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Abstract

Physical heterogeneity determines interstitial fluxes in porous media. Nutrients and organic matter distribution in depth influence physicochemical and microbial processes occurring in subsurface. Columns 50 cm long were filled with sterile silica sand following 5 different setups combining fine and coarse sands or a mixture of both mimicking potential water treatment barriers. Water was supplied continuously to all columns during 33 days. Hydraulic conductivity, nutrients and organic matter, biofilm biomass and activity were analysed in order to study the effect of spatial grain size heterogeneity on physicochemical and microbial processes and their mutual interaction. Coarse sediments showed higher biomass and activity in deeper areas compared to the others; however, they resulted in incomplete denitrification, large proportion of dead bacteria in depth, and low functional diversity. Treatments with fine sediment in the upper 20 cm of the columns showed high phosphorous retention. However, low hydraulic conductivity values reported in these sediments seemed to constraint biofilm activity and biomass. On the other hand, sudden transition from coarse-to-fine grain sizes promoted a hot-spot of organic matter degradation and biomass growth at the
interface. Our results reinforce the idea that grain-size disposition in subsurface sandy sediments drives the interstitial fluxes, influencing microbial processes.
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1. Introduction

Bacterial communities inhabiting surface and subsurface sediments catalyse a number of ecosystem processes, including uptake, storage and mineralization of dissolved organic matter, as well as assimilation of inorganic nutrients. Processes occurring in subsurface sediments are not only relevant in natural environments (such as in river hyporheic zones), but also in man-made applications for water quality improvement (such as land based wastewater disposal or managed aquifer recharge facilities). Infiltration systems are water treatment systems that rely on water percolation through a porous medium whereby the quality of the effluent improves progressively during the infiltration path as a consequence of the combination of biological, chemical and physical processes driven by microbial activity at the cost of progressively reducing infiltration rates. In this sense, infiltration systems may be advantageous in many aspects; they may increase (by recharge) groundwater supplies, provide further treatment to infiltrating water, and reduce degradation of stream-water quality. Infiltration systems may also enable water reuse thereby
preserving valuable freshwater resources. Some examples of infiltration systems are Rapid Infiltration Basin Systems (RIBS), Slow Sand Filtration Systems (SSFS), Soil-Aquifer treatment (SAT) among others.

Biofilms colonizing subsurface sediments offer the potential for biotransformation of organic compounds, thereby providing an in situ method for treating contaminated groundwater supplies, also relevant for emerging compounds degradation. Processing by extracellular enzymes is the primary mechanism for the microbial degradation of polymeric and macromolecular organic matter into low-molecular-weight molecules which can then cross bacterial cell membranes, becoming available for bacterial growth and nutrient cycles. Extracellular enzyme activities are good proxies to determine nutrient demands and decomposition capabilities of microorganisms, as well as to characterize the quantity and quality of available dissolved organic carbon and nutrients in the environment.

Heterotrophic bacteria assimilate dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and concomitantly release substantial amounts of carbon in the form of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). The EPS layer traps and stores particulates and nutrients for cell metabolism and is generally thought to comprise the major component of bacterial biofilm. It can also affect the physical characteristics of porous medium through the reduction of available pore spaces for flow and alteration of water retention, significantly reducing hydraulic conductivity and enhancing dispersion of solutes.

Microbial processes and biomass accrual in subsurface sediments are determined by the surrounding physical and chemical conditions. The link between physicochemical and biological parameters is complex but the consideration of the interactions of soil microorganisms with their physical and chemical environments is crucial for substantially advance in our understanding of microbial ecology. Recently, some studies addressed water quality changes resulting from infiltration in porous media and biofilm accumulation in infiltration systems (a term called bioclogging).
between physicochemical and biological processes in porous media has also been studied by other authors.\textsuperscript{14,19,20,23,24,25}

Spatial heterogeneity of particle grain sizes distribution determines the specific physical and chemical conditions in subsurface sediments. Sediment grain size and distribution are key parameters determining interstitial fluxes, which also modulate the distribution of electron donors and acceptors and, consequently, the distribution of microbial processes in subsurface sediments.\textsuperscript{26} Related to this, Higashino\textsuperscript{27} proposed a model where grain diameter plays an important role in determining both hydraulic conductivity and microbial oxygen uptake rate. Small hydraulic conductivity resulted in small dissolved oxygen transfer but large microbial oxygen uptake rate. In coarse sand they stated that even dissolved oxygen transfer rate can be large owing to a large hydraulic conductivity, microbial oxygen uptake rate is small since available surface area for colonization by biofilms is reduced. On the other hand, Essandoh, Tizaoui and Mohamed\textsuperscript{28} concluded that the type of soil affects the performance of soil columns; specifically they stated that low hydraulic conductivity results in low microbial growth and low DOC removal. Similarly, Dodds, Randel and Edler\textsuperscript{29} stated that microbial activity may be greatest with the largest particle size because of increased water exchange through pores, and smallest particle size would promote denitrification.

As the influence of substratum type or grain size on biogeochemical processes and biofilm accumulation is not clear and it remains poorly understood, further investigation is needed to focus on the interaction between physicochemical and biological parameters in different spatial grain size distributions. The present work addresses the link between physicochemical and microbial processes in subsurface sediments using laboratory-scale infiltration columns of different sediment grain sizes and distribution. The objectives are to understand the influence of subsurface sediment heterogeneity on (1) physicochemical water parameters; (2) biofilm biomass and activity and (3) the relationship between these parameters and how they influence biogeochemical processes occurring in sediment infiltration systems. For this purpose, we designed a number of column setups (mimicking potential
sand filter treatments) with different combinations of fine and coarse sands placed in different columns.

