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Abstract. Computer technologies are increasingly used in education to give the
student more autonomy, referred to as student centred learning. One of the
assumptions often made in this situation is that students will self-regulate to ensure
they achieve the intended learning outcomes. Learning in immersive environments
is popular as they are engaging, entertaining and flexible. However, a potential
tension exists between configuring a multi-user environment to prohibit actions
that can disrupt learning and maintaining the freedom and flexibility that generates
learner engagement. This research investigates the importance of student self-
regulation for learning in OpenSim. The outcome suggests self-regulation is one of
the most important factors needed for successful learning within OpenSim as it
preserves engagement while dissuading disruptive behaviour. Moreover, the need
for suitable user support is identified as key for promoting student self-regulation
within OpenSim.
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1. Introduction

Virtual 3D environments that support multiple users are referred to as 3D Multi User
Virtual Environments (MUVEs), or virtual worlds. These have proved sufficiently
successful in educational applications to be seriously considered for mainstream use [1].
However, MUVE management can be challenging: academics can find that the
underlying system functionalities and use cases are less cohesive than conventional
online facilities, while students can be overwhelmed by the rich and engaging nature of
3D immersion and might focus more on environment features rather than the intended
learning outcomes. To address the challenge of managed learning in virtual worlds this
research investigates the self-regulation of learners within a MUVE. The findings
suggest that appropriate management of MUVEs and the provision of suitable user
support can promote educationally beneficial self-regulatory behaviour by students.

This research refers to the common understanding of MUVEs that allows users to
interact and explore a 3D environment without predefined goals or story plots.
Problems in this domain are investigated using two widely used and closely related
MUVEs: Second Life (SL) [2] and Open Simulator (OpenSim) [3]. The research
provides its recommendations and contributions with respect to these MUVEs. There
are many previous studies and literature on using SL/OpenSim for education, but for
brevity, those are not included into this discussion.
In the common didactic educational mode students expect the teacher to tell them what to do, how and when to do it, and when to stop doing it – an approach that is open to the criticism that it relies solely on a behaviourist pedagogy where information is transferred from teacher to student rather than cultivating critical, creative and original thinking skills in the learner. Similarly, too much external regulation (forced) can introduce negative results in student centred learning [4]. This is a crucial factor for OpenSim based learning since the advantages of MUVEs that facilitate a range of modern pedagogies recommend only adequate levels of guidance and control and allow students to regulate their learning. Too much external regulation can inhibit the advantage of MUVEs while too little can fail in achieving the learning goals. The research focus of this paper is on this unexplored area in the quest to support academics and students having an effective learning experience in immersive environments.

Section 2 of the paper presents background literature and related work. Section 3 elaborates on system features of OpenSim that can affect user self-regulation during learning. Section 4 presents findings of an exploratory study examining avatar self-regulation within OpenSim based learning sessions and Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. Background and Related Work

Self-regulation is a behavioural trait suggesting individuals behave with self-control according to the context and environmental factors of their work. This concept of students behaving with a restrained approach imposed by their desire to achieve learning objectives has been developed as a learning paradigm and widely researched in traditional and e-learning environments. Self-regulated learning has been described in [5] as a collection of self-generated thoughts, feelings, and actions, which are systematically oriented toward attainment of student goals. Pintrich defines self-regulation as “an active, constructive process whereby learners set goals for their learning and then attempt to monitor, regulate, and control their cognition, motivation, and behaviour, guided and constrained by their goals and the learning environment contextual features” [6]. This is important when promoting self-regulated learning in virtual worlds. The consideration of environmental context and its attributes are significant for supporting learner engagement and effective learning in MUVEs.

Schunk [7] has suggested that there is a need for more research aimed at improving students’ self-regulatory skills and to examine how learning environment contexts affect the amount and type of self-regulation displayed. In a study [8] of immersive learning activities authors reported distractions from learning when students behaved as if they had forgotten what their ultimate learning goal was e.g. they repeated actions without any particular meaning. This may have been because the students had forgotten their learning tasks. Virtual worlds can provide a better learning experience when students self-regulate as the activities are learner centric [9]. Students with higher self-regulatory skills tend to be more academically motivated and display better learning [10]; this is relevant to learning in MUVEs since students often follow exploratory and collaborative forms of learning.

