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#### Abstract

We present here the low temperature (116K) crystal and molecular structure of poly-[ $\mu$-pyrazine\{tetrakis-fluoraspirinate-dicopper(II)\}]diacetonitrile, for short $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Fasp})_{4} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$, a 5-halogenated derivative of pharmacologically relevant copper aspirinates. We also discuss the theoretically expected magnetic and thermodynamic behavior of this interesting system for molecular magnetism.
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## 1. Introduction

Dicopper(II) carboxylate complexes exhibiting a lantern-like structure have been the object of intense research. ${ }^{1,2}$ Earlier studies on the structural and magnetic properties of the prototypic copper acetate were considered key for the understanding of super-exchange paths in dinuclear compounds and in the development of appropriate models to describe the magnetic coupling between metal centers. ${ }^{3,4}$
Numerous studies have been carried out on the biological activity of copper carboxylates. In particular, copper aspirinates have been found to act as anti-inflammatory, analgesic, anti-carcinogenic, anticonvulsant, antithrombotic, catecholase mimetic and potential neuron-protective agents. ${ }^{5,6}$ These pharmacological studies often contain copper-aspirinate systems either involving simple mononuclear complexes or paddle-wheel binuclear tetrakis-aspirinate complexes. In contrast with the large number of experimental and theoretical studies about aspirin, ${ }^{6,7}$ less is know about its 5 -halogenated derivatives.
We report here the X-ray crystal and molecular structure of polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { Fasp })_{4} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ and present numerical results on the magnetic and thermodynamic behavior of the coupled chain of anti-ferromagnetic (AF) binuclear copper(II) complexes as a function of the inter-dimer super-exchange coupling constant.

## 2. X-ray diffraction data and structure solution and refinement

The measurements were performed at low temperature on an Enraf-Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ radiation. Diffraction data were collected ( $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans with к-offsets) with COLLECT. ${ }^{8}$ Integration and scaling of the reflections was performed with HKL DENZO-SCALEPACK ${ }^{9}$ suite of programs. The unit cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement based on the angular settings for all collected reflections using

HKL SCALEPACK. ${ }^{9}$ The data were corrected empirically for absorption with the multi-scan procedure. ${ }^{10}$ The structure was solved by direct methods with SHELXS-97 ${ }^{11}$ and the molecular model refined by full-matrix least-squares procedure with SHELXL-97. ${ }^{12}$ The hydrogen atoms were positioned stereo-chemically and refined with the riding model. The methyl hydrogen atoms locations were optimized during the refinement by treating them as rigid bodies which were allowed to rotate around the corresponding $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond. Crystal data and structure refinement results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement results for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \text { (Fasp) }\right)_{4}($ pyz $\left.)\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{16}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1077.83 |
| Temperature (K) | 116(2) |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 (\#2) |
| Unit cell dimensions |  |
| $\mathrm{a}(\AA)$ | 9.8900(2) |
| b(A) | 11.0850(3) |
| $\mathrm{c}(\AA)$ | 11.7900(3) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 78.818(1) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 65.294(1) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 73.048(1) |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 1119.34(5) |
| Z , calculated density ( $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 1,599 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 1.045 |
| F(000) | 414 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.24 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$ |
| Crystal color/shape | Blue / prismatic |
| $\vartheta$ Ørange for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.93 to 25.99 |
| Index ranges $\quad-12 \leq h \leq 12,-13 \leq k \leq 13,-14 \leq l \leq 14$ |  |
| Reflections collected / unique | 15470/4409 [R(int)=0.061] |
| Completeness (\%) | 99.9 (to $\vartheta=25.99^{\circ}$ ) |
| Observed reflections [I>2 $7(\mathrm{I}$ )] | 3987 |

Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Weights,w
Data / restraints / parameters Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices ${ }^{*}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ R indices (all data)
Largest peak and hole
0.9027 and 0.7876

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
$\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.06 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.99 \mathrm{P}\right]^{-1}$
$\mathrm{P}=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$
4409 / 0 / 314
1.039

R1 $=0.0375$, wR2 $=0.1031$
R1 $=0.0433$, wR2 $=0.1071$
0.699 and -0.908 e. $\AA^{-3}$
${ }^{*} R_{1}=\Sigma| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|, w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$

## 3. Structural results

Figure 1 shows an ORTEP ${ }^{13}$ drawing of the molecule. Intra-molecular bond distances and angles around copper(II) in $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { Fasp })_{4} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{n}$ are in Table 2.
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}{ }^{\prime}$
2.6417(5)

| Bond angles |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $168.59(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $89.09(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $89.71(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $89.60(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $89.34(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $168.61(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ | $99.23(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ | $92.15(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ | $91.46(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ | $99.92(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ | $82.96(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ | $85.64(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ | $85.18(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ | $83.43(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ |  |
|  | $175.98(5)$ |

re related to the corresponding unprimed inversion symmetry operation: $-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+1$

$[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Cu} . . . \mathrm{Cu})=2.6417(5) \AA$ dimeric group tallographic inversion center and shows a formation where the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are ,ed by four Fasp groups acting as bidentate heir carboxylic oxygen atoms [Cu-O bond $.964(2)$ to $1.974(2) \AA]$. Neighboring ups in the lattice are axially linked by $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) N groups (also on a inversion center) toms $[\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N})=2.233(2) \AA$ ] giving rise to 1... $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{N} . . . \mathrm{Cu}(\text { Fasp })_{4} \mathrm{Cu} .$. p polymeric xxtends along the crystal $a$-axis (see n Fig. 2). This structure agrees well with $\left.\left.冫 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ j. ${ }^{15,16}$


Figure 2. Molecular diagram showing part of the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { Fasp })_{4} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{n}$ polymer and the labeling of the super-exchange coupling constants referred to in the theoretical calculations. Large green disks indicate $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, while the red, blue, yellow and black smaller disks denote oxygen, nitrogen, fluorine and carbon atoms, respectively.