We expected that coarse sediment would display higher infiltration rates, which would transfer higher quantity of dissolved oxygen (DO), nutrients and organic matter during the infiltration process. This will promote biofilm activity and biomass in deeper areas in columns having coarse sediment. On the other hand, low hydraulic conductivity in fine sediments would promote anaerobic zones potential to denitrification processes, but biofilm activity and biomass in depth will be limited due to reduced transport of nutrients and organic matter in depth. Also we expect high phosphorous retention in fine sediments compared to coarse ones. Mixture of coarse and fine sand would enable the coexistence of slow and rapid zones which would promote aerobic and anaerobic processes at the same layers, as well as enhancing biogeochemical processes and biomass development which could be responsible of stronger bioclogging. Bilayer columns of coarse sediment in the upper part and fine sediment in the bottom part would take advantage of high transfer of DO, nutrients and organic matter in the coarse layer, and anaerobic conditions and phosphorous retention in the fine layer.

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1 Experimental design and sampling

The laboratory experiment consisted in flow-through columns filled with sediments of different grain sizes. We used two different grain sizes: coarse sand (0.9 – 1.2 mm) and fine sand (0.075 – 0.250 mm), placed in columns 50 cm long and 4.6 cm diameter to create 5 treatments (3 replicate per treatment for a total of 15 columns) with different spatial distribution of fine and coarse sand. All the sand had been previously burned (450 ºC for 4 hours) and cleaned with distilled water to ensure it was free from organic matter.

We designed a column setup (mimicking potential sand filter treatments) with five combinations of fine and coarse sands (see Fig. 1). Each column was filled to a height of 40 cm. A layer of 10 cm of
water was left above the sediment surface. Infiltration was performed with synthetic water (13 mg·L⁻¹ Na₂SO₄, 16.1 mg·L⁻¹ Na₂SiO₃, 29.4 mg·L⁻¹ CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.6 mg·L⁻¹ KCl, 3 mg·L⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, 26.5 mg·L⁻¹ Na₂CO₃, 0.6 mg·L⁻¹ NH₄H₂PO₄, 7.3 mg·L⁻¹ (NH₄)(NO₃), and 4.27 mg·L⁻¹ humic acids in MQ water) reproducing the chemical signature of a well characterized pristine river (Fuirosos stream, Spain). Nutrient and organic matter concentrations were slightly enhanced to facilitate biofilm colonization of the sediment. An inlet water tank (50 L) was placed on top of each group of 5 columns to produce a flow-through system. Water tanks were refilled when necessary to ensure continuous infiltration. The experiment was performed at a constant temperature (20 °C) with a 12:12 light:dark cycle (incident light was 130-150 μmol photons·m⁻²·s⁻¹). The portion of the columns filled with sand was kept in the dark to mimic subsurface conditions by wrapping them with opaque material. Light conditions were allowed in the surface sediment as in real infiltration sand basins. At the start of the experiment, a bacterial inoculum extracted from natural sediment (from Fuirosos stream) was added to all the columns (700 ml, 1.27·10⁷ cel·ml⁻¹).

During the 33 days of experiment, physical and chemical water characteristics (pH, DO, conductivity and temperature) were measured twice per week in the inlet tanks to ensure homogeneous conditions during all the experiment. Water samples from the inlet tanks and the outlet of each of the columns were taken on days 15, 20, 30 and 33 to measure dissolved nutrients and organic matter content (nitrates/nitrites, ammonium, phosphates, dissolved organic carbon –DOC-, and several dissolved organic matter –DOM- quality properties). DO in sediment at three different depths and flow at the outlet of the columns, were measured weekly. All measurements were performed during the light cycle and at the same time (after 6 hours of the start of the light conditions) to reduce variability between measurements due to day/night cycles.

At the end of the experiment, columns were dismantled for sediment biofilm biomass and activity measurements at three different depths (0-2 cm, 18-22 cm, 36-38 cm). These depths corresponded to the top (inlet) and the bottom (outlet) of the column, and an intermediate point which in two of the
configurations correspond to the interface between coarse and fine grain sizes. Sediment samples were analysed for bacterial density, bacterial viability, chlorophyll-a content –chl-a–, extracellular polymeric substances –EPS- content, extracellular enzyme activity and functional diversity. Each layer of sediment was sampled totally and homogenized. Sub-samples of 1ml of sediment were then collected using an uncapped syringe.

2.2 Physical and chemical water analyses

2.2.1 Flow and hydraulic conductivity

Flow rate (Q) was measured manually at the outlet of each individual column. Hydraulic conductivity K (in cm/s) was calculated using Darcy’s law:

\[ K = \frac{Q L}{\Delta h A} \]  

where \( \Delta h \) is the piezometric head difference (set at a constant value of 1108 ± 9 cm), \( L \) is total length of the sediment (= 40 cm), \( A \) is the cross-section area (= 16.619 cm²), and \( Q \) is measured in cm³/s.

