Novel mutations expand the clinical spectrum of DYNC1H1-associated spinal muscular atrophy

ABSTRACT

Objective: To expand the clinical phenotype of autosomal dominant congenital spinal muscular atrophy with lower extremity predominance (SMA-LED) due to mutations in the dynein, cytoplasmic 1, heavy chain 1 (DYNC1H1) gene.

Methods: Patients with a phenotype suggestive of a motor, non-length-dependent neuronopathy predominantly affecting the lower limbs were identified at participating neuromuscular centers and referred for targeted sequencing of DYNC1H1.

Results: We report a cohort of 30 cases of SMA-LED from 16 families, carrying mutations in the tail and motor domains of DYNC1H1, including 10 novel mutations. These patients are characterized by congenital or childhood-onset lower limb wasting and weakness frequently associated with cognitive impairment. The clinical severity is variable, ranging from generalized arthrogryposis and inability to ambulate to exclusive and mild lower limb weakness. In many individuals with cognitive impairment (9/30 had cognitive impairment) who underwent brain MRI, there was an underlying structural malformation resulting in polymicrogyric appearance. The lower limb muscle MRI shows a distinctive pattern suggestive of denervation characterized by sparing and relative hypertrophy of the adductor longus and semitendinosus muscles at the thigh level, and diffuse involvement with relative sparing of the anterior-medial muscles at the calf level. Proximal muscle histopathology did not always show classic neurogenic features.

Conclusion: Our report expands the clinical spectrum of DYNC1H1-related SMA-LED to include generalized arthrogryposis. In addition, we report that the neurogenic peripheral pathology and the CNS neuronal migration defects are often associated, reinforcing the importance of DYNC1H1 in both central and peripheral neuronal functions. *Neurology®* 2015;84:1-12

GLOSSARY

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; BICD2 = bicaudal D homolog 2 (Drosophila); CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist; DYNC1H1 = dynein, cytoplasmic 1, heavy chain 1; LED = lower extremity predominance; Loa = legs at odd angles; MCD = malformation of cortical development; SMA = spinal muscular atrophy.

Autosomal dominant congenital spinal muscular atrophy with lower extremity predominance (SMA-LED) has been described for decades1-4 and is characterized by congenital or early childhood onset, motor neuron degeneration, and lower limb–predominant weakness. Mutations in dynein, cytoplasmic 1, heavy chain 1 (DYNC1H1) (OMIM #158600) and bicaudal D homolog
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Three tail domain mutations in the mouse homolog of DYNC1H1 have been described: “legs at odd angles” (Loa), “crawling” (Cra1), and “sprawling” (Swl). All sharing motor and sensory deficits and the Loa mice demonstrating cortical migration defects. Phenotypic differences among these models and the emerging diversity of reported patients, suggests that the human DYNC1H1 clinical spectrum extends beyond SMA-LED. This report describes 30 affected patients from 16 families with mutations in DYNC1H1. Although they are predominantly affected by SMA-LED, some have severe generalized arthrogryposis and one-third have cognitive impairment, which is frequently associated with MCDs.

METHODS Subjects. Patients with non–length-dependent motor neuropathies predominantly affecting the lower limbs were identified at participating neuromuscular centers and referred for DYNC1H1 sequencing.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. Informed consent for clinical study and molecular genetic analysis was obtained from patients or their parents/legal guardians at all referring institutions. Local ethics committees approved this study.

Molecular genetic analysis. DYNC1H1 sequencing. Most subjects underwent Sanger sequencing of the tail domain of DYNC1H1 (exons 5–15) at either the Institute of Neurology, UCL, or at Washington University in St. Louis. Twelve primer pairs were used to amplify exons and flanking intronic sequences and were sequenced bidirectionally (primer details available on request). Five pedigrees (families UK4, 8, 9, US3, and NL1) had DYNC1H1 mutations identified from whole-exome analysis. Five pedigrees (families UK4, 8, 9, US3, and NL1) had DYNC1H1 mutations identified from whole-exome analysis.

Supplemental data at Neurology.org

RESULTS Subjects and mutational spectrum. Thirty subjects with DYNC1H1 mutations were included in this study (table 1), 25 of whom came from 11 different families and 5 of whom were apparently sporadic. Thirteen different DYNC1H1 mutations were found: 10 are novel, with 8 located in the tail domain and 2 in the motor domain (table 1, figure 1). Twenty-three cases demonstrated autosomal dominant inheritance with appropriate variant segregation. De novo heterozygous mutations were confirmed in 2 sporadic subjects. Four families carried the same p.Val612Met mutation, but haplotype analysis showed that the potentially shared region between US3 and the Spanish pedigree was <700 kb (0.9 cM) and argued against a common founder (supplemental material and table e-2). We found a codominant homozygous tail domain mutation (p.Arg399Gly) in UK1-II: both parents were heterozygous and the homozygous offspring was much more severely affected.

