A. J. M. LEEUWENBERG¹

Abstract

The genera of tribe Nerieae of Apocynaceae are surveyed here and the relationships of the tribe within the family are evaluated. Recent monographic work in the tribe enabled the author to update taxonomic approaches since Pichon (1950) made the last survey. Original observations on the pollen morphology of the genera by S. Nilsson, Swedish Natural History Museum, Stockholm, are appended to this paper.

Résumé

L'auteur étudie les genres de la tribu des Nericae des Apocynacées et évalue les relations de la tribu au sein de la famille. Un travail monographique récent sur la tribu a permit à l'auteur de mettre à jour les approches taxonomiques depuis la dernière étude de Pichon (1950). Les observations inédites par S. Nilsson du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Suédois à Stockholm sur la morphologie des pollens des genres sont jointes à cet article.

The Apocynaceae have long been divided into two subfamilies, Plumerioideae and Apocynoideae (Echitoideae). Pichon (1947) added a third, the Cerberioideae, a segregate of Plumerioideae—a situation which I have provisionally accepted. These subfamilies were in turn divided into tribes and subtribes. Comparative studies have shown that the subdivision of the Plumerioideae is much more natural than that of the Apocynoideae.

Although the Apocynoideae is a coherent grouping, it has so far proved very difficult to devise a satisfactory and practicable subdivision for it. Pichon (1950) had little confidence in early classifications of the subfamily, although, like his predecessors, he seems to have preferred a more or less artificial treatment. He recognized four tribes in Apocynoideae-Echiteae, Nerieae, Apocyneae, and Ichnocarpeae-each of which was further divided into a number of subtribes. However, none of these can be maintained as delimited by Pichon. For example, there appears to be a greater degree of similarity between Echites and Rhodocalyx of the Echiteae and Mandevilla and Mesechites of the Ichnocarpeae than is evident from his classification.

Nevertheless, the Nerieae, dealt with in detail here, are maintained largely as proposed by Pichon except for the following changes: *Dewevrella* is transferred to Parsonsiineae (Echiteae). *Amphineurion* is treated as a synonym of *Aganosma*. De Candolle (1844) regarded *Amphineurion* as a section of *Aganosma*, but Pichon raised it to generic rank and in his arrangement included Aganosma in the Echitinae. Further, because of its conspicuous resemblance to Beaumontia, it may well be that Amalocalyx (Echiteae— Amalocalycinae, according to Pichon) ought to be moved to the Nerieae.

Pichon's system is artificial, because he used the shape and the indumentum of the area where the connectives cohere with the head of the pistil as the only character to distinguish the four tribes of his Apocynoideae. That the Nerieae is the most satisfactorily defined tribe of the Apocynoideae only becomes evident after combinations of characters are taken into account.

Pichon divided the Nerieae into nine subtribes (Table 1), but it is certain that not all of these can be maintained. Indeed, it is questionable whether the Nerieae ought to be divided into subtribes at all.

Connections can be seen between certain groups of genera. Thus *Adenium* has flowers that resemble those of *Nerium*, especially in the corona and in the long bristly appendages at the apices of the anthers.

Wrightia, Pleioceras, and Stephanostema can also be grouped together, as they have a corona (deeply incised in the first two and almost entire in the last one), more or less bifurcate ramification, and a similarly shaped corolla. There is, in addition, the resemblance shown by Beaumontia and Vallaris to Wrightia, in particular to those of its species with a broad corolla and thickwalled fruits. Strophanthus, with comparable

¹ Department of Plant Taxonomy, Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

158

TABLE 1. Pichon's treatment of the Nerieae compared with the arrangement proposed here.