Advection time is a measure of the time that takes water to go through the sediment. Advection time (t) was calculated using the formula:

\[ t = \frac{\Phi \cdot L \cdot A}{Q} \]  

where \( \Phi \) is the porosity of the sediment (0.4 for the coarse sediment and 0.32 for the fine sediment).
2.2.2 Chemical water analyses

Physicochemical water parameters (pH, DO, conductivity and temperature) were measured with specific probes (HQd Field Case, HACH) in the supply tanks. To measure DO at different depths (surface, 20 cm and 40 cm) without perturbing the sediment biofilm, a non-invasive method was used by fixing oxygen sensor spots inside the wall of the columns and measuring dissolved oxygen concentration using an optical fiber (PreSens).

Samples for dissolved nutrients and organic matter determination were filtered in pre-burned (4 hours, 450°C) filters (GF/F, 0.7 µm, Whatmann). After filtering, samples for dissolved inorganic nutrients were frozen until analysis. DOM spectroscopic properties were analysed in fresh. Samples for DOC analysis were acidified and kept at 4°C until analysis. Inorganic nutrients were analysed as following: nitrate by ionic chromatography (761 Compact IC 1.1 Metrohm), phosphate by the Murphy-Riley\textsuperscript{31} spectrophotometric method, and ammonium by the spectrophotometric sodium salicylate protocol.\textsuperscript{32} DOC was analysed with TOC-V CHS/TNM-1 SHIMADZU. Spectroscopic properties were analysed in order to characterize potential changes in DOM quality and included the following parameters: the Slope ratio (SR) described in Helms et al.\textsuperscript{33} which is inversely correlated to organic matter molecular weight; the Fluorescence Index (FI) described in Cory and Mcknight\textsuperscript{34} indicative of the origin of the organic matter; the Biological Index (BIX, Huguet et al.)\textsuperscript{35} as indicator of recent biological activity and the E2/E3 index which is related to photo reactivity (Minero et al.).\textsuperscript{36}

Biodegradable dissolved organic carbon (BDOC) was analysed once, following the protocol described by Servais et al.\textsuperscript{37}

2.3 Sediment biofilm biomass and activity

2.3.1 Bacterial density

Bacterial density was determined by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton Dickinson) following a protocol adapted from Amalfitano et al.\textsuperscript{38} Filter-sterilized (filtered by 0.2 µm) simplified synthetic water (without nutrients and organic carbon, 10 ml) and formaldehyde (100 µl, 37%) were added to
each sediment sample. Samples were kept in the dark at room temperature until analysis. Sediment samples were sonicated for 1 minute, shook for 30 seconds, and sonicated again for 1 minute to extract the biofilm from sediment grains (Ultrasons, Selecta). A sub-sample of the obtained extract (1 ml) was pipetted into a glass vial and 9 ml of detaching solution was added. Detaching solution consists of NaCl (130mM), Na$_2$HPO$_4$ (7 mM), NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (3 mM), formaldehyde (37%), sodium pyrophosphate decahydrate 99% (0.1% final concentration), and tween 20 (0.5% final concentration), and it helps to separate cells avoiding aggregation. Samples were then shaken for 30 minutes (150 rpm) at dark and room temperature conditions. Samples were left 10 minutes at 4 ºC, and sonicated with ice during two cycles of 1 minute. After shaking for 1 minute, samples were left for 5 minutes for sedimentation of larger particles and 1 ml of supernatant was transferred in an Eppendorf. Nycodenz (1 ml) was added to the bottom of the Eppendorf and samples were centrifuged (14000 rpm) for 90 minutes at 4 ºC. Purified extract (400 µl) was stained with Syto13 (4µl Fisher, 5µM solution) and incubated in the dark for 30 minutes. Stained samples were counted using flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton Dickinson). To normalize fluorescence data, a bead solution (10µl of 10$^6$ beads·ml$^{-1}$, Fisher 1.0 µm) was added to the samples in a known concentration. Results are reported as bacterial cells·10$^6$/g sediment dry weight.

2.3.2 Bacterial viability

A bacterial extract from fresh sediment samples was first prepared to obtain a homogeneous and dispersed cell suspension. Pyrophosphate (5ml, 50mM) was added to fresh sediment samples and they were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and soft shaking. Samples were then sonicated for one minute with ice to avoid cell disruption. A sub-sample of the obtained extract (1 ml) was diluted with filter-sterilized simplified synthetic water (1:50). A sub-sample of the diluted extract (400 µl) was stained with propidium iodide and Syto 9 (8 µl, BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit). Syto 9 penetrates all bacterial membranes and stains the cells fluorescent green, while propidium iodide only penetrates cells with damaged membranes, and the combination of the two
stains produced red fluorescing cells.\textsuperscript{42} Samples were incubated in the dark for 15 minutes. According to Falcioni et al.\textsuperscript{41} to normalize fluorescence data, a bead solution (40 \mu l of 10^6 beads·mL\textsuperscript{-1}, Fisher 1.0 µm) was added to the samples in a known concentration. Bacterial viability was measured by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton Dickinson). Results are reported as the ratio between live cells (L) and dead cells (D) -LD ratio-.

\subsection*{2.3.3 Chlorophyll-a}

Samples for chl-a analysis were placed in glass vials and kept in dark at (-20\degree C) until analysis. Chl-a concentration was determined as described by Jeffrey and Humphrey\textsuperscript{43}. Acetone 90% (10 ml) was added to each sediment sample in order to extract the chl-a and kept in dark for 8-12 hours at 4\degree C. Sediment samples were sonicated and filtered (GF/C, 1.4 μm, 47 mm). Absorbance was measured at 430, 665, and 750 nm. Results are given as µg of chlorophyll-a/g sediment dry weight.

