Notwithstanding the long-held assumption that Islam forbids the representation of figural images, including the depiction of the Prophet Muhammad—a widespread belief underlying the furor that broke out following the September 2005 publication of a series of caricatures in the Danish newspaper Jyllands-Posten—there nevertheless exists a notable corpus of images of Muhammad produced, mostly in the form of manuscript illustrations, in various regions of the Islamic world from the thirteenth century through modern times. A good number of these paintings, however, underwent later iconoclastic mutilations in which the facial features of the Prophet were scratched or smeared (fig. 1), thus compromising the body of pictorial evidence. Besides cases in which an image was mutilated, further surviving materials underscore the diverse and sometimes conflicting understandings of the permissibility of image-making in Islamic traditions, whether such understandings emerged as cultural constructions or reflect personal preferences.

Variant approaches and responses to images unfortunately render the examination of Islamic pictorial production quite speculative in nature. Despite these impediments, it is possible to explore some of the main iconographic developments and various symbolic implications of representations of Muhammad in a number of Islamic artistic traditions. However, it is not feasible to offer here a complete coverage of this complex subject. Rather, this study attempts to provide a preliminary discussion of textual and visual descriptions of the Prophet by concentrating principally on Persian materials. Although select Arabic and Ottoman Turkish texts and images are considered as well in order to highlight a particular theme or motif, there are two reasons for emphasizing Persian sources: firstly, because illustrations of the Prophet flourished in Persian lands, especially during the Ilkhanid (1256–1353), Timurid (1370–1506), and Safavid (1501–1722) periods; and secondly, because these depictions are often embedded within Persian illustrated historical and biographical texts, as well as illustrated “Books of Ascension” (Miʿrajnāmas) and encomia to Muhammad and his heavenly ascension (miʿrāj) included in poetic texts produced as illustrated manuscripts from the fourteenth to the sixteenth centuries.

Examining first how scholarship has approached the concept of visuality in Islamic pictorial traditions and in representations of the Prophet more specifically, this study then attempts to sketch out the ways in which the Prophet Muhammad has been represented, how such depictions developed over the centuries, and some of the possible reasons behind the gradual move from “veristic” representation (thirteenth to fifteenth centuries) to techniques of abstraction (sixteenth to nineteenth centuries). In this development, three principal kinds of “portraits” of the Prophet stand out, namely, veristic, inscribed, and luminous. These divergent pictorial trends at times overlap and therefore reveal that one particular iconographic tradition did not necessarily belong to one time or place.

Verism or veristic representation is defined here as the manner in which an artist attempts to depict the human form as it is visible to the human eye, by including such details as facial features, bodily limbs, and other physical characteristics. The adjective “veristic” is used interchangeably with the terms “mimetic,” “naturalistic,” and “realistic” as a suitable means to describe visually what is essentially not a “real” person but rather a “memory image.” The painter’s technique therefore involves the desire to represent the depicted subject’s
physical reality in a natural manner, even if the subject (like the Prophet Muhammad) is no longer alive and thus exists not in the flesh but rather in recollection—a process of memory-building that can depend on iterations emerging from both culturally circumscribed mnemonic cues and an artist’s own system of visual associations.

Inscribed depictions of the Prophet contain inscriptions either below or above a painted surface—usually the Prophet’s white facial veil—and thus reveal the painter’s processual approach to image-making and / or the viewer’s active reception to it. In general, inscribed “portraits” highlight the affective power of images of the Prophet, and suggest a relationship to personal invocation and prayer (duʿāʾ) practices, which tend to call forth the Prophet through a combination of verbal prayers and mental picturing. In such representations, a written text serves to buttress a visual construct, while the declarative mode helps to realize the demonstrative mode.

Luminous paintings adopt the metaphorical language of the golden aureole to convey the Prophet’s sacred, primordial, and creative light, called the “light of Muhammad” (nūr Muhammad). This pictorial technique seeks to transcend the restrictions of mimetic description in order to herald the Prophet as a cosmic entity freed from temporal boundaries and corporeal limitations. His body, just like the rest of the existential world, was widely believed to have been created by the sensible touch of primordial irradiation, a sensate yet empyreal substance. Artists interested in conveying the Prophet’s preexistent luminescence purposefully stressed this more avataristic element by including golden blazes and halos in their paintings. In other words, the pictorial technique of representing Muhammad’s numinous qualities engages with abstract thought (as it pertains to the prophetic body) and transfers the process of intellectual allegory to artistic production by deploying certain choice motifs, including the flaming nimbus.

What these three principal genres of depiction disclose is that the Prophet could be imagined in multiple ways and that this variety reveals a complex and nuanced approach to describing Muhammad by writers and artists alike. Similarly, the emergence of methods of abstracting the prophetic body from circa 1400 onward in paintings frequently attached to texts of mystical inclinations suggests that images of the Prophet were, at least to some extent, influenced by various mystical ideas and practices, which themselves became further ensconced within Persian spheres under the royal auspices of the Sufi-Shiʿi Safavid dynasty. Displacing the veristic mode, pictorial techniques that abstract the prophetic body appear to have emerged at this time much more from the allegorical desire to represent a more “metaphorical Muhammad” than from attempts to prohibit or eradicate his depiction—the latter being only one facet of a distinctively modern phenomenon.
The imaginative attempts to recollect the Prophet’s body and being in mystical milieus find intriguing parallels in the visual arts as well. For these reasons, it appears that depictions of the Prophet were reflective of pre-modern mystical and popular sentiments calling for a visualization of Muhammad through the twin procedures of remembrance and evocation. Sufi writers discussing the subject in particular believed that the Prophet had both a human (nāsūt) and superhuman (lāhūt) nature united into one being,\(^{10}\) and that his corporeal form occurred only after the physical appearance of his primordial light. Therefore, Muhammad’s physical manifestation \textit{in corpore} can be understood as an ongoing process of theophany, oftentimes beyond the visual reach of the believer’s eyes.

In order to convey the antipodes of disclosure and exposure, artists likewise seem to have experimented with various motifs and techniques to communicate visually the Prophet’s exceptional status. In turn, such explorations crystallized into specific pictorial traditions that could be given new meanings in different contexts, be these Shi’i, Sunni, Sufi, or some combination thereof. Although it remains difficult to determine the exact character and changing meanings of images of the Prophet, an analysis of pictorial details and an exploration of text-image relations—anchored in a historical but not historicist framework—can offer some preliminary suggestions as to the various symbolic and interactive mechanisms through which representations of Muhammad came to be formed and received during the pre-modern period.

\textbf{MODES OF VISUALITIES AND THE PROPHETIC CORPUS}

Scholars have examined portraiture practices in Islamic traditions and how such traditions tend to embrace either non-naturalistic or abstract modes of representation. Two particular theories attempt to explain artists’ quests to go beyond the mimetic imitation of forms, which is itself based on the scientific mechanisms of visual perception. One hypothesis, put forth by Alexandre Papadopoulos, proposes that artists purposefully utilized certain forms (bodily topoi) and shunned others (linear and aerial perspective) in order to achieve inverisimilitude.\(^{11}\) Papadopoulos argues that the lack of optical naturalism in Islamic art hints that artists attempted to capture something beyond the physical world. Rather than representing a likeness bound by the parameters of physiognomic verisimilitude, artists indeed seemed to acknowledge the possibilities of identifications existing above and beyond the restrictive limitations of physical mimicry. Although suffering from limited credibility, Papadopoulos’ point of view attempts to provide one way of explaining why Islamic systems of portraiture did not evolve from flat and frontal to natural and three-dimensional, as in the case of Western traditions of portraiture.

A second commonly held belief contends that in Islamic practices the total negation of physical form and the predilection for verbal description displace more conventional methods of portraiture, due to the putative (and often transgressed) prohibition of figural imagery. This hypothesis is borne out most especially by traditions of representing the Prophet Muhammad through the non-figurative verbal description known as a \textit{hilya} (fig. 2).\(^{12}\) Primarily produced in Ottoman spheres from the seventeenth century onward, the \textit{hilya} (or Ottoman Turkish, \textit{hilye}) could mediate a visual recollection of the Prophet through verbal expression. However, the \textit{hilya} represents only a modern development of practices concerned with conveying an “image” of the Prophet—in this case, a later artistic tradition whose text-based aniconicity reflects only one possible culmination of abstracting tendencies in the figural arts of Islam.

Beyond inverisimilitude and the negation of form, methods of visualizing and depicting persons as rich and complex entities blending presence and essence—and not just material fact—\(^{13}\) have been central to practices of portraiture in a variety of cultural traditions.\(^{14}\) In fact, the general shift from understanding a portrait as a simple likeness to perceiving it as a procedure that aims to describe an individual’s entire character has led to new discussions that highlight the deep divide between \textit{vision}, as the scientific mechanism linked to the production of sight, and \textit{visuality}, which encompasses the many culturally contingent modes of seeing. Although vision has traditionally been understood in terms of artistic production and visuality in terms of viewer reception, it can be argued that both are inseparable and can serve as complementary channels for
individual contemplation. As a consequence, most traditions of portrait-making and portrait-viewing utilize optical depiction, sight, gaze, and mental imagination in an attempt to achieve a total visionary experience.\(^{15}\)

Oftentimes experiential confrontations in pictorial form come to fruition through the phenomenology of seeing in religious contexts. While art history’s methods of analyzing compositional forms as historically and symbolically significant provide one venue for understanding religious painting, other approaches (iconographical analysis, text-image relations, etc.) may illuminate how a representation can act as an effective medium for the stimulation of affective piety, thus functioning as a meditative or devotional image—in other words, what historians of medieval European art have called an *Andachtsbild*.\(^{16}\)

Even though methodological tools from Western art history have been adopted to explore traditions of icon-making and depictions of the sacred in a variety of cultures, these have rarely been utilized to examine the practice of making and viewing pictures in an Islamic context, due to the prevalent belief that traditions of “religious iconography” simply do not exist in Islamic artistic practices.\(^{17}\) Despite such impediments to exploring the role of images in possibly religious or devotional contexts, scholars such as Priscilla Soucek have broken new ground by examining how painters and viewers...
might have envisioned and engaged with images in Islamic traditions.

Soucek has pursued questions of audience reception, using this methodological approach as a basis for exploring theories of visual perception as they pertain to practices of Islamic portraiture. For instance, based on Persian textual sources, she demonstrates that a pictorial image can be engendered by the viewer’s mental activity of imagination (khiyāl). A number of Persian writers in fact contend that portraits are expected to lead their audiences from the formal image (ṣūrat) to its more elevated meaning or inner reality (ma‘nī), that is, from the phenomenal world to a visionary encounter with the unseen. This process may itself cause the viewer to engage in other forms of encounters as well, some of which may be religious or spiritual in nature.

This visual approach, which uses the formal mode to hint at an elevated meaning, appears eminently suited to representations of the prophet Muhammad in Islamic painting. The widely held belief in the Prophet’s bi-substantial prophetic condition of presence (wujūd) and essence (dhāt)—must extend the boundaries of mimetic description, both at the moment of the artist’s production and, later, at the time of the viewer’s reception. In other words, both the maker and beholder of an image can be dared to rise above the creation or perception of an “outer form” in order to engage with an “inner form.” This challenge therefore involves abstract thought put into artistic practice through the supple lexicon of symbolic form.