Thirteen of 14 families had mutations in the tail domain of DYNC1H1. It should be noted, however, that most cases only had this domain sequenced and additional subjects with mutations elsewhere in the gene may have been missed. Within the tail domain itself, however, a cluster of mutations occurs in exon 8, which encodes part of the tail dimerization domain.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/family</th>
<th>Mutation and inheritance</th>
<th>Age at onset, y</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Age at examination, y</th>
<th>Maximum motor abilities</th>
<th>Muscle atrophy</th>
<th>Muscle weakness</th>
<th>DTR</th>
<th>Joint contractures</th>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK1(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.R399G, exon 8</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Weakness LL</td>
<td>40s</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Dist LL</td>
<td>Dist LL</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>TAs</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK2(*)</td>
<td>AD homozygous, p.R399G, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Arthrogryposis LL &gt; UL, axial hypotonia</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>SI, SWS, crawling</td>
<td>Dist LL</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>Hips, knees, ITBs</td>
<td>Moderate and ADHD</td>
<td>Valgus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK3</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.Y970C, exon 11</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>MD, abnormal gait</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>WI, WG</td>
<td>LL dist &gt; prox</td>
<td>LL prox</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>Hips, knees, TAs</td>
<td>Mild and ADHD</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK4(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.Y970C, exon 11</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>MD, abnormal gait</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>WI, NRCS</td>
<td>Dist LL and UL</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>End of range hips</td>
<td>Mild and ADHD</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK5(*)</td>
<td>Heterozygous, p.M581L, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Talipes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>WI, WG, NRCS</td>
<td>LL dist &gt; prox</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>Knees, TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Valgus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK6(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.R598C, exon 8</td>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td>WG</td>
<td>40s</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist &gt; prox</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK7(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.R598C, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Talipes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>WI, best with KAFOs</td>
<td>LL dist &gt; prox</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>Hips, knees, ITBs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Valgus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK5(*)</td>
<td>Heterozygous, p.M581L, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Talipes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>WI, WG, NRCS</td>
<td>LL dist &gt; prox</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ UL, ±LL</td>
<td>Knees, TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Valgus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK6(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.W673C, exon 8</td>
<td>Utero</td>
<td>CHD, talipes(^)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>WI, WG, using AFOs</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>– LL</td>
<td>Hips, knees, TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK7(*)</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.E603V, exon 8</td>
<td>Utero</td>
<td>Talipes(^)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>WI using AFOs</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>Hips, TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK8(*)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Talipes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK9(*)</td>
<td>De novo heterozygous p.R1603T, exon 23</td>
<td>Utero</td>
<td>Talipes and leg contractures</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>CC, SS</td>
<td>LL dist</td>
<td>LL prox = dist</td>
<td>– LL</td>
<td>Knees, TAs</td>
<td>ND, neurodevelopmental delay</td>
<td>Epilepsy, exotropia, valgus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK9(*)</td>
<td>Heterozygous, p.D338N, exon 6</td>
<td>Utero</td>
<td>Talipes,(^) arthrogryposis LL = UL, need ventilation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>UL = LL dist</td>
<td>LL &gt; UL, bulbar</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Hips, knees, and adducted thumbs</td>
<td>ND, speech delay</td>
<td>Nissen + PEG at 1 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\text{a}}\)Data not available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/ Family</th>
<th>Mutation and Inheritance</th>
<th>Age at Onset, y</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Age at Examination, y</th>
<th>Maximum Motor Abilities</th>
<th>Muscle Atrophy</th>
<th>Muscle Weakness</th>
<th>DTR</th>
<th>Joint Contractures</th>
<th>Cognitive Impairment</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>Late 40s</td>
<td>Right leg limp</td>
<td>80s</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td>GMD</td>
<td>50s</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>20s</td>
<td>DCS</td>
<td>50s</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>20s</td>
<td>Leg cramps, quad fasciculations</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No, ADHD on methilphenidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td>GMD</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>Prox LL</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US1</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.I584L, exon 8</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Exotropia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.I584L, exon 8</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>LL prox = dist</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Hydroceph, NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Cruising</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>LL prox = dist</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2</td>
<td>Heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Talipes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>WI, WG, best with cane</td>
<td>LL dist = prox</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>- Knees</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Exotropia, CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US3</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Weakness LL prox</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>++ + LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Weakness LL prox</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LL prox = dist</td>
<td>++ + LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw1</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>Birth</td>
<td>Externally rotated and small feet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>WI, on toes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LL mild prox</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.V612M, exon 8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td>WI, never run/hop</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist, axial</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sw2</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.R598L, exon 8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>WI, WG</td>
<td>LL dist</td>
<td>LL prox &gt; dist</td>
<td>- Knees, ± TA</td>
<td>TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Equinovarus feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD heterozygous, p.R598L, exon 8</td>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td>GMD</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>LL dist, asymmetric</td>
<td>LL prox</td>
<td>+ LL</td>
<td>TAs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/family</th>
<th>Mutation and inheritance</th>
<th>Age at onset, y</th>
<th>Muscle weakness</th>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Maximum motor abilities</th>
<th>DTR</th>
<th>Joint contractures</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL1</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, E2616K, exon 38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Childhood WG and falls</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>LL dist</td>
<td>1-UL,1-LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK2-I</td>
<td>AD heterozygous, E2616K, exon 38</td>
<td>&gt;20s</td>
<td>Weakness LL prox. 40s</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>LL dist</td>
<td>1-UL,1-LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK4</td>
<td>autosomal dominant, E2616K, exon 38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Childhood WG and falls</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>LL dist</td>
<td>1-UL,1-LL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD = autosomal dominant; ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; AFO = ankle-foot orthosis; CC = congenital hip dislocation/dysplasia; CHD = congenital heart disease; DCS = difficulty climbing stairs; distal = distal; DTR = deep tendon reflexes; EMG = electromyography; GMD = gross motor difficulties; hydroceph = hydrocephalus; IL = inferior limb; ITB = iliotibial band; KAF = knee-ankle-foot orthosis; LL = lower limbs; MD = motor delay; NE = neonatal seizures; Nissen fundoplication procedure; PEG = percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; PC = pes cavus; PP = pes planus; proximal = proximal; SI = sit independently; SS = sit with support; TA = Achilles tendon; UL = upper limbs; VG = waddling gait; WI = walks independently.

