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**ABSTRACT**

Floating matrix tablets of Lansoprezol were developed to prolong gastric residence time, leading to sustained action of the drug. Tablets were prepared by wet granulation technique, using hydroxypropylmethylcellulose as a polymer in two different grades, HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M. Tablets were evaluated for their physical characteristics, viz., hardness, thickness, friability, mass variation, drug content and floating properties. Further, tablets were studied for in vitro drug release characteristics for 24 hours. The tablets exhibited controlled and prolonged drug release profiles while floating over the dissolution medium. Non-Fickian diffusion was confirmed as the drug release mechanism from these tablets, indicating that water diffusion and polymer rearrangement played an essential role in drug release. The best formulation (F4) was selected based on in vitro characteristics and was used in vivo radiography studies by incorporating barium sulphate. These studies revealed that the tablets remained in the stomach for 12 hours in fasting rabbits and indicated that gastric retention time was increased by the floating principle, which was considered desirable for the absorption window drugs.
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1. **Introduction**

In recent years, oral dosage forms for gastric retention have drawn more and more attention for their theoretical advantage in permitting control over the time and site of drug release. This is particularly valuable for drugs that exhibit an absorption window in the upper part of the small intestine and dissolve better in the acidic environment of the stomach (Zhenping et al., 2001). Oral delivery of drugs is, by far, the most preferable route of drug delivery due to the ease of administration, patient compliance, and flexibility in formulation. A controlled drug delivery system with prolonged residence time in the stomach is of particular interest for drugs that are locally active in the stomach, using current release technology, oral delivery for 24 h is possible for many drugs; however, the substance must be well absorbed throughout the whole gastrointestinal tract. A significant obstacle may arise if there is a narrow window for drug absorption in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT). The real issue in the development of oral controlled release dosage forms is not just to prolong the delivery of the drugs for more than 12 h, but to prolong the presence of the dosage forms in the stomach or somewhere in the upper intestine until all of the drug is released over the desired period of time (Baumgartner et al., 2000). The principle of buoyant preparation offers a residence time for the dosage form and sustained drug release. The various buoyant
preparations include micro-balloons, granules, powders, capsules, tablets, and laminated films (Sing and Kim, 2000). Based on the mechanism of buoyancy, two distinctly different technologies, i.e., non-effervescent and effervescent systems have been utilized in the development of floating systems. Non-effervescent systems commonly use gel-forming or highly swellable cellulose type hydrocolloids, polysaccharides and matrix forming polymers such as polycarbonate, polyacylate, polymethacrylate, and polystyrene. Effervescent systems utilize matrices prepared with swellable polymers such as methocel or chitosan and effervescent compounds, such as sodium bicarbonate and citric or tartaric acid (Rubinstein and Friend, 1994). The various buoyant preparations include micro-balloons, granules, powders, capsules, tablets, and laminated films. Based on the mechanism of buoyancy, two distinctly different technologies, i.e., non-effervescent and effervescent systems have been utilized in the development of floating systems. Non-effervescent systems commonly use gel-forming or highly swellable cellulose type hydrocolloids, polysaccharides and matrix forming polymers such as polycarbonate, polyacylate, polymethacrylate, and polystyrene. Effervescent systems utilize matrices prepared with swellable polymers such as methocel or chitosan and effervescent compounds, such as sodium bicarbonate and citric or tartaric acid, or matrices containing chambers of liquid that gasify at body temperature (Ritschel, 1991). Mahesh et al. (2005) have developed the gastro-retentive drug delivery system (GRDDS) for ofloxacin with different polymers, such as psyllium husk, HPMC K100M, crosspovidone and their combinations in order to get the desired sustained release profile over a period of 24 h. Varshosaz et al. (2006) developed ciprofloxacin floating and bioadhesive extended release tablets to increase the duration of the drug presence in its absorption area. Intragastric floating (IGF) drug delivery systems can improve the controlled delivery of drugs that have an absorption window by continuously releasing the drug for a prolonged period of time before drug reaches its absorption site, thus ensuring the optimal bioavailability (Bansal, 2003). Lansoprazole is a gastric acid pump inhibitor belonging to the class of delayed release dosage forms known as proton pump inhibitors (PPI’s) a dose regimen of PPI’s results in a decrease in gastric acid secretion with a subsequent elevation in gastric pH. The objective of the present investigation is to prepare and to evaluate IGF tablets of lansoprazole, which will help to retain the dosage form in the stomach and to increase gastric residence time, resulting in prolonged drug delivery in stomach using gel-forming polymers such as hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC K4M, HPMC K15M). A formulation that shows both combined excellent buoyancy and sustained release characteristics was chosen for further in vivo evaluation by X-ray study in rabbits for more than 10 h.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Lansoprezol (ZPR) was a generous gift sample obtained from Cipla pharmaceutical, Mumbai India. HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M was a generous gift sample received from Colorcon Asia Ltd, Goa India. Microcrystalline cellulose was a gift sample received from Ozone International, Mumbai India. All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and purchased locally.