A modified self-regulated learning model has been developed for augmented environments supporting adult learning [11]. The authors highlight the need for tailored self-regulatory approaches to fit with the domain of the learning environment. Wan and Reddy [12] have examined self-regulated learning practices combining the idea of a community of learning in MUVEs (OpenSim) with avatars. They argue that the
conventional self-regulatory learning model which is based on the individual student should be extended for MUVE learning since student avatars are part of the community. Students are not only responsible for their individual learning goals but also for the community that they are part of [12]. This indeed supports the validity of this research where self-regulation should be part of MUVE based learning, not only in the focus on learning but also for the environment interactions and avatar behaviours in-world.

3. Management Functions and Implications for Self-Regulation in OpenSim

An OpenSim environment can be managed through the following functional areas: land, content, avatar activities, groups and user access control.

For OpenSim, land management is the most important function category. User roles in OpenSim are often defined in terms of different levels of land ownership and access to land within virtual regions (a region is 256m x 256m size of virtual land). Multiple regions can be put together and form estates with estate owners and estate managers whereas a region can be subdivided into multiple parcels with parcel owners.

Content management is the next most crucial management area in OpenSim with functions for managing content objects and their permissions on different content related user roles. The Land-related permissions often get prominence over content-related permissions when manipulating and executing content objects, although these two permission models are defined in completely different contexts. As a result, land owners at different levels can manipulate the content related functions such as script execution, content creation, media streaming and content access inside their lands, provided that there are no conflicts between the content related functions at land levels. OpenSim content management follows a complex rule set for determining the effective permission level considering all applicable permissions and is also subject to unique permission models such as fair ownership, composite permission and cyclic permission loss; previous research has identified these models [1, 13].

Avatar activities are the ways users interact with MUVEs. Although the functions available in other categories can also be seen as these, avatar activities have a subtle difference in their nature. That is, there is a limited facility to regulate most of these activities, and even if controlled, this can severely affect the engagement generated by freedom of interaction. Avatar appearance change is unrestrictedly available for users to perform at their discretion - it is not expected to be managed by the land owners or the system administrators. For example, avatar mobility activities such as flying and teleporting can be controlled as part of land management. Extreme levels of control on avatars are also available such as freezing (disable all avatar actions), or kicking it off a simulation.

Group management allows avatars to perform in-world tasks as a group. Three default roles were identified: group owner, officer, and member; details about how group management interacts with other functions are discussed in [14].

OpenSim user access control is mainly based on user authentication to a simulation through the client viewer. Once authorized avatars experience the world subject to permissions applicable from other categories.
3.1. Implications for learning with self-regulation

One of the most significant means of promoting student behaviour with self-regulation is to link their avatars' names to their real identities. Messinger (et al.) [15] have discussed the effect of avatar naming practices on user behaviour: “Individuals who “hide” behind their avatars cannot be easily identified, allowing virtual worlds to provide a certain degree of anonymity” [15]. Depending on the self-regulation level displayed by the students, avatar anonymity can be completely harmless or extremely disturbing to the intended learning activity. The most significant challenge in managing land is to determine how land management affects the other management areas: user, content, group, and avatar activity. Furthermore, conflicting conditions at different land levels (estate, region, or parcel) can cause confusion for the users. Although, having more parcels may provide a good granularity to plan for more space with unique controls, such arrangements can bring an unprecedented level of complex management; students that show a high level of self-regulation can be a relief as they might not tend to exploit mistakes made by educators within an OpenSim setup.

Content management becomes important when learning activities are linked to assessments. It is important to understand the composite permission model when managing SL supported learning activities. For example, the student activities that involve scripting on their created content can affect the composite permission settings if there are conflicting permissions for the object and the scripts associated with it. In the cyclic permission loss scenario, a creator of an object who transferred it to students to support their learning may not get the same permissions when the modified object is returned for assessment since students altered the permissions [13]. Fair ownership can let students accidently delete objects (and these are irreversible deletes, always). A high level of self-regulation can help prevent these actions and thereby reduce the adverse impact on the learning experience.