The distribution of DYNC1H1 mutations from this study and the literature is shown in figure 1.

Clinical spectrum of DYNC1H1 mutations. To better characterize the phenotypic spectrum caused by DYNC1H1 mutations, clinical data for all affected individuals were obtained (table 1). Symptom onset ranged from in utero to late adulthood. Thirty-seven percent presented at birth with lower limb malformations, including 4 severe patients (UK1-II, UK2-I, UK8-I, and UK9-I) who presented at birth with congenital arthrogryposis affecting both the upper and lower limbs, with predominant lower limb involvement associated with respiratory and feeding difficulties in one case; 23% presented in infancy (motor delays and abnormal gait), and 17% in childhood (gross motor difficulties or frequent falls). One-fifth of subjects presented in adulthood with a variable degree of lower limb weakness. In family UK1-II with a codominant homozygous tail domain mutation (p.Arg399Gly), the heterozygous father showed lower limb denervation and positive family history for cognitive impairment, while the heterozygous mother only had positive family history for neurodevelopmental delay. Of note, the homozygous child of this couple was one of the most severely affected subjects: born with arthrogryposis, she never achieved independent ambulation and had mental retardation in association with ADHD. Four severely affected patients never walked. The remaining subjects achieved independent but abnormal ambulation, ranging from waddling to frequent falls and difficulties with stairs, and required bracing in some cases. None of the subjects lost ambulation with aging.

As in the original description of SMA-LED, most subjects showed more pronounced involvement of the lower limbs in comparison to the upper limbs. Although distal muscles were atrophic, weakness in proximal leg muscles predominated (figure 2). Muscle function was largely preserved in the arms and hands. Minimal distal hand weakness could be detected in a minority, but only the most affected subjects showed compromised hand function (UK2-I had tremor, grasp, and fine motor difficulties with wasting of the thenar eminence; UK9-I had tremor and adducted thumbs). Deep tendon reflexes were present in the upper limbs and diminished or absent in the lower limbs. Foot deformities (equinovarus or valgus feet and pes cavus or planus) and joint contractures (hips, knees, and ankles) were each detected in approximately half of the subjects.

