tau?	- 0.10: 05:2	. 1 71	10Z	2 - 0.40	18 - 570	101	100.0%	-0.10[-0.00, 0.03]	٦
Heterogeneity: Tau* =	= 0.10; Chi* = • 7 = 0.60 /0	= 4.71,	uf = 2 (f	- = 0.10)	i, r=57%				
i est for overall effect	.∠=0.62 (P	= 0.53)							
114 CHM plue D7D	e nlacobo vo	reue C	HM pla	coho nie	e B7De el	acobo			
1.1.4 CHWI PIUS BZDS	s placebo ve	a sus C	nw pia	ceno pli	is DZUS Pli	acebo	4.00.00	4 00 1 4 45 4 55	
LLY 2009 Subtotal (05% CP)	8.3	2.6	9	9.9	3.7	10	100.0%	-1.60 [-4.45, 1.25]	
Subiotal (95% CI)			9			10	100.0%	-1.00 [-4.45, 1.25]	
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable								
lest for overall effect	:∠=1.10 (P	= 0.27)							
	e nlacobe ve	Telle D	7De ele		lacobo				
1.1.5 CHW plus BZDS	s placebo ve	a sus B	zus pli	is criwl	nacebo	-	400.00		
LIY 2009 Subtotal (055) On	8.3	2.6	9	10.4	4	5	100.0%	-2.10 [-6.00, 1.80]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			9			5	100.0%	-2.10 [-0.00, 1.80]	
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable								
Test for overall effect	: Z=1.06 (P	= 0.29)							
	_								
1.1.8 CHM alone vers	sus Psychot	therapy	/						[
Zhu T 2013	4.55 4.2	24434	60	5.7833	2.47764	60	100.0%	-1.23 [-2.48, 0.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			60			60	100.0%	-1.23 [-2.48, 0.01]	◆
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable								
Test for overall effect	: Z=1.94 (P	= 0.05)							
	•								
									-4 -2 U Z 4 Fougure (CHM) - Fougure (Control)
									Favours [CHM] Favours [Control]

Fig. 3. PSQI of CHM versus control. PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; BZDs: benzodiazepine drugs; non-BZDs: non-benzodiazepine drugs.



Fig. 4. PSQI of CHM plus conventional medicine versus control. PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; BZDs: benzodiazepine drugs; non-BZDs: non-benzodiazepine drugs.

3.3.3.3. *Sleep efficiency*. In terms of sleep efficiency calculated from patient-reporting sleep parameters, CHM was superior to placebo (MD: 9.72%, 95% CI: 6.49–12.96%, $I^2 = 0$; n = two RCTs, 294 participants) [68,95] and BZDs (MD: 19.02%, 95% CI: 18.13–19.92%, $I^2 = 0$; n = two RCTs, 249 participants) [68,103,112]. The results are shown in Supplemental Fig. S8. At the end of a 3-month follow-up period, participants who received CHM reported improved sleep efficiency than those who received BZDs (MD: 20%, 95% CI: 11.23–28.77%; n = one RCT, 84 participants) [103].

3.3.4. Sleep parameters measured by PSG

Sleep parameters measured by PSG were only reported in two RCTs. Data synthesis was not possible because the study design of these two studies varied. According to the only one RCT (96 participants) [94], CHM was not superior to placebo for sleep-onset latency (MD: -1.12 min, 95% CI: -10.31-8.07 min), total sleep duration (MD: 20.33 min, 95% CI: -11.78-52.44 min), sleep efficiency (MD: 3.00%, 95% CI: -2.80-8.80%), or times of awakenings (MD: -0.74 times, 95% CI: -2.88-1.40 times). The four-arm, double-blind, and double-dummy RCT (n = 33 participants) [76] reported no significant difference in sleep-onset latency, total sleep duration, sleep efficiency, or times of awakenings among CHM plus placebo BZDs, BZDs plus placebo CHM, CHM plus BZDs, and placebo CHM plus placebo BZDs.

3.3.5. Clinician global impression

There was no significant difference in the severity of clinician global impression assessed by CGI-S between CHM and BZDs (MD: 0.10, 95% CI: -0.20-0.41, $I^2 = 0\%$; n = three RCTs, 236 participants) [70,89,113] or between CHM plus BZDs and BZDs alone (MD: -0.47,

95% CI: -1.09-0.15; n = one RCT, 86 participants) [107]. The results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S9. At the end of a 1-week followup period, the CGI-S score was lower in participants who received CHM than those who received BZDs (MD: -0.89, 95% CI: -1.41 to -0.37; n = one RCT, 100 participants) [89].

3.4. Adverse events

Adverse events were monitored in 43 studies. Eleven of them found no adverse events for the whole study [42,53,59,65,66,80, 88,94,95,104,108]. Data of 23 studies were available for the metaanalysis of frequency. There was no significant difference in the frequency of adverse events between CHM and placebo (RR: 1.65, 95% CI: 0.67–4.10, $I^2 = 0$; n = two RCTs, 400 participants) [68,101]. The CHM group had fewer adverse events than the BZD group (RR: 0.15, 95% CI: 0.07–0.28, $I^2 = 76\%$; n = 16 RCTs, 1802 participants) [40,43-45,50,52,54,55,61,74,89,90,93,99,115,118] and the non-BZD group (RR: 0.11, 95% CI: 0.02–0.58, *I*² = 0; *n* = two RCTs, 199 participants) [39,84]. The adjunct CHM treatment did not significantly increase the frequency of adverse events associated with non-BZDs (RR: 0.36, 95% CI: 0.10–1.27; *n* = one RCT, 96 participants; n =one RCT, 96 participants) [91] or the psychotherapy group (RR: 1.58, 95% CI: 0.08–30.90, $l^2 = 73\%$; n = two RCTs, 372 participants) [41,111]. These results are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The most frequent types of adverse events in CHM groups were digestive dysfunction such as indigestion and mild increased defecation, dizziness, fatigue, drowsiness, and dry mouth. However, none of the studies assessed whether the reported adverse events were associated with CHM.

