Directions for national mastitis control programs: experiences from the Netherlands

TJGM Lam¹, J Jansen², RJM van Gent³, JCL van Veersen¹, JM Keurentjes¹ and AG Werkman¹ ¹ Dutch Udder Health Centre UGCN, PO Box 2030, 7420 AA Deventer, the Netherlands

- ⁵ ² Communication Science, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands
 - ³ DAP Flevoland, Zeewolde, the Netherlands

Abstract

- 10 The general aim of mastitis control programs is to improve udder health on dairy farms. Over the last five years an intensive national mastitis program was executed in the Netherlands with the goal to improve udder health at a national level. Different groups of farmers have different motivations and should be approached differently, and their behaviour is influenced by different advisors. Therefore, as many different groups of advisors as possible should be involved in the program.
- 15 Most of the veterinary practices in the Netherlands participated as did, in later years, other farm advisors. We experienced that for a successful program it is crucial to have knowledge on motivating factors of dairy farmers. Many advisors are convinced that the only way to change farmers' behavior is through economics. We found that economics are important, but that other factors are as important in influencing farmers' behaviour. To have a successful national mastitis
- 20 program it is advisable to involve professionals on communication in designing the program. Although the technical knowledge on which the program is built should be optimal, that generally is not the bottle-neck in being successful. There often is more to gain in presenting knowledge in such a way that it is actually used, than in increasing the amount of existing knowledge.

25 Keywords

Control programs, practitioner, communication strategies

Introduction

Mastitis research workers, extension workers, and organizers of mastitis control programs, all have the intention to improve udder health. To actually improve udder health, knowledge of the technical background of the disease is important. Although in individual cases problem solving can be very difficult, several studies have shown that enough knowledge is available to be successful in farms that are motivated to improve their udder health situation (Green *et al.*, 2007; Lam *et al.*, 2007). For national or regional mastitis control programs, however, both motivated and non-motivated farmers need to be addressed. In order to reach as many farmers as possible, and to influence their behavior,

it is important to have knowledge about their reasons for action and the way they can be influenced. This paper will discuss some of the experiences we have had in the Netherlands during our fiveyear mastitis control program in which various communication strategies were used.

40 The Dutch Udder Health Centre

In 2005, the Dutch Udder Health Centre (UGCN) was founded, with the aim to improve udder health in The Netherlands in a five-year mastitis control program. The initiative was taken by the Dutch Federation of Agricultural and Horticultural Organizations (LTO), the Dutch Dairy Association (NZO) and the Dutch Dairy Board (PZ) and was financed through levy money

45 collected by PZ. Reasons for the initiative were a slowly increasing BMSCC, clinical mastitis problems that many farmers faced, and related annoyance and economic consequences, animal welfare, and the image of the dairy industry, including milk quality.

The program consisted of two parts, research and knowledge transfer, which had to be integrated for optimal use of funding. During the execution of the program several committees were active to

50 monitor the progress and to advice on its content. In addition to the overall steering committee of the program, there were committees of veterinary practitioners, of dairy farmers coming from the practices of these practitioners, a research committee, and a communication committee. During the execution of the program two more committees were started, one with suppliers of all kind of udder health related products, and one with veterinary pharmaceutical companies.

55 The research part had three themes: 'Bacteria', 'Cow', and 'Farmer'. The theme 'Bacteria' related to research on pathogens (i.e. diagnostics), 'Cow' related to resistance of the cow (i.e. breeding, feeding), and 'Farmer' related to the dairy farmer (i.e. motivation). Reports on studies in all three themes will be presented during this IDF conference. The knowledge transfer part of the program will be discussed in some more detail in this paper.

60

65

Influencing farmers' behaviour

Behavioural change can be induced by several policy instruments as is presented in Figure 1 (van Woerkum *et al.*, 1999).



Figure 1. Behavioural change by policy instruments (van Woerkum et al., 1999)

In this model behaviour (the implementation of mastitis control practices) can be influenced

- 70 compulsory and voluntary. Compulsory behavioural change is facilitated by coercion such as regulations and restrictive provisions. In udder health programs the effect of milk quality legislation and control systems can be more or less subscribed to coercion. With respect to udder health, coercion can only be used in extreme cases and only for bulk milk parameters. Thus, voluntary behavioural change is much preferred.
- Motivation, being it internal or external, has a major influence on peoples behaviour. External motivation is influenced by financial stimuli through bonuses and penalties (Valeeva *et al.*, 2007), and by material and social circumstances. Internal motivation can be influenced by communicative intervention through reasoned opinions, such as persuading farmers based on technical arguments. To understand internal motivation of a farmer, we need to anticipate on the farmers' mindset and on the interaction of farmers with their social environment.