The Prophet Muhammad, as a mortal man and as the collective prototype of all humanity, is defined in a variety of Islamic mystical, theological, and philosophical writings as both existential being and pre-existent reality. The widely held belief in the Prophet’s bi-substantial nature has permeated Islamic literature and popular piety from the beginnings of Islam to the present day. This twofold approach, adopted by biographers, historians, exegetes, philosophers, and mystics alike, coalesced into the “Doctrine of the Person of the Prophet Muhammad,” which promotes its protagonist as Mensch and Urmensch, as corporeal and transcendent, and as phenomenal and primordial all at once. Bearing in mind this widespread belief, should not attempts to depict the Prophet as a human organism and cosmic being arise in the visual arts as well?

This study builds upon the answers that emerge from this fundamental question in order to demonstrate that a number of pictorial strategies were adopted to convey the compound nature of the Prophet Muhammad. These techniques, which were used especially after circa 1400 and were applied to illustrations accompanying mystical and poetic texts, displaced earlier veristic methods of depiction deployed largely within narrative contexts and henceforth became “normalized” within the pictorial arts of Islam.

In early texts within or deriving from the hadith (Sayings of the Prophet) genre, attempts at thoroughly describing the Prophet Muhammad’s physical traits and personal characteristics—both of which are encompassed under the literary rubric of shama‘īl (features or character)—form the main impetus for envisaging the Prophet. Texts on the Prophet’s shama‘īl composed by well-established authors such as al-Tirmidhi (d. 880), al-Baghawi (d. ca. 1117), and Ibn Kathir (d. 1373) are expository, and thus bear a strong resemblance to veristic portraits of the Prophet Muhammad that otherwise shy away from techniques of physical abstraction. In this case, images and texts are both explanatory in nature and devoid of verbal and pictorial embellishments that seek to overly abstract or conceptualize the prophetic corpus. The stress on legibility in textual sources parallels the emphasis on the Prophet’s visibility in paintings produced between circa 1200 and 1400.

On the other hand, philosophical works and Sufi manuals, particularly those composed by famous mystics like Ibn ‘Arabi (d. 1240), Abd al-Karim al-Jili (d. 1408), and Abu ‘abdallāh Muhammad al-Jazuli (d. 1465), among many, reveal a shift in popular practices related to the devotion of the Prophet Muhammad. In these kinds of works, the Prophet is praised as the perfect or complete man (al-insān al-kāmil), as well as a vehicle or channel (wasīla), an isthmus or boundary point (barzakh), and a medium or intermediary (wāsiṭa) between God and man. Sufi writers such as al-Jili also point out that the Prophet’s nature continuously
fluctuates between the phenomenal world \((al\text{-}hadath)\) and everlasting being \((al\text{-}qidām)\).\(^{33}\) Sufi texts stress that through mental contemplation and meditation the devotee can achieve total union \((tawhīd)\) and self-annihilation in the Prophet \((fanā' \, fīl\text{-}Rasūl)\).\(^{34}\)

These mystical methods of communion with the prophetic corpus necessitated the development of an ideational vocabulary that tended quite often to divest the Prophet of his human attributes and transform him into an emblem of superhuman proportions. Forming a particular process of intellection, these abstracting tendencies find intriguing parallels in the obscuring pictorial details found in depictions of the Prophet. Such paintings, which include inscribed prayers or portray the Prophet as the elemental and luminous \(nūr \, Muḥammad\), likewise seek to convey the sum total of the Prophet’s spiritual and material makeup. It appears that the rise of mystical practices and Sufi poetry were guiding factors in elaborating new concepts of the prophetic persona and hence its representation after circa 1400. Armed with an “equipoise between poetry and painting,”\(^{35}\) artists appear to have wanted to shed the kind of pictorial didacticism found in bio-historical texts in favor of more abstract visual forms derived from poetical expressions that could facilitate an aesthetic experience.\(^{36}\) By 1500, abstracting visual forms gained such prevalence in both Sunni and Shi’i milieus that they formed a particular pictorial “canon,” amenable to various adaptations and interpretations.

THE VERISTIC MODE: PORTRAYING THE PROPHET’S SHAMĀʾIL

The earliest extant paintings of the Prophet Muhammad depict him as a fully visible corporeal figure, whose facial features or shamāʾil are neither hidden beneath a veil nor engulfed by flames. These sorts of realistic depictions of the Prophet are included in the earliest surviving illustrated manuscripts produced from the period of Anatolian Seljuk (1077–1307) and Ilkhanid rule to the beginning of the Safavid reign. The texts themselves belong largely to the historical and biographical literary genres, and thus are primarily concerned with conveying important information about Islamic history and explaining the life and deeds of the Prophet Muhammad.

When closely examined, however, expository texts and images do not seem to abrogate spiritual encounters. The close connection between textual exposition and spiritual contemplation is best illustrated in the literary genre known as Shamāʾil al-Rasūl, or the Features of the Prophet. These kinds of works were produced as early as the ninth century and describe the physical traits and personality of the Prophet. They are closely associated with other texts that describe his physical attributes and personality as manifest proofs of his prophecy \((dalāʾil al-nubuwwa)\).\(^{37}\) The shamāʾil genre can be classified as “explanatory hadith,” because each text presents a compendium of Sayings about the Prophet’s features and character, as transmitted by his companions and other eyewitnesses. Each hadith is then followed by a clarification of its meaning: for example, al-Tirmidhi collected over 397 sayings on the Prophet’s shamāʾil and divided them into fifty-five discrete chapters describing, to name just a few, Muhammad’s facial features, complexion, hair, shoes, and turban.

Although burdened by the close attention paid to each hadith’s chain of transmission \((isnād)\) and characterized by a matter-of-fact rhetoric, it is clear that works in the shamāʾil genre were intended to provide a spiritual blueprint for commemorating and visualizing the Prophet Muhammad long after his death. As al-Tirmidhi states in the introduction to his Shamāʾil al-Rasūl:

To draw a pen-picture of his appearance is beyond one’s capacity, but the companions have endeavored, according to their capabilities, to preserve what little they could, of which some is written here...They have done the community an immense favor by conveying the perfect intrinsic knowledge, as well as the perfect conspicuous elegance and beauty of the Prophet. When an unfulfilled lover is deprived of meeting the beloved then he stands in front of the beloved’s house remembering the features of his beloved in an attempt to gain some solace. It is from habits and features that the heart is appeased.\(^{38}\)

Al-Tirmidhi describes how a pictorial representation fades in comparison to the Prophet’s companions’ attempts at describing the facial traits and character of their “beloved.” Thanks to collections of such descriptions, the author further notes, he who has not had the opportunity to see or meet Muhammad in person can
find comfort through the continual recollection and celebration of the Prophet’s character and physical traits, themselves forming “memory images” capable of soothing an aspirant.

Since only one generation of believers saw the actual physical body of the Prophet, many thereafter had to try to perceive his form through verbal and visual methods of sustained contemplation. This notion, as put forth in Arabic-language *shamāʾil* texts of the early period, also infiltrated Persian works by the early thirteenth century at the latest. For instance, the historian Najm al-Din Abu Bakr Mahmud b. ‘Ali al-Ravandi, best known for having written a history of the Anatolian Seljuks, composed a *shamāʾil*-like text entitled *Sharaf al-Nabi* (The Nobility of the Prophet), which he began in 1202 and completed in 1211. Written in Persian, his *Sharaf al-Nabi* is based on and expands an earlier text in Arabic written by Abu Sa’d ‘Abd al-Malik b. Abī ‘Uthman al-Wa’īz, a Sufi *shaykh* who composed treatises on mystical thought and practice. In his introduction, al-Ravandi tells us that he translated al-Wa’īz’s *Sharaf al-nubuwwa* (The Nobility of Prophethood) so that it could be useful and instructive to Persian speakers; this remark is then followed by sixty-one chapters describing Muhammad, his character and physique, and his prophetic mission, as well as the merits of visiting his tomb, directing prayers towards him, and contemplating him through the imaginative faculty (*khiyāl*) and in dream (*khwāb*). Al-Ravandi’s work and others like it helped spread knowledge in Persian lands about the Prophet’s physical features while also contributing to a more notional envisaging of the prophetic persona by mixing expository writing with conceptual thought.

At the same time as al-Ravandi finished his *Sharaf al-Nabi* in the early thirteenth century, an illustrated manuscript of ‘Ayyuqi’s tragic story of the lovers Varqa and Gulshah was completed in Konya between circa 1200 and 1250. The manuscript contains the two earliest surviving representations of Muhammad, one of which depicts him resurrecting the two dead lovers upon the Damascene Jews’ conversion to Islam (fig. 3). In this scene, the Prophet sits cross-legged in the center of the composition; much like the other characters, he is depicted with facial features and a halo. Only his long black outer cloak (*burda*), which partially covers the top of his turban, along with his central location in the composition and his seated position, sets him apart, thereby suggesting a moment of authority and solemnity—namely, the ultimate triumph of Islam within the salvific context of redemption and resurrection. In this painting, the Prophet remains rather undifferentiated from the other protagonists; such a lack of pictorial focus on Muhammad might be traceable to ‘Ayyuqi’s text. Originally composed between circa 1000 and 1050 during
the early Ghaznavid period (975–1187), ‘Ayyuqi’s Varqa va Gulshâh places emphasis on the moralizing aspect of the episode, rather than on affective attachment to the persona of the Prophet. Such a narrational—rather than devotional—approach is rather typical of Islamic texts produced in Persian lands during the early period.

The drive to present the Prophet in narrative terms in ‘Ayyuqi’s text, as well as in descriptive detail in al-Ravandi’s Sharaf al-Nabi and in other texts in the shanā’il genre, also infiltrated other writings in Persian, most notably prologues praising Muhammad initiating larger works in the belles-lettres (adab) genre. For example, another extant representation of the Prophet appears in the encomium to Sa’d al-Din al-Varavini’s collection of didactic fables entitled Marzubānnāma (Book of the Margrave), executed in Baghdad in 1299 (fig. 4). In this painting, the Prophet sits cross-legged and enthroned, wearing his large white head shawl over his blue robe. Two flying angels, whose faces have been scratched out, hover above him holding a fluttering drapery. The angel on the right appears to offer Muhammad a branch or to pour rays of light upon him, while the angel on the left appears to anoint him with a heavenly liquid or scent. Other figures sit or stand around the Prophet; however, like the other two paintings in the manuscript, their faces, and in particular their eyes, were damaged at a later date.43

The Persian text immediately above and below the image describes the Prophet as emitting radiance much like a torch of light (mash ‘a-i nūr) and his two sandals (na ‘laynash) as exuding the minty smell of the penny-royal or black poley herb (kaisū-i ḫūr).44 The angels above Muhammad imbue him with the dual synesthetic attributes of numinous brilliance and fragrant aroma, adding a layer to the Prophet’s features not otherwise visible upon first glance. The petalled flowers and leaves in the foreground, moreover, may represent two penny-royal flowers, thus offering a more olfactory evocation of Muhammad’s prophetic aroma, itself praised in al-Varavini’s text as a “perfumed earth” (turbat-i mu’attār).45

Even though the painting’s composition and corresponding text suggest that the Prophet’s inner essence, perfumed and radiant, can be a subject of praise and mental picturing, it also pays heed to his more observable features. Indeed, Muhammad’s shanā’il are extolled in the two lines of Arabic poetry below the painting, which read:

Salāmu Allāh kulla šabāhī yawmin ‘alā tilka’l-ḍarā’ībi wa’l-shanā’il
Salāmu murannāhim li’l-shawqi bāṭtā yamīlu min al-yamīni ilā’l-shanā’il46

Peace of God every morning of a day upon those characteristics and features
Peace upon a frenzied one who, in longing, rocks from the right to the lefts

The poem exalts the noble character of the Prophet’s features (darā’īb and shanā’il) and the mystic’s swaying upon contemplating them. By using a double entendre based on the plural of the word “left” (sing.
shimāl; pl. shamaʾil) and the term regularly used to describe Muhammad’s personality and physical features (shamaʾil), the author al-Varavini equates the ecstatic reflection upon the Prophet’s personal traits with the visionary’s bodily oscillations.