Electrophysiology. Nerve conduction studies and EMG were performed in 23 subjects and showed a motor neuropathy/neuronopathy without sensory involvement in all cases. All 4 subjects who had upper limb EMG showed neurogenic changes there as well.
and 2 were also found to have neurogenic changes in the bulbar muscles (table e-3).

**Muscle histology.** Thirteen subjects had undergone muscle biopsy, usually of a quadriceps muscle. Most biopsies showed chronic denervation but other heterogeneous features were also noted in some muscles (table e-3). Two previously reported cases showed type 2 muscle fiber predominance. Two biopsies from 2 members of family UK1 (carrying respectively a heterozygous and a homozygous p.Arg399Gly mutation) were initially considered compatible with a myopathic process because of the presence of core-like areas. Finally, in family US3, the presence of increased internal nuclei and rimmed vacuoles was also reported.

**Muscle MRI.** MRI of the lower limb muscles in 9 subjects (6 children and 3 adults) demonstrated a common pattern of involvement. In the thigh, the quadriceps muscles showed diffuse involvement with selective sparing and relative hypertrophy of the adductor magnus and/or longus and of the semitendinosus muscles.

In the lower leg, there was diffuse involvement with relative sparing of the anterior-medial muscles. In a few subjects with more mild lower leg involvement, the anterior-medial compartment appeared preserved compared with the posterior compartment (figure 3). One of our cases (UK4-II) was described before mutations in *DYNC1H1* were found in SMA-LED.4

**CNS involvement and brain imaging.** Ten subjects had some degree of cognitive impairment. Among the 5 subjects with mild to moderate cognitive impairment followed at the Dubowitz Neuromuscular Center, an association with ADHD traits, according to the scores in the CBCL and Conners 3 questionnaires, was documented in 4 subjects, one of whom was already treated with methylphenidate. Another 5 subjects had mild cognitive impairment and one subject had a diagnosis of ADHD, treated with methylphenidate, with normal cognitive ability (table 1).

MRI of the brain showed similar abnormalities in 4 of 15 subjects. Each subject showed a common pattern of brain malformation resembling polymicrogyria,
characterized by cortical nodularity or gyral overconvolutions (figure 4, table e-3), best seen in the frontal and perisylvian cortex. The sylvian fissures extended posteriorly as a parietal cleft in 3 subjects (unilateral in 1 and bilateral in 2). All subjects with abnormal brain MRI had cognitive impairment or neurodevelopmental delay and 3 had ADHD traits.

**DISCUSSION**

Autosomal dominant or sporadic congenital SMA-LED is characterized by nonprogressive congenital or early-onset lower limb–predominant weakness and wasting, and mutations in DYN1H1 are a common cause of this disease. Mutations located in the tail domain of DYN1H1 are mostly associated with SMA-LED8,13 or a Charcot-Marie-Tooth phenotype with proximal weakness,10 while mutations in the motor domain have previously only been identified in patients with cognitive impairment but no motor neuron disease.12,13 More recently, MCDs have been documented in 8 individuals carrying DYN1H1 mutations, 2 of which were located in the tail domain. Of note, 3 subjects from that study had
clinical features evocative of a neuropathy, although no detailed clinical or electrophysiologic information was provided.\textsuperscript{14} Two additional unrelated cases with novel \textit{DYNC1H1} mutations, located in the neck and motor domains, respectively, have been described in association with an SMA-LED phenotype and brain cortical malformation.\textsuperscript{15}

In this study, we report a large cohort of children and adults affected by SMA-LED due to \textit{DYNC1H1} mutations, expanding the clinical spectrum to include severe cases with generalized arthrogryposis and milder cases with onset in adulthood. The detection of cognitive/behavioral impairment and cortical malformations in a proportion of affected individuals demonstrates the frequent co-occurrence of central and peripheral pathology. As in the earlier studies,\textsuperscript{8,28} a significant proportion of our subjects had clinically apparent symptom onset at birth or within the first years of life (63%); in about one-quarter of cases (7/30), onset was in adulthood. However, some patients in our case series were so severely affected that they never walked, and also had evidence of congenital involvement of the upper limbs and hands, expanding the spectrum of SMA-LED to encompass generalized neurogenic arthrogryposis. All the remaining subjects remained ambulant, confirming the stable course of the disease. Our cases showed pronounced involvement of the lower limbs in comparison to the upper limbs confirming previous reports\textsuperscript{7,8,11} but now adding that the upper extremities can be involved in severe cases.