	CHN	1	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
1.16.1 CHM versus P	lacebo									
Song XH 2010	9	94	6	92	83.7%	1.47 [0.54, 3.96]				
Zhang JF 2003	3	106	1	108	16.3%	3.06 [0.32, 28.92]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		200		200	100.0%	1.65 [0.67, 4.10]	◆			
Total events	12		7							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.34, df = 1 (P = 0.56); i ² = 0%										
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.09 ((P = 0.2	:8)							
1.16.2 CHM versus B	ZUS									
Cai TR 2013	0	98	8	49	3.6%	0.03 [0.00, 0.50]				
Cai Y 2011	1	21	5	21	5.2%	0.20 [0.03, 1.57]				
Chen FQ 2005	0	180	71	90	3.7%	0.00 [0.00, 0.06]	•			
Gan JG 2013	8	60	32	60	9.5%	0.25 [0.13, 0.50]				
Jia B 2011	0	39	5	39	3.6%	0.09 [0.01, 1.59]				
Jiang HQ 2010	U	183	100	183	3.7%	0.00 [0.00, 0.08]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
LI GR 2012	3	30	26	30	8.3%	0.12 [0.04, 0.34]				
QLGF 2011	2	40	5	40	0.0%	0.40 [0.08, 1.94]				
Ren 1J 2007	0	20	20	50	3./%	0.02 [0.00, 0.39]				
Sheng CJ 2012	0	25	12	21	3.7%	0.03 [0.00, 0.54]				
Sun P 2011 Sun V 2000	2	40	8	40	0.9%	0.25 [0.06, 1.11]				
Suff 7 2008	9	92	19	20	9.5%	0.40 [0.23, 0.91]				
Viany LH 2012	7	30	10	50	9.370	0.27 [0.13, 0.39]				
Yang XC 2012	, 0	30	10	30	3.2706	0.03 [0.10, 0.00]				
7hu \A(L) 2011	0 0	21	26	20	0.0%	0.00 [0.00, 0.07]				
Subtotal (95% CI)	3	974	20	828	100.0%	0.15 [0.07, 0.28]	•			
Total events	47		386				Ŧ			
Heterogeneity Tau ² =	1.07 [.] Ch	₹= 62 s	54 df=1	5 (P < 1	י 100001	l ^z = 76%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.72	P < 0.0	0001)							
			,							
1.16.4 CHM versus N	on-BZDs									
A M 2013	0	41	4	41	33.1%	0.11 [0.01, 2.00]				
Luo HO 2012	1	59	9	58	66.9%	0.11 [0.01, 0.83]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		100		99	100.0%	0.11 [0.02, 0.58]				
Total events	1		13							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	² = 0.01	0, df = 1 (P = 0.9	9); l² = 0%	6				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.60	(P = 0.0	109)							
							Favours [CHM] Favours [Control]			

Fig. 5. Frequency of adverse events of CHM versus control. CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; BZDs: benzodiazepine drugs; non-BZDs: non-benzodiazepine drugs.



Fig. 6. Frequency of adverse events of CHM plus conventional medicine versus control. CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; non-BZDs: non-benzodiazepine drugs.

3.5. Other analysis

3.5.1. Subgroup analysis of the primary outcome

The subgroup analysis based on treatment duration (\leq 4 weeks or >4 weeks), insomnia history (<1 year or \geq 1 year), and preparation of CHM (decoction or non-decoction) could not address the heterogeneity of meta-analysis for the PSQI scores. The results are shown in Table 3.

3.5.2. Sensitivity analysis

Only four studies (582 participants) had low risk of bias relating to allocation concealment and blinding of participants [68,80,95,101]. All four studies compared non-decoction CHM treatment (≤ 1 month) with placebo. At the end of the treatment, the PSQI score was lower in participants who received CHM treatment than those who received placebo (MD: -2.13, 95% CI: -3.92 to -0.35, $l^2 = 87\%$).

Eleven studies comparing CHM with BZDs clarified that they excluded the sixth domain (use of sleep medications) when calculating the total scores of PSQI [46,47,53,55,62,67,72,87,93,98,102]. The PSQI scores were significantly lower in the participants treated with CHM than in those with BZDs (MD: -1.46, 95% CI: -2.10 to -0.81, $I^2 = 80\%$; n = 11 RCTs, 1046 participants).

Imbalance baseline of PSQI scores was found in three studies in two heterogeneous meta-analyses [50,82,109]. After excluding them, a greater reduction of PSQI scores was observed in the participants treated with CHM (MD: –1.93, 95% CI: –2.46 to –1.39, $l^2 = 96\%$; n = 33 RCTs, 3255 participants) and CHM combined with BZDs (MD: –2.72, 95% CI: –3.91 to –1.53, $l^2 = 85\%$; n = four RCTs, 402 participants) than in those with BZDs alone.

3.5.3. Post hoc analysis

The post hoc subgroup analysis on primary outcome was made to explore the estimated effect of individual formula. Four formulae were investigated more than twice in the included RCTs with PSQI scores. The PSQI scores were lower in the participants treated with the *Zao Ren An Shen* capsule (MD: 0.47, 95% CI: -0.43 to -1.36, $l^2 = 85\%$; n = three RCTs, 240 participants) [54,74,83], the *Xue Fu Zhu Yu* decoction (MD: -1.29, 95% CI: -2.09 to -0.49, $l^2 = 0$; n = two RCTs, 266 participants) [62,102], and the *Wuling* capsule (MD: -2.34, 95% CI: -3.45 to -1.23, $l^2 = 96\%$; n = two RCTs, 148 participants) than in those with BZDs [64,100]. There was no significant difference of PSQI scores after the treatment with the *Tian Wang Bu Xin* pill and BZDs (MD: -0.73, 95% CI: -2.87-1.42; $l^2 = 88\%$; n = two RCTs, 146 participants) [46,57].

3.6. Publication bias

Only the comparison between CHM and BZDs in the metaanalysis of PSQI scores included >10 studies. The publication bias was not detected in either the visual funnel plot (Supplementary Fig. S10), Egger's test (p = 0.708) or Begger's test (p = 0.122).

4. Discussion

4.1. Evidence summary and applicability

In this study, we systematically reviewed 79 RCTs investigating a variety of CHM treatments for participants with insomnia. We also performed meta-analyses to estimate the efficacy of CHM on subjective sleep quality assessed by validated instruments, patientrated sleep parameters, clinician-reported severity, and PSG results, as well as to determine the safety of CHM. This systematic review provides up-to-date and comprehensive evidence of the efficacy and safety of CHM for insomnia. We also investigated the impact of different treatment strategies, subtypes of participants, various comparators, and methodological designs on the effect, which makes our results clinically meaningful. Overall, this systematic review and

Table 3

Subgroup analysis of PSOI scores based on treatment duration, insomnia history, and preparation of CHM.

