



Introduction Problem Definition Proposed Solution Main Result Simulation Results Final Remarks



Distributed Fault Detection Using Relative Information in Linear Multi-Agent Networks

D. Silvestre, *P. Rosa*, J. Hespanha and C. Silvestre dsilvestre@isr.ist.utl.pt

2015 IFAC SAFEPROCESS Paris, France.

2nd September 2015





Introduction

Main Result Simulation Results

Final Remarks

Problem Definition

Proposed Solution

elecnor

deimos

澳門大夢 UNIVERSIDADE DE MACAU UNIVERSITY OF MACAU

Outline



- 2 Problem Definition
- 3 Proposed Solution
- 🕘 Main Result
- 5 Simulation Results

6 Final Remarks

UC SANTA BARBARA

engineering



Motivation

- Networked Control Systems -Systems sharing a network and relative information.
- Distributed Fault Detection -Performing distributed detection may lead to unobservable but stable modes.





Set-valued estimates

- Fault detection using set estimators rely on testing if the set of possible states complying with the measurements is non-empty.
- Available relative measurements at every node.
- Distributed detection with nodes estimating only a partition of the states is a key requirement.
- Two main issues: unobservable modes might invalidate the design of observer-based fault detection methods and constructing set-valued estimates might mean a high computational load.



Motivating Example

• As an example consider the system:

$$x(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0\\ 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} x(k) + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} d(k)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x(k) + n(k)$$

- $X(0) := \{v : \|v\|_{\infty} \le 1\}, \ |n(k)| \le 0.1$ and $|d(k)| \le 1, \ \forall k > 0$.
- The set X(1) becomes larger.
- The set-valued estimates are divergent invalidating a fault detection method based on set-valued estimators.





Motivating Example

• As an example consider the system:

$$x(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0\\ 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} x(k) + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} d(k)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x(k) + n(k)$$

- $X(0) := \{v : \|v\|_{\infty} \le 1\}, \ |n(k)| \le 0.1$ and $|d(k)| \le 1, \ \forall k > 0$.
- The set X(1) becomes larger.
- The set-valued estimates are divergent invalidating a fault detection method based on set-valued estimators.





Problem Outline

- For a group of Linear Time-Invariant Systems (LTI) taking relative measurements, observability is lost.
- The sets X(k) produced by a Set-Valued Observer (SVO) are increasing in size.

SVO-based Detection Problem for Unobservable Systems

How can we perform fault detection based on the set-valued estimates for the state, X(k)?





Problem Model

• Take an LTI of the form

$$S_i: \begin{cases} x_i(k+1) = Ax_i(k) + Bu_i(k) + Df_i(k) + Ed_i(k) \\ y_{ij}(k) = C(x_i(k) - x_j(k)), j \in \mathcal{J}_i \end{cases}$$

- \mathcal{J}_i neighbor set of i
- \bullet The whole system can be written using the laplacian matrix ${\cal L}$

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= \underbrace{(I_N \otimes A)}_{A_N} x(k) + \underbrace{(I_N \otimes B)}_{B_N} u(k) \\ &+ \underbrace{(I_N \otimes D)}_{D_N} f(k) + \underbrace{(I_N \otimes E)}_{E_N} d(k) \\ y(k) &= \underbrace{(\mathcal{L} \otimes C)}_{C_N} x(k) \end{aligned}$$





Related Work

• Removing unobservable modes:

P. Menon and C. Edwards. State transformation for the relative information case. In *IEEE TAC*, 2014.

State transformation

$$T := T_s^{-1} \otimes I_n$$
, $T_s^{-1} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0}_{N-1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{N-1} & I_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}$

Important step is to remove the first dimension since the relationship with the laplacian matrix

$$T_s^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{L} T_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_r \end{bmatrix}$$



Proposed Solution

SVOs for the coprime factors of the system

• Obtain a left-coprime factorization of the system;

Introduction

Main Result Simulation Results

Final Remarks

Problem Definition

Proposed Solution

- Design a SVO for each factor;
- A fault is detected if the set-valued estimates of the two SVOs do not intersect.

elecnor

deimos

UC SANTA BARBARA

engineering



Set-Valued Observers (SVOs)

Given the previous set X(k):

- Using SVOs, the algorithm predicts $X_p(k+1)$ using the dynamics;
- Then, the set is intersected with the measurement set Y(k+1).





Left-Coprime Factorization

- A left-coprime factorization produces two factors of the system G such that G = N⁻¹M.
- Factor N takes as input y and the noise and produces the output u_1 .
- The SVOs will produce set-valued estimates for the internal states of *M* and *N*.
- A fault can be detected if there is no intersection between the output of *M* and *N*.



Figure: Schematic representation of the two coprime systems.