## 4. Theoretical results

### 4.1. Spin Hamiltonian of isolated AF dimer

As for other lantern-structured copper carboxylates, the (pyz) Cu (Fasp) $)_{4} \mathrm{Cu}($ pyz $)$ dimer constitutes a system with two antiferromagnetically (AF) coupled $S=1 / 2$ centers. The intra-dimer magnetic behavior of the copper unpaired electrons ( $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{S}_{2}=1 / 2$ ) in an external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ can be described by the following Hamiltonian: ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\left(V_{1}+V_{2}\right)-J \mathbf{S}_{1} . \mathbf{S}_{2}+\gamma\left(\mathbf{L}_{1} . \mathbf{S}_{1}+\mathbf{L}_{2} . \mathbf{S}_{2}\right)+ \\
& \beta \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{L}_{1}+2 \mathbf{S}_{1}+\mathbf{L}_{1}+2 \mathbf{S}_{1}\right), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first term describes ligand field effects, the second is the exchange coupling between the electrons, and the third and fourth contributions are the spin-orbit and Zeeman interactions. The crystal field effects lead to a mainly metal $\mathrm{d}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ ground state orbital with its lobes along the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (nearly along the $x$ and $y$ axes), energetically well separated from the electronic excited states. The exchange interaction produces the largest (first-order) perturbation to the spin fourfold-degenerated ground state by splitting it into a diamagnetic singlet ground state (total spin $S=0$ ) and a paramagnetic triplet ( $S=1$ ) excited level, energy-separated in | $J$ |. Perturbation theory up to second-order, including exchange, spin-orbit and Zeeman interactions, shows that the triplet state can be described by the effective spin ( $\mathrm{S}=1$ ) Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e f f}=\mathbf{S} . \mathbf{D} . \mathbf{S}+\beta \text { S.g.H, } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are the fine and gyromagnetic tensors, respectively. The fine interaction splits the triplet state in the absence of an external magnetic field into a singlet ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}=0$ ) and a doublet ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}= \pm 1$ ). It arises from the combined effect of ligand field, exchange and spin-orbit interactions and vanishes for uncoupled ( $J=0$ ) electrons. The symmetric $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ tensors share the same principal $(x, y, z)$ axes, where the spin Hamiltonian adopts the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{e f f}=D \mathbf{S}_{z}^{2}+E\left(\mathbf{S}_{x}^{2}+\mathbf{S}_{y}^{2}\right)+\beta\left(g_{x} H_{x} \mathbf{S}_{x}+\right. \\
& \left.g_{y} H_{y} \mathbf{S}_{y}+g_{z} H_{z} \mathbf{S}_{z}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Single-crystal EPR measurements in the closely related system of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ shows that the dimer exhibits approximate axial symmetry and therefore $E \approx 0, g_{x} \approx g_{y} \approx$ $\mathrm{g} \perp$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{g} \| .^{3}$ Assuming the same holds for our system, (3) further simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e f f}=D \mathbf{S}_{z}^{2}+\beta\left[g_{\perp}\left(H_{x} \mathbf{S}_{x}+g_{y} \mathbf{S}_{y}\right)+g_{\mathrm{P}} H_{z} \mathbf{S}_{z}\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fine and Zeeman interactions (a fraction of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) are much smaller than the exchange interaction (in the present case, a few hundredths of a $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). This interaction, in turn, is
much less than the energy separation between electronic ground and excited states. This warrants the description of the powder molar magnetic susceptibility of isolated AF dimers by the Van Vleck expression, which to second-order approximation leads to the Bleaney-Bowers equation ${ }^{3}$
$\chi(T)=\frac{2 N_{A} g^{2} \beta^{2}}{k T[3+\exp (-J / k T)]}$,
where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Avogrado's number, g the average gyromagnetic factor and $\beta$ the Bohr magneton.

### 4.2. Coupled chain of AF dimers

Previous EPR spectroscopic work on the related $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{pyz})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ polymer concluded that the exchange interaction between dimers, $\left|J^{\prime}\right|$, is much smaller than the intra-dimer $|J|$ value (usually of the order of 400 K ) and therefore more difficult to measure and interpret since very low temperatures are required and other effects of the same order of magnitude need to be taken into consideration. ${ }^{15}$
We present here a preliminary theoretical effort to predict the magnetic and thermodynamic behavior of a coupled chain of AF units as a function of the inter-dimer coupling constant $J$, (see Fig. 2). To this purpose, we calculated the low-laying energy levels and eigenvector of the system defined by the spin Hamiltonian:
$H_{e f f}=-J \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\mathbf{S}_{2 \mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2 \mathrm{i}-1}+\alpha \mathbf{S}_{2 \mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{2 \mathrm{i}+1}\right]$,
where N is the number of AF dimers and $\alpha=J^{\prime} / J$, employing the Lanczos' algorithm. From this spectrum we obtained the magnetic susceptibility and specific heat of the chain as a function of temperature. The numerical results for the susceptibility $\chi(T)$ are shown in Fig. 3.


Figure 3. Magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for a chain of $N=20$ coupled AF dimers. The red curve ( $J^{\prime} / J=0$ ) corresponds to an isolated AF with $J=-400 \mathrm{~K}$. The vertical line at
$k T /|J|=0.625$ indicates the maximum of $X(T)$ calculated with the Bleaney-Bower's equation (5).

From Fig. 3 we can appreciate that the inter-dimer coupling would produce a shift in the susceptibility maximum toward
lower temperatures, which should be experimentally detectable even for relatively weak intra-dimer super-exchange interactions.
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