Subgroup		No. study	No. participants	Estimated effect (MD, 95% CI)	I[2]	Analysis model
CHM versus BZDs						
Treatment duration	≤4weeks	28	2874	-1.67 (-2.27, -1.08)	94%	Random
	>4 weeks	7	487	-2.95 (-3.84, -2.07)	86%	Random
Duration of insomnia history	<1 year	4	340	-0.55 (-2.62, 1.51)	96%	Random
	≥1 year	20	2144	-1.98 (-2.61, -1.34)	96%	Random
Preparation of CHM	Decoction	21	2175	-2.26 (-3.02, -1.50)	94%	Random
	Non-decoction	14	1186	-1.47 (-2.25, -0.70)	97%	Random
CHM versus placebo						
Treatment duration	≤4 weeks	7	796	-2.40 (-3.61, -1.19)	88%	Random
	>4 weeks	1	57	-7.22 (-7.86, -6.58)	NA	Fixed
Duration of insomnia history	<1 year	1	60	-0.90 (-1.56, -0.24)	NA	Fixed
-	≥1 year	5	527	-4.21 (-6.28, -2.15)	95%	Random
CHM versus non-BZDs						
Duration of insomnia history	≥1 year	2	206	0.12 (-0.24, 0.48)	24%	Fixed
	<1 year	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
CHM plus BZDs versus BZDs						
Preparation of CHM	Decoction	2	160	-4.21 (-5.75, -2.68)	82%	Random
	Non-decoction	2	222	-3.11 (-3.50, -2.72)	7%	Fixed
	Combination	1	80	-0.53 (-1.67, 0.61)	NA	Fixed
Duration of insomnia history	≥1 year	4	322	-3.23 (-5.16, -1.31)	92%	Random
	<1 year	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
CHM plus BZDs versus BZDs plus	placebo					
Duration of insomnia history	<1 year	1	14	0.60 (-3.48, 4.68)	NA	Fixed
	≥1 year	2	107	-2.00 (-2.93, -1.07)	0%	Fixed
CHM plus psychotherapy versus	psychotherapy					
Treatment duration	≤4 weeks	1	120	-3.45 (-4.54, -2.36)	NA	Fixed
	>4 weeks	2	252	-3.77 (-5.77, -1.77)	71%	Random
Preparation of CHM	Decoction	2	210	-3.25 (-4.22, -2.27)	0%	Fixed
	Non-decoction	1	152	-4.55 (-5.02, -4.08)	NA	Fixed

Notes: PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; CHM: Chinese herbal medicine; BZDs: benzodiazepine drugs; non-BZDs: non-benzodiazepine drugs; NA: not applicable; MD: mean difference; CI: confidential intervals. For some comparison, the subgroup analysis could not be achieved because of insufficient information related to treatment duration, duration of insomnia history, or preparation of CHM.

meta-analysis showed that CHM was superior to placebo and BZDs when used as a monotherapy and was superior to BZDs and psychotherapy alone as an adjunct therapy in terms of subjective sleep quality and quantity and safety. CHM was not associated with more benefit than non-BZDs, although the risks were less. These results suggest that CHM could be a promising alternative therapy with a good benefit–risk ratio.

In this systematic review, the most homogeneous meta-analysis of primary outcome was the comparison of CHM plus BZDs with placebo CHM plus BZDs. It showed that the adjunct use of CHM resulted in a larger reduction of PSQI scores by 1.88 points, which was greater than the MCID of PSQI scores [33]. This result suggests that the estimated effect of CHM as a co-intervention to BZDs is relevant and potentially important to the insomnia patients in real clinical practice.

As this systematic review only included participants primarily diagnosed with insomnia, the evidence on CHM generated from this systematic review cannot be generalized to the population with comorbid insomnia or medication/substance-induced insomnia. In addition, the longest follow-up duration of the CHM treatment was 6 months; thus, the evidence of safety related to CHM could not be generalized to a longer therapy.

4.2. Overall completeness of evidence and clinical implications

A placebo effect is commonly observed for self-reported outcomes related to insomnia where placebo treatment significantly improved the total scores of PSQI by 2.0 points, increased total sleep duration by 23.0 min, and decreased sleep-onset latency by 15.1 min, compared with no treatment [120]. In this systematic review, our primary finding was that CHM was superior to placebo in terms of the improvement of PSQI scores, sleep-onset latency, total sleep duration, and sleep efficiency in participants with insomnia. In the sensitivity analysis, the positive trend of CHM was robust after the studies with inadequate randomization, allocation concealment, or insufficient blinding were removed. This study provides new evidence of the effect of CHM on subjective insomnia. This result also suggests that CHM could be an alternative treatment for individuals with insomnia when CBT-i or pharmacotherapies are unavailable in clinical settings.

Previous studies showed that short-term use of BZDs was effective for insomnia [8]. However, the risks and side effects associated with BZDs, such as daytime drowsiness and drug dependency, have been noted in both research and clinical practice [9,121-123]. Another striking finding of our systematic review was that CHM was associated with more benefit in terms of subjective sleep quality, total sleep duration, and sleep efficiency than BZDs, and these results remained robust in sensitivity analysis. Furthermore, the metaanalysis indicated that the frequency of adverse events in participants treated with short-to-medium CHM was significantly lesser than that of participants treated with BZDs, and no serious adverse events were reported in any study. These results suggest that CHM might be an alternative to BZDs for individuals whose predominant complaint is dissatisfaction with overall sleep quality and total sleep quantity, although to what extent CHM was better than BZDs remains uncertain. However, CHM did not have a better effect than non-BZDs on subjective outcomes of insomnia, although it was associated with less adverse events.

Insomnia management is complex as a sequential or integrative approach is used, particularly for persistent and chronic insomnia [124,125]. In this systematic review, we also investigated the addon effect of CHM on conventional medicine. The meta-analysis showed that the adjunct use of CHM to conventional medicine resulted in greater improvement of subjective sleep quality compared with BZDs, non-BZDs, and CBT-i. The highest level of evidence was attained from the homogeneous meta-analysis comparing CHM plus BZDs with BZDs plus placebo. In addition, the adjunct use of CHM to CBT or non-BZDs did not increase the adverse events in participants with insomnia. These results provide new knowledge that CHM could be used as a complementary therapy for insomnia patients with a predominant complaint of dissatisfaction with overall sleep quality.

Drug tolerance is commonly encountered in pharmacotherapies for insomnia [126]. Our systematic review showed that the effect of CHM was well sustained in the medium-to-long-term followup, and this effect was significantly better than placebo, BZDs, and non-BZDs in terms of PSQI scores. This result also adds new knowledge to the field.

This systematic review showed that there was no significant difference between CHM and BZRAs for increasing sleep-onset latency or for reducing clinician-rated severity. This indicates that the conscientious and judicious implementation of the CHM evidence is required in clinical practice because the effect of CHM on insomnia varies according to the outcome measurement.

There was insufficient evidence addressing two important clinical questions: (1) whether CHM was more beneficial than CBT-i for participants with insomnia and (2) whether CHM changed the insomnia electrophysiology detected by PSG.

4.3. Limitations and implications for research

Proper randomization and allocation to reduce the selection bias are necessary for an RCT. We noted that appropriate randomization methods were only used in 34.18% of studies, and adequate allocation concealment in 6.33%. The poor status of randomization may be due to the low methodological quality or low report quality. However, both are likely to exaggerate the estimate of efficacy [127,128]. Therefore, prospective registration of clinical trials and improvement of reporting quality according to CONSORT statement for herbal interventions are required in the future to present full transparency for the study design, implementation, and dataanalysis [129–131].

Insufficient blinding can also lead to overestimation of the effect size [128]. Although double blinding is encouraged in RCTs, it is difficult to practice it for herbal intervention because of the different shape, smell, taste, and administration of CHM products from pharmacotherapy. Considering this challenge, the efficacy of any CHM product should be assessed in the comparison with placebo before its effectiveness is evaluated by using pharmacotherapy as its comparator. Sixty-six different CHM treatments were found in the pool of RCTs, but only 13 of them used placebo as comparators and only 10 used blinding. This indicates that more RCTs using placebo and blinding are needed. In addition, only two RCTs used placebo to CHM decoction, which suggests that the research and development of a placebo to CHM decoction is need.