\subsection*{2.3.4 Content of polysaccharides in extracellular polymeric substances}

EPS were extracted by a cation exchange resin (CER) and the content of polysaccharides measured spectrophotometrically following the protocol described by Dubois et al.\textsuperscript{44} Sediment samples for EPS analysis were placed in plastic flasks and frozen until analysis. Previous to analyses, CER (Dowex Marathon C sodium form, Sigma-Aldrich) was conditioned with HCl (4M) and NaOH (1M) following manufacturer instructions, and the samples were left to reach room temperature. Then, samples were placed in an Eppendorf with 1ml of simplified synthetic water plus 0.3 g of CER. After shaking them carefully, samples were incubated with ice for one hour in a shaker (250 rpm). Samples were then centrifuged (11000 rpm) for 15 minutes at 4 \degree C. The supernatant (500 µl) from each sample was pipetted into glass tubes. A phenol solution (12.5 µl, 80% w/w) was added to the glass tubes. After carefully shaken, 1.25 ml of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (95.5%) was added to the samples. Glass tubes were capped. After 10 minutes, samples were carefully shaken and incubated for 20 minutes in a water bath (30 \degree C). Absorbance (485 nm) was measured in a spectrophotometer. To determine EPS
concentration, a glucose standard was prepared. Further transformation of results to sediment dry weight was performed. Results are given in µg glucose-equivalents/g dry weight.

2.3.5 Extracellular enzyme activities

Extracellular enzyme activities β-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.21), β-xylosidase (EC 3.2.1.37), phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1 -2) and leucine-aminopeptidase (EC 3.4.11.1) were measured with spectrofluorometry using fluorescent-linked artificial substrates (Methylumbelliferyl (MUF)-β-D-glucopyranoside, MUF-β-D-xyloside, MUF-phosphate and L-leucine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride (Leu-AMC), Sigma-Aldrich). All enzyme activities were measured under saturating conditions (0.3 mM). Fresh sediment samples were placed in a 15 ml tube with synthetic water (4 ml) and 120µl of artificial substrate. A blank for each artificial substrate was prepared with synthetic water in order to determine the abiotic hydrolysis of the substrate itself. Samples and blanks were incubated for 1 hour in the dark with agitation. After 1-hour incubation, glycine buffer (4 ml, pH 10.4) was added in order to stop the reaction and maximize MUF and AMC fluorescence. Samples were centrifuged (2000 g) for 2 minutes, and the supernatant (350 µl) of each sample was placed into a 96 wells black plate (Greiner bio-one). Fluorescence was measured at excitation/emission wavelengths of 365/455 (MUF fluorescence) and 364/445 (AMC fluorescence) in a fluorimeter plate reader (Tecan, infinite M200 Pro). To determine extracellular enzyme activities, MUF and AMC standards were prepared and measured for their fluorescence. Results are given in nmol MUF/g dry weight·h or nmol AMC/g dry weight·h.

2.3.6 Functional diversity

Biolog Ecoplates microplates (AEX Chemunex) were used to determine functional diversity of sediment communities. Each microplate contains three replicate wells of 31 carbon sources and a blank (no substrate). To obtain an extract of the microbial community from the sediment samples a similar procedure to that used for bacteria viability was used. Pyrophosphate (5 ml, 50 mM) was added to the sediment samples which were then incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and
soft shaking. Samples were sonicated for one minute with ice. A sub-sample of the obtained extract (1 ml) was diluted with filter-sterilized simplified synthetic water (1:50). Microplates were inoculated under sterile conditions with 130 µl of the diluted extract to each well and incubated in dark conditions at 20 °C for 14 days. Absorbance was measured every 24 hours at 590 nm (Tecan, infinite M200 Pro). The color measured in each well, a measure of the capability of the inoculated community to metabolize the specific substrate, was corrected by the color measured in the blank well from each microplate. During the incubation, absorbance measurements increased following a sigmoidal pattern, and after 14 days of incubation the absorbance was saturated. Absorbance data of each substrate, when the average well color (AWCD) was 0.5, was used to calculate functional diversity by means of the Shannon diversity index.46

2.4 Data treatment

Normalized hydraulic conductivity with respect to the original value (K/K₀, K being actual hydraulic conductivity and K₀ the initial one at each column) was calculated as a function of time and analyzed with ANCOVA analysis. Oxygen balance was calculated from the differences between column outlets and inlets. To study the relationship between oxygen balance and normalized hydraulic conductivity Pearson’s correlation was performed. Nutrient and DOC balances were calculated from the differences between column outlets and inlet tanks and process rates were calculated dividing nutrient balances by advection time. For these parameters, differences between treatments were analyzed with two factors ANOVA (factor: day and treatment). Differences in DOM properties between treatments were also analyzed with ANOVA (factor: day and treatment). For better understanding the relationship between physic-chemical parameters and biological processes occurring in the columns, values of hydraulic conductivity measured the last day of the experiment were analyzed through ANOVA to detect differences between treatments. Biological data from sediment samples and DO from the last sampling day were analyzed by a two-way ANOVA test for differences between treatments and depths and their interaction. Whenever significant differences
were detected, further Tukey’s post hoc tests were performed. Differences between treatments at each depth were further analyzed.