As Tay [16] observed, the functions available for managing groups are not designed to support group-based learning in formal education. However, roles and memberships are the two generic areas which academics can use for supporting group-based teaching. One possible option is to define roles for the context of a learning activity and then delegate abilities to suit the requirements. However, there can be conflicting abilities at land, content, and group levels, which with appropriate self-regulation can be managed without being exploited.

Control on avatar mobility can be useful for specific learning requirements that require students to be restricted to a given area. One of the avatar actions often disabled in OpenSim is pushing others, a concept borrowed from multiplayer games. Schroeder [17] found that regardless of the technological constraints and virtual world usage norms, users prefer to have exclusive control over their avatar design and their appearance in-world. However, activities such as changing avatar body shape, body parts, appearance parameters, clothes, and wearable items can affect learning. For example, an unlocked educational content object can be worn and moved in cases where self-regulation is not practiced. Extreme levels of avatar constraint can cause severe impacts on the usability of the system and degrade the student motivation to engage with learning activities, although there can be a need to prevent unwanted behaviour of an avatar that affects the learning environment and other students. Effective learning management practices that promote student self-regulation can help to avoid such incidents.
4. Self-regulation in Learning in OpenSim – A Case Study

Importantly, engagement with the OpenSim environment may not necessarily represent engagement with learning, although there can be a positive correlation if the learning tasks are in constructive alignment [18]. However, if students do not engage with the simulated environment, there is a high likelihood that they will have low engagement with their other learning activities as well. Schunk [7] has suggested that there is a need for more research aimed at improving students’ self-regulatory skills and to examine how learning environment contexts affect the amount and type of self-regulation displayed.

Wireless Island [19], a dedicated region for wireless communication education, was used for a case study in self-regulation. It provides interactive simulations for students to explore and also includes supplementary learning content such as lecture notes, lecture media streams and a museum of the history of wireless communication. 59 participants from two credit bearing modules, Data Communications and Networks (31 undergraduates) and Advanced Networks and Distributed Systems (28 postgraduates) took part; the study focused on student self-regulation within the environment.

As observed, students tried a range of constructions as well as editing the existing objects. Some of these alterations directly affected the learning experience; activities such as wearing the control buttons of the media display, moving and changing the internal arrangement of the lecture theatre, and creating constructs on the simulation area (shown in Fig. 1), should have been discouraged through management policies for effective learning. However, in this exploratory study, it was planned to observe such actions and use them as evidence to inform future work; hence, students were given unrestricted access to their environments. Some of the land alterations also affected the learning experience. Learning aids and content objects in a MUVE are put in-world with specific positions; if students change the terrain shape and land height it can completely change the intended learning experience. In extreme cases, the learning content may have been buried preventing students even seeing it, let alone accessing and interacting with it. This is a form of Denial of Service (DoS) attack in a MUVE context. One student interaction caused the learning environment to be significantly altered compared to its original layout (Fig. 2). This was a one-off incident, as the majority of students refrained from changing land settings. Compared to the postgraduate (Masters) students, the undergraduate (Honours) students showed high interactivity, resulting in a range of user-created objects, altered content and changed land terrain. The undergraduates were keen on exploring game-like features, and engaging their friends for collaborative activities, although those activities were not related to the learning. Students that were keen on completing their tasks may have had less motivation to explore the MUVE, however. Students were allowed to follow their preferred behaviour as a mean of learning through exploration without any restriction. An assurance was given that their behaviour would not affect their grades, but that it would delay completion of the learning tasks. A number of other incidents were observed which demonstrated the need for student self-regulation. For example, streaming video lecture displays are reset when an avatar hits the play button, disturbing other viewers. Also, in certain instances one student’s simulation was too close to another’s and the resulting interference disrupted the learning activity. Some avatars wore learning content and moved randomly, affecting others’ learning.
4.1. Questionnaire and Analysis