PROs such as PSQI and patient-rated sleep parameters directly measure the core treatment benefits from patients' perspective in a natural setting [132], which have been comprehensively analyzed in this meta-analysis. However, the treatment effect on PROs is easily changed by insufficient allocation concealment and blinding [133]. In light of the difficulty in blinding RCTs for Chinese herbal intervention, the increasing use of CROs such as CGI-s and CGI-I performed by blinded assessors is needed to provide the overall assessment of insomnia progression. Sleep laboratory studies such as PSG and actigraphy should also be encouraged to explore the mechanism of CHM's action in sleep structures.

Heterogeneity across studies often results in unsuccessful metaanalysis [134]. In this systematic review, we included RCTs of various interventions to gain a broad perspective on the evidence regarding the use of CHM for insomnia, and this caused heterogeneity in some meta-analyses. In addition, clinical and methodological heterogeneity could not be well addressed by subgroup or sensitivity analysis. Therefore, most results presented in this systematic review were the average effects of CHM on insomnia estimated by a randomeffect model, rather than the typical effect. This solution to heterogeneity was based on the assumption that effect being estimated in different CHM treatments may not be identical, but it follows some distribution [135,136]. We noted that the effect size of formulae varied in the post hoc analysis, which agreed with our assumption. Therefore, further comprehensive searches and appraisals of the evidence for each CHM formula for insomnia are needed in the future.

4.4. Agreements and disagreements with other reviews

A previous high-quality systematic review with meta-analysis on standardized CHM for insomnia also suggested that CHM was superior to placebo and safe for individuals with insomnia [21]. However, our study is different from the previous study in four main areas. First, the previous study used Jadad scale [137] to examine the study quality, and it concluded that the poor methodological quality of the studies limited their ability to draw definitive conclusions. However, the use of Jadad scale is increasingly discouraged because the total score is an unreliable assessment of validity [138–140]. We used Cochrane risk and bias instrument to assess the domain-specific methodological quality, thus avoiding this problem. Second, we conducted the search up to May 2014 and therefore collected more up-to-date evidence. Third, we only included the participants diagnosed by standard instruments of insomnia, whereas the previous review treated insomnia as a complaint, which results in a different generalized population. Fourth, we used a comprehensive list of sleep measurements as outcomes, which provides more information for clinical practice and research.

5. Conclusion

Overall, oral CHM alone or when combined with routine care can safely improve subjective sleep in people with insomnia. However, the typical effect of CHM cannot be determined when compared with BRADs and CBT-i due to heterogeneity. Further systematic review focusing on individual formula for insomnia is needed. The development of a comparable placebo to traditional decoction is encouraged as well to ensure the successful blinding in clinical trials.

Authors' contributions

XN conceived the study and drafted the manuscript. XN and JS designed, screened, and analyzed the studies. XN, JS, XG, AZ, and YL interpreted the evidence from methodological and clinical perspective. CL and CX oversaw the conduct of the study, and they contributed to the overall design, analysis, and write-up. All authors read, critically reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

The ICMJE Uniform Disclosure Form for Potential Conflicts of Interest associated with this article can be viewed by clicking on the following link: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2015.08.012.

Acknowledgments

The project is jointly supported by the China–Australia International Research Centre for Chinese Medicine (CAIRCCM) – a joint initiative of RMIT University, Australia, and the Guangdong Provincial Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, China, with additional funding support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (International Cooperation Project, Grant Number 2012 DFA31760). We acknowledge Fuchang Lu (FL) and Chen Zhou (CZ) for their assistance with data collection and data sorting. We also acknowledged La Zhang (LZ) for her independent assessment of the risk of bias for the Chinese articles.

Appendix A

Comprehensive English search strategy

#1: Sleep Initiation and Maintenance Disorders OR Sleep Disorders OR DIMS OR Early Awakening OR Nonorganic Insomnia OR Primary Insomnia OR Secondary Insomnia OR Transient Insomnia OR Rebound Insomnia OR Sleep Initiation Dysfunction OR Sleep Initiation Dysfunctions OR Sleeplessness OR Insomnia Disorder OR Insomnia Disorders OR Insomnias OR Chronic Insomnia OR Psychophysiological Insomnia OR Dyssomnia #2: Traditional Chinese Medicine OR Chinese Traditional Medicine OR Chinese Herbal Drugs OR Chinese Drugs, Plant OR Medicine, Traditional OR Ethnopharmacology OR Ethnomedicine OR Ethnobotany OR Medicine, Kampo OR Kanpo OR TCM OR Medicine, Ayurvedic OR Phytotherapy OR Herbology OR Plants, Medicinal OR Plant Preparation OR Plant Extract OR Plants, Medicine OR Materia Medica OR Single Prescription OR Herbs OR Chinese Medicine Herb OR Herbal Medicine #3: Acupuncture OR Meridians OR Electroacupuncture OR Moxi-

#3: Acupuncture OR Meridians OR Electroacupuncture OR Moxibustion OR Auriculotherapy OR plum blossom OR acupressure OR ear acupuncture OR ear acupressure OR acupuncture, ear OR acupuncture therapy OR moxa OR laser acupuncture OR sevenstar needle OR acupuncture analgesia OR acupuncture points OR electroacupuncture OR electroacupuncture OR TENS OR transcutaneous nerve stimulation OR transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation OR transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation OR electrostimulation OR pharmacopuncture OR point injection OR catgut embedding

#4: Tai Ji OR Tai chi OR Breathing exercises OR Qi gong OR Qigong OR Chi Kung OR Tuina OR anmo Tuina OR Chinese massage OR cupping OR guasha OR blood letting OR bloodletting OR diet therapy OR therapy, diet OR therapies, diet OR phlebotomy #5: #2 OR #3 OR #4 #6: #1 AND #5

#0, #1 AND #5

#6: #1 AND #5

Comprehensive Chinese search strategy

#1: 入睡和睡眠障碍 OR失眠 OR 睡眠 OR 不寐;

#2:中医 OR 中西医 OR 中医疗法 OR 辨病论治 OR 辨证 OR 辨证论治 OR 辨症 OR 辩证 OR 汉方 OR 祖国医学 OR 传统医学 OR 传统治疗 OR 传统疗法 OR 替代医学 OR 替代治疗 OR 中国传统医学 OR 民族医药 OR 民族医学 OR 草药 OR 中草药 OR 中药 OR 中药疗法 OR 中西药 OR 传统医药 OR 中成药 OR 植物药 OR 中药 OR 中药疗法 OR 中医疗法 OR 熏洗 OR 薰洗 OR 药浴 OR 外洗 OR 沐足 OR 足浴 OR 浴足 OR 灌肠 OR 药熨 OR热熨 OR热敷 OR 敷脐 OR 药枕 OR 足疗 OR外敷