To integrate physic-chemical and biological data along the column, a redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed using one matrix with biofilm biomass and activity values, fitted with another matrix containing physic-chemical parameters (nutrient and DO balances, DOM properties and absolute K values) measured the last day of the experiment in each treatment. Since biofilm biomass and activity was measured at three different depths, data was integrated by depth layers to obtain one number per parameter and treatment. Complementarily, ANOSIM analysis was performed to detect differences between treatments. Further, Pearson’s correlation was performed. All statistical analyses were carried out with R statistics (vegan package) excepting ANOSIM analysis which was performed using PRIMER v.6 Software. For multivariate analysis, variables were previously scaled using the scale command in R. For ANOVA analysis all variables were logarithmically transformed to bring the variables close to the normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk normality test). In all the parameters three replicates were used.

3. Results

3.1 Physicochemical parameters

Flow measured at the start of the experiment was 1.07 ± 0.42 ml/s in Coarse treatment; 0.17 ± 0.03 ml/s and 0.13 ± 0.02 ml/s in Fine-Coarse and in Fine treatments, respectively; 0.39 ± 0.18 ml/s in Coarse-Fine treatment and 0.31 ± 0.1 ml/s in Mixture treatment. Hydraulic conductivity displayed a clear decreasing trend with time (Fig. S1). ANCOVA analysis did not show significant differences in normalized K values between treatments although results showed that in the Coarse and Coarse-fine columns K reduction started later as compared to the other treatments, which showed a sharp reduction in the first days. All columns showed a negative oxygen balance indicating consumption of oxygen from the column inlet to the outlet. Oxygen consumption increased along the experiment reaching values of -6 mg O₂/L at the end of the experiment. Oxygen consumption was correlated with
reduction of hydraulic conductivity (Fig. S2) however at the start of the experiment slightly positive
oxygen balance values were reported possibly due to still high instability of the system. On the last
day of the experiment, the highest K values were measured in treatments displaying coarse sand at
the upper layers (Coarse and Coarse-fine treatments, Table 1). Absolute DO values showed a
significant decrease in depth (p < 0.01, Table 1). The minimum value reported for DO was 2 mg/L.
No significant differences in DO were detected between treatments at any given depth but slight
oxygen production occurred at the surface of the sediment especially in Fine-Coarse, Fine and
Mixture treatment (Table 1). Coarse and Coarse-Fine treatment resulted in high DO consumption rate
(Table 2). Coarse treatment showed also the shortest advection time meaning that water passed faster
through the sediment. On the other hand, Fine-Coarse and Fine treatments showed the longest
advection times indicating more time for water to pass through the sediment (Table 2).
After 30 days from the start of the experiment all the ammonium supplied at the inlet (1.26 mg N-
NH₄/L) was eventually fully transformed in all treatments (Fig. S3). However, Coarse treatment was
showing the highest ammonium consumption rate (Table 2). N-NOx balance showed mainly positive
values indicating nitrate/nitrite production. No significant differences were detected between
treatments in N-NOx balances, but when analyzing N-NOx production rates Coarse treatment
resulted in the highest values (Table 2). Phosphorus was mainly retained through all sediment
columns and the highest retention was measured for Fine-Coarse and Fine treatments (Fig. S4). Mean
DOC at the inlet tanks was 1.39 ± 0.38 mg/L, at the outlet was 1.44 ± 0.28 mg/L, this results in a very
small balance and no differences between treatments were detected.
Even though no differences were detected in DOC concentrations differences in DOM properties
were reported (Table S1): the Coarse treatment showed the lowest SR and BIX values, while the
Coarse-Fine one reported the highest BIX value. E2/E3 values were highest for the Fine-Coarse
treatment. The highest BDOC value was observed in the Coarse-fine treatment and the lowest one
corresponded to the Fine.
3.2 Sediment biofilm biomass and activity

Bacterial density, chlorophyll and EPS content in sediments showed a strong vertical gradient in depth with highest values at the surface declining sharply in the top 20 cm (Fig. 2). This depth pattern was different depending on the treatment. Bacterial density at the surface was not significantly different between treatments, but at 20 cm depth, the highest values were measured at the Coarse-fine treatment and at 40 cm the highest values were measured at the Coarse treatment. The highest chlorophyll-a concentration at the surface was measured at the Fine-coarse and fine treatment, and at 20 cm depth highest values were found in the Coarse-fine treatment. Mixture treatment showed the lowest EPS concentration at 20 and 40 cm depth.

The LD ratio (live to dead bacteria) was below 1 for all treatments, and increased in depth except in the Coarse treatment (Fig. 3). Functional diversity decreased with depth (Fig. 3); the lowest value was detected in the Coarse column at 20 and 40 cm depths, and the highest was reported for the Fine-coarse column at 20 cm. Analyzing the functional fingerprint, no significant differences were detected between treatments, but that at the surface was different from the ones observed at 20 and 40 cm depth (ANOSIM, r = 0.567, p = 0.0001).

Extracellular enzyme activities showed a gradient in depth (Table 3). The Coarse-fine treatment showed higher β-glucosidase and β-xylosidase activities in the surface compared to the other sediments. This treatment also showed higher β-xylosidase and phosphatase activities at 20 cm depth. The Coarse treatment showed higher β-glucosidase and leucine-aminopeptidase activities at 40 cm depth.

