N OTA 952 maart α Team Integraal Waterbeheer Centrum Water&Klimaat

ICW-nota 952

Instituut voor Cultuurtechniek en Haterhuishouding Hageningen

[View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk](https://core.ac.uk/display/29385157?utm_source=pdf&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=pdf-decoration-v1) brought to you by **CORE**

ALTERRA.

Wageningen Universiteit & Research centrc Omgevingswetenschappen Centrum Water & Klimaat *Team Integraal Waterholms*

CALCULATION OF CAPILLARY CONDUCTIVITY AND

CAPILLARY RISE FROM GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

I,REAL AND THEORETICAL VALUES OF THE EXPONENT IN A FORMULA OF BROOKS AND COREY FOR THE CALCULATION OF HYDRAULIC CONDUCTlVITIES

Ing. G.H. Bloemen

Nota's van het Instituut zijn in principe interne communicatiemiddelen, dus geen officiële publikaties.

Hun inhoud varieert sterk en kan zowel betrekking hebben op een eenvoudige weergave van cijferreeksen, als op een concluderende discussie van onderzoeksresultaten. In de meeste gevallen zullen de conclusies echter van voorlopige aard zijn omdat het onderzoek nog niet is afgesloten.

Bepaalde nota's komen niet voor verspreiding buiten het Instituut in aanmerking

 \sim \sim

 \sim \sim

I N H 0 U D

 \mathcal{A}

J.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

ALIERRA.

Wageningen Universiteit & Research cèntrc Omgevingswelenschappen Centrum Water & Klimaal *Team Integraal Waterbeheer*

1. SIMPLE METHODS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF $k - \Psi$ RELATIONS

A simplified procedure for the calculation of hydraulic conductivity, in unsaturated soils was proposed by BROOKS and COREY (1964), By making use of some simplifying assumptions in a generalised Kozeny equation they obtained

$$
k = k_{s} \qquad \psi < \Psi_{a} \tag{1}
$$

$$
k = k_g \left(\frac{\Psi}{\Psi}\right)^n \qquad \Psi > \Psi_a \tag{2}
$$

Here k_{s} is the experimentally determined permeability of the fully saturated medium, $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the air entry point and n is given by

$$
n = 2 + 3 \lambda \tag{3}
$$

Here λ is a parameter which is related to the pore size distribu**tion of the medium. It is determined from the moisture tension curve as**

$$
\lambda = \log \frac{S - S_r}{1 - S_r} / \log \frac{\Psi_a}{\Psi} \tag{4}
$$

s 1n eq. (4) is **saturation,** that is

$$
S = \theta/\theta_S \tag{5}
$$

where θ and $\theta_{\rm S}$ are respectively any volumetric water content and the maximum volumetric water content. Residual saturation S_r in eq. (4) is thé saturation at which the permeability of the soil

 \mathbf{I}

becomes essentially zero. S_r is assumed to be equivalent to that part of the pore volume which does not contribute to the flow of water in the soil. S_r is determined as the value which gives the $s - s$ best fit of data points on a straight line when $\log \frac{1}{1 - s}$ is plotted against log Ψ and saturation values near unity are excluded. The slope of this straight line yields λ according eq. (4). The intersection point of the straight line with the log ψ scale is considered the approximate value of log Ψ_{a} .

CAMPBELL (1974) obtained eq. (2) from a formula to calculate $k - \Psi$ relations from pore size distributions. The exponent n in eq. (2) is defined as

$$
n = 2 + 3/b \tag{6}
$$

and the parameter b is calculated from that part of the moisture tension curve that produces a straight line when plotted on log **scales as**

b = $\log \frac{\frac{\Psi}{\psi}}{\frac{\Psi}{\psi}} / \log \frac{\theta}{\theta_s}$ (7)

From eq. (4) and eq. (7) it follows that $\lambda = \frac{1}{b}$ when S_r is zero. The CAMPBELL metbod is a simplification of the BROOKS and COREY method because it does not recognize the necessity of the calculation of S_r . Which part of the moisture tension curve is representative for the pore size distribution is decided on arbitrarily. The advantage of the calculation of S_r , however, is that there is a statistical expedient to decide on the best value of the exponent n.

The use of a formula like eq. (2) is attractive because of its simplicity. The formula allows the difference between soils to be expressed in different values of only three parameters. When these are known of a soil the hydraulic conductivity at any moisture tension can be calculated very fast with no other equipment than an electronic slide rule calculator.

This paper investigates into the possibility of deriving the values of the exponent n in eq. (2) from moisture tension curves.