#3: 针刺 OR 针灸 OR 针灸疗法 OR 针灸治疗 OR 灸 OR 针法 OR 刺法 OR 体针 OR 腹针OR 温针 OR 火针 OR 电针 OR 梅花针 OR 水针 OR 穴位注射 OR 经络注射 OR 穴位按压 OR 穴位按摩 OR 穴位疗法 OR 指压 OR 耳压 OR 耳针OR 耳穴 OR 耳豆 OR 压豆 OR 点穴 OR 埋线 OR 埋针 OR 头针 OR 眼针 OR 蜂针 OR穴位贴敷 OR 小针刀 OR 皮肤针 #4: 外治 OR 外治法 OR 推拿OR 按摩 OR 拔罐 OR 药罐 OR 推罐 OR 闪罐 OR 火罐 OR 针罐 OR 砭石 OR 刮痧 OR 挑治 OR 发泡 OR 导引 OR 吐纳 OR 气功 OR 太极 OR 八段锦 OR 刺络 OR 刺血OR 刺血疗法 OR 放血 OR 三棱针 OR 离子导入 OR 理疗 OR 情志疗法 OR 中医音乐 OR 五行音乐 #5: #2 OR #3 OR #4

Appendix B: Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2015.08.012.

References

- Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of insomnia: what we know and what we still need to learn. Sleep Med Rev 2002;6(2):97–111.
- [2] Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: a meta-analytic evaluation of longitudinal. J Affect Disord 2011;135(1-3):10– 19.
- [3] Sofi F, Cesari F, Casini A, et al. Insomnia and risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. Eur | Prev Cardiol 2014;21(1):57–64.
- [4] Morin CM, Hauri PJ, Espie CA, et al. Nonpharmacologic treatment of chronic insomnia. An American Academy of Sleep Medicine review. Sleep 1999;22(8):1134–56.
- [5] Matthews EE, Arnedt JT, McCarthy MS, et al. Adherence to cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia: a systematic review. Sleep Med Rev 2013;17(6):453–64.
- [6] Zhao X. Opportunities and challenges for promoting psychotherapy in contemporary China. Shanghai Arch Psychiatry 2014;26(3):157–9.
- [7] Riemann D, Perlis ML. The treatments of chronic insomnia: a review of benzodiazepine receptor agonists and psychological and behavioral therapies. Sleep Med Rev 2009;13(3):205–14.
- [8] Holbrook AM, Crowther R, Lotter A, et al. Meta-analysis of benzodiazepine use in the treatment of insomnia. Can Med Assoc J 2000;162(2):225–33.
- [9] Soldatos CR, Dikeos DG, Whitehead A, et al. Tolerance and rebound insomnia with rapidly eliminated hypnotics: a meta-analysis of sleep laboratory studies. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 1999;14(5):287–303.
- [10] Li J, Deng T, editors. Great dictionary of Chinese medicine. 1st ed. Beijing: People's Medical Pulishing House; 1995.
- [11] Liu Y. Guideline of clinical practice in Chinese medicine: insomnia. In: Evidence-based guideline of clinical practice in Chinese medicine: internal medicine, Chinese Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences. Beijing: China Press of Traditional Chinese Medicine; 2011. p. 166–89.
- [12] Chinese Association of Psychiatry, Chinese Sleep Research Association. China guidelines for the prevention and treatment of insomnia. 1st ed. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House; 2012.
- [13] Sleep Disorder Study Group of Chinese Medical Association Neuropathy Branch. Chinese guideline on diagnosis and treatment for adult insomnia. Chin J Neurol 2012;45(7):534–40.
- [14] Lee KH, Tsai YT, Lai JN, et al. Concurrent use of hypnotic drugs and chinese herbal medicine therapies among Taiwanese adults with insomnia symptoms: a population-based study. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2013;2013: 987862.
- [15] Yeung WF, Chung KF, Yung KP, et al. The use of conventional and complementary therapies for insomnia among Hong Kong Chinese: a telephone survey. Complement Ther Med 2014;22(5):894–902.
- [16] Chen LC, Wang BR, Chou YC, et al. Drug utilization pattern of Chinese herbal medicines in a general hospital in Taiwan. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2005;14(9):651–7.
- [17] Frass M, Strassl RP, Friehs H, et al. Use and acceptance of complementary and alternative medicine among the general. Ochsner J 2012;12(1):45–56.
- [18] Shi Y, Dong JW, Zhao JH, et al. Herbal insomnia medications that target GABAergic systems: a review of the psychopharmacological evidence. Curr Neuropharmacol 2014;12(3):289–302.
- [19] Cao JX, Zhang QY, Cui SY, et al. Hypnotic effect of jujubosides from Semen Ziziphi Spinosae. J Ethnopharmacol 2010;130(1):163–6.
- [20] Yi PL, Tsai CH, Chen YC, et al. Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor mediates suanzaorentang, a traditional Chinese herb remedy-induced sleep alteration. J Biomed Sci 2007;14(2):285–97.
- [21] Yeung WF, Chung KF, Poon MM, et al. Chinese herbal medicine for insomnia: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Sleep Med Rev 2012;16(6):497–507.
- [22] Yeung WF, Chung KF, Poon MM, et al. Prescription of chinese herbal medicine and selection of acupoints in pattern-based traditional chinese medicine treatment for insomnia: a systematic review. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2012;2012:902578.
- [23] American Academy of Sleep Medicine. International classification of sleep disorders: diagnostic and coding manual. 2nd ed (ICSD-2). Westchester: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2005.
- [24] American Academy of Sleep Medicine. International classification of sleep disorders. 3rd ed (ICSD-3). Westchester: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2014.
- [25] American Psychiatric Association. Diagnositic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
- [26] Chinese Society of Psychiatry. Chinese classification of mental disorders. 3rd ed (CCMD-3). Jinan: Shandong Science Technology Press; 2011.
- [27] World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. 10th ed (ICD-10). Geneva: WHO; 1992.
- [28] Mansfield DR, McEvoy RD. Sleep disorders: a practical guide for Australian health care practitioners. Med J Aust 2013;199(8):S1–40.