Despite the clinical appearance of distal muscle wasting and foot deformities, the proximal muscles, especially the hip extensors, were the weakest. This is in contrast to the distal weakness reported in dominant SMA families with \textit{TRPV4} mutations, suggesting this is a key clinical aspect in the differential diagnosis.\textsuperscript{1,3,5,6} Joint contractures were not a predominant clinical feature of the original \textit{DYNC1H1} families, but were noted in almost half of our cohort (46.4%). In many cases, contractures were a presenting feature, were found almost exclusively in the lower limbs, and did not progress with time. In the most severe cases, the severity of
the hips, knees, and ankles contractures contributed with the weakness to compromise the ability to walk.

Because the diagnosis was not obvious at presentation, several subjects underwent muscle biopsy. The histopathology showed variable features ranging from classic neurogenic features of fiber-type grouping and fascicular hypertrophy and atrophy, to type 1 or type 2 predominance with or without fiber atrophy as previously reported in molecularly unconfirmed SMA-LED kindreds. Excessive connective tissue was also present in several cases, a feature not seen in typical 5q-SMA. Of note, in a few cases, the predominance of slow fibers and the presence of “core-like” areas originally suggested a congenital myopathy. However, the presence of target fibers is a well-recognized feature in reinnervated muscle as well as a variety of other lesions, including moth-eaten fibers, mini-cores, larger cores, and even vacuolation. Such cases demonstrate the importance of considering clinical, electrophysiologic, and radiologic correlation with the biopsy findings.

The muscle MRI of the lower limbs showed a striking pattern in all patients who had this test, appearing to be highly suggestive of this condition. At the thigh level, there was selective sparing and relative hypertrophy of the adductor compartment and of the semitendinosus muscles. Before the identification of mutations in DYN11H1 in SMA-LED, we described this imaging pattern in a cohort of 11 patients with genetically unclassified dominant congenital SMA with predominant involvement of the lower limbs.

It is of interest that this imaging pattern is different from that described in another form of congenital...
SMA due to mutations in *TRPV4*, but is very similar to the pattern we recently described in association with mutations in *BICD2* encoding a key adaptor protein that interacts with the dynein-dynactin motor complex leading to a combination of SMA-LED and upper motor neuron features. The consistent pattern of muscle imaging in our cohort suggests that the muscle MRI together with the clinical findings is a valuable tool to facilitate appropriate molecular analyses.

In one-third of patients, we detected mild to moderate cognitive impairment and/or behavioral comorbidities consistent with ADHD traits. The prevalence of ADHD in our cohort is higher than that described in the general population and did not appear to be related to the severity of the motor impairment. Of note, cognitive involvement and ADHD are not features we have observed in similarly impaired patients affected by *BICD2* mutations (personal observation of the authors). It has previously been reported that mutations in the motor domain of *DYNC1H1* can cause severe intellectual disability associated with neuronal migration defects, while only 2 cases have been described with learning difficulties associated with Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2 due to a mutation in the tail domain of *DYNC1H1*. In our cohort, 9 of the 10 patients with cognitive impairment and/or behavioral comorbidities underwent brain MRI, which showed in 4 cases a pattern of cortical malformation. This is in keeping with recent reports that mutations in *DYNC1H1* are a common cause of malformations of cortical development (apparently in isolation). Our cases not only indicate that there is often coexistence of central and peripheral pathology, but furthermore showed novel features such as an extended parietal cleft with posterior extension of the sylvian fissure and mild cerebellar hypoplasia.

Our findings confirm that heterozygous missense *DYNC1H1* mutations can lead to a wide range of neuronal migration defects in association with a variable degree of cognitive/behavioral impairment. The Loa mice with a p.Phe580Tyr point mutation in the tail domain of *DYNC1H1* show abnormalities of cortical and hippocampal development attributed to impaired radial migration and a reduction in axonal outgrowth. It is likely that the missense mutations identified in this study act in a similar way to the Loa mutation and impair cortical radial migration and lumbar motor neuron axonal outgrowth giving rise to the combined phenotype of SMA-LED and malformation of cortical development.

No clear genotype/phenotype correlations were found in relation to age at onset and severity, but in all cases the conditions remained essentially stable over time.

Our study provides additional information on the inheritance pattern of SMA-LED due to mutations in the tail and motor domains of *DYNC1H1*. We have reported both de novo mutations and for the first time a codominant homozygous mutation associated with a severe phenotype. We have also expanded the clinical spectrum, both regarding the range of severity to include generalized arthrogryposis and the age at onset, and provided further information on the long-term functional outcome. Furthermore, we confirm a characteristic pattern of muscle involvement both clinically and by MRI, which is useful diagnostically.
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