2.2 Methods of preparation of tablet:

The tablets were prepared according to the method followed by Gambier (2007) and Kukusal (2006). Lansoprazole, Polymer (HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M), Sodium bicarbonate were passed through sieve No.40 separately. The drug was mixed with the Polymers and other ingredients (table 1). Accurately weighed quantity of PVPK-30 was dissolved in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) to prepare a binder solution. The binder solution was added to the dry blend gradually with constant kneading to form a homogeneous mass. The dough mass was passed through a 2.0-mm sieve and the granular mass was allowed to dry at room temperature. The granules were passed through a 1.18-mm sieve. These granules were lubricated with magnesium stearate and talc and compressed into tablet using 10.0mm flat face tooling on a tablet compression machine (Remek mini press, India).

2.3 Evaluation of Tablet Characteristics:

2.3.1 Weight variation

Twenty tablets were selected random and weighed individually. The average weight was calculated. Individual weights of the tablets were compared with the average weight.

2.3.2 Hardness

Tablet hardness has been defined as the force required to breaking a tablet in a diametric compression. Hardness values of the prepared formulations were determined using Monsanto hardness tester (n=10). The tablet was placed between two anvils of hardness tester, force was applied to the anvils, and the crushing strength that causes the tablet to break was recorded.

2.3.3 Friability

Tablets require certain amount of strength or hardness and resistance to withstand mechanical shock of handling in manufacturing, packaging, and shipping. A pre-weighed sample (20 tablets) were placed in the friabilator, and operated for 100 revolutions. Thereafter, once again weight of the tablets is recorded. The percentage friability was calculated based on the loss of weight of tablet after keeping in the friabilator.

2.3.4 Thickness

Thickness of the prepared floating tablets was measured using a calibrated dial caliper. Five tablets were picked randomly and thickness was measured individually.
2.3.5 Drug content uniformity
Twenty tablets were powdered and powder equivalent to 30 mg of Lansoprazole was taken. The powder was transferred to 100ml volumetric flask. 50ml of 0.1 N HCl solutions was added, and stirred for 5 min. The volume was made up to 100ml with 0.1N HCl solution and filtered. The absorbance was measured at 276 nm, against blank prepared in similar manner. The experiments were conducted in triplicate. The drug content was estimated by an UV spectrophotometer at 276 nm.

2.4 In vitro buoyancy studies
The time taken for the tablet to emerge on to the surface of the medium is called as floating lag time. Duration of the time by which the dosage form constantly emerges on the surface of medium is called as total floating time. Tablets were placed in a 100 ml flask containing buffer solution of pH 1.2. The time needed for a tablet go upward, float on the surface of the liquid and floating duration was recorded.

2.5 In vitro dissolution studies
In vitro drug release study was carried out using USP XXIII, Paddle type dissolution apparatus in 900 ml of pH1.2 solution, as a dissolution medium. The paddles are rotated at 100 rpm. The Medium was set at 37 ± 0.5°C. 1 ml of the sample was withdrawn at predetermined time intervals (30 min) for the period of 3 hrs and thereafter every hour for 24 hrs. The same volume of fresh medium was replaced. The withdrawn samples were diluted with 10 ml of same medium in volumetric flasks and analyzed by an UV spectrophotometer at 276 nm using 0.1 N HCl as a blank. The experiments were conducted in triplicate. The drug content and percent cumulative drug release were calculated using the equation generated from standard calibration curve.

2.6 In vivo radiographic studies
The optimized formulation F4 was studied with regard to buoyancy using radiography. To make the tablet X-ray opaque, Lansoprazole in formulation was replaced with 30 mg of barium sulphate (BaSo4) and the tablets were prepared as previously mentioned keeping all other ingredients constant. The protocol of in-vivo buoyancy studies on rabbits was carried out and monitored by a radiographic method. The animal experiment was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee, BLDEA’s College of Pharmacy, Bijapur, India (BLDEA’s COP/IAEC. Dated June 2009—Jan 2010). The study was conducted on six albino rabbits of either sex weighing between 2–2.5 kg (2.3 ±0.2 kg). The animals were housed in individual cages and the experiments were conducted in a sanitized room at a temperature maintained at around 24 °C. Food was withdrawn 12 hours prior to the study with water ad libitum. One tablet to each animal was administered through specially made oral gastric tube with 25 ml water in fasted state. The animals were not allowed to eat or drink throughout the study. Before taking X-ray photographs, the animals were held in upright posture. The animals were exposed to X-ray photography in the abdominal region at different time intervals (0, 4, 8, and 12 hours) for 12 hours. The tablet that remained buoyant on the surface of the gastric content was observed visually from the X-ray photographs.