Based on the Persian text and the Arabic poem in honor of the Prophet inserted into it, it is possible to suggest that the painting in the Marzubānnāma is intended to depict the Prophet’s companions, sitting or standing around him as they meditate on his non-corporeal attributes (scent and light) while simultaneously recalling his facial traits (shamaʾil). The men’s postures hint that they are engaged in spiritual reflection and in active prayer: all look upwards towards their epiphanized vision of the Prophet and the angels. One man seated to the left of the Prophet raises both hands, palms facing upwards, in a gesture of piety known as the raf al-yadayn (raising of the two hands). Swaying back and forth, seated, or raising their hands in prayer, the onlookers are engaged in a visual and rapturous praise of the Prophet’s characteristics. Theirs is a devotional practice that engenders a powerful imagination of the Prophet. The image’s large scale and vertical format also force the viewer-reader to a halt, in effect activating a prophetic presence, itself deployed through pictorial form and through the suggestion of scent.

In the background of the composition stands a lone tree, whose branches and leaves caress the upper left corner of the picture’s frame. Not simply a landscape feature in the composition, the tree in this particular instance may stand in for the Prophet’s totality. A number of mystical texts, such as Ibn ʿArabi’s short treatise entitled Shajarat al-kawn (The Tree of Being), describe the Prophet Muhammad as a large tree, growing and proliferating in a cosmic fashion. Much like the human and superhuman nature of the Prophet, the Tree of Being’s inner core is outwardly visible:

When it became firm and grew taller there came from its upper and lower branches the world of form (ṣūra) and idea (maʿnā). What came from the outer bark and visible covering was the earthly world (mulk). What came from its inner core and the quintessence of its hidden meaning was the angelic world (malakāt). What came from the sap running in the passages of its veins, from which come its increase, its life, its splendor, which causes the flowering and its fruitage, was the exalted world (jabarūt), which is the secret of the word “be” (kun)… Whenever anything happens in this tree, or whenever anything descends from it, such things raise humble hands of entreaty.47

Like the Tree of Being, the Prophet bears an outer form (ṣūra),48 or bark, that is visible, and an unseen inner essence (maʿnā), or sap, that nourishes the world. It is this Muhammad, as the quintessential Tree of Being, who links this world with the otherworld and forms the target of the devotee’s prayers. As a symbolic stand-in for the Prophet’s everlasting bi-substantial existence, the tree evokes his ever-expanding being. Similarly, the pennyroyal flowers in the foreground visually fill the composition with Muhammad’s prophetic redolence, itself a frequent subject of praise in Islamic literature.49

Although the Prophet’s facial features are now missing, the artist may have represented Muhammad’s visage in a manner similar to a painting included in the laudatory preface to another collection of didactic fables entitled Kalīla va Dimna, produced as an illustrated manuscript in Iran between circa 1350 and 1400 (fig. 5).50 In the painting, the Prophet rides his human-headed flying steed Buraq, while accompanied by the angel Gabriel on the left and another angel on the right as he embarks on his miʿrāj. He wears a blue robe with inscribed decorative bands (ṭirāz), as well as a white turban with its end flap (shamla) wrapped around his neck and folded over his left shoulder. Although his facial features have sustained some damage, his black beard, arch-shaped eyebrows, round cheeks, rosy lips, and two long hair plaits are still visible, as is the flaming nimbus radiating from his head and turban.

The tales of Kalīla va Dimna, which describe the adventures of two jackals, were composed in Arabic around 750 by ʿAbdallah ibn al-Muqaffa’, who drew from the Sanskrit Panchatantra and its Pahlavi (Middle Persian) translation. Around 1145, the Persian writer Nasrallah Munshi—who, like ʿAyyuqi, was in the service of the Ghaznavids—translated Ibn al-Muqaffa’s Arabic text into Persian, adding his own introduction, which he called “the translator’s preface” (dībūcha-i mutarjim), and a new conclusion, which he entitled “the translator’s close” (khāṭima-i mutarjim).51 The introduction, which praises God and the Prophet Muhammad, provides a pious prolegomenon to Kalīla va Dimna, in effect...
folding these moralizing tales into the larger framework of Persian Islamic literature produced from the ninth to the twelfth centuries and illustrated during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries at the latest.

After praising God in his introduction, Nasrallah Munshi pays homage to Muhammad, the seal of the prophets (khātam al-nabiyyīn), whose evident miracles are visible even to disbelievers. These miracles, the author continues, appear in a number of verses in the Qur’an. One such verse, as quoted immediately above the painting, reads: “Indeed, God and His angels send blessings on the Prophet. O you who believe, send your blessings on him and salute him with respect!” This excerpt encourages the faithful to praise and honor Muhammad, much as God and His angels do on the occasion of his miraculous ascension to the skies. This invitation is particularly appropriate within the context of a eulogistic preface that stresses the wondrous nature of the Prophet’s heavenly ascent.

Nasrallah Munshi’s text and its Qur’anic excerpts command the believing viewer-reader to send prayers to the Prophet in Arabic, which functions as a sacred language in contraposition to the text’s Persian “vulgate.” By extension, the image serves as a pictorial guide for the directing of such prayers, adding a level of blessing above and beyond a textual template. In this case, as in the *Marzubānnāma*, the author’s preface and the attendant image combine effectively to promote the praising and visualizing of Muhammad through an opening pictorial accolade embedded into a larger illustrated work of a didactic and propaedeutic nature.

In the painting of the Prophet’s *miʿrāj* from Nasrallah Munshi’s *Kalila va Dimna*, Muhammad’s slightly round face (*wajh al-tadwir*), his black eyes and long...
eyelashes, his beautiful wheat-colored complexion and radiant color (azhar al-lawn), his long and very dark hair (shadīd sawād al-shāʿr), his full and dense beard, and the slight turn of his body are depicted much as they are described in the shamā’il texts composed by al-Tirmidhi, al-Baghawi, and Ibn Kathir. The inclusion of his long cloak, robe, turban, and hair plaits, moreover, provides the indexical properties required to signify his identity, a common practice of portraiture utilized to distinguish a person by his associated accoutrements and memorabilia. This essentially detail-oriented tradition of representation lends the painting an aura of naturalism and spontaneity, while helping the acculturated viewer to identify the Prophet Muhammad through readily recognizable visual cues.

Although these kinds of portraits of the Prophet tend to fade around 1500, there nevertheless exist a few later Persian paintings that continue veristic traditions of depiction. For example, one unusual painting probably executed in Bukhara (Uzbekistan) around the middle of the sixteenth century also conveys the notion that a contemplative viewer can observe the entirety of Muhammad’s shamā’il (fig. 6). Located in the introductory section in praise of the Prophet in the Bustān (Fruit Orchard) by Sa’di (d. 1292), the composition depicts Muhammad’s mi’rāj above three meditative men kneeling in an enclosed space. Above the building’s entrance door, on the far right, appears the shahāda (the profession of faith, “There is no God but God and Muhammad is His Messenger”), inscribed on a horizontal frieze of blue panels. In a niche in the background, the smoke of a brazier appears to engender a luminous vision of the Prophet, above whom angels hover in the skies. Here, Muhammad is again represented in a naturalistic manner: facial features such as his beard, his two long tresses, and his almond-shaped eyes are fully visible.

Sa’di’s encomium to the Prophet provides the textual vehicle for praising Muhammad, his many names (asmā’ al-nabī), and the nūr Muḥammad. The author describes him with various honorific epithets and adjectives, such as intercessor (shafī’), prophet (nabī), and generous (karīm). The author invokes the Prophet directly as God’s creative light:

\[\text{tavāsul-i vujūd āmādi az nukhust digar har cha mawjūd shud far’-i āst}^{59}\]

You, from the first became existence’s continuance All else existent is a branch of you

The expression tavāsul-i vujūd (existence’s continuance) describes the organic conduit of Muhammad’s light, as primordial and productive flux, while also calling to mind the analogy of the Prophet to the Shahārat al-Kawn or Tree of Being.

At least twice in his tribute, Sa’di overtly encourages the reader to give praise (du’ā’) to the Prophet.
For example, towards the start of his encomium, he asks himself how he might best laud the Prophet:

cha na’t-i pasandida gūyam turā?
‘alayka al-salām, ay Nabi al-warā62

How shall I eulogize you acceptably?
Peace upon you, o Prophet to humanity63

And then the author concludes:

Cha vasfat kunad Sa’di-yi nātamām
‘alayka al-salāh, ay Nabi wa’l-salām64

How can an imperfect Sa’di describe you justly?
Prayer and peace upon you, o Prophet65

The author wishes to extend a fitting laudation to Muhammad but bemoans the fact that his text cannot provide a perfect or complete description (vasf) of his larger-than-life being.

Transcending the author’s limitations, the complementary painting offers a tangible representation of devotees fully engaged in visualizing the Prophet, his physical form, and his heavenly light through the medium of oral praise. The three figures may well represent the companions of the Prophet and the first three caliphs, Abu Bakr, ‘Umar, and ‘Uthman, who personally knew the Prophet and described his shamā’il.66 The man on the left with the white beard (possibly Abu Bakr) holds prayer beads, thus suggesting that he is engrossed in contemplating Muhammad and his prophetic form. In a dialogic manner, one could also interpret the three men as devout viewer-readers engaged in reflective prayer as prompted by Sa’di’s preface, while the brazier in the background generates a reified image of the Prophet Muhammad.

Much like the earlier “featured” portraits discussed previously, this rare sixteenth-century painting reveals a great indebtedness to its accompanying text, as well as to shamā’il literature, which formed the basis for describing the Prophet’s attributes as integrated in subsequent laudatory prefaces included in illustrated Persian manuscripts. In these contexts, Muhammad is depicted in a veristic or expository manner, not only as an individual but, more importantly, as the most perfect prototype (nuskha) of humankind.67 The representation of his physical features and garments, moreover, provides a means to conceptualize and praise his prophetic corpus, through the symbolic mechanisms afforded by the formal idioms of the pictorial mode.