2. CALCULATED $k - y$ RELATIONS COMPARED WITH MEASUREMENTS

The methods of BROOKS and COREY, and of CAMPBELL were applied to **moisture tension curves of a number of soil samples. These data** were collected in literature and in the archives of the laboratory of the Institute for Soil and Water Nanagement in Wageningen (Netherlands). The data had to include determined $k - \Psi$ relations to verify the usefulness of both models. Data were only used if the **measurements were performed with plain water.**

In table I the collected sets of data are specified. Also values of the highest suction that k was measured at and the matching saturation values are given. The values are given of S_r and n which **were calculated with a computor program for non-linear parameter** optimization (STOL, 1975). In chapter 5 is to he explained why the percentage of particles <2 μ m is also entered in table I in those **cases that it was known.**

Sr appears to have substantial variatien and also to he zero in a numher of cases. This possibility was recognized by LALIBERTE, BROOKS and COREY (1968, page 60). Also in table I are values of n according to CAMPBELL's method, that is when S_r is assumed to be **zero, even if it is not.**

When there is a difference between the result of CAMPBELL's metbod and that of BROOKS and COREY, n must always be the highest according to the last method. This is arithmetical because with a constant value of S, increasing values of S_r systematically der crease the value of $\frac{s - s_r}{1 - s_r}$ and that more so when S is small, that is when suction is high. The effects of increasing S_r on the slope of the straight line which gives the pore size distribution index and on the **intersection point when** the straight line is extropola $s - s_r$ ted to $\frac{1}{1-\text{S}_r}$ = 1, are shown in figure 1. This diagram represents nr. 6 of table 1 and shows clearly why S_r is 0.06.

In the diagrams in the figures 2 and 3 is **visualized how accord**ing eq. (1) and eq. (2) calculated $k - \Psi$ relations agree with sepa**rate measurements of k as far as those were available for the cases**

Table 1. Specification of collected testdata and values of exponent n according the methods of BROOKS and COREY (N'_{B}) and CAMPBELL (N'_{C}) or calculated from measured $R - \psi$ relations (N). Ψ is the highest suction measured at and θ/θ is the matching saturation. S_r is residual saturation.

Specification	Ψ	$\theta/\theta_{_{\rm S}}$	s_{r}	N' _R	N^{\dagger} _C	N	$Z < 2 \mu m$
BRUCE (1972) [*]							
1. Cecil sandy loam Ap n n 2. B ₂ Ħ $\pmb{\mathcal{W}}$ \mathbf{u} 3. B_3	2 ⁵⁰⁰ 2 100 9 000	0.18 0.65 0.60	0.03 0.10 0.0	2.92 2.19 2.21	2.82 2.16 2.21	2.01 1.75 1.36	5 26 39
ELRICK a.o. (1964)							
Guelph loam 4.	540	0.52	0.0	2.61	2.61	2.21	
WATSON (1966)							
5. Botany sand, 300-150 µm RUBIN a.o. (1964)	50	0.22	0.10	30.18	23.80	30.0	$\bf{0}$
6. Rehovoth sand KUNZE a.o. (1968)	340	0.06	0.06	8,5	5.49	5.91	1, 5
7. Ida silt loam 8. Webster silty clay loam 9. Colo clay	11 000 6 500 10 000	0.25 0.35 0.37	0.15 0.15 0.25	3.01 2.63 2.73	2.69 2.43 2.38	2,32 2.49 2.43	23 33 $\overline{}$
CHOW a.o. (1972)							
10. Silt loam HENSELER a.o. $(1968)^*$	25 000	0.38	0.0	2.68	2.68	2.15	7.4
11. Stark toniger Schluff 12. Lehmiger sand ARCHIVES OF THE I.S.W.M. Laboratory ^x	600 300	0.0	0.0 0.08	2.88 3.69	2.88 3.10	2.38 3.39	23 8
13. Loess 14. Sandy clay 15. Medium fine sand 16. Fine sand 17. Cover sand \bullet 18. п 19. Ħ 20. Ħ 21. \mathbf{H} 22.	530 280 95 120 120 150 130 100 90 135		0.0 0.0 0.04 0.05 0.12 0.09 0.0 0.06 0.04 0.07	2.59 2.69 4.14 3.67 3.48 3.90 2.99 3.75 4.63 3.44	2.57 2.67 3.38 3.20 2.89 3.26 2.99 3.42 3.58 3.25	2.43 1.99 4.49 3.90 2.58 3.42 2.98 2.92 2.46 3.13	17 18 2 ¹ 0.6

 \star = undisturbed samples

 $S - S_r$ Fig. I. Log Ψ plotted against log $\frac{1}{1-S}$ with increasing values of r S_r . The soil is Rehovoth sand (RUBIN a.o. 1964)

in table 1. Relations obtained with the BROOKS and COREY method are shown as dashed lines, solid lines represent the CAMPBELL method. For the silt loam (CHOW and DE VRIES, 1972) in fig. 3 a value of k_{s} **was estimated.**

It is striking that generally speaking the slope of the calculated hydraulic conductivity function agrees well enough with that of the measured function, while when the calculated function does not fit the measurements it is largely because it has shifted to a too high or too low level of Ψ . This is obviously caused by over- or underestimation of Ψ_a and will be discussed on a later occasion. Now a comparitive evaluation·of both methods of calculation of the exponent n will be given.