Left-Coprime Factorization

- A left-coprime factorization produces two factors of the system G such that G = N⁻¹M.
- Factor N takes as input y and the noise and produces the output u_1 .
- The SVOs will produce set-valued estimates for the internal states of M and N.
- A fault can be detected if there is no intersection between the output of *M* and *N*.



Figure: Schematic representation of the two coprime systems.



Left-Coprime Factorization

- A left-coprime factorization produces two factors of the system G such that G = N⁻¹M.
- Factor N takes as input y and the noise and produces the output u_1 .
- The SVOs will produce set-valued estimates for the internal states of *M* and *N*.
- A fault can be detected if there is no intersection between the output of *M* and *N*.



Figure: Schematic representation of the two coprime systems.





Introduction Problem Definition Proposed Solution Main Result Simulation Results Final Remarks



Main Result

Theorem 1

Consider a system G, where $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which admits a left-coprime factorization such that $G = N^{-1}M$ and an SVO constructed for M and N providing estimates of u_1 . Then:

- i) the set-valued estimates of u_1 have an infinite convergence rate, if G is observable;
- ii) the set-valued estimates of u_1 convergence is governed by $\frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}}$, where $\lambda_{\max} := \max_{\lambda} |\lambda(A KC)|$, if $\lambda_{\max} < 1$.
 - For the unobservable case, convergence is governed by the slowest unobservable pole of A KC.



Simulation Setup (1/2)

Setup: Each subsystem is a flexible link robot dynamical system of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{m}^{i} \\ \dot{\omega}_{m}^{i} \\ \dot{\theta}_{\ell}^{i} \\ \dot{\omega}^{i\ell} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{K_{\ell}}{J_{m}} & -\frac{B}{J_{m}} & \frac{K_{\ell}}{J_{m}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{K_{\ell}}{J_{\ell}} & 0 & -\frac{L_{\ell}}{J_{m}} - \frac{mgh}{J_{\ell}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{m}^{i} \\ \omega^{im} \\ \theta_{\ell}^{i} \\ \omega^{i\ell} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{K_{\tau}}{J_{m}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} u^{i}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{K_{\tau}}{J_{m}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} f^{i} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{mgh}{J_{\ell}} \end{bmatrix} d^{i}, y_{i} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}_{i}} C(x_{i} - x_{j})$$



Simulation Setup (2/2)

Where:

- $C = [I_3 \ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 1}];$
- angular position θ^i_m and velocity of the motor shaft ω^{im} ;
- angular position θ^i_{ℓ} and velocity of the link $\omega^{i_{\ell}}$;
- random 25-nodes network with a maximum degree of 3;
- sampling time of 0.01 seconds and simulations run for 100 discrete time steps.



Simulation Results (1/4)

Using the technique to remove the unobservable mode introduced in [Edwards:14] we can directly design a standard SVO.

- When using the standard SVOs, the computed set represents the actual bounds for the admissible state values.
- A fault is detected when $X_p(k+1) \cap Y(k+1) = \emptyset \text{ which}$ means that the true state $x(k+1) \notin X_p(k+1)$





Simulation Results (2/4)

SVO designed for the coprime factorization

- First fault: constant signal mimicking an actuator fault $f(k) = B_N c$
- In a typical run, the proposed SVO is able to detect (blue circle) if the magnitude of the signal is greater than or equal to 1.05.
- Conclusion: Constant actuator faults are relatively easy to detect.





Introduction Problem Definition Proposed Solution Main Result Simulation Results Final Remarks



Simulation Results (3/4)

- Second fault: a random signal is added to the control input $f(k) = B_N r(k)$, which means that we can have time instants where it is close to having no fault.
- The proposed SVOs require a higher magnitude of around 1.1 to perform the detection.
- Conclusion: Successful detection of random actuator faults depends on the signal.





Introduction Problem Definition Proposed Solution Main Result Simulation Results Final Remarks



Simulation Results (4/4)

- Third fault: Unmodeled disturbances $f(k) = E_N r(k)$.
- The unmodeled disturbances affect less variables than the control input and for that reason required a higher magnitude bound to be detected.
- Conclusion: Signals that affect more variables are *easier* to detect.





Final Remarks

Contributions:

- The use of SVOs to compute the set-valued state estimates for the observable subsystem in a distributed fashion;
- The method for each node to estimate only their neighbors (distributed) or the whole system (centralized) is presented;
- For the case where the system is unobservable but detectable, convergence rate is shown to be a function of the slowest unobservable mode.

• Thank you for your attention.





Distributed Fault Detection Using Relative Information in Linear Multi-Agent Networks

D. Silvestre, *P. Rosa*, J. Hespanha and C. Silvestre dsilvestre@isr.ist.utl.pt

2015 IFAC SAFEPROCESS Paris, France.

2nd September 2015