Veristic representations of Muhammad embody a particular trend in prophetic iconography in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, with very rare exceptions of the genre (as in the painting in Sa’di’s preface) dating from after 1500. The reasons for this trend are many and may include the following: the influence of descriptive shamā’il texts translated into Persian, the selection of biographical and historical (rather than poetic) texts for illustration, and a general ease with the figural arts during this period—an ease and directness that, due to a constellation of cultural and artistic factors, would eventually give way to more abstract methods of representing the prophetic corpus.

KALIMA PORTRAITS: INScribing AND InvOKING THE PROPHET

Although veristic portraits sometimes appear after 1400, they were largely displaced by renditions of the Prophet that combined figural form with textual inscription. The earliest examples of such hybrid compositions are best called “graphical” or “word” (kalima) portraits because Muhammad’s facial features are removed and replaced by the vocative statement Yā Muḥammad! (O Muhammad!). Inscriptions that replace his eyes, nose, and mouth literally deface him, rendering his visage an “imagetext,” that is, a representation that is neither image nor text alone but a synthesis that is either viewed or experienced as both.68 This two-pronged approach to the creation and reception of the Prophet’s image suggests that artists and viewers turned to epigraphic details (the graphic mode) to explain and expand upon the Prophet’s physical representation (the pictorial mode).

Inscribed portraits of the Prophet from circa 1400 onward seem indebted to the steady spread of Sufi thought, which developed the religious belief that God’s divine word or logos (kalima) manifested itself in the person of Muhammad rather than just in or through the Qur’an. At the heart of this idea lies the conviction that God transmitted His revelations through His messenger, and that these revelations, much like men’s destinies, had been inscribed with His divine pen (qalam).
on a tablet (lawḥ) since time immemorial. Mystics and esoteric philosophers equated this primordial tablet with the occult knowledge of God, hidden from human comprehension, but perceptible by the mystic through sudden flashes of inspiration. This idea, in turn, applied to the Prophet Muhammad, with whom Sufis attempted to communicate through the devotional practices of recollection, oral worship, and invocation. With their predilection for the oral and the auditory, devotees venerating the Prophet transformed the object of their affection into a highly symbolic “soundspace,” in which a vision of the Messenger could be induced through pious utterances.

The earliest extant representation of the Prophet that visually expresses the conceptual notion of Muhammad as God’s concretized kalima dates from circa 1400 (fig. 7). It appears in the form of a tinted sketch that depicts the Prophet Muhammad on Buraq’s back and accompanied by the angel Gabriel, while a number of other angels in a rocky landscape present the Prophet with various offerings. This sketch includes the foundations of the painterly process: red highlights are applied to Gabriel’s hair, Muhammad’s turban top, Buraq’s saddle and crown, the angels’ hair and crowns, and the stars in the sky.

The artist has sketched out the faces of the angels, Gabriel, and Buraq. The Prophet Muhammad, on the other hand, is neither provided with facial features such as a mouth, nose, or eyes nor is his face left blank, to be covered later with a painted veil. Rather, the oval of his face is inscribed with a written vocative statement reading: Yā Muhammad! (O Muhammad!). This inscribed portrait contains an infra-inscription, that is, an inscription not meant to be seen in the finished product. This kind of representation portrays the Prophet as a bodily shell containing verbal components, giving him volume and presence through two very dissimilar techniques of depiction: that of physiognomic form combined with inscribed verbal enunciation.

Although this sketch may be pushed aside as a solitary example of a hermetic practice peculiar to one artist active at the turn of the fifteenth century, other inscribed portraits occur in later centuries, thus indicating that this particular procedure was at the center of a long-lived albeit overlooked pictorial tradition not only in Persian spheres, but in Ottoman circles as well. For example, a Safavid painting depicting ‘Ali, the Prophet Muhammad’s son-in-law, storming the Khaybar fortress reveals a similar kind of underpainting (fig. 8). The composition, which is included in a manuscript of al-Nishapuri’s Qiṣṣas al-anbiyāʾ (Stories of the Prophets) executed probably in Qazvin between circa 1570 and 1580, has suffered some wear through the centuries, and the pigments have flecked off partially to reveal the underpainting. Just like the sketch from circa 1400, Muhammad’s face, which appears in the background...
surrounded by a gold, flaming nimbus, is inscribed with his name in the vocative, while `Ali’s face is inscribed with the vocative Yā `Alī! (O `Ali!). `Ali’s attendant, who is holding a horse, however, bears facial features marked in red and black ink on a gray ground, showing that he is not worthy of being named or exclaimed.

The juxtaposition of Muhammad’s and `Ali’s facial imprints with the attendant’s facial features substantiates the hypothesis that the inscribed names do not constitute mere labels helping the artist determine the figures’ placement in his composition. This is not an instance of an Islamic cartolino or name tag. Rather, the inscribed names carry with them other qualities of religious importance to the artist, who purposefully and consciously decided, either by personal faith or by inherited artistic custom (or both), to portray sacred faces by means of emblazoned forenames. The inscribing of `Ali’s name in particular suggests that, even if it is unclear whether this artistic practice emerged from within a Sunni or Shiʿi milieu, it could be adapted and applied to representations of `Ali, and not just images of Muhammad.  

These kalima portraits—consisting of facial traits made up of letters calling out names in the vocative—become activated by the pen and the voice of the artist, who either seeks to invoke a form through words or performs an oral prayer directed towards Muhammad and, in some cases, `Ali. It shows a clear connection between orality, faith, and portraiture, where the pious, written, and uttered word helps to bring about the presence of an individual. An aspirant’s desire to see the Prophet usually through oral, but here inscribed,
Invocation gives these particular kinds of representations the potential to transform into a communion—visual, graphic, and oral all at once—between the artist and the object of his affection. In fact, when the mimetic technique of shama’īl remains insufficient, the artist opts for speech and its epigraphic rendition, both of which bear the potential for capturing a deeper meaning, itself written into the infrastructure of the painting proper.

These underpaintings reveal the private, undercover world of the artist, who uses verbal and pictorial topoi to call forth the presence of the Prophet by means of his inscribed portrait, and by means of an infra-inscription meant to remain buried under paint. Such depictions of the Prophet go far beyond the boundaries of mimetic description by including the artist’s otherwise camouflaged invocation, furtively evoking the Prophet’s persona through the graphic mode. The sketch and painting therefore exemplify some of the unexpected conceptions of personhood within Islamic traditions, which manifest themselves upon close scrutiny at the pictorial level. These conceptions include the artist’s metaphysical imagining of the Prophet’s visibility as made manifest via the combination of figural depiction, verbal inscription, and oral invocation.

This practice of combining prayer with mental imaging, by which an individual may envision the Prophet’s spirit inhabiting the vessel of the image, finds strong echoes in Sufi devotional texts from the twelfth to the fourteenth centuries. For example, in his Qāb qawsayn (The Space of Two Bows), al-Jili describes how a mystic can use visual aids to continuously recall the Prophet’s image and therewith reach spiritual realization. He notes: “I have only described for you his [the Prophet’s] physical form to enable you to picture it in your mind…. If you cannot do this constantly, then at least summon this noble image in all its perfection while you are blessing him.” Al-Jili describes the beckoning of an image through the unremitting oral prayers characteristic of Sufi practices. In the two kalima compositions, just as in Sufi thought, the active vox of the painter likewise transforms Muhammad’s cognomen into a praise name, by which the artist spells out, commemorates, and ultimately visualizes the Prophet.

Ibn ‘Arabi also describes how a life form can materialize through contemplation. He states in his Fuṣūṣ al-ḥikam (Bezels of Wisdom) that “prayer brings about the emergence of non-being (‘adam) to being (wujūd)” by the activation of a mental image through devotional worship. That is, when a man’s desires are so intense, the utterance of a pious sound can generate a visual counterpart, itself concretized through an imagetext. Whether in mystical writings or visual materials, the consensus appears clear: the statement of a desire or the optative expression of an agent’s wish can initiate a series of material images bound by the parameters of pious personal imagination. In such cases, representations of Muhammad can achieve completion through the creative force of the artist’s oral praise and his furtive inscription below the painted surface of his composition, a practice which bears intriguing similarities to Sufi practices of orally imagining the Prophet’s form and presence.

These verbalized figures of the Prophet represent the portraitists’ intentions and are not meant for the beholder of the picture. On the other hand, other paintings bearing supra-inscriptions, that is, the Prophet’s name written above his facial veil, suggest that an artist could use visible verbal proclamations of the Prophet as vehicles for visualizing the entirety of his being. In such cases, the picture’s viewer is forced to conceive of the prophetic corpus through the double agency of visual and verbal expression, using his imaginative faculty (khiyāl) to symbolically expand and complete the picture before his eyes.

The Prophet’s ascension continues to serve as the thematic medium for kalima representations, as seen in a Safavid painting included in the Kulliyāt (Compendium of Poems) by Amir Khusraw Dihlavi (d. 725), produced in Iran circa 1580 (fig. 9). Here, Muhammad sits on Buraq with his palms outstretched in a position of prayer, while Gabriel, holding a green banner with inscriptions invoking God, Muhammad, and ‘Ali, accompanies him on the left. Unfortunately, Buraq’s face has been scratched out. On the other hand, Muhammad’s white facial veil has not been defiled; rather, it seems that the manuscript’s owner, or perhaps the painting’s artist, thought it unwise to erase the Prophet’s form, especially his head. Instead, an inscription written on top of his facial veil praises him by calling forth his name in the exclamatory “Yā Muḥammad!”. 
In a similar manner, Ottoman artists, who also were active in including or adding supra-inscriptions to the Prophet’s and other figures’ veils, continued the practice of eliding facial traits into inscribed prayer. This practice is used in a painting of the Prophet and ‘Ali visiting their own graves in Medina at night with their companions, as included in a Persian manuscript of the Ottoman Turkish-language poem by Fuzuli (d. 1556) entitled Ḥadiqat al-su‘ādā’ (Garden of the Blessed) (fig. 10). The Prophet’s (now barely visible) name, in the vocative case, is inscribed over his veil immediately below his green turban, in a similar manner as ‘Ali’s name. Unlike the Prophet and ‘Ali, other persons such as ‘Uthman and ‘Umar in the lower right corner have their names simply labeled on their turbans, rather than proclaimed with the exclamative yā’ on their faces.

In other copies of Fuzuli’s text that fell into Ottoman hands, pictorial manipulation and textual editing show that sectarian concerns could play out on the picture plane, in some cases reaffirming the Shi‘i cause by stressing ‘Ali’s high rank through pictured oral invocation while, in other cases, advancing the Sunni cause by crossing out prayer formulas directed to prominent Shi‘i figures. Within the context of Sunni-Shi‘i power struggles over the course of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, kalima representations of Muhammad therefore appear to have been deployed and used as visual tools by both sides to assert their competing claims to religious and political legitimacy as well as prophetic legacy.