Although students had different levels of the same learning task, both samples were similar with respect to the study measures, hence analysed as a single sample (n=59). Four questions were given to the students at the end of the learning sessions:

- **Q1**: I think my behaviour affected others’ learning
- **Q2**: The open space and others avatars allowed me to interact as in a real-world learning session
- **Q3**: Use of real identities increases proper behaviour of students
- **Q4**: Students should use the learning environment responsibly

Likert scales ranging from 1-strongly disagree to 5-strongly agree were used; results are given in Table 1. Q1 is a self-assessing question as students had to think about their behaviour reflectively and critically. This was important to meet the objectives of the question set, as students answered the rest of the questions with a reflective mind on what they experienced or felt during their learning. Students may have been doubtful about the degree they consider their behaviour had impacted on others’ learning; therefore, a mean of 3.31 (more towards the response “Neither Agree nor Disagree”) while the majority confirming that (mode = 3) was observed. Questions Q2, Q3 and Q4 have recorded nearly the same means (~4 = Agree) while the majority confirms that preference (mode is 4 for each question). Q2 solicited privacy concerns of being in an open environment that could be seen by others and with a high probability of simultaneous engagement in the same learning activity or content. The association of the real-world classroom metaphor reinforces the student comparative observations, resulting in a broader opinion with higher accuracy. Q3 examines the
student view on having their real identity (first name and last name) as their avatar username.

Table 1. Questions measured student self-regulation within OpenSim and descriptive statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>Std. Err</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I think my behaviour affected others’ learning</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The open space and others avatars allowed me to interact as in a real-world learning session</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Use of real identities increases proper behaviour of students</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Students should use the learning environment responsibly</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avatar anonymity and its impact on student learning has been researched previously in various contexts [15]; the majority of students agreed (mode = 4 & mean = 4.02) that there is a positive effect from using their real identities. Q4 elicits the student’s reflection on being a responsible participant in the learning session. The responses indicated the majority of the students agreed that they must use the environment responsibly; a positive indication of self-regulated interaction as an acceptable practice.

An open ended discussion was carried out with each student after their learning session. Among the common responses such as learning was fun, flexible and easy, a notable response was that user support and training were needed to shape their interactions within OpenSim; it was indicated by 57.6% participants with similar expressions. This view was reinforced when some students justified their low self-regulation actions (such as in Fig. 1 and 2) as being due to a lack of knowledge about OpenSim functions and their effects; they claimed that had they been given a prior training and adequate support they would not have behaved in such manner.

Due to the nature of the research, the study sample was limited to a particular set of students. These students have provided their feedback and answers based on their experiences, which were validated through observation. The questions used were appropriately designed; they have yet to be examined for psychometric measures, partly because it is a challenge to find an accepted set of suitable measures as this field of study is still growing.

5. Conclusion

Virtual world based learning environments can be highly effective. A learner is represented by an avatar which interacts with, and explores, the environment, thereby creating a high degree of engagement and intrinsically achieving student-centred learning. However, one of the main assumptions behind the success of student-centred learning is self-regulation. This is particularly important in virtual worlds as the very freedom to explore and interact can also result in distraction from, and disruption to, intended learning outcomes. Yet locking down aspects of the environment to avoid these potential problems also reduces its attractiveness and basis for learner engagement.

This research carried out a study of the behaviour of 59 computer networking students using Wireless Island, a bespoke learning environment. It was found that in the absence of restrictive virtual world configurations some distraction and disruption did indeed take place, but when asked to reflect on their learning experience after the sessions most students agreed on the importance of self-regulation.
One of the main policy implications of this research is the need for suitable user support and training as a means for promoting student self-regulation. This need was further investigated and a specially designed set of OpenSim islands aiming at fulfilling different levels (introductory and advanced) of user training needs was later developed [20]; these training islands were evaluated for their efficacy for training with actual course modules and are now used as starting places for new OpenSim users. The research findings indicate that when learning in immersive environments such as OpenSim it is important to promote and maintain a high level of student self-regulation not only to achieve the intended learning outcomes but also to avoid disturbance to other students thereby supporting them in achieving their learning goals.
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