3.3 Integrating physicochemical and biological responses

Integrating values for each individual column and performing an RDA analysis, data corresponding to biofilm activity, biomass and functional diversity was fitted with the environmental variables (nutrient balances, hydraulic conductivity and DOC properties measured the last day of the experiment) to study the conjunction between biofilm and physical properties (Fig. 4). Treatments
displaying coarse sand in the first 20 cm (Coarse and Coarse-fine), are placed on the left of the graph; showing the lowest E2/E3 values and the highest β-glucosidase, β-xylosidase and leucine-aminopeptidase activities. However, differences between the Coarse-fine and the Coarse treatments do exist. The former resulted in higher phosphatase activity, bacterial density, BDOC, BIX, FI, and SR. On the other hand, the Coarse treatment was characterized by highest hydraulic conductivity, lowest phosphorous retention, highest NOx production, and low LD ratio as well as low functional diversity.

On the right part of the same graph (Fig.4) we can find the treatments with low hydraulic conductivity (Fine, Fine-coarse and Mixture), all involving fine sand in the upper 20 cm and sharing low values of β-glucosidase, β-xylosidase and leucine-aminopeptidase activities, and high E2/E3 values and oxygen consumption. However, interpretation of E2/E3 index should be done cautiously since its values and the tendencies between treatments vary among time. Significant differences were detected between all treatments (ANOSIM, r = 0.6, p = 0.001), except for Fine and Mixture treatment which could not be discriminated.

Pearson’s correlations were performed for the last day of the experiment with biological and physicochemical parameters. Significant correlations (r > 0.5, p < 0.05) are described as follows: hydraulic conductivity was positively correlated with positive balances of N-NOx and phosphorous indicating production of N-NOx and no retention of phosphorous. BDOC was positively correlated with bacterial density. Extracellular enzyme activities were positively correlated between them and bacterial density was positive correlated with all of them. Shannon Index was positively correlated with chl-a content, LD ratio and E2/E3 index. Negative balance of DO was positively correlated with transformation of N-NH4.
4. Discussion

4.1 Effects of sediment heterogeneity on physicochemical parameters

Saturated hydraulic conductivity (K) is the most relevant parameter driving flow and transport in porous media. As expected, hydraulic conductivity was highest in the Coarse treatment, while the presence of fine sediments in the other treatments resulted in lower conductivity values. This coincides with Baveye et al.\textsuperscript{47} and Pavelic et al.\textsuperscript{9} who found higher saturated hydraulic conductivity in coarse-textured materials as compared to fine-textured materials. As expected, high hydraulic conductivity results in high transfer of nutrients, organic matter and DO in depth, which allow for high nitrification rates. Reduction in K as a function of time was mostly associated to biological clogging. However, sharp K reduction at the beginning of the experiment in treatments with fine sediment in the upper layer could be related to sediment compaction\textsuperscript{48}. In the columns, reduction of hydraulic conductivity was correlated to oxygen consumption. DO is energetically the most favourable electron acceptor and strongly influences the succession of biogeochemical processes within the subsurface.\textsuperscript{49} Specifically, DO is consumed during the mineralization of organic matter and nitrification of ammonium in the oxic zone. However, decrease of oxygen in subsurface sediments could be also related to slow DO supply resulting from the reduction of K and corresponding water fluxes with time.\textsuperscript{50} Contrarily to what expecting, no denitrification was achieved in any treatment due to DO concentrations were not low enough. As phosphorous reduction is enhanced by the presence of fine sediment, we expect adsorption to be the main process affecting phosphorous reduction. However, it also could be related to high P uptake by autotrophs,\textsuperscript{51} as treatments with fine sediment in the upper part showed high Chl-a concentration at the surface and high phosphorus reduction.

Low SR values reported in the Coarse treatment are indicative of low organic matter degradation (SR values are inversely correlated to organic matter molecular weight).\textsuperscript{33} Oppositely, transition from
coarse-to-fine sediment could promote biological activity as indicated by high BIX values\textsuperscript{35} and high SR values.

4.2 Linking physicochemical parameters to biofilm biomass and activity

In general, biomass and biofilm activity decrease with depth (e.g., Freixa et al.)\textsuperscript{52}. This is related to oxygen and nutrients being the limiting factor controlling bacterial growth and metabolic activity\textsuperscript{18} and these resources decreasing in depth.\textsuperscript{53,54} The experiment show significant interaction between treatment and depth for most biological parameters, indicating that the sediment grain size distribution was affecting differently the activity and biomass patterns in depth.