The practice of adding a vocative statement above Muhammad’s facial veil proves that the evocation of a prophetic presence does not just constitute part of the inceptive procedures of artistic creation but also makes a strong case for the active response by the beholder of the image, whether artist or owner, operating within particular religio-cultural circumstances. In other words, the picture’s viewer forges a dynamic and discursive relationship based on the following principles: the painting tells a story in pictorial terms buttressed by its laudatory text, while the viewer inscribes pious, and at times even sectarian, meaning into its pigments.

The vocative yā’, which calls to mind Ave Maria salutations included in a number of fourteenth-century
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similar images in European pictorial traditions, “[t]he object or idea to be remembered is privately overwritten with an image that absorbs and subordinates its prototype, a case of the signifier overwhelming the signified.” In other words, the viewer’s interaction—his overwriting—gives the prophetic body new significance and subsumes the imagetext within new systems of meaning. In the context of the early modern period,

Fig. 10. The Prophet Muhammad and Imam ʿAli visiting their graves with their companions, from Fuzuli’s Ḥadīqat al-suʿadāʾ (Garden of the Blessed), text transcribed possibly in Shiraz ca. 1550-1600 and painting dated 1118 (1706). The British Library, London, Ms. Or. 12009, fol. 66v. (Photo: courtesy of the British Library)

paintings of the Virgin Mary, likewise prompts the viewer to read the words aloud, thereby educing an interactive response. The prayer formula, or obsecratio, by which the human aspirant implores a prophetic entity to make itself manifest, translates, at least at the pictorial level, into a verbal desideratum of optical visibility written over and replacing the Prophet’s facial features. As Peter Parshall has noted with regard to

similar images in European pictorial traditions, “[t]he
These systems of meaning are linked to textual presentations of prophetic praise, at times combined with other elements clearly indicative of a Sunni or Shiʿi partis pris.

The viewer’s or artist’s inscribing of Muhammad’s name onto his facial veil suggests that the written word, especially in its vocative form, has the conceptual potential of converting a physical frame into a live body imbued with spirit and presence through the medium of the participant’s animated response. Such a phenomenon bears parallels in mystical texts as well. For example, al-Jazuli notes in his popular prayer manual Dalāʾil al-khayrāt (Proofs of Good Deeds), itself a text of immense popularity in Ottoman lands, that a certain man felt the transfigurative intensity of writing down Muhammad’s name. The man admits: “As soon as ever I wrote the name of Muhammad (peace be upon him) in a book, I spoke a blessing on him, and my Lord granted me what my eye had not seen nor ear heard, nor has occurred to the heart of a mortal man.” In both the painting and al-Jazuli’s text, the written word, coupled with a blessing or oral prayer, transforms an epigraphic symbol into an experience of the totality of the Prophet’s being. In other words, letters have the ability to transmute into the graphic mediators of personhood.

Beyond sectarian concerns, methods of inscribing the Prophet’s name, either hidden under his facial veil or inscribed upon it, reveal man’s need to give material form to a more metaphysical or emblematic Muhammad. The idea of an “all-inclusive” Muhammad appears in a number of Persian poetic texts as well, whose figurative language oftentimes migrates into the visual arts. For example, the celebrated poet Nizami (d. 1218) provides several introductory eulogies (naʿīt) to the Prophet and his ascension in his poem entitled *Makhzan al-asrār* (Treasury of Secrets). Inserted into the encomium to Muhammad’s ascension belonging to a Persian manuscript copy of Nizami’s text produced in 1441, a painting omits the winged steed Buraq and the Prophet’s body, replacing the latter with a flaming gold disk inscribed in its center with Muhammad’s name (fig. 11). Why is it that, in this particular instance, the Prophet’s body disappears entirely from a depiction of his own ascension? The question can be answered at least in part by examining Nizami’s complementary text, which describes the Prophet’s ascent as a release from terrestrial boundaries and physical restrictions. The author states:

He departed from this world with the prison of his body
And it was the spirit of his heart that reached God’s abode.

His heavenly spirit jumped out of its cage
His body became heavier than his heart.

---

Fig. 11. The Prophet Muhammad as a gold disk ascending through the heavens, from Nizami’s *Makhzan al-asrār* (Treasury of Secrets), western India, 844 (1441). Topkapı Palace Library, Istanbul, Ms. H. 774, fol. 4v. (Photo: Christiane Gruber)
In his poem, Nizami describes Muhammad’s ascension through the skies and into the domain of the Lord as a casting off of physical being and as a spiritual and devotional escape from a bodily cage (qafas). He also equates the letters of Muhammad’s name—particularly the mim (m) and dal (d)—with the primordial Tablet (lawh) containing God’s decrees: the initial, spherical mim of Muhammad’s name corresponds to the shape of the globe and the sun,\(^86\) while the incised, linear dal represents the descent to earth of God’s creation.

Through the image of a circle, both poet and artist attempt to embrace Muhammad as a creative force, as an all-encompassing disk, and as a life-giving epigraphic carving of God’s primordial laws, that is, His divine kalima. The Prophet dematerializes into an allegorical stand-in for sacred creation, the entirety of the cosmos, and the “source of the sun”—a poetical image that the artist has attempted to convey by depicting the Prophet as God’s inscribed, encircled, and radiant disk. As in other inscribed or graphic renderings of the prophetic corpus, this depiction of Muhammad appears indebted to the metaphorical language that developed under the aegis of Persian poetry.

THE NŪR MUḤAMMAD: DEPICTING THE PROPHET’S PRIMAL RADIANCE

As Nizami describes in his Makhzan al-asrār, the Prophet is deemed the luminous “source of the sun” and the primal cause for the existence of all living beings. The idea that God created Muhammad as the light source of the entire physical and spiritual world pervades a large number of Islamic texts penned from the first centuries of Islam until today. The Qur’ān itself mentions in several places a glowing light or lamp that writers understood as a metaphor for Muhammad. In two Qur’ānic verses (5:15 and 33:46), for example, it is stated that God sent a light (nūr), or an illuminating torch (sirājan munīran), and a book (kitāb) to his people to lead them out of darkness.\(^87\) Exegetes interpreted these verses as evidence that God communicates with humans through His Book (the Qur’ān) and His Prophet, who himself crystallizes into a luminous substance indicative of divine revelation.

Many Sayings of the Prophet further elaborate upon the concept of the nūr Muḥammad. For example, the famous hadith compiler al-Bukhari (d. 870) states that, “whenever he went in darkness, [the Prophet] had light shining around him like the moonlight.”\(^88\) In a similar manner, biographers of the Prophet such as the thirteenth-century Andalusian judge (qādī) al-Yahsubi (d. 1149) and the blind Turkish author al-Darir (fourteenth century) often included eyewitness accounts in their texts to illustrate the Prophet’s blinding luminosity. For mystical writers like al-Tustari (d. 896) and Ibn ʿArabi, Muhammad symbolized the prime matter of light from which all beings issued,\(^89\) thus linking preexistence with post-existence, or creation with manifestation.\(^90\) Finally, thirteenth-century Persian poets such as Nizami and Farid al-Din ʿAttar (d. 1230) further elaborated upon the notion of the nūr Muḥammad in their eulogistic compositions to the Prophet, in order to describe him as a primal man freed from temporal space. In all of these texts, Muhammad is described as the cosmic and luminous prototype of all humanity.

Through the authority of their own particular literary genres, exegetes, biographers, and poets helped to fashion the pervasive belief in Muhammad as a primordial light and luminous body derived from God’s incandescent essence and emitted as creative substance into the world. These sustained efforts gave rise to the notion of the nūr Muḥammad, or the “light of Muhammad.” This conceptualization of the prophetic corpus stipulates that God epiphanized Himself as light, which then manifested itself as the light of Muhammad, from which the entire universe came into existence prior to the Prophet’s later physical manifestation on earth.

Using terms derived from the Qur’ān and tinting them with poetical expressions, Persian Sufi poets in particular developed new concepts about Muhammad’s physicality and God’s substance, alongside related visionary experiences. In his Haft paykar (Seven Icons),
strategy: the prophetic blaze (ghurrat al-nubuwwa), a symbolic manifestation of God’s creative light emanating from and sometimes wholly enveloping Muhammad’s corporeal self.

One of the earliest extant paintings utilizing the prophetic blaze to emphasize the Prophet’s physical self appears in the ascension encomium included in a Timurid manuscript of ‘Attar’s Manṭiq al-ṭayr (The Speech of the Birds), completed in Herat in 1456 (fig. 12). The painting represents the Prophet sitting atop Buraq in the center of the composition. Muhammad’s face is covered by a rectangular gold veil—most likely added at a later date—and part of his left cheek remains visible, suggesting that his facial features were depicted before being camouflaged by gold paint. From under the veil appear his two long black hair plaits, which extend down to his waist. He wears a white turban and bears a flaming gold halo around his head as he lifts both hands in a gesture of supplication. Around Muhammad for example, Nizami describes Gabriel commanding the Prophet to traverse the celestial spheres (“for you’re the moon” [māḥ tū’ī]), and illuminate them with his own light. Further, Muhammad, whose luminescent quality is compared to a lamp (charâgh), must also “give the stars blooms of light.” To the stars, he gives his colors and his own light, cloaking the firmament with his radiant attributes.

While writers such as Nizami attempted to depict the sum total of the Prophet Muhammad’s being as a compound of pre-existential time and its encounter with the temporal universe, artists appear to have drawn inspiration from texts describing the nūr Muḥammad, following suit with their own compositions. They, too, considered the Prophet both numinous and luminous, as well as immaterial but not disembodied. This hybrid concept of the Prophet—as a dual substance, or a combination of physical body and non-physical being—necessitated the development of one principal pictorial
and Buraq, five angels fly on gold swirling clouds that hide their bodies from the waist down. The angels carry a number of offerings, including a gold dish, a blue jug on a gold platter, a blue-green cushion, a gold platter with two cups, and a gold and white crown. Located on a blue sky with no other details suggestive of time and space, the painting has been elevated to an emblem of mystical worship.

The gold veil may have been added after the painting’s original production for one of two reasons: either post-1500 pictorial traditions of representing the Prophet with a facial veil prompted a posteriori emendations of pre-1500 representations of the Prophet,95 or a subsequent artist may have attempted to create a pictorial correspondence between the image and ‘Attar’s text in order to bring it in line with the widespread concept of the nūr Muḥammad. If the latter, then how did the artist draw upon the Mantiq al-ṭair or, rather, what is the relationship between the author’s text and the altered image?

In the introductory section entitled “In Praise of the Prophet” (dar na’t-i hażrat-i Rasūl), ‘Attar turns the story of the Prophet’s ascension into a vehicle for praising the nūr Muḥammad. The Prophet’s luminous qualities are described in the following verses:

Sun of the Divine Law and Ocean of Certitude
Light of the World, a Mercy for the Worlds,
Lord of Creation and Sultan over all,
The Sun of the Soul and the Belief of All.