Pichon (1950)	Leeuwenberg (1988)
Nerieae	Nerieae
1. Neriinae (as Amphineuriinae) Amphineurion (= Aganosma) Pottsia Dewevrella (to Parsonsiinae) Isonema Nerium	Adenium (5; Afr., Arab.; Plaizier, 1980) Nerium (1; Medit. to China; Leeuwenberg, 1984) Strophanthus (38; Afr., As.; Beentje, 1982) Wrightia (23; Afr., As.; Ngan, 1965) Pleioceras (5; Afr.; Barink, 1983) Stephanostema (1; Afr.; Barink, 1983) Beaumontia (9; As.; Rudjiman, 1986) Vallaris (3; As.; Rudjiman, 1986) Kibatalia (15; As.; Rudjiman, 1986) Malouetia (ca. 15; Afr., S. Amer.; Van der Ploeg, 1984, 1985; Fallen, unpubl.) Allowoodsonia (1; Pacif.; Fallen; unpubl.) Funumia (2; Afr.; Zwetsloot, 1981) Mascarenhasia (ca. 10; Afr., Mad.; Markgraf, 1976) Farquharia (1; Afr.; Zwetsloot, 1981) Alafia (ca. 25; Afr., Mad.; Pichon, 1954) Isonema (3; Afr.; Van der Ploeg, 1983) Pottsia (3; As.; Tsiang & Li, 1977)
2. Beaumontiinae Vallaris Parabecumontia (= Vallaris) Muantum (= Beaumontia) Beaumontia	
3. Strophantinae Christya (= Strophanthus) Roupellina (= Strophanthus) Strophanthus	
4. Mascarenhasiinae Mascarenhasia Echitella (= Mascarenhasia)	
5. Alafiinae Alafia Aladenia (= Farquharia)	
6. Kibataliinae Funtumia Kibatalia	
7. Wrightiinae Wrightia Wallida (= Wrightia) Scleranthera (= Wrightia) Pleioceras Stephanostema	
8. Malouetiinae Malouetia Malouetiella (= Malouetia) (Pichon, 1952)	
9. Adeniinae Adenium	
Vallariopsis not included. Allowoodsonia not mentioned.	

fruits and flowers with a corona, also belongs here.

A third group comprises Kibatalia, Funtumia, Mascarenhasia, Malouetia, and Allowoodsonia. These five genera probably all conform to the model of Koriba when in the flowering stage. Funtumia, however, matches the model of Prévost in the juvenile stage. This has still to be investigated for the other four genera. The coriaceous leaves, small stunted inflorescences, and fleshy corollas can make it difficult to distinguish these genera from each other. Within the group, Malouetia and Allowoodsonia are so close in all their characters, including those of the seeds (the only ones without a coma in the whole of the Apocynoideae), that the two should probably be united. Kibatalia differs from them only in the rostrum and coma of the seeds.

Farquharia and *Alafia*, large lianes with coriaceous leaves, exhibit similarity in their flowers and fruits, and they therefore form a fourth group within the Nerieae.

Of the three genera not yet mentioned, Vallariopsis, Isonema, and Pottsia, it is mainly Vallariopsis that shows connections with other genera. Thus, its flowers resemble those of Kibatalia, Allowoodsonia, and Malouetia, and to a lesser extent those of Vallaris, Isonema, and Pottsia.

The four groups outlined above could, if necessary, be distinguished as the subtribes Neriinae, Wrightiinae, Kibataliinae, and Alafiinae, but they are difficult to define.

Strophanthus gratus has flowers reminiscent of Adenium and Nerium in their corolla and corona. The corona and syncarpous fruits of Nerium have their counterparts in several Wrightia species. The model of Leeuwenberg has been recognized in species of Nerium, Strophanthus boivinii, and Wrightia. The seeds of Beaumontia resemble those of Isonema and Pottsia. In addition, the flowers of Isonema bear lateral appendages on the corolla lobes and, in this respect, are like those of Mascarenhasia. The remarkable long, slender, rostrate seeds of Strophanthus, with a coma on the rostrum, are also found in Funtumia and Kibatalia. On the other hand, the seeds of Adenium and Farguharia have basal and apical comas that are persistent, while in Strophanthus, Funtumia, and Isonema the second coma is deciduous.

LITERATURE CITED

- BARINK, M. M. 1983. Series of revisions of Apocynaceae XII. A revision of *Pleioceras* Baill., *Stephanostema* K. Schum. and *Schizozygia* Baill. (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 83(7): 21-53.
- BEENTJE, H. J. 1982. A monograph on Strophanthus DC. (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 82(4): 1-191.
- DE CANDOLLE, A. 1844. Apocynaceae. In Prodromus Systematis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis 8: 317–489. Paris.
- FALLEN, M. E. Unpubl. Revision of Malouetia A. DC. and Allowoodsonia Mgf.
- LEEUWENBERG, A. J. M. 1984. Series of revisions of Apocynaceae XIII. Notes on *Nerium L. and Tabernaemontana L. Meded. Landbouwhogeschool* 83(7): 57-60.
- MARKGRAF, F. 1976. Apocynacées. In J.-F. Leroy (editor), Flore de Madagascar et des Comores, Famille 169.
- NGAN, P. T. 1965. A revision of the genus Wrightia (Apocynaceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 52: 114– 175.
- PICHON, M. 1947. Classification des Apocynacées: V. Cerbéroïdées. Notul. Syst. (Paris) 13: 212–229.