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Characterization of tablets
The hardness of batches F1–F8 was found to be between 4–5 kg cm2 indicating satisfactory mechanical strength. The thickness of prepared batches was between 2.2±0.3 and 2.7±0.6 mm. The friability was in the range of 0.49–0.65 % for all the formulations, which was an indication of good mechanical resistance of the tablet. The average drug content of tablets (n = 10) varied between 95.45 and 98.98%, indicating good drug content for the prepared formulations. All the batches exhibited uniformity of drug content and fulfilled the compendial specifications of the various quality control parameters.

3.2 In vitro buoyancy studies
Lansoprezole tablets were prepared using polymers such as HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M. Formulations F1–F4 was prepared with HPMC K4M, and F5–F8 was prepared with HPMC K15M. Tablets were evaluated for physical characteristics such as hardness, floating capacity and weight variation. The floating lag time was found between 25±5 and 70±5. The formulation F8 has shown very short floating lag time of 25±5 sec. (Fig 1). The sodium bicarbonate was used as a gas generating agent in order to float the tablet. The sodium bicarbonate induces CO2 generation in the presence of dissolution medium (0.1N HCl). The gas generated is trapped and protected within the gel formed by hydration of the polymer, thus decreasing of the density of the tablet below 1gm/ml, and the tablet becomes buoyant. The floating duration for the prepared batches was in the range of 21.25±0.52 hrs and 24.95±0.34 hrs. The formulaion F8 has shown prolonged floating lage.

![Fig1. In-vitro floating lag time study of F8](image-url)
time of 24.95±0.34 hrs (Fig 2) when compared to all other formulations followed by F4 which has shown 24.86±0.54 hrs. This indicated that the least amount of HPMC K15 M and maximum amount of gas generating agent used in F8 are responsible for good floating lag time and maximum duration of floating. The floating lag time and the duration of floating for the prepared tablets are given in Table 2. In general, all the prepared tablets floated between 21 to 24 hrs.

3.3 In vitro dissolution studies

The release of lansoprezole was found to be a function of the polymer concentration. All formulations retarded the release of drug for 24 hrs (figure 3 and 4). The effect of HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M at different concentrations ranging from 100% to 160% and 80% to 120% respectively on the release of lansoprezole from tablet matrices was studied. Figure 2 and 3 shows the drug release profiles from HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M matrices, respectively. As the concentration of the polymer was increased in the different formulations, the rate of drug release was decreased. Finally, the retardant effect of the polymer on the drug release can be indicated as: HPMC K15M>HPMC K4M. The release of the drug from the different formulations can be arranged as:

F1<F2<F3<F4<F5<F6<F7<F8. In vitro drug release studies revealed that the release of lansoprezole from different formulations varies with the characteristics and the composition of matrix forming polymers and the proportion of gas generating agents. The percent drug release was increased as the concentration of gas generating agents increased. A reverse trend was observed on increasing the polymer concentration.

3.4 In vivo radiographic studies

After ingesting of the final placebo formulations were developed by using barium sulphate in the released layer, the tablets were clearly seen in the GIT at different time points during the study and the duration of the tablet in the stomach and upper part of intestine was monitored by radiograph, it can be evidenced by Fig 5. It was found that the tablet stayed in the stomach for 12 hrs.

4. Conclusions

The present study was carried out with the aim to develop the floating drug delivery with controlled release of lansoprazole using HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M polymers as the carriers. The preliminary evaluation results of the floating tablets were found satisfactory. In vitro dissolution studies of the prepared tablets showed controlled release for 24 h with fast floating lag time and in vivo studies indicated increased gastro retention time. Thus, results of the current study clearly indicate, a promising potential of the lansoprazole floating system as an alternative to the conventional dosage form. However, further clinical
studies are needed to assess the utility of this system for patients suffering from gastric ulcer.

Table 1: Composition of lansoprazole floating tablets (mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>HPMC K4M</th>
<th>HPMC K15M</th>
<th>NaHCO₃</th>
<th>DCP</th>
<th>PVP-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the tablets contain 30 mg lansoprazole, 5 mg magnesium stearate and 5 mg talc.

Table 2. Physicochemical properties and floating behavior of tablets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch code</th>
<th>Thickness (mm) n=20</th>
<th>Weight variation (kg/cm²) n=20</th>
<th>Hardness % Friability</th>
<th>% Drug content</th>
<th>Floating lag time (sec)</th>
<th>Duration of floating (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>2.2±0.3</td>
<td>228.96±0.33</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>96.65</td>
<td>70±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>2.5±0.5</td>
<td>227.86±0.66</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td>60±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>2.6±0.06</td>
<td>227.56±0.88</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>97.54</td>
<td>45±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>2.4±0.4</td>
<td>229.01±0.61</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>98.98</td>
<td>35±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>2.6±0.8</td>
<td>228.21±0.21</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>55±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>2.7±0.6</td>
<td>227.95±0.69</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>97.28</td>
<td>35±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>2.3±0.4</td>
<td>230.53±0.55</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>96.56</td>
<td>30±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>2.5±0.7</td>
<td>229.25±0.36</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>98.65</td>
<td>25±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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