 \bar{z}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 2. Calculated $k - \Psi$ relations and measurements of $k(\Psi)$ for the numbers 1 to 9 inclusive of table 1

 $\ddot{}$

 $\boldsymbol{6}$

 \sim \sim

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

Fig. 3. Calculated $k - \Psi$ relations and measurements of $k(\Psi)$ for the numbers 10 to 22 inclusive of table I.

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

3. A TEST ON THE RESULTS OF TWO METHODS OF CALCULATION OF THE EXPO-NENT n

Values of n which are derived from moisture tension curves and hereafter to be defined as n' with indexes B for BROOKS and COREY (1964) and C for CAMPBELL (1974), were compared with values of n which were calculated from measurements of k and γ . If data points were given in the literature concerned, values of k and Ψ were read in the diagrams; if not data points were read from the presented **curves.**

For the calculation of n from measured values of k and Ψ , log k/k_s was plotted against log Ψ . Calculating the linear regression of log k/k_son log Ψ yields a regression coefficient representing n. As k/k_s can not exceed unity the intersection point of the regres- \sin line and the log Ψ scale is an estimation of $\Psi_{\bf a}$ for

$$
\log k/k_{\rm g} = n(\log \Psi_{\rm g} - \log \Psi) \tag{8}
$$

The value of n may also be determined when k_s is unknown by estimation of k_{s} . In that case the resulting estimation of Ψ_{a} does not have any significance. In table I the values of n as obtained aceording to eq. (8) are given. The regressions of n'_{C} and n'_{B} on n satisfy the equations

$$
n'_{C} = 0.743 n + 0.919
$$
 (9)

and

$$
n^1_B = 0.989 n + 0.634
$$
 (10)

Correlation coefficients are very high, 0.996 and 0.993 respectively. The tests of Student have been used to conclude if the departures of these equations from n'_{c} = n have significancy. From the departure of the regression coefficients from unity it holds for eq. (9) that the test value $t = 1,946$, and for eq. (10) it holds that $t = 0.423$. For the departure of the constant from zero it holds for eq. (9) that $t = 9.30$ and for eq. (10) that $t = 3.396$. The crit-

ical value of $t = 2.086$ when the test is twosided and the confidence interval is 95%. It follows that the differences between n'_{C} and n have significancy. CAMPBELL's method is not reliable, though in a number of cases it gives the same results as BROOKS and GOREY's method. The latter method gives relative values of N'_{R} which are perfectly proportionate but systematically too high. This would **rnean that real values of n can he lower than the minimum value ace**ording to eq. (3). More data are available to support this assump**tion.**

4. A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE VALUE OF EXPONENT n AND CLAY PERCEN-TAGE

In literature $k - \Psi$ relations are given by authors who unfortunately did not supply moisture retention curves so the data could **nat he included in the comparative evaluation in chapter 4. However in most cases data on partiele size distribution were supplied. In** table 2 a set of data from this literature is given. The exponent n is again derived from measured values of k and \forall , as shown in chapter 4. It is entered in table 2 with the clay percentage. This feature serves to distinguish between the soils in tables I and 2. In fig. 4 the exponent n is plotted against the clay percentage. Disturbed and undisturbed samples are separated because LALIBERTE and COREY (1969) have shown that sample treatment may affect pore size distribution on which the value of n is depending. They found a **rnean ratio between exponent n of disturbed and undisturbed samples** of about $l: 0.65$. Fig. 4 shows a distinct relationship, between exponent n and clay percentage of the disturbed samples despite a considerable scatter which will be due to incompatibility. of data **because of different sample treatment etc. of the various authors. Nevertheless a mean curve can easily be fitted in diagram 4a. When** this curve is transferred to the diagram 4b it shows that undisturbed samples of soils with equal clay percentage have as a mean lower values of n. The ratio is about I : 0.7 if samples with less than 4% clay are neglected.

 $\frac{1}{4}$

 \star = undisturbed samples

Fig. 4 Relationship between clay percentage and value of exponent n in BROOKS and GOREY's formula

In this range there is a lack of observations in both diagrams. The lowest value of n for undisturbed samples would as a mean be about 1.4. This is fairly well in agreement with the constant of 0.63 in eq. (10) which should be an estimation of the overvaluation

of n with eq. (3). A relationship between the value of n and clay percentage of the soil is obvious.