The approach chosen by UGCN

Based on the theories described above and in earlier reports (Jansen *et al.*, 2009; Lam *et al.*, 2009) we choose an approach in which we tried to reach different groups of farmers. Because the
compulsory route from Figure 1 was beyond our influence, we focused on the voluntary route, on internally motivated farmers and on those that needed to be externally motivated.

Internally motivated farmers

The private practitioner played an important role in transferring knowledge to internally motivated

90 farmers. Dairy farmers considered their practitioner as the most important source of knowledge on mastitis and as their first contact person in case of udder health problems (Jansen *et al.*, 2009). The backbone of knowledge transfer to internally motivated farmers in our program was formed by study groups, organized by the practitioner. All material, knowledge and information needed to organize study groups was provided by UGCN. Of the approximately 300 veterinary practices in 95 the Netherlands, over 200 participated in the program, serving approximately 17,000 of 20,000 dairy farmers in the country. Of these, over 3,000 participated in the study groups. Generally, participants of study groups were successful in improving udder health (Lam *et al.*, 2007), but marked differences existed in the success of practices to motivate farmers to participate in study groups. The technical background of the content of the study groups was described in a practical guide to first-rate udder health (Hulsen and Lam, 2008). Of this guide a copy was available for each individual farmer. The guide was distributed via the truck drivers of dairy companies, but unfortunately did not reach all farmers.

Another important way to inform those that are seeking for information is through the internet. Our website <u>www.ugcn.nl</u> was meant to give an overview on the UGCN activities, as well as technical

105 background information on udder health. An important way to increase the number of visitors of the website was the e-mail newsletter, that was sent twice each month, linking the readers to interesting news on the website.

To decrease the distance between researchers and farmers several activities were organized, varying from 'open-door-days' at dairy farms, to small meetings with limited numbers of attendants, and conferences with several hundreds of visitors. At the open-door-days researchers from the different themes presented their findings to small groups of farmers visiting their standing-place at the farm, leading to much direct contact.

Finally, small scale study groups of farmers that were specifically interested in research, were organized. Researchers were invited to give a presentation to these groups, to explain to them in

115 what way their research helped farmers forward to improve udder health.

External motivation through financial stimuli

External motivation can be accomplished by financial revenues through bonuses and penalties (Valeeva *et al.*, 2007). Because these issues were beyond the influence of UGCN, these are not

120 further discussed. That does not mean that financial motivation can not be used in a program. A

study of Huijps *et al.* (2008) showed that most farmers (72%) underestimated costs of mastitis, mainly because they forgot to include production losses caused by elevated SCC. In a recent study of van Asseldonk *et al.* (2009), it was shown that visualizing 'invisible' production losses on a regular base was not helpful in motivating the average farmer. Valeeva *et al.* (2007) identified three

125 distinct clusters that were driving motivation of dairy farmers with regard to mastitis management: milk price premium or penalty, motivation to have an efficient (well-organized) farm, and basic economic motivation. To facilitate the latter, a tool was developed and made available through our website, in which farmers could calculate their own farm specific costs of mastitis as well as the costs of management measures to decrease mastitis costs.

130

External motivation through circumstances

Apart from internal motivation and financial revenues, 'circumstances' influence farmers' behaviour. Van Woerkum *et al.* (1999) subdivide them in material and social factors (Figure 1). UGCN provided farmers with many practical tools to work on udder health, on the internet (i.e.

- 135 mastitis cost calculator, self evaluation test on mastitis management, program to analyze clinical mastitis data) as well as illustrated fact sheets (i.e. treatment protocols, sampling techniques, CMT) and scoring cards (i.e. body condition, teat condition, hygiene) (Jansen *et al.*, 2010). Experience learned, however, that providing these tools hardly motivated farmers to use them (Jansen *et al.*, 2010). The tools need to be easily available and attractive, but that is not enough to make them
- 140 successful. Other factors, such as risk perception, belief in own capacities, and experienced social pressure, were more important in that respect.

Based on that knowledge, UGCN organized several campaigns with the aim to influence farmers' behaviour related to udder health. Campaigns were organized on wearing milkers gloves, on timely replacement of milking liners, and on the use of treatment protocols. During these campaigns, the

above mentioned motivational factors were stimulated. For a campaign to be successful, it is

6

important to include specialists on both, veterinary science as well as communication science in the team (Jansen *et al.*, 2010).