Describing Muhammad as primordial light and substance, the author continues:

The purpose of his light was created beings,
It was the origin of inexistences and existences.
That which appeared from the Invisible of the Invisible
Was his Pure Light without a doubt.
His light since it was the origin of existences,
His essence since it was the bestower of every essence.96

In ‘Attar’s exordium, the Prophet Muhammad appears as Pure Essence (zāt-i pāk), Light of the World (nūr-i ālam), Pure Light (nūr-i pāk), and Majestic Light (nūr-i mu’āzzam). He also glows like the moon (māh), the sun (āftāb), or a candle (sham), and his primordial luminescence gives birth to all things seen and unseen. The author’s conceptual description of the protagonist’s radiant quintessence supersedes any attempts at merely reporting his physical characteristics—a technique that reverberates strongly in the original painting’s heavy use of gold.

For ‘Attar and other mystical poets, seeing the Prophet in all his dimensions had very little to do with an optical experience. They believed that real sight occurs not through ocular perception, but by means of the eye of the heart (dīda-i dīl) or the eye of the soul (dīda-i jān). For instance, in his exordium on the Prophet’s ascension, ‘Attar states: “For the eye of the soul, meeting with you [Muhammad] is sufficient.”97 To grasp the essence of the Prophet, it appears that the “eye of the soul” must levitate through the upwards movement of communal prayer. Here, the author concludes with the following declaration:

From the orisons of the Light of that Ocean of Mystery
For the whole community prayer became obligatory.98

The Prophet as the Light of that Secret Ocean (nūr-i ān dāriyā-yi rāz) is the ultimate goal of his community’s prayer (namāz). Even though his essence appears as a large and enigmatic numinous body, the believer can attain this higher, formless realm through the catalyst of prayer. As a result, it is possible to suggest that the accompanying painting inserted in ‘Attar’s exordium was intended to fulfill a similar role: it could have served as a visual prompt for imagining the Prophet through prayer, not form. The orison’s terms are couched in allegorical figures of speech, whereby the Prophet’s persona is called forth by his primordial nūr. Such methods of abstracting the prophetic body as deployed in poetry and the pictorial arts were not just linked to prohibitory impulses; they could also elevate the viewer’s vision beyond the realm of form while simultaneously overcoming the disloyalty of mimetic depiction.

These textual and pictorial ways of giving preeminence to the Prophet’s cosmic, primordial essence over his human qualities and physical characteristics appear in other illustrated texts as well. For example, the idea that Muhammad exists as primordial light and creative flux is further developed in al-Yahsubi’s much loved biographical work, Kitāb al-Shiřā’ bi-ta’rif huqūq al-Muṣṭafā (Healing by the Recognition of the Rights of the Chosen One).99 In his text, al-Yahsubi records various eyewitness reports about the Prophet’s luminous nature in a section he calls simply “The Nūr Muḥammad.”
In a manuscript copy of al-Yahsubi’s text dated 1759, the section on the “Light of Muhammad” includes an image of the Prophet with his hands upraised in a position of prayer, squatting frontally on a bed of flowers and creating a florid explosion of colors below his person—a pictorial adaptation of the philosophical precept that Muhammad serves as an ever-flourishing “Tree of Being” (fig. 13). Muhammad’s face is not veiled but swallowed up by the radiance of his prophetic nūr, which turns into a large blaze above his turban. Behind a hilly landscape, three men look at him in awe and enter into discussion with one another. In the text immediately before and after the image, the Prophet’s companions discuss Muhammad and his superhuman attributes.

Al-Yahsubi records three companions speaking about the luminous qualities of the Prophet Muhammad. For example, the Prophet’s companion Ibn ʿAbbas states: “When he laughed and his teeth showed, it was like a flash of lightning or they [his teeth] seemed as white hailstones. When he spoke, it was like light issuing from between his teeth.”100 The second companion, Abu Hurayra, follows suit by stating: “I have not seen anything more beautiful than the Messenger of God. It was as if the sun were shining in his face. When he laughed, it [his light] reflected off the wall.”101 And finally, the third companion, Ibn Abi Hala, simply notes: “His face shone like the moon.”102

For Ibn ʿAbbas and his friends, Muhammad is the possessor of the face of light (ṣāhib wajh al-nūr),103 whose effulgent luminescence overwhelms and overtakes the whole of his facial features, transforming them from physical matter into shooting rays of light. It is this visible, yet primordial, illumination that is elevated to the rank of cosmic entity and heralded as the universal source of revelation, life, and existence. The late Ottoman artist of this painting—guided, it seems, more by allegorical expression than by a putative ban on figurative imagery—here attempts to convey this complex idea of the nūr Muhammad through his composition, in which the Prophet’s flaming nimbus entirely subsumes his face, turning even his facial veil into golden light.

Biographers of the Prophet after al-Yahsubi continued to transmit information about the nūr Muhammad, and artists who illustrated biographies even as late as the eighteenth century had to confront these texts, paying close attention to their highly symbolic contents while folding them within the norms of their own pictorial traditions. One such text is the Siyer-i Nebi (Biography
of the Prophet), originally written in 1388 in Anatolian Turkish by the author al-Darir. Al-Darir’s biography of the Prophet was executed for the Mamluk Sultan Barquq (r. 1382–89 and 1390–99), who wished to have a biography of the Prophet written in the Turkish language. Abiding by the Mamluk ruler’s wish, al-Darir composed his text by freely translating into Anatolian Turkish the version of Ibn Ishaq’s Sīrat al-Nabi (Biography of the Prophet) composed by al-Bakri (fl. thirteenth century). The Siyer-i Nebî became well regarded in Ottoman lands during the sixteenth century, at which time a number of works were translated into Turkish from Arabic and Persian, in order to strengthen the Ottoman Sunni cause. Its popularity, moreover, was further solidified thanks to its production as an illustrated, five-volume manuscript completed in 1595–96 by the order of the Ottoman Sultan Murad III (r. 1574–95).

Artists in the Ottoman royal book atelier in Istanbul were faced with certain iconographic choices deriving both from the text’s descriptions and from their own pictorial traditions as they created the most extensive surviving program representing the Prophet at all stages of his life. Although the white facial veil was applied systematically to depictions of Muhammad in the illustrated Siyer-i Nebî of 1595–96, Ottoman artists nevertheless could have turned to al-Darir’s text for further inspiration, thus making the issue of prophetic representation not just one of strict prohibition but of image-text interaction as well.

In his text, al-Darir pays particular attention to the idea of the nūr Muḥammad with reference to Muhammad’s parents. The author describes the transference of the Prophet’s primordial nūr from his father ʿAbdallah to his mother Amina until she herself became radiant while pregnant with Muhammad. Al-Darir further describes Muhammad’s luminosity as the “light of prophethood” (peygamberlik nūrı) in an autonomous section entirely dedicated to the subject. In his discussion of the nūr Muḥammad, the author states that God’s primordial light, from which He created all lights, spirits, and prophets, consisted of the Prophet’s illumination, itself made of white light that remains hidden (gizli) from all human beings. The Prophet Muhammad, the author then concludes, exists as a cosmos unto himself, as a “world of lights” (nūrlar ’ālemi) and a “world of secrets” (esrār ’ālemi). Al-Darir’s emphasis on the Prophet’s light as a concealed and invisible substance—itself indicative of an undisclosed and sacred universe—can explain at least in part why artists confronting this text saw the necessity to veil the Prophet’s face while simultaneously attempting to convey his ineffable luminosity by means of the prophetic blaze (fig. 14). Such procedures of pictorial abstraction as linked to the prophetic body appear to be more than just a roundabout way to avoid figural representation; rather, they disclose a complexity and nuance that emerge from sustained efforts in the domains of literary production and of artistic practice to describe and imagine the Prophet Muhammad beyond the restrictive boundaries of mimetic description.

The concept of the nūr Muḥammad as developed in these many texts and paintings reveals a number of attempts over a vast period of time to describe the Prophet Muhammad as an immaterial substance too brilliant to behold but nonetheless contained in a corporeal vessel perceptible by the human eye. Representations attempt to convey the diametrically opposed forces at work in such procedures of depicting the Prophet—procedures that are caught between the wish to disclose Muhammad’s mortal physical presence and the drive to veil his immortal luminous nature. The visual antipodes of exposing and concealing are negotiated here through the intermediary of the flaming nimbus and the facial veil. Muhammad’s primordial irradiation transforms him into a supra-sensory life form and yet discloses the powerful conjunction of opposing forces that consist of light and its encounter with matter.

In his text, al-Darir pays particular attention to the idea of the nūr Muḥammad with reference to Muhammad’s parents. The author describes the transference of the Prophet’s primordial nūr from his father ʿAbdallah to his mother Amina until she herself became radiant while pregnant with Muhammad. Al-Darir further describes Muhammad’s luminosity as the “light of prophethood” (peygamberlik nūrı) in an autonomous section entirely dedicated to the subject. In his discussion of the nūr Muḥammad, the author states that God’s primordial light, from which He created all lights, spirits, and prophets, consisted of the Prophet’s illumination, itself made of white light that remains hidden (gizli) from all human beings. The Prophet Muhammad, the author then concludes, exists as a cosmos unto himself, as a “world of lights” (nūrlar ’ālemi) and a “world of secrets” (esrār ’ālemi). Al-Darir’s emphasis on the Prophet’s light as a concealed and invisible substance—itself indicative of an undisclosed and sacred universe—can explain at least in part why artists confronting this text saw the necessity to veil the Prophet’s face while simultaneously attempting to convey his ineffable luminosity by means of the prophetic blaze (fig. 14). Such procedures of pictorial abstraction as linked to the prophetic body appear to be more than just a roundabout way to avoid figural representation; rather, they disclose a complexity and nuance that emerge from sustained efforts in the domains of literary production and of artistic practice to describe and imagine the Prophet Muhammad beyond the restrictive boundaries of mimetic description.

The concept of the nūr Muḥammad as developed in these many texts and paintings reveals a number of attempts over a vast period of time to describe the Prophet Muhammad as an immaterial substance too brilliant to behold but nonetheless contained in a corporeal vessel perceptible by the human eye. Representations attempt to convey the diametrically opposed forces at work in such procedures of depicting the Prophet—procedures that are caught between the wish to disclose Muhammad’s mortal physical presence and the drive to veil his immortal luminous nature. The visual antipodes of exposing and concealing are negotiated here through the intermediary of the flaming nimbus and the facial veil. Muhammad’s primordial irradiation transforms him into a supra-sensory life form and yet discloses the powerful conjunction of opposing forces that consist of light and its encounter with matter.

In paintings representing the Prophet as primordial light, artists utilized the incandescent medium of the prophetic blaze not only to describe Muhammad’s primordial nature but also as a means of demonstrating, and potentially activating, the pious remembrance of the Prophet of Islam. One can imagine that viewers, in their turn, responded to such paintings in an interactive fashion, much as with the portraits containing vocative supra-invocations.