Sediments displaying high hydraulic conductivity values are expected to lead to fast transport of organic matter into deeper sediments\textsuperscript{55} due to high infiltration rates. This could explain high bacterial biomass concentrations at large depths in coarse sediments. However, the low proportion of live bacteria in depth and the high reduction on functional diversity in these sediments coincide with less degraded organic matter. Also high leucine-aminopeptidase activity achieved in coarse sediments could be an indicator of organic material released because of cell lysis.\textsuperscript{56}

The coarse-to-fine transition promotes the accumulation and transformation of organic matter at the interface. This was suggested by the highest capacity to degrade polysaccharides as demonstrated by high C-acquiring enzyme activities, β-glucosidase and β-xylosidase activities. The former is related to cellulose degradation, while the latter is promoted by the presence of hemicellulose.\textsuperscript{57} High phosphatase activity in the transition compared to the other treatments could be related to high chl-a content, since algae are also responsible for this activity but may be also linked to low availability of inorganic phosphorus due to its low retention capacity which may enhance bacterial phosphatase activity. High enzyme activities in Coarse-fine treatment coincide with biogeochemical aspects explained above (high BIX and SR values) implying that the coarse-to-fine transition promotes the transformation of organic matter into biodegradable, low-molecular-weight molecules.
In the treatments displaying low hydraulic conductivity, nutrients and organic matter transport to deeper areas are limited, resulting in low microbial activity and biomass in depth. High E2/E3 values measured in such treatments on the last day of the experiment could be indicative of the photo-degradability and photo-reactivity of DOC, however this statement should be interpreted with caution since results in E2/E3 index are not consistent throughout the sampling days. High Chl-a concentration measured in these treatments could be favored by high advection time which resulted in slow flow and increased the contact time between water, sediment and light in the upper part of the columns. This in turn could be responsible of slight higher values of DO in the upper part of these columns due to release of oxygen from photosynthetic activity. However, as advection times were much shorter (between 12 minutes and 1 hour) than day/night cycles we expect that the pulses in DO due to algal metabolism will be rapidly dislocated through the columns and then having limited effect on biogeochemical processes. Further work will be necessary to clearly understand specific effects of daily primary production pulses and consequent daily variability on the physicochemical parameters in infiltration systems.

The non-homogeneity of sediment grain size, despite the spatial homogeneity (Mixture treatment) contrarily to what expected, did not favor microbial colonization or extracellular enzyme activity. Furthermore, it resulted in the lowest values of EPS concentration in depth. Since not many differences were accountable between the Fine and the Mixture treatments, we could state that the presence of fine grain size sediments would determine the majority of the biogeochemical processes that take place in the subsurface.

To sum up, sediments composed even partially by coarse sands which display high infiltration rates, transfer high quantity of nutrients and organic matter in depth which promote high bacterial density in deeper areas compared to fine sand sediments. Although not seeing differences in oxygen concentration between treatments; nitrification rates and oxygen consumption rates are greater for coarse sediment. Related to this, higher rates of infiltration may be associated with higher potential
process rates. However, low water residence times in coarse sediments result in low functional
diversity and a decrease in the proportion of live bacteria in depth. On the other hand, the presence of
fine sands limits biofilm activity and biomass in depth due to low infiltration which at the same time
reduce nutrient load in depth. According to this, biofilm activity, biomass and process rates could be
limited by low nutrient load. On the other hand, phosphorous retention is enhanced by fine sediment.
Transition of coarse to fine grain size sediments promote the accumulation of organic matter in the
interface, favoring its decomposition to smaller and more biodegradable compounds and creating hot-
spots of bacterial activity and biomass.

The present work concludes that biological and physicochemical parameters are influenced by the
grain size and the grain size distribution of the sediment. In relation to our hypothesis, coarse
sediment allows for high biomass in depth and high process rates due to high input load, while fine
sediment promotes accumulation of algae in the upper part of the columns and ameliorates
phosphorous retention but biomass in subsurface is constrained by low input loads. However, in
contrast to our hypothesis mixture of coarse and fine sediment behaves similarly than only fine
sediment. Interestingly, bilayer of coarse sediment in the upper part and fine sediment in the bottom
promotes high biomass in the interface between the two layers resulting in high microbial organic
matter degradation and nutrient recycling and also allows for phosphorous retention mainly thanks to
the fine layer.

In short, it is important to account for the implications of grain size and spatial transitions between
layers in subsurface sediments in order to understand and improve biological and physical knowledge
about processes occurring either in natural or in artificial infiltration systems. It is important to take
into account that implications of sediment heterogeneity on microbial biomass and activity are not
fully characterized by the topsoil few cm, but rather influenced by the grain size spatial distribution
of at least the top 40 cm.
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Figures & Tables

Figure 1 Scheme of the column configurations regarding grain size distributions used in this experiment. Three replicate columns were used for each treatment.

Figure 2 Absolute values of biofilm biomass (bacterial density; chlorophyll-a content and EPS content) measured in sediment at different depths at the end of the experiment. Letters indicate significant differences between treatments (Treat) on each depth after Tukey’s post-hoc analysis. Superscripts indicate the number of treatments in the same group.
Figure 3 LD ratio values and functional diversity measured as Shannon diversity. Letters indicate significant differences between treatments (Treat) on each depth after Tukey’s post-hoc analysis. Superscripts indicate the number of treatments in the same group.

Figure 4 RDA analysis with data from sediment biofilm fitted with physicochemical data from the last day of the experiment. ANOSIM analysis detect differences between treatments (ANOSIM R = 0.604, p = 0.001).
Table 1  Hydraulic conductivity and dissolved oxygen measured the last day of the experiment in each treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>K (m/day)</th>
<th>O$_2$ surface (mg/L)</th>
<th>O$_2$ – 20 cm (mg/L)</th>
<th>O$_2$ – 40 cm (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>0.3078$^b$ ± 0.1086</td>
<td>5.46 ± 0.78</td>
<td>3.86 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine – coarse</td>
<td>0.1019$^a$ ± 0.0602</td>
<td>7.75 ± 2.90</td>
<td>5.43 ± 4.10</td>
<td>3.44 ± 2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>0.1319$^a$ ± 0.0505</td>
<td>8.22 ± 3.68</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.71</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse – fine</td>
<td>0.1867$^{ab}$ ± 0.1133</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.85</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.35</td>
<td>2.15 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>0.1120$^a$ ± 0.0334</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.19</td>
<td>4.86 ± 1.29</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the mean of the replicates (n=3) ± sd. Letters next to the means indicate significant different groups after Tukey’s post-hoc analysis (p < 0.05).