- [29] Wilson SJ, Nutt DJ, Alford C, et al. British Association for Psychopharmacology consensus statement on evidence-based treatment of insomnia, parasomnias and circadian rhythm disorders. J Psychopharmacol 2010;24(11):1577–601.
- [30] National Institutes of Health. NIH state-of-the-science conference statement on manifestations and management of chronic insomnia in adults. Bethesda: National Institutes of Health; 2005.
- [31] Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and. Psychiatry Res 1989;28(2):193– 213.
- [32] Tsai PS, Wang SY, Wang MY, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the Chinese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (CPSQI) in primary insomnia and control subjects. Qual Life Res 2005;14(8):1943–52.
- [33] Lu TY, Li Y, Pan JY, et al. Study on minimal important difference of the pittsburgh sleep quality index based on clinical trial of traditional Chinese medicine. J Guangzhou Univ Tradit Chin Med 2013;30(4):574–8.
- [34] Soldatos CR, Dikeos DG, Paparrigopoulos TJ. Athens Insomnia Scale: validation of an instrument based on ICD-10 criteria. J Psychosom Res 2000;48(6):555– 60.
- [35] Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the Insomnia Severity Index as an outcome measure for insomnia. Sleep Med 2001;2(4):297–307.
- [36] Busner J, Targum SD. The clinical global impressions scale: applying a research tool in clinical. Psychiatry 2007;4(7):28-37.
- [37] Julian PT, Higgins SG, editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011 Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011].
- [38] Sharp SJ. Analysing the relationship between treatment benefit and underlying risk: precautions and practical recommendations. In: Egger M, Davey Smith G, Altman DG, editors. Systematic reviews in health care: meta-analysis in context. 2nd ed. London: BMJ Publication Group; 2000.
- [39] Muhemaitibake AM, Hu JX. Clinical study of persistent insomnia treated by the principle ' heart is associated with mind'. Chin J Basic Med Tradit Chin Med 2013;19(7):780–1, [Chinese].
- [40] Jia B. Clinical observation on insomnia with yang-deficiency of kidney syndrome treated by the principle 'warm, tonify and calm'. Beijing J Tradit Chin Med 2011;30(9):690–1, [Chinese].
- [41] Qian C. Clinical study of kadusura longepedunculata soft capsule on insomnia, in First school of clinical medicine [Dissertation]. Nanjing: Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine; 2012, [Chinese].
- [42] Wang C. Observation on effect of insomnia treated by the principle 'clear the heart and calm the mind'. Shanxi J Tradit Chin Med 2011;32(8):1017–19, [Chinese].
- [43] Cai TR, Zhu W. Observation on effect of tiao zhong hua tan an shen formula for persistent insomnia with phlegm-heat obstruction syndrome. Chin J Inf Trad Chin Med 2013;20(7):75–6, [Chinese].
- [44] Cai Y, Liu JM, Lin XY. Shu gan qing xin decoction for insomnia with depressed liver qi transforming into fire syndrome: 21 cases. Inf Tradit Chin Med 2011;28(2):54–6, [Chinese].
- [45] Chen FQ, Xue WH, Mei JQ. An shui grannule for insomnia syndrome: 180 cases. Shanxi J Tradit Chin Med 2005;26(7):644–6, [Chinese].
- [46] Chen WM, Qian YL, Song XP, et al. Effect of Tian Wang Buxin Dan on hypothalamus-hypophysis-thyroid hormones of insomnia patients of fire hyperactivity caused by YIN defidency. Hebei J Tradit Chin Med 2012;34(10):1454–6, [Chinese].
- [47] Chen WM, Qian YL, Song XP, et al. Effects of Guipi decoction on hypothalamushypophysis-thyroid hormones of insomnia patients with heart and spleen deficiency. Liaoning J Tradit Chin Med 2012;39(12):2429–31, [Chinese].
- [48] Chen WQ, Li ZX, Huang XB, et al. The clinical research of Naokang II in treating with aged insomnia patients. Chin J Behav Med Sci 2008;17(9):802–4, [Chinese].
- [49] Chen YY, Ma XJ. Observation on chai hu long gu mu li decoction combined with ban xia xie xin decoction for insomnia: 52 cases. J Pract Tradit Chin Med 2011;27(2):87, [Chinese].
- [50] Sheng CJ. Observation on effect of Jian pi he wei formula to improve sleep quality of insomniacs. J Tradit Chin Med 2012;24(8):738–40, [Chinese].
- [51] Zhang CL. Yi shen an mei decoction for insomnia syndrome: 48 cases. Guang Ming Zhong Yi 2012;27(7):1360–1, [Chinese].
- [52] Xia CY, Deng SP, Zhu PJ. The XIA's No. 1 sleeping Prescription for the treatment of insomnia. J Tradit Chin Med 2009;29(3):211–15.
- [53] Wang F. Clinical observation on the treatment of Jie yu ning shen decoction for insomnia with depressive liver and deficient spleen syndrome [Dissertation]. Liaoning:Liaoning University of Chinese Medicine; 2010, [Chinese].
- [54] Gan JG, Tian GQ, Qin GX. Study on efficacy of zaoren anshen capsules in treating senile insomnia and changes in its hemorheology. Chin J Chin Materia Medica 2013;38(2):273–5, [Chinese].
- [55] Qi GF. Clinical observation on chrome insomnia treated by nourishing Yin, clearing heat and eliminating phlegm. J Henan Univ Chin Med 2011;26(156): 602–3, [Chinese].
- [56] Chen H. Effect comparison between two intervention for senile chronic insomnia. Mod J Integr Chin Tradit West Med 2012;21(15):1668–9, [Chinese].
- [57] Han YC, Li YX, Chen HJ, et al. Tian wang bu xin pill containing high dose of suanzaoren for insomnia with yin-deficiency of heart-liver: 27 cases. Glob Chin Med 2013;6(8):620–2, [Chinese].
- [58] Xu HK. Clinical observation on yin dan xin nao tong capsule combined with estazolam for insomnia. Chin J Integr Med Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis 2009;7(1):115, [Chinese].