 XXXIV. Les Malouetiinae d'Afrique. Bull. Jard. Bot. État 22: 115–135.

- ——. 1954. Classification des Apocynacées: XXXIX. Revision du Genre Alafia Thou. Bull. Jard. Bot. État 24: 129-222.
- PLAIZIER, A. C. 1980. A revision of Adenium Roem. & Schult. and of Diplorhynchus Welw. ex Fic. & Hiern (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 80(12): 1-40.
- RUDJIMAN. 1982. A revision of Vallaris Burm. f. (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 82(11): 1-17.
- ———. 1986. A revision of *Beaumontia* Wall., *Kibatalia* G. Don, and *Vallariopsis* Woods. (Apocynaceae). Agric. Univ. Wageningen Pap. 86(5): 1–99.
- TSIANG, Y. & P. T. LI. 1977. Apocynaceae. In Flora Republicae Popularis Sinicae 63: I-XII, 1-249.
- VAN DER PLOEG, J. 1983. A revision of *Isonema* R. Br. and *Pycnobotrya* Benth. (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 83(4): 1-20.
- ——, 1984. Series of revisions of Apocynaceae XIV. Notes on the African species of the genus *Malouetia* A.DC. (Apocynaceae). Bull. Jard. Bot. État 54: 283-288.
- ——. 1985. A revision of Cyclocotyla Stapf, Dewevrella De Wild., and the African species of Malouetia A.DC. (Apocynaceae). Agric. Univ. Wageningen Pap. 85: 57-83.
- ZWETSLOOT, H. J. C. 1981. A revision of Farquharia Stapf and Funtumia Stapf (Apocynaceae). Meded. Landbouwhogeschool 81(16): 1-46.

APPENDIX

POLLEN DESCRIPTION OF APOCYNACEAE-APOCYNOIDEAE-NERIEAE²

Pollen grains usually spheroidal, 3(-4)-porate, exceptionally polypantoporate (*Vallariopsis*); exine smooth, perforated, often densely spaced towards the apertures; pores provided with thickened margin; inner exine consisting of globular or irregular elongated elements that are free or partly fused.

The exine fine structure varies among the taxa investigated with five or possibly six types:

(1) Exine stratified into tectum, a distinct central granular-columellate stratum and a basal stratum (sole, foot layer), endexine obsolete; the sole appears fragmented towards the pores (*Adenium obesum*). In *Vallaris solanacea* the granular stratum, when discernible, is displaced proximally towards the laminated sole.

(2) Exine with a relatively thick tectum, a distinct granular stratum more proximally located than in previous type, an unevenly thickened, partly discontinuous sole, fragmented near the apertures and possibly subtended by a thin, indistinct endexine (*Nerium* oleander).

(3) Exine stratified into tectum, a thin indistinct granular stratum subtended by a sole to which granules or knoblike elements are attached; near the apertures only granules (*Pleioceras barteri*).

² S. Nilsson, Palynological Laboratory, Sweden Natural History Museum, Stockholm.

(4) Exine unstratified, with or without a central commissural line; inner face consists of small and large granules (Farquharia elliptica, Funtumia africana, Kibatalia gitingensis, Mascarenhasia arborescens var. boivinii, Strophanthus gratus, and Wrightia pubescens).

(5) Exine consists of an outer tectal stratum towards which free or fused rounded-elongated elements appear piled up (*Alafia lucida*).

The above arbitrary groups are not sharply delimited from each other, in particular group 4 from groups 3 and 5, respectively. From the complete exine in *Adenium* there is a series of transitional exine structures to the tectal-granular exine of *Alafia*.

Out of the remaining genera (pollen grains seen in LM or SEM only) it is only Vallariopsis that markedly

deviates from all others by being poly-panto-porate. Pollen morphology does not reveal any evidence as to the position and interrelationships of Allowoodsiana, Beaumontia, Isonema, Malouetia (Malouetiella), Pottsia, and Stephanostema. Nor does it support the removal of Amphineuron and Dewevrella from the Nerieae.

Pollen morphologically, Adenium and Nerium appear related. Wrightia and Strophanthus appear related more to Kibatalia, Funtamia, Mascarenhasia, etc. than to Pleioceras. Vallaris shows certain similarity to Adenium; Vallariopsis is unique within the Nerieae. Farquharia appears closer to Kibatalia, Funtumia, etc., than to Alafia.