Pious responses to paintings are unfortunately not recorded in textual sources; thus, it is difficult to determine how a viewer may have reacted to such paintings.
images of the prophets Adam, Noah, Abraham, and Muhammad. We are told that when the Prophet’s portrait (ṣurāt) was taken out, it was as luminous as the sun and better than any beautiful form. When the companions looked at this portrait, “teardrops streamed like stars from their eyes, and a longing for the Prophet was renewed in their hearts.”110 This story not only serves to prove that “portraiture (tašvīr) is not without justification,”111 but also provides evidence for the affective response that an audience might have experienced upon contemplating a concretized light image of the Prophet Muhammad.112

FROM LOGOS TO LIGHT

Representations of the Prophet Muhammad depicting the totality of his physical characteristics—as the resultant presence of an inscribed vocative and as primordial light—attempt to convey his multifaceted makeup as both existential body and atemporal presence. They hover in an intermediary visual zone between mimetic representation and the total abstraction of form in an attempt to define the elusive nature of the prophetic corpus. For these reasons, artists’ creative output resulted from their drive to capture Muhammad’s inner reality, divorced from accidental matter and temporal concerns and yet entirely derived from both. Through pictorial metaphors and such hybrid “memory images,” artists primarily working within Persian and Turkish cultural spheres from circa 1200 to 1800 sought to portray the Prophet in the full sum of his life form, as celestial essence and human being.

Although some paintings can be circumscribed in part by prohibitive impulses, or burdened by iconoclastic practices within Islamic traditions, many others, particularly those produced in Persian lands until the present day (fig. 15), prove that a putative ban on figural imagery has not historically constituted the principal driving force behind the nonfigurative elements used in representations of the Prophet. To the contrary, the overwhelming belief in a metaphorical Muhammad—as a unity of being, a primordial flux, a perfect man, and an eternal prototype—compelled artists to engage in conceptual thought and, as a consequence, to experiment with a wide variety of visual abstractions.
Between 1200 and 1600, a few broad lines of pictorial development are clearly discernable: veristic depictions of the Prophet mark the period between circa 1200 and 1400; inscribed portraits develop circa 1400 at the latest; and light and veiled representations eventually dominate from around 1500 onward. Although it is difficult to untangle the exact forces behind each one of these “movements” in prophetic representation, a few preliminary suggestions can nevertheless be offered.

First, the placement of veristic representations in historical and biographical illustrated texts follows the expository mode, itself used to explain the life and deeds of the Prophet. Narrative textual and visual clarity dominates in this early period, and serves as an effective mechanism to teach Islamic thought in Persian lands from the Ghaznavid to the Timurid periods. Drawing upon Arabic and Persian shamāʾil texts, artists created recognizable “portraits” of the Prophet by representing his specific physical (e.g., large eyes, long hair plaits) and vestmental (e.g., the burda) attributes. The concern with recordkeeping and knowledge transmission, along with a general ease with figural imagery, typifies this particular period of artistic activity.

In subsequent centuries, hybrid images emerge in illustrated poems. Such images appear indebted at least to some extent to mystical thought—especially the belief in an oral prayer’s ability to conjure up a vision of the prophetic body. They also bear witness to the application of allegorical imagination (khiyāl) to visual production. Inscribed portraits in particular can disclose sectarian concerns and thus prove to be one of the many battling grounds for political and religious authority.

Veiled portraits emerged around 1500 in an early Safavid context, probably due to a constellation of factors linked to the newly emergent Sufi-Shīʾī synthesis. Nevertheless, Ottoman images of the veiled Prophet produced during the last quarter of the sixteenth century may also be seen as a culmination of “sacralizing” procedures of iconography linked to a general growth in Ottoman-Islamic piety. In this period of “turning inward,” images of the veiled Prophet reached their peak while simultaneously acting as a direct challenge to, or a visible differentiation from, European pictorial traditions.113

Despite the conjectural nature of understanding the various mechanisms by which images of the Prophet

---

Persian writers and artists in particular understood that the Prophet’s likeness entails not just a process of physical identification but also a figurative approach to his singular properties, which could not be captured by mimetic or expository means. More specifically, paintings that seek to create “images” of Muhammad that do not conform to the expository genre or do not condense his physical presence entirely to a graphic construct reveal a careful attention to distinguishing between the Prophet’s outer appearance and inner essence, a conceptual dichotomy also developed in Persian historical and biographical texts, as well as in Sufi treatises and poems, during the pre-modern period.

Fig. 15. The Prophet Muhammad with a radiant halo holds the Qurʾan. Postcard purchased in Iran in 2001. (Photo: Christiane Gruber)
changed over the course of several centuries, veristic, inscribed, and light portraits reveal that images of Muhammad are not only changeable but purposefully destabilizing. They necessitated active negotiation between the world of forms and the realm of the supraformal, and thus force us to further explore the symbolic roles played by images, especially those of the Prophet, in Islamic traditions. As Oya Pancaroğlu has previously demonstrated, such images could be used “as instruments in ethical instruction rather than traps leading to idolatry.”114 They also provide evidence for artists’ and viewers’ personal pietistic relationship with the Prophet, while also serving as sites of remembrance that bear witness to varied attempts to express and convey Muhammad’s dual nature through the abstraction of visual form.

Rhetorical and visual strategies aimed at allegorizing the prophetic body are ubiquitous in Islamic traditions because Muhammad was understood as a hybrid entity, a literal personification of the encounter between the realms of the natural and supernatural. For these reasons, writers and artists seem to have wanted to draw a clear and visible distinction between his physical body (khalaq) and his interior form (khulq).115 In many texts and images, therefore, the Prophet’s body is described as bi-substantial and transmigratory. Ultimately, the language of metaphor dominates, particularly in pre-modern Persian literary and artistic traditions, in which the Prophet is described in word and in form as being simultaneously polymorphic and pictographic, visible and unseen, logos and light.
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3. Cycles of illustrations depicting the Prophet Muhammad emerged in Ottoman lands as well, and for these reasons are discussed in some detail subsequently in this study.


5. Although other pictorial techniques exist, only three methods are discussed here. A fourth, namely, the facial veil, is too complex to be discussed in detail within the scope of this study. For a detailed examination of the Prophet’s facial veil, see Christiane Gruber, “When Nubuvat Encounters Valāyat: Safavid Paintings of the Prophet Muhammad’s Miʿraj, ca. 1500–1550,” in Shiʿite Art and Material Culture, ed. Pedram Khosronejad (London: I. B. Tauris, forthcoming 2010). This study shows that the first original (rather than a posteriori) facial veil emerged during the time of Shah Isma’il’s I reign (1501–24) and that it was used as a purposeful means of associating the ruler’s persona with that of the Prophet. In paintings produced for Shah Tahmasp (r. 1524–76) and certainly in late sixteenth-century Ottoman paintings, the facial veil appears to have lost its initial religio-political associations with the first Safavid ruler. Instead, it appears to have been used as a means to inhibit a viewer’s full witnessing of the Prophet. The reasons for the facial veil’s “codification” over the course of the sixteenth century in both Persian and Ottoman lands is a complex issue, which remains to be further analyzed in light of religious developments (Sunni-Shi’i contentions, the delineation of...
Throughout this study, the phrase *nūr Muhammad* will be used as such since it appears most frequently in this form in Islamic texts: see Uri Rubin, “Pre-existence and Light: Aspects of the Concept of *Nūr Muhammad*,” *Israel Oriental Studies* 5 (1975), 62–119. However, the expression can also appear as *nūr Muḥāammad*: see U. Rubin, *Encyclopaedia of Islam, New Edition* (henceforth EI2) (Leiden, 1954–2004), s.v. “Nūr Muḥāammad”; other variants include al-*nūr al-Muḥāammad* and, in Persian, *nūr-i Muḥammad*.


For example, in 1997 the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) requested that the sculpted representation of the Prophet Muhammad in the north frieze of the “GreatLawgivers of History,” located in the courtroom of the Supreme Court of the United States of America, be removed or sanded down. CAIR expressed offense at the medium (a sculpture) and the belligerent content (the Prophet holding a sword), to which Chief Justice William Rehnquist responded that the depiction was a “well-intentioned attempt by the sculptor Adolph Weinman to honor Muhammad.” Further stressing that the figure of the Prophet was not intended as a form of idol-worship, that physical injury to an architectural feature in the Supreme Court building was unlawful, and that the sword in the iconographic program of the Supreme Court friezes represented a symbol of justice and not of war, Chief Justice Rehnquist rejected CAIR’s request to remove the sculpture (two-page letter from Chief Justice William Rehnquist to Nihad Awad, Executive Director, and İbrahim Hooper, Communications Director, of the Council on American-Islamic Relations dated March 11, 1997; provided to the author by Kathleen Arberg, Public Information Officer, Public Information Office, Supreme Court of the United States). Based on the input from a number of Muslim groups such as the American Muslim Council, however, Chief Justice Rehnquist, agreed to change the Supreme Court’s literature on the depiction of the Prophet on the north frieze to include a concluding sentence stating that “Muslims generally have a strong aversion to sculptured or pictured representations of the Prophet.” (Ibid., 2.) In agreement with Chief Justice Rehnquist, Taha Jaber al-Alwani issued a fatwa in favor of retaining the sculpture, noting that: “What I have seen in the Supreme Courtroom deserves nothing but appreciation and gratitude from American Muslims. This is a positive gesture toward Islam made by the architect [Cass Gilbert] and other architectural decision-makers of the highest Court in America”. Taha Jaber al-Alwani, “Fatwa Concerning the United States Supreme Courtroom Frieze,” *Journal of Law and Religion* 15, 1–2 (2000–2001): 1–28. With the persistent division of opinion on this sculpture alone, it becomes evident that modern Muslim views on representations of the Prophet Muhammad are not always in accord or monolithic.


albeit noted omission: see Nelson, *Visuality Before and Beyond the Renaissance*, 10.

15. Nelson, *Visuality Before and Beyond the Renaissance*, 2. The question of the gaze in religious art (i.e., the “sacred gaze”) has been examined recently by David Morgan in his *The Sacred Gaze: Religious Visual Culture in Theory and Practice* (Berkeley, Los Angeles, and London: University of California Press, 2005). Much like Robert Nelson, David Morgan argues that the sacred gaze, as a visual medium of belief, encompasses a visual network that constitutes a social act of looking and is therefore a practice that includes the image, the viewer, and the act of viewing: Morgan, *Sacred Gaze*, 3–6.


17. David James, “Qur’ans as Works of Art,” in *The Master Scribes: Qur’ans of the 10th to 14th Centuries AD*, The Nasser D. Khalili Collection of Islamic Art, ed. Julian Raby, 2 (New York: Nour Foundation, in association with Azimuth Editions and Oxford University Press, 1992), 11. James contends that religious art in Islam consists solely of Qur’ans and calligraphy, while illustrated manuscripts depicting the Prophet Muhammad, such as Sultan Murad III’s *Siyer-i Nefi* of ca. 1595–96, are not “true works of religious art, although they may, and often do, have a spiritual element.” On the other hand, scholars such as Ernst Grube, J. M. Rogers, and Priscilla Soucek take the position that illustrated religious narratives begin early and continue in their new cultural milieus, whether these are Persian or Turkish, and therefore reveal that “religious painting” is a relevant category in Islamic art: see J. M. Rogers, “The Genesis of Safavid Religious Painting,” in *Vth International Congress of Iranian Art and Archaeology, Tehran-Iṣfahan-Shiraz, 11th-18th April 1968*, ed. A. Tajvidi and M. Y. Kiani, 2 vols. (Tehran: Ministry of Culture and Arts, 1968), 2:167–88.