Table 2  Advection time and process rates for ammonium, nitrates and nitrites (NO$_x$), phosphorous (SRP) and dissolved oxygen (DO) along the infiltration columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Advection time (seconds)</th>
<th>N-NH4 (µg N/L·s)</th>
<th>N-NOx (µg N/L·s)</th>
<th>SRP (µg P/L·s)</th>
<th>DO (µg O$_2$/L·s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat*day</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>736$^a$ ± 700</td>
<td>-1.70$^b$ ± 1.17</td>
<td>2.14$^b$ ± 1.61</td>
<td>0.0007$^a$ ± 0.0380</td>
<td>-5.05$^a$ ± 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine - Coarse</td>
<td>3890$^c$ ± 2313</td>
<td>-0.36$^a$ ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.22$^a$ ± 0.29</td>
<td>-0.0181$^b$ ± 0.0222</td>
<td>-0.82$^a$ ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>2500$^{bc}$ ± 1482</td>
<td>-0.52$^a$ ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.38$^a$ ± 0.29</td>
<td>-0.0231$^b$ ± 0.0299</td>
<td>-1.53$^{ab}$ ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse – Fine</td>
<td>1577$^{ab}$ ± 1160</td>
<td>-0.75$^a$ ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.63$^a$ ± 0.73</td>
<td>-0.0142$^b$ ± 0.0170</td>
<td>-2.03$^b$ ± 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>2029$^{ab}$ ± 1231</td>
<td>-0.49$^a$ ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.49$^a$ ± 0.19</td>
<td>-0.0124$^b$ ± 0.0177</td>
<td>-1.01$^{ab}$ ± 1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the mean of the four sampling days (n = 12) ± sd. Positive process rates indicate production while negative process rates means removal/consumption. Letters next to the means indicate significant differences between treatments (Treat) after Tukey’s post-hoc analysis.
Table 3 Enzyme activities measured at different depths in each treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Coarse</th>
<th>Fine - coarse</th>
<th>Fine</th>
<th>Coarse - fine</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β-glu</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.90(^{ab}) ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.30(^{a}) ± 0.92</td>
<td>2.39(^{a}) ± 0.13</td>
<td><strong>7.39(^{b}) ± 1.51</strong></td>
<td>3.93(^{ab}) ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat*Depth: ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.75</td>
<td>2.30 ± 1.73</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><strong>1.08(^{b}) ± 0.58</strong></td>
<td>0.15(^{a}) ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.48(^{a}) ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.42(^{a}) ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.38(^{a}) ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β-xyl</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.14(^{ab}) ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.61(^{a}) ± 0.51</td>
<td>1.06(^{ab}) ± 0.37</td>
<td><strong>1.22(^{b}) ± 0.45</strong></td>
<td>0.66(^{a}) ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat*Depth: ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.17(^{ab}) ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.01(^{a}) ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.06(^{a}) ± 0.11</td>
<td><strong>0.90(^{b}) ± 0.74</strong></td>
<td>0.07(^{a}) ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phos</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.29</td>
<td>12.31 ± 3.68</td>
<td>8.69 ± 2.91</td>
<td>9.56 ± 1.01</td>
<td>9.88 ± 2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat: p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Treat*Depth: ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.36(^{a}) ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.24(^{a}) ± 0.30</td>
<td>3.38(^{a}) ± 2.43</td>
<td><strong>6.06(^{b}) ± 1.63</strong></td>
<td>2.81(^{a}) ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.31(^{ab}) ± 0.69</td>
<td>1.14(^{a}) ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.41(^{ab}) ± 0.76</td>
<td><strong>3.86(^{b}) ± 0.89</strong></td>
<td>1.98(^{ab}) ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leu</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.58</td>
<td>5.84 ± 2.94</td>
<td>6.40 ± 3.09</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.83</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth: p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Treat: p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>Treat*Depth: p &lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><strong>4.86(^{b}) ± 0.84</strong></td>
<td>1.96(^{a}) ± 1.12</td>
<td>2.52(^{ab}) ± 1.14</td>
<td>3.98(^{ab}) ± 0.95</td>
<td>3.28(^{ab}) ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><strong>4.42(^{b}) ± 0.59</strong></td>
<td>1.18(^{a}) ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.73(^{a}) ± 1.01</td>
<td>3.37(^{ab}) ± 1.78</td>
<td>1.59(^{a}) ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the mean of the replicates (n=3) ± sd, expressed as nmolMUF/g dry weight·h for β-glucosidase (β-glu), β-xyllosidase (β-xyl) and Phosphatase (Phos); and nmolAMC/g dry weight·h for Leucine-aminopeptidase (Leu). Letters next to the means indicate significant differences between treatments (Treat) after Tukey’s post-hoc analysis comparing treatments at each depth. Values in bold indicate the highest activity measured at each depth.