- [59] Zhang HM. Xue fu zhu yu decoction for persistent insomnia: 66 cases. Nei Mongol J Tradit Chin Med 2012;3:14–15, [Chinese].
- [60] Hou N, Feng X. Sleep peaceful granules curative effect observation of subhealth insomnia treatment. Guide Chin Med 2013;11(25):26–7, [Chinese].
- [61] Jiang HQ. Observation on effect of ling zha zao ren decoction for senile chronic insomnia. Med Inf 2010;23(7):189, [Chinese].
- [62] Huang D, He XY, Huang YK. Xue fu zhu yu decoction for chronic insomnia with blood stagnation syndrome: 42 cases. Fujian J Tradit Chin Med 2010;41(2):10–11, [Chinese].
- [63] Huang HB, Sha R, Wan ZX. Efficacy of valeriana pseudo officinalis C.Y. Cheng et H. B. Chen on insomnia and its effect on plasma melatonin level. Asia-Pac Tradit Med 2013;9(3):4-7, [Chinese].
- [64] Huang XY, Yang LP, Shen B. Clinical observation of wuling capsule in treating insomnia. Shanghai | Tradit Chin Med 2011;45(9):46–7, [Chinese].
- [65] Huang Y, Yang B, Chen Y, et al. Clinical observation on an shen soft extract for insomnia with blood deficiency of heart-spleen: 124 cases. Strait Pharm [2010;22(7):167–71, [Chinese].
- [66] Tian J. Shen qi wu wei zi tablet for insomnia: 30 cases. Med Inf 2010;23(8): 167–70, [Chinese].
- [67] Chen JF. Observation on effect of tian meng capsule for senile insomnia. Chin J Info Tradit Chin Med 2006;13(1):72–5.
- [68] Zhang JF. Evaulation of effect of suan zao ren soft extract for primary insomnia and relevant methodological study [Dissertation]. Beijing: Chinese Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences; 2003, [Chinese].
- [69] Kuang JG. Tian ma gou teng liquid for senile insomnia: 40 cases. Hunan J Tradit Chin Med 2012;28(4):41–2, [Chinese].
- [70] Jiang LP, Xu XJ. Jie yu pill for insomnia syndrome: 34 cases. J Tradit Chin Med 2008;49(6):556, [Chinese].
- [71] Zhang JP. Observation on the effect of ye he jian nao tablet combined with zopiclone for insomnia syndrome. Guid Chin Med 2008;6(24):179–81, [Chinese].
- [72] Xiao LG. Clinical research on senile patients with insomnia treated by method of nourishing the heart and smoothing the nerves and eliminating sputum. Chin Med Mod Dist Educ Chin 2010;8(8):40–1, [Chinese].
- [73] Li DY, Mei R, Li SD. Clinical study on people with primary insomnia treated by TCM of Harmonizing Stomach to Tranquilize. Glob Chin Med 2012;5(8): 585–7, [Chinese].
- [74] Li GR, Gong XL. Zao ren an shen capsule for insomnia syndrome: 30 cases. Guid J Tradit Chin Med 2012;18(7):53–4, [Chinese].
- [75] Li GX, Liu YJ, Hong L. Clinical study on Wendan ning xin granule for primary insomnia. Beijing J Tradit Chin Med 2013;32(10):775–7, [Chinese].
- [76] Li Y, Xu BY, Xiao F. Effect of modified Xiaoyao powder for improving sleep in patients with psychological stress insomnia. Chin J Integr Tradit West Med 2009;29(3):208–11.
- [77] Li Y, Xiao C, Zhou XF, et al. Qing zhen decoction combined with cognitive and behavior therapy for chronic insomnia: a clinical study. New J Tradit Chin Med 2012;44(4):26–7, [Chinese].
- [78] Li Y, Zhou ZH, Zhou XF, et al. Clinical research on treating chronic insomnia by Jiawei Wendan decoction combined with cognitive behavior therapy. Chin J Exp Tradit Med Formul 2013;19(4):316–19, [Chinese].
- [79] Li ZJ, Xie X. Clinical observation of modified decoction of acorus and curcuma combined with estazolam tablet in treatment of insomnia. Neural Inj Funct Reconstr 2014;9(1):47–9, [Chinese].
- [80] Lian FM, Xu GC, Liu K. Placebo controlled clinical trial of Chanyeanshen capsules in insomnia patients. Chin J New Drugs 2009;18(21):2056–60, [Chinese].
- [81] Lin Y, Wang XY, Ye R, et al. Efficacy and safety of Wuling capsule, a single herbal formula, in Chinese subjects with insomnia: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Ethnopharmacol 2013;145(1):320-7.
- [82] Lin YY, Shao MM, Huang NB. Jian nao ning shen granule for the aged with chronic insomnia: 30 cases. Chin Med Mod Dist Educ Chin 2013;11(16):8–10, [Chinese].
- [83] Liu Y, Nan DY. Clinical observation on zao ren an shen capsule for psychophysiological insomnia. China J Chin Mater Med 2009;34(13):1730–1, [Chinese].
- [84] Luo HO, Yang MH, Li SD. Clinical observation on He wei an shen formula for insomnia: 117 cases. Laser J 2012;33(5):88–90, [Chinese].
- [85] Luo LC, Ji XP, Dai P. Clinical observation of 52 cases with anosmia treated by yishenqiangsheng liquid. J Mil Surg Southwest Chin 2006;8(4):25–7, [Chinese].
- [86] Nie ZH, Zhou DS, Zhang YL. Clinical study on the young and middle-aged people with insomnia treated by the principle 'nourish yin, clar hear and resolve phlegm'. Mod J Integr Chin Tradit West Med 2009;18(30):3723–4, [Chinese].
- [87] Niu ZZ, Zhang XP, Du XK, et al. Observation on therapeutic effect of bushen shugan decoction in treatment of insomnia. Liaoning J Tradit Chin Med 2014;41(3):281–3.
- [88] Niu ZZ, Zhang XP, Du XK. Jian pi shu gan decoction combined with fu fang zao ren capsule used in day and night for insomnia with liver depression and spleen deficiency syndrome: a clinical observation. Chin J Info Tradit Chin Med 2013;20(5):79–80, [Chinese].
- [89] Ren YJ, Ni F. Effect analysis of zao ren an shen capsule for senile insomnia. J Guiyang Coll Tradit Chin Med 2007;29(5):23–4, [Chinese].
- [90] Sun P, Zhang QF, Han Y. Clinical observation on zi ni jie yu hua tan an shen decoction for senile insomnia. Beijing J Tradit Chin Med 2011;30(7):517–18, [Chinese].