BROOKS and COREY (1964, pages 2, 4) have put some restrictions on the validity of their formula. It is not always valid for saturation below field capacity or for porous media having only extremely small pores e.g. the spaces between clay platelets *or* when with **decreasing saturation the porous matrix changes in geometry.**

The significanee of a diagram like fig. 4b is perhaps that it **1s the most practical and direct way to assess the value of n in** eq. (2) empirically for a large variety of soils.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Naking use of data from literature it was ascertained that a method recommended by CANPBELL (1974) fails in determining the value of the exponent n in a formula of BROOKS and COREY (1964) for **the calculation of hydraolie conductivity as a function of moisture** tension. The method of calculation recommended by BROOKS and COREY themselves gives relative values of n which are perfectly proportionate but systematically too high. This paper gives an empirical approach for the assessment of the value of n for a large variety of soils. It is suggested that this approach should comprise as **many observations as possible. A more complete soil characteristic** than clay percentage should be used to reduce scatter as much as possible, so the accuracy of the estimation of n would be optimal. In a following paper this will be elaborated and discussed.

REFERENCES

- BECHER, H.H., (1971). "Ein Verfahren zur Messung der ungesättigten Wasserleitfähigkeit". Zeitschr. Pflanzenern. Bodenk. 128. Band, Heft I.
- BROOKS, R.H. and H.T. COREY, (1964). "Hydraulic properties of porous media". Hydrology paper no. 3. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- BRUCE, R.R., (1972). "Hydraulic conductivity evaluation of the soil profile from soil water retention relations". Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 36 nr. 4.
- BURDINE, N.T., (1953). "Relative permeability calculations from pore **size distribution data". Petroleum Transactions, A.I.M.E.,** vol. 198.
- CAMPBELL, G.S., (1974). "A simple methad for determining unsatura**ted conductivity from moisture retention data". Soil Sci.** vol. 117, nr. 6.
- CHOW, T.L. and J. DE VRIES, (1972). "Dynamic measurements of hydrologie properties of a layered soil during drainage and evaporation, followed by wetting". Proc. 2nd Symp. Fund. Transp. Phen. in Por. Media. I.A.H.R./I.S.S.S. Guelph, Ontario.
- ELRICK, D.W. and D.H. BOWMAN, (1964). "Note on an improved appara**tus for soil moisture flow measurements ¹¹ • Proc. Soil Sci.** Soc. Am. vol. 28 nr. 8.
- GARDNER, W.R. and M. FIREMAN, (1958). "Laboratory studies of evaporation from soil columns in the presence of a watertable". Soil Sci. 85 pp. 224-249.
- GIESEL, W., M. RENGER und 0. STREBEL, (1972). "Berechnung des kapillaren Aufstiegs aus dem Grundwasser in der Wurzelraum unter Stationären Bedingungen". Zeitschr. Pflanzen ern. und Bodenk. 132. Band. Heft I.
- HENSELER, K.L. und M. RENGER, (1969). "Die Bestimmung der Wasserdurchlässigkeit im wasserungesättigten Boden mit den Doppelrnembran Druckapparatur". Zeitschr. Planzenern. und

Bodenk. 122. Band. Heft I.

- KUNZE, R.J., G. UEHARA and K. GRAHAM, (1968). "Factors important in the calculation of hydraulic conductivity". Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 32 no. 6.
- LALIBERTE, G.E., R.H. BROOKS and A.T. COREY, (1968). Permeability calculated from desaturation data. Journ. of the Irr. and Drain Division of the A.S.C.E. Vol. 94 nr. IR.1.
- LALIBERTE, G.E. and A.T. COREY, (1969). "Hydraulic properties of disturbed and undisturbed soils". Permeability and Capillary of Soils, A.S.T.M.S.T.P. 417, Am. Soc. Testing Mats. p. 56.
- RICHARDS, L.A. and D.C. MOORE, (1952). "Influence of capillàry conductivity and depth of wetting on moisture retention in soil". Trans. Am. Geoph. Un. Vol. 33, nr. 4.
- RUBIN, J., R. STEINHARDT and P. RENNIGER, 1964. "Soil water rela**tions during rain infiltration. II. Noisture content profiles during rains of low· intensities ¹¹ • Soil Sci. Soc. Am.** Proc. Vol. 28 no. I.
- STOL, Ph.Th., (1975). A contribution to theory and practice of nonlinear parameter optimization. Agricult. Res. Repose 835 Pudoc. Wageningen.
- VETTERLEIN, E., R. KOITZSCH, {1964). "Ergebnisse von Untersuchungen Über die Wasserbewegung in ungesättigten BÖden mittels Durchfluszverfahren". Trans. 8th Intern. Congress of Soil **Science, Bucharest, Romania.**
- WATSON, K.K., (1966). "An instantaneous profile method for determining the hydraulic conductivity". Water Res. Res. Vol. 2. no. 4.