21. Ibid., 222.


23. This concept finds a parallel in the Christian conviction that Jesus Christ is both divine and human. In Islamic thought, however, the Prophet Muhammad is considered to be a mortal man bearing “sacred” qualities, rather than being divine *per se*.


25. Many hadith compendia include chapters providing a description of the Prophet (entitled *ṣifat al-Nabi*), in which Muhammad’s physical and moral characteristics are enumerated. These chapters in turn gave rise to the *shamʿ* genre.

26. Muhammad b. ʿĪsâ al-Tirmidhi’s work on the Prophet Muhammad’s features bears a number of titles, including *al-Shāmʿ il al-sharīfa* (The Noble Features), *al-Shāmʿ il Muḥammadīyya* (Muhammadan Features), *al-Shāmʿ il Nabawīyya* (The Prophetic Features), and *Shamʿ il al-Muṣṭafā* (The Features of the Chosen One). It also is simply referred to as *Shamʿ il Tirmidhi* (al-Tirmidhi’s Features) and is best rendered in English as *The Virtues and Noble Character of the Prophet Muhammad*. There exist a number of Arabic editions of al-Tirmidhi’s text, including *al-Shamʿ il Muḥammadīyya*, ed. Sayyid Umrán (Cairo: Dār al-Ḥadith, 1996). It is available in French as *Les qualités caractérielles de Mohamad: As-shama il-Mohammadiwah*, trans. Marjan Jardaly (Beirut: Dār Ibn Ḥazm, 2004); and in English as *The Virtues and Noble Character of the Prophet Muhammad*, at [http://www.inter-islam.org/hadeeth/stmenu.htm](http://www.inter-islam.org/hadeeth/stmenu.htm) (accessed April 5, 2009).


29. The Andalusian mystic Ibn ʿArabi provides his theosophical elaboration of a pantheist world principally in *al-Futuḥat al-Makkiyya* (The Meccan Illuminations) and *al-Fusūṣ al-hikam* (The Bezels of Wisdom). In both works, as in a
number of others, Ibn ‘Arabi argues that spiritual oneness can only be achieved through the abolition (fanā’) of the self and the existential world.


31. Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Jazūlī (also spelled al-Juzuli) is best known for his popular prayer manual entitled Dalā’il al-khayrāt (The Proofs of Good Deeds), which circulated widely from the fifteenth century onward, especially in lands under Ottoman rule.


33. al-Jīlī, al-Kamālāt, 42.

34. The annihilation (fanā’) of one’s separate personhood while contemplating the Prophet has been a central motif of Suﬁ piety since Ibn ʿArabi. For a general discussion of Sufi fanā’, see Valerie Hoffman, “Annihilation in the Messenger of God.”


40. Bibliothèque nationale de France (henceforth BnF), Paris, Ms. Pers. 82. This unstudied manuscript is quite lengthy at a total of 206 folios (measuring 31 x 23 cm), and it is meticulous in its descriptions of the Prophet’s various body parts, which include: his head, forehead, eyebrows, eyes, eyelashes, nose, mouth, teeth, breath, face, beard, hair, neck, shoulders, shoulder blades, back, chest, stomach, navel, hands, fingers, forearms, legs, heels, feet, and joints; his size, skin color, and beauty; and, finally, his sweat and spit (whose olfactory and curative powers made them highly sought after). After describing these physical traits and bodily excretions, there follows the author’s disquisition on the Prophet’s moral and spiritual makeup. For further information on this manuscript, see Edgar Blochet, Catalogue des manuscrits persans, 4 vols. (Paris: Bibliothèque nationale de France, 1905–34), 1:249–50, cat. no. 371. On Ravandi’s life and works, see ibid., 1:276–77, cat. no. 438.


42. The inclusion of the Prophet’s burdā suggests a moment of utmost solemnity or prayer. For example, two Ilkhanid paintings executed during the first half of the fourteenth century portray the Prophet wearing the burdā during particularly critical moments in his prophetic career: 1) in a painting depicting Muhammad’s investiture of ʿĀli at Ghadir Khumm included in al-Birūnī’s al-Āthār al-bāqiyya ʿan al-qurūn al-khāliyya (copied in Tabriz, Maragha, or perhaps Mosul in 1307–8) (Edinburgh University Library, Ms. Arab 161, fol. 162r); and 2) in a composition showing the Prophet receiving a vision of Jerusalem upon his prayer to God as a means of verifying the miracle of his ascension, included in a now fragmentary illustrated Miḥrājnāma most likely produced in Tabriz ca. 1317–35 (Topkapı Palace Library, Ms. H. 2154, fol. 107r). For the painting in al-Biru-nī’s text, see in particular Robert Hillenbrand, “Images of Muhammad in al-Biruni’s Chronology of Ancient Nations,” in Persian Painting from the Mongols to the Qajars: Studies in Honour of Basil W. Robinson, ed. Robert Hillenbrand (London and New York: I. B. Tauris, 2000), 134, and pl. 13; and for the Miḥrājnāma painting, see in particular Filiz Çağman and Zeren Tanındı, The Topkapı Saray Museum: The Albums and Illustrated Manuscripts, trans. J. M. Rogers (Boston: Little, Brown, 1986), 69, fig. 47.

43. The painting of the enthroned Prophet is one of only three paintings included in the Marzubānnāma. The other two paintings, also placed at the manuscript’s opening, depict the author’s composition of the Marzubānnāma (fol. 5r) and the work’s patron (fol. 7r). These paintings correspond respectively to the textual praises of the Prophet, as well as
of the work’s author and its patron, a structure of eulogy typically found at the beginning of Persian didactic, moralizing, romantic, and heroic texts. For a discussion of these paintings, the Marzubānāma manuscript, and Ilkhanid painting produced in Baghdad, see Marriana S. Simpson, “The Role of Baghdad in the Formation of Persian Painting,” in Art et société dans le monde iranien, ed. Chahryar Adle (Paris: Centre national de la recherche scientifique, Éditions Recherche sur les civilisations, 1982), 91–116.

44. A member of the mint genus of herbs, the poney herb (kaisītā), also known as pennyroyal or perennial mint (mēntha pulegium), has small blue or violet flowers with small aromatic leaves that exude a minty aroma. Essential oil of the poney herb was frequently used in folk medicine, and today it is used in aromatherapy.

45. Sa’d al-Varāvīnī, Marzubānāma, Baghdad, 698 (1299), Archaeological Museum Library, Istanbul, Ms. 216, fol. 1v; idem, Kitāb-i Marzubānāma, ed. Muhammad Qazvini (Tehran: Furūghī, 1984), 1; and Simpson, “Role of Baghdad,” fig. 47.

46. al-Varāvīnī, Marzubānāma, fol. 2v, bottom two lines; and idem, Kitāb-i Marzubānāma, 1. (The first line of Arabic poetry in the published edition of the Marzubānāma reads: salāma al-sabbī kullā... The term sabb means “enamored” or “ardently in love.”) The encomium to the Prophet in al-Varāvīnī’s text is omitted from the English translation The Tales of Marzubun, trans. Reuben Levy (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1959). Instead, the English translation begins immediately with the author’s introduction and reasons for writing the Marzubānāma, a section that typically occurs only after an exordium, first, to God and, then, to the Prophet Muhammad.

47. Jeffery, “Ibn al-‘Arabī’s Shajarat al-Kawn,” 67–68. The imperative kun (be) is borrowed from the famous verse in the Qur’an (2:177) that describes God’s creation of the heavens and earth: “When He decreed a matter, He said to it: ‘Be, and it is’ (kun fa-yakūn).”

48. The term sūra can mean “image, form, shape, face, or countenance”: see A. J. Wensinck, EU2, s.v. “Sūra.”

49. Much like the när Muhammad and the veil, authors and poets extolled the ethereality of Muhammad’s scent. For example, the historian al-Tabari (d. 923) reports in his historical encyclopedia that Anas b. Malik stated that he “never encountered any scent, not even the scent of a bride, more fragrant than the skin of the Messenger of God”: al-Tabari, The History of al-Tabari = Ta’rīkh al-rasul wa’l-mulūk, vol. 6, Muhammad at Mecca, trans. W. Montgomery Watt and M. V. McDonald (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1988), 80. Likewise, the theologian al-Ghazzali reports that the “beads of sweat on his face were like pearls; they were more fragrant that the most pungent musk”: al-Ghazzālī, Iḥyā’ ilām al-dīn = Revival of Religious Sciences, trans. Leon Zolondek (Leiden: Brill, 1963), 42. Persian poets often described the Prophet’s scent (bū-yi Rasāl) and its power over humans. For instance, Nizāmī (d. 1218) addresses Muhammad directly, saying: “Your scent is the elixir of our lives”: Ahmad Ranjbar, ed., Chand Mi rāpnāma [Several Books of Ascension] (Tehran: Amir Kabīr, 1372 [1952]), 31; and Niẓāmī, Makhzanol asrār: The Treasury of Mysteries of Nezāmī of Ganjeh, trans. Gholam Hosein Dārbā (London: Arthur Probsthain, 1945), 104, verse 209.

50. The painting is included in a manuscript of Naṣrallah Munshi’s Kalīla va Dimna that was calligraphed in Baghdad (Madīnat al-Salām) in 678 (1279–80). This manuscript was completed just two decades before the Marzubānāma, and therefore provides another piece of evidence for the production of manuscripts in Baghdad during the Ilkhanid period. However, its paintings seem to have been added later, most probably during the Jalayirid or Muzaffarid periods, that is, ca. 1350–1400. On the paintings’ probable date of execution, see Francis Richard, Splendeurs persanes: Manuscrits du XIIe au XVIIe siècle (Paris: Bibliothèque nationale de France, 1997), 69.

51. For the Persian text, see Naṣrallah Munshi, Tarjuma-i Kalīla va Dimna, ed. Mujtabā Minuvi (Tehran: Dānishgāh-i Tīhrān, 1924–25).

52. Naṣrallah Munshi, Tarjuma-i Kalīla va Dimna, 2–3; and idem, BN Suppl. Persan Ms. 376, fol. 2r.

53. Naṣrallah Munshi, Tarjuma-i Kalīla va Dimna, 3; and idem, BN Suppl. Persan Ms. 376, fol. 2v, lines 2–4; and Qur’an 33:56.

54. The addition of the Qur’anic prayer and the image of the ascension, as argued by Bernard O’Kane, bestows a blessing (baraka) on this book: see Bernard O’Kane, Early Persian Painting: Kalīla and Dimna Manuscripts of the Late Fourteenth Century (Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, 2003), 50.

55. al-Baghawi, al-Anwār fi shamā’il al-Nabī al-mukhtār, 141–47 (on the Prophet’s white, rosy, and brilliant complexion), and 148–153 (on his hair); Ibn Kathīr, Shama’il al-Rasūl, 9–14 (on the Prophet’s complexion, his hair, and his round face), and 23–28 (on his hair, its length and color, and his hair plaits); and al-Tirmidhī, Les qualités charactérielles de Mohammad, 5–16 (on the Prophet’s physical appearance).
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