- [91] Sun XA, He D. Observation on effect of shui mian ling combined with zopiclone for insomnia syndrome. Mod J Integr Chin Tradit West Med 2013;22(30): 3364–5, [Chinese].
- [92] Zhu T. Clinical research on yangxin decoction combined with cognitivebehavioral therapy in treatment of insomnia. Liaoning J Tradit Chin Med 2013;40(8):1651–3, [Chinese].
- [93] Wang LH, Chen MJ, Qian ZS. Efficacy and safety o erdong yangxin oral liquid for treatment of insomnia: a randomized estazolam-controlled trial. Chin J Integr Med Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis 2012;10(10):1195-7, [Chinese].
- [94] Wang SM, Liu JQ, Ma GF, et al. Clinical study of Zaorenanshen tablet treating insomnia. Hebei J Tradit Chin Med 2013;35(8):1217–19, [Chinese].
- [95] Wang ZT, He M, Jiang J. Sanqi granule treatment of insomnia of deficiency heart blood and stagnant heat type: randomized double-blind clinical curative effect observation. Liaoning J Tradit Chin Med 2010;37(12):2289–92, [Chinese].
- [96] Miao WH. Observation of Xinrenshenan capsule on the treatment of insomnia. Hebei J Tradit Chin Med 2012;34(10):1459–72, [Chinese].
- [97] Huang WM. Wen dan decoction combined with Xue fu zhu yu decoction for persistent inomsnia: 39 cases. Henan Tradit Chin Med 2013;33(9):1562–3, [Chinese].
- [98] Li X. Anshen Limian Tang for insomnia: an observation of 68 cases. J Logist Univ CAPF 2008;17(10):885–6, [Chinese].
- [99] Yang XC. A controlled study of Jieyu pill vs estazolam in the treatment of insomnia. J Clin Psychosom Dis 2012;18(5):410–12, [Chinese].
- [100] Feng XD. Wuling capsule for chronic insomnia: 25 cases. Tradit Chin Med Res 2008;21(5):34–5, [Chinese].
- [101] Song XH. Randomized double-blind control research of Wuling capsule in clinical treatment of mild insomnia [Dissertation]. Wenzhou: Wenzhou Medical College; 2010, [Chinese].
- [102] Li XL. Xue fu zhu yu decoction for insomnia: 96 cases. Guid J Tradit Chin Med 2012;18(10):49–50, [Chinese].
- [103] Zhang XM. An shen capsule for chronic insomnia: a controlled study. Mod J Integr Chin Tradit West Med 2008;17(15):2320–1, [Chinese].
- [104] Jing XW. Randomized and controlled on the treatment of xin-shen-ning for insomnia patients with heart-spleen deficiency and internal disturbance of deficient-heat: 48 cases [Dissertation]. Chendu: Chengdu University of Chinese Medicine; 2011.
- [105] Yang XH, Zhang M, Yao HH. Observation on effect of jia wei gui pi decoction for insomnia with dual deficiency of heart-spleen. New J Tradit Chin Med 2011;43(8):38–9.
- [106] She YQ. Observation on effect of female insomnia treated with suan zao ren decoction. J Guangxi Tradit Chin Med Univ 2009;(4):14–16, [Chinese].
- [107] Yu HT, Fu HP, Liu JD. Suan zao ren tang treatment for insomnia: a controlled study. Chin J Pract Chin Mod Med 2005;18(12):1179–80, [Chinese].
- [108] Yuan CX, Chen Y, Li J. Adjuvant treatment of insomnia of liver-yin deficiency pattern by Mei'an granule': a randomized double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. Shanghai J Tradit Chin Med 2013;47(5):57–71, [Chinese].
- [109] Lou YY. Clinical observation on zi ni wen yang huo xue ning xin formula for senile persistent insomnia with blood stagnation syndrome. Nei Mongol J Tradit Chin Med 2012;(10):19–20, [Chinese].
- [110] Zhan SQ, Wang YP, Gao L, et al. Observation on the effect of huo li su oral solution for insomnia. Beijing J Tradit Chin Med 2008;27(10):789–90, [Chinese].
- [111] Zhang XP, Zhang LP, Sun SF. Clinical application of shen song yang xin capsule for senile insomnia. Chin J Basic Med Tradit Chin Med 2009;15(1):64–71, [Chinese].
- [112] Zhang XZ, Ma HB, Han GM. Clinical observation on Yangyin anshen capsule for treatment of Insomnia. Chin J Integr Med Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis 2013;11(10):1174-6, [Chinese].
- [113] Zheng SY, Wang WH. A clinical observation of lily bulb and lotus decoction in 68 patients with insomnia. J Clin Psychosom Dis 2006;12(1):17–18, [Chinese].
- [114] Zhu GQ, Tang RF. Observation on effect of gan cao xie xin decoction for the middle-aged with insomnia. Nei Mongol J Tradit Chin Med 2012;(4):16–17, [Chinese].
- [115] Zhu WH, Fang YX, Lu GR. Wuling capsule combined with zao ren an shen capsule for chronic insomnia: 31 cases. Shaanxi J Tradit Chin Med 2011;32(6):690–2, [Chinese].
- [116] Liao RD. Clinical research on treating insomnia by Chinese medicine. J Clin Chin Med 2010;2(1):76-7, [Chinese].
- [117] Yang YL, Wang XT, Tian YS, et al. Observation on effect of suanzaoren for senile insomnia. Mod J Integr Chin Tradit West Med 2012;21(3):258–9, [Chinese].
- [118] Sun Y. Wuhua Shugan decoction treat insomnia: 52 Cases. J Zhejiang Univ Tradit Chin Med 2008;32(6):766–7, [Chinese].
- [119] Chang C, Jiang YJ, Ju JD. Analysis of effect of Chinese herbal medicine for primary insomnia in terms of subjective sleep instrument. Hebei J Tradit Chin Med 2006;28(11):829–30, [Chinese].
- [120] Neukirch N, Colagiuri B. The placebo effect, sleep difficulty, and side effects: a balanced placebo model. J Behav Med 2015;38(2):273–83.
- [121] Buscemi N, Vandermeer B, Friesen C, et al. The efficacy and safety of drug treatments for chronic insomnia in adults: a meta-analysis of RCTs. J Gen Intern Med 2007;22(9):1335–50.
- [122] Everitt H, McDermott L, Leydon G, et al. GPs' management strategies for patients with insomnia: a survey and qualitative interview study. Br J Gen Pract 2014;64(619):e112–19.
- [123] Siriwardena AN, Apekey T, Tilling M, et al. General practitioners' preferences for managing insomnia and opportunities for reducing hypnotic prescribing. J Eval Clin Pract 2010;16(4):731–7.

- [124] Morin CM, Vallières A, Guay B, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy, singly and combined with medication, for persistent. JAMA 2009;301(19):2005–15.
- [125] Vallières A, Morin CM, Guay B, et al. Sequential treatment for chronic insomnia: a pilot study. Behav Sleep Med 2004;2(2):94–112.
- [126] Allen RP, Mendels J, Nevins DB, et al. Efficacy without tolerance or rebound insomnia for midazolam and temazepam after use for one to three months. J Clin Pharmacol 1987;27(10):768–75.
- [127] Hopewell S, Clarke M, Moher D, et al. CONSORT for reporting randomized controlled trials in journal and conference. PLoS Med 2008;5(1):0050020.
- [128] Pildal J, Hróbjartsson A, Jørgensen KJ, et al. Impact of allocation concealment on conclusions drawn from meta-analyses of. Int J Epidemiol 2007;36(4):847– 57.
- [129] Gagnier JJ, Boon H, Rochon P, et al. Recommendations for reporting randomized controlled trials of herbal. J Clin Epidemiol 2006;59(11):1134–49.
- [130] Fei YT, Liu JP. Herbal CONSORT statement and standardization of reporting traditional Chinese drug trials. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 2008;33(1):89–94, Chinese.
- [131] Bian Z, Liu B, Moher D, et al. Consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) for traditional Chinese. Front Med 2011;5(2):171–7.
- [132] Calvert M, Blazeby J, Altman DG, et al. Reporting of patient-reported outcomes in randomized trials: the CONSORT PRO. JAMA 2013;309(8):814–22.

- [133] Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, et al. Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials. BMJ 2008;336(7644):601–5.
- [134] O'Rourke K, Detsky AS. Meta-analysis in medical research: strong encouragement for higher quality in. J Clin Epidemiol 1989;42(10):1021-4.
- [135] Jackson D, Bowden J. A re-evaluation of the 'quantile approximation method' for random effects. Stat Med 2009;28(2):338–48.
 [136] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects
- meta-analysis. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc 2009;172(1):137-59. [137] Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of
- randomized clinical trials: is blinding. Control Clin Trials 1996;17(1):1–12. [138] Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, et al. Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions
- of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trial. JAMA 1995;273(5):408–12.
- [139] Emerson JD, Burdick E, Hoaglin DC, et al. An empirical study of the possible relation of treatment differences to quality. Control Clin Trials 1990;11(5): 339–52.
- [140] Jüni P, Witschi A, Bloch R, et al. The hazards of scoring the quality of clinical trials for meta-analysis. JAMA 1999;282(11):1054–60.