Behaviour certainly is influenced by social pressure, and behaviour related to udder health management is no exception to that. During our program we tried to influence the social standard

150 related to udder health issues. We did that through our campaigns, but also via the internet and our own UGCN magazine. In a study of Steuten *et al.* (2008) we found that almost all farmers, even reclusive traditionalists, were interested in farmers journals, specifically in farm reports. Thus, we provided them with this information.

Another tool we used, trying to influence the social standard, was the yearly UGCN udder health

155 award for dairy farms with lowest BMSCC and clinical mastitis incidence. Each year 5 dairy farmers were awarded, setting a stimulating example to their colleagues, showing that it is possible to have an excellent udder health. Although it is doubtful whether this will directly lead to copying behaviour by other farmers, it is part of the whole strategy in which there is much attention for the subject from many different angles. This lead to an increased focus on the subject, not only in our 160 own magazine, but also in other farmers journals.

Discussion

During the first years of the program we worked hard on establishing a name in the field. In 2004 UGCN was new and unknown. By 2008, over 90% of farmers knew UGCN, and considered it an
important source of information (Jansen et al, 2010). Once you have reached that stage, it is possible to also chose other approaches of sending information. Farmers generally didn't question UGCN as the sender of the message anymore, so we could also use less rational approaches. Then, to reach as many farmers as possible it is very important to cooperate with as many different parties as possible in the field, trying to realize that the same message comes from different angles.
Farmers do become frustrated, when they receive different information on a subject from different advisors (Steuten *et al.*, 2008). On the other hand, when they receive the same message from

different angles they tend to believe it, so this potential pitfall is a huge opportunity to influence farmers' behaviour. Publishing a sort of standard guidelines, like we did in our practical guide (Hulsen and Lam, 2007) does help in taking away much of the confusion.

In this paper some of the activities UGCN organized in the udder health program in the Netherlands were described. Although some of them were more successful than others, our main experience was that once practitioners and farmers start working with the information available, they become successful, with hardly an exception. The challenge therefore is to motivate them to do so. Thus when starting a mastitis control program, it is very important to include both, people with a background in mastitis, as well as people with a background in communication in the team.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of the five-year mastitis program of the Dutch Udder Health Center and was financially supported by the Dutch Dairy Board.

185

References

van Asseldonk M, Renes RJ, Lam TJGM *et al.* Awareness and perceived value of economic information in controlling somatic cell count. Vet Rec accepted for publication, 2009

190 Green MJ, Leach KA, Breen JE *et al.* National intervention study of mastitis control in dairy herds in England and Wales. Vet Rec 160, 287-93, 2007

Huijps K, Lam TJGM, Hogeveen H. Costs of mastitis: facts and perception. J Dairy Res 75, 113-120, 2008

195

Hulsen J, Lam TJGM. Udder Health. A practical guide to first-rate udder health. Roodbont Publishers, Zutphen, the Netherlands, 2008

Jansen J, van den Borne BHP, Renes RJ *et al.* Explaining mastitis incidence in Dutch dairy farming:the influence of farmers' attitudes and behaviour. Prev Vet Med 92, 210-223, 2009

Jansen J, Renes RJ, Lam TJGM. Evaluation of Two Different Communication Strategies to Improve Udder Health Management. J Dairy Sci accepted for publication, 2010

Lam TJGM, Jansen J, van den Borne BHP *et al.* A structural approach of udder health improvement via private practitioners: ups and downs. Pp 142-151 in Proceedings
 46th Annual Meeting, National Mastitis Council, San Antonio, Texas, USA, 2007

Lam TJGM, Jansen J, van Veersen JCL et al. Improving cattle health: knowledge transfer and

210 motivation. Pp 11-24 in Ruminant formula for the future: nutrition or pathology? Eds. SylvieAndrieu and Helen Warren, 2009

Steuten CDM, Jansen J, Renes RJ *et al.* Effective communication with 'hard-to-reach' farmers. Pp 389-395 in Proceedings Mastitis Control 2008, The Hague, the Netherlands, 2008

215

200

Valeeva NI, Lam TJGM, Hogeveen H. Motivation of Dairy farmers to improve mastitis management. J Dairy Sci, 90, 4466-4477, 2007

van Woerkum C, Kuiper D, Bos E. Communication and innovation: an introduction (in Dutch).Samsom, Alphen aan de Rijn, the Netherlands, 1999